

A Response to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī's

Al-Murājaʿāt

WWW.MAHAJJAH.COM

Transliteration key

ب - ض ب - ط ج - ظ

gh - غ f - ق q - ق ا - ل ا - ل ا - m ن - n و - w, ū و - w, ū

١٠,		
ĩ - ā		
b - ب		
t - ت		
th - ث		
j - ج		
ب - ب		
kh - خ		
d - د		
dh - ذ		
r - ر		
z - ز		
s - س		
sh - ش		
ş - ص		

Contents

Introduction	15
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī	15
The authenticity of Al-Murājaʿāt	20
The time lapse	21
The identity of the correspondent	23
Structural elements	25
1. Documentary evidence	25
2. Linguistic style	26
3. Ignorance of the Shaykh Al-Azhar	26
Conclusion	28
Polemical fiction in Shīʿī literature	30
Ḥusniyyah	30
Yūhannā the Christian	31
ʿAbd al-Maḥmūd the Dhimmī	32
Al-Murājaʿāt in the Sunnī world	33
Letter 1	41
Letter 2	43
Sūriyā	44
Correspondence by post	45
Letter 3	47
Letter 4	49
A layman's question	54
Predestination	56
The faith of the Ahl al-Bayt	59
Sunnī-Shīʿī Differences in Fiqh	62
Letter 5	73
Letter 6	74
Challenges of post-humous correspondence	81
Superiority	81
Authority of Ahl al-Bayt	84
Nahj al-Balāghah	84

Al-Ṣawāʿiq al-Muḥriqah	86
Letter 7	89
Letter 8	90
Circular reasoning	100
Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn	100
Elements of Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn	102
The claim of Tawātur	113
Quoting Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī	114
Ḥadīth of the Ark	115
Kanz al-'Ummāl	116
Letter 9	119
Letter 10	120
No argument to date	129
Forgeries within forgeries	129
The first narration	130
The second narration	131
The third narration	133
The fourth narration	134
The fifth narration	136
Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah	136
Aḥmad ibn Ṭāriq al-Wābishī	136
ʿAmr ibn Thābit	137
Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿUbaydah.	137
The sixth narration	138
The seventh narration	138
The eighth narration	139
ʿAbd Allāh ibn Bukayr	140
Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr	140
The ninth narration	140
Ziyād ibn al-Mundhir	141
The tenth narration	142
Ḥarb ibn Ḥasan al-Ṭaḥḥān	142
Husayn ibn Hasan al-Ashqar	142

Layth ibn Abī Sulaym ibn Zunaym	142
The eleventh narration	144
The twelfth narration	144
The thirteenth narration	145
The fourteenth narration	149
The fifteenth narration	150
Poetry of al-Farazdaq	150
The sixeenth narration	151
Finally the poetry of al-Shāfiʿī	151
Letter 11	153
Letter 12	154
Acceptance of spurious narrations	181
Ḥadīth evidence before Qur'ānic evidence	182
Abundance of verses in praise of Ahl al-Bayt	183
The Verse of Purification	184
Āyat al-Mawaddah	187
Āyat al-Mubāhalah	190
Sūrah al-Dahr	193
Al-Qāsim ibn Bahrām	194
Layth ibn Abī Sulaym	195
Muḥammad ibn Sā'ib al-Kalbī	195
The Rope of Allah	195
The Truthful Ones	197
Aḥmad ibn Ṣabīh	201
Mufaḍḍal ibn Ṣāliḥ	202
Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī	202
The Path of Allah and the Way of Allah	202
Those entrusted with Authority	204
The Custodians of Revelation	208
The Believers	209
The Guides	211
Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-Ansārī	213
Muʿādh ibn Muslim	214

The Straight Path and Blessed Ones	214
Āyat al-Wilāyah	215
The narration of Abū Rāfiʿ	218
The narration of ʿAmmār ibn Yāsir	218
Khālid ibn Yazīd al-ʿUmarī	219
The narration of ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib	219
Mūsā ibn Qays al-Ḥaḍramī	219
Salamah ibn Kuhayl	219
The narration of Ibn ʿAbbās	219
Salvation to those who depend on the authority of Ahl al-Bayt	221
Wilāyah of Ahl al-Bayt is the Amānah [Trust]	222
The Blessing [Pleasure]	223
Letter 13	227
Letter 14	228
Acceptance of Qur'ānic arguments	231
Shī'ah narrators	231
Shīʿī Ḥadīth Literature	232
Shīʿah vs Rāfiḍah	236
Sunnī Ḥadīth Criticism	237
Accepting the narrations of Innovators	239
The first opinion	240
The second opinion	241
The third opinion	245
Letter 15	251
Letter 16	252
Narrators who were definitely not Shīʿah	343
Those described with Tashayyuʻ	349
The narrations of the committed $Sh\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$	349
Moderate Shīʿah	352
Commited Shīʿah	353
Those who are considered weak due to bad memory or lack of integrity	353
What do the Twelver Shīʿah say about these narrators?	358

Letter 17	365
Letter 18	367
Excessive self-praise	370
The Sunni no longer has an excuse to accept Shīʿī narrations	370
Would further research forge Sunnī-Shīʿī unity?	371
Neglect of the school of Ahl al-Bayt	371
Demolishing the straw-man	376
Imāms are not inferior	381
Letter 19	383
Letter 20	384
The Madh-hab of Ahl al-Bayt	388
General texts	388
The Ḥadīth of Warning his closest kin	395
ʿAbd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim	400
ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbd al-Quddūs	401
The supporting narrations	402
Letter 21	403
Letter 22	404
Versions of the Ḥadīth	407
Sharīk ibn ʿAbd-Allah al-Qaḍī	409
ʿAbbād ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Asadī	410
Al-Bukhārī's academic honesty	412
Letter 23	415
Letter 24	416
Authenticity of the narrations	418
Interpreting the narration	418
Tawātur	418
Abrogation	419
Letter 25	425
Letter 26	426
Post-humous correspondence confirmed	430
Issues with the Isnād	430

Abū Balj Yaḥyā ibn Sulaym	431
The textual inconsistencies with this Ḥadīth	433
Letter 27	447
Letter 28	448
Why quote al-Āmidi?	453
Accusation of bigotry	453
This Ḥadīth is from the merits of ʿAlī	456
Letter 29	459
Letter 30	461
Sunnī interpretation	465
Letter 31	473
Letter 32	474
Versions of the Ḥadīth	481
The first narration	481
The second narration	484
The third narration	488
The fourth narration	489
What does the narration speak about?	490
The fifth narration	493
The sixth narration	494
The seventh narration	495
Letter 33	497
Letter 34	498
Jewish Influence	509
The dilemma	509
The narrations	510
1. The names of Ḥasan, Ḥusayn, Muḥassin and Shabbar, Shabīr, Mushabbir	511
2. You are my brother in this life and the next	514
3. This is my brother, my executor, and my khalīfah amongst you!	
Therefore, listen to him, and obey him!	515
4. Good news which has just reached me from my Lord	516
5.0n the night of Fāṭimah's وَعَالِشَاعَتَهُ wedding the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ said,	
"O Umm Ayman, call my brother." She responded, "Is he is your brother	

	yet you marry him to your daughter?"	516
	6. This is my brother, cousin, son-in-law and the father of my descendents.	518
	7. You are my brother and my companion.	519
	8. You are my brother, my friend, and companion in paradise.	520
	9. Zayd ibn Ḥārithah, Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib and ʿAlī ﷺ came to the	
	asking him who was the most beloved	520
	10. You are my brother, my adviser	521
	on his deathbed صَالَتُمُتَايَدُوسَدِّة called for ʿAlī مُعَلِّقِيْقِ on his deathbed	522
	12. It is written on the doors of Paradise	523
	13. The night that ʿAlī slept in the Prophet's مَا اللَّهُ مَا اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ bed Allah revealed to	
	Jibrīl and Mīkā'īl that He had made them brothers	526
	14. I am the slave of Allah and the brother of His Messenger. I am the	
	greatest believer; only a liar shall claim this after me.	527
	15. By Allah! I am his brother, his Walī, his cousin and the inheritor of his	
	knowledge; who then can be more deserving of him me?	528
	16. On the Day of Shura, he said to 'Uthmān, 'Abd al-Raḥmān, Sa'd, and	
	al-Zubayr: "Do you know of anyone other than myself with whom the	
	Messenger of Allah established Brotherhood?"	529
	17. ʿAlī's statement to al-Walīd at the Battle of Badr, "I am the slave of Allah,	
	and the brother of His Messenger سَيَّالِسُمَا عَلَيْهُ وَيَسَلَّةِ"	531
	18. Conversation with 'Umar	531
	19. Instruction to close all doors besides the door of ʿAlī	532
	20. 'Umar said that 'Alī had been granted three things	534
	21. The narration of Saʿd ibn Mālik [Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ]	536
	22. The narration of Zayd ibn Arqam	537
	23. The narration of Ibn ʿAbbās	538
	24. Entering the Masjid in the state of Janābah	538
	25. Allah inspired Mūsā عَيْمِالسَلَةِ to build a pure Masjid	541
	26. The Prophet's صَالِتَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالَةٍ supplication	542
	27. The narration from al-Bazzār	543
Letter	35	545
Letter	36	546
Th	e Narrations	554
	1. The narration of Ibn ʿAbbās	554

2. The narration of `Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn	556
3. The narration of Buraydah	556
4. The ten accolades of 'Al $\bar{\text{I}}$ is exclusively narrated by a chain to Ibn 'Abb $\bar{\text{A}}$ s	565
5. The narration of ʿAlī	565
6. The narration of Wahb ibn Ḥamzah	566
7. The narration from ibn AbīʿĀṣim	567
Letter 37	569
Letter 38	570
Discussions	573
Letter 39	581
Letter 40	582
Authenticity of the Ḥadīṭh	586
Narrations from the Imāms	588
Proper context	589
Problems with applying it to ʿAlī specifically with the meaning of authority	590
Letter 41	591
Letter 42	592
Plural refering to specific	596
Problem with plural pronoun in this verse	598
Letter 43	601
Letter 44	602
Qur'ānic cohesion	605
Attempt to create context	606
Why this verse does not refer to 'Alī نوانية' specifically	607
Letter 45	617
Letter 46	618
Discussions	619
Letter 47	621
Letter 48	622
Discussions	640
Conclusion	600

Letter 49	701
Letter 50	703
Oversights in the 'correspondence' of Shaykh al-Bishrī	706
The statements attributed to Ibn ʿAbbās 🍪 💮	706
Brazen claims	709
The narrations	709
Letter 51	713
Letter 52	714
Forgeries reveal themselves	715
Forty Aḥādīth on the virues of Abū Bakr and 'Umar ﴿ اللَّهُ عَلَيْكُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْكُ اللَّهُ اللّ	715
Khilāfah vs Imāmah	752
Abū Bakr and ʿUmar in authentic Shīʿī literature	752
Reluctance on relying on Sunnī narrations	754
Letter 53	757
Letter 54	758
Misrepresentation	767
Āyat al-Tablīgh and the sermon at Ghadīr Khumm	768
The narrations cited by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn	773
Reason for Imām Muslim not including this version	774
Wording of the Ḥadīth, "Whomsoever considers me his Mawlā, ʿAlī is his Mawlā"	777
Letter 55	779
Letter 56	780
When was the Khuṭbah delivered?	797
Allegation against Anas ibn Mālik	798
Problem with using this narration to prove Tawātur	799
Letter 57	801
Letter 58	803
Discussions	810
Letter 59	813
Letter 60	814
Discussions	818

Letter 61	823
Letter 62	824
Ḥadīth of the Shī ah	835
Background	835
The Concept of Imāmah	836
Nonexistence of Shī ah Hadiths Through the Infallible Chain	838
The Real Sources of Shī ah Ḥadīths	842
Inconsistency in Shīʿah Ḥadīth	844
Forty narrations	851
Conclusion	880
Letter 63	881
Letter 64	882
Discussions	887
History of Shīʿī distortions	887
Outrageous Allegations	888
The Qur'an's description of the Ṣaḥābah	889
History in perspective	894
The earliest Muslims through the eyes of ʿAlī كَوْنَاكُونَا مُرْكُونَا لِهِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمِينَ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمِينَ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمِينَ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمِينَ الْمُعْمَادِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِمِ الْمُعْمِعِمِ الْمِعِمِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِمِ الْمُعْمِعِمِ الْمُعْمِعِمِ الْمِعِمِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِيمِ الْمُعْمِعِ	908
Letter 65	913
Letter 66	914
Discussions - Narration 1 - 3	918
The fourth narration	920
The fifth narration	921
The sixth narration	921
The seventh narration	921
The eighth narration	922
The ninth narration	922
Letter 67	925
Letter 68	926
Discussions	932
The narrations on Waṣiyyah	933
Narration One	933
Narration Two	933

Narration Three	942
Narration Four	944
Narration Five	944
Narration Six	945
Narration Seven	945
Narration Eight	946
Narration Nine	947
Conclusion	947
Letter 69	949
Letter 70	951
Discussions	962
Confidence	962
Al-Sindī's explanation	963
Was there any Waṣiyyah?	964
ʿAlī's perspective on Waṣiyyah	964
Letter 71	969
Letter 72	970
Discussions	973
Who is ʿĀ'ishah	974
The incident of Ṣafiyyah	985
Letter 73	987
Letter 74	988
Discussions	996
The source of Fitnah	996
Could she have withheld the Waṣiyyah?	1003
ʿĀ'ishah's تَوَالِيَّاهِيَّ role at Jamal	1004
The cordial nature of their relationship	1006
Did she rejoice at the death of ʿAlī مُقَوِّقَةُ?	1013
Concealing ʿAlī's ﷺ name out of hostility towards him?	1020
How could she defend 'Ammār ఉత్తుత్తు' yet refuse to defend 'Alī ఉత్తుత్తు?'	1028
How could the Prophet not have made a Waṣiyyah?	1029
Was 'Alī's succession compromised because she "withheld the Wasiyyah"?	1037

Letter 75	1039
Letter 76	1041
Discussions	1051
Moral integrity of Ṣaḥābah	1051
The Moral Ontology of Sharīʿah	1064
Disparaging incidents	1065
The incident of Māriyah al-Qibṭiyyah	1065
The Incident of Maghāfīr	1069
The incident of Asmā bint al-Mundhir	1070
'Ā'ishah's وَهَالِتَهُ عَلَيْهُ alleged manipulation of the Prophet's مَا لِتَعْالِمُهُ marriage plans	1073
'Ā'ishah's وَوَلَيْفَهُ عَلَيْهُ alleged insubordination in the Prophet's صَالِّتُهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّ	1074
'Evidence' that the Prophet మేహ్యాబ్డ్ passed away in the lap of 'Alī పోడమ్మోత్త	1077
Letter 79	1089
Letter 80	1090
Discussions	1095
The flawed argument	1095
Definition and Explanation of Ijmāʻ	1098
Doctrinal Foundations for Ijmāʻ	1100
Reinventing a narrative	1100
Establishing an accurate timeline of events	1105
Letter 81	1123
Letter 82	1124
Discussions	1131
The nature of propaganda	1132
Saqīfah from al-Murājaʿāt's sources	1135
Ibn Jarīr's caveats	1149
'Alī's المُعَلَّقَةُ alleged policy with his opponents	1154
ʿAlī's هُوَيُسِيِّينَ pledging after six months	1160
Unjust Rulers	1162
The claim that Ahl al-Ḥall wa al-ʿAqd is only the Ahl al-Bayt	1163
The resolute nature of the Truth	1165

Introduction

The art of fictional narration can be traced back to the earliest civilisations, and has assumed various different appearances over the centuries. The fact that it is *fictitious* was never really used to discredit literary fiction, since the lessons the author of *Aesop's Fables*, for example, wished to impart, did not depend upon whether his animal characters could or did really speak. Similarly, Shakespeare, in his quasi-historical works, does not attempt to convey to the reader the notion that the words or actions he ascribes to his characters were really said or done by them. It is only when the author of the fictional narrative tries to overstep the bounds of fiction and confer upon his work the appearance of historical authenticity, that his work loses the respectable designation "literary fiction", and earns for itself the ignominious epithet "literary hoax".

The book *Al-Murājaʿat* by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī was first published in Sidon, Lebanon in the year 1355/1936. Since its first impression it is claimed to have gone through more than a hundred editions in Arabic.¹ It is further claimed to have been translated into nothing less than twenty languages.² In the English translation of Yasin T. al-Jibouri it carries the title *The Right Path*, and is published by a number of publishing houses. The most common edition of this translation is the one published by Imam Ḥusayn Islamic Foundation of Beirut, Lebanon. For the benefit of those who are as yet unacquainted with the *Murājaʿāt* and its author, we devote the first few pages to an introduction to both.

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī³

He was born in Kāzimiyyah, a city situated to the north-east of Baghdād in 'Irāq, in 1290/1872. His father, Yūsuf, is not known for any sort of academic pursuit, but

¹ Aḥmad Mughniyah: al-Khumaynī Aqwāluhū wa-Af āluhū p. 45

² The Right Path p.xxiv (Ansariyan Publications, Qum)

³ The material for this biographical note is taken from his life-sketch given in the beginning of the 1989 edition of *Al-Murājaʿāt* published by Dār al-Bayān al-ʿArabī, Beirut, pp. 51-71, and the biography given on pp. xxiii-xxvi of the Ansariyan Publications edition of *The Straight Path*.

his fourth ancestor Sharaf al-Dīn, to whom the family owes its name, was reputed as a man of learning. The eponym 'al-Mūsawī' denotes him to be of the progeny of Mūsā al-Kāzim, the seventh Imām in the line recognised by the Twelver Shī'ah.

For his education he attended the seminaries in Kāzimiyyah and Najaf, where he studied under scholars like Shaykh Muḥammad Kāzim al-Khurāsānī, Shaykh Ḥasan al-Karbalā'ī, and Shaykh Fatḥullāh al-Iṣfahānī. At the age of 32 he moved to Jabal 'Āmil in the south of Lebanon, from where his family hailed originally. He is reported to have become involved in the struggle for independence against France, for which he was forced into temporary exile from his home, which was later burnt down by the French occupation forces. The hardship of an unsettled existence between Damascus and Palestine later forced him to leave his family scattered over different locations in the region and depart for Egypt in 1337/1919.

This visit, it is said, was not his first visit to Egypt. Eight years earlier, in 1329/1911 he is supposed to have come to Egypt on a visit that he later claimed brought him into contact with Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī, the Grand Shaykh of al-Azhar.

A quarter of a century later he publishes the book *Al-Murājaʿāt*, the subject matter of which is a series of 112 correspondences between al-Bishrī and himself, in which an attempt is made "to explain, justify, and uphold the *raison d'etre* of Shī ism". The book concludes with the Shaykh al-Azhar's admitting the correctness of the Shī ī faith, saying:

I bear witness that you, in the roots and branches of the faith, are followers of the Imams from the Messenger's progeny. You have clarified this matter and rendered it obvious, unveiled whatever was obscure thereof; so, to doubt you is madness, and to mistrust you is misguidance. I have scrutinized your letter and found it very pleasing. I verified it and was able to inhale its divine fragrance which nourished me with its sweet scent. Before knowing you, I used to be confused about your beliefs due to what I hear of allegations from scandal-mongers; now I have found it

to be a lantern that dispels the darkness, and I am leaving you victorious, successful; so, how great is the blessing which Allah has bestowed upon me, and how great your benefit unto me!¹

This, in effect, is nothing less than clear acceptance of Shī'ism by Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī.

How much historicity the book contains is a subject for later discussion. At the moment we continue with our biographical sketch of its author.

The city of Tyre in southern Lebanon had for centuries been a stronghold of the Shī'ah. Yet when 'Abd al-Ḥusayn settled there, there was no masjid in the area. He bought a house and donated it to be used as a masjid. Later he built a spacious masjid. He also established a school that carried Islamic subjects in its curriculum.

Certain events in his life give the impression that he was dedicated to Sunnī-Shīʿī unity. It was his habit to celebrate Mawlid al-Nabī on the 12th of Rabīʿ al-Awwal, and not the 17th, as the Shī ah do. This was because Sunnis who observe this celebration do so on that date. Moreover, he delivered many lectures on this issue, some of which was published by Sayyid Rashīd Ridā in the journal al-Manār. His book al-Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah was written specifically to bridge the gap between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī ah. Yet when seen in a broader context, this devotion to Sunnī-Shī'ī unity seems to spring not so much from an inherent belief in the necessity of such unity, as from the realisation that the Shīʿah are but a minuscule part of the Ummah. As an activist against French colonialization he must have realised the hopelessness of the Shīʿah tackling colonial powers on their own. Furthermore, by creating—or endeavouring to create—platforms for such unity the way would be prepared for another long term objective of the Shī ah that would in itself be a solution to the problem of being an almost insignificant minority in the Muslim world: propagation of the Shīī faith, for which purpose he wrote the book *Al-Murāja* at.

¹ *Al-Murājaʿāt*: A Shīʿī-Sunnī dialogue, translated by Yasin T. al-Jibouri, Letter no. 111, p. 295

His work al-Fusūl al-Muhimmah, in which he attempts to give a blueprint of how to achieve unity between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah, reveals no readiness to distance himself from heterodoxical elements within Shīʿism. It is nothing but an attempt to convince the Ahl al-Sunnah to accept that the Shīʿah also believe in the essential tenets of faith, and for that reason they too, must be accepted as Muslims. Yet in this book too, his beliefs as a Shīʿī prevent him from giving the Ahl al-Sunnah the assurance that they will share salvation in the hereafter with the Shīʿah. In the fifth chapter he quotes a number of aḥādīth from Sunnī sources to the effect that all believers in the essential beliefs of Tawḥīd and Risālah will attain salvation in the hereafter. In the last paragraph of the chapter he turns around to say:

We (the Shīʿah) too, have in our possession authentic narrations which we received from our Twelve Imāms, whose words constitute the Sunnah that follows the Book, and the shield that protects from punishment. I present them to you in *Uṣūl al-Kāfī* and other sources, where they announce glad tidings for those who believe in Allah, His Messenger, and the Last Day. But they render the general purport of the (Sunnī) narrations that you have heard, specific with the belief in the *Wilāyah* of the Family of the Messenger, whom the Messenger joined to the Book, whom he made the leaders of intelligent men, about whom he categorically stated that they are the ships of salvation amidst raging turmoil, the security of the Ummah in times of calamities, the stars of guidance in the darkness of error, the door of Ḥiṭṭah, where none but those who enter it will be forgiven, and the firm handhold that never breaks.¹

In other words, while Sunnis are compelled to accept the Shī ah as Muslims by aḥādīth in their reliable collections that speak of salvation for all who believe in the oneness of Allah and the Prophethood of Muḥammad the Frophethood of Muḥammad the Shī ah will vouch for the salvation of only those who believe in their Twelve Imāms. Thus the essence of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn's idea of unity between the Ahl al-Sunnah

¹ Al-Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah p. 32 (Dār az-Zahrā', Beirut, 7th ed. 1977)

and the Shīʿah is a unity that is limited to the achievement of objectives of this world. In the Hereafter, as a dutiful Shīʿī he believes, by virtue of narrations from the Twelve Imāms documented in al-Kāfī and other Shīʿī sources, that salvation is exclusively for the Shīʿah.

Something else which throws light upon his attitude towards Sunnī-Shī'ī unity is his authorship of a book entitled Abū Hurayrah—a book which amounts to nothing less than a character assassination of that venerable Companion of Rasūl Allāh مَا السَّعَاتِ Dr. Mustafā al-Sibāʿī, leader of al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn in Syria in the fifties and the sixties, and one of those 'ulama' who personally took up weapons against the French as well as against the Zionists in Palestine, relates about himself that he was at one stage very enthusiastic about bringing the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah closer to one another. The idea occurred to him that it would be very helpful if Sunnī and Shīīī 'ulamā' started visiting one another. He visited the residence of 'Abd al-Husayn Sharaf al-Dīn, whom he found as enthusiastic and responsive as himself towards the idea of bringing Sunnis and Shīīs closer to one another. They mutually agreed to hold a conference between 'ulama' of the two groups for this purpose. Sometime later he was awkwardly surprised by 'Abd al-Husayn's publication of his book Abū Hurayrah, in which he casts various aspersions against the character of that Sahābī, and eventually arrives at the conclusion that he was a kāfīr (unbeliever) and a munāfīq (hypocrite) about whom the Messenger صَالِّتُهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَالًا had foretold that he will be of the inmates of Hell. Expressing his astonishment at such a turnabout from 'Abd al-Husayn, al-Sibā'ī says, "I was dumbfounded at this position of 'Abd al-Husayn, in both his words and his book, a position which reveals a complete lack of sincerity for forging closer ties and forgetting the past."1

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn died on the 30th of December 1957, and was buried at his own request in one of the rooms surrounding the grave claimed to be that of Sayyidunā 'Alī at Najaf in 'Irāq.

¹ Al-Sunnah wa Makānatuhā fi al-Tashrī al-Islāmī pp. 8-9 (al-Maktab al-Islāmī, Beirut, 2nd ed. 1396)

The authenticity of Al-Murāja'āt

In the Arabic editions of Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ the actual contents of the book is preceded by an author's preface, in which he mentions the following:

These pages have not been written today, and these thoughts have not been born recently: they have been organized for over quarter of a century; they could have appeared in print sooner barring hostile circumstances and calamities that put strong obstacles in their way. They had, therefore, to remain waiting for a chance to gather whatever limbs they squandered and parts they lost, for the events that delayed their publication did, at the same time, alter their organization.¹

After this introduction he goes on to fill two pages with an account of how perturbed he was at the disunity amongst Muslims. These sentiments took him to Egypt at the end of 1329 A.H, where he claims that his "good fortune brought him into contact with one of the learned men [of Egypt], distinguished by his broad mind, pleasant character, animated heart, vast knowledge, and high position; who quite deservedly occupied the office of its religious leadership". Strangely, he does not give the name of this person, neither in this introduction nor at any other place in the book. Anyway, he goes on to describe how the two of them started exchanging the correspondences that he would later publish as *Al-Murājaʿat*. Describing its development, he makes the following interesting, and indeed revealing, remark:

I do not claim that these pages are confined to the texts composed then by us, or that any of the forthcoming statements is not written by my own pen.²

In *The Right Path*, which is the English translation of *Al-Murājaʿāt* by Muḥammad Amir Haider Khan, this entire introduction has been completely omitted, for

¹ Al-Murājaʿāt: A Shīʿī-Sunnī dialogue, translated by Yasin T. al-Jibouri, Introduction, p. 22

² Al-Murāja at: A Shī ī-Sunnī dialogue, p. 24

very obvious reasons. The passages quoted above contain the secret of the origin of Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$. This book is not the record of correspondence between 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn and Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī. It is the sole enterprise of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, and Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī's involvement in the evolution of Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ is pure fiction, as will be conclusively proven here. The discussion will centre around the following axes:

- 1. The lapse of time between the supposed exchange of correspondence, and the publication of *Al-Murāja* 'āt.
- 2. The reluctance of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn to give the name of his correspondent.
- 3. Elements within the structure of *Al-Murājaʿāt* that constitute grounds for impugning its authenticity.

The time lapse

'Abd al-Ḥusayn states the time of the exchange of correspondence to have been in 1329/1911. Yet it is published for the first time in 1355/1936, a quarter of a century later—and, which is even more significant, twenty years after the death of his supposed correspondent Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī, who died in 1335/1916! Which "events and calamities" could have been so disastrous as to delay the publication of a book as epoch-making as this one? There is this vague suggestion in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's words,¹ which appears more palpably in the writings of his biographers,² that it was his involvement in the resistance against French rule—that resulted in the burning of his library in Tyre, together with nineteen unpublished manuscripts—that prevented immediate publication. However, this reason is not supported by a precise chronology of events. Colonialist supremacy in the Levant (the geographical region comprising Syria, Lebanon, and Palestine) started only in 1918, when the British and the French assisted the Arabs to wrest Damascus from Ottoman control. When the British withdrew in 1919 the French

¹ ibid. p. 75 and p. 77 (author's introduction)

² ibid. p. 58 (author's biography by Shaykh Murtaḍā al-Yāsīn)

were left in control, and it was only in the following year, 1920, that the League of Nations granted France a mandate over Syria and Lebanon.¹ If the exchange of correspondence between 'Abd al-Ḥusayn and al-Bishrī did in fact take place in 1911, he had almost an entire decade—from 1911 to 1919—to publish his book. Why would he have to wait twenty five years?

His other work *al-Fusūl al-Muhimmah*, was published for the first time in 1327/1909, two years prior to his alleged trip to Egypt. A second edition was published in 1347/1928 with additions by the author. This shows that the author was not so preoccupied by his resistance activities that he was unable to write or prepare works for publication. Furthermore, if circumstances in Lebanon did not allow him to publish *Al-Murājaʿāt* there, he could have had it published in Egypt, which he visited again in 1337/1919. The author of his biography published in the *The Right Path*, the English translation of *Al-Murājaʿāt*, writes:

In Egypt his speeches were extremely influential in turning public sentiment against the British colonialists there. At that time Sayyid Rashīd Riḍā published in the journal *al-Manār* most of his speeches that dealt with the Lebanese people facing French colonialism.²

It is well known that Sayyid Rashīd Riḍā was at that time an arch-proponent of Sunnī-Shīʿī unity, and devoted pages from his journal *al-Manār* to it. If the transcripts of *Al-Murājaʿāt* were at that time in existence, why did 'Abd al-Ḥusayn not publish it in *al-Manār*? Even a mere mention of the exchange of correspondence between 'Abd al-Ḥusayn and al-Bishrī would have meant a lot. Yet, despite 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's obvious access to publication in a journal as devoted to Muslim unity against the colonial powers as Sayyid Rashīd Riḍā's *al-Manār*, we are at a loss to find a single mention, even in passing, of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's alleged correspondence with Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī.

¹ Syria (the French Mandate): entry in Microsoft Encarta Encyclopaedia

² The Right Path p. xxvi (Ansariyan Publications, Qum)

Suddenly, twenty five years later, when al-Bishrī has been dead for two decades, when most of those who may have remembered the events of a quarter of a century ago have already died, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn surprises the Muslim world with a book comprising the records of correspondence he claims to have been exchanged with al-Bishrī; correspondence at the end of which the Shaykh al-Azhar admits the correctness of the faith of the Shīʿah, and in fact accepts Shīʿism, as shown earlier.

The publishers of the English translation of Al-Mur $\bar{a}ja$ ' $\bar{a}t$, entitled The Right Path, were alert enough to note the indictment of the book's authenticity contained in the opening remarks of the author's introduction. Accordingly, they took the "prudent" step of completely omitting it, and in their own foreword they gloss over the lapse of a quarter century between the completion of the correspondence and the publication of the book in the following words:

After the correspondence had been completed, the Sayyid ['Abd al-Ḥusayn] ... eventually published it under the title Al-Murājaʿāt in 1355AH/1936AD.¹

The identity of the correspondent

What seems very unusual is that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn does not reveal the identity of his correspondent, neither in the introduction nor in the course of the book. It is true that the letters of this mysterious correspondent are all signed with the letter $s\bar{i}n$, in the Arabic, which appears as an "S" in the English translation. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn comments upon this cryptic device in a footnote, saying:

The subtlety and appropriateness of this signature is not unclear.

We can see that here too, like earlier in his introduction, he does not state the name of his correspondent. The English translator, however, allowed himself the liberty of translating the above footnote as follows:

¹ ibid. p. xxii

It may also be noted that the letter "S" denotes both his name (which is Salim) and faith, which is Sunni.¹

Where on the one hand 'Abd al-Ḥusayn consistently maintains this secrecy about the identity of his correspondent, he gives enough cryptic clues that point towards Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī. Besides the signature, there is also the year he mentions as the year of his visit to Egypt: 1329/1911. This coincides with al-Bishrī's second tenure as Shaykh al-Azhar, which lasted from 1327 up to his death in 1335. A person described as having "occupied the position of religious leadership of Egypt"—as 'Abd al-Ḥusayn describes his correspondent—in the year 1329 can be none other than Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī. With such clues to the identity of his correspondent, why does he still refrain from explicitly stating his name?

It seems that when 'Abd al-Ḥusayn first published *Al-Murāja* 'āt in 1355/1936 he was still somewhat apprehensive that despite the passing of twenty five years, there might still be people living who were close enough to Shaykh al-Bishrī to know that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim to have exchanged correspondence with the Shaykh is an infamous lie.² He preferred therefore to leave his correspondent unnamed, thereby keeping an avenue of escape open in the event he was accused of dishonesty. At the same time he gives cryptic clues to the identity of the correspondent, so that if his forgery remains undetected, and people come to accept Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī's involvement in the evolution of *Al-Murāja* 'āt as a fact, future publishers would need to have no qualms in associating the Shaykh's name with *Al-Murāja* 'āt. This is exactly what happened. Today every edition of the book carries a foreword in which the story of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's meeting with Shaykh al-Bishrī is recounted as the origin of *Al-Murāja* 'āt. Some editions even carry a picture and a short biographical of the Shaykh, alongside with a picture and biographical sketch of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.

¹ The Right Path, p. 2

² Once, after the publication of Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja\dot{a}t$, the Shaykh's son, a medical doctor, was asked if he knew anything about his father's alleged correspondence with 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. He denied any knowledge of it.

Structural elements

There are three elements in the structure of Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ which throw light upon its true origin.

These are:

- 1. The lack of documentary evidence about Shaykh al-Bishrī's involvement.
- 2. The similarity between the linguistic styles of the letters ascribed to Shaykh al-Bishrī and those ascribed to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.
- 3. The picture of the Shaykh al-Azhar as lacking in knowledge of basic precepts, and of being unacquainted with fundamental Sunnī sources of reference, suggested by a number of the letters ascribed to him.

1. Documentary evidence

In a work like *Al-Murājaʿāt* one would expect to find some type of corporeal evidence of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī's involvement. There would at least have to be something like a reproduction of one of his original letters to ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn. Yet, no edition of *Al-Murājaʿāt* has ever carried anything that provides tangible proof of his involvement. The only available evidence seems rather to suggest his complete non-involvement. In the foreword to the English translation by Muḥammad Amir Haider Khan published by Ansariyan Publications, Qum, it is stated that ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn published the book "with the permission of the Shaykh". This is blatantly untrue. When was this "permission" given? Twenty years after the Shaykh's death? The Arabic editions of *Al-Murājaʿāt* published during the life of ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn and thereafter are completely silent about this "permission". The publisher of the English translation too, is incapable of producing documentary evidence of the supposed permission. Just like in the case of the omission of the author's own introduction, the myth of Shaykh al-Bishrī's permission had to be invented to deceive the unwary Sunnī reader.

2. Linguistic style

Readers of the original Arabic text of *Al-Murāja* 'āt will be struck by the resemblance between the literary styles of two supposedly different persons. A cursory glance at any of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's other works—like *al-Fusūl al-Muhimmah*, *al-Nass wa l-Ijtihād* and *Ajwibat Mūsā Jārullāh*—will convince anyone who possesses a literary appreciation of the Arabic language that the style of "both correspondents" in *Al-Murāja* 'āt belongs to none other but 'Abd al-Ḥusayn himself. As for Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī's literary style, if his extant writings—like *Wadḥ al-Nahj*, his commentary on Aḥmad Shawqī's *Nahj al-Burdah*—are anything to go by, it is a far cry indeed from the flamboyance and verbosity of expression ascribed to him by the author of *Al-Murāja* 'āt.

3. Ignorance of the Shaykh Al-Azhar

Al-Murājaʿāt is set at a time when the post of Shaykh al-Azhar was occupied not by governmental appointment, but by virtue of knowledge and erudition. ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn himself bears testimony (unwittingly, perhaps) to this fact where he describes his correspondent as a man "distinguished by his vast knowledge". However, in more than one of his letters the picture the reader gets of his learning is quite disparaging. Here follow a few examples:

Letters 12, 13 and 14:

In Letter 12, "Shaykh al-Bishrī" requests 'Abd al-Ḥusayn to present proof of the status of the Ahl al-Bayt from the Qur'ān. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn proceeds to enumerate over fifty verses from the Qur'ān that, he claims, refer to the Ahl al-Bayt. The majority of these verses are bent out of context by purely esoteric (bātinī) interpretation, and those that can acceptably be said to refer to the Ahl al-Bayt have been the subject of much debate in Sunnī works on tafsīr. The "Shaykh", seemingly ignorant thereof, praises 'Abd al-Ḥusayn profusely, and says, "You have produced clear and powerful verses of the Qur'ān, and cited everlasting proofs. Therefore, you have accomplished the task which you undertook to perform. It would be a folly to contradict you because you have exposed the folly of the ignorant."

Letters 13 and 14:

In Letter 13 the "Shaykh" brings up the issue of accepting the traditions of narrators with known Shīī proclivities, whereupon 'Abd al-Ḥusayn practically teaches him the methodology of the *muḥaddithūn* of the Ahl al-Sunnah on this point, producing a list of 100 such narrators whose traditions appear in major Sunnī works. The "Shaykh" is pictured ignorant of a simple point of ḥadīth methodology, which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has to teach him.

Letters 21, 22 and 23:

In Letter 21 the "Shaykh" disputes the authenticity of a ḥadīth on grounds of the fact that it is not in the collections of al-Bukhārī and Muslim. Any scholar worth his salt knows that the <code>Saḥīḥayn</code> are not the exclusive repositories of authentic aḥādīth, and therefore this argument from the "Shaykh" is puerile. In <code>Al-Murāja</code> 'āt 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has to prove the authenticity of the ḥadīth to the Shaykh al-Azhar, and refers him to <code>Musnad Ahmad</code>. In Letter 23 the "Shaykh" comes back in amazement to confirm that he actually found the hadīth in <code>Musnad Ahmad</code>, and that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's authentication of the ḥadīth is correct.

Letters 27, 28 and 29:

In letter 27 the "Shaykh" invokes Sayf al-Dīn al-Āmidī as his authority for disputing the authenticity of a ḥadīth. No self-respecting scholar of ḥadīth would ever refer to al-Āmidī, who was an exponent of uṣūl al-fiqh, in a question of ḥadīth authentication. It is just as ridiculous as referring a legal matter to a dentist! This had to be pointed out to the "Shaykh" by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. In Letter 29 the "Shaykh" admits al-Āmidī's incompetence to judge the authenticity of a ḥadīth, saying, "Āmidi has committed a blunder, which indicates his knowledge of traditions and traditionists."

In this mediocre picture painted by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn of a man whom he himself describes as "distinguished by his vast learning" the discerning reader cannot

fail to detect clear signs of the mendacity 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has made himself guilty of in ascribing half of the letters in Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja$ ' $\bar{a}t$ to the Shaykh al-Azhar, Shaykh Sal \bar{m} al-Bishr \bar{i} .

Conclusion

Debate between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī ah is an age-old phenomenon that has given rise to a specific genre of polemic literature. This genre of literature was by nature **unilaterally critical**. This means that these works were usually one-sided attacks on the beliefs of the opponents. The closest they ever came to being **bilateral** was when refutations or counter-refutations would be written to earlier works, like in the case of *Minhāj al-Sunnah*, Ibn Taymiyah's refutation of Ibn al-Muṭahhar al-Ḥillī's *Minhāj al-Karāmah*, or *Nuzha-e Ithnā 'Ashariyyah*, Ḥakīm Mīrzā Muḥammad Kāmil's response to *Tuḥfa-e Ithnā 'Ashariyyah* by Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz. But the bilateralness of such refutations could still not generate the placidity and dispassionateness found in **dialogue**, as opposed to the vehemence of polemical debate. The participants in dialogue, unlike debate, are supposed to be free from bigotry, fanaticism, and preconceived notions. Dialogue, it is supposed, takes place in a spirit of neutrality and open-mindedness. The results yielded by such dialogue, therefore, would be vastly more objective—and convincing—than those of the polemical debate.

The author of *Al-Murājaʿāt* knew this only too well. Fired with the zeal to propagate his faith—like most of the 'ulamā' of the Shīʿah are—he knew that no polemical discourse could ever do a tenth of what a dialogue could. The problem lay in getting that dialogue off the ground, and securing a Sunnī participant with sufficient esteem in the Sunnī world to lend credibility and authority to the dialogue.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's solution was ingenious. He was well aware of the importance of al-Azhar in the Sunnī world. He would never find a more distinguished "correspondent" than the Grand Shaykh of that institution. Should he actually seek dialogue with the Shaykh al-Azhar of his time? That would be too precarious,

because the living Shaykh al-Azhar could turn out to be too well versed in Sunnī-Shīʿī polemics, which would mean that he would be ready with a whole array of answers to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's questions, as well as an arsenal of disturbing questions of his own. The dialogue therefore would have to be fictitious, but garbed in a cloak of reality. Fortunately he would not have to be troubled by his conscience over this deception, because, as a Shīʿī, he enjoyed the privilege of practising *Taqiyyah*, or dissimulation. In other words, his faith allowed him to twist the truth or invent his own version of it, provided such means finds justification in the end, and what justification could be more weighty than the propagation of "the true faith"?

There now remained one last question: Which past occupant of the office of Shaykh al-Azhar will be given the honour of being his "correspondent"? It would have to be someone who died long ago, so that not too many questions would be asked. He chose Shaykh Sal \bar{m} al-Bishr \bar{i} , whose death, as we have seen, preceded the publication of Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ by a full twenty years. Even then too, he was cautious, and did not go to the extent of explicitly identifying his correspondent by name, as we have seen.

Inventing his own correspondent held one crucial advantage: Like a puppeteer, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn would be able to make the "Shaykh al-Azhar" say whatever he wanted to. (This explains the apparent ignorance of the "Shaykh al-Azhar".) The unwary Sunnī reader who has already swallowed the bait, and actually believes that *Al-Murāja* 'āt is the record of a real dialogue between the Shaykh al-Azhar and 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, would be presented with a "Shaykh al-Azhar" who is unable to counter any of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's arguments, who lavishes praise upon him, who endorses his views and findings, and who ultimately admits the truth of Shīʻism, and accepts it. By this masterstroke 'Abd al-Ḥusayn would vanquish not merely his fictitious "Shaykh al-Azhar", but Sunnism at large.

And that is the story of Al-Murājaʿāt.

Polemical fiction in Shīʿī literature

The style of writing adopted by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in *Al-Murāja*'āt has long been favoured by Shīʿī authors in polemical literature. They were quite aware that to actually engage the 'ulamā' of the Ahl al-Sunnah in debate would considerably curtail their advantage, and therefore they resorted to the more convenient ploy of creating their own opponents, since by doing so they would be able to manipulate the "opponent's" arguments to their own advantage. When 'Abd al-Ḥusayn chose this style of writing for the book he himself considered his *magnum opus*, he was not being original at all. He was merely imitating the precedent set by earlier Shīʿī writers like Abū al-Futūḥ al-Rāzī and Raḍiyy al-Dīn Ibn Tāwūs. Below we look at three works in this genre by these two authors.

Husniyyah

A book by this title appeared during the latter half of the previous century, purporting to be the record of a debate that had taken place at the court of Hārūn al-Rashīd between Ḥusniyyah, a slave girl owned by a merchant friend of Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq, and the Imāms Abū Yūsuf and al-Shāfiʿī. This slave girl had supposedly stayed with Imām Jaʿfar up to the age of twenty, and had acquired expertise in numerous branches of knowledge from him. In the book she publicly humiliates the two Imāms, defeating their arguments, and presenting them with "incontrovertible evidence" of the truth of the creed of the Shīʿah.

The book is full of anachronisms. For one, al-Shāfi'ī came to Baghdād only after the death of Abū Yūsuf, so it is impossible that they could ever have taken part together in any discussion. The book also speaks of a third learned man by the name of Ibrāhīm Khālid of Baṣrah, who was supposedly regarded by Abū Yūsuf as "superior to them all." When they themselves were unable to answer the arguments of Ḥusniyyah, they referred the matter to this Ibrāhīm Khālid, but he too, was incapable of responding to her. History, however, has recorded nothing of a person by this name, and the effort to identify him with Abū Thawr, whose name was Ibrāhīm ibn Khālid, is futile, since Abū Thawr was a Baghdādī by birth

and lived there all his life. Far from being regarded as al-Shāfi'ī's superior, he was his student, and one of the four narrators of his *qadīm* views. Even of Ḥusniyyah herself, the annals of history and biography have recorded nothing at all. It is only in this belated document that mention is made of her existence.

Āqā Buzurg Ṭehrānī, the eminent Shīʿī bibliographer, records in his bibliographical lexicon al-Dharīʿah that this booklet was originally found in the possession of a sayyid in Syria by Mullā Ibrāhīm al-Astarābādī when he returned to Iran from Hajj in the year 958/1551. He translated it into Persian, and it was first published in 1287/1870.¹ The Shīʿī biographer Mīrzā ʿAbd Allāh Effendī al-Iṣfahānī has done us a favour by exposing the real author of the book Ḥusniyyah, and his purpose in writing such a book. He writes in his book Riyād al-ʿUlamāʾ:

Such a degree of learning and eminence is accorded to Ḥusniyyah in this booklet, that it creates the impression of it being the fraudulent work of Shaykh Abū al-Futūḥ al-Rāzī, written and forged by him. He ascribed it to Ḥusniyyah in order to bring disgrace to the beliefs of the Ahl al-Sunnah, and to humiliate them by exposing their beliefs.²

The identification of Abū al-Futūḥ al-Rāzī as the author of the booklet Ḥusniyyah is supported by Sayyid Muḥsin al-Amīn, the author of A'yān al-Shī'ah, one of the most authoritative contemporary biographical dictionaries of the Shī'ah. He states categorically that this book "is the work of Abū al-Futūḥ al-Rāzī".3

Yūhannā the Christian

This same Shaykh Abū al-Futūḥ al-Rāzī is credited with the authorship of another spurious polemical tract called *Risālat Yūhannā al-Nasrānī* (the tract of Yūhannā [John] the Christian). In this tract, quoted by a number of Shīʿī writers as factual

¹ Al-Dharī ah vol. 4 p. 97 no. 452 (3rd ed., Dār al-Adwā', Beirut 1401/1981)

² Riyād al-ʿUlamā' vol. 5 p. 407 (Maktabat Āyatullāh al-Marʿashī, Qum 1401/1981)

³ Cited by al-Mahallātī in Rayāḥīn al-Sharī ah vol. 4 p. 148 (Dār al-Kutub al-Islāmiyyah)

truth,¹ a Christian by the name of Yūhannā engages the Sunnī 'ulamā of Baghdād in a debate during which he demonstrates the "fallacies" in the creed of the Ahl al-Sunnah. Eventually he declares his acceptance of Shī ism as the true religion. Mīrzā 'Abd Allāh Effendi ascribes this work to Abū al-Futūḥ al-Rāzī. The "strength" of this polemic is supposed to derive from the fact that even a non-Muslim is able to discern the "falsehood" of Sunnī belief from the "truth" of Shī ism.

'Abd al-Mahmūd the Dhimmī

Raḍiyy al-Dīn Ibn Tāwūs belonged to a prominent Shīʿī family that lived at Ḥillah near Najaf at the time of the sack of Baghdād by the Tartars under Hulagu. Shīʿite complicity in the fall of Baghdād is a fact of history. Al-Mustansir's wazīr, Mu'ayyid al-Dīn Ibn al-ʿAlqamī treacherously co-operated with the Tartars to secure the downfall of the ʿAbbāsids. This wazīr was a close friend of Ibn Tāwūs.² Ibn Tāwūs's acceptance of the post of Naqīb al-Ashrāf from Hulagu, having earlier refused it from the ʿAbbāsid khalīfah al-Mustanṣir, is quite significant.

With the fall of Baghdād came a new surge in Shī ite propagation, the like of which was only seen in the days of the Buwayhids. The high positions occupied by Shī ī dignitaries in the *Ilkhānid* (Tartar) administration afforded the Shī ah the influence and leverage they needed to prosper. The town of Ḥillah soon developed into the most important centre of Shī ī learning, producing the likes of al-ʿAllāmah (Ḥasan ibn Yūsuf ibn Muṭahhar) al-Hillī, Ibn Dāwūd al-Rijālī, al-Muḥaqqiq (Jaʿfar ibn Hasan) al-Hillī, and al-Shahīd al-Awwal (Muhammad ibn Makkī al-ʿĀmilī).

This age also saw the composition of a number of polemical works. Amongst the better known of these works is Ibn Muṭahhar's *Minhāj al-Karāmah*, in refutation of which Ibn Taymiyyah composed his celebrated *Minhāj al-Sunnah*. Ibn Tāwūs also

¹ See for example *al-Anwār an-Nuʿmāniyyah* by Sayyid Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī, vol. p. (Muʾassasat al-Āʿlamī, Beirut)

² Al-Shahīd al-Thānī, quoted by Muḥammad Baḥr al-ʿUlūm in a footnote to Luʾluʾat al-Baḥrayn of Yūsuf al-Baḥrānī, p. 236 (Dār al-Adwāʾ, Beirut 1986)

contributed to this genre of literature. However, he preferred to do so under an assumed identity. His book, entitled *al-Tarā'if fī Madhāhib al-Tawā'if*, was written under the nom-de-plume 'Abd al-Maḥmūd ibn Dāwūd al-Muḍarī. He commences his book with the (false) statement that he is a man from amongst the *Ahl al-Dhimmah* (Jews or Christians living under the protection of the Muslim state). He then proceeds with a comparative study of different religious persuasions, and predictably enough, ends up with Ithnā 'Asharī (Twelver) Shī'ism as the only true religion. Like Abū al-Futūḥ al-Rāzī before him, he seeks to introduce objectivity into his work by assuming the identity of a supposedly unbiased observer.¹

These are three classical examples of fictitious polemical works. Besides them there are several more, a number of which were composed relatively late. Thus, when 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn decided to write his own polemical masterpiece, he had before him the examples of eminent scholars of his sect who had made use of the literary style that might be termed "polemical fiction". Polemical fiction was by that time an established style of writing amongst Shīʿī polemicists. It may therefore be concluded, with considerable certainty, that *Al-Murājaʿāt* too, falls in this category.

Al-Murājaʿāt in the Sunnī world

Sunnī reactions to *Al-Murāja*ʿāt have been varied. Some persons were completely deceived by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's careful forgery. Amongst these one may count Shaykh Muhammad Mara'ī al-Amīn al-Antākī of Aleppo, Syria. This shaykh was an al-Azhar educated ʿālim whose reading of *Al-Murāja*ʿāt led him to embrace the Shīʿī faith. His own book, *Limādhā Ikhtartu Madhhab al-Shīʿah* (Why I embraced the madhhab of the Shīʿah) is virtually a reproduction of ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's arguments in *Al-Murāja*ʿāt.

There were others, like the Lebanese writer Dr. ʿĀtif Salām, who seemed to have found in this book a foundation whereupon Sunnī-Shīʿī unity could be built. Like

¹ Riyāḍ al-'Ulamā' vol. 5 p. 407

al-Antākī, he too, has reproduced verbatim entire sections from *Al-Murājaʿāt* in his book *al-Waḥdat al-ʿAqāʾidiyyah ʿinda al-Sunnah wa al-Shīʿah* (Doctrinal Unity between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah). His sentiments were shared by a number of figures who were involved in the Dār at-Taqrīb in Cairo, and were thus already receptive of the idea of unity.

This receptivity, coupled with a number of other factors, is probably the cause of their uncritical acceptance of Al-Murājaʿāt as an authentic document. The first of these factors was their belief in the complete honesty and openness of Shī'ī participants in unity endeavours. This rendered them credulous, and caused them to disregard the possibility of Tagiyyah on the part of their Shīī counterparts. Secondly, most, if not all, of those who were misled into believing in Al-Murājaʿāt as an authentic record of Sunnī-Shīʿī dialogue were simply not adequately qualified in the field of schismatology. A person like Dr. Hāmid Hifnī Dāwūd, for example, who wrote a foreword to one edition of Al-Murājaʿāt, might have been the dean of the Faculty of Arabic Language at 'Ayn Shams University, but that does not make him an expert on comparative studies between Sunnism and Shī'ism. Dr. Muhammad Yūsuf Mūsā, who also wrote a foreword, was a specialist in figh, and not in Sunnī-Shī'ī comparative studies. None of these men are known for any manner of expertise in the field of hadīth, which is a sine qua non for a proper appraisal of the book, as will be revealed in the course of this detailed critical analysis. Strangely, not a single one of them seems to have taken the trouble of learning more about Shī'ism from its authoritative sources. Their blind acceptance of the words of Shīī propagandist writers like 'Abd al-Husayn Sharaf al-Dīn, without bothering to compare them with what is contained in the classical legacy of the Shī ah, is in itself proof of their extreme credulousness.

And last, but most definitely not least, all Sunnī "admirers" of Al-Murājaʿāt seem to overlook the fact that the arguments advanced in the book effectively negate the validity of Sunnī Islam. Endorsing the book is therefore tantamount to the acknowledgement that Sunnī Islam is a corrupt and deviate form of original Islam. Therefore, logically, the only ones who could admire the book are those

who were actually convinced by it to embrace Shī ism. Any Sunnī who endorses the book but still remains a Sunnī finds himself in the contradictory position of regarding one thing as the truth—since that is what the book claims Shī ism is—but following and practicing another.

There has also been the tendency amongst Sunnī 'ulamā' to ignore the very existence of the book. This trend is reminiscent of Imām Taqiyy al-Dīn al-Subkī's reaction to the book *Minhāj al-Karāmah* by Ibn Muṭahhar al-Ḥillī, and Shaykh al-Islam Ibn Taymiyyah's rebuttal of it, the *Minhāj al-Sunnah*. He set forth his opinion on the *Minhāj al-Karāmah* in verse as follows:

The Shī ah are a wretched people, most ignorant in knowledge, and most false.

There is no need to rebut their lies, since Shī ism itself is so vile and repugnant.

This attitude of trusting that the common people will find Shī ism itself so repulsive that there would be no need to reply to Shī ite propaganda in detail, overlooks the fact that the Shī ī propagandist does not approach his target with the repulsive features of his belief. He propagates his faith with a careful strategy calculated to create doubt in the mind of the Sunnī about his beliefs as a Sunnī, but not so aggressive as to repel him. Like any adept salesman he presents his own faith in a most convincing way, and steers well clear of any controversial elements. The success the book *Al-Murāja at* has had in Sunnī circles is proof of the fact that ignoring its existence aids, rather than hinders, its task.

It is for this reason that al-Subkī's attitude came under severe criticism from later scholars. One of them, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad ibn Jamāl al-Dīn Yūsuf of Yemen had the following to say:

يَا أَيُّهَا الرَّجُلُ الْحَامِيْ لِمَذْهَبِهِ أَلْزَمْتَ نَفْسَكَ أَمْرًا مَا أُمِرْتَ بِهِ تَقُولُ فِي بَاغِضِيْ صَحْبِ الرَّسُوْلِ وَمَنْ يَرَى مَسَبَّتَهُمْ أَصْلاً لِمَذْهَبِهِ تَقُولُ فِي بَاغِضِيْ صَحْبِ الرَّسُوْلِ وَمَنْ يَرَى مَسَبَّتَهُمْ أَصْلاً لِمَذْهَبِهِ وَالنَّاسُ فِي غُنْيَةٍ عَنْ رَدِّ إِفْكِهِمُ هذَا هُوَ الإِفْكُ لَكِنْ مَا شَعَرْتَ بِهِ وَالنَّاسُ فِي غُنْيَةٍ عَنْ رَدِّ إِفْكِهِمُ هذَا هُوَ الإِفْكُ لَكِنْ مَا شَعَرْتَ بِهِ بَلْ رَدُّهُ وَاجِبٌ نُصْحًا وَمَعْذِرَةً وَنُصْرَةً لِسَينِلِ الْحَقِّ مِنْ شُبَهِ إِذَا تَقَوَّلَ فِي الصَّحْبِ الْكِرَامِ فَمَا ذَا تُوْجِبُونَ عَلَيْهِ يَا ذَوى النَّبَهِ وَقَدْ عَلِمْتُمْ بَأَنَّ الشَّخْصَ دَاعِيَةً إِلَى ضَلاَلِ بِلاَ رَبْبِ وَلاَ شُبَهِ وَقَدْ عَلِمْتُمْ بَأَنَّ الشَّخْصَ دَاعِيَةً إِلَى ضَلاَلِ بِلاَ رَبْبِ وَلاَ شُبَهِ

O you who stand in defence of your opinion, you have taken up something other than what you were ordered to.

You say about those who hate the Companions of the Messenger and believe cursing them to be a fundamental of their faith:

"There is no need to rebut their lies"? This, indeed, is the real lie, though you do not know.

Rather, refuting it is obligatory, as an extension of goodwill, a discharge of duty, and in defence of truth against dubious claims.

When this person slanders the Companions, then what punishment do you declare him liable of, O men of intelligence,

knowing without doubt, and without ambiguity, that he is an inviter towards deviation?

Another poet, Abū al-Muẓaffar Yūsuf ibn Muhammad ibn Masʿūd al-Surramarrī, says:

أَكُلُّ مَا ظَهَرَتْ فِي النَّاسِ هُجْنَتُهُ يَصِيْرُ أَهْلاً لإِهْمَالِ النَّكِيْرِ بِهِ
وَاللهِ لاَ غُنْيَةَ عَنْ رَدِّ إِفْكِهِمُ بَلْ رَدُّهُ وَاجِبٌ أَعْظِمْ بِمُوْجِيهِ
أَيْتُرَكُونَ يَشْبُونَ الصَّحَابَةَ وَالْ إِسْلاَمَ يُخْتَالُ زَهْوًا فِي تَصَلُّبِهِ

هذَا مَقَتَالٌ شَيْئِعٌ لَمْ يَقُلْ أَحَد بِهِ وَلاَ رَهْطُ جَهْمٍ فِيْ تَحَزَّبِهِ وَاللهِ لَوْ لاَ شُيُوفٌ مِنْ أَيْمَّتِنَا فِي كَاهِلِ الرَّفْضِ لاَ تَلُوِيْ وَمَنْكِيهِ لأَضْحَتِ الشَّنْـَةُ الْغَرَّاءُ دَاثِـرَةً بَيْنَ الْبَرِيَّةِ كَالْعَنْقَ ا وَأَغْرِبِهِ

Does everything whose repulsiveness has become commonly manifest deserve to be ignored and not refuted?

By Allah, there is no way we can refrain from refuting it. It is a duty, and Great is He who ordained it.

Shall they be left to arrogantly and fanatically vilify the Ṣaḥābah and Islam?

This is indeed an evil claim which no one, not even the followers of Jahm, ever made.

By Allah, had it not been for the unflinching swords of our Imāms upon the shoulders of Shī'ism,

The resplendent Sunnah, just like the 'anqā bird, would have been obliterated amongst men.¹

There has been very little critical work done on Al-Murājaʿāt. Mention may be made here of two sterling efforts. The first is the work of Maḥmūd al-Zu'bī entitled al-Bayyināt fī al-Radd ʿalā Abāṭīl Al-Murājaʿāt (Clear Signs: a Refutation of the Falsehoods of Al-Murājaʿāt). This book in two volumes is probably the most comprehensive response to Al-Murājaʿāt. Our present study started out as a translation of this work. It soon became clear that a mere translation would not serve the needs of the English-speaking public. It was therefore decided to write an independent refutation that would draw from al-Zu'bī's work and at the same time fill the gaps left by him. To him, however, goes the honour of chronological precedence.

The second noteworthy contribution is that of the great contemporary muḥaddith, Shaykh Muhammad Nāṣir al-Dīn al-Albānī. The shaykh's series on

¹ See Minhāj al-Sunnah al-Nabawiyyah, part 2, pp. 2-11 (Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah, Beirut n.d.)

spurious aḥādīth entitled *Silsilat al-Aḥādīth al-ṇa'īfah* is well known. In the second volume of this series he discusses a ḥadīth cited by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn in *Al-Murājaʿāt*. The shaykh states:

There are several reasons for discussing and analysing the authenticity of this particular hadīth. One of it is that I have seen the shaykh called 'Abd al-Ḥusayn al-Mūsawī, the Shīʿī, citing it in his book *Al-Murājaʿāt* in such a way as to create the impression of it being authentic, which is a thing he habitually does in this type of ḥadīth.¹

He then gives a lengthy discussion on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's deliberate abuse of a simple mistake on the part of Ḥāfiẓ Ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī whereby he (i.e. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn) has attempted to prove that the ḥadīth in question is actually authentic. Al-Albānī seriously questions the honesty and scrupulousness in citing Sunnī references for which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's admirers have so much praised him. He goes on to say:

The book Al-Murājaʿāt is filled with daʿīf (weak) and mawdū' (forged) narrations on the subject of the merits of ʿAlī , in addition to ignorance of this science (of ḥadīth) and the tendency to mislead and deceive the reader. It even contains blatant falsehood in a way that the reader could never imagine possible from a self-respecting author. It is for this reason that I have resolved to discuss critically all those aḥādīth, as many as they may be, to point out the causes of their weakness, and to reveal the deception and delusion in (the author's) words. That will be published, if Allah permits, from numbers 4881 to 4975 (in this series).²

To the best of our knowledge this part of *Silsilat al-Aḥādīth al-Ḍa'īfah* has not yet seen publication. The value of al-Albānī's *takhrīj* (tracing and critical appraisal) of the aḥādīth cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in *Al-Murāja'āt* is evident, taking into account his vast knowledge, acknowledged expertise, and long experience in the field

¹ Al-Albānī, Silsilat al-Aḥādīth al-Ḍa'īfah wa al-Mawdū'ah, vol. 2 p. 295 (Maktabat al-Ma'ārif, Riyadh 1992)

² ibid. vol. 2 p. 297

of hadīth. Recent controversies centering upon him are more jurisprudential in nature, and do nothing to affect his competence as a muḥaddith. It would therefore be in the interest of our study to have access to this forthcoming (if not already published) volume of his Silsilah.

As has been mentioned, the book Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ has seen publication in a number of languages. Presently the English translation of Yasin T. Al-Jibouri is especially popular and widely circulated online. Despite the wide circulation it enjoys and its easy availability to the public very little, if anything, has been done to tackle the issues and the evidence it presents. It is either accepted at face value, or else simply ignored. Both these options are equally detrimental, as we have seen. The mirage of Al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ will only be exposed and shattered through a comprehensive critical study of its contents.

From here onwards the actual content of the book comes under critical discussion. Since it is expected that many readers would not have a copy of al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ or its translation on hand, it was considered useful to reproduce the entire text of the book before responding to it. In this way we would also prevent the suspicion of misrepresenting the author. The letters in al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ are reproduced in red print in groups of two, with our critical commentary following after every second letter, in black.

Letter 1

Thul Qi`da 6, 1329 A.H.

- I. Greeting the Debater,
- II. Asking Permission to Debate.
- 1. Peace and Allah's mercy and blessings be upon the learned honourable Shaykh `Abdul-Husayn Sharafuddin AlMusawi.

I have not been acquainted yet with Shi'as' conscience, nor have I tested their manners, for I have never kept company with any of them, nor come to know the traditions of their folks. But I have always been eager to debate with their renown scholars, anxious to mix with their commoners, in order to sift their trends and attempt to know their inclinations, until Allah helped me stand by the spacious shore of your ocean of knowledge, and you let me taste of your brimful cup; Allah helped me guench my thirst. I swear by the city of Allah's knowledge, your Chosen Grandfather, and by its gate, your pleased ancestor, that I have never tasted anything so satisfying to the thirsty, and so curing to the sick, like your overflowing stream. I used to hear that you, Shi'a folks, prefer to avoid your brethren, the Sunnis, and keep away from them, and that you find your ease in loneliness, resorting to isolation, and so on and so forth. But I have found your person to be gently charming, keen in debating, courteous, strong in argument, well humoured, honest in duel, appreciated in misunderstanding, cherished in competition; therefore, I have found the Shi'a a pleasant fragrance to sit with, and the quest of every man of letters.

2. While standing by the shore of your tumultuous sea, I ask your permission to swim in it and dive deeply in pursuit of its jewels. If you grant me your permission, we will dig deeply for the root causes of particulars and obscurities which have long been agitating me; if not, it is entirely up to

you. In raising my questions, I do not look for a fault or a defect, nor do I oppose, nor refute; instead, I have only one quest: searching for the truth. When truth is manifest, it then deserves to be followed; if not, I am only like one (poet) who said:

We in what we have, and you in what you offer,

Are all satisfied, even when our views differ.

I will, if you permit me, confine my debate with you to two topics: one deals with the sect's Imamate, in its roots and branches,[1] and the other deals with the general Imamate, i.e. succession to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny. My signature at the close of all my debates shall be "S," and let yours be "Sh." In advance, I solicit your forgiveness for every fault, and peace be with you.

S			

Sincerely,

1. Having sought permission to debate, he starts explaining the debate's subject-matter, thus demonstrating his moral accomplishments and excellence as far as the norm of debate is concerned. The use of the initials "S" and "Sh" is an obviously suitable vehicle for carrying such a debate on, since "S" denotes his name "Salim" and his being a Sunni, while "Sh" signifies the author's surname "Sharafud-Din," and his being a Shi'a.

Letter 2

Thul Qi`da 6, 1329 A.H.

- I. Greetings Reciprocated,
- II. Permission to Debate Granted.
- 1. Peace of Allah be with Maulana Shaykh alIslam, His mercy and blessings.

Your very kind letter has granted me and bestowed upon me so many graces for which the tongue can hardly thank you enough, nor can it fulfill a portion of its duty even in a lifetime. You have placed your hopes on me and brought me your request while you yourself are the hope of anyone with a quest, the refuge of whoever seeks refuge. I myself have come to you all the way from Syria in order to relish your knowledge and seek your favours, and I am sure I will leave you strong in optimism except if Allah wills otherwise.

2. You have asked permission to speak up. You have the right to bid and forbid. Say whatever you will: you have the favour; your judgment is final, your verdict fair, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

Sh

Discussion

It has already been shown that the publishers of the English translation of $al-Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ of Muḥammad Amir Haider Khan preferred not to include the author's own introduction as part of the book, for the simple reason that a careful reading of that introduction reveals the book's true provenance. Instead of the author's own translation the publishers of the English translation opted to include an introduction of their own, after which they launch directly into Letter 1.

The initials "S" and "SH", and the liberty taken by the translator in his rendering of the footnote have also been discussed earlier under the heading "Identity of the correspondent". We will therefore not repeat that discussion here.

Sūriyā

 $S\bar{u}riy\bar{a}$ is the Arabic name for modern Syria. This name has its origins in antiquity and is closely associated with the Christian culture of Syria. The Syrian Christians speak a dialect of Aramaic called Syriac, and their church is known as the Syrian Orthodox Church.

Ever since Syria became part of the Islamic world it was known as *al-Shām*, which was the Arabic name for Syria. *Shām* included more than just modern Syria; Jordan, Palestine, and Lebanon were part of *Shām*. In the Arabic language this name was retained until Ottoman times, when the region of Shām was governed as the three provinces of Damascus, Aleppo, and Beirut. In Turkish it was referred to as 'Arabistān. With the collapse of the Ottoman empire during World War I Britain and France in 1916 concluded the secret Sykes-Picot agreement under which *Shām* would be carved up into the four territories of Syria, *Transjordan* (Jordan), Lebanon, and Palestine (which was then further divided into Jewish Israel and Arab Palestine). In 1918 British forces entered Damascus and Ottoman administration of Shām came to an end. An Arab administration was set up under the Amīr Fayṣal, son of the Sharīf Ḥusayn of Makkah. Fayṣal was elected king of Syria in 1920. The official replacement of the old name *Shām* with *Sūriyā* in

Arabic took place either in 1918 or 1920. Prior to this date the only ones who were known to use the term *Sūriyā* in Arabic were Christian Syrian nationalists whose religion and culture were better identified by this term than by the Arabic *Shām* or Turkish *ʿArabistān*.

Al-Murājaʿāt was supposedly written in 1911. During this time Syria was still known in Arabic as Shām. The switch to Sūriyā would come at least seven years later. However in the original Arabic text of al-Murājaʿāt ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn writes distinctly of Sūriyā. At this stage we need to keep the fact in mind that al-Murājaʿāt was first published in 1936. ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's usage of the term Sūriyā will only seem proper if the actual writing of the book is placed in the 1920's or even the early 1930's, long after the death of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī in 1916. In 1911 the usage in Arabic of the term Sūriyā is as anachronistic as the usage of the name Saudi Arabia for Najd and Ḥijāz before 1932.

This one single word provides us with a strong indication of the time when al-Murājaʿāt was really written. It is clear that Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī, who died in 1916, could not have had anything to do with al-Murājaʿāt. In 1911—when ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn claims to have had the correspondence with al-Bishrī—or even 1916—when Shaykh al-Bishrī died—no Muslim Arab would call Shām by the name Sūriyā. It could only be used after 1920—and by then the Shaykh was long dead. It is therefore manifestly evident that al-Murājaʿāt had only one, and not two authors.

Correspondence by post

It is vehemently asserted that this alleged exchange took place in Cairo itself, and not between Cairo and Syria, which leaves us with a the nagging question: Why would two people who live in the same city, who know each other well, and who are in regular contact with one another, need to correspond with one another by post? This entire correspondence seems to have only taken place in the imaginative mind of 'Abd al-Husayn.

Letter 3

Thul Qi`da 7, 1329 A.H.

- I. Why do Shi`as not Uphold the Majority's Sects?
- II. The Need for Unity,
- III. Unity Achieved Only by Adhering to the Majority's Sects.
- 1. I ask you now about the reasons why you (Shias) do not follow the sect of the majority of Muslims, I mean the sect of alAsh`ari, in determining the principles of the creed, and the four sects in its branches. Muslims agreed to abide by them in each time and clime, unanimously acclaiming their founder's fairness and ijtihad, their trustworthiness, piety, renunciation of worldly riches, straightforwardness, good morals and lofty status in knowledge and deeds.
- 2. How great our need today for unity and uniformity is! This can be achieved through your own adherence to these sects according to the general consensus of Muslims, especially when the religion's enemies have made up their minds to harm us by all possible means. They have set their minds and hearts upon such goals while Muslims are heedless, as if they are overcome by slumber, assisting their enemies against their own selves by letting them split their own ranks and tear their unity apart through partisanship and fanaticism, leaving them disunited, divided, leading each other astray, excommunicating one another; hence, wolves preyed on us while dogs coveted our flesh.
- 3. Do you see other than what we state here, may Allah lead your steps to unite our ranks? Tell me, for you will be heard when you speak and obeyed when you command, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

1. The followers of Abu al-Ḥasan al-Ashʿarī, 270-320 A.H., a pupil of Abū ʿAlī Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Wahhāb, surnamed al-Jubbay. Ashʿarī broke away from his tutor and founded his own sect which is named after him. The beliefs of the Ashʿarīs are briefly as follows: (1) The Qurʾan is uncreated; (2) Mankind is not free to choose between right and wrong because all our actions are predestined; (3) Allahʾs attributes are distinct from His essence. By means of the second belief mentioned above, the Ashʿarī seek to justify all the evil deeds of such persons as Yazīd and others whom they regard as Khalīfahs; hence their insistence on predestination and on the possibility of Allah being unjust.

Letter 4

Thul Qida 4, 1329 A.H.

- I. Juristic Proofs Mandate Adherence to the Sect of Ahl alBayt,
- II. There is No Proof for Mandating Adherence to the Majority's Sects,
- III. Generations of The First Three Centuries Never Knew Those Sects,
- IV. Possibility of Ijtihad,
- V. Unity can be Achieved by Respecting Ahl alBayt's Sect.
- 1. Our adherence, in the principles of the creed, to a sect other than that of alAsh`ari, and our following in the branches of Islam of a sect other than those four sects, has never been due to partisanship nor fanaticism, nor has it been because of doubting the ijtihad of the Imams of these sects, of their fairmindedness, trustworthiness, integrity, or loftiness in knowledge and deeds.

Juristic proofs, rather, have mandated upon us to follow the sect of the Imams from the Household of Prophethood, the cradle of the Message, and the place the angels frequent, the abode of revelation and inspiration. We have always, therefore, referred to them in order to comprehend all matters related to the creed's branches and doctrines, in the roots and in the bases of fiqh, in the knowledge of ethics, behaviour, and manners. We have done all this in accordance with the judgment of evidence and proof, following the Sunnah of the Master of Prophets and Messengers, peace of Allah be upon him and all his progeny.

Had the proofs allowed us to differ from the Imams of Muhammad's progeny, or had we been able to achieve nearness to Allah, Glory to Him, by following others' sects, we would then have followed in the general public's footsteps, asserting the friendship and strengthening the ties of

fraternity. On the contrary, positive proofs stand in the believer's way, diverting him from following his own inclinations.

2. Still, the majority cannot prove that their own sect must be preferred over those of others, let alone making it obligatory. We have looked into Muslims' pretexts as one inquiring in depth with keen eyes, but we have found no proof for your argument except what you mentioned of their ijtihad, trustworthiness, fairmindedness and loftiness.

You, however, know that ijtihad, trustworthiness, fairmindedness and loftiness of status are not a monopoly of them only; therefore, how, since the case is as such, can their sects be obligatory by your merely pointing them out?

I do not think that there is anyone who dares to advocate their preference in knowledge or deeds over our Imams who are the purified `itra, the nation's life-boats, the Gate of Salvation, the security against dissension in religion, the flags of its guidance, the descendants of the Messenger of Allah and his remnant in his nation. He, Allah's peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "Do not go ahead of them lest you should perish, nor should you lag behind them lest you should perish. Do not teach them, for they are more learned than you." But it is the dictates of politics at the dawn of Islam.

I wonder about your claim that the good previous generations adhered to those sects, finding them the most fair and the best of sects, and that they agreed to adhere to them in every time and clime. You say so as if you do not know that our predecessors, the good past generations that followed the progeny of Muhammad and that, literally, constituted half the Muslim population, followed only the faith of the Imams among the descendants of Muhammad, peace of Allah be upon him and his progeny. They did not find for it any substitute, and they have been this way ever since the days of `Ali and Fatima, when neither alAsh`ari nor any Imam of the other four sects, or even their fathers, existed, as you very well know.

- 3. The generations of the first three centuries, then, never followed any of those sects at all. Where were those sects during those three generations, the best generations ever? AlAsh`ari was born in 270 A.H. and died in 320 A.H. Ibn Hanbal was born in 164 A.H. and died in 241 A.H. AlShafi`i was born in 150 A.H. and died in 204 A.H. Malik was born in 95 A.H.[1] and died in 179 A.H. Abu Hanifah was born in 80 A.H. and died in 150 A.H. Shi`as follow the sect of the Imams from the Prophet's Household, and the household surely know what their house contains. NonShi`as follow the sects of the learned sahabah and tabi`in; so, what makes it "mandatory" on all Muslims, after those three centuries had gone by, to follow those sects instead of the one followed before them? What made them divert their attention from those who were peers only to the Book of Allah and its own companions, the descendants of the Messenger of Allah and his trustees, the nation's ark of salvation, the leaders, the security, and the Gate of Salvation?
- 4. What caused the door of ijtihad to be shut in the face of Muslims after it had been kept widely open during the first three centuries other than resorting to reluctance, comfort, laziness, the acceptance of deprivation and the satisfaction with ignorance? Who would permit himself, knowingly or unknowingly, to say that Allah, Dignity and Glory to Him, has not sent the best of His Messengers and Prophets with the best of His religions and codes, nor has He revealed unto him His best Books and Tablets, judgment and doctrines, nor has He completed His Religion for him and perfected His blessing unto him, nor has He taught him the knowledge of the past and the present, except for the sole purpose that the whole matter would end to the Imams of those sects to monopolize for their own selves? They would then forbid all others from acquiring it from any other source, as if the Islamic faith, in its Book and Sunnah, and in all other signs and testaments, a property of their own, and that they forbade faring with it in any way contrary to their own opinions... Were they the Prophets' heirs, or had Allah sealed through them the successors and Imams, or taught

them the knowledge of the past and the present, and that He bestowed upon them what He had never bestowed upon anybody else among all human beings?

No! They were just like many others, pillars and caretakers of knowledge, ministers and callers. Those who call for knowledge are far above closing its doors against others or forbidding others from reaching it. They never curb the minds, nor confine public attention only to their own selves, nor can they seal people's hearts or make others deaf, blind, dumb, handcuffed, or chained. This can never be attributed to them except as a liar's allegation, and their own statements bear witness to ours.

5. Let us now concentrate on the matter to which you attracted our attention: the unity of Muslims. What I see is that this matter does not depend on Shi`as forsaking their faith, nor the Sunnis forsaking their own. Asking Shi`as to do so without asking others (Sunnis) to do likewise is to prefer without preponderance, or even to favour the less preferable. It is demanding what is beyond one's capacity as it is known from our Introduction.

Yes. Unity and uniformity can be achieved if you release Ahl alBayt's sect and view it as you view any of your own sects so that the Shafi'is, Hanafis, Malikis and Hanbalis may consider the followers of Ahl alBayt just as they consider each other. Only then can the unity of Muslims be achieved, and they will be unified in one fold.

The difference among Sunni sects is not less than it is between the Sunni and Shi'a schools of thought as thousands of books on the principles and branches of the creed of both groups testify; therefore, why have several people among you condemned the Shi'as for differing from the Sunnis? Why have they not, by the same token, condemned the Sunnis for differing from the Shi'as, or even for differing from one another? If sects can be four, why cannot they be five? How come it is alright to have

four sects but not five? How can four sects be considered as "unifying" Muslims, and when they increase to five unity is shattered and Muslims are divided unto themselves? I wish when you invited us to "sectarian unity" you also invited the followers of the four sects to the same. The latter will be a lot easier for you and for them. But why have you singled us out for your invitation anyway? Do you find the followers of Ahl alBayt breaking the unity while the followers of others unite the hearts and determination even though their sects and minds are different, their tastes and inclinations are numerous? I think of you to be above that, knowing your love for your kinfolk, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,
Sh

Discussion

A layman's question

One of the most common points of criticism against al-Mur $\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ has been its portrayal of the "Shaykh al-Azhar". Throughout the book the impression is conveyed of the "Shaykh" as lacking in knowledge of elementary points in the various disciplines, as well as in the ability to research them from their standard sources. Here, at the very outset of the book, we have the first glaring example of that phenomenon.

Throughout its history as an educational institute, al-Azhar possessed large and well-stocked libraries. Many of the manuscripts its libraries once housed are still preserved in the Taybarsiyyah and Aqbughāwiyyah sections of the Azhar complex. Besides the Azhar libraries there were numerous other richly stocked book collections in Cairo, evidence of which can still be seen in the wealth of manuscripts preserved today in the Dār al-Kutub al-Miṣriyyah.

Yet, despite this obvious wealth of literature at his disposal, the "Shaykh al-Azhar" is constantly portrayed as an ignorant commoner who finds himself compelled to put questions to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn at a level no self-respecting scholar would stoop to, especially not one whom 'Abd al-Ḥusayn himself describes as a man "distinguished by his vast knowledge" and who "deservedly and rightfully occupied the post of religious leadership [in Egypt]".²

Even if we were to assume, for argument's sake, that the "Shaykh", despite his vast knowledge on other subjects, might have been not too knowledgeable about Shī ism—as is evident from the opening words of Letter 1—there still remains a nagging question that needs to be adequately answered. That question is: If

¹ See Maḥmūd al-Zu'bī, al-Bayyināt fī al-Radd ʿalā Abāṭīl al-Murājaʿāt vol. 1 p. 14, and Dr. Nāsir al-Qafārī, Mas'alat al-Taqrīb bayna Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Shīʿah vol. 2 p. 215

² Al-Murājaʿāt p. 76 (Arabic edition)

the "Shaykh" was aware that his own knowledge in this field was deficient, why did he not take the trouble of acquainting himself with some of what has been written by the experts before him? The libraries of al-Azhar in particular, and Cairo in general, were at that time filled with scores of books that could have been of use to him. Yet not once do we find him so much as referring to any of those sources. The only source of knowledge he can ever be seen to take recourse to is 'Abd al-Ḥusayn himself.

What is even stranger is that throughout the book 'Abd al-Ḥusayn provides innumerable references—complete with volume and page numbers—from Sunnī works. The "Shaykh", however, is always content with what he receives from his correspondent, never daring as much as to refer to facts about Shī ism and its history that any serious participant in a dialogue of this nature would normally have taken the trouble of familiarising himself with.

We return to the point. In Letter 3 we have the "Shaykh" asking 'Abd al-Ḥusayn why the Shī'ah do not follow the same authorities whom the Sunnis follow. To the layman this question might appear to be a very crucial one, and therefore a good one with which to start this kind of dialogue. But that is simply because **it is a layman's question**. It is the question of a person who sees before him two groups, and wonders why the one cannot simply join the other. It is not the kind of question that would be asked by a scholar, since the scholar would know and appreciate the causes of the existing disunity. The scholar's opening question would therefore be on a different level. The type of information sought in the "Shaykh's" opening question is general knowledge to the adept scholar. Even if the "Shaykh" was not adept, he must have had sufficient academic integrity to research the issue he was about to debate on.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn wrote his book for the Sunnī public, and not their 'ulamā'. It was therefore necessary that discussion in the book be kept at a level that would be both understandable and appealing to the layman. Although he used a scholar as his participant, the discussion is generally kept to the level of the layman.

Unfortunately, in trying to maintain that precarious balance, he inadvertently exposed the truth behind *al-Murājaʿāt*: Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī was never involved in the evolution of this book. It came completely from the mendacious pen of 'Abd al-Husayn himself.

Predestination

At the bottom of "Shaykh's" letter a note has been inserted that does not appear in the Arabic edition. This note, which gives information about Abū al-Ḥasan al-Ashʿarī and his creed, may therefore be assumed to have been inserted by the translator. There would have been nothing remarkable about it if the translator had stuck to introducing al-Ashʿarī and his creed. But his zeal overcame him and he ended up identifying the cause for the Ahl al-Sunnah's belief in Qadar (predestination) with a desire "to justify all the evil deeds of such persons as Yazīd and others whom they regard as Khalīfahs; hence their insistence on predestination and on the possibility of Allah being unjust."

At no place in the book is the desire of its publishers to attract Sunnis to Shī ism by means of disillusioning them with their own faith as clearly or blatantly exposed as it is here. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn himself had no objective in writing the book other than that of the translator and the publishers, but shrewdness and tact made him avoid such transparent tactics by all means. The translator and/or the publishers seem not to have been gifted as the author, for in the last sentence of that footnote they have effectively undermined the claim that this book was written and published for the purpose of forging a better understanding between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī ah. In that single sentence the entire myth of al-Murāja at is undone, despite all the care and effort that went into its creation and presentation as a serious effort of Taqrīb (rapprochement) between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī ah.

The doctrine of Qadar is as firmly entrenched in the Qur'ān and the Sunnah as any other article of faith of the Ahl al-Sunnah. Though this would not be the proper place for going into a deeper discussion of this doctrine, we will, for the sake of

texts فَالسَّعْتِوسَةُ texts in which the foundations of Qadar are to be found.

Verily, We created everything with qadar.1

In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim we find the well-known ḥadīth in which Jibrīl ﴿

in the presence of the Ṣaḥābah ﴿

in the presence of the Ṣaḥābah ﴿

To this Rasūlullāh ﴿

replied:

That you believe in Allah, His Angels, His Books, His Messengers, the Last Day, and that you believe in Qadar, the good of it and the bad of it.²

The above are but two examples. Besides them there are several other verses in the Qur'ān that refer to Qadar, while the literature is replete with aḥādīth on the subject.³ One is simply at a loss to find a sensible reason why the writer of the footnote had to demean himself by stating so emphatically that the reason for the Sunnis' insistence on belief in Qadar is their desire to justify the evil deeds of Yazīd and his ilk.

This tendency of finding an origin for the faith and practice of the Ahl as-Sunnah in the political occurrences of early Islam is quite a familiar theme in Shī ism. In fact, according to the classical teachings of Ithnā 'Asharī Shī ism (Twelver), the differences that exist between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī ah are the result of a conspiracy by the Ṣaḥābah to corrupt and distort the teachings of Rasūlullāh 'More details about this tendency follow shortly under the

¹ Sūrah al-Qamar:49

² Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim (with al-Nawawī's commentary) vol. 1 p. 157

³ See for example *al-Muʿjam al-Mufahras* (Concordance et Indices de la Tradition Musulmane) vol. 5 pp. 317-318, and *Miftāḥ Kunūz al-Sunnah* pp. 393-395

heading, <code>Sunnī-Shī'ī</code> Differences in Fiqh) To the one already aware of this tendency this statement by the writer of the footnote comes as no real surprise. The only surprise lies in the crass manner in which it was made to surface here. With an attitude like that—of accusing the Ṣaḥābah amand the Tābi'īn of wilful corruption and innovation in Dīn—persisting amongst contemporary Shī'ah, and particularly those of them who pride themselves on working towards unity with the Ahl as-Sunnah, one is left to wonder about their true intentions. Furthermore, the display of such an attitude strengthens the conviction that more than the Ahl as-Sunnah, it is the Shī'ah who need to learn the true meaning of the "tolerance" and "mutual respect" which they often accuse Sunnis of lacking.

It also needs to be stated here, before continuing with the next point, that the writer of the footnote has clearly displayed his ignorance of his own faith. Qadar, as the Ahl al-Sunnah believe in it, is not unique to them. The early writers of the Shīʿah were all firm believers in Qadar. Ibn Bābawayh al-Qummī (d. 381 A.H), the author of one of the four major Shīʿī ḥadīth collections, and also the author of a famous tract on Shīʿī belief, affirmed the belief in Qadar. It was the injection of Muʿtazilite rationalism into Twelver Shī'ism by his student al-Mufīd (died 413 A.H) that led to a change in Shīī belief. In his recension of his mentor's aforementioned work on dogma, al-Mufīd practically rejected this belief, and Shī'ī scholarship after him have generally followed his lead. However, several of their 'ulama' have reverted to the original position on Qadar, influenced by the large number of narrations from their Imāms contained in their canonical collections, all of which point to a belief in Qadar on exactly the same lines as the Ahl al-Sunnah. An example would be Shaykh Muhammad Riḍā al-Muzaffar, whose booklet entitled, The Faith of Shi'a Islam, is very popular and widely distributed. Under the heading "Doctrine of al-Qadā (Predetermination) and al-Qadar (Divine Decree)" he first states the belief of the Mujabbirah, who maintain that Allah is entirely responsible for the action of his creatures, and that mankind has no free will, and then of the Mufawwidah, who believe in free will. Then he states the belief of the Shī ah as follows:

¹ Minhāj al-Sunnah vol. 2 p. 92, cited in al-Qaffārī, Uṣūl Madhhab al-Shī ah al-Imāmiyyah al-Ithnā ʿAshariyyah p. 638

Now our belief in this matter follows the teachings of our Imams, that the reality is between these two extremes, a middle way between the two opinions, something which cannot be understood by these disputants in theology (Ahl al-Kalām) who have gone some to one extreme, some to the other... Imam Ṣādiq مناف truly said in clarifying the middle way that "There is no compulsion (jabr) from Allah, nor is there any absolute delegation of power (tafwīd) (from Allah to man), but the real position is between the two extremes." What marvellous significance lies in this saying, and how exact is its meaning! It points out that our actions are, from one angle, really our own actions, and we are the natural cause so that they are all under our control and subject to our free choice; and from another angle they are decreed by Allah and are subject to His Power, because it is He who gives existence. He does not compel us in our actions in such a way that He wrongs us by punishing us for our evil deeds, for we have the power and the choice in what we do. But He has not delegated to us the creation of our actions so that they come beyond His Power, for to Him belongs Creation, Judgement, and Command. He is Powerful over all things and He has complete authority over all things.1

The above expression of Qadar by a contemporary and popular Shīʿī scholar is an exact reflection of the belief of the Ahl al-Sunnah as well. To understand just how absurd the contention of the writer of the footnote is, one merely has to imagine the possibility of this Shīʿī author believing in Qadar out of a desire to "justify the evil deeds of Yazīd and other khulafā".

The faith of the Ahl al-Bayt

The issue of predestination is not the only place where the author/translator/publisher has allowed the true purpose behind al- $Mur\bar{a}ja\bar{a}t$ to become visible to the careful reader. The manner in which the "faith of the Ahl al-Bayt" is dealt with makes it clear that the book was not written for the sake of rapprochement, but rather for proselytization. This comes through very clearly in the first of the

¹ Al-Muzaffar, *The Faith of Shiʻa Islam* p. 12 (Ansariyan, Qum) The discussion on Shīʿī belief in Qadar is owed to Dr. Nāṣir al-Qaffārī in his aforementioned work.

headings which sum up the content of Letter 4, where the author states that "theological proofs make it incumbent upon *everybody* to follow the Ahl al-Bayt".

The existence of difference between the Shīʿah and the Ahl al-Sunnah is a centuries old phenomenon. During the times when colonial powers were occupying Muslim countries, concerned persons from both groups expressed the hope that Sunnī-Shīʿī unity might be a step towards the solidarity needed for throwing off the yoke of imperialism. From the Sunnī side the concern was earnest. There is very little evidence, if any, of Sunnis taking advantage of concern for Muslim unity amongst the Shīʿah by attempting to convert them. On the Shīʿī side the opposite was the case. In Iraq, for example, while others were preoccupied with European hegemony, Shīʿī preachers were industriously converting entire tribes of nominal Sunnis to Shīʿism.¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī was one of those persons who were ostensibly preoccupied with the idea of Sunnī-Shīʿī unity. However, instead of any sincere effort, all his efforts in this field bear the distinct traces of proselytization. In his book *Abū Hurayrah* he blatantly slanders the character of that Ṣaḥābī with the ill-concealed motive of undermining the ḥadīth legacy of the Ahl al-Sunnah. In the present book, *al-Murājaʿāt*, he presents a set of fictitious correspondences between himself and the "Shaykh al-Azhar" with the clearly articulated purpose of converting the Ahl al-Sunnah. Yet he is persistently portrayed as a leading figure in the movement towards Taqrīb, and his book is still regarded as a milestone in that field. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn may be regarded as a true champion of Sunnī-Shīʿī rapprochement only if the true meaning of this rapprochement is the conversion of the Ahl al-Sunnah to Shīʿism.

Attitudes amongst the Shīʿah do not seem to have changed much. In the present political climate, with the Muslim world pitted against the superpowers, the propagators of Shīʿism were once again quick to take advantage of Sunnī

¹ See Dr. 'Abd Allāh al-Gharīb, Wa Jā'a Dawr al-Majūs, p. 318

fascination with the Iranian revolution to start proselytizing amongst the Ahl al-Sunnah.

But let us return to the point. This entire book by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is an attempt to prove the position of the Ahl al-Bayt as the sole authorities in religion after Rasūlullāh . He makes that very clear right from the beginning. The preceding paragraphs are not precisely a response to that claim. They are neither an attempt to draw the reader's attention away from the issue. In them we have merely attempted to put the book al-Murāja'āt into its proper perspective.

As for the suggestion that unity will be achieved by the Ahl al-Sunnah agreeing to follow the Ahl al-Bayt, the question that arises here is this: Is the faith and practice of the Ithnā 'Ashariyyah really that of the Ahl al-Bayt? To the uninformed, this question might seem to be just another case of hair-splitting to avoid the issue. However, when one studies Ithnā 'Asharī Shī'ism from within its classical legacy, and not just from the writings of its modern proponents and apologists, one is increasingly seized by the conviction that the legacy they ascribe to the Ahl al-Bayt—or at least those members of the Ahl al-Bayt whom they take as their Imāms—that this legacy could never have derived from those noble, pious, and learned personalities. The hypocrisy of Taqiyyah clashes too blatantly with their courageousness. The bitter acrimony against the Sahābah collides with their known reverence for them; Sayyidunā 'Alī, for example, named three of his children Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and 'Uthmān.' The doctrine of Tahrīf al-Qur'ān, so widespread in the writings of the 'ulama' of the Shī'ah and in the narrations which they ascribe to their Imāms, violently assaults the very foundations of Islam. These are but a few examples amongst a multitude of others, for refusing to acknowledge that the faith and practice of the Ithnā 'Asharī Shī ah derives from the Ahl al-Bayt.

We have seen in the case of Qadar how Muʿtazilism came to influence Shīʿism. That was not a solitary example. The influence of Muʿtazilism on Ithnā ʿAsharī Shīʿism,

¹ See al-Mufîd, *Kitāb al-Irshād*, pp. 269-269 (Ansariyan Publications, Qum)

and the role played in this regard by al-Mufīd, is vast enough to constitute an independent area of study. In the ḥadīth literature which the Shīʿah ascribe to their Imāms too, there are enough discrepancies and irregularities upon which to base the contention that this legacy was more likely the creation of the Shīʿah themselves than of the Imāms. This too, is an area of investigation that requires individual attention and effort, and for which a few paragraphs or pages will not suffice.

In short, what we are saying is that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim that the problem of disunity will be solved by the Ahl al-Sunnah agreeing to follow the Ahl al-Bayt, falls flat when we consider that there is no way we can ever consider the beliefs and practices of the Shīʿah to be the legacy of the Ahl al-Bayt.

Sunnī-Shīʿī Differences in Fiqh¹

It is often alleged by the protagonists of Sunnī-Shīʿī unity—like 'Abd al-Ḥusayn here—that differences between the two sects are not more grave or serious than the differences that exist within the four Sunnī schools of jurisprudence. They therefore demand that Sunnī-Shīʿī differences be treated with the same tolerance and acceptance as Ḥanafī-Shāfiʿī differences, and it is in the spirit of this proposed "mutual tolerance" that the advocates of unity speak of the Shīʿī Jaʿfarī school of jurisprudence as nothing more than a "fifth madhhab".

It is therefore only normal for the average Sunnī lay person who has come into contact with advocates of Sunnī-Shīʿī unity to wonder about, or even be taken in, by such a claim. How serious are the differences between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah really? Could they ever be reconciled? If not, could there at least be an amicable agreement to disagree, just like the Ḥanafīs disagree with the Shāfiʿīs, or the Mālikīs with the Ḥanbalīs? It is these questions that this article sets out to answer.

¹ The material under this caption appeared as an independent article which was published in Al-Istiq \bar{a} mah vol. 1 no. 2 (April 1997) under the title The Roots of Sunn \bar{i} -Sh \bar{i} \bar{i} Differences in Fiqh. I have slightly adapted it to be included here.

Full reconciliation between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Ithnā 'Asharī Ja'farī Shī'ah is not merely elusive, it is simply an impossibility. Anyone who knows the reality of the issues that separate the Shi'ah from the Ahl al-Sunnah is bound to agree. Nothing sums up the truth of the situation better than the words of Hamid Algar, who describes Sunnism and Shī'ism as "two parallel lines that cannot meet". The endeavour to bring about reconciliation between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī'ah is therefore a wasted effort. The next best option is thus mutual tolerance and acceptance.

In order to test the viability of tolerance and acceptance between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah we will have to look more closely at the issues that separate the one from the other. These issues can be categorised into two groups:

- 1. **Fundamental differences**, which include articles of faith, and all such issues that could be termed "differences in principle", that by their nature give rise to differences in secondary matters;
- 2. **Secondary differences**, i.e. difference in matters of jurisprudence, like the way salāh is performed, or that marriage and divorce take place, etc.

Each of the fundamental issues of difference would require a separate study to see how they affect compatibility between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah. Here it is our intention to look more closely at the type of difference that is usually dismissed as "secondary", and thus "unimportant". Are differences in fiqh between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah really so insignificant that we can justifiably turn a blind eye when we encounter them?

There can be no doubt that this question is anathema to the propagators of Shī ism amongst the Ahl al-Sunnah, as well as to those who have fallen prey to their propaganda. Yet, if it is truth we seek, we cannot allow the preferences of such obviously biased persons to deter us. The "unity" such people strive to achieve, and which they accuse others of trying to destroy, is a unity forged in ignorance. How much do we really know about the Shī ah? Does our knowledge of the Shī ah

and Shīīsm qualify us to make the judgement that the differences which exist between ourselves and them are negligible and that they may be ignored for the sake of unity? We have taken them on face value, and on grounds of what we have thus learnt about them we proceed to create unity. The naivety of such a position in a matter of far reaching religious implications is far too obvious. A unity founded upon ignorance is a very precarious unity indeed. Like a mirage, it seems very real when seen from afar, but as soon as you attempt to approach it, it slips out of existence.

There are two levels at which one can look at the differences in jurisprudence between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah. The first is the level of external appearance. When the differences in Fiqh are inspected at this level they do not seem any more alien than the differences that exist between the various schools of Sunnī jurisprudence. In fact, in many, or even most cases one will find the Shīʿī position to be conformity with at least one of the four Sunnī madhāhib. This is illustrated in the following three examples:

- 1. In the ṣalāh, the jalsat al-istirāḥah is held to be sunnah by the Shīʿah. In this they concur with the view of the Shāfiʿī madhhab.
- 2. In marriage the majority of Shīʿī jurists hold the view that *khalwah*, i.e. valid seclusion, has no effect on the *mahr* (dowry) nor upon any other aspect of the marital contract. In this they are once again agreement with the Shāfiʾīs, but differ from the other three schools.
- 3. If the husband is unable to pay the mahr, the wife is not entitled to divorce according to the Shīʿī and the Ḥanafī schools. The Mālikīs, the Shāfiʿīs, and the Hanbalīs all have different views.

It is at this level that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn wishes us to look at the differences, because when we look at it on this level we would probably agree with him that we should "look upon the Shīʿīs in the same way as [we] regard the Ḥanafīs, the Shāfiʿīs, the Mālikīs, and the Hanbalīs"; and that by us doing so "the unity of Islam will be achieved and discord healed". Even certain 'ulama' of the Ahl al-Sunnah,

looking at the matter on this level, have been known to express the view that "differences between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah are no more serious than the differences that exist between the various schools of Sunnī jurisprudence".

However, when we confine ourselves to viewing the problem of Sunnī-Shīʿī differences on this level we are in effect closing our eyes to the most important aspect of those differences: the root. The true nature of Sunnī-Shīʿī differences can never be appreciated or understood in full without comprehending the reasons for their existence. It is only when the problem has been viewed and grasped on the level of the reasons for difference, and not merely the external appearance of difference, that one is justified to take further steps.

When the Shī ah differ from the Ahl al-Sunnah, it is not the same as when one Sunnī school differs from the other. This is because the various Sunnī schools all trace their roots back to the same legacy. They share a common heritage in the Sunnah of the Prophet When differences do occur, they occur not because one madhhab bases itself on a legacy other than the legacy of the other. Both believe in and hold on to the same legacy. Their differences are caused by secondary factors, like whether certain categories of ḥadīth possess binding authority or not, or the divergence in the methods they regard as valid to interpret the legacy and extrapolate from it. The following two examples illustrate how such differences occur:

- 1. The mursal hadīth (a ḥadīth with an interruption in its chain of narrators between the Prophet and the Tābiʿī), for example, is deemed to possess binding authority by the Ḥanafīs, while the Shāfiʿīs do not accept it, except when it is supported by any one of a number of external factors. If we imagine a mursal ḥadīth that is not supported by any of the factors the Shāfiʿīs stipulate, it is only logical to expect that the Shāfiʿī ruling on the issue the ḥadīth pertains to will differ from the Ḥanafī ruling.
- 2. Spoken words are sometimes accompanied by implied meanings. For example, when it is said, "Stay awake", this also means "Don't sleep". This

unspoken opposite meaning is termed *mafhūm al-mukhālafah*. The Shāfiʿīs accept it as a valid means of extracting meaning from a text, while the Ḥanafīs do not. If the former extract such meaning from a text and base a ruling upon the meaning inferred by this method, and the latter base their ruling upon some other grounds, there is bound to be a measure of difference in the outcome of their respective views.

Sunnī-Shīʿī differences, on the other hand, are fundamentally distinct from inter-Sunnī differences. While it may rightly be claimed that the Shīʿah, too, have their particular principles of extrapolation, it would be incorrect to describe those principles as the root cause of difference between them and the Ahl al-Sunnah, the reason for that being that while the Sunnī schools each have methods of extrapolation particular to themselves, **they all apply their respective methods to the same legacy**. The Shīʿah, on the other hand, have not only their own set of principles, but also a legacy distinct from the legacy of the Ahl al-Sunnah. When there are differences between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah, they arise not on account of differences in interpretation or methods of extrapolation, but because **the source from which the Shīʿah draw their law is a source other than the source of the Ahl al-Sunnah.**

What is this "legacy", the reader may well ask. It is embodied in the Sunnah of the Prophet عَلَيْسَعُونَكُمْ As far as the Qur'ān is concerned, although history is witness to a lot of Shī ite calumny against the inviolability of the Qur'ān, most contemporary Shī ī scholars, and even many of their classical 'ulamā' who staunchly believe in its interpolation, will admit the Qur'ān's status as the prime source of legislation.¹ Since the Qur'ān is thus "agreed upon" between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī ah, there remains only the other part of the legacy we inherited from the Messenger of Allāh عَلَيْسَاتُ the Sunnah.

¹ A Shīʿī scholar of the present century, Sayyid Ṭayyib al-Mūsawī, reconciles belief in the interpolation of the Qurʾān with acceptance of the Qurʾān as a source of legislation by contending that "interpolation occurred specifically in those verses relating to *Imāmah*." Verses with a legal purport were thus left uncorrupted. See his introduction to *Tafsīr al-Qummī*, published by Kitāb farosh-e 'Allāmeh, Qum 1968.

Essentially, the difference lies in the concepts each have of what constitutes the Sunnah. According to the Ahl al-Sunnah, the Sunnah is everything narrated from the Prophet as long as the transmitters are trustworthy. The Shī ah, on the other hand, will only accept as the Sunnah that which is transmitted by 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and the rest of the Twelve Imams, and that which is narrated from these Imāms by their Shī ah followers. Leave aside what is narrated by the rest of the Ṣaḥābah, not even the narrations of other members of the household of the Prophet المنافقة الله his daughters other Fāṭimah المنافقة, his wives, his cousins or uncles—are considered part of the Sunnah by the Shī ah. That is the first observation.

The second is the way in which the Shī'ah look upon the legacy upon which the foundations of Sunnī fiqh rests. Since the days of the Ṣaḥābah the Sunnah of the Prophet was handed down by one generation to the next. The Ṣaḥābah narrated it to the Tābi'īn, they to the generation after them, and so on, until it came to be compiled in what we know today as the ḥadīth literature. To the Shī'ah, when this legacy is found to be in contradiction to what is supposedly narrated from their Imāms, the reason behind it is that the Ṣaḥābah were guilty of wilfully distorting and corrupting the Dīn of Muhammad Thus, where inter-Sunnī differences amount to nothing more than technicalities, Sunnī-Shī'ī differences are differences in historical perspective.

To use an example: In ṣalāh, the Mālikīs let their hands hang by their sides, while the Ḥanafīs, Shāfiʿīs, and Ḥanbalīs fold their hands. The Shīʿah too, let their hands hang by their sides. In this single issue of fiqh we thus have an inter-Sunnī difference as well as a Sunnī-Shīʿī difference. Between the Mālikīs and the other three madhāhib the difference is a mere technicality. The Mālikīs accept the validity of folding the hands in ṣalāh (after all, Imām Mālik himself in the Muwaṭṭaʾ narrates a ḥadīth that supports the folding of the hands), but prefer letting the hands hang for the reason that in Imām Mālik's day this was the practice of the community in Madīnah. The other madhāhib take into consideration that the Companions of the Nabī who narrate his Sunnah were not exclusively

settled in Madīnah. Many of them resided in the Makkah, Iraq, Syria, and Egypt. Aḥādīth to the effect that it is Sunnah to fold the hands have been authentically narrated from a number of Ṣaḥābah (amongst whom is ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib), therefore this, and not the practice of the people of one particular city, takes precedence. Between the Sunnī schools this difference is a mere technical one—one that amounts to giving preference to one view over another. But between the Shīʿah and the Ahl al-Sunnah the issue assumes much more serious proportions. From a question of mere technical preference it turns into an acrimonious indictment of the Ṣaḥābah . Traditions in the book Tahdhīb al-Aḥkām—one of the four major collections of Shīʿī ḥadīth—describe the folding of the hands in ṣalāh as "an act of takfīr (un-Islamic gesture of obeisance)" that is "only done by the fire-worshippers".¹

Here one would have to ask: How could an alien practice like this have crept into Islam? We will take the answer from another Shīʿī scholar who, like 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, was an ardent advocate of Sunnī-Shīʿī unity Āyatullāh Rūḥullāh al-Khumaynī. In his treatise *Al-Taʿādul wa al-Tarjīḥ* he quotes the following tradition from the book '*Ilal al-Sharā'i*' by Ibn Bābawayh al-Qummī:

Abū Isḥāq al-Arjānī says—Abū ʿAbd Allāh (Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq) asked, "Do you know why you are commanded to act contrary to the ʿĀmmah (the Ahl al-Sunnah)?"

I replied, "I do not know."

He said, "Verily, the Ummah contradicted 'Alī in each and every aspect of his religion, intending thereby to destroy his cause. They used to ask him about things they did not know, and when he gave a ruling they would invent an opposite verdict from their own side to mislead the people."²

¹ Tahdhīb al-Ahkām, vol. 2 p. 84

² Al-Taʻādul wa al-Tarjīḥ by Ayatollah al-Khumaynī, p. 82, cited in Dr. Zayd al-ʿIs: al-Khumaynī wa al-Wajh al-Ākhar p. 131

In the Shīʿī perspective of the history of Islamic jurisprudence the fact that the Ṣaḥābah deliberately corrupted and distorted the teachings of the Nabī is such a fundamental truth, that it came to be looked upon as a criterion of truth in itself. This position is reflected in the way they deal with the phenomenon of Shīʿī narrations that contradict one another. Abū Jaʿfar al-Kulaynī, in the introduction to al-Kāfī, the most important of their four canonical ḥadīth collections, expresses it in the following terms:

Know... that no one can distinguish narrations of the Possessors of Knowledge (the Imāms) by his opinion; except in accordance with the words of the Possessor of Knowledge: "Compare it to the Qur'ān. Accept that which is in accordance with it, and reject that which contradicts it," and his words: "Abandon that which is in accordance with the people (the Ahl as-Sunnah), for truly, guidance lies in being different to them".

This particular perspective has persisted in the Shīʿī psyche over the centuries since al-Kulaynī and his teacher al-Qummī, until it became, in the opinion of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, al-Khumaynī, and all other Shīʿī jurists; one of the two principal methods of juridical preference in cases of conflicting narrations. In light of the alarming frequency with which contradictions occur in the aḥādīth of the Shīʿah (one of their four major ḥadīth sources, *al-Istibṣār*, is devoted to the phenomenon of contradiction) the importance of a principle of this nature is evident. We reproduce here from al-Khumaynī's works various Shīʿī narrations in which he and other Shīʿī mujtahids find justification for their view:

1. Ḥasan ibn Abī al-Jahm asked, "If something is narrated from Abū 'Abd Allāh (Imām Ja'far), and something contrary to it is also narrated from him, which should we accept?"

The Imām answered, "Accept that which is in contradiction to the people, and avoid that which is accordance with them." ²

¹ *Al-Kāfī* vol. 1 pp. 55-56 (Dār al-Adwā', Beirut 1992)

² Al-Ta'ādul wa al-Tarjīḥ p.80

- 2. Abū ʿAbd Allāh said, "Our Shīʿah are those who submit to our command, who accept our words, and who act contrary to our enemies. Whoever is not like that is not of us."
- 3. 'Alī ibn Asbāt narrates that he asked Imām al-Riḍā, "(What should I do in case) an incident occurs for which I am need of a legal opinion, but nowhere in the city do I find anyone of your partisans (the Shīʿah) whom I can ask?"

He replied, "Go to the (Sunnī) faqīh of the city and refer your case to him. Then take the opposite of whatever answer he gives you, for verily, therein lies the truth."

It is on account of these and other similar narrations which the Shīʿah claim to emanate from their infallible Imāms that the mujtahids of the Jaʿfarī madhhab were led to formulate the principle which al-Khumaynī expresses in these terms:

In cases of conflicting reports, contradiction of the Ahl al-Sunnah is a factor of preference... In fact, it is the most common and widespread factor of preference in all chapters of Figh and upon the tongues of the Fugahā'.

There is no ambiguity with regard to the issue of contradicting the Ahl al-Sunnah being a factor of preference in the case of conflicting narrations.³

The factors of *tarjīh* (preference) are limited to two: (1) Conforming to the Qur'ān and the Sunnah, and (2) Contradicting the Ahl al-Sunnah.⁴

All of these quotations show a definite obsession with being different from the Ahl al-Sunnah. We therefore ask: If so much importance is attached to being different, to the point of it being regarded as the criterion of truth, why should

¹ Taḥrīr al-Wasīlah p. 83, from al-Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah by al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī p. 225

² Al-Taʻādul wa al-Tarjīḥ p.82, from ʿUyūn Akhbār al-Riḍā by Ibn Bābawayh al-Qummī, vol. 1 p. 275

³ Al-Ta'ādul wa al-Tarjīḥ p. 83

⁴ ibid. p. 84

there be such a noise and clamour for unity? Why should the Shī'ah seek unity with people whose version of Islam they regard as the corruption of the Dīn of Muhammad wrought by the hands of his Companions? And even if the Shī'ah do manage to create a semblance of such unity, how much goodwill and sincerity can be expected of them if one considers their particular perspective of the legacy which forms the basis of our faith and practice?

We have chosen al-Khumaynī's views as representative of Shī'ī opinion for a very special reason, and that is the fact that in the contemporary world it has been he and his successors who are the most vociferous proponents of Sunnī-Shīī unity, and who dismiss Sunnī-Shīʿī differences as negligible. In more than one of his public addresses he has taken to task those who attempt to create mischief amongst the Muslims by "misleading" them into believing that there are substantial differences between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī'ah. However. closer scrutiny of his jurisprudential works reveal that such condemnations are nothing but political rhetoric. When we remove the image he projects as Leader of the Revolution, we are left with merely another Shīī scholar imprisoned by the fundamentals of his faith. In his eyes, and likewise in the eyes of generations of Shīī scholars before him, the legacy of the Sunnah upon which their Sunnī "brothers" base their practice of Islam is the product of the envious mischief and the disbelief of the Sahābah, who in the hope of destroying the cause of the Ahl al-Bayt distorted every teaching of the Nabī مَالِسُمُعَلِّهُ وَسَالًم they could lay their hands upon. If this is how they regard the very basis upon which the foundations of our Dīn rests, what remains to be said for unity?

It is true that we have quoted from the works of al-Khumaynī, and not those of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. However, that does not constitute a problem at all, since what al-Khumaynī expressed were not merely his own personal opinions. The quotations adduced here are part of the very same legacy which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn buys into, and when al-Khumaynī declared it a criterion of truth to contradict the Ahl al-Sunnah, he was not speaking for himself. He explicitly ascribes it to the 'ulamā' of the Shī'ah—one of whom, we must remember, was 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn.

Letter 5

Thul-Qi'da 9, 1329 A.H

I. Admitting Our Argument

II. Asking for Detailed Proofs

1. Your letter has been quite clear, very well arranged, praiseworthy. It is eloquent, powerful in determination, and strong in argument. It spares no attempt to prove that it is not compulsory to follow the majority's sects in the principles and branches of religion, saving no effort to confirm that the doors of ijtihad must remain open.

Your letter, therefore, is strong in both matters, correct in proving each one of them, and we do not deny your careful research in their respect, your clarification of their obscurities, although we really were not acquainted with them, and our view in their regard is identical to yours.

2. We had asked you about your reason for not accepting the sects followed by the Muslim majority, and your answer was that because of "judicial proofs," whereas you were expected to explain that in detail.

Could you please yield now to explaining them with positive proofs from the Book (Qur'an) or the Sunnah which, as you mentioned, divert the believer from following his own inclinations?

Thank you, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 6

Thul-Qi'da 12, 1329 A.H.

- I. References to Proofs Mandating Following the 'Itra
- II. The Commander of the Faithful المنافقة Invites to Ahl Al-Bayt's Sect

III. Relevant Statement of Imam Zainul 'Abidin

You, thanks to Allah, can be convinced by a mere hint, without the need for an explanation, and you are above doubting the very fact that the purified offspring ('itra) are superior to all others. Their case is quite clear: they have surpassed those with qualifications and have distinguished themselves from seemingly equal peers. They have carried from the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, the knowledge of the prophets, and from him have they digested secular and religious jurisdictions.

- 1. The Prophet, hence, has made them equal only to the Glorious Book and set them models of conduct for those endowed with reason, and the ark of safety when hypocrisy with its tumultuous waves overwhelms the security of the nation, safeguarding it against dissension if the tempests of division rage, the Gate of Salvation: whoever enters it is forgiven, and the strong Rope of Allah which is unbreakable.
- 2. The Commander of the Faithful is quoted in sermon 86 in Nahjul Balagha as saying:

""Where are you heading (Qur'an, 81:26), and 'where are you straying (Qur'an, 6:95, 10:34, 35:3, 40:62),' since the flags are poised up high, the Signs are clear, and the lighthouse is erected? So, where are you straying? Nay! How can you be blindfolded while you have among you the household ('itra) of your Prophet?

They are the reins of righteousness, the religion's flags, and the tongues of truth; therefore, accord them as you accord the Qur'an and approach them as thirsty camels approach the water. O people! Take this 1 from the last of the Prophets, Allah's peace be upon him and his progeny: 'whoever among us passes away, he is not really dead, and whoever disintegrates (after dying) from among us does not really disintegrate; therefore, do not say what you do not know, for there is the greatest truth in what you deny.

Accept the argument of one against whom you have no argument and it is: 'Have I not dealt with you according to the Greatest Weight2 (Qur'an)? Have I not left among you the Lesser Weight (Ahl Al-Bayt) and laid firm among you the flags of faith?'"

He, peace be upon him, said, in sermon 96 of Nahjul Balagha, "Behold the Household of your Prophet; emulate their example and follow in their footsteps, for they shall never take you out of guidance, nor shall they ever bring you back into destruction; halt when they halt, and rise when they rise, and do not go ahead of them lest you should stray, nor should you lag behind them lest you should perish."

He, peace be upon him, has mentioned them once, as stated in sermon 237 of Nahjul-Balaghah, saying: "They are the life of knowledge and the death of ignorance; their forbearance informs you of their knowledge, and their outward appearance informs you of their conscience. Their silence indicates the wisdom of their speech. They neither differ from truth, nor do they differ among themselves about it. They are the pillars of Islam and the gateways to salvation. Through them, justice was achieved and wrongdoing was removed, and its tongue was uprooted. They comprehended the creed with care and concern, not like hearing and reporting, for the 'reporters' of knowledge are many indeed, but those who safeguard it are few."

He, peace be upon him, as stated in sermon 153 in Nahjul-Balaghah, has also said, "His offspring ('itra) is the best, and his family is the best. His

tree is the best of trees: it was planted in the sacred place (Haram), and it grew like a vine; it has long branches and its fruit is not unattainable."

He, peace be upon him, is quoted in sermon 153 of Nahjul-Balaghah saying: "We are the banner, the companions, the trustees and the gates. Houses are not supposed to be approached except through their gates: whoever approaches them otherwise is called a thief," until he said, describing the purified offspring ('itra), "They are the vital portions of the Qur'an, and they are the treasures of the Merciful. They tell the truth when they speak, or when they remain silent; none can speak ahead of them. Therefore, let the forerunner speak the truth to his people, maintaining his reason."

He has said in sermon 146 of Nahjul-Balaghah: "You should know that you will never know guidance unless you know who abandons it, nor will you abide by the Book (Qur'an) unless you know who contradicts it, and you will never uphold it unless you know who has discarded it; so, seek that from those who possess it, for they are the life of knowledge and the death of ignorance. They are the ones whose judgment informs you of their knowledge, their silence of their power of speech, their outer appearance of their inner selves; they neither violate the religion, nor do they differ among themselves about it, while it is among them a truthful witness and a silent speaker."

There are many similarly impressive statements of his, peace be upon him, in this regard. Consider this one which is excerpted from sermon 4 in Nahjul-Balaghah: "Through us you received guidance in the darkness, ascending the zenith of nobility, and through us you reached the light and dissipated the gloomy night. May the ears that do not listen to the summoned be deafened." 3

He is quoted in sermon 104 of Nahjul-Balaghah saying: "O people! Secure your light from the flame of the lamps of a preacher who follows what he preaches, and drink from a spring cleansed from impurity."

He has also said the following in sermon 108: "We are the tree of Prophethood, the place of the Message, the ones to whom the angels make a pilgrimage, the treasures of knowledge, the springs of wisdom. Our supporter and lover awaits the mercy, while our enemy or antagonist us awaits the wrath."4

Among what he has said in this regard is sermon 143 of Nahjul-Balaghah wherein he says: "Where are those who claimed to be deeply versed in knowledge other than our own selves? It is a lie and a transgression against us, for Allah has raised us high while putting them down; He bestowed upon us while depriving them, and He permitted us to enter (in the fortress of knowledge) while turning them out. Through us, guidance is achieved and blindness is removed. Surely the Imams from Quraysh have been planted in Hashim's loins. Imamate can never fit anyone else, nor can government either."

Then he stated: "But they preferred a speedy gain to a later one, forsaking a pure well to drink from an impure one," up to the end of his statement. He has also said at the conclusion of khutba (sermon) 189 of Nahjul-Balaghah: "Whoever among you dies on his bed knowing the rights of his Lord and knowing the rights of His Messenger and his family (Ahl Al-Bayt) dies as a martyr, and his reward will be incumbent upon Allah, and he deserves the reward of what good deeds he has intended to do: his own intention will make up for his use of his sword (in jihad)."

Also, he, peace be upon him, has said: "We are the virtuous; our descendants are the descendants of Prophets; our party is the party of Allah, the Sublime, the Glorified, while the transgressing party is the devil's; whoever equates us with our enemy is certainly not of us." 6

Imam al Mujtaba Abu Muhammad al Hasan, the patient, master of the youths of Paradise Alake, has said the following in one of his sermons: "Fear Allah regarding us, for we are your rulers."

3. Whenever Imam Abu Muhammad, 'Ali son of al-Husayn Zainul 'Abidin, master of those who prostrate in prayer, used to recite this verse of the Almighty: "O ye who believe! Fear Allah and be with the Truthful," he would make a lengthy invocation to Allah containing his plea to be included among "the Truthful" to attain the high ranks. He would then count the calamities and innovations of the group that split from the Imams of Faith and the Tree of Prophethood. Then he would say: "Some people went as far as underestimating us, making excuses for the Qur'anic verses which seem to them to be alike, giving their own interpretation thereof, and casting doubts about the transmitted narrations in our honour," until he would say: "With whom shall people in this nation seek refuge, since the pillars of this creed have been forgotten and the nation has divided upon itself with dissension, each party accusing the other of kufr, while Allah says: 'Do not be like those who became divided and disagreed (with each other) even after receiving the Clear Evidences (Qur'an, 3:104)?'

Who can be trusted to convey the Divine proofs and interpret the Judgment other than the peers of the Qur'an and the descendants of the Imams of Guidance, the lamps amidst the darkness, those whom Allah made as His Arguments against His servants? He has never left His creation alone without a Proof. Do you know them or find them except from the branches of the Blessed Tree, the remnant of the Elite from whom Allah has removed all impurity, purifying them with a perfect purification, clearing them from sinning and decreeing their love in His Book?"

That was his own speech, peace be upon him, verbatim.8 Look into it and into our quotations from the speech of the Commander of the Faithful; you will find them both representing the Shi'a School of Muslim Thought in this regard very clearly. Consider this much of their speech as a specimen for all such speeches of the Imams from Ahl al-Bayt. They all are unanimous in this respect, and our sahih books quoting them are mutawatir (consecutively reported), and peace be with you.

Sincerely, Sh

Footnotes

- 1. He means to say: "Learn this from the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny: 'When a member of the Prophet's Household dies, he in reality does not die," that is, his soul remains shining in the real world. This is also stated by Shaykh Muhammad 'Abdoh and others.
- 2. The Commander of the Faithful paids acted upon the Greater Weighty Thing, namely the Holy Qur'an, leaving the Lesser Weighty Things, i.e. both his sons, behind. It is also said that his progeny are the models of conduct for others, as stated by Shaykh Muhammad 'Abdoh and other commentators of Hahjul Balaghah.
- 3. In his commentary, Shaykh Muhammad 'Abdoh says: "The 'sarar,' pronounced like 'sahab' and 'kitab,' is the last night of the lunar month during which the moon disappears. The meaning would be: 'You entered into the dawn,' meaning 'You used to live in utter darkness, the darkness of polytheism and misguidance, till you emerged into the light through our guidance and instruction,' a reference to Muhammad, peace be upon him and his progeny, and his cousin Imam 'salar,' the one who supported his mission.
- 4. See the conclusion of sermon 105, page 214, Vol. 1, of Nahjul Balaghah. Ibn 'Abbas has said: "We are members of the Prophet's Household whose homes are the visiting places of the angels, the Ahl al-Bayt of the Messenger of Allah, and members of the household of mercy and knowledge." He is quoted saying so by a group of most reliable Sunni traditionists and as stated at the conclusion of his chapter on the characteristics of Ahl al-Bayt for the characteristics of Ahl al-Bayt for

- 5. See also Qur'an, 3:7 and 4:162
- 6. This statement is quoted by many authors, including Ibn Hajar at the conclusion of his chapter on the characteristics of Ahl al-Bayt near the conclusion of page 142 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa where he makes quite a few lies about them, being grossly unfair to them.
- 7. Refer to it at the conclusion of his chapter on the will of the Prophet in their regard on page 137 of Ibn Hajar's Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 8. Refer to it on page 90 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa where Ibn Hajar explains the meaning of the fifth verse: "And uphold Allah's rope all of you together" as one of many others which he explains in Section 1, Chapter 11.

Discussion

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn's meagre offering does nothing to whet the appetite of a novice student of the Islamic disciplines, never mind satiate the cravings of the inquiring mind of a scholar who is Shaykh al-Azhar. The previous discussion in this series has sufficiently proven the alleged exchange between 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn and Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī is nothing more than fiction. To labour this point would be counterproductive, though it is perhaps worth pointing out another angle at this moment.

Challenges of post-humous correspondence

Why would 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's portrayal of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī paint such a dismal picture of him? 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's self-aggrandizement and verbose flattery appear to be cunning strategies which serve the purpose of creating an impression of academic rigour in the mind of the unsuspecting reader.

The problem with post-humous correspondence is that it inevitably opens itself up to internal inconsistencies. There is no dispute in the fact that Ijtihād is of no consequence in the face of unequivocal text. This is because Ijtihād of this nature is as good as exercising Ijtihād in the presence of the Prophet without referring the matter to him. The appointment of Imāms in the Shīī tradition is considered a divine duty. The status of an Imām, if not superior to that of the Prophets, is certainly not inferior to it based on Shīī sources. This leads us to the question; what role does Ijtihād play in the presence of the Imāms? Surely, a scholar of the calibre of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī would have recognised the inconsistency in arguing for the continuity of Ijtihād whilst proving the necessity of following a single Imām whose obedience is divinely mandated.

Superiority

The sweeping claim that the individual members of the Prophet's مَا اللَّهُ اللَّهُ blessed family are superior to all requires qualification as well as substantiation. If it is

understood in terms of excellence of lineage there is no issue with that. However, if it is meant in the sense of excellence in faith and excellence in righteousness, it requires evidence.

Those who lived with the Prophet مَالْسَعُنَاوَةُ, witnessed the revelation of the Qur'an, stood beside him in battle, and accompanied him during his travels describe a situation in stark contrast to what 'Abd al-Husayn would lead us to believe.

'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar هُوَ فِيْنَالِهِ said:

During the Prophet's نشيخة time we would not compare anyone with Abū Bakr. 'Umar came next and then 'Uthmān. We then would leave the rest of the Companions of the Prophet without treating any as superior to another. ¹

'Abd Allah bin Shaqīq relates:

عن عبد الله بن شقيق قال قلت لعائشة أى أصحاب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم كان أحب إلى رسول الله قالت أبو بكر قلت ثم من قالت عمر قلت ثم من قالت ثم أبو عبيدة بن الجراح قلت ثم من قال فسكتت

قال أبو عيسى هذا حديث حسن صحيح

I asked 'Ā'ishah ("Which of the Companions of the Prophet were the most beloved to him?"

She said, "Abū Bakr."

I said, "Then who?"

She said, "Then 'Umar."

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَنْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ مِنْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ مِنْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَي

I said, "Then who?"

She said, "Then Abū 'Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ."

I said, "Then who?"

He said, "Then she was silent." 1

Anas ibn Mālik وَعَلِينَهُ reported:

The Messenger of Allah عَلَيْتُ saw children and women from the Anṣār returning from a wedding feast. The Messenger of Allah stood up motionless (as a mark of respect) and said, "By Allah! You are amongst the most beloved people to me." referring to the Anṣār and repeating it twice.²

As a matter of fact 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib نقطَقَعُهُ was heard repeatedly praising some of the Prophet's مَالْسَعَيْسِةُ Companions on the mimbar in Kūfah:

Let not a man be brought before me who considers me superior to Abū Bakr and 'Umar lest I prescribe for him the punishment of those who make false accusations! ³

The evidence to this end is abundant, and these will be presented throughout the critical analysis of *al-Murājaʿāt*. Suffice to say that the underlying premise of ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's correspondence in this letter is inherently flawed.

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, hadīth (4018).

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Manāqib al-Anṣār, ḥadīth (3785); Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, hadīth (2508).

³ Al-Kashshī (257); al-Sunnah by 'Abd Allāh ibn Aḥmad (1312).

Authority of Ahl al-Bayt

It was the responsibility of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn to prove that the statements of 'Alī and the rest of the Ahl al-Bayt are in fact authoritative and share a common authority. His entire correspondence overlooks any evidence from the common sources of law and heads directly for statements from 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and some of his son's, which allegedly prove their unfettered leadership after the Prophet

It is futile to argue their binding authority from their own statements. The alleged request in the letter from Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī seeks 'juristic proofs' neither of which have been furnished by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.

Nahj al-Balāghah

Nahj al-Balāghah is an anthology of sermons and sayings that have been ascribed to ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib . These have been complied in the 4th century by either al-Sharīf al-Radī (d. 406 A.H) or his brother al-Sharīf al-Murtadā (d. 436 A.H).

In addition to the dispute regarding its author, the historic reliability of what it contains is called into question due the gap of close to 400 years from the time of 'Alī will until the book was compiled.

The trend in hadīth literature is to support a statement attributed to the Prophet or his Companions by presenting the chain of transmission for the individual report. The function of the chain is to examine the manner in which the information was passed down. If it becomes apparent that a person of weak memory or lacking in religious integrity participated in the transmission of this information it would not be relied upon. Similarly if there is any interruption in the chain of transmission it would be called into question.

The primary problem with *Nahj al-Balāghah* is that it suffers the complete lack of any chain by which the information—in this case the sermons and sayings of 'Alī —was transmitted. Thus it cannot be objectively assessed in terms of its historic reliability.

It suffers from a series of further problems in that the style of language, and rhyming prose is inconsistent with the type of language which was in vogue during 'Alī's time. It reflects a later style of Arabic, albeit fluent and eloquent. The literary value of the book is certainly acknowledged; just not its reliable attribution to 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ...

In his encyclopaedic work on biographies, *Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalāʾ*, al-Dhahabī has this to say under the biography of al-Sharīf al-Murtaḍā:

He is the compiler of *Nahj al-Balāghah* that contains words that have been attributed to Imām ʿAlī (may Allah be pleased with him). It contains no chains. Some of it is false and within it is some truth. However, it contains fabrications that the Imām would never speak of, Allah forbid. Some have considered it the compilation of his brother, al-Sharīf al-Radī. ¹

The details of the sermons found in *Nahj al-Balāghah* are found in stark contrast to what has been reliably reported from 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib . The extent of this contrast casts further doubt on the reliability of this text.

Undoubtedly some of what it contains could possibly be attributed to ʿAlī www, however it would have to be further corroborated by sources which have more rigorous standards of reliability. One of the clearest indicators of tampering is the fact that succinct quotations appearing in earlier literary works are found in *Nahj al-Balāqhah* with significant addition.

The Sunnī scholarly community would never rely on *Nahj al-Balāghah* nor would it count as 'juristic proof' by any stretch of the imagination. On the contrary the scholars of the Ahl al-Sunnah would hold the Shī ah to account for information it contains, not because Sunnis accept it but because it is accepted by the Shī ah.

After establishing that *Nahj al-Balāghah* is unreliable there remains very little to respond to the 'juristic proofs' presented by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn since it has yet to

¹ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā vol.17 pg.589.

be proven that the statements of 'Alī count as proof. Even if they did, the quotations from *Nahj al-Balāghah* cannot be objectively ascribed to him in any way which discounts the entire argument offered by this letter in *al-Murāja*'āt.

Al-Ṣawāʻiq al-Muḥriqah

The editor of *al-Murāja*ʿāt was perceptive to the glaring error in arguing for the absolute authority of Ahl al-Bayt based on citations from Shīʿī sources alone. He diligently sort to provide references from Sunnī sources with the aim of diluting any objection to ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's Shīʿī references. If these remarks are found in Sunnī texts, what are the grounds for objection?

Al-Ṣawāʿiq al-Muḥriqah is an academic work in refutation of Shīʿī doctrine. It was penned by the famous tenth-century Shafīʿī Faqīh of Makkah, Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī.¹ In the opening passages of his book he describes how he was prevailed upon to compile a book in which he proves the validity of the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr and ʿUmar . He later added many other discussions to the book.

Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī's expertise in Ḥadīth criticism could not match his level of proficiency in Fiqh, more specifically the minutiae of the Shāfī'ī school. In the century that followed the passing of his namesake, Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī, there was a noticeable decline in critical ḥadīth study. As such, Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī's grading of aḥādīth was hardly taken as authoritative.

If one considers the comments above, the narrations appearing in al-Ṣawāʻiq al-Muḥriqah span the entire spectrum of grades, from the most rigorously authenticated narrations to fabrications. This does not imply that Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī filled his book with all sorts not giving consideration to their reliability. In fact he relies on acceptable narrations primarily in developing his argument. In order to add to what he has already included he brings narrations which have been graded as weak. However, in so doing he at times has included narrations

¹ Not to be confused with Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī [d. 852 A.H].

which he duly clarified as being weak; but upon further investigation turned out to be either severely weak on account of the narrator being accused of forgery, or even found to be a fabrication. We ought to add that he also cites the evidence relied on by his opposition—the Shīʿah—for the purpose of refuting them.

Bearing these facts in mind let us turn our attention to the references in al-Ṣawāʿiq al-Muḥriqah by the editor of al-Murājaʿāt. Under footnote no.4 he says:

Ibn 'Abbas has said: "We are members of the Prophet's Household whose homes are the visiting places of the angels, the Ahl al-Bayt of the Messenger of Allah, and members of the household of mercy and knowledge.h." He is quoted saying so by a group of most reliable Sunni traditionists and as stated at the conclusion of his chapter on the characteristics of Ahl al-Bayt on page 142 of Ibn Hajar's Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has cited *Nahj al-Balāghah* quoting these words from 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ''. The reference provided by the editor cites *al-Ṣawā'iq al-Muḥriqah*, quoting Ibn 'Abbās ''. Is it true that both of them said this? If so, then according to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's reasoning the Abbasid Khalīfahs ought to be accepted by the Shī'ah as legitimate rulers. If this quotation is proven to be true, the logical conclusion is that the family of al-'Abbās ''. is also part of the Ahl al-Bayt whose obedience is a divine injunction. No Shī'ī accepts this though!

That aside, let us see what Ibn Ḥajar actually wrote. He says:

It appears by way of Ibn ʿAbbās, through a weak chain of transmission, that he said, "We the Ahl al-Bayt..."

So this narration is actually declared weak by Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī but the editor of al-Murājaʿāt ignored this. Instead he lied to his audience ascribing the authentication of this narration to 'a group of the most reliable traditionists', citing Ibn Ḥajar!

¹ Al-Ṣawāʻiq al-Muḥriqah pg. 640.

Under footnote 6 he tries to be honest as he accuses Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī of being grossly unfair. He was cautious not to mention expressly that Ibn Ḥajar declared the chain of narration for this quotation from 'Alī ••••••••••• unreliable.¹

The narration in question under footnote 7 also refers us to *al-Ṣawāʿiq*. Let us first see how ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn cites the narration before commenting.

Imam al Mujtaba Abu Muhammad al Hasan, the patient, master of the youths of Paradise , has said the following in one of his sermons: "Fear Allah regarding us, for we are your rulers."

The narration in al-Sawāʻi q^2 quotes al-Bazzār. However that was said in response to an attempt to assassinate him by a person from 'Irāq. The attack was unsuccessful. He then ascended the mimbar and addressed the people of 'Irāq informing them to fear Allah.

We find that this was a sermon delivered specifically to the people of 'Irāq as they had pledged their allegiance to Ḥasan after his father's murder. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has truncated the narration and given it a spin that this was a sermon to the entire Ummah. We understand from its context that Ḥasan was not claiming absolute authority for Ahl al-Bayt, but reminding the people of 'Irāq to fear Allah in respect to those whom they had pledged their allegiance to. How else could one account for his reconciliation with Muʻāwiyah was.

Finally, footnote 8 cites *al-Ṣawāʿiq³*, who in turn cites al-Thaʿlabī in his *Tafsīr*. It is well-known that al-Ṭhaʿlabī makes no distinction of what he narrates in his *Tafsīr*. Upon referring to his *Tafsīr*, *al-Kashf wa al-Bayān*,⁴ the chain is quoted by way of Abān ibn Taghlib, a well-known Shīʿī narrator who will feature in later discussions.

¹ Al-Ṣawāʻiq al-Muḥriqah pg. 640.

² Al-Ṣawāʻiq al-Muḥriqah pg. 406.

³ Al-Ṣawāʻiq al-Muḥriqah pg. 435.

⁴ Tafsīr al-Thaʿlabī vol.3 pg.163.

Letter 7

Thul-Qi'da 13, 1329 A.H.

I. Requesting Proofs from Statements by Allah and His Messenger

II. Proofs from Ahl alBayt are Circumventive

- 1. Bring the proofs from the statements of Allah and His Messenger bearing witness to the mandatory allegiance to the Imams among the Ahl alBayt exclusively, and leave aside the speech of anyone else in this respect except those of Allah and His Messenger.
- 2. Your Imams' statements cannot serve as arguments against their rivals, and such an argument creates a logical cycle, as you know, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 8

Thul-Qi'da 1329

- I. Overlooking Our Previous Statements
- II. Error in Necessity of (Logical) Cycle
- III. Hadith of the Two Weighty Things
- IV. Its Tawatur
- V. NonAdherents to the 'Itra Shall Stray
- VI. Their Similitude to the ark of Noah, the Gate of Salvation, and the Security Against Religious Dissensions
- VII. What is Meant by "Ahl alBayt" in this Regard
- VIII.Reasons for Similitude to Noah's Ark and the Gate of Salvation
- 1. We have not neglected deriving our proofs from the traditions of the Prophet, peace and blessings of Allah be upon him and his progeny. As a matter of fact, we referred to them at the beginning of our letter which clearly stated that following the Imams from Ahl alBayt exclusively is mandatory.
 - We did so when we stated that he, peace be upon him and his progeny, had compared them with the Glorious Book, setting them as a model for those endowed with reason, equating them with the ark of salvation, the nation's security, the gate of salvation all in reference to and quotations from the wellknown clear texts in the sahih books. We have also said that you would be satisfied with the hint instead of the details, without the need for further explanations.
- 2. The statements of our Imams, then, as we have explained, do fit to be used as an argument against their opponents, and using it as such a manner cannot be regarded as a (vicious) cycle, as you yourself know.

3. Take, for example, the statements of the Prophet, peace and blessings of Allah be upon him and his progeny, to which we referred whereby he struck an awe in the heart of the ignorant, calling upon the indifferent, as quoted by alTirmithi and alNisa'i from Jabir and they, in turn, are quoted by alMuttaqi alHindi at the beginning of his chapter on those who uphold the Book and the Sunnah in his work Kanzul'Ummal , Vol. 1, page 44, saying:

"O people! I am leaving with you the Book of Allah and my household ('itra), my family (my Ahl alBayt). As long as you uphold them, you shall never go astray."

He has also said:

"I have left with you that which, as long as you uphold, you shall never let you stray after me: Allah's Book, a Rope extending from heavens to earth, and my 'itra , my Ahl alBayt. These twain shall never separate from one another till they reach me by the Pool; therefore, see how you succeed me in faring with them." 1

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has also said:

"I am leaving among you two successors: the Book of Allah, a rope extending from heavens to earth - or between heavens and earth -, and my household ('itra) from my family (Ahl alBayt); they shall never separate from each other until they reach me by the Pool."2

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, also said:

"I am leaving among you the Two Weighty Things: the Book of Allah and my Ahl alBayt; they shall never separate from each other till they reach me at the Pool."3

He, peace be upon him, has said:

"Me thinks I am going to be called upon and shall answer the call, and I am leaving among you the Two Weighty Things, the Book of Allah Almighty and my offspring, my Ahl alBayt. The Sublime and omniscient has informed me that they shall never part from each other till they reach me by the Pool; so, see how you succeed me in faring with them." 4

Having returned from the Farewell Pilgrimage, he, peace be upon him and his progeny, camped at Ghadir Khumm and ordered the area underneath a few huge trees to be swept clean then said in his sermon:

"It seems as if I am going to be called upon and shall answer the call, and I am leaving with you the Two Weighty Things, one of which is greater than the other: the Book of Allah Almighty, and my Household; so, see how you succeed me in faring with them, for they shall never separate from each other until they reach me at the Pool."

Then he صَالَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالَمَ added:

"Allah, the Exalted and the Sublime, is my Master, and I am the master of every believer." Having said so, he took 'Ali's hand and said: "To whomsoever I have been a master, this 'Ali is his master. O Allah! Befriend whosoever befriends 'Ali, and be the enemy of whosoever opposes him, etc." 5

'Abdullah ibn Hantab has said: "The Messenger of Allah delivered a sermon to us at Al-Juhfa wherein he asked us: 'Don't I have authority over your own selves more than you yourselves do?' Attendants there answered: 'Yes, indeed, O Messenger of Allah!' Then he said: 'I shall then question you about these two: the Qur'an and my 'itra'."6

4. The sahih books which deem it mandatory to follow the Two Weighty Things are successive through more than twenty companions who all are

in consensus in this regard. The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has emphasized these things on numerous occasions: on Ghadir Khumm's Day, on the 'Arafat day of his Farewell Pilgrimage, after leaving Taif, from his pulpit in Medina, and inside his blessed chamber during his sickness, when the room was full of his Companions.

He said in the latter incident: "O people! I feel I am going to die very soon, and I had previously informed you as my duty, and to leave no excuse for you, that: I am leaving with you the Book of Allah, the Glorious and Mighty, and my 'itra , my Ahl alBayt." Having finished, he took 'Ali's hand and lifted it saying: "This 'Ali is with the Qur'an, and the Qur'an is with 'Ali: they shall never separate from one another till they reach me by the Pool." 7

A learned group among the majority has admitted the above. Even Ibn Hajar, quoting the tradition of the Two Weighty Things, says, "Be informed, then, that the tradition calling for upholding both of them comes through numerous ways narrated by more than twenty companions."

Further he says, "Here a doubt arises about when he said so. Some traditionists say he said so at Arafat during the Farewell Pilgrimage and others that he said so in Medina when he was sick, while his room was crammed with his companions. Another group say that he made that statement at the Khumm swamp, and in yet another that he made it, by way of preaching, after having left Ta'if as mentioned above."

Ibn Hajar furthermore says, "There is no contradiction here, for there is no objection to his repeating it at those places, and at others, out of his own concern for the unassailable Book and the Purified 'itra," up to the end of his statement." 8

Suffices the Imams from the Purified 'itra the fact that their rank with Allah is similar to that of the Book which falsehood cannot approach from front or from back. This must be sufficient testimony that takes people by

the neck and obligates them to abide by their sect. A true Muslim does not accept any substitute for the Book of Allah; therefore, how can he deviate from the path of those who are its own peers?

5. The gist of his saying, "I am leaving unto you that which, as long as you uphold to it, shall never let you stray: the Book of Allah and my 'itra " is that anyone who does not uphold both of them spontaneously will eventually stray. This is supported by his saying, peace be upon him and his progeny, in the tradition of the Two Weighty Things, as Tabrani narrates it, "Do not go ahead of them else you should perish, and do not teach them for they are more learned than you."

Ibn Hajar has said: "In his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, 'Do not go ahead of them else you should perish, and do not teach them for they are more learned than you,' there is proof that whoever among them is elevated to high offices and religious vocations must be preferred over all others," up to the end of his statement. 9

6. What makes it compulsory to follow and refer to Ahl alBayt is this hadith of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny: "The similitude of my household among you is that of the ark of Noah: whoever embarks upon it is saved, and whoever lags behind it is drowned,"10 and his statement "The similitude of my Household among you is that of the ark of Noah: whoever boards it is saved, and whoever lags behind it is drowned. And the similitude of my Household among you is the Gate of the Israelites: whoever enters it is forgiven."11

Also, consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "The stars protect the inhabitants of earth against drowning, and my Ahl al-Bayt protect my nation against dissension (in religious matters). If a tribe among the Arabs differs (regarding the commandments of Allah, the High, the Mighty) from them, they will all then differ and become the party of Satan"12

This is fully sufficient to oblige the nation to follow them and to protect it against differing from them. I do not think that there is any language of man more clear than this hadith to support my argument.

7. What is meant by his word, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Ahl al-Bayt" (i.e. "Household") here is their entirety, collectively, as being their Imams, not merely their entirety inclusively, for this status is nothing but a testimony for the Proofs of Allah - particularly those who stand for His Commandments - as reason and scholarship would rule. A learned group among the majority has admitted the same, such as Ibn Hajar in his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa . Some of them have said that what is probably meant by 'Ahl alBayt' who are a security are their own learned men, for they are the ones who are like guiding stars; when lost, inhabitants of the earth will get what they were ominously warned against.

Ibn Hajar said: "That will be during the time when alMehdi appears, and the tradition indicates that Jesus will pray behind him, and the anti-Christ will be killed during his time; after that, unusual events will succeed one another," up to the end of his statement which is quoted in the exegesis of verse 7, in Chapter 11, page 91, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa . Somewhere else he indicates that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, was asked once: "How would people live after them?" and he answered: "They will live like an ass whose spleen has been broken."13

8. You know that likening them with the ark of Noah implies that whoever resorts to them in matters related to the creed, deriving the branches and basics of religion from their virtuous Imams, will certainly be saved from the fire of hell, and whoever lags behind them is like one who seeks shelter during the flood with a mountain so that it may save him from Allah's destiny, but he will eventually be drowned in water while the first will be hurled in the inferno, may Allah protect us from it.

The reason why they, peace be upon them, are compared to the Gate of Salvation is that Allah has made that Gate a symbol of humility before His Greatness and submission to His Judgment; therefore, it becomes a reason for forgiveness. This is the reason for the similitude.

Ibn Hajar, in the exegesis of Chapter 7 of the Holy Qur'an, in Chapter 11, page 91, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, has accepted it while saying, after quoting these and other similar traditions, "The reason for their similitude to the ark is that whoever loves and highly respects them as means of thanking the One Who gave them honours, following the guidance of their learned men, will be saved from the darkness of dissension, and whoever lags behind it is drowned in the sea of ingratitude and will perish in the paths of tyranny."

Then he adds the following: "As to the Gate of Salvation (meaning thereby their similitude thereto), Allah has made entering that gate, which probably was the gate of Shittim or of Jerusalem, in humility, seeking forgiveness, a reason for salvation, and He (likewise) has made loving Ahl alBayt a reason for this nation's salvation."14

The sahih books are consecutive in stating that following Ahl alBayt is mandatory especially quoting the purified 'itra. Had I not curbed my pen for fear of boring you, I would have elaborated in detail, but what I have stated here must suffice for the purpose.

Wassalam			
Sincerely,			
Sh			

Footnotes:

- 1. Al-Tirmithi quotes it from Zayd ibn Arqam. It is hadith 874 of the ahadith quoted in, on p. 44, Vol. 1, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 2. Imam Ahmad includes it among the ahadith narrated by Zayd ibn Thabit from two sources one of which is stated at the beginning of page 182, and the other at the conclusion of page 189, Vol. 5, and also by Ibn Abu Shaybah, Abu Ya'li, and Ibn Sa'd, from Abu Sa'id. It is hadith 945 on p. 47, Vol. 1, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 3. It is included by al-Hakim on page 148, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*. The author comments thus: "This is one hadith the narrators of which are trustworthy according to both Shaykhs, though the latter did not transmit it." Al-Thahbi includes it in his abridged volume of *Al-Mustadrak*, admitting its authenticity due to the endorsement of both Shaykhs.
- 4. Included by Imam Ahmad in the hadith narrated by Abu Sa'id al-Khudri from two sources one of which is mentioned on page 17, and the other at the end of page 26, Vol. 3, of Al-Musnad. It is also quoted by Ibn Abu Shaybah, Abu Ya'li, and Ibn Sa'd from Abu Sa'id. It is hadith 945 as listed in page 47, Vol. 1, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 5. It is sequentially quoted by al-Hakim from Zayd ibn Arqam on page 109, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*. The author adds: "This hadith is authentic according to both Shaykhs who did not narrate it in its entirety." He quotes it from another source from Zayd ibn Arqam on page 533, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak*, adding: "This hadith is narrated by reliable narrators, yet they (both Shaykhs) did not publish it themselves." Al-Thahbi has included it in his Talkhis, admitting its authenticity.
- 6. Al-Tabrani has included it, as referred to in Nabhani's Al-Arba'in, and in Sayyti's Ihya'ul Mayyit. You are aware of the fact that his khutba, peace be

upon him and his progeny, was not confined to this much, for nobody who narrates just this much can claim that he had heard it. But politics tied many tongues of traditionists and chained the pens of many writers. In spite of all this, such a drop of the ocean suffices; praise be to Allah.

- 7. Refer to it at the conclusion of Section 2, Chapter 9, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa by Ibn Hajar, after the forty ahadith referred to in that Section on page 57.
- 8. Refer to it in the exegesis of the fourth chapter:
- 9. "And stop them, for they shall be questioned (Qur'an, 37:24),"which is quoted in Section One, Chapter 11, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, at the conclusion of page 89.

Refer to it in the chapter dealing with the Prophet's will on page 135 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, then ask him why he preferred to follow al-Ash'ari in the roots of religion, and the four jurists in its branches, and how he came to consider as superior to them in the narration of hadith men like 'Umran ibn Hattan and his likes among the Kharijites, favouring over them in exegesis Muqatil ibn Sulayman, the Murji'ite who believes that Allah has a physical form, and favoured to them in the sciences of ethics, etiquette, conduct, and psychology Ma'ruf and his likes, and how he disregarded the Prophet's own brother and wali, the one and only executer of his will, for general caliphate and representation of the Prophet

Then ask him how he came to prefer to the descendants of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, the descendants of cowards. What would one who turns away from the purified progeny of Muhammad in all such lofty stations and religious obligations and follows in the footsteps of those who oppose them do with the sahihs of the Two Weighty Things and the like? And how can he claim that he is upholding the progeny and embarking upon their Ark and entering through their Gate of Salvation?

- 10. Al-Hakim quotes it from Abu Tharr on page 151, Vol. 3, of his Sahih *Al-Mustadrak*
- 11. Al-Tabrani quotes it in his Al-Awsat from Abu Sa'id. It is hadith 18 of the 25th Al-Arba'in [forty] ahadith of Nabhani's Al-Arba'in Al-Arba'in (the sixteen-hundred ahadith), p. 216.
- 12. This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 149, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak* from Ibn 'Abbas. Al-Hakim adds: "This is an authentic hadith though they (both Shaykhs, i.e. Bukhari and Muslim) did not include it (in their own books).
- 13. Refer to the conclusion of his chapter on the predictions of the holy Prophet ما ما of hard times following his death, near the conclusion of page 143 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. We ask Ibn Hajar: "Since this is the status enjoyed by the scholars of Ahl al-Bayt مناسبة, why do you then turn away from them?"
- 14. Consider this statement of his, then tell me why he did not follow the guidance of their Imams in the branches and tenets of the faith, or in the principles and bases of jurisdiction, or in the sciences of the Sunnah and the Book, or in anything related to ethics, conduct, and etiquette, and why he lagged behind and thus drowned himself in the oceans of those who deny Allah's favours, ruining themselves in the avenues of oppression. May Allah forgive him for telling lies about us and unfairly assaulting our beliefs.

Discussion

Circular reasoning

'Abd al-Ḥusayn is aware of the logical fallacy in the previous exchange. He is heedful of a potentially alert reader so he sets about to dismiss the lingering problem in the mind of his reader by raising the question of circular reasoning. He deftly avoids the question by feigning surprise at the fact that Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī failed to pick up on the suggested evidence that he had furnished earlier on. He sets out to convince the reader that it is not necessary to surmise; the detailed proofs substantiating the authority of the 'Itrah will be presented as his correspondence continues.

The perennial problem with forgeries reveals itself time and again. Even if it is proven that the words of 'Alī are tenable proofs, the evidence that he has provided in his previous correspondence is based entirely on an unreliable; *Nahj al-Balāghah*.

Nonetheless, it is not for us to prematurely dismiss his attempt at proving that the speech of 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and his sons after him are authoritative in terms of the Sharī ah. However, failure to prove their binding authority not only renders his earlier correspondence invalid, but it will be a fair indicator of the logical fallacies that surface regularly in his writings.

Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn

It is not surprising that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn would hurtle for Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn, also known as the Ḥadīth of the Two Weighty things. One would expect that he would begin by citing proofs from the Qur'an and substantiate these with Aḥādīth. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn knows too well that in the absence of the backdrop of these selected aḥādīth whichever verse he cites is virtually defeating the purpose; since there is nothing in the verse itself to indicate the authority of the 'Itrah.

To begin with, he cites six narrations with slight variations in the way they are worded. Thereupon he concludes that the transmission of this Ḥadīth has reached the level of <code>Tawātur</code>, mass transmission to the extent of absolute certainty. There is no harm in saluting his wily strategy wherein he proceeded by citing the narrations before concluding that they are <code>Mutawātir</code> [meet the criteria of <code>Tawātur</code>]. It further gives the impression that this Ḥadīth had passed the blessed lips of our beloved Prophet

**Total Control Cont

Before looking into the meaning of this Ḥadīth, it would be prudent to undertake a critical study of the chains of transmission since this Ḥadīth has been narrated by way of a number of Ṣaḥābah with significant textual variations. It is therefore necessary to analyse the various wordings of Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn and grouping them according to the narrators who narrate them. By identifying common points in the chains of transmission we would be in a better position to compare the data in order to gain an objective perspective of what the Prophet actually said. It is through this technique that we can avoid the tendency of manipulating the data to suit a preconceived outcome.

A last word before proceeding with the critical study of this Ḥadīth; the criteria for acceptance of a narration was a matter of debate but what was eventually found acceptable was a standard that fairly represented the existing tradition. The technical definition, which was well-structured and carefully-worded, was composed by the seventh century Ḥadīth expert Abū ʿAmr, ʿUthmān ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Shahrazūrī, commonly known as Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ. His accomplishment was to be able to express in very refined sentences that which was known intuitively, and applied by the centuries of scholars who preceded him. His definition takes cognisance of five elements. Every Ḥadīth must be transmitted with an unbroken chain in addition to every narrator being above suspicion of deliberate misrepresentation as well as displaying the competency in transmitting the data accurately, without error. Furthermore, the narration ought to be free from anomalies and contradictions. This, in general, maps out the broad framework which has been employed by the experts in the field of Ḥadīth verification over the centuries.

Elements of Hadīth al-Thaqalayn

- A. Mention of leaving behind the Two Weighty things [Thaqalayn]
- B. Mention of Imminent departure from this world
- C. Identifying them as the Qur'ān and the Prophet's مَا الشَّعْلَيْهِ وَسَلَّةُ honourable family
- D. Identifying one Weighty object greater than the other
- E. Avoid being led astray through holding firm to the Book of Allah
- F. Instruction to respect and uphold the rights of the Ahl al-Bayt
- G. Avoid being led astray by holding firm on the Book of Allah and the Ahl al-Bayt
- H. Describing the Qur'ān as a rope extended from the Heavens
- at the Pond صَالِمُتُمُعَلِيْهِ وَسَالَةٍ at the Pond
- J. Speech given at Ghadīr Khum
- K. Speech given at 'Arafah during Ḥajj

In summary these are the various elements found in these narrations. We shall now proceed by gathering the narrations and grouping them according to common narrators.

- 1. Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Anṣārī
- a. **Zayd ibn al-Ḥasan al-Anmāṭī**—Jaʿfar al-Sādiq—Muḥammad al-Bāqir—Jābir: I saw the Messenger of Allah مَا صَالَتُ on Ḥajj, on the day of ʿArafah ^k, seated on his camel al-Qaṣwā', delivering a sermon, "O people, I have left behind for you that, which if you hold onto, you will never go astray, the Book of Allah and my 'itrah; my Ahl al-Bayt. ^G "1

¹ *Al-Tirmidhī*, Abwāb al-Manāqib, hadīth 3788; *al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr* li al-Ṭabarānī, ḥadīth 2680; *al-Muʿjam al-Awsaṭ li al-Ṭabarānī*, ḥadīth 4757—He comments saying that none relates this from Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad except Zayd ibn al-Ḥasan.

b. Ḥafṣ ibn Ghiyāth—**Mujālid ibn Saʿīd al-Kūfī**—ʿĀmir al-Shaʿbī—Jābir from the Prophet "... I am but a man who will soon be visited by a messenger from his Lord, whose call I will answer ^B. I leave behind the Two Weighty things ^A. The first of them is the Book of Allah C wherein there is light and guidance. Whoever holds firm on to it will be on certain guidance and whoever lets go or leaves it will be led astray ^E. Also, my family ^C, I remind you to fear Allah with regards to my family ^F. Hold firm to the rope of Allah and do not become disunited."

In these narrations there are some narrators who do not fit the criteria of reliability and some for trustworthiness. These have been pointed out in hold.

a. Zayd ibn al-Ḥasan al-Anmāṭī

 Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī said of him, "A Kūfan. He came to Baghdād. Extremely unreliable."²

b. Mujālid ibn Saʿīd al-Hamadānī al-Kūfī

- Al-Bukhāri said of him, "Yaḥya al-Qaṭṭān considered him unreliable and Ibn Mahdī would not narrate from him."
- Al-Jawzajāni said of him, "His narrations are to be considered weak."³
- Aḥmad said of him, "Not [worth] anything." In another report Aḥmad said, "Such-and such—then moved his hand—however, he adds to the chain of transmission." In another report from him he said, "Mujālid from al-Shaʿbī and others, weak, how many a strange narration from Mujālid!"

¹ Sharḥ Uṣūl I'tiqād Ahl al-Sunnah vol. 1 pg. 87.

² Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 10 pg. 51.

³ Aḥwāl al-Rijāl (biography no. 126).

- Yaḥya said of him, "He was weak." He also said, "I do not consider his narrations reliable."
- Al-Nasā'ī said of him, "A Kūfan, weak."
- Ibn 'Adī said of him, "Most of what he narrates is uncorroborated."²

Due to these problematic narrators in both these chains we realise that the narration by way of Jābir ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Anṣārī is unreliable. Furthermore, the detail of this speech occurring at 'Arafah cannot be verified since this detail appears via this chain exclusively.

2. Hudhayfah ibn Usayd

a. Zayd ibn al-Ḥasan al-Anmāṭī—Maʿrūf ibn Kharbūdh al-Makkt³—Abū al-Ṭufayl—Ḥudhayfah ibn Usayd al-Ghifārī that the Prophet said, "O people! I will soon depart, going ahead to receive you at the pond B, which is wider that what is between Ṣanʿāʾ and Buṣrā, whose silver drinking utensils outnumber the stars. I will ask you when you meet me regarding al-Thaqalayn A, so beware of how you succeed me regarding them. The greater of the two is the Book of Allah D, the Exalted and Majestic. One end is in your hands and the other end is with Allah H. Hold firmly onto it and do not deviate or change E. And (the other is) my Ahl al-Bayt C. The Most Knowledgeable and Aware has informed me that they will not separate until they meet me at the Pond. I"

Appearing in this chain is Zayd ibn al-Ḥasan al-Anmāṭī whose details have previously been mentioned. In addition, his teacher, **Maʿrūf ibn Kharbūdh**, is a narrator about whom the experts had a divided opinion.

¹ Al-Du'afā wa l-Matrūkīn (biography no. 552).

² Al-Kāmil (6/423); al-Tahdhīb (4/24).

³ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr ḥadīth 2783, 3052.

- Yaḥya ibn Maʿīn regarded him unreliable whereas others have considered him on the border.
- Ibn Abī Ḥātim indicated that his narrations may be recorded [for the purpose of corroboration].
- Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī considered him trustworthy though he is known for errors.¹

If we consider the situation of Zayd ibn al-Ḥasan al-Anmāṭī, coupled with the known errors of his teacher. This narration does not meet the standard of acceptance either. As such, all additional elements have no credibility.

3. Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī

a. Sulaymān ibn Mihrān al-Aʿmash², **Ismāʿīl ibn Abī Isḥāq al-Kūfī**³, ʿAbd al-Malik ibn Abī Sulaymān⁴, **Hārūn ibn Saʿd**⁵, Zakariyyah ibn Abī Zāʾidah⁴, and **Kathīr al-Nawā'**¬—ʿ**Aṭiyyah al-ʿAwfī**—Abū Saʿīd.

b. **Hārūn ibn Saʿd**—ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Saʿīd—Abū Saʿīd.⁸

These are two chains from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī. However, both chains suffer from a lack of credible chain. The multiple sub-chains eventually

¹ Al-Kāshif (5551); al-Taqrīb (6791).

² Musnad ʿAlī ibn Jaʿd vol.1 pg. 397, ḥadīth 2711; Musnad Aḥmad vol. 17 pg. 211; Faḍāʾil al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 2 pg. 779, Muṣannaf ibn Abī Shaybah; al-Ṭabaqāt vol.2 pg.2; al-Tirmidhī ḥadīth 3788; al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr hadīth 2679.

³ Musnad Ahmad vol. 17 pg. 169; Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah vol.2 pg.779 ḥadīth 1382.

⁴ Musnad Aḥmad vol.17 pg 308, vol.18 pg. 114; Musnad Abī Yaʻlā ḥadīth 1140; al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr li al-Tabarānī hadīth 2678.

⁵ Al-Mu'jam al-Şaghīr hadīth 376.

⁶ Muşannaf ibn Abī Shaybah, ḥadīth 30704 (Dār al-Qiblah); Musnad Abī Yaʻlā ḥadīth 1027.

⁷ Al-Mu'jam al-Awsat vol.3 pg. 374, vol.4 pg. 33; al-Mu'jam al-Ṣaghīr vol. 1 pg. 226.

⁸ Al-Du'afā' al-Kabīr li al-'Uqaylī vol.4 pg. 362.

all converge on a common narrator, ʿAṭiyyah ibn Saʿd al-Jadalī al-Kūfī. This means that he is the central figure around which all the subsequent narrations revolve. Before we address the issue with him, let us briefly point out the opinion of the expert ḥadīth scholars about some of those who transmit from him.

a. Abū Isrā'īl Ismā'īl ibn Abī Isḥāq al-Mulā'ī1.

- 'Abd Allah ibn al-Mubārak said about him, "Allah conferred His favour on the believers through the weak memory of Abū Isrā'īl."
- Abū Ḥātim states, "His narrations are not proof worthy."
- Abū Zurʿah states, "He is honest, though extreme in his doctrine."
- Ibn Maʿīn's opinions differed about him. Some quote him as saying, "Honest." Others relate that he considered Abū Isrā'īl weak.
- Al-Dhahabi says, "He was a fanatic Shīʿī, with much hatred [for some Companions.] He used to consider 'Uthmān an infidel!" He goes further, "They considered him unreliable!"

b. Hārūn ibn Sa'd

- Al-Dhahabī says, "He is honest, but he is an extreme Rāfidī, filled with hatred."²
- Abū Jaʿfar al-ʿUqaylī says of him, "A Kūfan, extreme in his brand of Rafḍ (Shīʿism)."³

The narration via the alternate chain is also by way of Hārūn ibn Sa'd. It appears that he has contradicted himself since he narrates it both ways. His level is not such that he is acknowledged when he narrates in isolation.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol 4. Pg. 490.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 284.

³ Al-Du'afā' al-Kabīr li al-'Uqaylī vol.4 pg. 362.

c. Kathīr al-Nawā', Kathīr ibn Ismā'īl al-Nawā'

 Al-Dhahabī says, "A Shīʿī die hard. Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasāʾī deem him incompetent and weak."

d. 'Atiyyah al-'Awfī

Ibn Ḥajar states in his Tahdhīb:

Muslim ibn al Ḥajjāj said about ʿAṭiyyah al-ʿAwfī that "His narrations are unreliable." Thereafter he said, "I have been made aware that ʿAṭiyyah would visit al-Kalbī asking him about tafsīr. He had conferred on him the title Abū Saʿīd which was his unique way of referring to him. Thereafter, he would narrate to people saying, "Abu Saʿīd said".

Hushaym considered his narrations to be da'īf (weak).

Al-Jawzajānī said, "He was inclined towards Shī'ism."

Al-Nasā'i said, "He is weak."

Ibn 'Adī included him among the Shī'ah of Kūfah.

Ibn Ḥibbān says that he had given him (al-Kalbī) the title Abū Saʿīd. Later he would narrate in such a way that he gave the impression that he was narrating from Abu Saʿīd al-Khudrī, whereas he was actually referring to his codename for al-Kalbī. It is not permissible to write his narrations except to note down their peculiarities. He adds that Abū Bakr al-Bazzār considered him from the Shīʿah.

Despite his weak memory which is sufficient to discount this version of the narration entirely. It carries the possibility of subterfuge in that 'Aṭiyyah might have meant al-Kalbī by Abū Saʿīd, and not the Prophet's مُأْلِسُنَاتُهُ Companion Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī المُنْفَاتِهُ.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 402.

Ibn Rajab al-Ḥanbalī is on record having said that this ought to apply to what he narrates in terms of Tafsīr.¹ Notwithstanding this, al-Bukhārī has quoted his teacher, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, who pointed out the serious problems with this narration in particular

Aḥmad said about the hadith of ʿAbd al-Malik—from ʿAṭiyyah—from Abū Saʿīd that the Prophet ﷺ said, 'I have left behind Two Weighty things...,' "These narrations of the Kūfans are anomalous and rejected."

All that remains is to produce the wordings of these narrations so that the elements that remain uncorroborated may be pointed out.

I am leaving amongst you that which if you hold on to you will never go astray $^{\text{G}}$, the Thaqalayn $^{\text{A}}$. One of them is greater than the other $^{\text{D}}$, the Book of Allah is like a rope that has been extended from the sky to the earth $^{\text{H}}$ and my 'itrah are my Ahl al-Bayt $^{\text{C}}$. These two will never separate until they meet me at the pond $^{\text{I}}$.

Another version from Abū Saʿīd is worded as follows:

Soon I will be invited and I will accept the invitation B . I am leaving behind the Two Weighty things A , the Book of Allah and my 'itrah C . The Book of Allah is like a rope that has been extended from the sky to the earth and my 'itrah is my Ahl al-Bayt H . Indeed the One who is All-Knowing and All-Aware has informed me that these two will never separate until they meet me at the pond I . Beware of how you treat them in my absence F .

¹ Sharh al-'Ilal vol.2 pg. 823.

² Al-Tārīkh al-Ṣaghīr pg. 302.

a. Saʿād ibn Sulaymān—Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī—al-Ḥārith ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Aʿwar al-Kūfī—ʿAlī¹ from the Messenger ""Soon I will be taken away B, and indeed I have left behind for you the Two Weighty things A, the Book of Allah and my Ahl al-Bayt C. Indeed you will not go astray after them G. The final hour will not arrive until the Prophet's Companions are sought ought just as a lost item is searched for, but they will not be found."

Saʿād ibn Sulayman is known for his Shīʿī leanings. In addition to this his narrations are not independently strong, though they may take strength through stronger supporting narrations. Some critics have deemed him unreliable. It is not known when he received ḥadīth from Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī whose memory faltered towards the end of his life. Al-Hārith al-Aʿwar is a narrator around whom there is a great deal of debate. Some, like Shuʿbah went as far as to call him a liar, whilst others merely settled for unreliable.²

5. Zayd ibn Thābit

a. Sharīk ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Nakhaʿī—Rukayn ibn al-Rabīʿ al-Fazārī—al-Qāsim ibn Ḥassān al-ʿĀmirī—Zayd ibn Thābit that the Prophet مناسخة said, "I will leave amongst you two successors after me, the Book of Allah and my ʿitrah, my Ahl al-Bayt. Indeed the two of them will not separate until they meet me at the pond."

Sharīk ibn ʿAbd-Allah al-Qaḍī, Abū ʿAbd-Allah, the Kūfan, is weak, especially in that which he narrated from memory after being assigned a post in the judiciary.

¹ Musnad al-Bazzār vol.3 pg 89.

² Al-Kāshif no. 1816, no. 859; Mīzān al-I tidāl vol.2 pg. 118; al-Dū afā wal Matrūkīn li al-Nasā (116).

³ Muṣannaf ibn Abī Shaybah ḥadīth 32337; Musnad Aḥmad ḥadīth 21654, 21578.

- Ibn Ḥibbān said, "Towards the end he erred regularly and his memory failed him. Therefore, those narrations of those who heard from him in his early days in Wāsiṭ do not have confusion—like Yazīd ibn Harūn, Isḥāq al-Azraq—as for those who heard from him later on in Kūfah, their narrations have many mistakes."
- Ibn ʿAdīsaid, "The general status of his narrations is that of reliability and is acceptable. However, his narrations became objectionable on account of weakness of memory and not deliberate narration of that which is deserving of being declared weak."²
- Sāliḥ Jazarah said, "He is truthful, but when he took up the post in the judiciary his memory became inconsistent."

The reliable hadīth which meets the criteria of the scholars of hadīth is the one narrated by Zayd ibn Arqam. This narration has equal variations in terms of how it is worded when compared to the narrations before it. For the sake of brevity we shall provide the narration which appears in $\frac{5ahīh}{muslim}$. Since this narration is not disputed in any way it will be a fair control to test the various elements found in the hadīth.

This narration is transmitted by way of Zuhayr ibn Harb and Shuja ibn Makhlad—Ibn ʿUlayyah (Ismāʿīl ibn Ibrāhīm)—Abū Ḥayyān—Yazīd ibn Hayyān who said:

عن يزيد بن حيان قال انطلقت أنا وحصين بن سبرة وعمر بن مسلم إلى زيد بن أرقم فلما جلسنا إليه قال له حصين لقد لقيت يا زيد خيرا كثيرا رأيت رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وسمعت حديثه وغزوت معه وصليت خلفه لقد لقيت يا زيد خيرا كثيرا حدثنا يا زيد ما سمعت من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال يا ابن أخي والله لقد كبرت سني وقدم عهدي ونسيت بعض الذي كنت أعي من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يوما فينا عليه وسلم فما حدثتكم فاقبلوا وما لا فلا تكلفونيه ثم قال قام رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يوما فينا

¹ Al-Thigāt vol. 6 pg. 444.

² Al-Kāmil vol.4 pg.22.

خطيبا بماء يدعى خما بين مكة والمدينة فحمد الله وأثنى عليه ووعظ وذكر ثم قال أما بعد ألا أيها الناس فإنما أنا بشر يوشك أن يأتي رسول ربي فأجيب وأنا تارك فيكم ثقلين أولهما كتاب الله فيه الهدى والنور فخذوا بكتاب الله واستمسكوا به فحث على كتاب الله ورغب فيه ثم قال وأهل بيتي أذكر كم الله في أهل بيتي أذكر كم الله في أهل بيتي أذكر كم الله في أهل بيته يا زيد أليس نساؤه من أهل بيته قال نساؤه من أهل بيته ولكن أهل بيته من حرم الصدقة بعده قال ومن هم قال هم آل علي وآل عقيل وآل جعفر وآل عباس قال كل هؤلاء حرم الصدقة قال نعم

Ḥuṣayn ibn Sabrah, ʿAmr ibn Muslim, and I all went to visit Zayd ibn Arqam. As we sat at his side Ḥuṣayn (ibn Sabrah) said to him, "O Zayd! You witnessed much good. You saw the Messenger of Allah وبالمنافق والمنافق وال

He responded, "O my nephew! By Allah, I have become very old and a long time has passed (since the Prophet's passing) and I have forgotten some of what I used to remember from the Prophet Accept from me what I relate and do not impose upon me (to narrate) what I no longer remember."

He went on to say, "One day the Messenger stood up to deliver a sermon at a watering stop known as Khumm, which is situated between Makkah and Madīnah. He praised and glorified Allah, admonished and reminded us and said, 'Listen O people, I am merely a human being. A Messenger from my Lord will soon approach me and I will respond to his call. I am leaving behind two weighty things; the First is the Book of Allah which contains guidance and illumination. So accept the Book of Allah and hold firmly to it."

He emphasized practising on the Book of Allah and holding firmly onto it. Then he said, '(And the second is) My family (Ahl Baytī). I remind you of Allah with regards to fulfilling the rights of my family. I remind you of Allah with regards to fulfilling the rights of my family."

Ḥusayn said to Zayd, "O Zayd! Who is his family? Are his wives not part of his family?"

Zayd responded, "His wives are part of his family, but his family (in terms of blood relation) are those whom charity is unlawful for."

Ḥuṣayn asked, "Who are they?"

Zayd replied, "They are the family of ʿAlī, family of ʿAqīl, family of Jaʿfar, and the family of Ibn ʿAbbās."

Ḥusayn then asked, "Is it not permitted to give charity to all of them?"

Zayd replied, "Yes."1

If we examine the various elements mentioned in the $had{\bar{\imath}}th$ we realise the following:

- The Prophet المنافظة delivered this sermon at Ghadīr Khumm, on his return from Ḥajj.
- 2. The Prophet ﴿ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ was about to depart from this world.
- 3. The Prophet صَرَّاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَالًمُ left behind two weighty things.
- 4. He advised how to deal with each of these weighty things differently.
- 5. One of them is the Book of Allah; which he exhorted towards in terms of holding on to and abiding by its injunctions.
- 6. The second was his family, the Ahl al-Bayt for whom the Prophet invoked our fear in Allah in terms of respecting them, honouring them, and safeguarding them.
- 7. Zayd ibn Arqam identified who the Ahl al-Bayt are in terms of the hadīth. He also pointed out who are the Ahl al-Bayt in terms of receiving Sadaqah.

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Fāḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, ḥadīth (5920).

It is equally important to note that this was a single speech. There was no instruction to "hold on to" the Ahl al-Bayt in terms of religious authority. Why then would some versions of the ḥadīth have these? The weakness in the previous narrations have already been demonstrated, however, the fact that many of those narrators, even those who were not weak, happened to have some Shīʿī inclinations. So it is highly possible that the narrator paraphrased the narration in a way that he understood it.

This brings us to the next point. The Ahl al-Sunnah, love and adore 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ﷺ. They acknowledge all the narrations which have been reliably attributed to the Prophet ﷺ in his favour. At the same time, an attempt is made to understand those merits in context with what is in the Qur'ān, what is found in the Sunnah of the Prophet ﷺ, as well as what appears to be a fair reflection of reality. As such, the aḥādīth in praise of 'Alī ﷺ do not—by necessity—qualify his exclusivity for the task of Imāmah.

The claim of Tawātur

A hadith which satisfies the conditions of Tawātur ought to be narrated in such abundance at all levels that no room remains for doubt. In the case with this narration it was merely the case of single change—with a common point of convergence—in almost all cases, which had been transmitted in abundance during the later centuries. Therefore one would have noticed the fact that many chains merely relied on a single chain at some point or the other.

Secondly, the sheer number of people ought to dispel the idea of possible corroboration to unite on a lie. However, as is the case with some of the narrations above, they appear to have been available to unscrupulous individuals in particular regions. Al-Bukhārī quoted Imām Aḥmad demonstrating how a single report became the communal untruth that was being circulated to all and sundry in Kūfah.

Quoting Ibn Hajar al-Haytamī

Once we have realised this, we know, from our previous discussions that quoting Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī does not carry much weight as the opinions of the likes of al-Bukhārī and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal when it comes to Ḥadīth grading. Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī was an authority of Fiqh in the Shafīʿī school, but his opinions on the grading of Ḥadīth do not hold the same authority within the framework of Sunnī scholarship. While Ibn Ḥajar might have erred in this regard, it is no more than a drop in the ocean of knowledge that he has left behind.

Similarly, qualifying the Ahl al-Bayt by applying it to the scholarly among them is the natural consequence of relying on the narration which speaks of holding firmly on both of the Weighty Things. However, we have demonstrated that the correct wording, as is related in the authentic narration of Zayd ibn Arqam is the instruction to hold firmly to the Book of Allah. The bequest for the second of the Weighty Things was to me reminded of Allah in upholding their rights and caring for them.

Thirdly, we refer the esteemed reader to the definition of a sound Ḥadīth—being free from anomaly and contradiction. The Ḥadīth of Jābir which identifies 'Arafah as the setting for this sermon is only narrated by way of Zayd ibn Ḥasan al-Anmāṭī, who, as has been sufficiently proven, is extremely unreliable. All the others who narrate the lengthy Ḥadīth of Jābir, describing the Prophet's Ḥajj journey do not mention any such sermon at 'Arafah. Thus, the reconciliation attempted by Ibn Ḥajar was not necessary to begin with since the only narrations mentioning a setting other than Juḥfah (or Ghadīr Khumm) are found wanting in terms of their chains of transmission.

Fourthly, the narration which is cited in *al-Ṣawāʿiq* describing those who will live like assess¹ has been mentioned by Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī as an afterthought. It has also been cited by Ibn ʿAdī in his compendium of weak narrators under the

¹ See footnote 13 of al-Murājaʿāt above.

biography of Aḥmad ibn Bashīr.¹ Ibn ʿAdī cites this as one of his most confounded, unreliable narrations!

Lastly, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has conveniently omitted significant details when quoting from al-Ṣawā'iq al-Muḥriqah of Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī. He omits the fact that when citing the Ḥadīth which compares the Noble Family to the stars, Ibn Ḥajar explicitly states that this has been narrated by numerous chains, all of them unreliable! Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī, after seeing numerous chains volunteers his opinion that this might elevate the status of this narration, if taken in light of other narrations as well. However, al-Dhahabī has graded these as forgeries in his abridgement of the *Mustadrak* of al-Ḥākim.²

Hadīth of the Ark

The ḥadīth of the Ark of Nūḥ Þarar revolves around a series of weak and abandoned narrators. In the chain is Ḥasan ibn Abī Jaʿfar and he is matrūk (suspected of forgery), as well as ʿAlī ibn Zayd who is a weak transmitter.

In al-Ṭabarānī's chain of this ḥadīth appears 'Abd Allāh ibn Dāhir and he is matrūk.

The editor of the published version of Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah of Imām Aḥmad agrees because of a narrator in the chain, **Mufaḍḍāl ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Naḥḥās al-Asadī**, who the scholars of verification grade as weak. Al-Dhahabī says about him, "Mufaḍḍal is weak."

From Abū Dharr, who said, the Messenger said, "The likeness of my Ahl al-Bayt is the likeness of Nūḥ's ark. Whoever boards it is saved and whoever lags behind drowns. And whoever fights at the end of days he is like the one who fights Dajjāl." This is narrated by al-Bazzār and Ṭabarānī in the three. In al-Bazzār's sanad is al-Ḥasan ibn Abī Jaʿfar al-Jaʿfarī and in Ṭabarānī's sanad is 'Abd Allāh ibn Dāhir and both of them are matrūk.

¹ Al-Kāmil vol.1 pg. 166.

² Al-Mustadrak, vol.2 pg 486, hadīth no.3676.

Ibn 'Abbās, is alleged to have said, the Messenger said, "The likeness of my Ahl al-Bayt is the likeness of Nūḥ's ark. Whoever boards it is saved and whoever lags behind drowns." This is narrated by al-Bazzār and Ṭabarānī and in it is al-Ḥasan ibn Abī Ja'far and he is matrūk.

From 'Abd Allāh ibn Zubayr that the Prophet said, "The similitude of my Ahl al-Bay is the similitude of Nūḥ's ark. Whoever mounts it is saved and whoever lags behind drowns." This is narrated by al-Bazzār and in it is Ibn Lahī'ah and he is a weak transmitter.

From Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī, he said, I heard the Messenger مَالَّهُ saying, "The example of my Ahl al-Bay amongst you is the example of Nūḥ's ark. Whoever mounts it is saved and whoever lags behind drowns. And the example of my Ahl al-Bay amongst you is the example of the door of Ḥiṭṭah amongst the Banī Isrā'īl. Whoever enters it is forgiven.' This is narrated by al-Ṭabarānī in al-Ṣaghīr and in al-Awsaṭ and in it is a group (of transmitters) I do not know.¹

Kanz al-'Ummāl

In an attempt to embellish his citations, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is extremely eager to refer to Kanz al-'Ummāl. So what is special about Kanz al-'Ummāl and why do the Shī'ah love to cite it?

Ḥadīth compilation has two basic forms. Books which are compiled with their own chains of transmission; these are known as primary sources. There are also books which collect, reorganise, select aḥādīth for a particular purpose; and for the sake of expedience the isnād is often times omitted. Therefore it is no stretch of the imagination to say that whatever is found in the primary books is of significance. Secondary books merely refer to primary books.

In the 10th century of Islam, a dedicated and celebrated polymath from Egypt, Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭī, collected a series of narrations from a primary work. However,

¹ Majmaʻal-Zawā'id wa Mambaʻal-Fawā'id by Al-Haytamī, vol. 9, p. 167.

the arrangement of these narrations was less than ideal. Alī Muttaqī, an Indian scholar from Gujarat, with an affinity for ḥadīth, had recently taken up residency in Makkah. He undertook to rearrange the narrations of an earlier secondary source, by al-Suyūṭī and named it <code>Kanz al-'Ummāl</code>. Essentially it serves as a ḥadīth directory or an index for finding a ḥadīth from a primary source. The work <code>Kanz al-'Ummāl</code> comprises of over 90 books of ḥadīth which have no academic criteria of acceptance. One can expect to find an entire spectrum of narrations in a work like this; from the most authentic to complete fabrications.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the logical fallacy of circular reasoning most definitely applies to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's argument in Letters 5 and 7. Furthermore, the claim of Tawātur for Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn has been debunked. The only independently sound version is the narration of Zayd ibn Arqam in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim. The other narrations suffer from inherent flaws which certainly keeps the claim of Tawātur at bay. In addition to this we come to realise that Hadīth al-Thaqalayn was not repeatedly articulated by the Prophet but it was part of a lengthier speech that he delivered at Ghadīr Khumm, near Juḥfah on his return from Ḥajj. The purport of Ḥadith al-Thaqalayn is exhortation to hold firmly to the Qur'an and to maintain love and respect for the Family of the Prophet In no way does it confer legal authority to the members of Ahl al-Bayt. The narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt being the Ark of Nuḥ Allawe been discovered to be baseless narrations which have been narrated exclusive with weak and severely weak chains of transmission.

Letter 9

Thul Qi'da 1329

I. Requesting more relevant texts

Do not curb your pen, and do not worry about boring me. I am all ears listening to you; my chest is wide, and in learning from you, my heart is at ease and soul in peace and tranquility. All the proofs and arguments which you have stated made me even more enthusiastic, thus removing the obstacle of boredom. Send me, therefore, more of your captivating speech and manifestations of wise genius. I find in your speech the quest of the wise, and it is thus more saturating to my heart than crystal—clear cool water; so, let me have more, may Allah bless your father, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 10

Thul-Qi'da 1329

I. A Glimpse of Sufficient Texts

If you have been pleased by receiving my letter, and if you have approached it with selfsatisfaction, then I have often placed my hope on you for victory and concluded my effort with success. Whoever intends well, adopting a good attitude while being humble, amiable, dignified, crowned with knowledge, wellmannered with patience, is surely worthy of being truthful in what he says and writes, while equity and integrity are in his hand and on his tongue.

It is you to whom I owe my thanks when you asked for more, for who else can be more graceful, kind and humble? In order to grant your quest and cool your eyes, I would like to state the following:

Both alTabrani's Al-Mujma' al-Kabir and Rafi'i's Musnad, quoting Ibn 'Abbas, state that "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: 'Let whoever is pleased to live like me and die like me and inhabit Eden's Paradise which my Lord cultivated take 'Ali as his master after me, and let him obey whoever he places in charge over him, and let him follow the example of my Ahl Al-Bayt after me, for they are my progeny: they are created of my own mould and blessed with my own comprehension and knowledge. Woe unto those who reject them and separate me from them! May Allah never permit them to enjoy my intercession."1

Al-Matir, al-Barudi, Ibn Jarir, Ibn Shahin, and Ibn Mundah have all quoted Ishaq citing Ziyad ibn Matraf saying: "I have heard the Messenger of Allah saying: 'Whoever wishes to live my life and die my death and enter the Garden which my Lord promised me, the Garden of eternity, then let him take 'Ali and his progeny after him as his masters, for they shall never take you out of guidance, nor let you stray." 2

Similarly, Zayd ibn Arqam is quoted in one hadith saying: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: 'Whoever wishes to live like me and die my death and inhabit the perpetual Garden promised to me by my Lord, let him take 'Ali as his master, for he shall never get you out of guidance, nor shall he let you stray." 3

Also, consider this tradition narrated by 'Ammar ibn Yasir: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: 'I admonish whoever believed in me and held me truthful to accept the government of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, for whoever accepts him as the ruler accepts me as such, and whoever loves him loves me too, and whoever loves me loves Allah. Whoever hates him hates me, and whoever hates me hates Allah, the Sublime, the Almighty." 4

Ammar quotes others stating this hadith: "O Lord! Whoever believed in me and held me truthful, let him take 'Ali as his master, for his government is also mine, and mine is that of the Almighty Allah." 5

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, once delivered a sermon wherein he said: "O people! Favours, honours, prestige and government are for the Messenger of Allah and his progeny; therefore, let no falsehood divert you."6

He, peace be upon him and his progeny said: "In every generation of my nation there are members of my Household who equal only my own self and who safeguard this religion from the distortion of wrongdoers and the interpretation of the ignorant. Be informed that your Imams are your deputies to Allah; so, see who you send to Him as your deputies." 7

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has also said: "Do not go ahead of them else you should perish, nor should you lag behind them else you should perish. Do not teach them, for they are more learned than you."8

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "Consider my Ahl Al-Bayt among you as you consider the head of the body, and the eyes in the head, for the head is guided by the eyes."9

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: "Uphold loving us, we Ahl Al-Bayt, for whoever faces Allah loving us shall enter Paradise through our intercession. I swear by the One in Whose Hands my soul is placed that the good deeds of a believer shall never avail him except through recognizing our rights." 10

And he has also said: "The knowledge of the progeny of Muhammad brings salvation from the Fire, and loving Ahl Al-Bayt is walking on the Straight Path. Allegiance to the progeny of Muhammad is a security against the torture."11

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "The feet of any servant of Allah shall never move on the Day of Judgment unless he is asked about four things: how he spent his life, what he wore his body out for, how he made and spent his wealth, and about loving us, we Ahl Al-Bayt." 12

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "If a man stands in prayer between the Rukn and Maqam, hating Muhammad's progeny, he shall still enter Hellfire." 13

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has also said: "Whoever dies because of his love for the progeny of Muhammad dies a martyr. Whoever dies because of loving the progeny of Muhammad dies as a believer of a perfect faith.

Whoever dies for loving Muhammad's children will be given the glad tiding of entering Paradise by the angel of death, then by Munkir and Nakir. Whoever dies for loving Muhammad's descendants will be taken to Paradise like a bride taken to her groom's house. Whoever dies loving Muhammad's progeny will have two doors in his grave overlooking Paradise. Allah will make the grave of whoever dies for loving Muhammad's children a visiting place for the angels of mercy. Whoever dies for loving Muhammad's progeny dies adhering to the Sunnah and consensus.

Whoever dies hating Muhammad's progeny will come on the Day of Judgment with this inscribed between his eyes: 'He should despair of Allah's mercy,'" up to

the end of his unmatchable sermon,14 the sermon whereby he, peace be upon him and his progeny, intended to divert the inclinations and whims.

The implication is that all these traditions are unanimously agreed upon, especially those narrated through the authority of the purified 'itra. Their status would not have been confirmed had they not been the obvious Proofs of Allah and the fountainhead of His Jurisprudence, the obvious Proofs of Allah, the fountainhead of His Jurisprudence, the ones who represent the Messenger of Allah in bidding or forbidding, his own deputies in the most clear terms.

Whoever loves them, therefore, is also a lover of Allah and His Messenger, and whoever hates them is an enemy of Allah and His Messenger. He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "None loves us except a Godfearing and sincere believer, and none hates us except a hypocritical wretch." 15 It is for these reasons that al-Farazdaq, the poet, has said these verses in their praise:

You are ones loving whom is belief, hating an abomination;

Nearness to you is indeed a rescue and a salvation.

If the pious ones are counted, you will be their Imams; it is true.

If one asks: "Who are the best of man?" the answer will be you.

The Commander of the Faithful, peace be upon him, used to say:

"I and the virtuous among my descendants are the best in manners when young, and the most learned when old. Through us does Allah obliterate lies, and through us does He turn the wild fox's teeth ineffective. Through us does Allah cure your barrenness, and through us does He emancipate you. Through us does Allah begin and conclude."16

Suffices us a reason for preferring them over others the fact that Allah, the Sublime, the Almighty, has preferred them over all others, making sending prayers unto them part of the obligatory prayers, albeit if the one saying his

prayer were a Siddiq or Faruq, with one light, or two, or with numarous lights. Nay! Everyone who worships Allah by performing His obligations also worships Him while doing so by sending blessings unto them, just as he worships Him when testifying through the two parts of the Shahadah. This, indeed, is a status before which the nation's heads were lowered, and in front of which the eyes of whoever you mentioned of the imams have submitted. Imam alShafi'i, may Allah be pleased with him, has said:17

O Household of Allah's Messenger! Loving you is an obligation
Which Allah has enforced in His Honored Revelation;
Suffices you a great honour if one sends no prayer unto you all,
It will be as though he did not say his prayers at all.

Let us now be satisfied with this much of the sacred Sunnah in testimony to the fact that following their Sunnah is compulsory; so is emulating them. In the Book of Allah Almighty, the Sublime and the Omnipotent, there are clear verses which make that, too, compulsory. It is to such verses that we would like to attract your aware conscience and sensitive reason. You can be satisfied with an indicative hint, and a signal suffices to attract your attention; all praise is due to Allah, Lord of all the world.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes:

1. This hadith, verbatim, is hadith 3819 of the ones included on page 217, Vol. 6 of Kanz al-'Ummal. He also quotes it in Muntakhab al-Kanz; so, refer to the latter's text at the beginning of the footnote on page 94 of Vol. 5 of Ahmad's Musnad, although the author states: "They were endowed with

my comprehension," rather than "comprehension and knowledge." The copier may have committed a mistake. Al-hafiz Abu Na'im, in his Hilyat al-Awliya', has also quoted it, and he in turn is quoted by the Mu'tazilite scholar on page 450, Vol. 2, of his commentary on Nahjul Balaghah, Egyptian edition. He also quoted something similar on page 449 from Abu 'Abdullah Ahmad ibn Hanbal in both his Musnad and his book titled Manaqib 'Ali ibn Abu Talib

- 2. This hadith is number 2578 of the ones quoted in Kanz al-'Ummal, Vol. 6, page 155. It is also quoted by Muntakhab al-Kanz; so, refer to the latter and read the last line of the footnote on page 32, Vol. 5, that quotes Ahmad's Musnad. It is also quoted by Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalani abridged in the biography of Ziyad ibn Mutraf in Part One of his Isaba, then he adds: "This hadith is quoted by Yahya ibn Ya'li al-Muharbi, a weak traditionist." This is strange coming from al-'Asqalani, for Yahya ibn Ya'li, according to the consensus of scholars of hadith, is quite trustworthy. In his Sahih, al-Bukhari quotes his ahadith related to the Hudaybiya treaty. He taught hadith to Muslim Ghaylan ibn Jami'. Moreover, al-Thahbi, in his Mizan, takes the man's integrity for granted, and so do many authorities held reliable by both Shaykhs as well as by others.
- 3. This is quoted by al-Hakim at the end of page 128, Vol. 3, of his authentic book *Al-Mustadrak*. He adds the following: "The narrators of this hadith are all trustworthy, and they (both Shaykhs) did not quote it." It is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Al-Jami' al-Kabir, and by Abu Na'im in his book dealing with the excellences of the sahabah. It is hadith 2577 of the ones included in Kanz al-'Ummal on page 155, Vol. 6. The author also quotes it in his Muntakhab al-Kanz; so, refer to the footnote on page 32, Vol. 5, of the Musnad.
- 4. Al-Tabrani has quoted it in his Al-Jami' al-Kabir, and so has Ibn 'Asakir in his history book, and it is hadith 2571 of the ones included in Kanz al-'Ummal at the end of page 154, Vol. 6.

- 5. Al-Tabrani has quoted it in his Al-Jami' al-Kabir as narrated by Muhammad ibn Abu 'Ubaydah ibn Muhammad ibn 'Umayr ibn Yasir who quotes his father citing his grandfather 'Ammar. It is hadith 2576 of the ones included in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 155, Vol. 6. It is also quoted in Muntakhab al-Kanz.
- 6. It is narrated by Abul Shaykh in a lengthy hadith and transmitted by Ibn Hajar at the end of maqsad 4 of his Maqasid while explaining, on page 105 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, the verse enjoining kindness to the Prophet's kin after having scrutinized it, and in the supreme maqsad of his book Ghayat Al-Maram. Do not overlook his statement: "Do not accompany the wrong-doers."
- 7. This is quoted by al-Malla in his Sirat, as in Ibn Hajar's explanation of the verse "And follow in their footsteps, for they shall be questioned" in his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, page 90, suggests.
- 8. This is quoted by al-Tabrani who discusses the hadith of the Two Weighty Things, and he is quoted by Ibn Hajar when the latter explains the meaning of this verse of Chapter Four: "And follow in their foot steps, for they shall be questioned," a verse which he discusses in Chapter 11 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriga, page 89.
- 9. This is quoted by a group of authors of books of traditions from Abu Tharr, and it is transmitted by Imam al-Sabban while enumarating the excellences of Ahl al-Bayt in his work Is'af al-Raghibin, and by Shaykh Yusuf al-Nabhani on page 31 of Al-Sharaf al-Mu'abbad, and by many other authorities. It is a text which enforces their leadership and implies that guidance to righteousness can be attained only through them.
- 10. This is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Al-Awsat as transmitted by al-Sayyuti in his Ihya'ul Mayyit; by al-Nabhani in his Forty Forty [ahadith]; by Ibn Hajar in his chapter discussing enjoining their love in Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, in addition to many other renowned authorities; so, consider his statement: 'Nobody's good deeds will avail him unless he is mindful of our rights,"

then tell me what these rights are, the ones that are considered by Allah as prerequisites to the acceptance of good deeds. Is it not obeying them and attaining Allah's Pleasure through following their RIGHT PATH? What is the commandment to which both Prophethood and caliphate attach such a great significance? But we have simply been inflicted by people who do not contemplate; so, "We are Allah's, and unto Him is our return."

- 11. This is quoted by the judge 'Iyaz in a chapter explaining the fact that to venerate the Prophet and be worthy of pleasing him is to please his progeny and descendants, as indicated at the beginning of page 40, Part Two, of the book titled Al-Shifa which was printed in Istanbul in 1328 A.H. You know that "knowing" them in this text does not mean just knowing their names and persons, and that they are kin of the Messenger of Allah, for even Abu Jahal and Abu Lahab knew all of that, but it means recognizing the fact that they are the authorities after the Messenger, peace be upon him and his progeny, as he himself has said: "Whoever dies not knowing the Imam of his time surely dies the death of Jahiliyya," and the meaning of loving them and their wilayat is the love and wilayat that are obligatory upon "those who follow righteousness," i.e. the Imams of Truth, a fact that is quite obvious.
- 12. This is so due to the fact that Allah has granted them a special status which requires obedience to them. Loving them as such is rewardable. This hadith is quoted by al-Tabrani from Ibn 'Abbas, and it is transmitted by al-Sayyuti in his Ihya'ul Mayyit, and by al-Nabhani in his Al-Arba'in, besides many other renowned authorities.
- 13. This is quoted by al-Tabrani and al-Hakim, and it also exists in Nabhani's Al-Arba'in, in Sayyuti's Ihya'ul Mayyit and in others. This hadith is akin to his saying, peace be upon him and his progeny, as in one hadith which you have already heard, "By the One in Whose Hands my life is, nobody's good deeds will be of any avail without recognizing our right." If hating them is not hating Allah and His Messenger, the good deeds of those

who hate them would not have been rendered vain even if they spend their life between the Rukn and the Maqam [of Ibrahim, as] praying and supplicating; even then, they would not have enjoyed such a status. Al-Hakim and Ibn Hayyan, in his sahih, as stated in Nabhani's Al-Arba'in Arba'in and Sayyuti's Ihya'ul Mayyit, from Imam al-Hasan, the Prophet's grandson, who said to Mu'awiyah ibn Khadij once: "Beware of hating us, we Ahl al-Bayt for the Messenger of Allah has said: 'Whoever hates or envies us would be pushed away from the Pool [Kawthar] with whips of fire." The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, delivered a sermon once and said: "O People! Anyone who hates us, we Ahl al-Bayt will be resurrected on the Day of Judgment as a Jew." This hadith is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Al-Awsat as stated in al-Sayyuti's Ihya'ul Mayyit and Nabhani's Al-Arba'in Arba'in and in other books.

- 14. This is quoted by Imam al-Tha'labi in his explanation of the verse enjoining the love of Ahl al-Bayt in Al-Tafsir al-Kabir from Jarir ibn 'Abdullah al-Bijli from the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny. Al-Zamakhshari takes its authenticity for granted in his own exegesis of the same verse in his book Al-Kashshaf; so, refer to it.
- 15. Al-Malla has recorded it in the second maqsad of Chapter 14 of the Holy Qur'an in his own Chapter 11 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 16. This is quoted by 'Abdul-Ghani ibn Sa'd in his Eizah al-Ishkal. It is hadith 6050 of the ones included in Kanz al-'Ummal at the end of page 396, Vol. 6.
- 17. These two couplets of al-Shafi'i are very well-known and in wide circulation. Many trustworthy authorities have taken this fact for granted, indicating that he is the one who has composed them. Among them are: Ibn Hajar, who quotes them while explaining the verse "Allah and His angels send prayers unto the Prophet "Julius", on page 88 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; al-Nabhani on page 99 of his Al-Sharaf al-Mu'abbad, Imam Abu Bakr ibn Shihabud-Din in his Rashfatul Sadi, and by many others.

Discussions

No argument to date

An astute reader would have been attentive to the obvious lack of evidence from the common legacy. All that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has managed to bolster up thus far amount to nothing more than a series of sermons attributed to Alī amount to nothing more than a series of sermons attributed to Alī amount to nothing more than a series of sermons attributed to Alī amount form a fourth century anthology of literature. In addition to the logical fallacy of circular reasoning, these texts cannot be objectively proven to be the words of 'Alī amount'. In arguing for the legal authority of the Ahl al-Bayt 'Abd al-Ḥusayn resorted to the famous Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn. He pursued the angle of mass-transmission (Tawātur) with the aim of according this narration absulute reliability (Qaṭʿī al-Thubūt) within the framework of Uṣūl al-Fiqh. This theory, however, has been proven false in the course of the previous discussion. The authentic Ḥadīth, narrated by way of Zayd ibn Arqam, in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, further confirms that the textual implication of the Ḥadīth does not support the theory that the Ahl al-Bayt yield legal authority or have divine mandate.

Forgeries within forgeries

In the opening passages of his introduction 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has committed to only supporting his position with either rational arguments or 'authenticated quotations from both groups.'¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's tale of fiction has unfolded in a unique dimension. The evidence upon which his arguments rest is no less fictional than the correspondence itself. His correspondence comprises of 15 narrations from the Prophet which, he alleges, are acceptable by both parties and decisive in proving beyond any doubt that the Ahl al-Bayt are the absolute religious authority after the Prophet . This is followeed with a quote from 'Alī and excerpts of poetry which supposedly support this claim.

¹ Shī'ī Sunnī dialogue, pg. 23

It is farfetched that a senior ranking scholar would have been oblivious of the glaring forgeries that form the basis of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's arguments. While much can be said of what the Shaykh al-Azhar might—or might not—have known, our task, for the next few pages, is to scrutinize the narrations which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has painstakingly listed in his round of correspondence.

The first narration

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has cited the narration by way of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās المناقبة 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has cited the narration by way of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās', from the Prophet أَسْمُ اللهُ مُعْلِيدُ اللهُ وَاللهُ اللهُ ال

This narration has been ascribed to al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr of al-Ṭabarānī and al-Rāfiʿī.

Let us begin by pointing out that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn actually cites this narration from a secondary source, *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*, which we have previously discussed. This particular narration could not be traced to al-Ṭabarānī's *al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr*. However, it appears by way of Ibn 'Abbās in two later sources. It has ben recorded by Abū Nuʻaym al-Iṣfahānī in Ḥilyat al-Awliyā", and by Ibn 'Asākir in *Tārīkh Dimashq*². Ibn 'Asākir narrates it by way of Abū Nuʻaym, the chain is as follows:

Abū ʿAlī al-Ḥasan ibn Aḥmad — Abū Nuʿaym Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh — Muḥammad ibn al-Muẓaffar — **Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥīm** — Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Yazīd ibn Sulaym — ʿ**Abd al-Raḥmān ibn ʿImrān ibn Abī Laylā** — Yaʿqūb ibn Mūsā al-Hāshimī — Ibn Abī Rawwād — Ismāʿīl ibn Umayyah — ʿIkrimah — Ibn ʿAbbās.

The editor of al-Mur $\bar{a}ja$ ' $\bar{a}t$ went to great pains to lay on the references, citing numerous sources including Hilyat al-Awliy \bar{a} '. However, he conveniently omited Ab \bar{u} Nu'aym's comment after presenting the narration.

¹ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 1, pg. 86

² Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42, pg. 241

Abū Nuʿāym indicated the unreliability of the narration saying, " $Ghar\bar{\imath}b$ (This is an unsubstantiated hadīth)."¹

After Ibn 'Asākir narrated it in his $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$ he had this to say about it, "This is a dubious had \bar{i} th with more than one unknown narrator."²

All those in bold are narrators whose status in terms of reliability remains a mystery due to their anonymity as narrators of ḥadīth.

Was 'Abd al-Ḥusayn so desperate that he resorted to a narration that has not only been declared unreliable by those who record it, but is riddled with flaws in that the bulk of those who narrate this hadīth are unknowns?

The second narration

This narration is cited by way of Ziyād ibn Muṭarrif and is ascribed to al-Matir (the correct name is Muṭayyin)³, al-Bārūdī, Ibn Jarīr, Ibn Mandah, and Ibn Shāhīn.

It appears that he relied entirely on Kanz al-' $Umm\bar{a}l$, since the reference provided by the editor corresponds to the Hyderabad edition of the book. In this edition the name Muṭayyin is spelled incorrectly. This could easily have been the result of an unclear manuscriot since the letter $R\bar{a}$ ' could easily be mistaken for a $N\bar{u}n$.⁴ 'Abd al-Ḥusayn painstakingly recorded this narration, along with its error, from Kanz al-' $Umm\bar{a}l$ yet he deliberately ignored the comments of 'Alī Muttaqī immediately thereafter! 'Alī Muttaqī declared this narration substantially weak!

Al-Haytamī cites this narration in $Majma^{\circ}$ al- $Zaw\bar{a}'id^{6}$ stating that it is sometimes narrated by way of Ziyad ibn Mutarrif, from the Prophet مَا الْمُعَالِّمُ and some

¹ *Al-Ḥilyah*, vol. 1, p. 86

² Tarīkh Dimashq, vol. 42, pg. 241

³ Kanz al-'Ummāl, vol. 6, pg. 155

⁽مطبر - مُطَيِّن) 4

⁵ Kanz al-'Ummāl, vol. 6 pg. 155

⁶ Majma' al-Zawā'id, vol. 9, pg. 108

versions include Zayd ibn Arqam between Ziyad and the Prophet المَاسَنَةِينَةُ. He goes on to point out that the common narrator in this chain, Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī, is weak.

In his compendium on the biographies of the Ṣaḥābah , under the biography of Ziyad ibn Mutarrif, ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī analysed this narration and declared it weak on account of the same narrator, Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā. However, it appears that Ibn Ḥajar had a lapse of concentration, and when clarifying which Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā, he wrote Yahyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Muhāribī instead of al-Aslamī.

The editor of al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ used this oversight to give a new spin to this narration. He inferred that the narration was only declared weak on account of this single narrator. However, the experts seem to have confused him with someone with a similar name suggesting that the narration ought to be accepted. These are his words:

This is strange coming from al-'Asqalani, for Yahya ibn Ya'li, according to the consensus of scholars of hadith, is quite trustworthy. In his Sahih, al-Bukhari quotes his ahadith related to the Hudaybiya treaty.

This crafty manouevre is further confirmation of the fact that the contents of *al-Murājaʿāt* were known forgeries since a little investigation reveals that Ibn Ḥajar himself grades al-Muḥāribī reliable. He said, "Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā ibn al-Ḥārith al-Muḥāribī al-Kūfī; a reliable narrator." Then, under the biography of al-Aslamī he writes, "Yahyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī al-Kūfī; a Shīʿī and a weak narrator."

Al-Dhahabī lists Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī in his encyclopeadia of weak narrators, Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl, and quotes al-Bukhari, who declared him Muḍṭarib (confused).

He also cites Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, who considered Yaḥyā weak.

Al-Dhahabī goes on to explain that Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslāmī was known to have transmitted many disreputable narrations. 2

¹ Tagrīb al-Tahdhīb by Ibn Ḥajar, vol. 2, p. 319

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4, pg. 415

The third narration

This narration has been cited by way of Zayd ibn al-Arqam, and has been referenced to al-Mustadrak quoting his verification. Likewise it has been attributed to al-Ṭabarānī in al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr, and Abū Nuʿaym in Faḍāʾil al-Ṣaḥābah. Thereafter reference is given to Kanz al-ʿUmmāl.

The truth is that it is essentially the same narration as the one before it. The common narrator is Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī. All that this narration proves is that he used to muddle his narrations. So sometimes he would narrate this by way of Ziyād, from the Prophet مَالِسُنَا فَيْنَا directly, and other times from Ziyād ibn Mutarrif, from Zayd ibn Argam, from the Prophet مَالْسُنَا فَيْنَا لَعْنَا لَكُونُ أَلْهُ عَلَيْنَا لَكُونُ أَلْهُ عَلَيْنَا لَعْنَا لَكُونُ أَلْهُ عَلَيْنَا لَعْنَا لَكُونُ أَلْهُ عَلَيْنَا لَعْنَا لِعْنَا لَعْنَا لَعْنَا لِعْنَا لَعْنَا لِعْنَا لِعْنَا لِعْنَا لِعْنَا لَعْنَا لَعْنَا لَعْنَا لِعْنَا لَعْنَا لَعْن

The narration in al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr is by way of:

```
ʿAlī ibn Saʿīd al-Rāzī — Ibrahīm ibn ʿĪsā al-Tanūkhī — Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-
Aslamī — ʿAmmār ibn Zurayq — Abū Isḥāq (al-Sabīʿī) — Ziyād ibn Muṭarrif — Zayd ibn Arqam — (and sometimes Zayd was omited).¹
```

The narrations appears with the following chain in *al-Mustadrak*:

```
Bakr ibn Muḥammad al-Ṣayrafī — Isḥāq — al-Qāsim ibn Abī Shaybah — Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī — ʿAmmār ibn Zurayq — Abū Isḥāq (al-Sabīʿī) — Ziyād ibn Muṭarrif — Zayd ibn Arqam.
```

Conveniently, al-Dhahabī's rectification of al-Ḥākim has been omitted, despite ʿAlī al-Muttaqī clearly stating this after referencing this narration to al-Ḥākim's *Mustadrak*. In his gloss on *al-Mustadrak*, al-Dhahabī says:

How could this posibly be declared authentic whereas al-Qāsim is abandoned (because of accusation of forgery), and his teacher, Yaḥyā, is weak! Its wording is poor. On the contrary it appears to be a fabrication.²

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 5, pg. 220

² Talkhīs al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 1418

Abū Nuʿaym narrates it with his chain to Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī, who narates it with the same chain.¹

The discussion on Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā preceded in the discussion on the second narration. It is clear for all to see that he is the common narrator, and it is on account of him that this narration is unreliable.

However, there is another angle to this narration and that is Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī who, despite being a reliable narrator in general, was known for *Tadlīs*, which was in the form of omiting the name of his teacher in such a way that it would appear that he narrates from someone higher in the chain. To overcome this problem, the scholars would look out for the narrations in which he narrates it without using the phrase "from". Instead he would be required to transmit it in such a way that eliminates the possibility of omission. Furthermore, his memory lapsed in his old age and the later scholars were cautious about what he narrated after his memory lapse.²

Considering all the factors above it is evidently clear why these narrations are unreliable.

The fourth narration

This has been narrated by way of 'Ammar ibn Yasir and the reference in the footnote is from *Kanz al-'Ummāl*, attributing this narration to *al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr* of al-Ṭabarānī and *Tārīkh Dimashq* of Ibn 'Asākir.

It appears that this narration is to be found in the sections of al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr which are no longer extant. However, we were able to trace this narration through Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id to al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr. It comes as no surprise to read the comment of Al-Haytamī:

¹ Al-Hilyah vol.4, pg.3 49-350

² Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 5, pg. 431

Al-Ṭabarānī relates this with two chains, the better of which comprises of a series of unreliable narrators, some of whom there is a divided opinion.¹

Thankfully, the common narrator for this particular hadīth has been quoted in al-Kanz;² Abū 'Ubaydah ibn Muḥammad ibn 'Ammār ibn Yāsir, who narrates from his father, from his grandfather. Having this part of the narration made it easier to trace from other sources.

Ibn ʿAdī has recorded this narration in his anthology of unreliable narrators, *al-Kāmil fī Duʿafā' al-Rijāl*, under the biography of Muḥammad ibn ʿUbayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfiʿ.³

He transmits it with his chain as follows:

Muhammad ibn ʿUbayd Allah ibn Fuḍayl — ʿAbd al-Wahhāb ibn al-Ḍaḥḥāk — Ibn ʿAyyāsh — **Muḥammad ibn ʿUbayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfī** ʿ— Abū ʿUbaydah ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAmmār ibn Yāsir — his father — his grandfather

Ibn 'Asākir narrates it with a number of chains to **Muḥammad ibn 'Ubayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfi**', and the rest of the chain remains the same.⁴

Al-Dhahabī included him his book on unreliable narrators, *Mīzān al-I'tidāl*, and quotes al-Bukhārī saying that the scholars considered him significantly weak.

 $\mbox{Ab}\bar{\mbox{\it u}}$ Ḥātim is on record saying that he is severely criticised as a narrator.

Al-Dhahabī ends off his biographical note with a statement from Ibn 'Adī who said that he is considered among the Shī ah of Kūfah.⁵

¹ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol.9 pg. 109

² Kanz al-'Ummāl vol.6 pg. 155

³ Al-Kāmil vol. 7 pg. 273

⁴ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42, pg. 239-240, vol. 52, pg 7

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3pg. 634-635

The fifth narration

This narration is also by way of 'Ammār ibn Yāsir. The reference to al-Mu'jām al-Kabīr is given via Kanz al-'Ummāl and the chain is also provided.

Muḥammad ibn Abī 'Ubaydah ibn Muḥammad ibn 'Ammār ibn Yāsir — from his father — from his grandfather — from 'Ammār.¹

The narration could not be traced via Majmaʿ al-Zawāʾid, so it appears that this is the second chain that he was referring to when he commented on the ḥadīth under our discussion on the fourth narration.

Fortunately we were able to trace the chain via al-Ṭabarānī through *Tārīkh Dimashq*². The chain is as follows:

Abū ʿAlī al-Ḥaddād — Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Rīdhah — Sulaymān ibn Aḥmad al-Ṭabarānī — Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah — Aḥmad ibn Ṭāriq al-Wābishī — ʿAmr ibn Thābit — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿUbaydah ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAmmār ibn Ṭāsir — his father — his grandfather — ʿAmmār.

Muḥammad ibn 'Uthmān ibn Abī Shaybah

He is a narrator about whom the critics are divided. Some have accepted his narrations while others have severely criticised him. Those that argue for accepting his narations have presented a fair case, although the criticism cannot be completely ignored. As such, his narrations are borderline acceptable.³

Aḥmad ibn Ṭāriq al-Wābishī

The issue with Aḥmad ibn Ṭāriq al-Wābishī is his anonymity. His status as a narrator is unknown. All that we have found on him—despite extentive searching—is that

¹ Al-Kanz vol. 6 pg. 155

² Tārīkh Dimasha vol. 42, pg. 239

³ Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 7, pg. 340-342

Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah narrates from him. The protocol of the expert scholars is to refrain from accepting a narration from a narrator whose details remain anonymous. As such, their narrations are treated as unreliable unless there is external evidence to suggest the reliability of such a narration. Considering this principle, and the fact that Aḥmad ibn Ṭāriq al-Wābishī remains unknown, these are sufficient grounds to dismiss this narration.

'Amr ibn Thabit

'Amr ibn Thābit is a famous Shī'ī from Kūfah.

- Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said of him that he is worth nothing.
- Al-Nasā'ī held him in contempt and said that he is severely impugned.
- Ibn Ḥibbān accused him of narrating forgeries.
- Finally, Abū Dāwūd pointed him out as an extremist among the Shīʿah of Kūfah. 1

Muḥammad ibn Abī 'Ubaydah.

Finally, no details could be found on Muḥammad ibn Abī 'Ubaydah. Which means his status is inderterminate. As such, the same principle will apply as with Aḥmad ibn Ṭāriq al-Wābishī, above.

This narration is, therefore, found wanting in terms of its chain. Just like its predecessor, it suffers with a series of flaws in terms of the status of the narrators who transmit it. By no means would such a narration meet the criteria of acceptence. It remains on the list of forgeries that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn so neatly gathered.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3, pg. 249

The sixth narration

This narration appears without any chain of transmission in later sources. The reference to al-Ṣawāʻiq al-Muḥriqah is of no consequence after we have demonstrated that it goes contrary to academic practise to rely entirely on a secondary source. The absence of any chain makes it nearly impossible to verify objectively.

Technical issues aside, if we consider this 'sermon', assuming its reliability, it speaks of devotion to the progeny of the Prophet مَا الله . Naturally, this excludes 'Alī مُوَالِعُهُ as he is not from the progeny of the Prophet مَا الله . In his haste to fill his list of narrations, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn overlooked this detail—that 'Alī فَا الله نَا الله الله عَلَى الله الله عَلَى الله الله عَلَى الله الله عَلَى الله عَلَى الله الله عَلَى الله عَلَى الله الله عَلَى الله عَ

If we turned a blind eye to that for a moment, we ought to consider that this narration appears in such an obscure source. That is sufficient to raise the eyebrow of even a novice student. Are we to believe that someone who enjoys the academic prestige of Shaykh al-Azhar would accept such narrations without objection?

The seventh narration

Just like the narration before it. This narration is ascribed to a late source without any chain of narration to verify it against. This narration is ascribed to the *Sīrah* of Mullā 'Umar ibn Muḥammad ibn al-Khaḍir al-Mawṣilī al-Dimashqī, who lived in the sixth century and was a contemporary of the famous Ayyūbid Sulṭān, Nūr al-Dīn al-Shahīd. This work of his was printed in Hyderabad under the title *Wasīlat al-Mutaʿabbidīn*, though it remains incomplete.

Furthermore, if we consider the purport of the hadīth it stands in stark contrast to reality since the transmission of the Prophetic Sunnah was not accomplished exclusively at the hands of the Ahl al-Bayt. As a matter of fact there exists no single chain of transmission of the Qurʿān which is transmitted exclusively by the

Ahl al-Bayt. The ḥadīth found in the books of the Twelver Shīʻah are also narrated from other than the Ahl al-Bayt. This ḥadīth, if proven correct, deals a deathblow to the concept of *Ghaybah* (occultation). The Ghaybah of the twelfth Imām is a violation of this ḥadīth since th adherents to the teachings attributed to these Twelve Imāms have been leaderless for nearly a milleninium. The masses have no option but making Taqlīd of the Shīʿī Marjaʿs.

If we consider the text of this narration, "In every successive generation of my nation there are members of my Household who possess religious integrity, they safeguard this religion from the distortion of those who are misguided and the false interpretation of the ignorant...," it appears that we, the Ahl al-Sunnah are warding off the false attribution of these narrations to the Prophet warding off the false attribution of these narrations to the Prophet warding off these narrations. The paradox is that the ones who—by virtue of this narration—ought to be preserving the religion from distortions are the ones guilty of collecting all these unreliable narrations and presenting them as the basis for one's religion.

The eighth narration

This narration is attributed to Zayd ibn Arqam and is referenced to al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr of al-Ṭabarānī, via al-Ṣawāʿiq.

In $Majma^c al$ - $Zaw\bar{a}'id$ Al-Haytamī has pointed out that this is merely an extension of Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn. Only that this addition is exclusively narrated by way of Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr who is unreliable. This narration appears twice in al-Mu'jam al- $Kab\bar{u}r^2$ with a common chain by way of:

'Abd Allāh ibn Bukayr al-Ghanawī — Ḥ**akīm ibn Jubayr** — Abū al-Ṭufayl — Zayd ibn Arqam.

¹ Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol. 9, pg. 164

² Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol.3 pg. 66, vol. 5 pg. 166

'Abd Allāh ibn Bukayr

- He was declared weak by al-Sājī.
- Abū Ḥātim said that he was from the Shīʿah.
- Ibn 'Adī point out some of his baseless narrations.
- Al-Dhahabī graded him spurious.1

Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr

- He was declared weak and inconsistent by Ahmad ibn Ḥanbal.²
- Al-Nasā'ī said that he is not strong.3
- Al-Dāraquṭnī stated that he was severly impugned, Matrūk (suspected of forgery).⁴
- Abū Dāwūd stated that his narrations were worth nothing.⁵
- Ibn Ḥibbān included him in his encyclopeadia on unreliable narrators, al-Majrūḥīn, and said that he was a fanatic Shīʿī.⁶

This chain is therefore significantly unreliable and is inadmissible as proof.

The ninth narration

This narration has been attributed to $Ab\bar{u}$ Dharr and is said to be narrated by a number of scholars who compiled Sunan works. What is surprising is that the editor of al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ could only provide references to much later works which have been authored on the virtues of Ahl al-Bayt. The academic rigour in some

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 399, al-Mughnī fi al-Du'afā' vol.1 pg. 333

² Al-Du'afā' vol. 1 pg. 230

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 583

⁴ Ibid

⁵ Ibid

⁶ Al-Majrūḥīn, vol. 1, pg. 246

of these works is sometimes found wanting in many respects. However, that does not obviate the requirement of providing genuine references.

The expert eighth century Ḥadīth scholar, al-Mizzī, has compiled an index of all the narrations found in the six famous Ḥadīth compilations called *Tuḥfat al-Ashrāf*. He arranged the book according to the Ṣaḥābī who narrates the Ḥadīth, listing them in alphabetical sequence. We have gone throught the entire section with the Aḥādīth of Abū Dharr but were unable to locate this narration. Similarly, it cannot be found in the Musnad of Imām Aḥmad as well, who has arranged the aḥādīth according to the Ṣaḥābī who narrates it. Eventually, the narration was found through the aid of *Majmaʿal-Zawāʾid*, wherein Al-Haytamī references this narration to Salmān al-Fārisī by way of al-Ṭabarānī. However, this narration is a statement of Salmān and is not ascribed to the Prophet statement. Secondly, Al-Haytamī points out another significant issue; an extremely unreliable narrator appearing in the chain of this narrration.

The narrator in question is Ziyād ibn al-Mundhir.

Ziyād ibn al-Mundhir

- Al-Haytamī states is extremely unreliable, suspected of forgery.
- Al-Dhahabī lists him in *al-Mīzān*² identifying him as Abu al-Jārūd, Ziyād ibn al-Mundhir, to whom the *Jārūdiyyah* sect is ascribed.
- Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn clearly pointed him out as a liar.
- Al-Nasā'ī graded him as suspected of forgery.
- Ibn Ḥibbān is quoted as saying that he was a fanatic Shīī who fabricated narrations regarding the virtues of some, and alleged pitfalls of others.

The narrations being presented appear to be increasingly compromised even though all of them cannot be reliably attributed to the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ ع

¹ Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 172

² Al-Mīzān vol.2 pg. 93

The tenth narration

This narration is cited by way of Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī and is referenced to al-Muʿjam al-Aswat of al-Tabarānī.

Al-Haytamī lists it in *Majma* 'al-Zawā'id¹ and confirms that it is in al-Awsaṭ. However he points out a weak narrator, **Layth ibn Abī Sulaym** among others.

The narration is found in al-Mu'jam al-Awsat² with the following chain:

Ḥarb ibn Ḥasan al-Ṭaḥḥān — Ḥusayn ibn Ḥasan al-Ashqar — Qays ibn al-Rabī — Layth ibn Abī Sulaym — Ibn Abī Laylā — Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī.

Ḥarb ibn Ḥasan al-Ṭaḥḥān

• Ibn Ḥajar quotes al-Azdī's grading of Ḥarb; 'not all that.' He then mentions that Ibn Ḥibbān included him in his book *Al-Thiqāt*.³

Ḥusayn ibn Ḥasan al-Ashqar

- He has been discredited by al-Bukhārī, Abū Zurʿah—who considered him completely unreliable—and Abū Ḥātim.
- Al-Jūzajānī calls him an extremist Shīʿī accused of cursing the Companions.
- Ibn 'Adī has pointed out the fact that he was known to have narrated many baseless narrations.4

Layth ibn Abī Sulaym ibn Zunaym

He is Layth ibn Abī Sulaym ibn Zunaym, al-Qurashī, Abū Bakr, a Kūfan.

¹ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol.9 pg. 172

² Al-Mu'jam al-Awsat vol. 2 pg. 360

³ Lisān al-Mīzān vol.3 pg. 8

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 531

- 'Abd Allāh ibn Aḥmad, relates from his father, "Irreconcilable inconsistency in what he narrates, however some have narrated from him."
- Ibn Maʿīn² said of him, "Weak. Although, his narrations may be recorded."
- Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd did not narrate from him, and Ibn ʿUyaynah considered weak the narrations of Layth ibn Abī Sulaym. Ibn Abī Ḥātim said, "I heard my father and Abū Zurʿah saying, 'Layth ibn Abī Sulaym is fairly weak, his narrations are not independently authoritative according to the scholars of Ḥadīth."³
- Ibn Sa'd has said, "He was a man of righteousness and worship, he was weak as a narrator. It is said he would ask 'Aṭā', Ṭāwūs, and Mujāhid about something and they would differ. However, he would—unintentionally—narrate it as though they were in agreement."
- Ibn Ḥibbān said in *al-Majrūḥīn*, "His memory failed him towards the end of his life, he would switch the chains, and connect the interrupted chains, and relate from the reliable narrators that which has not been narrated by them. Al-Qaṭṭān abandoned him [his narrations], as well as Ibn Mahdī, Ibn Maʿīn, and Aḥmad."
- Al-Tirmidhī said, "Muḥammad said that Aḥmad would say of Layth that his narrations were not pleasing. Muḥammad said that Layth is truthful, but makes mistakes."⁵
- Al-Hākim Abū Aḥmad said, "He is not strong according to them."
- Al-Hākim Abū 'Abd-Allah said, "It is unanimous among them that he has a weak memory."
- Al-Jūzajānī said, "His narrations are considered weak."

As such, this narration cannot be relied on as well

¹ Al-Þuʻafā al-Kabīr vol.4 pg. 16

² Tārīkh ibn Ma'īn narration of al-Dūrī vol. 1 pg. 158

³ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol.7 pg. 178

⁴ Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā vol. 6 pg. 349

⁵ Al-'Ilal al-Kabīr (293), al-Tahdhīb vol. 8 pg. 418

⁶ Aḥwāl al-Rijāl (biography 91)

The eleventh narration

This narration is ascribed to *al-Shifā* of al-Qaḍī ʿIyāḍ al-Yaḥṣubī. Unfortunately it has not been narrated with any chain, nor has al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ commented on the reliability of this narration in any way.

This is not as significant as the fact that al-Qāḍī cited this narration under the chapter that states that part of reverence for the Prophet and fulfilling his rights is to be dutiful to his family, wives, and children. Then he cites the famous $\bar{A}yat\ al-Tath\bar{r}$ in $S\bar{u}$ rah al-Ahzāb.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn omited the mention of wives from the quotation from al-Shifā with clinical precision, even though al-Qādī mentions it.

The editor saw it fit to take advantage of this narration and gifted the Sunnī's another narration from Shīʿī sources which states that those who die, not acknowledging the Imām of their time die on Jāhiliyyah. So much for Shīʿī-Sunnī unity!

The twelfth narration

This narration has been related by way of Ibn 'Abbās and is referenced to al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr of al-Ṭabarānī.

Al-Haytamī lists it in *Majmaʿ al-Zawāʾid¹* and he confirms it from *al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr* by way of Ibn ʿAbbās. However he points out the fact that this version of the narration is only known by way of Ḥusayn ibn Ḥasan al-Ashqar who is severely weak.

The details of this narrator preceded under the discussion on the tenth narration.²

¹ Al-Majma' vol. 10 pg. 346

² Refer to Pg 142 of this book.

The severe weakness of this narrator is further compounded by the fact that an authentic version of this hadīth contradicts what is mentioned in this version.

Al-Tirmidhī narrates by way of Abū Barzah al-Aslamī مُؤَلِّفُتُهُ that the Messenger of Allah مَؤَلِّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَل

The feet of the slave of Allah shall not move [on the Day of Judgement] until he is asked about his life and what he did with it, about his knowledge and how he practised on it, about his wealth, how he earned it and where he spent it; and about his body and for what did he wear it out.¹

Al-Tirmidhī graded this as authentic and provided corroborating narrations as well.

We can thus conclude that the narration brought by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is baseless due to the severity of weakness in the narrator of that chain, added to it contradicting what has been authentically narrated and corroborated by other narrations.

The thirteenth narration

This narration is related by way of Ibn ʿAbbās and is referenced to al-Ṭabarānī and the *Mustadrak* of al-Ḥākim.

Upon investigation we become aware of two interesting details. Firstly, the wording presented by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is significantly truncated. The complete narration begins with the Prophet addressing the entire Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib. The complete narration indicates who is meant by the Ahl al-Bayt in this context, namely the entire Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib. This includes the family of 'Abbās abay'.

Secondly, the purport of this sermon is clearly a warning against bearing enmity for the Ahl al-Bayt; hereby inferring the necessity of loving them and upholding

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb Şifat al-Qiyāmah, ḥadīth no. 2417

their rights. However, there is nothing to indicate a divine mandate according them legal authority.

The Ahl al-Sunnah find no dilemna in distinguishing between loving the Ahl al-Bayt and accepting them as a religious authority. As a matter of fact, there are leagues between warning against animosity for the Ahl al-Bayt and granting them a legal mandate. However, in the Shīʿī paradigm not accepting their legal authority is perceived as hatred for them. The predicament that ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn faces—and all the Imāmiyyah for that matter—is proving the legal authority of Ahl al-Bayt.

As far as loving the Ahl al-Bayt is concerned and revering them, there is no arguing that these are not only acknowledged, but upheld by the Ahl al-Sunnah. Furthermore, ignorance of this dichotomy is very hard to imagine from someone who holds the position of Shaykh al-Azhar.

The next challenge faced by the Twelver Shī ah is restricting the comprehensive term, Ahl al-Bayt, to specific individuals. Therefore we find the sequence in which the Shī ah string their theory of Imāmah is to begin with reliable narrations which are vague, inexplicit, and mention the excellence of the Ahl al-Bayt. The next step is to assign a predetermined understanding to those texts, by subjecting them to unreliable narrations which suggest the predetermined meaning. The unreliable texts are then elevated in status to acceptable, and then based on their sheer number, they are further elevated to the status of <code>Tawātur</code>. These 'Mutawātir' narrations are then further twisted by the fabricated narrations which identify specific individuals whom they have annointed as infallible Imāms.

That being said, let us reveal our findings after studying the chains of the narrations cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn.

The chain in both al-Mu'jam al-Kab $\bar{i}r^1$ and al-Mustadrak² have a common narrator from whom the chain continues until the Prophet

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 176

² Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg.148, 149

Ismā'īl ibn Abī Uways — **his father** — Ḥumayd ibn Qays — 'Aṭā' ibn Abī Rabāh — ibn 'Abbās

Al-Ḥākim graded it Ḥasan Ṣaḥīḥ, on the criteria of Muslim, and al-Dhahabī concurs ¹

The grading of al-Ḥākim, and al-Dhahabī's concurring, has raised an eyebrow in this case, since Muslim does not transmit from Ismāʿīl ibn Abī Uways, from his father. Infact he does not narrate from the father except in a supporting narration to corroborate it. As such, it cannot be said to be on the criteria of Muslim. Al-Dhahabī attests to this himself in his $M\bar{\imath}z\bar{a}n$.

He goes on to list the critical reviews on Abū Uways, ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Abī ʿĀmir al-Madanī, the father of Ismāʿīl ibn Abī Uways:

- Aḥmad and Yaḥyā, "Weak." At another place Yaḥyā states, "He is not Thiqah (one who combines integrity and competency)." And at yet another place he states, "There is no problem with him."
- Ibn Madīnī, "Our companions considered him weak."
- Abū Dāwūd, "He is fair."
- Al-Nasā'ī, "He is not strong."2

These we can see that the opinions were divided on whether he was on the higher level of weak narrators, or the lowest level of acceptable narrators. As such, his narrations would be accepted in a secondary capacity, when they are corroborated by other narrations.

These are further confirmed by Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī who says:

He is Ṣadūq (trustworthy), though he makes mistakes.3

¹ Ibid

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 450

³ Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. (3412)

He also listed a group of scholars have graded him weak on account of his poor memory to the extent that Abū Hātim said:

His narrations are to be recorded but not relied upon independently. He is not strong.¹

Al-Haytamī has listed two other chains for this narration, both from al-Ṭabarānī. We were unable to locate the narration in al-Ṭabarānī's *al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr*, so we have no resort but to rely on the details provided in *Majmaʿ al-Zawā'id.*²

After quoting it from Ibn 'Abbās he states:

Al-Ṭabarānī has narrated it from his teacher—Muḥammad ibn Zakariyyā al-Ghallābī—and he is weak. Ibn Hibbān included him in *Al-Thigāt*.³

Al-Dāraquṭnī accused him of forging ḥadīth and al-Dhahābī crticised him strongly. $^{\!\scriptscriptstyle 4}$

The second narration is in al-Mu'jam al-Awsaṭ, from 'Abd Allāh ibn Ja'far who says that 'Abbās approached the Prophet complaining that people avoided his company. Thereupon the Prophet allegedly said, "By He in whose hands lies my life, none of them truly believes unless they love you for my sake..." Al-Ṭabarānī goes on to say, "This narration is not transmitted from 'Abd Allāh ibn Ja'far except with this chain."

After quoting this narration Al-Haytamī adds:

Appearing in this chain is Aṣram ibn Ḥawshab and he is abandoned (accused of lying).

¹ Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol.5 pg. 281

² Majma' al-Zawā'id vol.9 pg. 171

³ Ibid

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.3 pg. 550

⁵ Al-Mu'jam al-Awsat vol. 5 pg. 52

His biographical sketch in al-Dhahabī's Mīzān al-I'tidāl reflects the same conclusion.¹

If we consider the fact that these narrations which might be cited as corroboration for the narration by way of Ismāʿīl ibn Abī Uways, from Ibn ʿAbbās; we realise that they are severely weak and do not meet the standards of support and corroboration. As such, the narration of Ibn ʿAbbās with the chain from Ismāʿīl ibn Abī Uways remains a solitary narration and falls short of the grade of reliability.

The editor sought to lend further support to this narration and he listed a further four narrations, all of which suffer from weak or unknown narrators.

As a final comment on this narration, we reiterate our preceding comments. The Ahl al-Sunnah have always maintained a policy of loving the Ahl al-Bayt, this concept is maintained in this narration. The narration suggest nothing further in terms of conferring legal authority on the Ahl al-Bayt, just as it does not limit the application of Ahl al-Bayt to specific individuals among them. All those who accepted Islam from the Prophet's family are deserving of love and respect.

The fourteenth narration

This narration is only found by way of the *Tafsīr* of al-Thaʿlabī. Al-Zamakhsharī did not mention his source, but it was most likely al-Thaʿlabī.

Any self-respecting scholar would realise that the Tafsīr of al-Zamakhsharī does not fit the catchment area for sound narrations not to be found in the major Ḥadīth collections. To this end Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī has done a review of all the narrations appearing in al-Zamakhsharī's Kashshāf, called al-Kāfī al-Shāf fī Takhrīj Aḥādīth al-Kashshāf. He traced this narration to al-Thaʿlabī's Tafsīr and concluded that the evidence of forgery is quite visible for all to see in this narration.²

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 272

² al-Kāfī al-Shāf pg 145, Ḥadīth no. 354

Even Ibn Ḥajar Al-Haytamī, who was repeatedly quoted in these letters, concludes that this appears to be a forgery, citing al-Sakhāwī, who in turn relied on Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī.¹ Why was the reference to al-Ṣawāʻiq omited? How does ʿAbd al-Husayn fair in his commitment to rely exclusively on sound narrations?

The fifteenth narration

This narration is ascribed to the *Sīrah* of Mullā ʿUmar ibn Muḥammad ibn al-Khaḍir al-Mawṣilī al-Dimashqī, via al-Ṣawāʿiq of Ibn Ḥajar Al-Haytamī. We have pointed out earlier that this is a secondary source wherein the chains of transmission have been omited. It is therefore extremely difficult to evaluate this narration due to the lack of data by which we can verify its authenticity.

That being said, there is nothing objectionable in this narration. The Ahl al-Sunnah maintain that it is a religious duty to love and respect the Ahl al-Bayt. The narration does not suggest that the Ahl al-Bayt are infallible or that they yield legal authority. In fact, it is not much different than the narration which has reached us by way of Anas ibn Mālik that the Prophet said:

Loving the Anṣār is a sign of faith and hating them is a sign of hypocrisy.²

Poetry of al-Farazdaq

It is strange that in arguing for the legal authority of the Ahl al-Bayt, 'Abd al-Husayn rests his argument on a poem attributed to a poet who did not even witness the Prophetic Era. If the opinions and statements of 'Alī are not proofworthy, certainly this applies even greater to al-Farazdaq.

Another factor worthy of consideration is: Whom were these verses of poetry composed for? There is no indication that it applies to the Ahl al-Bayt. That is merely assumed. Poets were—and still are—famed for exaggeration in praise.

¹ al-Sawā'ig pg. 629

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Īmān, ḥadīth no. 17; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, ḥadīth no. 74

Early experts on Arabic literature have suggested that these lines of poetry were added to a poem of his at a later stage. Other experst have pointed out that these verses of poetry were said in praise of a ruler from the Umayyads.

The sixeenth narration

Notwithstanding the fact that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has failed to prove the necessity of accepting the words of 'Alī —that they are binding—he failed to find a strong reference for this narration. As such he relied exclusively on a narration which is found in an extremely obscure origin.

Finally the poetry of al-Shāfi'ī

These verses have been cited out of context and without seriously considering the flaws in the argument. Similarly, that which is versified in poetry lends itself to contextual meaning.

Al-Shāfiʿī did not claim the Ahl al-Bayt infallible. Nor did he say that they have the divine mandate to lead the Ummah afer the Prophet's demise. All he said is that it suffices the Ahl al-Bayt in honour and virtue that they are included in the salutions of the final sitting in prayer. This is the preferred formula of salutation, known as the Ṣalāt Ibrāhīmiyyah. The are other formulas of salutation which clarify who is intended by the Family of Muhammad in the Salāt Ibrāhīmiyyah.

Abū Ḥumayd al-Sāʻidī ﴿ relates:

عن أبي حميد الساعدي رضي الله عنه قال قالوا يا رسول الله كيف نصلي عليك؟ قال قولوا اللهم صل على محمد وعلى أزواجه وذريته كما صليت على آل إبراهيم وبارك على محمد وعلى أزواجه وذريته كما باركت على إبراهيم إنك حميد مجيد»

They asked the Messenger of Allah how they were to ask for blessings upon him and he replied that they should say, "O Allah, confer mercy upon Muḥammad, his wives, and his descendants; as You conferred mercy upon the family of Ibrāhīm, and give blessings to Muḥammad, his

wives, and his descendants; as You gave blessings to the family of $Ibr\bar{a}h\bar{l}m$. You are worthy of Praise and Glorious.¹

We have pointed out numerous times that the religious obligation of loving the Ahl al-Bayt is distinct from confering upon them the mantle of Imāmah.

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Daʿawāt, ḥadīth no. 6360; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ṣalāt, ḥadīth no. 407

Letter 11

Thul-Qi'da 1329

- I. Admiring Our Clear Texts
- II. Wondering at Compromising Them With the Majority's Beliefs

III. Asking for Clear Signs from the Book

- 1. I have been honoured to receive your highly esteemed letter which I found to be authentic in its mainstream, comprehensible. You have filled your bucket to the brim. The flood of your eloquence has surmounted the highest peaks. I have scrutinized your letter very carefully, and I have found you to be far in vision, firm, strong in argument, outspoken.
- 2. Having deeply considered your argument and dug deep into your proofs, I found myself in a very dangerous situation: When I look into your proofs, I find them convincing. When I consider your explanations, I find them indicative. When I look at the Imams of the Purified 'itra, I find Allah and His Messenger commending their status, highlighting its greatness and prestige.

Then when I look at the majority of Muslims, who represent most of this nation, I find them differing from Ahl Al-Bayt, contrary to the obligation of those proofs. Now I find myself to be split in two parts: one part of me yielding to the proofs, while the other seeking refuge with the majority of Muslims. I have submitted the first to you to lead: it is tame in your hands, while the other has stubbornly rejected you.

3. Could you please, therefore, overcome the latter's stubbornness with convincing proofs from the Book which could curb it and divert it from yielding to the common beliefs? Peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 12

Thul-Qida 1329

I. Qur'ānic Proofs

You, praise to Allah, have studied the Book thoroughly, becoming acquainted with both its obvious and implied meanings. Has there been anyone praised therein like the Purified 'itra? Have its perfect verses described any as "purified from all uncleanness" 1 other than them?

Has the verse of Purification been revealed in honour of anyone else?2 Has the perfect Revelation commanded love for any others?3 Has Gabriel brought the verse of Mubahala in praise of anyone else?4

Has "Hal Ata" been revealed in praise of others? No! I swear By the Lord Who rightly used it for them, Who is right and fair.5

Are they not "Allah's Rope" concerning whom He has said: "Hold together to Allah's Rope and do not be divided (Qur'ān, 3:103)"6?

And "the truthful" concerning whom He has said: "Be ye all with the Truthful (Qur'ān, 9:119);"7

"Allah's path" about which He has said: "Do not follow different paths else they should divert you from Allah's path (Qur'ān, 6:153),"8

The ones "entrusted with authority among you (Qur'ān, 4:59),"9

The "custodians of Revelation" about whom He says: "Ask the custodians of Revelation when you do not know (Qur'ān, 21:7),"10

The believers about whom He says: "Whoever differs from the Messenger, after guidance has been made clear to him, following paths other than those of the

Believers, We shall leave him in the path he has chosen and place him in Hell, what an evil refuge (Qur'ān, 4:115),"11

And the "guides" about whom He says: "You are a warner, and for each nation there is a guide"?12

Are they not among those upon whom Allah has showered His blessings and to whom He has referred in the Fatiha and the Glorious Qur'ān saying "Guide us unto the Right Path, the Path of those whom You have blessed,"13

and He has also said: "These are with those whom Allah has blessed from among the prophets, the truthful, the martyrs and the righteous (Qur'ān, 4:69)"?14

Has He not granted them the general authority? Has He not confined it only to them after the Prophet? Read:

"Your Master is Allah and His Messenger and the Believers who uphold prayers and pay zakat even while prostrating; whoever takes for Master Allah and His Messenger and the Believers, then the Party of Allah are indeed the victorious (Our'ān, 5:58)."15

Has He not made salvation for those who repent and do good deeds dependent upon accepting their guided authority, saying: "I am most Forgiving for those who repent, believe, do good deeds, and received guidance (Qur'ān, 20:82)"16?

Isn't their wilayat part of the "trust" about which the Almighty says: "We offered the trust unto the heavens, the earth, and the mountains, but they all refused to bear it out of extreme fear, then man bore it: he is most unjust, most ignorant (Qur'ān, 33:72)"?17

Have they not been the "peace" wherein Allah has commanded everyone to enter, saying, "O ye who believe! Enter in peace all of you, and do not follow the steps of Satan (Qur'ān, 2:208)"18.

Are they not the "blessing" concerning whom Allah the Sublime has said, "You will be questioned on that Day about the Blessing (Qur'ān, 102:8)"19?

Has not the Messenger of Allah which been commanded to convey all of this? Has Allah not emphasized conveying it in such a language which sounded like threatening, saying, "O Messenger! Convey that which has been revealed unto you, and if you do not do it, then you have not really conveyed His Message at all, and Allah shall protect you from (mischievous) people (Qur'ān, 5:70)"?20

Has not the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, conveyed it on the Ghadir Day, having reached its plains and delivered the Message, whereupon Allah revealed this congratulating verse: "Today have I completed your religion for you, perfected My blessing unto you, and accepted Islam as your religion (Qur'ān, 5:4)"?21

Have you noticed what your Lord did with the person who openly denied their authority saying, "O Allah! If this Message is truly from Thee, then let stones fall upon us22 like rain from the skies, or cause a severe torment to befall upon us"? Allah hurled a Sijjil stone at him as He had done with the Fellows of the Elephant. He revealed these verses on that occasion: "

A person questioned about a penalty to befall the unbelievers which cannot be warded off: (a penalty) from Allah, Lord of the Ways of Ascent (Qur'ān, 70:12)." People will certainly be questioned about such authority when they are resurrected as indicated in the explanation of the verse saying: "And follow in their footsteps, for they have the authority (Qur'ān, 37:24)." 23

There is no room to wonder any longer, then, especially when we discern the fact that their authority has been sanctioned by Allah unto people through His prophets, providing proofs and arguments for it, as indicated by the explanation of His saying:

"And ask the Messengers whom We sent before thee (Qur'ān, 43:45)."24

Nay! Allah has even taken for it a promise on the Day of Alasto from the souls of His creatures even before creating their physical forms, as referred to in this verse:

"When thy Lord drew forth from the children of Adam - from their loins - their descendants, making them promise, asking them: 'Am I not your Lord?' They said: 'Yes! We testify!' This is so lest you should say on the Day of Judgment: 'Of this we were never mindful (Qur'ān, 7:172)'."25

Through their intercession has Allah granted forgiveness to Adam who learned the words of repentance referred to in Chapter 2, Verse 37, of the Holy Qur'ān.26

"Allah does not expose them to torture,"27

for they are the security of the inhabitants of earth and mankind's means towards Him. They are the ones of whom people are jealous and about whom Allah says:

"Should they feel jealous of them because Allah Has granted them His favours (Qur'ān, 4:54)"?28

They are the ones who are "deeply grounded in knowledge" about whom He says: "Those who are deeply grounded in knowledge say:

'We believe (Qur'ān, 3:7)!'"29

They are the ones who will be upon the Heights and to whom Allah refers when he says,

"Upon the Heights are men who know all by their marks (Qur' \bar{a} n, 7:48)."30

They are the men of truth about whom He says:

"Among the Believers are men who fulfilled their promise unto Allah; some of them have passed away, while others are waiting, and they have not changed in the least (Qur'ān, 33:23)."31

They are the ones who glorify Allah continuously. About them He has said:

"He is Glorified in the early morning and during the night by men who are not diverted, by either trade or selling, from mentioning Allah, the saying of prayers, or the paying of zakat: they fear the Day when hearts and sights are overturned (Qur'ān, 24:3637)."32

Their houses are the ones mentioned in Allah's verses saying:

"In houses which Allah permitted to be elevated and His Name be recited therein."33

Allah has made their niche, in Surat An-Nur (Qur'ān, 24:35),34 an example for His own Light:

Allah is the Light of the heavens and the earth. The parable of His Light is a niche, within it is a Lamp: the lamp is enclosed in glass; the glass is as (bright as) a brilliant star lit from a blessed tree, an olive, neither of the east nor of the west, whose oil is wellnigh luminous, though fire scarcely touches it: Light upon Light! Allah guides whom He will to His Light: Allah sets forth parables for men, and Allah knows all things.

They are the foremost in accepting the faith and implementing it, and they are the nearest to Allah, as He indicates in Chapter 56, verses 10 and 11.35 They are those who testify to the Prophet's truthfulness (Qur'ān, 4:69).36 They are the martyrs and the virtuous. Regarding them and their followers has Allah said:

"Among Our creation is a nation calling unto the right guidance through the truth, and they are most just therein" (Qur'ān, 7:181).37

Also, Allah has said the following about their party and about that of their enemies:

"Inhabitants of the Fire are not equal to those of Paradise: inhabitants of Paradise are the victorious."38

About both parties He has also said:

"Should We treat those who believe and do good deeds as We treat those who cause corruption on earth, or should We equal the virtuous to the corrupt (Qur'ān, 38:28)?"39

He has also said the following verse concerning both parties:

"Do those who commit bad deeds surmise that We will treat them like We treat those who believe and do good deeds, in life and in death? Ill is their judgment."40

About them and their supporters He has said:

"Those who believe and do good deeds are the best of creation (Qur'ān, 98:7)."41

About them and their adversaries Allah has said:

"These are two opponents who differed regarding their Lord: those who disbelieve will be clothed with clothes of fire: boiling liquid shall be poured on their heads (Qur'ān, 22:19)."42

Regarding them and their enemy, Allah has revealed these verses: "Is this who has been a believer like unto him that who has been an evildoer? They are not equal. As for those who believe and do good deeds, their abode shall be Perpetual Gardens, a reward for their good deeds. As for those who cause corruption, their abode is Hell-fire; every time they want to get out of it, they are turned back into it and is said to them:

'Taste the torment of the Fire in which you disbelieved (Qur'ān, 32:19 20)."'43

Concerning them and those who boasted of providing water for the pilgrims and looking after the Haram mosque, Allah has revealed this verse:

"Do you count the providing of the pilgrims with water and the maintenance of the Haram mosque equal to (the value of) those who believe in Allah and the Last Day and fight in the Way of Allah? They are not equal in the eyes of Allah, and Allah does not lead the wrongdoers (Qur'ān, 9:19)."44

About their triumph in many trials and the magnitude of their patience, the Almighty says:

"Among people is one who sells his life in return for Allah's Pleasure; Allah is Clement towards His servants (Qur'ān, 2:207)."45

Regarding their endeavour in the way of Allah and their toil, Allah has said:

"Allah has traded the believers' lives for Paradise: they fight in the Way of Allah and they kill or get killed. It is His true Promise in the Torah, the Gospel and the Qur'ān: who fulfils his promise better than Allah? Rejoice, therefore, for your bargain; that is the great victory. Those who turn (to Allah) in repentance, worship Him, and praise Him, wander in devotion to the Cause of Allah, bow down and prostrate in prayer, enjoin goodness and forbid evil, and observe the limits set by Allah (they do rejoice). So, proclaim the glad tidings to the Believers (Qur'ān, 9:111112)."

"Those who (in charity) spend of their possessions by night and by day, in secrecy and in public, have their reward with their Lord: on them there shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve (Qur'ān, 2:274)."46

They truly say only the truth. The Truthful Himself, blessed be His Name, has borne witness to that, saying:

"Those who have brought forth the truth, believing therein, are indeed the Godfearing (Qur'ān, 39:33)."47

They are the faithful relatives of the Messenger of Allah مَالْسَعَيْسَةُ, his kinfolk, whom Allah Has chosen for His beautiful care and great attention, saying:

"And warn your near in kin (Qur'ān, 26:214)."

They are his relatives, and

"Relatives have the priorities according to the Book of Allah" (Qur'ān, 8:75; see also 33:6).

On Doomsday, they will ascend to his rank and join him in the perpetual gardens of felicity as witnessed by Allah's statement:

Those who believe and whose families follow them in faith - to them shall We join their families: We shall never deprive them (of the fruit) of aught of their deeds, (yet) each is in pledge for his deeds. (Qur'ān, 52:21)48

They have the right dues as the Qur'ān has stated:

"And give the near in kin his dues (Qur'ān, 17:26),"

and they have the fifth: nobody's responsibility will be cleared until he defrays it:

"Know ye this: whatever ye obtain of spoils, its fifth goes to Allah, the Messenger, and the (Messenger's) kinfolk (Qur'ān, 8:41)."

They are the ones upon whom Allah's favours have been bestowed as implied in this verse:

"What Allah has bestowed on His Apostle - (and taken away) from them - for this ye made no expedition with either cavalry or camelry, but Allah gives power to His apostles over any He pleases, and Allah Has power over all things (Qur'ān, 59:7)."

They are Ahl Al-Bayt addressed by Allah thus:

"Allah desires to remove all abomination from you, Ahl Al-Bayt, and purify you with a perfect purification (Qur'ān, 33:33)."

They are the family of Yasin whom Allah greets in the Glorious Qur'ān thus:

"Peace be unto the family of Yasin (Qur'ān, 37:130)."49

And they are the family of Muhammad upon whom greetings and peace have been enforced by Allah Who says:

"Allah and His angels send greetings unto the Prophet: O ye who believe! Send greetings unto him and many salutations (Qur'ān, 33:56)."50

Some people asked the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny,

"O Messenger of Allah! We know how to greet you with peace, but how can we greet you with prayers?" He, Allah's peace and blessings be upon him and his progeny, answered, "Say: 'O Allah! Send blessings unto Muhammad and the family of Muhammad," according to the hadith. It was then understood then that greeting them was part of the prayers enjoined by this verse. This is why learned men have included the verse quoted above among others in their praise. Ibn Hajar has listed it in part 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa among verses in their praise,51 peace be upon them. A good resort for them and a good reward: Gardens of Eden with gates wide open to receive them.52

Who can compete with them? In the sun is meaning and heat,

Parching, exerting the one who dares to compete.

Allah has chosen them for His favours, and they are the ones who are faster than all others in doing good deeds; they inherit the Book of Allah; about them He has said the following therein:

"Among men is one who wrongs his own self (by ignoring the Imams), and one who seeks righteousness (by following the Imams), and one who is faster than others in doing good deeds by the Will of Allah (who is the Imam himself): this indeed is Allah's great favour (Qur'ān, 35:32)."53

These verses which demonstrate the Imams' virtues and merits must suffice. Ibn 'Abbas has said:

"In praise of 'Ali alone, three hundred verses were revealed."54

Others say that one fourth of the Holy Qur'ān has been revealed in their praise. This comes as no surprise when we consider the fact that they and the Qur'ān are twin brothers who do not separate from one another.

Be satisfied for now with what we have stated here of the perfect verses of the Holy Qur'ān. Take them easily going and returning, making the advent of morning beams, easily and nicely, forgiving and at ease, from someone very well acquainted therewith, for none can tell you better than one endowed with experience, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes

- $1. \ \ As it ruled in its departure therefrom according to the Almighty's statement:$
 - "Allah wishes to remove all abomination from you, members of Ahl al-Bayt and purify you with a perfect purification (Qur'ān, 33:33)."
- 2. Nay! Nobody else can claim that at all. They have been selected for it; so, nobody can reach their station nor dream of attaining their achievements.

3. Nay! Allah has selected them for it and preferred them over all others, saying:

"Say (O Muhammad): 'I do not ask you for any reward other than being kind to my kin,' and whoever attains a good deed [being kind to them], We shall certainly increase him in goodness; verily, Allah is Forgiving [to those who are kind to them], Appreciative [of such kindness] (Qur'ān, 42:23)."

4. Nay! The verse of Mubahala was revealed specifically in their praise. Allah, the Dear One, says therein:

"Say (O Muhammad): 'Let us bring our sons and your sons,... (Qur'ān, 3:61)."

- 5. This is a reference to the revelation of Ayat al-'Asr (Chapter of Time) regarding them and their foes, and whoever wishes to be familiar with this matter as dealt with in the verse of purification, verse of mubahala, the verse enjoining kindness to the Prophet's kin, and the verse of time, he must refer to our own statement in this regard, for it is the remedy for every ailment. It brings the foes back to their senses, and it provides knowledge for those who do not know, and praise be to Allah.
- 6. In his commentary on the meaning of this verse in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, Imam al-Tha'labi quotes Aban ibn Taghlib reporting that Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq المناسبة has said:

"We are Allah's rope about which He has said: 'And uphold Allah's rope all of you together, and do not be separated (Qur'ān, 3:103)'."

Ibn Hajar has included this verse among others revealed in their praise, being the fifth in the series of verses which he enumerates in Chapter 11 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. While explaining its meaning, the author quotes al-Tha'labi, as you have heard above, citing Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq

المَوْالَةُ. Imam al-Shafi'i is quoted in Rashfatul Sadi by Imam Abu Bakr ibn Shihabud-Din as having said:

When I saw people being carried away to the seas of misguidance and ignorance by their sects,

I boarded, in the Name of Allah, the Ark of Salvation, that is, the Household of the Chosen One, the Seal of Prophets.

And I upheld Allah's Rope, and it is obedience to them, as He has commanded us to uphold to the Rope.

- 7. The "truthful" here are Allah's Messengers and the Imams of his purified progeny, according to our consecutive sahihs, and as supported by al-Hafiz Abu Na'im and Muwaffaq ibn Ahmad, and transmitted by Ibn Hajar in his explanation of Chapter 5, Section 11, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, page 90, quoting Imam Zaynul 'Abidin 'Abidin' in a statement quoted above (see Letter No. 6).
- 8. Imams al-Baqir and al-Sadiq نا used to always say: "The RIGHT PATH here is the Imam, and do not follow diverse paths (imams of misguidance) for they will divert you from His Path (and we are His Path)."
- 9. In his authentic sahih, the trusted authority of Muslims, Muhammad ibn Ya'qub al-Kulayni, has quoted Burayd al-'Ajli saying: "I asked Abu Ja'far (Imam Muhammad al-Baqir, as) about the verse saying:

'Obey Allah, and obey the Messenger and those charged with authority among you (Qur'ān, 4:59),'

and he answered me by saying:

'Have you not observed those (Jews) who are given a portion of the (knowledge of the) Book? They are invited to the Book of Allah so that

it might decide between them, then a party among them turns back (therefrom), and they withdraw (Qur'ān, 3:23),'

how they believe in sorcerers and tyrants instead, and how they say to those who disbelieve that they are closer to the Straight Path than the Believers? They tell the imams of misguidance and the callers unto the Fire that their guidance is more accurate than that of Muhammad's progeny;

'Have you not seen those to whom a portion of the Book has been given? They believe in idols and false deities and say of those who disbelieve: These are better guided in the path than those who believe. Those are they whom Allah has cursed, and whoever Allah curses, you shall never find for them any helper. Or have they a share in the kingdom? But then they would not give people even the speck in a date stone (Qur'ān, 4:51-53),

'nor will they ever own aught of Allah's domain, that is, Imamate and Caliphate, '... or do they envy the people for what Allah has bestowed upon them of His own favours (Qur'ān, 4:54)?'

We are the ones who are envied because of the Imamate which Allah has bestowed upon us rather than anyone else among His creation;

'We bestowed upon the descendants of Ibrahim (Abraham) the Book and the Wisdom, and We provided them with a great kingdom (Qur'ān, 4:54),'

meaning He made some of them messengers, prophets, and imams; so, how can they recognize its existence to the descendants of Ibrahim while denying it to the descendants of Muhammad المنافقة ا

"Among them are those who believed in it, and among them are those who turned away therefrom, and Hell suffices for a torment (Qur'ān, 4:55)."

10. Explaining this chapter, al-Tha'labi quotes Jabir saying the following in his book Al-Tafsir al-Kabir: "When this Chapter was revealed, 'Ali المنافعة ا

said: 'We are the people of remembrance,' and this is the case with all the Imams of guidance." The Bahraini scholar has quoted in Chapter 35 more than twenty authentic ahadith bearing this meaning.

- 11. Ibn Mardawayh, in his explanation of this Chapter, has indicated that "... to argue with the Messenger" in this context means to dispute with him regarding 'Ali ﷺ, and the guidance referred to in the verse "... after guidance has been made manifest to him" is the guidance provided by 'Ali, peace be upon him." In his Tafsir, al-'Ayyashi states something almost similar to this, and the sahihs are consecutive from the sources of the purified progeny in stating that "the path of the believers" is the path of their own (progeny), peace be upon them.
- 12. Explaining this verse in Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, al-Tha'labi quotes Ibn 'Abbas saying: "When this verse was revealed, the Messenger of Allah put his hand over his chest and said: 'I am the warner and 'Ali puide, is the guide, and through you, O 'Ali, guidance is achieved." Many scholars of exegesis and authors of books of traditions quote Ibn 'Abbas and Muhammad ibn Muslim saying: "I asked Abu 'Abdullah (Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq (Abbas) about the implications of this verse and he answered: 'Each Imam is the guide of his time.' Imam Abu Ja'far al-Baqir has said the following regarding its explanation: 'The warner is the Messenger of Allah, and the guide is 'Ali,' then he adds: 'By Allah, imamate shall remain with us till the Hour approaches."
- 13. In his exegesis of Surat al-Fatiha, al-Tha'labi, in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, quotes Abu Buraydah saying that "al-sirat al-mustaqim (the Straight Path) is the path of Muhammad ما المنافق علم and his progeny منافق علم "Interpreting this sura, Waki' ibn al-Jarirah quotes Sufyan al-Thawri through a chain of narrators including al-Sadi, Asht, Mujahid, all quoting Ibn 'Abbas saying: "Guide us to the Straight Path' means 'Guide us to the love for Muhammad and his progeny."

- 14. The Imams from among Ahl al-Bayt are without any argument the masters of siddigs, martyrs, and the righteous.
- 15. Scholars of exegesis are unanimous, as al-Qawshaji, imam of the Ash'aris, has admitted in his chapter on "Sharh al-Tajrid," saying that this verse was revealed in honour of 'Ali when he offered charity while engaged in the ceremonial supplication performing the prayers. In his sahih, al-Nisa'i quotes 'Abdullah ibn Salam testifying to its revelation in honour of 'Ali while explaining Surat al-Ma'ida [Chapter of Table of Viands]. Al-Tha'labi has indicated its revelation in honour of the Commander of the Faithful in his book Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, as we will explain when we discuss it.
- 16. In Chapter 11, Part One, of his Al-Sawa'ig al-Muhriga, Ibn Hajar states: "The guidance referred to in Chapter 8 which states: 'I am all-Forgiving for those who repent, believe, and do good deeds, then seek guidance,' according to Thabit al-Banni, means the seeking of guidance from the household of the Prophet صَالَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالًا This is narrated from Abu Ja'far al-Bagir, too. Ibn Hajar has narrated several ahadith testifying to the salvation of those who seek and act upon their guidance, peace be upon them. He also refers to what he quotes from al-Baqir's statement referring to the conversation between Imam al-Bagir عَلَيْهَ and al-Harith ibn Yahya in which the Imam says: "O Harith! Have you not seen how Allah has made it clear that repentance, belief, and good deeds are not sufficient without seeking guidance from our authority?' then he, peace be upon him, quotes his grandfather the Commander of the Faithful saying: "By Allah! If a man repents, believes, and does good deeds, but he does not seek guidance from our authority, nor recognizes our rights, all of these things will be utterly in vain." Abu Na'im the hafiz quotes Awn ibn Abu Jahufah who in turn quotes his father narrating a similar tradition from 'Ali مَعْيَاتِكُم. Al-Hakim has published similar ahadith from Imams al-Baqir and al-Sadiq منيات , and from Thabit al-Banni and Anas ibn Malik.

- 17. Refer to the meaning of this verse in Al-Safi, and in 'Ali ibn Ibrahim's Tafsir, and to the traditions narrated by Sunnis explaining its meaning as compiled by the Bahraini scholar in Chapter 115 of his work Ghayat al-Maram.
- 18. In chapter 224 of Ghayat al-Maram, the Bahraini scholar quotes twelve traditions from our sahihs testifying to the fact that this verse was revealed regarding 'Ali's government and that of the Imams among his descendants, barring the leadership of all others. In Chapter 223, he states that al-Asfahani al-Amawi narrates the same about 'Ali proving various sources.
- 19. In Chapter 48 of his Ghayat al-Maram, the Bahraini scholar quotes three ahadith narrated by Sunnis testifying to the fact that the "bliss" here is what Allah has blessed people through the government of His Messenger بما لله , that of the Commander of the Faithful and Ahl al-Bayt بما لله , that of the Quotes twelve ahadith from our sahihs reflecting the same; so, refer to it if you wish.
- Imam al-Wahidi, while commenting on Surat al-Ma'ida in his book Asbab al-Nuzul, quotes Abu Sa'id al-Khudri saying: "This verse was revealed on the Day of Ghadir Khumm in honour of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib "Imam al-Tha'labi has included it in his Tafsir from two sources, and al-Hamawani al-Shafi'i includes it in his Fara'id from various sources from Abu Hurayrah, and it is transmitted by Abu Na'im in his book Nuzul al-Qur'ān from two sources: Abu Rafi' and al-A'mash, both quoting 'Atiyyah. In Ghyat al-Maram, there are nine ahadith narrated by Sunnis and eight authentic ones by Shi'as conveying the same meaning; so, refer to it in Chapters 37 and 38.
- 21. This text is stated by Imam Abu Ja'far al-Baqir مِنْ , succeeded in narrating it by Imam Abu 'Abdullah al-Sadiq مَنْ اللهُ . According to authentic narrations,

Sunnis have included six ahadith in their own books of traditions that in the end quote the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, quite clearly emphasizing this very theme. Its explanation exists in Chapters 39 and 40 of Ghayat al-Maram.

- 22. Imam al-Tha'labi has detailed the explanation of this matter in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, and it is transmitted by the Egyptian scholar al-Shiblinji who details 'Ali's biography in his book Nur al-Absar, page 171, where he, too, explains it in detail. Al-Halabi mentions it at the conclusion of his chapter "Hijjatul Wada" in Vol. 3 of his book Al-Sira al-Halabiyya. Al-Hakim narrates it in "Tafsir al-Ma'arij" in his *Al-Mustadrak*, page 502, Vol. 2.
- 23. Al-Daylami, as is the case with the explanation of this verse in Al-Sawa'ig al-Muhriqa states that Sa'id al-Khudri quotes the Prophet صَالَّتُهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ saying: "Follow in their footsteps, for they are responsible concerning 'Ali's wilayat." Al-Wahidi, as is the case with the author of Al-Sawa'ig al-Muhriqa, explains this verse by saying: "It has been narrated regarding Allah's statement: 'Follow in their footsteps, for they are responsible...,' that the responsibility referred to here is regarding 'Ali's government and that of Ahl al-Bayt عَلَيْهِ السَّلَامِ adding: "For Allah commanded His Prophet to make people aware of the fact that he does not ask them for any rewards for conveying His Message other than being kind to his kin..., that is, they will be asked if they properly submitted to their wilayat as the Prophet مَا لِتَشْعَلِيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ had instructed them, or if they lost it and discarded it, thus becoming subject to Allah's demands and the consequences of such discarding." Ibn Hajar includes it in Chapter 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriga among the verses revealed in their praise, being number 4 in such sequence, and he elaborates on it a great deal.
- 24. Refer to what Abu Na'im al-Hafiz has quoted in his Hilyat al-Awliya, and to what is recorded by al-Tha'labi, al-Nisaburi, and al-Barqi regarding its meaning in their own tafsir books, and to what Ibrahim ibn Muhammad

al-Hamawini and other Sunnis have said. Also refer to what Abu 'Ali al-Tibrisi has said while explaining its meaning in his book Mujma'ul Bayan fi Tafsir al-Qur'ān, quoting the Commander of the Faithful عَنْهَا . What Chapters 44 and 45 of Ghayat al-Maram state in this meaning is something that dispels all doubts.

- 25. Our own discourse about Ahl al-Bayt (while explaining this verse, testifies to this fact.
- 26. Ibn al-Maghazli al-Shafi'i quotes Ibn 'Abbas saying: "When the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, was asked about the words which Adam had received from his Lord and whereby his repentance was accepted, he مَا الله عند said: 'He [Adam] asked Him by the prestige He held for Muhammad, 'Ali, Fatima, al-Hasan, and al-Husayn, and thus did He accept his repentance and forgive him.'" This is what we know for a fact to be the meaning of this verse.
- 27. Refer to Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa by Ibn Hajar who interprets the verse of the Almighty: "Allah would not torment them..." as verse 7 of those revealed in their honour as recorded in Chapter 11 of the said book where the author endorses our own view stated here.
- 28. This is admitted by Ibn Hajar who counts this verse among the ones revealed in their honour, numbering it 6 in Chapter 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. Ibn al-Maghazli al-Shafi'i, as indicated in the explanation of this verse in Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, quotes Imam al-Baqir saying: "By Allah, we are the ones who are envied." In Chapters 60 and 61 of Ghayat al-Maram, as many as thirty authentic ahadith are recorded in this meaning.
- 29. This is quoted by Thiqatul-Islam Muhammad ibn Ya'qub al-Kulayni who quotes an authentic hadith from Imam al-Sadiq saying: "We are a people the obedience to whom has been mandated by Allah, the Exalted and the Sublime; we are the ones who are deeply rooted in knowledge,

and we are the ones who are envied. Allah Almighty has said: 'Or should they envy (certain) people for what Allah has granted them out of His own favour?'" This has also been quoted by al-Shaykh in his Tahthib, also quoting Imam al-Sadiq, peace be upon him.

30. While explaining this verse in his Tafsir, al-Tha'labi quotes Ibn 'Abbas saying: "The 'a'raf' is an elevated place of the Sirat whereupon al-'Abbas, Hamzah, 'Ali and Ja'far of the two wings identify the ones who love them by the sign of the whiteness of their countenance, and the ones who hate them by its blackness." Al-Hakim, too, has quoted 'Ali عَلَيْهَا اللهُ saying: "We shall stand, on the Day of Judgment, between Paradise and Hell, and we shall recognize those who support us by their mark and would let them enter Paradise, and we shall recognize those who hate us also by their marks." Salman al-Farisi is quoted saying: "I have heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: 'O 'Ali! You and the wasis from your descendants are on the A'raf." This is supported by the hadith quoted by Dar Qutni at the conclusion of Part Two, Chapter 9, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. It indicates that 'Ali المالة delivered a lengthy address to the six persons assigned by 'Umar to be in charge of the shura in which he stated: "I ask you in the Name of Allah if anyone among you has been told similarly to what I was told by the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, and that is: 'O 'Ali! You are the one who will designate the destination of every person on the Day of Judgment either to Paradise or to Hell'?" They responded: "No, indeed." Ibn Hajar states the following: "The meaning of this hadith is what is narrated by Antarah from Imam 'Ali al-Rida عَلَيْهَ who quotes the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying the following to 'Ali عَيْمِالسَامَ 'O 'Ali! You are the one who will assign people to either Paradise or Hell on the Day of Judgment, telling Hell which one is hers and which one is not." Ibn Hajar says: "Ibn al-Sammak narrates that Abu Bakr has said to 'Ali مناها , may Allah be pleased with both men, "I have heard the Messenger of Allah saying: 'Nobody can pass on the Sirat except the one permitted by 'Ali."

- 31. In Section 5, Chapter 9, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, Ibn Hajar, while discussing 'Ali's assassination, indicates that when 'Ali 'Sali's was on the pulpit in Kufa, he was asked to explain the verse in which this phrase occurs: "Men who proved truthful to their promise to Allah," and he answered by saying: "O Lord! Forgive them; this verse was revealed in honour of myself, my uncle Hamzah, and my cousin 'Ubaydah ibn alHarith ibn alMuttalib. 'Ubaydah died a martyr in Badr; Hamzah died a martyr on Uhud; as to myself, I am awaiting a most painful death, when this shall be drenched from the blood of this," pointing with his hand to his beard and head respectively; "It is a true promise made to me by my beloved Father of alQasim, peace be upon him and his progeny." AlHakim, while interpreting this verse as quoted in al-Tibrisi's Mujma'ul Bayan fi Tafsir al-Qur'ān, cites 'Umar ibn Thabit quoting Abu Ishaq quoting 'Ali, peace be upon him, saying: "On our own behalf was this verse revealed: 'Men who proved truthful to their promise to Allah...,' and I by Allah am waiting, and I have never changed aught."
- 32. Mujahid and Ya'qub ibn Sufyan quote Ibn 'Abbas's interpretation of the verse saying "And when they see trade or amusement, they rush to it, leaving you standing (for prayers alone)," thus: "Dahyah alKalbi once came from Syria on a Friday with a merchandise of foodstuff and he came to a place called Ahjar alZayt where he announced his presence by beating drums to invite people to him. People, therefore, rushed to him, leaving the Prophet standing on the pulpit preaching with only 'Ali, al-Hasan, al-Husayn, Fatima, Salman, Abu Tharr, and alMiqdad. The Prophet then said: 'Allah has cast a look at my mosque on a Friday, and had it not been for the presence of these persons, He would have set the city on fire and hurled stones at its inhabitants as He did with the people of Lut.' Allah has revealed in honour of those who remained with the Messenger of Allah at the mosque the verse saying: 'Praising Him therein, during the night and at early dawn, men whom neither trade nor sale can divert."
- 33. Al-Tha'labi, while discussing the meaning of this verse in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, quotes Anas ibn Malik and Burayd saying: "The Messenger of

Allah Allah has desired that they should be elevated, and His Name shall be mentioned therein,' whereupon Abu Bakr stood up and said: 'O Messenger of Allah! Is this house (then he pointed to the house where 'Ali and Fatima where living) among them?' The Prophet answered: 'Yes; one of their choicest." In Chapter 12 of Ghayat al-Maram, there are nine authentic ahadith through which the light of dawn shines.

- 34. This is a reference to the verse saying: "The similitude of His Light is a Lamp..." Ibn al-Maghazli al-Shafi'i has quoted 'Ali ibn Ja'far in his Manaqib saying: "I asked the father of al-Hasan (Imam al-Kazim, peace be upon him) about the verse saying '... like a niche wherein a lamp...,' and he, peace be upon him, answered: 'The niche is Fatima, the Lamp symbolizes al-Hasan and al-Husayn, and 'the glass is like a shining star,' indicates that Fatima shone like a star among all the women of the world, receiving its fuel from a blessed tree, the family-tree of Ibrahim (Abraham), neither of the east nor of the west, neither Jewish nor Christian, 'its oil almost shines (by itself),' indicates that knowledge almost speaks of itself even when no fire touches it, 'light upon light,' wherein there is one Imam after another, 'Allah guides whomsoever He pleases to His Light,' implies that Allah guides to our wilayat whomsoever He pleases." Suffices such an interpretation to be coming from a member of the household upon whom the revelation descended.
- 35. Al-Daylami, as in hadith 29, Part Two, Section 9, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa by Ibn Hajar, quotes 'Ayesha, al-Tabrani, Ibn Mardawayh, all citing Ibn 'Abbas saying that the Prophet المعاقبة has said: "The foremost in believing in the Prophets are three men: Joshua son of Nun who was the foremost in believing in Moses المعاقبة; the one referred to in Chapter Yasin who was the foremost in believing in Christ المعاقبة, and the foremost in believing in Muhammad is 'Ali ibn Abu Talib المعاقبة 'This hadith is quoted by al-Muwaffaq ibn Ahmad and the faqih Ibn al-Maghazli, both quoting Ibn 'Abbas.

- 36. Ibn al-Najjar, as in hadith 30 referred to in Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa quotes Ibn 'Abbas saying that the Messenger of Allah has said: "The siddiqs are three: Ezekiel, who was the foremost to believe [in Moses] from among the descendants of Pharaoh; Habib al-Najjar, who is referred to in Chapter Yasin, and 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Asawa'iq al-Muhriqa quotes Ibn Abu Layla saying that the Messenger of Allah has said: "The siddiqs are three: Habib al-Najjar, the believer referred to in Chapter Ali Yasin as saying: 'O my people, follow the Messengers;' Ezekiel, who was the foremost to believe [in Moses] from among the descendants of Pharaoh, who said: 'Do you kill a man just for saying that his Lord is Allah?' and 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Asawa'iq al-Najjar, who is the best of them.'" Sahihs are consecutively reported in stating that he is the supreme siddiq and the greatest faruq.
- 37. The most distinguished among Sunni Imams, namely Muwaffaq ibn Ahmad, has quoted Abu Bakr ibn Mardawayh citing 'Ali saying: "This nation will be divided into seventy-three groups; with the exception of one, all the rest will go to Hell; this (lucky) group is the one in whose honour Allah, the Exalted and the omni-Scient, has said: 'Among those whom We have created is a group that guides towards righteousness, and through righteousness (alone) do they achieve equity,' and they include me and my Shi'as."
- 38. In his Amali, Shaykh al-Tusi correctly quotes the Commander of the Faithful saying that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, once recited the following verse: "The companions of the Fire are not equal to those who are the companions of Paradise," whereupon he explained saying: "The companions of Paradise are those who have followed me and recognized the authority of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Ali after me." He was asked: "What about the companions of the Fire?" He answered: "These include the ones who are dissatisfied with his ('Ali's) government, those who shall violate the covenant and fight him after my demise." This hadith is quoted by al-Saduq from 'Ali, peace be upon him.

Abul-Mu'ayyad Muwaffaq ibn Ahmad has quoted Jabir saying that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "By the One in whose hands my soul is, this ('Ali) and his Shi'as are the winners on the Day of Judgment."

- 39. Refer to the meaning of this verse in 'Ali ibn Ibrahim's tafsir if you wish, or Chapters 81 and 82 of Ghayat al-Maram.
- 40. This verse descended to honor al-Hamzah, 'Ali ﷺ, and 'Ubaydah who came out to battle 'Utbah, Shaybah, and al-Walid. The believers are Hamzah, 'Ali ﷺ, and 'Ubaydah, and the ones who committed wrong deeds are 'Utbah, Shaybah, and al-Walid. There are many authentic ahadith supporting this argument.
- 41. Suffices you for proof the fact that Ibn Hajar has admitted its revelation in their own honor, counting it among the verses in their favour, numbering it 11 among such verses in Part One, Chapter 11, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; so, refer to it to see the verses we have quoted in reference to this verse in the chapter dealing with Sunnis giving credence to Shi'as in our book Al-Fusul al-Muhimma.
- 42. Al-Bukhari, in his explanation of the Qur'ānic Chapter dealing with hajj, on page 107, Vol. 3, of his sahih, quotes 'Ali ﷺ saying: "I am the first to kneel down to submit a complaint before Allah on the Day of Judgment." Al-Bukhari then quotes Qays saying: "On their behalf this verse was revealed: 'These are two opponents who have brought their case before their Lord.' They are the ones who came out on Badr to battle 'Ali 'Ali' and his two companions, Hamzah and 'Ubaydah, namely Shaybah ibn Rabi'ah and his two fellows 'Utbah ibn Rabi'ah and al-Walid ibn 'Utbah." On the same page, he quotes Abu Tharr saying that he used to swear by the verse referring to the two opponents who disputed about their Lord which was revealed in honour of 'Ali' and two of his companions, and about 'Utbah and both of his companions when they came out to duel at Badr.

- versus al-Walid ibn 'Uqbah ibn Abu Ma'it, without any argument. This is ascertained by traditionists and endorsed by scholars of exegesis. Imam Abul-Hasan 'Ali ibn Ahmad al-Wahidi, while discussing this verse in his book Asbab a-Nuzul, quotes Sa'id ibn Jubayr citing Ibn 'Abbas saying that al-Walid ibn 'Uqbah ibn Abu Ma'it once said to 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Abu'i': "I am stronger than you; my speech is more eloquent, and I am faster than you in raising an army." 'Ali 'Say'i' said: "Say no more, for you are none other than a debauchee," whereupon the verse "Is that who is a believer similar to that who is a debauchee? They certainly are not alike," was revealed, describing 'Ali 'Ali'i' as the believer and al-Walid ibn 'Uqbah as the debauchee.
- 44. This verse was revealed in honour of 'Ali 'Ali', his uncle al-'Abbas, and Talhah ibn Shaybah who started thus bragging: "I am in charge of the House (Ka'ba); I have its keys, and mine is its covering cloth." Al-'Abbas said: "I am the one in charge of siqaya and maintenance." 'Ali 'Ali' said: "I do not know what you both say, for I have said my prayers in the company of the one [Prophet Muhammad, S] who leads the jihad six months prior to anyone else among all people," whereupon Allah revealed the verse cited above. This is stated by Imam al-Wahidi while explaining the meaning of this verse in his book Asbab al-Nuzul citing al-Hasan al-Basri, al-Sha'bi, and al-Qurtubi. He also quotes Ibn Sirin and Murrah al-Hamadani saying that 'Ali' said the following to al-'Abbas once: "Aren't you going to migrate? Aren't you going to join the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny?" He answered: "Do not I have a responsibility that is superior to the migration? Do not I provide water to the pilgrims of the House of Allah and maintain its Haram?" whereupon this verse was revealed.
- 45. On page 4, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak*, al-Hakim quotes Ibn 'Abbas saying: "'Ali has bartered his own life and has, indeed, put on the Prophet's garb." Al-Hakim testifies to the authenticity of this hadith according to

the endorsement of both Shaykhs, although the latter did not narrate it themselves. In his Talkhis *al-Mustadrak*, al-Hakim admits the same on the said page, quoting Imam 'Ali ibn al-Husain 'عَلَيْنَا عَلَى saying: "The first to barter his life for the Pleasure of Allah is 'Ali ibn Abu Talib عَلَيْنَا لَهُ who slept in the bed of the Messenger of Allah عَلَيْنَا لَهُ '," then he quoted a few verses of poetry attributed to 'Ali عَلَيْنَا لَهُ beginning with these:

I have safeguarded with my own life and strength
That of the best who walked on the surface of earth,
And circled the Ancient House, though alone,
And also around the [Black] Stone.

- the causes of revelation of the Holy Qur'ān have all quoted Ibn 'Abbas explaining the verse reading: "Those who spend their wealth in charity at night, during the day, in secrecy, and in the open," by saying: "This verse was revealed in honour of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib who had once in his possession four dirhams; he spent one of them in the Cause of Allah at night, one during the day, one in secrecy, and in public also one; therefore, this verse was revealed to appreciate what he did." Imam al-Wahidi, too, has quoted this hadith of Ibn 'Abbas in his book Asbabul-Nuzul. He also quotes Mujahid narrating it, and he transmits it from al-Kalbi in more detail.
- 47. The one who has brought forth the truth is the Messenger of Allah, and the one who has believed therein is the Commander of the Faithful محلوبة, according to the hadith of al-Baqir, al-Sadiq, al-Kazim, al-Rida, peace be upon all of them, as well as by Ibn 'Abbas, Ibn al-hanafiyyah, 'Abdullah ibn al-Hasan, the martyred Zayd ibn 'Ali ibn al-Husayn, and 'Ali ibn Ja'far al-Sadiq محلوبة. The Commander of the Faithful used to use this verse as a testimonial. Ibn al-Maghazli, in his Manaqib, quotes Mujahid saying: "The one who has brought the truth is Muhammad

- has believed in him is 'Ali ﴿عَالَمَا 'Both huffaz, that is, Ibn Mardawayh and Abu Na'im, have quoted it, and so have others.
- 48. In his exegesis of Surat al-Tur on page 468, Vol. 2, of his authentic *Al-Mustadrak*, al-Hakim quotes Ibn 'Abbas paraphrasing the verse reading: "And those who believe and whose families follow them in faith, to them shall We join their families: nor shall We deprive them (of the fruit) of aught of their good deeds; yet each individual is pawned to what deeds he has done," by saying: "Allah shall elevate the status of a believer's descendants so that they would be able to join him in Paradise, even if they may be in a lower station," then he recited the same verse again and said: "Allah says He will not decrease their rewards aught."
- 49. This is the third verse of the ones enumarated by Ibn Hajar in Chapter 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. The author goes on to say that a group of scholars of exegesis have quoted Ibn 'Abbas saying: "The implication of this verse is to send salutations unto Muhammad's Progeny "Ibn Hajar says that al-Kalbi, too, has given it the same meaning, then he adds: "Al-Fakhr al-Razi has stated that the Prophet's Progeny constitutes his ['Ali's] peer in five instances: Allah has greeted him by saying: 'Peace be unto you, O Messenger, and unto the Progeny of Yasin,' in sending prayers unto him and them in tashahhud, sadaqa, and tahara, when the Almighty says: 'Taha,' that is, tahir, purified, and: '... purifies you with a perfect purification;' in loving them, saying: 'Follow me so that Allah may love you,' and also: 'Say: I do not ask you for any reward other than being kind to my kin.'"
- 50. Al-Bukhari has quoted it in his tafsir of the holy Qur'ān, in Vol. 3 of his Sahih, in a chapter dealing with the verse "Allah and His angels send salutations unto Muhammad," in his exegesis of Surat al-Ahzab. It is also quoted by Muslim in a chapter on sending greetings unto the Prophet in his book on prayers in Vol. 1 of his Sahih, and it is quoted by all traditionists from Ka'b ibn 'Ajrah.

- 51. Refer to the second of these verses on page 87.
- 52. Al-Tha'labi, while discussing its meaning in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, quotes hadith in which the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, says: "Tuba is a tree in Paradise whose root is in my home and whose branch overshadows the residents of Paradise." Some people asked: "O Messenger of Allah! We have asked you before about it, and you told us that its root is in 'Ali's home and its branch is above the residents of Paradise," whereupon he, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: "Aren't my home and 'Ali's the same?"
- that he once asked Abu Ja'far (Imam al-Baqir) about the meaning of the verse: "Then We let those whom We have selected from among Our servants inherit the Book." The Imam, peace be upon him, explained it as follows: "The one who rushes to do good deeds is the Imam; the one who is moderate is the one who knows the value of the Imam; and the one who does injustice to his own self is the one who is not aware of the significance of the Imam." Something similar to this is cited from Imams Abu 'Abdullah al-Sadiq 'Abul-Hasan al-Kazim, and Abul-Hasan al-Rida, peace be upon them, who are quoted by al-Saduq and by many others among our narrators. Ibn Mardawayh quotes 'Ali 'Abul-Hasan al-Rida explaining this verse thus: "The ones implied in this verse are we," and the details are available in our book Tanzil al-Ayat, as well as in Ghayat al-Maram.
- 54. This is quoted by Ibn 'Asakir from Ibn 'Abbas, as stated in Section 3, Chapter 9, page 76, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.

Discussions

Acceptance of spurious narrations

The first part of the correspondence begins with Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī allegedly accepting these narrations wholeheartedly. Had 'Abd al-Ḥusayn included some form of resistance on the part of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī it might have been more convincing. It is significantly odd that the Shaykh al-Azhar would have asked for references for narrations which were more ambiguous, yet here he appears to have been bought and sold on the soundness of these narrations.

Hudhayfah صَالِتُهُ عَلَيْهُ relates that the Messenger of Allah صَالِتُهُ said:

Follow the example of the two after me, Abū Bakr and 'Umar.¹

'Ā'ishah હિંદહીં relates:

When the Messenger of Allah was suffering from that illness from which he succumbed to, he said, "Summon Abū Bakr and your brother, so that no one will desire afterwards or aspire to Abū Bakr's role."

He went on, "Allah and the believers would not allow it to be otherwise." 2

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, ḥadīth 4023.

² Şahīh Muslim, Bāb min Faḍā'il Abī Bakr, ḥadīth 2387.

'Irbāḍ ibn Sāriyah مُنْقِطِينَةُ relates:

وعظنا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم موعظة وجلت منها القلوب وذرفت منها العيون فقلنا يا رسول الله كأنها موعظة مودع فأوصنا قال أوصيكم بتقوى الله والسمع والطاعة وإن تأمر عليكم عبد فإنه من يعش منكم فسيرى اختلافا كثيرا فعليكم بسنتي وسنة الخلفاء الراشدين المهديين عضوا عليها بالنواجذ

The Messenger of Allah gave us a sermon by which our hearts were filled with fear and tears came to our eyes. So we said, "O Messenger of Allah! It is as though this is a farewell sermon, so counsel us."

He said, "I counsel you to have taqwa (fear) of Allah, and to listen and obey [your leader], even if a slave were to become your leader. Verily he among you who lives long will see great controversy, so you must keep to my Sunnah and to the Sunnah of the rightly guided Khulafā'. Cling to it with your molar teeth..."

If one considers these texts, among so many others, would the Shaykh al-Azhar not at least sought clarification on these narrations? The lack of resistance and overwhelming acceptance appears all too convenient.

Ḥadīth evidence before Qur'ānic evidence

Anyone familiar with source methodology in Islamic texts would be aware of the phenomenon of forged aḥādīth. As such, the natural progression for academic discourse is to commence with the verses in the Qur'ān which are categorically clear, then verses which are less categorical though remain suggestive, then the Prophetic aḥādīth.

We have previously alluded to the deficit of evidence from the Qur'ān which support the 'Abd al-Ḥusayn argument. He has finally come around to presenting proofs from the Qur'ān after having prepared the framework that will achieve his preconceived outcome. What is particularly eye-catching is the sheer abundance

¹ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, ḥadīth 4607; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-ʿIlm, ḥadīth 2676.

of narrations that have been cited to support the claim. If one reconsiders for a moment it appears almost as if the Qur'ān—within the paradigm of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's discussion—has been exclusively revealed for establishing the Imāmah of said individuals from the Ahl al-Bayt. Since the alleged evidence from the Qur'ān occurs in such abundance, why begin with Ḥadīth evidence which stands the risk of being debated in terms of acceptance?

The reader is kindly requested to approach the verses from a position of objectivity and neutrality. This is the only way to be shielded from a flawed hermeneutic.

Abundance of verses in praise of Ahl al-Bayt

'Abd al-Ḥusayn commences this round of correspondence claiming that none have been mentioned in the Qur'ān as much as the Ahl al-Bayt. While this statement is not objectionable at face value, there are a few underlying assumptions that need to be clarified which sheds light on the flawed hermeneutic we hinted at earlier.

At the outset, the Ahl al-Sunnah have intense love for the Prophet's blessed family. This is a matter where there is no dispute. Sunnī's maintain that their love and respect for the Ahl al-Bayt is kept in check with the regulators of the Sharī'ah and is motivated by revealed texts. Additionally, loving the Ahl al-Bayt is seen separate from infallibility or the pre-eminent mandate to lead the Ummah.

The second underlying principle concerns those intended by the term Ahl al-Bayt. The term Ahl al-Bayt—within the Sunnī paradigm—applies to the Prophet's wives, and those members from his family who accepted Islam upon whom Zakāh is prohibited; Banū Hāshim and Banū al-Muṭṭalib. This definition has been understood from Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn narrated by Zayd ibn Arqam in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim.¹ Even if 'Abd al-Ḥusayn were alive he ought to have no objection to this definition since it was he who initially cited Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn.

¹ Şaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, ḥadīth 2408.

Finally, the Ahl al-Sunnah do not view the Prophet's blessed family and his noble Companions as adversaries, or as significant others. They are both accorded the love, respect, and admiration as demanded by revealed texts. Bearing this in mind, a merit for the Companions is not a score against the blessed family. Similarly, virtues accorded to the Ahl al-Bayt does not detract from the Ṣaḥābah; may Allah be pleased with them all.

The Verse of Purification

'Abd al-Ḥusayn cites the first of the verses which—according to his claim—exhibit the pre-eminence of the Ahl al-Bayt and proves that following them in all religious matters is necessary. The verse which he cites is referred to as $\bar{A}yat\ al$ - $Tath\bar{u}r$, or the Verse of Purification.

Allah intends only to remove from you the impurity [of sin], O people of the [Prophet's] household, and to purify you with [extensive] purification.¹

This is actually a portion of a verse which occurs amidst a series of verses addressing the Prophet's wives.

While we agree with 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in his claim that this refers to the Ahl al-Bayt, we disagree that they are unique in this regard. Allah has revealed the following in praise of the participants at Badr:

Behold! He caused slumber to overcome [all of you] as a security from Him. Moreover He sent down upon you from the sky, water to purify you thereby; and to remove from you the defilement of [the whisperings of] Satan, and to gird your hearts, and set firm [your] feet thereby.²

¹ Sūrah al-Ahzāb: 33

² Sūrah al-Anfāl: 11

It is evident from the verse above that—contrary to what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn claims—the accolade of purification is not unique to the Ahl al-Bayt. It is, in fact, a trait which is also shared by the valiant participants at Badr.

Some have argued that the verse in *al-Aḥzāb* applies to 'Alī, Fāṭimah, Ḥasan, and Ḥusayn exclusively as it was they whom the Prophet gathered under his cloak, praying for them to purified. Thus it does not apply to the Prophet's wives.

The absurdity of this claim is exposed by the parlance of the Qur'ān, in addition to the placement of this verses as it is preceded and succeeded by verses addressing the wives of the Prophet مَا الله عليه عليه specifically. As a matter of fact, the verse commences addressing the Prophet's مَا الله عليه عليه wives, and immediately addresses them after mentioning purification.

In another verse of the Qur'ān, Allah while informing us of Mūsā مُلْيَالُتُكُلُّ says:

[Mention] when (Prophet) Mūsā said to his **family [his wife]**, "Indeed, I have perceived a fire. I will bring you from there information."

This verse appears repeatedly with slight variation in wording in many places in the Qur'ān. It refers to the incident where Mūsā are was traveling with his wife. They had become concerned as they entered unfamiliar territory and Mūsā told his wife that he had seen a fire. The part that concerns us is that Mūsā was only traveling with his wife. Therefore, the term Ahl, here, was used exclusively for his wife.

In another verse we find:

¹ Sūrah al-Naml: 7

She said, "What is the recompense of one who intended evil for **your Ahl** (wife) but that he is imprisoned..."

This verse refers to the complaint against Yūsuf by the wife of the ʿAzīz of Egypt to her husband. She accused him of making inappropriate advances towards her. Again the word has been used exclusively for the wife.

While in Sūrah Hūd, we find even clearer usage of the word Ahl al-Bayt:

They said, "Are you amazed at the decree of Allah? May the mercy of Allah and His blessings be upon you, **Ahl al-Bayt (people of the house)**. Indeed, He is Praiseworthy and Honourable."²

In this verse the angels are addressing Sārah, the wife of Ibrāhīm [15], giving her the glad tidings of the son to be born to her. Again, the term Ahl al-Bayt is used for the wife. The angels conclude the dialogue supplicating for her. It is interesting to note the transition of pronoun from feminine to masculine when Ibrāhīm [15] is included in the supplication.

If we study the style and language of the verse above, it solves the dilemma raised by some. They argue that the transition from a feminine pronoun to a masculine pronoun in the verse in $S\bar{u}rah$ al- $A\dot{h}z\bar{a}b$ denotes a change of discourse. However, the verse in $S\bar{u}rah$ $H\bar{u}d$ addresses this superficial problem and proves that this transition is conventional when the entire family unit is being addressed. Furthermore, the Qur' $\bar{a}n$ is coherent and consistent. The verses preceding $\bar{A}yat$ al- $Tath\bar{u}r$, and those succeeding it, all include the wives. Why then should they be excluded?

¹ Sūrah Yūsuf: 25

² Sūrah Hūd: 73

The argument in light of Ḥadīth al-Kisā, where the Prophet نامخيني took ʿAlī, Fāṭimah, Ḥasan, and Ḥusayn under his cloak, is counterproductive. If anything, it lends itself to the understanding that they were not originally included by the address in Sūrah al-Aḥzāb, hence the Prophet اصالحت المعارضة later supplicated for them, on the grounds that they are also his family, may Allah be pleased with them and elevate their status.

To sum up, we concur that $\bar{A}yat$ al- $Tath\bar{n}r$ refers to the Ahl al-Bayt. Only we believe it to apply to them in their entirety and that the Prophet's wives are intended in the first degree. We disagree that this spiritual purification was exclusive to the Ahl al-Bayt since the verse in $S\bar{u}rah$ al- $Anf\bar{a}l$ speaks of spiritual purification for the heroes of Badr as well. Lastly, the process of purification is a virtue, but not one that qualifies one for incumbent leadership of the Muslims after the Prophet's when $\bar{a}l$ demise, nor does it confer upon them legal authority.

Āyat al-Mawaddah

'Abd al-Ḥusayn goes on to suggest that the obligation to love has not been revealed in respect of anyone besides them, citing the verse in Sūrah al-Shūra

Say [to them]: I do not ask of you any reward for this except goodwill [that is expected] among close relatives. And whoever commits a good deed—We will increase for him good therein. Indeed, Allah is Forgiving and Appreciative.¹

In the footnotes 'a good deed' is interpreted as loving them and Allah's forgiveness is promised—based on this interpretation—for those who love them; and it is this that Allah is ever appreciative for.

¹ Sūrah al-Shūrā: 23

Before providing the correct understanding of this verse. It is necessary that we reiterate that the obligation of loving the Prophet's family is undoubtedly a religious duty. Again we confirm that loving the blessed family applies to the Ahl al-Bayt in its entirety.

Having said that we ought to point out that the virtues and merits of Ahl al-Bayt are many, but does this verse refer to the Ahl al-Bayt? To answer this question we seek the guidance of a member of the Ahl al-Bayt, the Prophet's cousin, 'Abd Allāh ibn al-'Abbās ﷺ.

When asked about this verse, Saʿīd ibn Jubayr responded, "To be kind to the family of Muhammad." Immediately Ibn ʿAbbās interjected saying, "No, you have jumped to a hasty conclusion. There was no clan among the Quraysh to whom the Prophet مَا لَمُنْ did not have some ties of kinship." He then interpreted this part of the verse as

Except that you uphold the ties of kinship that exist between me and you.¹

The verse ought to be understood as an instruction to the Prophet to say to the disbelievers from the Quraysh: I do not ask you for anything in return for this message and sincere advice which I bring to you. All I ask of you is that you withhold your evil from me and let me convey the Message of my Lord. If you will not help me, then do not prevent me, for the sake of the ties of kinship that exist between you and I.

Ibn Kathīr has cited this narration and used it to interpret this verse. He then points out a peculiar narration which is found in the Tafsīr of Ibn Abī Ḥātim with its chain to Ibn ʿAbbās :

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, ḥadīth 4818.

'Alī ibn Ḥusayn — a man (Ibn Abī Ḥātīm withheld the name) — Ḥusayn al-Ashqar — Qays — al-A'mash — Sa'īd ibn Jubayr — Ibn 'Abbās who said that when this verse was revealed the Companions asked the Prophet who are those whom Allah has enjoined upon us to love?"

He replied, "Fātimah and her children."

Ibn Kathīr comments on this narration saying, "This chain is unreliable. In addition to the anonymous narrator, his teacher, **Ḥusayn al-Ashqar**, is a fanatic Shīʿī, whose narrations in this regard are unreliable. Furthermore, the narration suggests that this verse was revealed in Madīnah and this is farfetched. On the contrary, it was revealed in Makkah and Fāṭimah had no children then. In fact she only wed 'Alī after the Battle of Badr in the second year after Hijrah."

This is the same Ḥusayn ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ashqar whose narrations appeared in our discussions on earlier correspondence. He has been discredited by al-Bukhārī, Abū Zurʿah—who considered him completely unreliable—and Abū Ḥātim. Al-Jūzajānī calls him an extremist Shīʿī accused of cursing the Companions. Ibn ʿAdī has pointed out the fact that he was known to have narrated many baseless narrations.²

This clarifies that the instruction was to the Quraysh, the one deserving of love in this instance is the Prophet مَالِسَنَاعِينَة, and the underlying cause was their blood relationship to the Prophet مَالِسَنَاعِينَة.

Furthermore, even if the verse applied to the Ahl al-Bayt it calls for loving them; there is nothing to suggest absolute obedience to them or that their leadership is mandated.

¹ Tafsīr ibn Kathīr, Sūrah al-Shūrā: 23-24. vol. 6 pg. 548.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 531.

Āyat al-Mubāhalah

Mubāhalah is a term in Arabic which refers to resolving an issuer of great significance by supplicating against the opposing party.

This refers to the verse in Sūrah Āl 'Imrān:

Then whoever argues with you about it after [this] knowledge has come to you—say, "Come, let us call our sons and your sons, our women and your women, ourselves and yourselves, then supplicate earnestly [together] and invoke the curse of Allah upon the liars [among us]."

The reason for the call to <code>Mubāhalah</code> is that a delegation from the Christians of Najrān (in the south of the Arabian Peninsula) came to Madīnah to debate about 'Īsā 'Allah', claiming that he was divine and the son of Allah. In this regard many verses from Sūrah Āl 'Imrān were revealed. When the debate had reached a point that the Christians were unwilling to admit their error, Allah commanded the Prophet 'Limit' to engage in <code>Mubāhalah</code>.

Ḥudhayfah وَخُولَيْكُهُمْنُهُ narrates:

عن حذيفة قال جاء العاقب والسيد صاحبا نجران إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يريدان أن يلاعناه قال فقال أحدهما لصاحبه لا تفعل فوالله لئن كان نبيا فلاعنا لا نفلح نحن ولا عقبنا من بعدنا.قالا إنا نعطيك ما سألتنا وابعث معنا رجلا أمينا ولا تبعث معنا إلا أمينا. فقال لأبعثن معكم رجلا أمينا حق أمين فاستشرف له أصحاب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال قم يا أبا عبيدة بن الجراح فلما قام قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم هذا أمين هذه الأمة

Al-ʿĀqib and al-Sayyid, the rulers of Najrān, came to the Messenger with the intention of invoking Allah's wrath by means of supplication. One

¹ Sūrah Āl 'Imrān: 61.

of them said to the other, "Do not do this. By Allah, if he is indeed a Prophet and we do this, neither we, nor our offspring after us will be successful."

Then both of them said (to the Prophet ""), "We will give what you should ask but you should send a trustworthy man with us, and do not send any person with us but an honest one."

The Prophet said, "I will send an honest man who is truly trustworthy."

Then every one of the Companions of the Messenger مُؤْمُنُونِينَا hoped to be that person.

Then the Prophet said, "Stand up, O Abū 'Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ."

When he got up, the Messenger said, "This is the Amīn of this Ummah."

Another element in this incident is narrated by 'Ā'ishah ﷺ that the Prophet ﷺ went out one morning wearing a striped cloak. His grandson Ḥasan approached him, and the Prophet ﷺ embraced him under the cloak, then his brother Ḥusayn came and the Prophet ﷺ covered him with the cloak as well. Thereafter Fāṭimah ﷺ approached and the Prophet ﷺ did the same with her and soon thereafter 'Alī ﷺ approached, and the Prophet ﷺ gathered him under the cloak as well. He then supplicated to Allah to rid them of defilement and to purify them.²

This is the complimentary element to $\bar{A}yat$ al-Taṭh $\bar{i}r$. The details have been covered under that discussion.

There is, however, another element related to $\bar{A}yat$ al-Mubāhalah which has been raised by the Shī ah and there is no harm addressing it here. They claim that

¹ Şahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth 4380.

² Şaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah 🌬, Ḥadīth 2424.

'Alī '''' has been equated to the Prophet ''' in this verse by virtue of the words, "ourselves and yourselves," which form part of the verse. The Nafs [self] being referred to here refers to 'Alī ''' according to the Shī'ah since it is inconceivable that one would call one's self. Therefore the Nafs, by necessity, has to refer to 'Alī ''''.

They further claim that since 'Alī مَثْلَيْنَا اللهُ اللهُ

This interpretation appears to arise out of a deficiency in grasping the style of Arabic used in the Qur'ān, as well as the underlying cultural convention of including one's son-in-law among one's children. This in addition to the fact that 'Alī was raised by the Prophet in his own home.

The idea of addressing one's self is evident in many passages in the Qur'ān. These are example where the words *Nafs* applies to one's self. These will include examples that demonstrate the fact that two parties referred to as *Nafs* are not necessarily equal.

And his own Nafs [soul] prompted him to the murder of his brother, so he killed him and became among the losers.

In this verse Allah is referring to the son of \bar{A} dam who killed his own brother. The Nafs here referred to himself. It was not some separate entity.

Then, you are those [who are] killing one another.1

¹ Sūrah al-Baqarah: 85.

Nafs is used here for the collective. It certainly does not mean that every individual who makes up the collective is equal. The summary is that applying the word Nafs to 'Alī 'will's is unconventional, and even if it were acceptable, it does not put 'Alī and the Prophet 'will's on equal footing.

Until this point the error has been understanding a verse incorrectly, although there might have been a remote connection in some way to the Ahl al-Bayt. However, in what follows the evidence that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn furnishes is completely isolated from the Ahl al-Bayt that if any person were to read from the Muṣḥaf they would have absolutely no indication that these verses are connected to Ahl al-Bayt in any way.

To overcome this obstacle the Shī ah have resorted to an alternative strategy; that is to fabricate an episode which links members of the Ahl al-Bayt to verses of the Qur'ān. Therefore, the verse will only lend itself to their desired meaning if it is accompanied by the forged narration. The extent of their forgeries will be revealed in the pages that follow.

Sūrah al-Dahr

In the footnotes, a comment is made on the verse of poetry cited by 'Abd al-Husayn, that the Sūrah beginning with *Hal Atā* (Sūrah al-Dahr, also known as Sūrah al-Insān) has been revealed regarding 'Alī and his children.

Suffice to say that this Sūrah was revealed in Makkah by consensus. 'Alī only wed Fāṭimah after the Battle of Badr in the second year after Hijrah. His children were only born much later. The historical inaccuracy disproves the notion that this Sūrah was revealed about these noble individuals.

Al-Thaʿlabī quotes a narration by way of:

 ${f Al ext{-}Qasim\ ibn\ Bahrar{a}m-Layth\ ibn\ Abar{i}\ Sulaym-}$ Muj $ar{a}$ hid — Ibn ʻAbb $ar{a}$ s

And again by way of:

In this narration he relates an incident wherein Ḥasan and Ḥusayn were ill. The Messenger of Allah with some other Companions, went to visit them. The visitors suggested 'Alī with make a vow to Allah: if He were to cure them, he would perform some good action. Thereafter 'Alī, Fāṭimah, and their servant Fiḍḍah vowed to Allah that they would fast for three days if He would cure Ḥasan and Ḥusayn.

After they were cured 'Alī and Fāṭimah began to fast. 'Alī baked bread. borrowed barley from a Jewish person, from which Fāṭimah baked bread. Each night, at the time of breaking their fast, someone came to ask food and they gave over their supper. The first night it was a pauper, the second night, an orphan and the third a captive of war. The narration continues that Jibrīl baked bread. The narration continues that Jibrīl baked bread.

They [are those who] fulfil [their] vows and fear a Day whose evil will be widespread. And they give food in spite of love for it to the needy, the orphan, and the captive.¹

It does not come as a surprise to know that the narrators in both chains are compromised.

Al-Qāsim ibn Bahrām

Al-Qāsim ibn Bahrām has been declared weak by al-Dāraquṭnī, and Ibn Ḥibbān has included him in his compendium of unreliable narrators.²

¹ Sūrah al-Dahr: 7-8.

² Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 8 pg. 308.

Layth ibn Abī Sulaym

Layth ibn Abī Sulaym¹ has been discussed in our previous discussions. He was considered unreliable as well.

Muḥammad ibn Sā'ib al-Kalbī

Appearing in the second chain is al-Kalbī. His complete name is Muḥammad ibn Sā'ib al-Kalbī, who, in addition to his extreme form of Shī'ism, was accused of forging Ḥadīth.²

As a matter of fact, this chain: al-Kalb \bar{l} — Ab \bar{u} Ṣāli \bar{l} — Ibn 'Abbās forms part of what is known as Silsilat al-Kadhib (the chain of lies).3

The Rope of Allah

And hold firmly to the rope of Allah all together and do not become divided.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's citations reveals the inconsistencies of the Shīʿah. A few pages back, he listed so many narrations—claiming that they were Mutawātir—on Ḥadīth al-Thaqalayn. If he really accepted them as Mutawātir, they disprove his reasoning here. Those narrations refer to the Book of Allah as His rope suspended from the heavens.⁴

The editor saw it fit to quote Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq interpreting the Rope of Allah in this verse as the Ahl al-Bayt. He has not been able to provide any reference

¹ Refer to pg. 142 of this book.

² Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol.1 pg. 101; al-Majrūḥīn vol.2 pg.253.

³ Tadrīb al-Rāwī vol. 1 pg. 181.

⁴ Refer to pg. 102 of this book.

besides the *Tafsīr* of al-Thaʿlabī whose Tafsīr combines all sorts of narration. A casual reference like this is insufficient to prove that the narration to Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq is reliable. The problem is compounded when one considers the fact that Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq did not witness the revelation of the Qurʾān. Whereas there are individuals who witnessed the revelation of the Qurʾān, and who understood its context, and were aware of whom or what the verses were addressed to. The conclusion is based on a premise that is presumed fact, whereas the premise itself requires proof.

'Alī seems to have a different view since he has described the Qur'ān as "Allah's firm rope and His straight path".

Al-Suy \bar{u} t \bar{t} has referenced the opinion of Ibn Masʻ \bar{u} d to numerous sources. He has also interpreted the Rope of Allah as the Qur' \bar{a} n.²

Other opinions on what the Rope of Allah refers to range from:

- the majority of Muslims,
- the religion in general,
- the covenant with Allah,
- sincerity.3

As far as the Ahl al-Sunnah are concerned, these remain opinions. What would make one opinion more deserving than another? Opinions which can be traced to the era in which the Qur'ān was revealed hold much more weight than later opinions; since that generation is the primary audience to receive the Qur'ān. So it stands to reason that they would be in the best position to understand the Qur'ān in terms of its language and context. In this case we have a number of

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Faḍā'il al-Qur'an, Ḥadīth 3153.

² Al-Durr al-Manthūr, vol. 4 pg. 709; Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī, vol. 5. pg. 646.

³ Al-Durr al-Manthūr vol. 4 pg. 709 - 714.

individuals from that generation explaining the Rope of Allah to mean the Book of Allah. This, in addition to the fact that these opinions can be reliably traced back to the Companions, lends credibility to this particular understanding.

The poetry which has been attributed to al-Shāfiʿī by the editor in support of ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's interpretation cannot be reliably traced back to him. As a matter of fact, these do not feature in his anthology of poems. It becomes more suspicious when these verses of poetry allude to the narration of the Ark of Nūḥ, which was earlier proven to be a forgery. What makes matters worse still is that the poor level of language in those verses of poetry are not in harmony with the level of Arabic of a scholar who is an accepted authority on the language. At best these might be incorrectly ascribed to him, though the possibility of forgery seems more likely.

The Truthful Ones

O you who have believed, fear Allah and be with those who are true.1

'Abd al-Ḥusayn suggests that this verse applies to the Ahl al-Bayt exclusively. The editor takes the claim further saying that it is restricted to the Imāms, based on the Mutawātir reports in their reliable collections.

The truth is that these verses appear at the end of Sūrah al-Tawbah, where Allah gives the details of the expedition of Tabūk. In this expedition, three of the Ṣaḥābah remained behind in Madīnah without excuse. The Munāfiqīn who remained behind presented false excuses to the Prophet and they were let off the hook. However, these three Companions, refused to give false excuses and were excommunicated for a period of over fifty days. During this period they were tempted by offers from neighbouring Kings, to abandon the Prophet

¹ Sūrah al-Tawbah: 119.

and join the disbelievers. However, these three Companions remained firm, hoping in Allah; and on account of their blunt honesty and truthfulness to the cause of Islam, they were not only forgiven but had their forgiveness announced in the Qur'ān for all to read until eternity. Allah placed this verse immediately after recounting their ordeal.

Ka'b ibn Mālik, who was one of the three, retells the story in great detail. Below is an excerpt of his account of what occurred:

After I had offered my Fajr prayer on the early morning of the fiftieth day of this boycott on the roof of one of our houses, and had sat in the very state which Allah described as, "The earth seemed constrained for me despite its vastness," I heard the voice of a proclaimer from the peak of the hill Sal' shouting at the top of his voice, "O Ka'b ibn Mālik, rejoice."

I fell down in prostration and came to know that there was (a message of) relief for me. The Messenger of Allah مَا اللهُ عَلَيْكُ had informed the people about the acceptance of our repentance by Allah after he had offered the Fajr prayer. So the people went on to give us glad tidings and some of them went to my Companions in order to give them the glad tidings. A man spurred his horse towards me (to give the good news), and another one from the tribe of Aslam came running for the same purpose and, as he approached the mount, I received the good news which reached me before the rider did. When the one whose voice I had heard came to me to congratulate me, I took off my garments and gave them to him for the good news he brought to me. By Allah, I possessed nothing else (in the form of clothes) except these garments, at that time. Then I borrowed two garments, dressed myself and came to the Messenger of Allah مَالِسُنَادِينَةُ On my way, I met groups of people who greeted me for (the acceptance of) repentance and they said, "Congratulations on the acceptance of your repentance." I reached the mosque where the Messenger of Allah مَالِتُعَلِيمَةُ اللهِ was sitting amidst people. Talhah ibn 'Ubayd Allah got up and rushed towards me, shook hands with me, and greeted me. By Allah, no person stood up (to greet me) from amongst the Muhājirīn besides him.

Ka'b said that he never forgot (this good gesture of) Ṭalḥah. Ka'b further said, "I greeted the Messenger of Allah with Salām and his face was beaming with pleasure.

He said, "Rejoice with the best day you have ever seen since your mother gave you birth."

I said, "O Messenger of Allah! Is this (good news) from you or from Allah?"

He said, "No, it is from Allah."

And it was common with the Messenger of Allah that whenever he was happy, his face would glow as if it were a part of the moon and it was from this that we recognized it (his delight).

As I sat before him, I said, "I have placed a condition upon myself that if Allah accepts my Tawbah, I would give up all of my property in charity for the sake of Allah and His Messenger

Thereupon Messenger of Allah مالله said, "Keep some property with you, as it is better for you."

I said, "I shall keep with me that portion which is in Khaybar."

I added, "O Messenger of Allah! Verily, Allah has granted me salvation because of my truthfulness, and therefore, repentance obliges me to speak nothing but the truth as long as I am alive."

Ka'b added, "By Allah, I do not know anyone among the Muslims who has been granted truthfulness better than me since I said this to the Prophet .By Allah! Since the time I made a pledge of this to Messenger of Allah .I have never intended to tell a lie, and I hope that Allah would protect me (against telling lies) for the rest of my life. Allah, the Exalted, the Glorious, revealed these verses:

Allah has already forgiven the Prophet, the Muhājirīn, and the Anṣār who followed him in the hour of difficulty after the hearts of a party of them had almost inclined [to doubt], and then He forgave them. Indeed, He was to them Kind and Merciful.

And [He also forgave] the three who were left behind [and regretted their error] to the point that the earth closed in on them in spite of its vastness and their souls confined them and they were certain that there is no refuge from Allah except in Him. Then He turned to them so they could repent. Indeed, Allah is the Accepting of repentance, the Merciful.

O you who have believed, fear Allah and be with those who are true.

Ka'b continued, "By Allah, since Allah guided me to Islam, there has been no blessing more significant for me than this truth of mine which I spoke to the Messenger of Allah , and if I were to tell a lie I would have been ruined as were ruined those who had told lies, for Allah described those who told lies with the worst description He ever attributed to anybody else...¹

If we consider this narration, it gives a clear idea of the context in which this verse was revealed.

Nonetheless, this interpretation is further supported by a narration from 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar نستنی who interpreted the truthful ones in this verse to mean: The Prophet ما ما ما المنافقة and his Companions ما ما المنافقة على المنافقة على المنافقة المناف

The Qur'ān also refers to the Muhājirīn as the truthful ones:

¹ Şaḥīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, ḥadīth 4418; Ṣaḥīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Tawbah, Ḥadīth 2767-2769.

² Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol.12 pg. 67; Tafsīr ibn Abī Ḥātim vol.6 pg. 1906.

For the poor emigrants who were expelled from their homes and their properties, seeking bounty from Allah and [His] approval and supporting Allah and His Messenger, [there is also a share]. Those are the truthful.¹

The ones intended by this verse primarily are the Prophet And his Companions Although, the comprehensive expression used in the verse allows for the inclusion of others in a secondary capacity provided they possess the traits espoused by this verse. Even the sequence suggests this. Consider that the Prophet was mentioned, then his Companions were mentioned immediately after him, the Muhājirīn, the Anṣār, those who followed him in the most trying expedition, then the three who remained behind. The instruction is then given to fear Allah and be with the truthful ones. Immediately thereafter Allah admonishes those who lagged behind without excuse.

There is no interruption in the Qur'anic address which would suggest the inclusion of anyone else. The expedition of Tabūk was one where 'Alī did not participate because the Prophet instructed him to remain behind in Madīnah and see to the affairs of the Prophet Though he is not included in the admonishment, it serves as a further indication of the error of applying this verse to the Ahl al-Bayt, or the Imāms of the Ahl al-Bayt specifically.

The editor's claim that this verse applies exclusively to the Imāms is one without any evidence to support it. The only narrations that could be cited in this regard are narrated by way of Muḥammad ibn al-Sā'ib al-Kalbī² who was accused of lying and forging narrations.³ And by way of Aḥmad ibn Ṣabīh al-Asadī — Mufaḍḍal ibn Ṣāliḥ — Jābir — Abū Jaʿfar.

Aḥmad ibn Ṣabīh

Aḥmad ibn Ṣabīh has been declared weak4 by the scholars of Ḥadīth.

¹ Sūrah al-Hashr: 7.

² Tafsīr al-Tha'labī vol. 5 pg. 109

³ Refer to pg.195 of this book.

⁴ Lisān al-Mīzān vol.1 pg. 485

Mufaddal ibn Şālih

Mufaḍḍal ibn Ṣāliḥ is also a weak narrator 1 and is one of the narrators of the fabricated Ḥadīth comparing the Ahl al-Bayt to the Ark of Nūḥ व्रामाध्य 2

Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Ju'fī

Jābir is the famous Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī from Kūfah, about whom Abū Ḥanīfah said:

I have never seen a greater liar than Jābir al-Juʿfī.3

He was accused of lying by many of the Ḥadīth critics, including his peers.⁴

One wonders if these are the Mutawātir narrations that the editor was referring to, which appear in their sound collections.

The Path of Allah and the Way of Allah

'Abd al-Ḥusayn refers to them as such based on the verse in Sūrah al-An'ām:

And, [moreover], this is My path, which is straight, so follow it; and do not follow [other] ways, for you will be separated from His way. This has He instructed you that you may become righteous.⁵

Ibn Masʿūd مُنْفَطِينَةُ relates from the Prophet مَثَلِّلَةُ مَثَلِيَّةُ relates from the Prophet وَصَالِمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ مَثَلًا مُعَالِمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّالِمُ عَلَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّالِمُ عَلَّا عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَاللَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَاللَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَاللَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَالْمِعْ عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَالْمِعُلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَل

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 167

² Refer to pg. 115 of this book.

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 379

⁴ Ibid

⁵ Sūrah al-An'ām: 153

عن عبد الله بن مسعود قال خط لنا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم خطا ثم قال هذا سبيل الله ثم خط خطوطا عن يمينه وعن شماله ثم قال هذه سبل قال يزيد متفرقة على كل سبيل منها شيطان يدعو إليه ثم قرأ وَأَنَّ هٰذَا صِرْطِيْ مُسْتَقِيْمًا فَاتَبِعُوهُ وَلَا تَتَبِعُواْ السُّبُلَ فَتَفَرَّقَ بِكُمْ عَنْ سَبِيْلِهِ

The Messenger of Allah drew a line with his hand (in the sand) and said, "This is Allah's path, leading straight." He then drew lines to the right and left of that line and said, "These are the other paths, on each path there is a devil who calls to it." He then recited, "And, [moreover], this is My path, which is straight, so follow it; and do not follow [other] ways, for you will be separated from His way."

This parable is further explained by the narration of Nawwās ibn Samʿān, who reports that the Messenger مَالِسُتَهُ said:

عن النواس بن سمعان الكلابي قال: قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إن الله ضرب مثلا صراطا مستقيما على كنفى الصراط داران لهما أبواب مفتحة على الأبواب ستور وداع يدعو على رأس الصراط وداع يدعو فوقه والله يدعو إلى دار السلام ويهدي من يشاء إلى صراط مستقيم والأبواب التي على كنفى الصراط حدود الله فلا يقع أحد في حدود الله حتى يكشف الستر والذي يدعو من فوقه واعظ ربه

Indeed Allah has made a parable of the straight path: At the sides of the path there are walls with open doors, each door having a curtain. There is a caller at the head of the path calling, and a caller above it calling. And Allah invites to the abode of peace and guides whomever He wills to the straight path. The doors which are on the sides of the path are the <code>Ḥudūd</code> (limitations) of Allah; no one breaches the Ḥudūd of Allah except that the curtain is lifted, and the one calling from above it is his Lord's admonisher.²

Imām Aḥmad has related a lengthier narration from Nawwās ibn Samʿān 🏭:

ضرب الله مثلا صراطا مستقيما وعن جنبي الصراط سوران فيهما أبواب مفتحة وعلى الأبواب ستور مرخاة وعلى باب الصراط داع يدعو يا أيها الناس هلموا ادخلوا الصراط المستقيم جميعا ولا تفرقوا

¹ Musnad Ahmad vol.7 pg. 207, Ḥadīth 4142.

² Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Amthāl, Ḥadīth 2859.

وداع يدعو من فوق الصراط فإذا أراد الإنسان أن يفتح شيئا من تلك الأبواب قال ويحك لا تفتحه فإنك إن فتحته تلجه فالصراط الإسلام والسوران حدود الله والأبواب المفتحة محارم الله وذلك الداعي على رأس الصراط كتاب الله والداعي من فوق الصراط واعظ الله في قلب كل مسلم

Allah has given a parable of the straight path, and on either side of this path, there are two walls containing open doors. On these doors there are curtains that are lowered down. On the entrance of this path there is a caller announcing, "O people! Come and enter the straight path all together and do not divide." There is also another caller that announces from above the path, who says, when a person wants to remove the curtain on any of these doors, "Woe to you! Do not open this door, for if you open it, you will enter it." The (straight) path is Islam, the two walls are Allah's set limits, the open doors lead to Allah's prohibitions, the caller on the entrance of the path is Allah's Book, while the caller from above the path is Allah's admonition in the heart of every Muslim.¹

There is no sound evidence from al-Bāqir or al-Ṣādiq that they interpreted this verse as such. Even if it is proven from them, their authority is still to be proven. That, against the backdrop of the Ḥadīth of Nawwās, wherein the Prophet clarified the Path to mean Islam, clearly demonstrates the desperate measures to which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn—and the rest of the Shī ah for that matter—resort to in order to prove their doctrine.

Those entrusted with Authority

O you who have believed, obey Allah and obey the Messenger, and those in authority among you. And if you disagree over anything, refer it to Allah and the Messenger, if you should believe in Allah and the Last Day. That is the best [way] and best in result.²

¹ Musnad Ahmad vol.29 pg. 181.

² Sūrah al-Nisā': 59.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn claims that this verse in Sūrah al-Nisā' applies to the Ahl al-Bayt, hence conferring upon them religious authority. Again, the conclusion relies on a preconceived premise. The evidence presented to indicate that the Imāms are the ones entrusted with authority only originates from the statement of the Imāms in the Shīʿī texts.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn undertook to only provide evidence which was mutually acceptable. Unfortunately, he has failed to do so in most cases. In the rare cases that he has presented mutually acceptable evidence, it does not support the claim unless it is twisted beyond the fabric of its reality. Evidence that is so farfetched can hardly convince the Shīʿah who approach the subject objectively let alone Sunnis trained in the scholarly tradition.

According to the Shīʿah, the Imāms have been divinely appointed and no one can stand in their place. This means that leadership on the basis of Ijtihād is invalid. Conversely, if it can be proven that the Prophet instituted appointments based on Ijtihād, it proves that the Shīʿī understanding is flawed.

'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbās نفاه said that this verse was revealed in connection with 'Abd Allah ibn Ḥudhāfah ibn Qays ibn 'Adī when the Prophet ما appointed him as the commander of a Sariyyah (army detachment).¹

'Alī مُعَلِّقُهُ narrates:

عن علي رضى الله عنه أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بعث جيشا وأمر عليهم رجلا وأمرهم أن يسمعوا له ويطيعوا فأجج نارا وأمرهم أن يقتحموا فيها فأبى قوم أن يدخلوها وقالوا إنما فررنا من النار وأراد قوم أن يدخلوها فبلغ ذلك النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال لو دخلوها أو دخلوا فيها لم يزالوا فيها وقال لا طاعة في معصية الله إنما الطاعة في المعروف

The Messenger of Allah seems sent an army and appointed a man as a commander for them and he commanded them to listen to him and obey. He kindled a fire and ordered them to jump into it. A group refused to

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, Ḥadīth 4584; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Bāb Wujūb Ṭāʿat al-Amīr, Ḥadīth 1834.

enter into it and said, "We escaped from the fire; a group intended to enter into it. When the Prophet المنافقة was informed about it, he said, "Had they entered into it, they would have remained in it. There is no obedience in matters involving disobedience to Allah. Obedience is in matters which are good and universally recognized."

If we consider this narration carefully, it suggests that obedience to an authority is restricted to matters which are not in disobedience to Allah. The verse tells us that if we dispute something we ought to refer it back to Allah and His Messenger. This combination is only possible if the authority spoken of in this verse is a person of Ijtihād. The Imāms are said to be infallible. The two are mutually exclusive.

Abū Hurayrah وَخَلْلَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ relates from the Prophet مَخَلِلَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ relates from the Prophet وَخَلْلَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ اللَّهُ عَلْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلْ

عن أبى هريرة رضي الله عنه قال قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم كانت بنو إسرائيل تسوسهم الأنبياء كلما هلك نبى خلفه نبى وإنه لا نبى بعدى وسيكون بعدى خلفاء فيكثرون قالوا يا رسول الله فما تأمرنا؟ قال أوفوا ببيعة الأول فالأول ثم أعطوهم حقهم واسألوا الله الذي لكم فإن الله سائلهم عما استرعاهم

The Prophet said, "The Banū Isrā'īl were ruled by the Prophets. When one Prophet died, another succeeded him. There will be no Prophet after me. Khulafā' will come after me, and they will be many."

The Companions said, "O Messenger of Allah, what do you command us to do?"

He said, "Fulfil the pledge of allegiance to which is sworn first (then swear allegiance to the others). Concede to them their due rights and ask Allah that which is due to you. Allah will call them to account in respect of the subjects whom He had entrusted to them."²

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Aḥkām, Ḥadīth 7145; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Bāb Wujūb Ṭāʿat al-Amīr, Ḥadīth 1840.

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth 7053; Ṣahīḥ Muslim, al-Imārah, 1842.

Why would the Prophet مَالِسُتَكِينَةُ grant authority to elected leaders if the Qur'ān already specified whom the leaders were going to be?

Anas ibn Mālik مُثَوِّسَلَةُ relates that the Prophet مَثَلِّسُةُ said:

Listen and obey even if the person placed in authority over you is an Abyssinian slave whose head is like a raisin.¹

These are many more narrations that serve a common meaning: Obedience to a leader without specifying who the leader is. Furthermore, the leadership and authority being spoken of here demands obedience as long as nothing sinful is instructed. These descriptions stand at stark contrast to what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn would have us believe.

Furthermore, the verse immediately after it suggests that all matters which are disputed ought to be referred back to Allah and His Messenger why would this be necessary in the presence of a living Imām who is the authority?

The next $Had\bar{t}h$ undeniably disproves the interpretation presented by 'Abd al-Husayn.

'Ubādah ibn al-Ṣāmit صَالَتُهُمَا relates that the Messenger of Allah صَالَتُهُمَا وَعَلَيْهُمُ said:

دعانا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فبايعناه فكان فيما أخذ علينا أن بايعنا على السمع والطاعة في منشطنا ومكرهنا وعسرنا ويسرنا وأثرة علينا وأن لا ننازع الأمر أهله قال إلا أن تروا كفرا بواحا عندكم من الله فيه برهان

The Messenger of Allah called us and we took the oath of allegiance to him. Among the injunctions he made binding upon us was: Listening and obedience (to the leader) in our pleasure and displeasure, in our

¹ Saḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, al-Adhān, Ḥadīth 693.

adversity and prosperity, even when somebody is given preference over us, and without disputing the delegation of powers to a man duly invested with them; except when you have clear signs of his disbelief for which you have a proof of from Allah.¹

This Ḥadīth clearly indicates that authority—as advocated in this verse—is a matter which warrants obedience. However, that authority has limitations. Those limitations, by necessity, prove that the person granted that authority acquires it by Ijtihād and not divine instatement; unless 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is willing to admit that clear disbelief is logically possibility for one of the Imāms.

The Custodians of Revelation

'Abd al-Ḥusayn dubs them the Custodians of Revelation in reference to the verse in Sūrah al-Nahl:

And We sent not before you except men to whom We revealed [Our message]. So ask the people of the message if you do not know.²

Taking sequence and structural elements into account we find that the people of the message referred to in this verse are the people of scripture.

Ibn 'Abbās Fill interpreted this verse as follows:

When Allah sent Muḥammad على as a Messenger, the Arabs, or some of them, denied him and said, "Allah is too great to send a human being as a Messenger," so Allah revealed:

Have the people been amazed that We revealed [revelation] to a man from among them, [saying], "Warn mankind and give good tidings to those who believe that they will have a [firm] precedence of honour with their Lord?"

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth 7055; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, al-Imārah, 1840.

² Sūrah al-Nahl: 43

³ Sūrah Yūnūs: 2

And He revealed:

And We sent not before you except men to whom We revealed [Our message]. So ask the people of the message if you do not know.¹

meaning, ask the people of the **previous Books**, were the Messengers that were sent to them humans or angels? If they were angels, then you have the right to find this strange, but if they were human, then you have no grounds to deny that Muḥammad is a Messenger.²

From this narration we learn the context in which the verse was revealed and it is in harmony with the sequence and structure of the verses preceding and succeeding it.

In the verses which follow Allah refers to the Qur'ān as the Remembrance [Dhikr]. The narration cited by the editor, by way of al-Thaʿlabī could not be accurately traced. Even so, the statement of ʿAlī , "We are the *Ahl al-Dhikr*," in no way specifies the Ahl al-Bayt, nor the Imāms after him. This pronoun seems to apply to the people of knowledge in general; and ʿAlī is included among the knowledgeable of this Ummah.

It is interesting to note that the transmission of the Qur'ān, in terms of the way it is recited, has been taken up by other than the Ahl al-Bayt. If this verse meant that the Ahl al-Bayt were the sole custodians of revelation—as 'Abd al-Ḥusayn argues—we would expect to find chains of transmission for the Qur'ān which are exclusive to the Imāms. However, no such recitation exists.

The Believers

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn refers to them as the believers mentioned in Sūrah al-Nisā:

¹ Sūrah al-Nahl: 43

² Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol.12 pg. 107.

And whoever opposes the Messenger after guidance has become clear to him and follows other than the way of the believers, We will give him what he has taken and drive him into Hell, and evil it is as a destination.¹

Is 'Abd al-Ḥusayn implying that everyone besides them are not believers? Have the believers been limited to three individuals during the Prophet's lifetime? Was his mission such a failure that only his son-in-law and two grandchildren were the only people to believe in his message? Did all those around him oppose him?

Why did 'Abd al-Ḥusayn even bother to foster relations with the Ahl al-Sunnah when the capacity for salvation was restricted to such a few? Did the Companions oppose the Messenger ما Abdr? Did they oppose him when they undertook the Hijrah and left behind all their wealth in Makkah? Did they oppose him when they provided shelter for him in Madīnah? Did they oppose him when they tied stones to their bellies, digging the Trench?

The narration ascribed to Ibn Mardawayh is untraceable even in secondary sources.

There is nothing to indicate the meaning suggested by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. On the contrary, the scholars of Fiqh, like al-Shafi'ī, used this verse to prove the legal status of scholarly concensus, $Ijm\bar{a}$ '. The two concepts are seen as inseparable, opposing the Messenger and following a way other than that of the Believers.

The reference to al-'Ayyāshī's *Tafsīr* is of very little consequence since al-'Ayyāshī was a Shī'ī scholar and relied on Shī'ī narrations. The reason for not accepting

¹ Sūrah al-Nisā': 115

these narrations is due to the sheer abundance of fabrications found in these texts.

The issue of accepting the narrations of the Shīʿah will be discussed in forthcoming discussions. It suffices us at this point to remind the esteemed reader that ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn undertook to only include narrations which were mutually acceptable. However, the narrations which are being quoted are those which ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn knows would be unacceptable to the Ahl al-Sunnah.

The Guides

You are only a warner, and for every people is a guide¹

At the onset, let us clarify that there is nothing objectionable – from the perspective of Ahl al-Sunnah – in referring to 'Alī was as a guide. He, and his brothers, the Sahābah was, were all guides for the generations to follow.

ʿIrbāḍ ibn Sāriyah المُعَلِّقَةُ relates:

وعظنا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم موعظة وجلت منها القلوب وذرفت منها العيون فقلنا يا رسول الله كأنها موعظة مودع فأوصنا قال أوصيكم بتقوى الله والسمع والطاعة وإن تأمر عليكم عبد فإنه من يعش منكم فسيرى اختلافا كثيرا فعليكم بسنتي وسنة الخلفاء الراشدين المهديين عضوا عليها بالنواجذ

The Messenger of Allah with fear and tears came to our eyes. So we said, "O Messenger of Allah! It is as though this is a farewell sermon, so counsel us."

He كالمنافقة said, "I counsel you to have *taqwa* (fear) of Allah, and to listen and obey [your leader], even if a slave were to become your leader. Verily he among you who lives long will see great controversy, so you must keep

¹ Sūrah al-Ra'd: 7

to my Sunnah and to the Sunnah of the rightly guided Khulafa'. Cling to it with your molar teeth..." $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$

This is further emphasized in the verses in Sūrah al-Tawbah

And the first forerunners [in the faith] among the Muhājirīn and the Anṣār and those who followed them with good conduct - Allah is pleased with them and they are pleased with Him, and He has prepared for them gardens beneath which rivers flow, wherein they will abide forever. That is the great attainment.²

This verse confirms that the Muhājirīn and Anṣār already deserve Allah's pleasure. It goes on to list a third group which is deserving of Allah's pleasure; this group follows the previous two. Certainly, the previous two groups serve as guides for the third group.

Furthermore, the responsibility of being a guide does not necessitate leadership. In the previous generations, the guides were not necessarily their leaders. The Banū Isrā'īl were led by Ṭālūt though he was not a Prophet. Similarly, the scholars of Banū Isrā'īl served as guides, not necessarily leaders.

That being said, does *this verse* refer to 'Alī specifically? Or is it just another interpolation through misinterpretation for which the Shī ah are famous? Assuming that it does refer to 'Alī; how does it extend to the rest of the Imāms?

The editor of *al-Murājaʿāt* was quite aware that the connection between 'Alī and the 'Guide' in this verse is excessively remote. In order to bridge the gap he presented a narration ascribed to al-Thaʿlabī, from Ibn 'Abbās wherein the

¹ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, ḥadīth 4607; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-ʿIlm, ḥadīth 2676.

² Sūrah al-Tawbah: 100

Prophet المنافقية referred to himself as the 'Warner' and 'Alī فَالْمُعَالَّهُ as the 'Guide'. He goes further to state that this is found in the Sunan works.

The Sunan works, in customary practise, refers to the four famous works of Ḥadīth compiled by Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasā'ī and Ibn Mājah. The only issue that is debated is which of al-Nasā'ī's works is part of the four; his larger collection, also known as al-Kubrā, or the abridged version, known as al-Mujtabā. Reference to any of the other Sunan works usually bears the name of the author to distinguish it, e.g. Sunan al-Bayhaqī al-Kubrā. However, this narration, ascribed by the editor to the Sunan, could not be found in any of the four works, including al-Nasā'ī's al-Kubrā.

The narration can be traced via the $Tafs\bar{\imath}r$ of al-Ṭabar $\bar{\imath}^1$ by way of Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-Ans $\bar{\imath}ar\bar{\imath}$ — Muʻ $\bar{\imath}adh$ ibn Muslim — al-Haraw $\bar{\imath}$ — ʻAṭ $\bar{\imath}a$ ' ibn al-S $\bar{\imath}a$ 'ib — Saʻ $\bar{\imath}d$ ibn Jubayr — Ibn ʻAbb $\bar{\imath}a$ s.

Ibn Kathīr has criticised this narration both in terms of its unreliable chain, and anomalous meaning.

Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-Ansārī

Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-Anṣārī al-ʿUranī is seriously compromised as a narrator.

- Ibn Abī Ḥātim said that he was not trustworthy, a leading figure among the Shīʿah.
- Ibn ʿAd $\bar{\text{I}}$ says that his narrations are contrary to what others narrate.
- Ibn Ḥibbān commented that he attributed baseless narrations to reliable narrators.
- Al-Dhahabī cited this narration as one of those anomalous, uncorroborated, baseless narrations that he was known for.²

¹ Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol. 13 pg. 442

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 483

Muʿādh ibn Muslim

Al-Dhahabī said that the identity of Muʿādh ibn Muslim is not known. This is the case with al-Harawī as well. The anonymity of these narrators compounds the problem in this chain, confirming its unreliability.¹

Furthermore, the reliable scholars who narrate from 'Aṭā' ibn al-Sā'ib, from Saʿīd ibn Jubayr provide an interpretation contrary to what has been narrated by way of the defective chain.²

This is yet another example of how the authority which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, and others like him, attempt to confer upon 'Alī is unfounded. It is unsupported by the verses of Qur'an and the only connection to these verses are obscure narrations which are significantly unreliable.

The Straight Path and Blessed Ones

Guide us to the straight path - The path of those upon whom You have bestowed favour.³

And whoever obeys Allah and the Messenger - those will be with the ones upon whom Allah has bestowed favour of the prophets, the steadfast affirmers of truth, the martyrs, and the righteous. And excellent are those as companions.⁴

¹ Ibid

² Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol. 13 pg. 439.

³ Sūrah al-Fātihah: 6-7

⁴ Sūrah al-Nisā: 69

No doubt, the blessed family of the Prophet have been bestowed with Allah's Favour. That, however, is not the issue to be discussed. The question is whether they were the ones primarily intended by these verses; and whether its meaning is restricted to them alone.

It is surprising that 'Alī *** interpreted 'The Straight Path' to mean the Book of Allah.¹A similar interpretation is attributed to Ibn Mas'ūd.²

The Prophet الماقية identified those who earned Allah's wrath as the Jews, and those who had gone astray as the Christians. His interpretation of the latter part of the verse provides insights on who is meant by those whom Allah has favoured. It can only apply to an entire community, rather than select individuals among them. The contrast of Muslims to Jews and Christians is more apt than contrasting the Imāms of Ahl al-Bayt with the Jews and Christians.

Furthermore, the explanatory verse in Sūrah al-Nisā' calls for obedience to Allah and His Messenger without any mention of the Imāms of Ahl al-Bayt independently. Even if they were intended as 'those whom Allah had favoured by this verse', there is no indication of their exclusive mandate to lead, or that the ummah is obliged to follow them independently.

Āyat al-Wilāyah

Your Walī is none but Allah and [therefore] His Messenger and those who have believed - those who establish prayer and give zakah, and they bow [in worship].³

This is one of the famous verses cited by the Shī'ah for the Imāmah of the Ahl al-Bayt. The Qur'anic text, however, reveals no connection to 'Alī , not even

¹ Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol. 1 pg. 173.

² Ibid

³ Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 55

remotely. As expected, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn relies on an alleged Ḥadīth which puts 'Alī in pole position for political leadership.

Again, before addressing the reliability of the narration upon which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's warped interpretation rests let us assume its authenticity. The *Wilāyah* mentioned in this verse is limited to 'Alī since it has not been proven that any of the other Imāms had given charity whilst praying. The error in this interpretation is glaringly evident from its form, let alone subjecting the narration to academic scrutiny.

The second problem with this verse, assuming that it applies to 'Alī www, is determining which form of *Wilāyah* is meant. The term *Walī* traces back to the triliteral root (*W-L-Y*) and refers both to support, mutual affinity, and friendship, as well as guardianship and authority¹.

The ambiguity in this word does not qualify it to be interpreted either way without subsidiary evidence. Structural elements in this passage of the Qur'an suggest that the interpretation of Wilāyah as authority and leadership is inaccurate. This verse is placed between two other verses which prohibit taking the disbelievers as $Awliy\bar{a}$ [plural of Walī]. It is known by necessity that Muslims are not to take disbelievers as authorities. As such, the only valid interpretation which is harmonious with the structure of the entire passage is the one which understands the relationship of Wilāyah to be based on support, friendship, and loyalty.

So, even if we were to concede that this verse applies to 'Alī ' exclusively we find no divine mandate for his political leadership or religious authority. Rather it commands us to be sincere in friendship with 'Alī ' The Ahl al-Sunnah advocates support for 'Alī ' loving him, and maintaining a relationship of affinity.

¹ Lisān al-'Arab pg. 4920

We have demonstrated that even if we were to concede the reliability of the supporting narration, this verse is problematic in proving the doctrine of Imāmah since it does not allow for the inclusion for anyone besides 'Alī ****; thus excluding the remaining Imāms as well. We have also demonstrated that lexical and structural elements in the verse indicate that Wilāyah in this context refers to support and friendship rather than authority and guardianship.

All that remains in exposing the warped interpretation provided by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is to analyse the authenticity of the narration upon which his interpretation rests.

The narration provided by the editor of *al-Murāja*ʿāt relates an incident wherein ʿAlī ʿala was praying, and a beggar approached him whilst he was in the posture of Rukūʿ. ʿAlī ʿala allegedly took a ring off his finger and donated it as a charity to the beggar. The narration goes further to say that it was in regards to this that this verse in Sūrah al-Māʾidah was revealed.

Before we proceed any further let us produce a statement from one of the 'infallible Imāms'. Abū Nu'aym relates by way of 'Abd al-Malik ibn Abī Sulayman, who said that he asked Imām Muḥammad al-Bāqir about this verse, to which he replied, "The ones intended by it are the Prophet's "Companions." 'Abd al-Malik says, "I then said to him that people say it refers to 'Alī?" He responded, "Well, 'Alī 'Éééé is included among them (the Companions)."

It seems that al-Bāqir was either unaware of the context of the revelation of this verse, or that the alleged context was an outright forgery!

The narration is ascribed to four of the Companions: Abū Rāfiʻ, ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib, ʿAmmār, and ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās .

¹ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol.3 pg.185.

The narration of Abū Rāfi'

Al-Haythamī comments of this chain saying:

Appearing in this chain is Muḥammad ibn ʿUbayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfiʿ who was considered unreliable by the bulk of the scholars, with the exception of Ibn Ḥibbān. Furthermore, despite the majority of the narrators in this chain being acceptable, it is not free from one Yaḥyā ibn al-Ḥusayn ibn al-Furāt whose identity remains anonymous to me.²

The narration of 'Ammar ibn Yasir

The narration from 'Ammār ibn Yāsir is known through a common chain and is found in *al-Muʻjam al-Awsaṭ* of al-Ṭabarānī.³ Commenting on this narration al-Haythamī says:

There are narrators who remain unknown to me.4

The anonymity of a narrator is one of the flaws by which a narration is deemed unreliable. That, however, is not the only flaw in the chain. The unreliability of this narration is further confirmed by the presence of Khālid ibn Yazīd al-ʿUmarī in the chain.

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr, vol.1 pg. 321.

² Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol.9 pg. 137.

³ Al-Mu'jam al-Awsat narration no. 6232.

⁴ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol. 7 pg. 20.

Khālid ibn Yazīd al-'Umarī

He was made out as a liar by both Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn and Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī.¹ The problem with his narration becomes exacerbated when Ibn Ḥibbān—known for his leniency in accepting narrators—declares him unreliable on account of narrating baseless narrations and then attributing them to reliable narrators.²

The narration of 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib

The narration by way of ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib is only known by way of Mūsā ibn Qays al-Ḥaḍramī — Salamah ibn Kuhayl — ʿAlī .³

Mūsā ibn Qays al-Ḥaḍramī

The scholars are divided on Mūsā ibn Qays⁴ al-Ḥaḍramī with some considering him a liar and others merely acknowledging the fact that some of what he narrates is unsubstantiated in addition to the mediocrity of his ability to accurately relate narrations. This, coupled with his excessive attachment to ʿAlī might have compromised the integrity of what he narrates especially when it is related to the virtues of ʿAlī .

Salamah ibn Kuhayl

Another serious flaw in this chain is the fact that Salamah ibn Kuhayl was only born years after the demise of 'Alī $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\text{log}}$. The interruption in this chain leaves a huge gap — which is more than sufficient grounds to dismiss this report as well.

The narration of Ibn 'Abbas

After citing this narration with a chain from Ibn ʿAbbās , the famous Ḥadīth expert and erudite commentator of the Qur'ān, Ḥāfiẓ Ismāʿīl ibn Kathīr, states:

- 1 Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg.646.
- 2 Ibid
- 3 Tafsīr ibn Abī Hātim vol. 4 pg. 1162
- 4 Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg 217

This has also been narrated by way of 'Alī, 'Ammār, and Abū Rāfi'. However, all these narrations are completely unreliable due to the weakness of the narrators who appear in the chains of transmission, in addition to the anonymity of many of the narrators.¹

Finally, the narration which appears by way of Ibn 'Abbās (is narrated via three common chains.²

Muḥammad ibn Marwān al-Suddī — Muḥammad ibn al-Sā'id al-Kalbī Abū Ṣāliḥ — Ibn ʿAbbās.

We have previously commented on al-Suddī and al-Kalbī identifying them as unreliable narrators; especially al-Kalbī who was exposed for his forgeries. This is the famous *Silsilat al-Kadhib* [Chain of Lies].³

2. 'Abd al-Wahhāb ibn Mujāhid - Mujāhid - Ibn 'Abbās

ʿAbd al-Wahhāb ibn Mujāhid

- Ibn Kathīr states that 'Abd al-Wahhāb is unreliable.4
- Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal criticised him severely.
- Al-Bukhārī—quoting Wakī ibn al-Jarrāḥ—adds that he did not hear any narrations from his father.⁵

So the weakness of 'Abd al-Wahhāb is compounded by the fact that he did not hear Ḥadīth directly from his father, Mujāhid ibn Jabr, which leaves this chain interrupted.

¹ Tafsīr ibn Kathīr, Sūrah al-Mā'idah verse 55

² Ibid

³ Tadrīb al-Rāwī vol. 1 pg. 181

⁴ Tafsīr ibn Kathīr, Sūrah al-Mā'idah verse 55

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol2. Pg.682

3. Al-Daḥḥāk ibn Muzāḥim - Ibn 'Abbās

The problem with this chain is that the two of them did not meet. The interrupted chain renders it unreliable.¹

Ibn Kathīr points out the flaw in the understanding of many who think like 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. He says that it is erroneous to interpret the final phrase of the verse "and give zakah, and they bow" as giving charity whilst in the posture of Rukū' since if this were the case, then paying the zakāh while bowing would be the best form of giving zakāh. To the extent of our knowledge no scholar from whom religious rulings are taken says this. The verse, therefore, means they attend the prayer in congregation in the Masjids and spend by way of charity on the various needs of Muslims²

It is evident to the objective seeker that the argument presented by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is extremely farfetched. That, in addition to it being the careful connection with a baseless narration.

Salvation to those who depend on the authority of Ahl al-Bayt

But indeed, I am the Perpetual Forgiver of whoever repents and believes, and does righteousness, and then continues in guidance.³

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's deceit appears to be imbued with confidence through his blatant interpolation of the verses of the Qur'an. The verses preceding and succeeding the one he has just cited all relate to the story of Mūsā ما and the emancipation of Banū Isrā'īl. The entire passage is cohesive in terms of its structure and flow. There is absolutely no mention of Ahl al-Bayt.

¹ Al-Jarh wa al-Ta'dīl, biography 2024.

² Tafsīr ibn Kathīr, Sūrah al-Mā'idah verse 55.

³ Sūrah Ṭāhā: 82

This verse can only be connected to Wilāyah of Ahl al-Bayt through unreliable narrations which we are evidently the cornerstone of all the arguments presented thus far. Establishing the religious authority of Ahl al-Bayt remains a debt on the shoulders of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. Naturally, this relegates the quotations from the Imām—which are yet to be proven reliably attributed to them—to the level of ijtihād.

The narration from Thābit al-Bunānī also remains an interpretation based on Ijtihād, which is also questionably ascribed to him since it appears only by way of 'Umar ibn Shākir al-Baṣrī whom Ibn ḥajar declared weak.'

The ijtihād of Ibn 'Abbās stands in contrast to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's narrations. He is reliably quoted to have interpreted the repentance in the verse as repentance from *Shirk* [associating partners with Allah]. He interprets adherence to guidance in this verse to refer to one who does not have doubts.² Others have interpreted it as steadfastness on the path of righteousness.³

Wilāyah of Ahl al-Bayt is the Amānah [Trust]

Indeed, we offered the Trust to the heavens and the earth and the mountains, and they declined to bear it and feared it; but man [undertook to] bear it. Indeed, he was unjust and ignorant.⁴

The absurdity in this type of interpretation becomes increasingly self-evident. If the trust being handed down in this verse referred to the authority of Ahl al-Bayt; by necessity it suggests that the heavens and earth refused to bear this trust.

¹ Tagrīb al-Tahdhīb, biography no. 4917.

² Tafsīr ibn Jarīr vol. 16 pg. 130.

³ Tafsīr ibn Kathīr, Sūrah Tāhā, verse 82.

⁴ Sūrah al-Ahzāb: 72

Furthermore, this trust would have been the burden of all the earlier nations. Lastly, the description of those who assumed this trust is that they are unjust and ignorant. Why would they be described as such if the trust was to accept the authority of Ahl al-Bayt?

The narrations which are meant to support this narration are referenced to famous Shīʿī books of Tafsīr, wherein there is complete recognition of the fact that the Qur'anic text was interpolated; especially in respect of the Wilāyah of Ahl al-Bayt!

The Blessing [Pleasure]

Then you will surely be asked that Day about the delight.¹

Consider the paradox in that he earlier described the Wilāyah of Ahl al-Bayt as a burden and obligation to be carried. Now it is interpreted as a blessing and pleasure. If it were the blessing being spoken of here, why would the heavens and earth shy away from enjoying this divine blessing? Furthermore, why would Allah describe human beings with injustice and foolishness for accepting a favour and blessing?

'Abd Allāh ibn Zubayr relates from his father Zubayr ibn al-'Awwām

When this verse was revealed, "Then you will surely be asked that Day about the delight!" Zubayr said, "O Messenger of Allah! Which are the delights that we will be asked about, when they (delights) are but the two black things: dates and water?"

He said, "But it is what shall come."2

¹ Sūrah al-Takāthur: 8

² Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, Ḥadīth no. 3356.

Abū Hurayrah عُنْوَنِيْنَ relates:

عن أبي هريرة رضي الله عنه قال خرج رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ذات يوم أو ليلة فإذا هو بأبي بكر وعمر رضي الله عنهما فقال ما أخر جكما من بيوتكما هذه الساعة قالا الجوع يا رسول الله قال وأنا والذي نفسي بيده لأخر جني الذي أخر جكما. قوما فقاما معه فأتى رجلا من الأنصار فإذا هو ليس في بيته فلما رأته المرأة قالت مرحباً وأهلا فقال لها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم أين فلان قالت ذهب يستعذب لنا الماء إذ جاء الأنصاري فنظر إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وصاحبيه ثم قال الحمد الله ما أحد اليوم أكرم أضيافاً مني فانطلق فجاءهم بعذق فيه بسر وتمر ورطب فقال كلوا وأخذ المدية فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إياك والحلوب فذبح لهم فأكلوا من الشاة ومن ذلك العذق وشربوا فلما أن شبعوا ورووا قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم الله عليه وسلم لأبي بكر وعمر رضي الله عنهما والذي نفسي بيده لتسألن عن هذا النعيم يوم القيامة أخر جكم من بيو تكم الجوع ثم لم ترجعوا حتى أصابكم هذا النعيم"

The Messenger of Allah went out (of his house) one day, or one night, and there he met Abū Bakr and 'Umar also.

He مَالْسَعَيْدَةُ said, "What made you leave your houses at this hour?"

They said, "It is hunger, O Messenger of Allah."

He said, "By Him in Whose Hands my soul is, what made you leave, made me also leave, so come along!"

He went along with them to a man from the Anṣār, but they did not find him in his house.

When the wife of that man saw the Prophet بالمنافقة, she said, "You are most welcome."

The Messenger of Allah مَالِمُتَعَالِينَا said to her, "Where is so-and-so?"

She said, "He went to fetch some fresh water for us."

In the meantime, the Anṣārī came back, saw the Messenger of Allah and his two Companions and said, "Praise be to Allah, today no one has more honourable guests than I."

He then went out and brought them a bunch of date fruit, having dates, some still green, some ripe, and some fully ripe, and requested them to eat from it. He then took his knife (to slaughter a sheep).

The Messenger of Allah مناسخة said to him, "Do not kill a milking sheep."

So he slaughtered a sheep for them. After they had eaten and drunk to their satisfaction, the Messenger of Allah said to Abu Bakr and 'Umar "By Him in Whose Hand my soul is, you will certainly be questioned about this delight on the Day of Resurrection. Hunger brought you out of your homes, and you do not return to your homes till you have been blessed with this delight."

These narrations clarify what is meant by this verse.

The examples are too many to quote, but the general trend has been demonstrated. None of the verses mentioned are specific in their application to the Ahl al-Bayt. Majority of the verses can only be connected to Ahl al-Bayt via an unreliable chain. This trend is consistent with every single verse cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-D $\bar{\text{n}}$ n, and the problems with the narrations remain consistent in all the footnotes provided by the editor.

¹ Saḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ashribah, Ḥadīth 2038.

Letter 13

Thul-Qi'da 1329

I. Argument Regarding These Traditions Weak

May Allah bless your hand and pen! How elevated their pages above the position of that who challenges and opposes! How defensible their wise writings against the sight of the critic and researcher! Their pages struggle towards one destination, going along an aimed path, in a purposeful way. Their arguments do not come across the hearing of the wise without reverberating in acclamation.

Concerning your latest letter, its torrent has been overflowing, overbrimming, supported by perfect verses and worthy proofs, with your own self coming thereby out of the charge put forth against you without committing any shortcoming in whatever entrusted to you. Whoever challenges you is bad in argument, stubborn, arguing about falsehood and acting like the ignorant.

Your opponents, however, may argue that those who narrated these verses supporting your argument are Shi'a, and these cannot be relied upon by the Sunnis. What would your answer, therefore, be? Please kindly provide it, if you will, and please do accept my thanks. Peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 14

Thul-Qi'da 1329

- I. Fallacy of Opponent's Argument
- II. Opponents do not Know Shi'as
- III. Distinction of Emphasizing Illegality of Falsifying Hadith
- 1. Our answer is that the argument of such opponents is wrong. It is baseless because of the fallacy of its minor and major arguments.

As for its minor argument, that is, the claim that "Those who narrated the verses concerning your argument are Shi'a" is obviously false as testified by reliable Sunni authorities who recorded their statements in the meaning which we have stated. Their musnads testify to the fact that they are even more in number than Shi'as, as we explained in our book Tanzilul Ayat alBahira, in our chapter titled "Virtues of the Purified 'itra." You may also refer to Ghayatul Maram which is widely circulated throughout the Muslim world.

As for the major one, that is, the claim that Shi'as are not regarded by Sunnis as reliable (in narrating hadith), its fallacy is even more obvious than that of the minor one. Sunni Musndads bear testimony to this fact, and the authorities they relied upon are full of Shi'a names.

Take, for example, their six sahih books and others which use them as their authorities, the latter being charged by those who attribute to them deviation from the Right Path, stamp them with the stamps of "Rafidis" and "deviators." To them have they attributed extremism, fanaticism, and deviation from the Path.

Among Bukhari's mentors are Shi'a men who have been charged with being "Rafidi" and stamped with hatred; nevertheless, this has never made Bukhari nor others doubt their fairmindedness. The latter relied upon them even in the sahih books feeling very comfortable with doing so. So; will the opponents who say that "Shi'as are not relied upon by Sunnis" find a listening ear? Of course not!

2. Such opponents, however, are ignorant. Had they known the truth, they would have come to know the fact that Shi'as have followed in the footsteps of and have emulated the Purified 'itra. Their manners are the 'itra's; therefore, everyone they relied upon is unmatchable in truthfulness and trustworthiness. Unmatchable are their reliable heroes in piety and caution.

There are no peers for them among their dependable dignitaries in their forsaking the pleasures of this world, in their piety, worship, good manners, selfdiscipline, selfdenial, and selfcriticism. Nobody can equal them in ascertaining facts and looking for them with extreme care and moderation. Had the opponent assessed their value, just as it is in reality, he would have put his confidence in them, entrusting his affairs to them. But his ignorance of them has made him wander at random about them like one riding a blind animal in a dark night.

He would charge the trustees of Islam such as Muhammad ibn Ya'qub alKulayni, and a truthful among Muslims like Muhammad ibn 'Ali ibn Babawayh alQummi, and a mentor of the nation such as Muhammad ibn alHasan ibn 'Ali alTusi.

He would belittle their sacred books which are the custodians of the knowledge of the family of Muhammad, peace and blessings be upon him and them, doubting their mentors who are the pioneers of knowledge and the ones who equal the Holy Qur'an and who have dedicated their lives to promote the teachings of Allah, the Sublime, the Almighty, His book

and His Messenger, peace be upon him and his progeny, and the Imams of Muslims and their commoners.

3. Both righteous and vicious individuals have equally come to know how these virtuous men judge the case of telling lies. Thousands of their books curse lying, labelling falsification of hadith as sins punishable by Hellfire. They are distinguished by their judgment of intentional falsification of hadith. They have considered it to break the fast, requiring both compensation and penitence from the person who commits it during the month of Ramadan, and they also require the same for whatever causes the breaking of the fast.

Their Fiqh and hadith are very clear in this regard; therefore, how can anybody charge their narrators while they are the good, the virtuous, the ones who spend the night praying and the day fasting? Since when have the virtuous among the followers and supporters of Muhammad's family been charged, while the Kharijis, Murji'is and Qadris have not? What other than obvious enmity and ugly ignorance?

We seek refuge with Allah against forsaking us, and from Him do we seek help against the bad consequences of injustice and oppression. There is no might nor power except in Allah, the Sublime, the Almighty, and peace be with you.

Sincerely, Sh

Discussions

Acceptance of Qur'anic arguments

Our discussions on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's previous correspondence proves that his letter was brimming only with lies and deceit. Not a single verse from all of the verses cited stood in support of his claim. The unreliable narrations upon which he relied to reinterpret the verse were proven to be either confirmed forgeries or unreliable at best. It is hard to imagine that the Shaykh al-Azhar would swoon at the offerings of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in his previous correspondence.

Furthermore, if the arguments presented in the earlier correspondence were accepted, the obvious consequence of that – of which there is no alternative – is to denounce the validity of Sunnīi belief. Was the Shaykh al-Azhar taken so early in his exchange?

Shī ah narrators

A possible objection is carefully construed so that the sincere reader, lacking any insights to the field of Ḥadīth study, would be strategically led on with a cunningly worded question.

The question posed by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn with the pen of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī, outwardly appears appropriate whereas it is essentially a very neat exit strategy for 'Abd al-Ḥusayn because it alleges that the only reason to object to the narrations cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is the fact that the narrators were Shī'ah. The elementary flaw in this reasoning provides 'Abd al-Ḥusayn with the perfect opportunity to educate the Shaykh al-Azhar with a masterclass on Ḥadīth methodology despite the fact that anyone whose read the most basic primer on the subject would know not to ask such an ill-advised question.

Let us also be reminded of the initial undertaking by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn to present mutually accepted evidence. Many of the narrations that he cited were taken exclusively from Shīʿah Ḥadīth sources.

Shīʿī Ḥadīth Literature

The impression given by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is that Shīʿī narrators are beyond all forms of suspicion. He drops subtle hints at the soundness of the Shīʿī Ḥadīth texts, suggesting that their soundness exceeds that of the Sunnī Ḥadīth texts.

He goes on to laud their unmatched truthfulness and trustworthiness. He argues that they are unrivaled, and without peers when it comes to preserving the Prophetic Sunnah. He named three of their early scholars, each famed for his contribution to early $Sh\bar{1}^{\bar{1}}$ Had $\bar{1}$ th literature; Muḥammad ibn Yaʻq $\bar{1}$ th author of $al-K\bar{a}f\bar{1}$; Muhammad ibn ʿAl $\bar{1}$ ibn B $\bar{1}$ bawayh al-Qumm $\bar{1}$, also known as al-

1 Muḥammad ibn Yāʻqūb ibn Isḥāq al-Kulaynī al-Rāzi

Born: 250 A.H Died: 329 A.H

Foremost compiler of Shīʿah ḥadīth. Author of *Al-Kāfī* -regarded to be the most important and authentic compilation of ḥadīth by the Shīʿah.

Al-Kulaynī lived during the period of the *al-ghaybat al-sughrā* (minor occultation) of the Twelfth Imām. It is believed that he greatly benefitted from the 'living source of knowledge' (Imām al-Mahdī). The Shī'ah regard him as a mujaddid of the third century (refer to *Al-Kunā wa al-Alqāb* of 'Abbās al-Qummī)

2 Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Ḥasan ibn Mūsā ibn Bābawayh al-Qummī

Born: 305 A.H Died: 381 A.H

Referred to by the title Al-Ṣadūq and commonly known as Ibn Bābawayh. The tale of the birth of Ibn Bābawayh is 'amazing':

When his father was in Iraq, it is said that he met Abū al-Qasim al-Ḥusayn ibn Rawḥ- the third agent of the Hidden Imām. During their meeting he asked the latter several questions. Later he wrote to al-Ḥusayn ibn Rawḥ asking him to take a letter to the Hidden Imam. In this letter he asked for a son. Al-Ḥusayn sent back an answer telling him that they (the Hidden Imam and al-Ḥusayn) had prayed to Allah to grant the request and he would be rewarded with two sons. Another version of the story says three sons. The elder, or eldest, of these sons was al-Shaykh al-Sadūq.

His book, Man lā Yahḍuruhū al-Faqīh, is amongst the four early canonical works of the Shī ah. Interesting to note is that the work stresses that it was conceived as a reference book to help ordinary Shī ah in the practise of the legal requirements of Islam, and as a result there is a general absence of asānīd or chain of narrations. The asānīd by which the tradition is received from the Prophet or one of the A'immah - was, and is an all-important feature of the science of traditions. It is a summary of the study of legal traditions by one of their great scholars of traditions.

Ṣadūq, author of $Man L\bar{a}$ $Yaḥḍuruh\bar{u}$ al-Faqīh; and finally Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ṭ $\bar{u}s\bar{i}^1$, the author of both al-Tahd $h\bar{i}b^2$ and al-Istibṣ $\bar{a}r$.

The interesting observation when subjecting all these books to academic scrutiny is that one of them, Man Lā Yahduruhū al-Faqīh, is devoid of any chain of transmission. This means that we have to blindly trust a scholar who died in 381 A.H, without being able to objectively study the source of his narrations. While al-Ṭūsī's works both have chains of transmission, we find that they are severely interrupted. This along with the fact that the bulk of his narrations appear to pass through anonymous individuals. Surely, greater care ought to have went in preserving the legacy of the *Infallible Imāms*? After all, they are the custodians of the knowledge of those "who equal the Holy Qur'an," in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's own words. Perhaps what he meant was that they had given it equal care, since the Shī'ah are not known to have been transmitters of the Qur'ān. The variant renditions of the Qur'ān are only known by way of the scholars of Ahl al-Sunnah. Though his statement could be interpreted in yet another light. Perhaps their knowledge is as susceptible to interpolation as is the Qur'an; since the teacher of al-Kulaynī, whose book, *al-Kāfī*, is filled to the brim with narrations from 'Alī ibn Ibrāhīm al-Qummī, one of the most outspoken voices that insist the Qur'ān has been interpolated.

Born: 385 A.H Died: 460 A.H

¹ Abū Jaʿfar Muhammad ibn Hasan ibn ʿAlī al-Tūsī

Commonly known as al-Shaykh al-Ṭā'ifah. Studied first under Shaykh al-Mufīd in Baghdad. Author of *Al-Istibṣār* and *Tahdhīb al-Aḥkām*- two of the four early canonical works of the Shī'ah. Sharīf al-Murtaḍa succeeded Al-Mufīd as leader of the Shī'ah scholars and al-Ṭūsī remained a close associate of his during this time and his principle disciple. After the death of al-Murtaḍā, al-Ṭūsī succeeded him as leader of the Shī'ī scholars.

² This name, *al-Tahdhīb*, has previously been quoted in this text. Those references refer to *Tahdhīb al-Kamāl* of al-Mizzī, which is a Sunnī encyclopeadia which contains the biographies and credentials of all the narrators appearing in the six famous Sunnī Ḥadīth collections. Any reference to *al-Tahdhīb* of al-Tūsī will be done as *Tahdhīb al-Ahkām* of al-Tūsī.

Al-Qummī writes in his Tafsīr¹:

As for the verses which are different from how they were revealed, they are as follows:

You are the best nation produced (as an example) for mankind. You enjoin what is right and forbid what is wrong and believe in Allah.²

Abū ʿAbd Allah (al-Ṣādiq) said to the reciter of this verse, "The best nation? They killed Amīr al-Mu'minīn, al-Ḥasan, and al-Ḥusayn?"

Somebody said to him, "Then how was it revealed, O the son of the Prophet والمناسبة"

He answered, "This verse was revealed like this:

You are the best A'immah produced (as an example) for mankind.

Do you not see the praise of Allah for them at the end of the verse:

You enjoin what is right and forbid what is wrong and believe in Allah.

Similarly the following verse was recited to Abū 'Abd Allah (al-Ṣādiq)

¹ Tafsīr al-Qummī vol. 1 pg. 10.

² Sūrah Āl-ʿImrān: 110.

And those who say, Our Rabb, grant us from among our wives and offspring comfort to our eyes and make us a leader (i.e. example) for the righteous.¹

Abū 'Abd Allah (al-Ṣādiq) said, "Indeed they have asked Allah for a great thing; to make them A'immah for the righteous?"

It was asked, "How was the verse revealed, O the son of the Prophet علم المعالمة"

He then said, "It was revealed like this:

And those who say, Our Rabb, grant us from among our wives and offspring comfort to our eyes and make for us a leader from the righteous.

If deliberately lying against the Prophet is enough to break the fast, one wonders what is the consequence of those who interpolate the words of the Qur'an. Can they be trusted?

If one chooses to ignore this aspect for the moment, what can be said of the reliability of the books of those whom 'Abd al-Ḥusayns argues vehemently that an unbiased researcher "would have put his confidence in them, entrusting his affairs to them..." Is 'Abd al-Ḥusayn being completely honest in this claim? The list is long, and this is not the primary point on this issue, but there are at least four Shīʿī scholars who have dismessed over two-thirds of the content found in al-Kafī, by the unmatched authority, al-Kulaynī. Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī, Zayn al-Dīn 'Alī ibn Aḥmad al-ʿĀmilī, Murtaḍā al-ʿAskarī, and Muḥammad Bāqir al-Bahbūdī are all Shīʿī scholars whose academic endeavours have led them to dismiss nearly two-thirds of al-Kafī. The last two scholars are contemporary scholars, but the previous two would have been no strangers to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. The irony is that

¹ Sūrah al-Furqān: 74

despite their attempts at grading these narrations, the four of them rarely agree on which narrations are authentic. Is this the peerless scholarship that 'Abd al-Husayn suggests we surrender ourselves to?

Shīʿah vs Rāfiḍah

'Abd al-Husayn appears to use these terms interchangeably creating the impression that the two convey nearly the same meaning. If the line that seperates the Shī'ah from the Rāfiḍah is erased it becomes very confusing for one to tell the difference. Perhaps it would be prudent to acknowledge our own failings in this regard; our reference to the Shī ah has almost exclusively intended for the Twelver Shīʿah. Although, we might be excused to some extent since the term Shī ah, in our times, is used almost exclusively to refer to the Twelver Shī ah due to them representing the vast majority among the various Shīī factions that still exist. The term Shī ah can thus be said to have evolved in terms of its implications despite retaining its basic element. Historically this term was used to refer to someone who aligned themselves with 'Alī when he became the Khalīfah. The description, however, was not a definition, as such it could even apply to those who deified 'Alī . We realise that the term Shī ah applied to a multiplicity of groupings; their alignment with 'Alī being the common element. The term therefore could refer to a divergence in political stance without doctrinal differences. It could also refer to those who considered 'Alī superior to 'Uthmān acknowledging the validity of 'Uthmān's acknowledging the validity of 'Uthmān's Khilāfah. Others held 'Uthmān, Talhāh, Zubayr in contempt; whilst othes would go further still and criticise them. One would notice the innovation increasing in intensity. When this Bid'ah reaches the point where there is denouncement of Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and 'Uthmān and disassociation with those who swore allegiance to them, it passes the threshhold of Rafd (rejection). This term was coined by the great-grandson of ʿAlī de Lagor, Zayd ibn ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Tālib, when the Shī ah of his time abandoned him on account of him upholding that the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr and 'Umar was valid, in addition to him loving them and invoking Allah's pleasure upon them.

The theologians among the Rāfiḍah would go on to develop a doctrinal model which stipulates that there were twelve divinely appointed individuals who were to succeed the Prophet with, and retrospectively they began developing an alternate narrative of history which was meant to be at harmony with their doctrine. Later on this branch of the Shīʿah gained traction and eventually became the face of Shīʿism globally. Whilst other Shīʿī sects remain in existence, the Twelvers are the most popular and common reference to Shīʿahs in our times is almost exclusively directed at them. The same cannot be said for the early period. As such much confusion has risen from the existence of narrators in Sunnī Ḥadīth collections who have been described as being from the Shīʿah. Often times it is assumed that every narrator who has been described as being Shīʿah or having those tendencies was an adherent to what has become popularly known as Twelver Shīʿism; whereas this is not the case. The earlier description of those aligned with ʿAlī clearly diffrentiates between those who were merely Shīʿah and those who were Rāfidah.

In the next communication from 'Abd al-Ḥusayn one might be led to think that the list of one-hundred narrators found in Sunnī literature refers to the Rāfiḍah. This is anything but true as we will come to see in the discussion on that letter.

Sunnī Hadīth Criticism

The critical approach—within the Sunnī paradigm—finds itself rooted in the Prophetic warning against misrepresenting the Prophet.

'Alī صَالِّتَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ relates that the Prophet وَخَلِيَّكُ تَعْهُ said:

Do not tell a lie against me for whoever tells a lie against me will surely enter the Hell-fire.¹

Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn identified the *Great Fitnah* [Murder of 'Uthmān ***** as the event that served as a catslyst for Ḥadīth scrutiny. The tool by which Ḥadīth

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-ʻIlm, Ḥadīth. 107

investigation began was to examine the source.¹ Prior to this there was no reason to doubt that someone would ever deliberately misrepresent the Prophet مَالِتَمْكِينَالُونَ.

Anyone ascribing any statement or action to the Prophet مَمْ السَّمْعَلَيْهِ وَسَلِّمُ would be required to furnish his source for it to be accepted. The source was in the form of listing the chain of transmission through which this information passed and was subsequently studied. In terms of assessing a narrator, two major considerations were assessed. Firstly, is there any reason to suspect that the narrator would deliberately misrepresent the Prophet صَالِمُعَلَّمُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ This meant that the moral integrity of the narrator was scrutinised and the scholars of the same generation would either offer their insights in this regard, or their views would be recorded and cross examined by experts of later generations. At times, there was insufficient information before one could make a judgement call on a particular narrator. As such many of the later scholars exercised a policy of prudency and refrained from accepting a narration of any individual when there was ambiguity in this regard. If they found sufficient information the narrator either possessed sufficient moral integrity for him to be trusted; or his lack thereof resulted in rejection of his narrations. A narrator who possessed the necessary moral integrity is refered to as 'Adl. This quality is referred to as 'Adālah.

It was not sufficient that a narrator was found on such moral standing where he would not be suspected of deliberately misrepresenting the Prophet فالمنافق for his narrations to be accepted. A subsequent investigation was undertaken on the aptituted of the narator; assessing his ability to correctly transmit what he had learnt. This was a safety measure for the inadvertent misprepresentation of the Prophet منافق by a narrator who would not necessarily attempt to misrepresent the Prophet منافق منافق . A narrator whose memory is strong and whose transcription of narrations are well preserved such that there is minimal—if any—discrepency in his narrations from the time that he received it until he transmits it is refered to as \$\tilde{pabt}\$; and this ability is called \$\tilde{pabt}\$.

¹ Muqaddimah Şaḥīḥ Muslim

If both 'Adālah and Dabṭ are found in any narrator his narrations deserve to be accepted. However, if a narrator was known to subscribe to heterodox beliefs he might be motivated to adapt his narrations, either by his choice or subconsiously. Therefore, the trustworthiness of a narrator was of paramount importance when it came to accepting the narrations of an innovator. Even if his religious grounding was firm, he would be investigated by comparing his narrations to that of his peers to determine whether his narrations were not marred by bias or prejudice. If the narrator was found favourable in both evaluations there would be strong reason to accept his narrations.

What we have mentioned above is in respect of some of the major considerations of the narrator himself. The narration however, ought to have been transmitted via a continuous chain, since any interruption would raise the question of the anonymity of the missing link. Some interruptions are clearly noticible whereas others are less obvious.

The early scholars of Ḥadīth did not suffice in merely accepting a source even if it were found acceptable. In addition to this they would collect as much data as possible by cross examining a particular narration with other versions of it; for comparison and corroboration. If any discrepencies were picked up via the cross evaluation, a narration could still be dismissed. This is an extremely brief overview of the phenomenon of Ḥadīth criticism and scrutiny which will—Allah willing—provide some relevance for the discussions which will follow.

Accepting the narrations of Innovators

What is the correct position—as far as the Sunnī Ḥadīth paradigm is concerned—on accepting the narrations of people who held heterodox beliefs? Surprisingly this is an issue upon which the scholars opinions varied. The natural consequence of this difference manifests in the varying criteria extracted from their works.

The first opinion

Those who adopt this view argue that the narrations of innovators are to be rejected without exception. This view has been attributed to Imam Mālik and $Q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ Abū Bakr al-Bāqillānī; they argue that narrating from such people results in promoting their affair and praiseworthy mention of them.

Al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī says:

The scholars have differed regarding hearing Ḥadīth from the people of innovation like the Qadariyyah, Khawārij, Rāfiḍah, and upon relying on, and acceptance of their narrations. Some of the early scholars have prohibited this since they are considered disbelievers according to those who pronounce disbelief on the people of Taʿwīl, and they are considered flagrant sinners according to those who do not pronounce disbelief on the people of Taʿwīl. Among those who held this view was Mālik ibn Anas.²

Ibn Ḥajar lists the various positions on this matter:

The prohibition of accepting the narration of the innovators who have not crossed the line into disbelief like the Rāfiḍah and Khawārij and their like is the view of Mālik and his companions; and al-Qāḍī Abū Bakr al-Bāqillānī and his followers.³

In response to this view Ibn Ṣalāh is quoted as saying:

The view of unrestricted prohibition is farfetched from the scholars of Ḥadīth since their books are filled with narrations of people of innovation who did not invite.⁴

¹ Fath al-Mughīth vol. 3 pg. 60, al-Tankīl vol. 1 pg. 45

² Al-Kifāyah pg. 148

³ Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 1 pg. 10

⁴ al-Muqaddimah pg.104

A similar view has also been attributed to Abū Isḥāq, Ibrāhīm ibn Yaʻqūb al-Jūzajānī, especially with narrators who were described as being Shīʻah. He was known to reject the narrations of Shīʻahs with the exception of a few narrators who were well-known for their excellent memories, precision, and trustworthiness. Al-Dhahabī said about him, "Abū Isḥāq al-Jūzajānī's expressions are harsh and such was his habit..." Al-Muʻallimī added, "Al-Jūzajānī has the tendency of Naṣb and is especially harsh with criticism of narrators with Shīʿī tendencies." ²

The second opinion

This view calls for distinction between adherents and fanatics. If the narrators level of commitment leads him to invite others towards his heterodoxy, or is known to be a chief proponent of that particular belief, he would be considered a fanatic and his narrations shall not be accepted. Similarly, his narrations would be accepted if he was not known for inviting to his innovation provided that the underlying criteria of moral integrity and meticulous recall of his narrations are found. Many scholars were inclined to this view.

Al-Khaṭīb ascribed this opinion to Imam Aḥmad and narrates by way of Ibn Mahdī and Ibn al-Mubārak that Aḥmad said of Shabābah ibn Sawwār, "I abandoned him and did not write from him on account his Irjā," so it was said to Aḥmad, "What about Abū Muʿāwiyah?" He responded, "Shabābah was a propagator [Dāʿiyah]."³

ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Mahdī said:

Whoever held heterodox views still deserves to be considered, but those who invited towards them deserves to be abandoned.⁴

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 66

² al-Tankīl vol. 1 pg 46 - See also *Sharḥ* al-ʿIlal of Ibn Rajab vol.1 pg.357, *Al-Thiqāt* of Ibn Ḥibbān vol. 8 pg. 82; al-Kāmil of Ibn ʿAdī vol. 1 pg. 310, and al-Tankīl vol.1 pg.99

³ Al-Kifāyah pg. 149, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 301, Tahthīb al-Tahthīb vol. 2 pg. 147

⁴ Al-Kifāyah pg.155

'Alī ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Shaqīq said:

I said to 'Abd Allah—referring to Ibn al-Mubārak—have you heard from 'Amr ibn 'Ubayd? And he indicated with his hand 'plenty', so I asked him why do you not name him whereas you name others from the Qadariyyah?

He replied, "Because he was one of their leaders." 1

Ibn Şalāh said:

This is the most balanced of the views and the preferred one.²

Al-Nawawī agreed with him saying:

This is the preferred view, and the most balanced of views; adopted by the majority.³

Ibn Kathīr also said:

The majority opinion was to distinguish between those who merely held these views from those who were callers.⁴

Al-Ḥākim has also transmitted the agreement of the scholars on this matter.⁵

Al-Dhahabī said:

As for the extremists and those who invited to their way; majority of the early scholars warned against them and would not narrate from them.⁶

- 1 Ibid, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā vol.8 pg.302
- 2 Al-Muqaddimah pg. 104
- 3 Al-Taqrīb pg. 43
- 4 Ikhtiṣār 'Ulūm al-Ḥadīth pg. 299
- 5 See al-Tankīl vol.1 pg.43
- 6 Al-Mughnī vol. 1 pg. 523

He states further, under the biography of Dāwūd ibn al-Ḥuṣayn:

Ibn Ḥibbān said that he was one of the Shurāt—meaning Khawārij—like 'Ikrimah. However, he was not a caller to that way. As for the callers, it is necessary to avoid their narrations.¹

Ibn Ḥajar was skeptical of this being a unanimous view, although he acknowledges its widespread application.² Some have qualified the criteria even further; stating that the narration must not be seen to support the narrators heterodoxy. He elaborates on this point:

It is necessary to restrict our statement of acceptance of the narrations of the innovator if he is trustworthy, and not a caller to his innovation that his narration which he narrates should not be supporting his innovation; for we cannot be sure in that case of his impartiality. And with Allah is success.³

Ibn Ḥajar provides further insight on the types of innovation:

Innovation is of two kinds: it either it necessitates disbelief, in that he believes in something which results in disbelief, or the innovation necessitates fisq (deviance). Thus the majority do not accept the first, though it is said that it is accepted unequivocally and it is [also] said that it is accepted if the person does not believe in the permissibility of lying to assist his opinion. After investigation the preferred stance is that every report by an innovator that leads to disbelief will not be rejected. This is because every sect claims that the opposing party is heretical and sometimes they exaggerate the claim by declaring them disbelievers. If this opinion was to be accepted generally, then it would necessitate that all sects are disbelievers. Hence the trusted opinion is that the narration of someone who denies the mass-transmitted (mutawātir) matters of sharī a,

¹ Al-Mīzān vol. 2 pg. 6

² Nuzhat al-Nazar pg. 137; Hady al-Sārī pg.549

³ Lisān al-Mīzān vol.1 pg. 11

known in religion through conviction will be rejected. And similar is the case for one who does the opposite (in that he believes in something which is definitively known to be forbidden in sharī ah). As for someone who is not of this attribute, coupled with the fact that he is careful in what he reports, with awareness and piety, then there is no hindrance to accepting his report.

In the second—namely the one whose innovative beliefs do not lead to disbelief—here too a difference exists in accepting and rejecting his narrations. Thus it is said that it is unequivocally rejected. This opinion is far-fetched.

The most common reason given for its rejection is that narrating from him will be promoting his belief and will be an approval of it. If this is the case, then the report of any innovator ought to be rejected, wherever an accepted narrator also narrates from the same teacher.

It has also been said that the narrations of an innovator will be accepted without restrictions, except if he believes in the permissibility of lying, as mentioned previously.

It is also said the innovators report is accepted as long as he does not propagate his heterodoxy. This is because appeal to his innovation may result in distorting the narrations [in his favour] and moulding it to comply with the requirements of his innovation. This is the most correct opinion... and this view has been clearly expressed by al-Jawzaqānī, the teacher of al-Nasā \tilde{i} T.

His preference on this matter is clearly stated in the preface of his expansive commentary on Sahīh al-Bukhārī:

This view [acceptance of the narrator who does not propogate] is the most balanced, and has become the position many of the scholars have adopted.²

¹ Nuzhat al-Nazar pg. 136

² Hady al-Sārī pg. 549

The third opinion

The prononents of this opinion argue that innovation does not affect the credibility of a narrator as long as he is well-established in terms of his memory, precision of narration, and trustworthiness. This is because his religiousness and honesty will prevent him from lying.¹

This appears to be the stance of many of the earlier scholars like al-Bukhārī, Muslim, ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī, Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān, Ibn Khuzaymah among many other Ḥadīth experts.

The following case studies demonstrates their stance on this issue

Al-Bukhārī has narrated a single report from 'Imrān ibn Ḥaṭṭān, although it would only be fair to point out that there is a supporting narration for what he narrates as well.² 'Imrān ibn Ḥaṭṭān was from the Khawārij. Ibn Ḥajar says, "He was a propogator to his way."³

Al-Bukhārī and Muslim narrate by way of Ismā'īl ibn Abī Khālid, from Qays ibn Abī Ḥāzim, from 'Amr ibn al-'Āṣ who said, "I heard the Messenger of Allah saying openly and not secretly, 'Verily the Family of Abū – meaning so-and-so – are not my allies. Indeed my only allies are Allah and the righteous of the believers."

Qays ibn Abī Ḥāzim⁵ is accused of the innovation of Naṣb, and this narration appears to support his innovation yet it is still narrated by al-Bukhārī and Muslim.⁶

¹ Al-Tahdhīb vol.3 pg.317; Fath al-Mughīth vol.2 pg.61

² *Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī*, Chapter of clothing; sub-chapter of wearing silk for men. Ḥadith (5835)

³ $\it Hady al-S\bar{a}r\bar{i}$ pg 43. in $\it al-Fat\dot{h}$ vol.10pg.357 he comments: "al-Bukhārī brought his narration on the principle that he will narrate from innovators if they are religious and honest."

⁴ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Adab,Ḥadīth 5990 and Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Ḥadīth 215. See also al-Tahthīb vol.3 pg.444 and Fatḥ al-Bārī vol.10pg. 516

⁵ See 'al-Tahthīb' (3/444) and 'Fath al-Bārī' (10/516)

⁶ See 'al-Tankīl' (1/51) of al-Mu'allimī as well as al-Albānī's comments

Furthermore, Muslim ibn Ḥajjāj narrates by way of ʿAdī ibn Thābit, from Zirr ibn Ḥubaysh, from ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib www who said: "Indeed it is the covenant of the unlettered prophet to me that none shall love me except a believer and none shall hate me except a hypocrite."

'Adī ibn Thābit is a well-known Shi'ī scholar.

Al-Dhahabī says:

'Adī ibn Thābit is the scholar of the Shī ah, their truthful one, their preacher, and the Imam of their Masjid.²

Despite this al-Imām Muslim narrates this narration from him.

Al-Khatīb narrates—with his chain—from 'Alī ibn al-Madīnī who said:

I said to Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān that ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Mahdī said, "I abandon from the people of Ḥadīth all those who were leaders of innovation."

So Yaḥya laughed and said, "What will he do about Qatādah? What will he do about 'Umar ibn Thar al-Hamadānī? What about ibn Abī Rawād?" and Yaḥya listed a number of names which I have refrained from mentioning. Thereafter Yaḥya said, "If 'Abd al-Raḥmān abandons this type, he will abandon much."

Al-Khaṭīb narrates further from ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī who said:

If I abandon [narrations from] the people of Baṣrah on account of Qadar [predestination], and I abandon the people of Kūfah on account of that view — meaning Tashayyuʻ — the books would be ruined.⁴

¹ Şaḥiḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Ḥadīth no. 78

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.3 pg.61

³ Al-Kifāyah pg.157. See also al-Siyar vol.5 pg.278

⁴ Al-Kifāyah pg. 157

Al-Khaṭīb explains,

His statement, "The books would be ruined," means that many narrations would be lost.¹

Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq ibn Khuzaymah states:

'Abbād ibn Ya'qūb —who is suspected in his beliefs but reliable in his narration — narrated to us.²

It appears that Ibn Khuzaymah ratified 'Abbād ibn Ya'qūb al-Rawājinī in his narration despite him being suspected of deviated belief.

Al-Dhahabī says:

A group of [narrators] have been accused with [heterodox belief regarding] predestination; yet their narrations appear in the Ṣaḥīḥayn or one of them on account of them being described with honesty and precision and accuracy.³

Al-Mu'allimī writes:

The scholars of Ḥadīth have ratified a group of innovators and relied on their narrations and transmitted them in their authentic collections. One who gathers their narrations will find a substantial amount of them that appear to support their innovation; whereas the scholars have an alternative interpretation of those reports without censuring them on account of the innovation of the narrator, nor the narrator on account of what he narrates.⁴

¹ Ibid

² Şaḥīḥ ibn Khuzaymah vol.2 pg.376

³ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol.7 pg.21

⁴ Al-Tankīl vol.1 pg.50

Al-Dhahabī says:

This is a matter of great significance, i.e. the Qadari, the Muʻtazilī, the Jahmī, the Rāfiḍī, whose honesty in narration is well known, as well as his piety, and the fact that he does not invite to his corrupted belief. The majority of the Ḥadīth scholars are inclined towards acceptance of his narrations and practicing accordingly. They were less decisive when it came to the matter of one who propagated his (hetereodox) beliefs; whether his narrations could be accepted or not. Many of the great scholars avoided their narrations and refrained from narrating from them. On the other hand, some of them said, "If we are aware of his honesty — even though he is a caller to his corrupt beliefs — and we find with him a Sunnah that is not found with others beside him how could we justify abandoning that Sunnah." So the manner in which all the scholars conducted themselves seems to indicate that if the corrupted belief of a narrator does not warrant departure from the faith and does not necessitate the spilling of his blood, then it is within plausible means that his narration be acceptable.

This particular matter has not become clear to me as I would like; though what appears to be the case to me is that a person who is involved in an innovation and is not considered from the forerunners of that particular view; neither does he delve into the details of it; his narrations ought to be accepted.¹

Ibn Ḥajar says:

Abstaining from accepting the reports of a narrator described with innovation — whose innovation does not result in departure from the religion — is a view adopted by Imām Mālik, and his companions, and al-Bāqillān \bar{l} and his followers.

The unrestricted acceptance of those whose innovation is not tantamount to heresy and whose integrity does not bring them to lie is a view adopted

¹ al-Siyar vol.7 pg.154

by Imām Abū Ḥanīfah, Abū Yūsuf, and a group of scholars, and it has been report of al-Shafīʿī as well.

As for the view which advocates a detailed division; then this is the view of the majority of the scholars of Ḥadīth. Ibn Ḥibbān¹ has transmitted their consensus on this matter; since the innovator who is a caller to his way has an incentive to narrate that which supports his corrupted belief.²

The case of the narrator whose innovation results in departure from the religion; his narrations are to be rejected and al-Nawawī has reported the agreement on this. He said:

Whoever commits disbelief resulting from his innovation is not to be relied upon by consensus. 3

Ibn Kathīr states:

The there is no harm in rejecting the narrations of one whose innovation results in heresy.⁴

Ibn Ḥajar says:

The conclusion after examination and investigation is that not every innovators narration is to be rejected — even if it might be considered heresy on some level —since every group accuses the next of innovation and some exceed the bounds and declare statements of disbelief against its opponents. So the upheld view is that the narrations of those who reject what is known of the religion by necessity and transmitted by mass transmission, and believe contrary to it, their narrations will be disregarded.⁵

¹ Al-Thiqāt vol.6 pg.140), al-Majrūḥīn vol.1 pg.18

² Lisān al-Mīzān vol.1 pg. 10

³ Tadrīb al-Rāwī vol.1 pg.383 and al-Nawawīs commentary on Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim vol.1 pg.60

⁴ Ikhtiṣār ʿUlūm al-Ḥadīth vol.1 pg.299

⁵ Al-Nuzhah pg.138

Letter 15

Thul-Qi'da 1329

I. A Flash of the Truth

II. Requesting Details on Sunnis Relying on Shi'a Authorities

- 1. Your latest letter has been perfect in organization, clear in expression, sweet, great in benefit, easily accessible, vast in sphere, farsighted, well-supported. I have looked into it keenly, and from among its contents indications of your success have flashed, and signs of your victory shone.
- 2. When you stated that Sunnis rely on Shi'as, however, you were very brief. You did not elaborate on your statement in this regard. It would have been better had you mentioned those men by their names and quoted Sunni texts indicating that those men were Shi'as and that they nevertheless relied on them. Could you please provide it so that the flags of truth may be seen and the lights of certainty shine? Peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 16

Thul-Qi'da 1329

A Hundred Shi'a Authorities Relied upon by Sunnis

Yes. I will provide you in a hurry with what you have requested, confining myself to some of those personalities who were visited by people from far and wide, on the condition that I will not be required to elaborate on them, since there is no room for that in this brief exposition. Here are their names and the names of their fathers arranged alphabetically:1

1. Aban ibn Taghlib

He was a Kufi] reciter of the Holy Qur'an. AlThahbi has recorded his biography in his own Mizan saying, "Aban ibn Taghlib, MAW, of Kufa, is a persistant Shi'a. He, nevertheless, is truthful; so, we will rely on his truthfulness, and let him be punished for his innovation." He has also said that Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Ibn Ma'in and Abu Hatim put their trust in him. Ibn 'Adi quotes him and says that he is "extremist in Shi'ism." AlSa'di describes him as "an open deviator."

Ibn alThahbi goes on to describe the man's credentials, counting him as an authority relied upon by Muslim and authors of the four Sunan books, namely Abu Dawud, alTirmithi, alNisa'i and Ibn Majah, marking his name with the latter's initials.

Refer to his narration of hadith in Muslim's Sahih, in the four Sunan books through alHakam and alA'mash, in addition to Fudayl ibn 'Umar. Sufyan ibn 'Ayinah, Shu'bah, and Idris alAwdi quote him as recorded in Muslim's book. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in 141 A.H.

2. Ibrahim ibn Yazid

His name is Ibrahim ibn Yazid ibn 'Umar ibn alAswad alNakh'i alKufi, the faqih. His mother is Malika daughter of Yazid ibn Qays alNakh'i and sister of alAswad, Ibrahim, and 'AbdelRahman, sons of Yazid ibn Qays. Like their uncles 'Alqamah and Ubay, sons of Qays, they were all among the most reliable and authoritative among all Muslims. Authors of the six sahih books, as well as others, have all relied upon their authority while keeping in mind their being Shi'as.

As regarding our man Ibrahim ibn Yazid, he has been included among Shi'a dignitaries by Ibn Qutaybah] on page 206 of his work Al-Ma'arif where he enumerates a few Shi'a dignitaries, taking his reliability for granted. Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahih books as quoted by the mother of his uncle 'Alqamah ibn Qays, and by Humam ibn alHarith, Abu 'Ubaydah ibn 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud, 'Ubaydah, alAswad ibn Yazid, his uncle.

Refer also to his hadith in Muslim's Sahih through his uncle from his mother's side, 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Yazid, and through Sahm ibn Munjab, Abu Mu'ammar, 'Ubayd ibn Nadlah, and 'Abis. In the two sahihs, he is quoted by Fudayl ibn 'Umar, alMughirah, Ziyad ibn Kulayb, Wasil, alHasan ibn 'Ubaydullah, Hammad ibn Abu Sulayman, and by Sammak. Ibrahim was born in 50 A.H., and he died at the age of either 95 or 96, four months after alHajjaj's death.

3. Ahmad ibn al-Mufdil

He is Ahmad ibn alMufdil ibn alKufi alHafri. Abu Zar'ah and Abu Hatim quote him and rely upon him while being fully aware of his status among Shi'as. In Ahmad's biography, as stated in Al-Mizan, Abu Hatim highlights this fact by saying: "Ahmad ibn alMufdil is one of the Shi'a chiefs, and he is truthful." AlThahbi mentions him in his book Al-Mizan, putting on

his name Abu Dawud's and alNisa'i's initials, indicating thereby that they consider him an authority. Refer to his hadith in their sahih through al-Thawri. He narrates through Asbat ibn Nasir and Isra'i.

4. Isma'il ibn Aban al-Azdi al-Kufi al-Warraq

He is mentor of al-Bukhari, as the latter indicates in his Sahih. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Mizan. This proves that both al-Bukhari and al-Tirmithi rely on him in their Sahih books. It has also been said that both Yahya and Ahmed cite him, and that al-Bukhari said this about him: "He is truthful," yet others say that the man used to follow the Shi'ite faith. He died in 286 A.H./899 A.D., but al-Qaysarani states that his year of demise was 216 A.H./831 A.D. Al-Bukhari quotes him directly in more than one place of his Sahih, as al-Qaysarani and others have stated.

5. Isma'il ibn Khalifah al-Malla'i al-Kufi "Abu Isra'il"

He is more famous by his kunya, nickname, "Abu Isra'il" whereby he is identified. Al-Thahbi mentions him in a chapter about nicknames in his Mizan saying, "He was a contemptible Shi'ite, one of the extremists who regard Othman as kafir, apostate." He quotes many of his statements in this sense which we do not have to cite here.

Despite all of this, al-Tirmithi quotes him in his Sahih and so do many authors of Sunan books. Abu Hatim considers his hadith as good. Abu Zar'ah says this about him: "He is truthful. There is extremism in his views." Ahmed says, "He used to write down his ahadith." 2

Ibn Ma'een said once about him, "He is trustworthy." Al-Fallas has said, "He is not one of those who tell lies [in narrating hadith, as is the case with Abu Hurayra, for e.g.]. You can refer to his hadith in al-Tirmithi's Sahih and elsewhere which he narrates through the venues of 'Utaybah and Atiyyah al-'Awfi. He is quoted by Isma'il ibn 'Amr al-Bajali and a group

of renowned men from their class. Ibn Quraybah has counted him among Shi'ite men in his Al-Ma'arif book.

6. Isma'il ibn Zakariyya al-Assadi al-Khalliqani al-Kufi

In his Mizan, al-Thahbi records his biography. He says, "Isma'il ibn Zakariyya (peace with Prophet Zakariyya) al-Khalliqani al-Kufi is a truthful Shi'ite," regarding him as one of those on whom the authors of the six Sahih books rely, placing on his name a symbol indicative of their consensus in this regard. Refer to his hadith in al-Bukhari's Sahih through the venue of Muhammed ibn Sawqah and 'Ubaydullah ibn Omar3, and to his hadith in Muslim's Sahih through the venue of Suhayl, Malik ibn Maghul and others. As regarding his hadith about 'Asim al-Ahwal, it exists in both Sahih books. He is quoted by both men through the venue of Muhammed ibn al-Sabah and Abu al-Rabee', and through that of Muhammed ibn Bakar by Muslim. He died in Baghdad in 174 A.H./791 A.D.

His being a Shi'ite is well known, so much so that this statement was attributed to him: "The servant of Allah who was called upon from the side of the Tur (Mount Sinai) was Ali ibn Abu Talib," and that he used to say, "The first, the last, the manifest and the hidden is Ali ibn Abu Talib." All these statements are lies circulated by liars against this man only because he was a follower of Ali, those who preferred Ali over others. While detailing his biography, al-Thahbi says the following in his Mizan after citing all these lies about him, "Such talk has never been proven with regard to al-Khalliqani; it is the speech only of zindeeqs about folks."

7. Isma'il ibn 'Abbad

His full name is Isma'il ibn 'Abbad ibn al-Abbas al-Taleqani (Abul-Qasim) better known as al-Sahib ibn 'Abbad. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his book Al-Mizan putting "DT" on his name to indicate that both Dawud and al-Tirmithi rely on him in their sahih books4. Then he goes on to describe

him as "a talented Shi'a a man of letters". His being Shi'a is a matter which cannot be doubted by anyone. For this reason he and his father earned high marks of prestige and greatness in the Buwayhid state.

He is the first person among their government ministers to be called "sahib" (companion, friend), since he was since his adolescence a companion of Mu'ayyed al-Dawlah ibn Buwayh. This title followed him as he grew up till he was known thereby. Later on it was used for anyone who held the same reins of responsibility in the government. First he was minister to Mu'ayyed al-Dawlah Abu Mansur ibn Rukn al-Dawlah ibn Buwayh.

After the latter's demise in Sha'ban of 373 in Jurjan Abul-Hassan Ali better known as Fakhr al-Dawlah brother of Mu'ayyed seized authority and retained Sahib's position. Fakhr al-Dawlah held Sahib in high esteem and fulfilled his wishes in the same way his own father Abu 'Abbad ibn al-Abbas did while he was in the service of Fakhr al-Dawlah's father Rukn al-Dawlah.

When at the age of 59 as-Sahib died on Thursday night 24th of Safar 385 in Rayy the city of Rayy closed down its shops as a sign of mourning and people gathered in front of his mansion awaiting his coffin. Fakhr al-Dawlah accompanied by government ministers and commanders of the army went there too wearing mourning clothes. When his coffin came out of his house people cried "Allahu Akbar!" in unison kissed the ground in glorification and Fakhr al-Dawlah followed the coffin on foot with the crowd and sat with them during the three days' mourning period.

Poets read eulogies and scholars held commemorative ceremonies in his honour and he was praised by all those who could not attend his funeral. Abu Bakr al-Khawarizmi said: "Al-Sahib ibn 'Abbad grew up in the ministry's lap learned how to crawl and walk within its precincts was nursed from the most excellent of its bosoms and inherited it [ministry from his own forefathers." Abu Sa'id al-Rustami composed these verses in

his praise: He inherited ministry: a link in a chain A great man he was heir of great men.

About the ministry of al-Abbas does 'Abbad narrate while from 'Abbad does Isma'il Narrate.

In his biography of Sahib al-Tha'alibi says: "I can find no words to fairly describe Sahib's lofty status in knowledge and arts or the prestige he enjoys for being benevolent and generous or his unique virtues and possession of various merits. The best statement I can make on his behalf falls short of doing justice to the least among his virtues and eminence and my best description falls short of being fair to his virtues and characteristics."

Sahib has written many precious books including Al-Muhit in Language in seven volumes; its chapters are arranged alphabetically. He collected an unmatched library. Nuh ibn al-Mansur one of the kings of Sam'an wrote to him once to invite him to be in charge of running his cabinet of ministers and managing the affairs of his kingdom. He apologized to him saying that he needed four hundred camels just to transport the contents of his library. This much about him should suffice.

8. Isma'il ibn 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Karimah al-Kufi

Better known as al-Suddi he is the renowneded interpreter of the Holy Qur'an. Stating his biography al-Thahbi describes him as "charged with Shi'ism." Hussain ibn Waqid al-Maruzi discusses him claiming that he heard him once cursing Abu Bakr and 'Omar. In spite of all these charges he is quoted by al-Thawri and Abu Bakr ibn 'Ayyash and many in such class of writers.

Muslim and authors of the four sahih books consider him an authority while Ahmed grants him his full confidence. Ibn 'Adi says that he is truthful. Yahya al-Qattan says there is nothing wrong with the ahadith he narrates. Yahya ibn Sa'id says: "I never heard anyone speaking ill of al-Suddi; none has deserted him." Ibrahim al-Nakh'i once passed by al-Suddi while the latter was interpreting the Holy Qur'an.

Ibrahim said that al-Suddi was interpreting the Holy Qur'an according to the commonly used methods. If you read about al-Suddi in Mizan al-I'tidal you will find more details about what we have stated above. Refer to al-Suddi's hadith in Muslim's Sahih from Anas ibn Malik Sa'd ibn 'Ubaydah and Yahya ibn 'Abbad. Abu 'Awanah al-Thawri Hassan ibn Salih Za'idah and Isra'il have all quoted him being their mentor as stated in the four sahih books. He died in 127 A.H./744 A.D.

9. Isma'il ibn Musa al-Fazari al-Kufi

Al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan quotes Ibn 'Uday saying "People despised his extremist Shi'a views." Al-Mizan also quotes 'Abdan saying: "Hammad and Ibn Abu Shaybah opposed our visiting him." He asked him once how he fared with "that immoral who curses our ancestors."

In spite of all of this both Ibn Khuzaymah and Abu 'Arubah quote him being the instructor of their class. He is in the same category with Abu Dawud and al-Tirmithi who quote him and rely on his authority in their sahihs. Abu Hatim mentions him and calls him "trustworthy." Al-Nisa'i says "he is alright." All of this is stated in the man's biography in al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan.

Refer to his hadith in al-Tirmithi's Sahih and Abu Dawud's Sunan as narrated by Malik Sharik and 'Umar ibn Shakir a friend of Anas. He died in 245. He was a son of al-Suddi's daughter although he might have denied that and Allah knows best.

10. Talid ibn Sulayman al-Kufi al-A'raj

Ibn Ma'in mentioned him and said: "He used to curse 'Uthman. Some of 'Uthman's followers heard that. They threw a rock at him which broke his

leg, hence his nickname "alA'raj," the lame. Abu Dawud has mentioned him and said he is Rafidi who curses Abu Bakr and 'Uthman.

In spite of all of this, Ahmad and Ibn Namir rely on his authority despite their knowledge of his Shi'a beliefs. Ahmad has said, "Talid is a Shi'a, yet we could not find anything wrong with what he narrated." AlThahbi has mentioned him in his book Al-Mizan, quoting statements about him made by learned men as stated above. He puts al-Tirmithi's initials on his name to indicate that the latter considers him an authority. Refer to his hadith in al-Tirmithi's Sahih through 'Ata ibn alSa'ib and 'AbdelMalik ibn 'Umayr.

11. Thabit ibn Dinar

Thabit is better known as Abu Hamzah alThamali. His being Shi'a is as clear as the sun. Author of Al-Mizan mentions him, stating that the name of 'Uthman was mentioned once in Abu Hamzah's presence. The latter sarcastically asked: "Who is 'Uthman?!"

It also states that alSulaymani includes Abu Hamzah among the Rafidis. Al-Thahbi puts al-Tirmithi's initials on Abu Hamzah's name as an indication of his being an authority. Waki' and Abu Na'im quote him and use him as their authority. Refer to his hadith in al-Tirmithi's sahih through Anas and alSha'bi and others of the same calibre. He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in 150 A.H.

12. Thuwayr ibn Abu Fakhita

He is better known as Abu Jahm alKufi, a freed slave of Ummu Hani', daughter of Abu Talib. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan and quoted Yunus ibn Abu Ishaq's allegation that he was Rafidi. Nevertheless, both Sufyan and Shu'bah have quoted him, and al-Tirmithi has produced some of his ahadith in his own Sahih through the authority of Ibn 'Umar and Zayd ibn Arqam.

During the time of Imam al-Baqir , he maintained his loyalty to the Imam, and he came to be known as such. In this regard, he made quite a few interesting dialogues with 'Amr ibn Tharr, the judge, his contemporary Ibn Qays, and al-Salt ibn Bahram testifying to this fact.

13. Jabir ibn Yazid ibn al-Harith al-Ju'fi al-Kufi

Al-Thahbi has narrated his biography in his own Al-Mizan, describing him as one of the Shi'a 'ulema. He has quoted Sufyan saying that he heard Jabir saying that the knowledge with the Prophet معينات was transferred to 'Ali 'عليات , then to al-Hasan 'عليات , and so on till it reached Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq 'عليات , who was one of his contemporaries.

Muslim has mentioned him in one of the first chapters of his Sahih, quoting al-Jarrah who has heard Jabir saying that he knew seventy thousand ahadith of the Prophet all narrated through the authority of the father of Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq (i.e. Imam Muhammad al-Baqir, peace be upon him). He has also quoted Zuhayr saying, "I know fifty thousand ahadith none of which I have narrated yet."

One day, he quoted one hadith and said, "This is one of the fifty thousand ahadith." According to his biography in al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan, whenever Jabir narrated hadith through al-Baqir (The successor of the successors of the Prophet related to me that..." In his biography in the Al-Mizan, Ibn 'Uday says: "Commoners alleged that he [Jabir] used to believe in the return."

Relying on the authority of Za'idah, al-Thahbi has included his biography in his Al-Mizan and said: "Jabir al-Ju'fi is a Rafidi who curses..." In spite of that, both al-Nisa'i and Abu Dawud rely on his authority.

Refer to the hadith which he narrates concerning accidental prostrations in both sahihs. Shihab, Abu 'Awanah, and many of their calibre, quote him.

Al-Thahbi, who mentions him in his Al-Mizan, has put the initials of both Abu Dawud and al-Tirmithi on his name to indicate their reliance on his authority. He also quotes Sufyan saying that Jabir al-Ju'fi is God-fearing while narrating hadith, and that he has said: "I have never seen anyone more pious than him [Jabir]."

He also quotes Shu'bah saying that Jabir is truthful, and "Whenever Jabir narrated hadith, we listened, since he is the most trustworthy of all men." Waki' used to say, "If doubt entertains your mind, you may doubt anyone other than Jabir al-Ju'fi," and that Ibn 'Abd al-Hakam heard al-Shafi'i once saying that Sufyan al-Thawri said once to Shu'bah: "If you ever cast doubt about Jabir, that will signal the end of our friendship." Jabir died in either 127 or 128 Hij., may Allah have mercy on his soul.

14. Jarir ibn 'Abdel-Hamid al-Dabi al-Kufi

In his work Al-Ma'arif, Ibn Qutaybah includes him among Shi'a dignitaries, while al-Thahbi mentions him in Al-Mizan, marking his name to denote the consensus of the sahihs in relying on his authority. He has praised him saying: "He is the learned man of the Rayy on whose authority many authors rely," testifying to the consensus of opinion regarding his reliability.

Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahihs narrated through A'mash, Mughirah, Mansur, Isma'il ibn Abu Khalid and Abu Ishaq al-Shaybani. Qutaybah ibn Sa'id, Yahya ibn Yahya and 'Uthman ibn Abu Shaybah have all quoted his ahadith as stated in both sahihs. He died, may Allah rest his soul in peace, in Rayy in 187 Hij. at the age of 77.

15. Ja'far ibn Ziyad al-Ahmar al-Kufi

Abu Dawud has mentioned him saying: "He is a truthful Shi'a." Al-Jawzjani has said: "He has deviated from the path," meaning from al-Jawzjani's

path to that of the Prophet's Progeny مُقَالِعَة. Ibn 'Adi has described him as a pious Shi'a.

His grandson al-Husayn ibn 'Ali ibn Ja'far ibn Ziyad has said: "My grandfather Ja'far was one of the chiefs of Shi'as in Khurasan." Abu Ja'far al-Dawaniqi ordered collars5 to be put around his neck and the necks of a group of other Shi'as and be pulled like dogs; then he kept all of them in dungeons for quite a long time.

Ibn 'Ayinah, Waki', Abu Ghassan al-Mahdi, Yahya ibn Bishr al-Hariri and Ibn Mahdi have all quoted his ahadith, being their mentor. Ibn Ma'in and others have considered him an authority on the Prophet's hadith. Ahmad describes his hadith as "sahih," authentic, accurate. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan and narrated what is stated above, putting the initials of both al-Tirmithi and al-Nisa'i on his name as an indication of both men's reliance on him. Refer to his hadith as they quote it in their sahihs through Bayan ibn Bishr and 'Ata' ibn al-Sa'ib. He is quoted through other men of the same calibre. He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in 167 Hij.

16. Ja'far ibn Sulayman al-Dab'i al-Basri (Abu Sulayman)

On page 206 of his Ma'arif, Ibn Qutaybah includes him among Shi'a dignitaries. Ibn Sa'd has mentioned him and emphasized his being a Shi'a and a trustworthy narrator of hadith. Ahmad ibn al-Miqdam has charged him of being "Rafidi." Ibn 'Adi has mentioned him saying: "He is a Shi'a. There is nothing wrong with his narration; his ahadith are by no means refutable, and I consider him as one whose hadith is acceptable."

Abu Talib has said: "I have heard Ahmad saying that there is nothing wrong with the ahadith narrated by Ja'far ibn Sulayman al-Dab'i." It was said to Ahmad, "But Sulayman ibn Harb says that he did not write down al-Dab'i's ahadith." Ahmad replied by saying that Ibn Harb did not object

that anyone should write down al-Dab'i's ahadith, and that [ibn Harb's prejudice was simply because] al-Dab'i was a Shi'a who quoted ahadith regarding 'Ali [ibn Abu Talib]."

Ibn Ma'in has said: "I have heard certain talk from 'Abdul-Razzaq which testified to the man's "sectarian beliefs." I said to him: "Your mentors, such as Mu'ammar, Ibn Jurayh, al-Awza'i, Malik, and Sufyan, are all Sunnis. Where did you learn this [Shi'a] sect from?" He answered: "One day, Ja'far ibn Sulayman al-Dab'i visited us, and I saw him to be virtuous, pious, and from him did I learn this sect." I guess Muhammad ibn Abu Bakr al-Muqaddami saw contrariwise! He openly used to say that Ja'far learned "Rafidism" from 'Abdul-Razzaq; therefore, he used to curse the latter and say: "Nobody corrupted Ja'far's beliefs other than he ['Abdul-Razzaq]."

Quoting Sahl ibn Abu Khadouthah, al-Aqili has said: "I said to Ja'far ibn Sulayman: 'I have heard that you curse Abu Bakr and 'Umar.' He replied: 'Cursing I do not; but hating, you can say whatever you will."

Relying on Jarir ibn Yazid ibn Harun, Ibn Haban has said in his Thiqat, "My father sent me once to Abu Ja'far al-Dab'i. I said to the latter: 'I have heard that you curse Abu Bakr and 'Umar.' He replied: 'I do not curse them. But if you want to say that I despise them, feel free;' therefore, I concluded that he was Rafidi."

In his biography of Ja'far in Al-Mizan, al-Thahbi has included all the above and emphasized as well the fact that the man was a pious 'alim "in spite of being a Shi'a." Muslim relies on him in his Sahih and quotes some of his unique ahadith which are published nowhere else as al-Thahbi himself testifies when he narrates Ja'far's biography. Refer to his hadith in the sahih narrated through Thabit al-Banani, al-Ja'd ibn 'Uthman, Abu 'Umran al-Jawni, Yazid ibn al-Rashk and Sa'id al-Jariri. Qatan ibn Nasir, Yahya ibn Yahya, Qutaybah, Muhammad ibn 'Ubayd ibn Hasab, Ibn Mahdi and Musaddid have all quoted his ahadith.

For example, he has said: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, dispatched a division of the Muslim army under 'Ali's command, etc." Another hadith he has narrated states: "What do you want of 'Ali? 'Ali is of me, and I am of him. He is the wali (master) after me of every believer," as quoted in al-Nisa'i's Sahih and transmitted through Ibn 'Adi from al-Nisa'i. Al-Thahbi has stated the above while discussing Ja'far in his Al-Mizan. He died in Rajab of 178 Hij.; may Allah be merciful unto him.

17. Jami' ibn 'Umayrah ibn Tha'labah al-Kufi al-Taymi (Taymullah)

Abu Hatim has mentioned his biography in his own Al-Mizan at the conclusion of which he states: "Al-Kufi is one of the Shi'a nobility whose hadith is authentically narrated." Ibn Haban has mentioned him and stated, as indicated in Al-Mizan, that he is "Rafidi." I say that al-'Ala' ibn Salih, Sadaqah ibn al-Muthanna, and Hakim ibn Jubayr have all derived their knowledge from him, being their mentor.

The Sunan books quote him thrice. Al-Tirmithi has acclaimed his hadith, as al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan testifies. He is one of the tabi'in. He learned hadith from Ibn 'Umar and 'Ayesha. One of the ahadith which he learned from Ibn 'Umar states that the latter heard the Messenger of Allah addressing 'Ali thus: "You are my brother in this life and the life hereafter."

18. Al-Harith ibn Hasirah Abul Nu'man al-Azdi al-Kufi

Abu Hatim al-Razi describes him as one of the Shi'a nobility. Abu Ahmad al-Zubayri has attributed to him the belief in the return. Ibn 'Adi mentions him saying: "His hadith is written down in spite of the weakness I have seen therein. He is one of the Kufis who will be burned in the Fire because of their Shi'ism." Thanij has said: "I once asked Jarir: 'Have you met al-Harith ibn Hasirah?' He answered, 'Yes, indeed, I have. I met him as an old man who used to stay silent most of the time, and he insisted on something quite magnanimous."

Yahya ibn Ma'in has mentioned him and said: "He is trustworthy [though] Khashbi [one of the derogatory names downgrading Shi'as, tr.]." Al-Nisa'i, too, trusts him. Al-Thawri, Malik ibn Maghul, 'Abdullah ibn Namir, and a group of their calibre, have all quoted him, since he was their mentor in whom they put their trust.

Al-Thahbi has narrated his biography in his Al-Mizan stating all the above. Refer to his hadith in the Sunan through Zayd ibn Wahab, 'Ikrimah, and a group of their class. Al-Nisa'i quotes 'Abbad ibn Ya'qub al-Rawajni who quotes a chain of narrators including 'Abdullah ibn 'Abdul-Malik al-Mas'udi that al-Harith ibn Hasirah, according to Zayd ibn Wahab, reported that 'Ali was heard once saying: "I am the servant of Allah and the brother of His Messenger; nobody else can say so except a liar."

Al-Harith ibn Hasirah narrates through Abu Dawud al-Subai'i, through 'Umran ibn Hasin, saying: "I was sitting once in the presence of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, with 'Ali sitting beside him. The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, recited 'Or who else [other than Allah] that would respond to the one in dire need for help, remove his distress, and make ye vicegerents on earth?' 'Ali was shaken and moved a great deal; thereupon, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, patted 'Ali's shoulder and said: 'Nobody loves you except a true believer [a mu'min], and nobody hates you except a hypocrite till the Day of Judgment."'

Traditionists such as Muhammad ibn Kuthayyir and others have quoted the hadith cited above from Al-Harith ibn Hasirah. Al-Thahbi has transmitted it while stating the biography of Nafi' ibn al-Harith through the same chain of narrators. When he comes to Al-Harith ibn Hasirah, he comments saying, "He is truthful; but he is also Rafidi."

19. Al-Harith ibn 'Abdullah al-Hamadani

He was one of the close friends of the Commander of the Faithful and one of the best tabi'in. His being a Shi'a needs no proof. He is the first of those counted by Ibn Qutaybah in his Ma'arif as Shi'a dignitaries. AlThahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan, admitting that he was one of the most highly recognized 'ulema among the tabi'in; then he quotes Ibn Haban's statement saying that he was "extremist" in his Shi'a beliefs. After that, he states a great deal about some people's anger with him because of his Shi'a beliefs.

In spite of all this, he also records their consensus that the man is the most knowledgeable, pious, and best informed about rituals. He has also admitted that the ahadith narrated by al-Harith are in existence in the four books of sunan. He declares the fact that Nisa'i, in spite of his prejudice, has strongly relied on the authority of al-Harith, admitting that the public, in spite of belittling the man, kept quoting his ahadith in all religious matters, and that al-Sha'bi called him a liar, then he turned around and quoted him!

Al-Thahbi states the following in his Al-Mizan: "Obviously, al-Nisa'i falsifies him when it comes to the latter's tone and tale; but when the man narrates hadith, he does not disbelieve in him." Al-Mizan quotes Muhammad ibn Sirin saying: "There were five well-known companions of Ibn Mas'ud. I came to know four of them, but I missed al-Harith whom I never saw. He was the best among them."

A great deal of controversy exists regarding which of the other three, namely Alqamah, Masruq, or 'Ubaydah, is the best. I say that Allah has enabled trustworthy traditionists to do justice to al-Sha'bi and prove him a liar. This has been pointed out by Ibn 'Abd al-Birr in his book Jami' Bayanul 'Ilm which quotes the frank statement made by Ibrahim al-Nakh'i belying al-Sha'bi, adding verbatim: "I think that al-Sha'bi has received his

fair punishment for saying the following about al-Harith al-Hamadani: 'Al-Harith, one of the liars, informed me that..., etc."'6

Ibn 'Abd al-Birr has said: "Al-Harith has shown no indication of being a liar; some people have borne grudge against him simply because he loved 'Ali so much and preferred him over others. This is the reason why al-Sha'bi has called him a liar, since al-Sha'bi favours Abu Bakr, stating that the latter was the first to embrace Islam, and he favours 'Umar, too."

Among those who bore grudge against al-Harith was Muhammad Ibn Sa'd who included al-Harith's biography in Volume 6 of his Tabaqat, saying that al-Harith speaks "maliciously." He does not do al-Harith, nor any other Shi'a notable, any justice even when it comes to knowledge or feats. The "malicious" talk Ibn Sa'd is referring to is nothing other than allegiance to Muhammad's progeny and his taking them for guides in all matters, as Ibn 'Abd al-Birr has admitted in his above-quoted statement. Al-Harith's demise took place in 65 Hij.; may Allah have mercy on his soul.

20. Habib ibn Abu Thabit al-Asadi al-Kahili al-Kufi

He was one of the tabi'in. Qutaybah, in his Ma'arif, and Shahristani, in his Al-Milal wal Nihal, have both included him among Shi'a dignitaries. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan, marking his name with the indication that authors of the six sahihs rely on his authority without any hesitation. Yahya Ibn Ma'in and a group of other scholars have all trusted him.

Al-Dawalibi, however, has spoken ill of him and classified his traditions as "weak" just because of his being a Shi'a. What truly amazes me is the attitude of Ibn 'Awn who was unable to find any pretext to cast doubt about Habib's traditions, in spite of his ardent desire to do so; therefore, he had to look down at him and call him "a'war," one-eyed. One's real handicap is sinning and speaking ill of others, not in losing an eye.

Refer to Habib's traditions in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahihs as narrated through Sa'id ibn Jubayr and Abu Wa'il. His hadith narrated through Zayd ibn Wahab is recorded only in Bukhari's Sahih. In Muslim's Sahih, his hadith is narrated through Muhammad ibn 'Ali ibn 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas, and through Tawus, al-Dahhak al-Mashriqi, Abu 'Abbas ibn al-Sha'ir, Abu al-Minhal 'Abdul-Rahman, 'Ata' ibn Yasin, Ibrahim ibn Sa'd ibn Abu Waqqas, and through Mujahid.

In both sahihs, Misar, al-Thawri, and Shu'bah have quoted his traditions. In Muslim's Sahih, his ahadith are quoted by Sulayman al-A'mash, Hasin, 'Abdul-'Aziz ibn Sayah and Abu Ishaq al-Shaybani. He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in 119 Hij.

21. Al-Hasan ibn Hayy

Hayy's full name is Salih ibn Salih al-Hamadani, brother of 'Ali ibn Salih. Both men, who were born twins, are on the top of the list of Shi'a nobility. 'Ali was born only one hour earlier. Nobody has ever heard his brother calling him by his first name; instead, he used to always refer to him as "Abu Muhammad."

This has been mentioned in Vol. 6 of Ibn Sa'd's Tabaqat, in the chapter dealing with al-Hasan. The author states: "Al-Hasan was one of the dignitaries, but he is inflicted with Shi'ism. He did not participate in the Jum'a prayers, and he preached denunciation of unjust rulers." He also mentions the fact that the man never invoked Allah's mercy on 'Uthman.

Ibn Sa'd has mentioned him in Vol. 6 of his Tabaqat, saying, "He is trustworthy; he narrates many ahadith, and he is a Shi'a." Imam Ibn Qutaybah has included his name among other narrators of hadith in his Ma'arif, highlighting his being a Shi'a. At the conclusion of his book, he lists al-Hasan among such narrators. Muslim and authors of the sunan books have all relied on his authority.

Refer to his hadith in Muslim's Sahih as narrated by Sammak ibn Harb, Isma'il al-Sadi, 'Asim al-Ahwal, and Harun ibn Sa'd. 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa al-'Abasi, Yahya ibn Adam, Hamid ibn 'Abdul-Rahman al-Rawasi, 'Ali ibn al-Ja'd, Ahmad ibn Yunus and all renowned men of their intellectual calibre have learned hadith from him.

In his biography in Al-Mizan, al-Thahbi indicates that Ibn Ma'in and others have trusted his [al-Hasan's] hadith. He adds saying that 'Abdullah ibn Ahmad has quoted his father saying that al-Hasan is more authentic than Sharik. Al-Thahbi also states that Abu Hatim has said: "He is a trust; he has a sound and authentic memory," and that Abu Zar'ah has said: "He has combined in him accomplishment, fiqh, piety, and asceticism," and that Nisa'i has trusted him.

He also quotes Abu Na'im saying: "I have quoted eight hundred traditionists; I have found none better than al-Hasan ibn Salih," and that he has also said: "I have come across nobody who did not err other than al-Hasan ibn Salih."

He quotes 'Ubaydah ibn Sulayman saying: "Allah is too shy to harm al-Hasan ibn Salih." He quotes Yahya ibn 'Ali Bakir asking al-Hasan ibn Salih: "Describe to us how to conduct the ceremonial bathing of the deceased;" he could not do so because of being overcome by tears.

He quotes 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa saying: "I used to recite the holy Qur'an in the presence of 'Ali ibn Salih. Having finished reciting 'Exercise patience [O Muhammad]!; We have granted them a respite only for an appointed time,' his brother fell down snorting like a wounded bull; so, 'Ali lifted him up, wiped and washed his face then supported him against falling again," and that Waki' has said: "Al-Hasan and 'Ali sons of Salih and their mother divided night-time among them into three parts: each alternates in his portion thereof in keeping vigil, spending it in prayers and adoration. When their mother died, they split it into equal halves. Then 'Ali died; therefore, al-Hasan used to stay all night long worshipping."

Abu Sulayman al-Darani has said: "I have never seen anyone more awestricken than al-Hasan son of Salih who stood up one night to recite Chaper 78 of the Holy Qur'an and fainted yet continued reciting till dawn." He was born, may Allah have mercy upon him, in 100 Hij. and he died in 169.

22. Al-Hakam ibn 'Utaybah al-Kufi

Ibn Qutaybah has indicated the fact that al-Hakam ibn 'Utaybah was a Shi'a in his Ma'arif and included him among Shi'a nobility. Both Bukhari and Muslim rely on his authority. Refer to his hadith in their sahihs as narrated by Abu Jahifah, Ibrahim al-Nakh'i, Mujahid, and Sa'id ibn Jubayr.

In Muslim's Sahih, it is narrated by 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Layla, al-Qasim ibn Mukhaymarah, Abu Salih, Tharr ibn 'Abdullah, Sa'id ibn 'Abdul-Rahman ibn 'Abzi, Yahya al-Jazzar, Nafi' (a slave of Ibn 'Umar), 'Ata' ibn Abu Rabah, 'Imarah ibn 'Umayr, 'Arrak ibn Malik, al-Sha'bi, Maymun ibn Mahran, al-Hasan al-'Arni, Mus'ab ibn Sa'd and 'Ali ibn al-Husayn.

In both sahihs, his ahadith are quoted by Mansur, Misar and Shu'bah. Particularly in Bukhari's Sahih, his ahadith are narrated by 'Abdul-Malik ibn Abu Ghaniya. In Muslim's Sahih, his ahadith are narrated by al-A'mash, 'Amr ibn Qays, Zayd ibn Abu Anisa, Malik ibn al-Maghul; Aban ibn Taghlib, Hamzah al-Zayyat, Muhammad ibn Jehada, Mutraf and Abu 'Awanah. He died in 115 Hij. at the age of 65.

23. Hammad ibn 'Isa al-Jehni

He drowned at Juhfa. Abu 'Ali has mentioned him in his book Muntahal Maqal. Al-Hasan ibn 'Ali ibn Dawud abridged the said article in his own concise Mukhtasar, in a chapter dealing with biographies of notables, a group of Shi'a 'ulema and authors of biographies and dictionaries who regard him as most trustworthy, a follower of the rightly-guided Imams, peace be upon them. He learned from Imam al-Sadiq, peace be upon him,

seventy ahadith by the holy Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, but he did not relate more than twenty of them. He has authored a few books with which followers of our faith are familiar.

Once he entered in the presence of Imam Abul-Hasan al-Kazim, peace be upon him, and said: "May my life be sacrificed for you! Please pray Allah to bless me with a house, a wife, a son, a servant, and a pilgrimage every year."

The Imam said: "Lord! I invoke Thee to send blessings unto Muhammad and the progeny of Muhammad, and to bless this man with a house, a wife, a son, a servant, and a pilgrimage for fifty years each."

Hammad said: "When he prayed for my performing the pilgrimage fifty times, I became sure I would never live beyond that. I have performed the annual pilgrimage forty-eight times; this is my house with which Allah has blessed me; yonder there is my wife behind the curtain listening to me; this is my son, and this is my servant; I have been blessed with all of these."

Two years later, and having performed the pilgrimage fifty times, he accompanied Abul 'Abbas al-Nawfali al-Qasir on his fifty-first pilgrimage. When he reached the place where pilgrims put on the ihram garb, he entered the Johfa river for a bath, but the torrent overwhelmed him, and he drowned before being able to perform his 51st pilgrimage. His death, may Allah have mercy on his soul, took place in 209 Hij. His birth-place is Kufa, but he resided in Basrah. He lived over seventy years. We have conducted a thorough research of his biography in our book Mukhtasar al-Kalam fi Mu'allifi al-Shi'a min Sadr al-Islam [A Brief Discourse of Shi'a Authors of Early Islam].

Al-Thahbi has mentioned him and put "TQ" on his name as a reference to those among the authors of the Sunan who have quoted him [Tirmithi]

and Dar Qutni, and mentioned the fact that he drowned in 208 Hij., and that he narrated hadith through Imam al-Sadiq منابعة.

The author has shown his grudge towards this man, calling his hadith "weak" for no reason other than his beliefs being Shi'a. Strange enough, Dar Qutni calls his hadith "weak" on one hand, while on the other he uses him as an authority in his own Sunan - thus indeed do some people behave!

24. Hamran ibn 'Ayinah

He is brother of Zurarah. Both men were among the most reliable Shi'as, custodians of the shari'a, oceans of the knowledge about Muhammad's progeny Allie. They were lanterns that shone in the dark and pillars of guidance. They frequented Imams al-Baqir and al-Sadiq Allie and enjoyed a lofty status in the eyes of the Imams among the Prophet's descendants.

Al-Thahbi mentions Hamran in his Al-Mizan, marking his name with Q to indicate who among the compilers of the sunan relies upon his authority [i.e. Dar Qutni. Then al-Thahbi adds: "He has narrated hadith from Abul Tufayl and others. Hamzah has recited the holy Qur'an to him, and he himself is used to recite it with perfect accuracy." Ibn Ma'in considers his hadith "negligible," while Abu Hatim hails him as a mentor. Yet Abu Dawud labels him "Rafidi."

25. Khalid ibn Mukhlid al-Qatwani

Also known as Abul-Haytham al-Kufi, he is one of Bukhari's mentors, as the latter states in his Sahih. Ibn Sa'd mentions him on page 283, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat, saying, "He was a staunch Shi'a. He died in Kufa in mid-Muharram of 213 A.H. during the reign of al-Ma'mun. He was extremist in his Shi'a beliefs, and writers have documented this fact."

Abu Dawud mentions him saying: "He is truthful; but he follows Shi'ism." Al-Jawzjani says the following about him: "He never ceases denouncing [certain persons], publicly propagating his corrupt sect." Al-Thahbi narrates his biography in his own Al-Mizan, quoting the views of both Abu Dawud and Jawzjani stated above.

Yet both Bukhari and Muslim have relied upon his authority in several chapters of their respective sahihs. Refer to his hadith as in Bukhari's Sahih as narrated from al-Mughirah ibn 'Abdul-Rahman, and in Muslim's Sahih by Muhammad ibn Ja'far ibn Abul Kathir, Malik ibn Anas, and Muhammad ibn Musa. Both sahihs quote his Al-Mizan from Sulayman ibn Bilal and 'Ali ibn Mushir.

Al-Bukhari quotes his hadith in several places of his Sahih, without referring to any chain of narrators, quoting two of his ahadith from Muhammad ibn 'Uthman ibn Karamah. Muslim narrates his hadith as transmitted by Abu Karib, Ahmad ibn 'Uthman al-'Awdi, al-Qasim ibn Zakariyyah, 'Abd ibn Hamid, Ibn Abu Shaybah, and Muhammad ibn 'Abdullah ibn Namir. Authors of the sunan have all relied on the authority of his hadith, while being aware of his sect.

26. Dawud ibn Abu 'Awf (Abul-Hijab)

Ibn 'Adi has mentioned him saying, "I cannot rely upon his authority due to his being a Shi'a. The majority of the ahadith he narrates are related to the virtues of Ahl al-Bayt."

Consider with amazement such a statement! No harm, indeed, can reach Dawud from these Nasibis since both Sufyans quote his ahadith, in addition to 'Ali ibn 'Abis and others belonging to the elite among their peers. Both Abu Dawud and al-Nisa'i have relied upon his authority, and so have Ahmad and Yahya.

Al-Nisa'i has said the following about him: "There is nothing wrong with his ahadith." Abu Hatim has said: "His hadith is sound." Al-Thahbi has quoted such testimonies in his Sahih. Refer to his hadith in Abu Dawud's Sunan, in al-Nisa'i's through Abu Hazim al-Ashja'i, 'Ikrimah, and others.

27. Zubayd ibn al-Harith ibn 'Abdul-Karim al-Yami al-Kufi

Also known as Abu 'Abdul-Rahman, he is mentioned in al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan where the author says: "He is a trustworthy tabi'i who inclines towards Shi'ism." Then he quotes statements to prove that Zubayd's hadith has been verified by al-Qattan, and that there are other renowned critics and verifiers who regard him trustworthy. Abu Ishaq al-Jawzjani has included a crude statement about him which is typical of his attitude and that of other Nasibis, stating,

"Among the residents of Kufa, there is a faction whose faith is not appreciated [by Nasibis], yet they happen to be masters of hadith. Among them are: Abu Ishaq, Mansur, Zubayd al-Yami, al-A'mash and other peers. People have tolerated them for no reason other than their truth in narrating hadith, and their narrations testify to the authenticity of one another."

Up to the conclusion of his statement which truth has dictated to him to reveal. Often, truth is spoken by the fair minded just as it is by the stubborn and obstinate. What harm can reach these lofty pillars of knowledge, the masters of hadith in Islam, if such a critic does not appreciate their holding in high esteem the holy Prophet's kin who are the gates of salvation, the protectors of all humans on earth after the Prophet himself, his nation's ark of salvation? What harm can befall them from the critic who has no choice except to pursue his quest till reaching their door steps, and no option but to beg their own favours?

If dignitaries of my tribe are pleased with me,

Then let its villains chafe and be angry.

These authorities do not pay any attention to al-Jawzjani or others like him, having been held trustworthy by the authors of the sahih books and by those of all sunan as well. Refer to Zubayd's hadith in both Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahihs as transmitted by Abu Wa'il, al-Sha'bi, Ibrahim al-Nakh'i, and Sa'd ibn 'Ubaydullah. Only Bukhari quotes his hadith through Mujahid.

In Muslim's Sahih, his hadith is narrated by Murrah al-Hamadani, Muharib ibn Dithar, Ammarah ibn 'Umayr, and Ibrahim al-Taymi. His hadith is quoted in both sahihs as transmitted by Shu'bah, al-Thawri, and Muhammad ibn Talhah. In Muslim's Sahih, his hadith is narrated by Zuhayr ibn Mu'awiyah, Fadil ibn Ghazwan, and Husayn ibn al-Nakh'i. He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in 124 A.H.

28. Zayd ibn al-Habab Abul-Hasan al-Kufi al-Tamimi

Ibn Qutaybah has included his biography among those whose biographies he has included among Shi'a dignitaries in his work Al-Ma'arif. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan, describing him as "pious, trustworthy, truthful."

He indicates his being vouched as trustworthy by Ibn Ma'in and Ibn al-Madini. He has quoted Abu Hatim and Ahmad describing him as truthful, adding that 'Adi has said: "He is one of the reliable Kufi traditionists whose trustworthiness is never doubted."

Muslim has relied on his authority. Refer to the latter's sahih containing his hadith as narrated by Mu'awiyah ibn Salih, al-Dahhak ibn 'Uthman, Qurrah ibn Khalid, Ibrahim ibn Nafi', Yahya ibn Ayyub, Saif ibn Sulayman, Hasan ibn Waqid, 'Ikrimah ibn 'Ammar, 'Abdul-'Aziz ibn Abu Salma, and

'Aflah ibn Sa'id. His hadith is quoted by Ibn Abu Shaybah, Muhammad ibn Hatim, Hasan al-Hulwani, Ahmad ibn al-Munthir, Ibn Namir, Ibn Karib, Muhammad ibn Rafi', Zuhair ibn Harb, and Muhammad ibn al-Faraj.

29. Salim ibn Abul Ja'd al-Ashja'i al-Kufi

He is brother of 'Ubayd, Ziyad, 'Umran, and Muslim, sons of Abul-Ja'd.

In Volume 6 of Al-Tabaqat, Sa'd mentions all of them on page 2303 and the succeeding pages. When he comes to Muslim, he says, "Abul-Ja'd begot six sons. Two of them followed Shi'ism. These are Salim and 'Ubayd. Two others are Murji'is, while the remaining two agree with the Kharijites. Their father used to say: 'What is the matter with you? I wonder why Allah has made your views vary so much." Ibn Qutaybah has discussed them on page 156 of his Ma'arif in a chapter dealing with Shi'a tabi'in and their successors.

A group of learned scholars has testified to the Shi'a views of Salim ibn Abul-Ja'd. Qutaybah, on page 206 of his Ma'arif, has included him among Shi'a dignitaries, and so has al-Shahristani in his work Al-Milal wal Nihal on page 27, Vol. 2, in the footnote of his chapter on Ibn Hazm. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan, calling him a trustworthy tabi'i. He has also stated that his hadith from al-Nu'man ibn Bashir and Jabir is included in both sahihs.

In fact, his hadith, from Anas ibn Malik and Karib, is included in both sahihs as scholars of hadith already know. Al-Thahbi says that his hadith from 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar, and from Ibn 'Umar, exists in Bukhari's Sahih. The latter also contains his hadith from Ma'dan ibn Abu Talha and the latter's father.

His hadith is quoted in both sahihs by al-A'mash, Qatadah, 'Amr ibn Murrah, Mansur, and Hasin ibn 'Abdul-Rahman. He also knows hadith quoted by al-Nisa'i and Abu Dawud in their respective Sunan. He died in either 87 or 97

A.H. during the reign of Sulayman ibn 'Abdul-Malik, or, as some say, during that of 'Umar ibn 'Abdul-'Aziz, and Allah knows best.

30. Salim ibn Abu Hafsah al-'Ijli al-Kufi

Shahristani includes him in his book Al-Milal wal-Nihal among Shi'a nobility. Al-Fallas says: "He is a weak traditionist who is extremist in his Shi'a beliefs." Ibn 'Adi says: "People criticize his extremism; but I hope there is nothing wrong with his hadith." Muhammad ibn Bashir al-'Abdi says: "I have seen Salim ibn Abu Hafsah as a fool with a long beard - what a beard! He says: 'I wish I had been a partner of 'Ali in everything he possessed."

Al-Husayn ibn 'Ali al-Ju'fi has said: "I have seen Salim ibn Abu Hafsah as a fool with a long beard who used to often say, 'Here I come, O killer of Na'thal, annihilater of Banu Umayyah!" 'Amr ibn al-Salim ibn Abu Hafsah asked him once: "Did you kill 'Uthman?" He answered: "Did I?!" 'Amr said: "Yes, you did. You do not condemn his murder." Abu ibn al-Madini has said: "I have heard Jarir saying, 'I broke my friendship with Salim ibn Abu Hafsah because he used to always defend the Shi'as."

Al-Thahbi has detailed his biography, mentioning all the above. On page 234 of Vol. 6 of his Tabaqat, Ibn Sa'd mentions him and says: "He was very staunch in his Shi'a beliefs. He entered Mecca during the reign of the 'Abbasides crying, 'Here I come, here I come, O killer of the Omayyads!' His voice was quite loud, so much so that his call was heard by Dawud ibn 'Ali who inquired: 'Who is this man?' People informed him that it was Salim ibn Abu Hafsah, and they explained his story and views."

Al-Thahbi has included his biography in his Al-Mizan commenting, "He was chief of those who belittled Abu Bakr and 'Umar." In spite of this, however, both Sufyans quote his hadith, and so does Muhammad ibn Fudayl, while al-Tirmithi has relied on his authority, and Ibn Ma'in has held him trustworthy. He died in 137 A.H.

31. Sa'd ibn Tarif al-Iskafi al-Hanzali al-Kufi

Al-Thahbi mentions him, marking his name with TQ as a reference to the authors of sunan who quote him (i.e. al-Tirmithi and Dar Qutni). Al-Thahbi also quotes al-Fallas saying that Sa'd is "weak, extremist in his Shi'a beliefs." In spite of his being a "Shi'a extremist," al-Tirmithi and others quote him.

Refer to his hadith in al-Tirmithi's Sahih as narrated by 'Ikrimah and Abul-Wa'il. He also narrates hadith as transmitted by al-Asbagh ibn Nabatah, 'Uman ibn Talhah and 'Umayr ibn Ma'mun. Isra'il, Haban and Abu Mu'awiyah all quote him.

32. Sa'id ibn Ashwa'

He is mentioned in al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan where the author says: "Sa'id ibn Ashwa' is a famous and truthful Kufi judge. Al-Nisa'i says that there is nothing wrong with his hadith, and that he is a friend of al-Sha'bi. Al-Jawzjani describes him as extremist, heretic, and a Shi'a zealot."

Both al-Bukhari and Muslim rely on his authority in their respective sahihs. His hadith from al-Sha'bi is regarded as authentic by authors of both sahih books. In both Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahihs, his hadith is quoted by Zakariyyah ibn Abu Za'idah and Khalid al-Haththa'. He died during the reign of Khalid ibn 'Abdullah.

33. Sa'id ibn Khaytham al-Hilali

Ibrahim ibn 'Abdullah ibn al-Junayd was asked once: "Sa'id ibn Khaytham is a Shi'a. What do you think of him?" He answered: "Let's say that he is a Shi'a, but he also is trustworthy."

Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan, quoting Ibn Ma'in narrating the gist of what has just been stated above. He has also marked his name with the initials of both al-Tirmithi and al-Nisa'i to indicate that both authors quote his hadith in their sahihs. He also mentions the fact that Sa'id narrates hadith from Yazid ibn Abu Ziyad and Muslim al-Malla'i. His nephew, Ahmad ibn Rashid, too, narrates his hadith.

34. Selamah ibn al-Fudayl al-Abrash

He was a Rayy judge and a reporter of traditions related to the battles in which the holy Prophet participated as transmitted by Ibn Ishaq. His kunyat (surname) is Abu 'Abdullah. In his biography in the Al-Mizan, Ibn Ma'in says: "Selamah al-Abrash al-Razi is a believer in Shi'ism and a man whose hadith is [often] quoted, and there is no fault in the latter."

Abu Zar'ah has also said in the Al-Mizan that the natives of Rayy do not like him because of his (religious) views. Actually, their attitude is due to their own views regarding all followers of the household of the Prophet

Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan, marking his name with the initials of Abu Dawud and al-Tirmithi and saying: "He is well remembered for his prayers and supplications." He died in 191 A.H.

Ibn Ma'in testifies to the fact that the hadith related to the Prophet's military expeditions as narrated by Selamah is more reliable than anyone else's. Zanih is quoted as having said that he had heard Selamah al-Abrash saying that he had heard hadith related to the expeditions from Ishaq twice, and that he had also written down his ahadith as he had done with those of the expeditions.

35. Selamah ibn Kahil ibn Hasin ibn Kadih ibn Asad al-Hadrami Abu Yahya

A group of scholars following the faith of the majority of Muslims, such as Ibn Qutaybah in his Ma'arif, who mentions on page 206 his distinction, and

al-Shahristani in his Al-Milal wal-Nihal, on page 27, Vol. 2, have included him among Shi'a nobility. Authors of the six sahihs have all relied on his authority, and so have others. He has learned hadith from men like Abu Jahifah, Suwayd ibn Ghaflah, al-Sha'bi, 'Ata' ibn Abu Rabah, all cited in Bukhari and Muslim.

In Muslim, he quotes hadith from Karib, Tharr ibn 'Abdullah, Bakir ibn al-Ashaj, Zayd ibn Ka'b, Sa'id ibn Jubayr, Mujahid, 'Abdullah ibn 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Yazid, Abu Selamah ibn 'Abdul-Rahman, Mu'awiyah ibn al-Suwayd, Habib ibn 'Abdullah, and Muslim al-Batin. Al-Thawri and Shu'bah have both cited his hadith in these two works, while in Bukhari, his hadith is cited by Isma'il ibn Abu Khalid.

In Muslim, he is quoted by Sa'id ibn Masruq, Aqil ibn Khalid, 'Abdul-Malik ibn Abu Sulayman, 'Ali ibn Salih, Zayd ibn 'Abu Anisah, Hammad ibn Selamah, and al-Walid ibn Harb.

Selamah ibn Kahil died on 'Ashura of 121 A.H.

36. Sulayman ibn Sa'id al-Khuza'i al-Kufi

He used to be the supreme head of the Shi'as of Iraq, the arbitrator among them, their custodian and advisor. They had all met in his house when they swore the oath of allegiance to Imam Husayn محافظة. He is the herald of the tawwabin (the penitants) among the Shi'as, those who rose to avenge the murder of Imam Husayn محافظة.

They were four thousand strong who camped at Nakhila early in Rabi' al-Thani, 65 A.H., then marched towards 'Ubaydullah ibn Ziyad and engaged his army at Jazira. They fought fiercely till each and every one of them died. Sulayman, too, was martyred at a place called 'Ayn al-Warda after Hasin shot him with a deadly arrow. He was 93 years old then. His head and that of al-Musayyab ibn Najba were carried as trophies to Marwan ibn al-Hakam.

His biography is recorded in Vol. 6, Part One, of Ibn Sa'd's Tabaqat, and in the Isti'ab of Ibn 'Abd al-Birr. All those who wrote the stories of the ancestors have recorded his biography and praised his virtues, faith and piety. He enjoyed a lofty status, a position of honour and dignity among his folks, and his word weighed heavily. He is the one who killed Hawshab, the notorious enemy of the Commander of the Faithful, in a duel at Siffin. Sulayman was keen to notice that the enemies of Ahl al-Bayt had gone astray. Traditionists have sought his audience.

The ahadith he narrates about the Prophet ﷺ, the ones which he directly reported or those transmitted by Jubayr ibn Mut'im relying on his authority, are recorded in both Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahihs.

In the latter, he is cited by Abu Ishaq al-Subay'i and 'Adi ibn Thabit. Sulyman has narrated ahadith which are not included in either sahihs. These include ahadith from the Commander of the Faithful, his son Imam al-Hasan al-Mujtaba (and Abiy. In works other than these sahihs, his hadith is transmitted by Yahya ibn Ya'mur, 'Abdullah ibn Yasar, and by others.

37. Sulayman ibn Tarkhan al-Taymi al-Basri

A slave of Qays, the imam, he is one of the most reliable authorities on hadith. Ibn Qutaybah has included him among Shi'a dignitaries in his book Al-Ma'arif. Authors of the six sahihs, as well as others, have relied on his authority. Refer to his hadith in both sahihs through Anas ibn Malik, Abu Majaz, Bakr ibn 'Abdullah, Qatadah, and Abu 'Uthman al-Nahdi.

Muslim's Sahih quotes his hadith through others. In both sahihs, his hadith is cited by his son Mu'tamir, and by Shu'bah and al-Thawri. Another party cites his hadith in Muslim's Sahih. He died in 143 A.H.

38. Sulayman ibn Qarm ibn Ma'ath

He is also known as Abu Dawud al-Dabi al-Kufi. Ibn Haban mentions him within the text of Sulayman's biography in Al-Mizan. Ibn Haban has said, "He is a Rafidi - very much so." Nevertheless, Ahmad ibn Hanbal has trusted him. At the conclusion of Sulayman's biography as recorded in Al-Mizan, Ibn 'Adi says, "The ahadith narrated by Sulayman ibn Qarm are authentic. Moreover, his are by far more reliable than those related by Sulayman ibn Argam."

In the sunan, his ahadith quote Thabit through Anas successively saying that the Prophet has said: "Seeking knowledge is a religious obligation upon every Muslim." He quotes al-A'mash from 'Amr ibn Murrah, from 'Abdullah ibn al-Harith, from Zuhair ibn al-Aqmar, from 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar who says that al-Hakam ibn Abul 'As used to keep company with the Prophet and then would go and narrate it [in a twisted manner] to Quraysh; therefore, the Prophet denounced his behaviour and all his descendants as well till the Day of Judgment.

39. Sulayman ibn Mahran al-Kahili al-Kufi al-Asla'

He is one of the Shi'a nobility and a most trusted traditionist. Many a genius among Sunni men of knowledge, such as Ibn Qutaybah in his Ma'arif and al-Shahristani in his Al-Milal wal-Nihal, as well as many others, have all included him among Shi'a dignitaries.

In his biography of Zubayd, al-Jawzjani says the following in his book Al-Mizan: "Among the people of Kufa, there are some folks whose sect is not appreciated, yet they are the masters of hadith among Kufi traditionists. Among them are: Abu Ishaq, Mansur, Zubayd al-Yami, al-A'mash, and other peers. People tolerate them only because they are truthful in narrating hadith," up to the end of his statement which clearly exposes his stupidity and prejudice. What harm can reach these dignitaries if the Nasibis do not appreciate their commitment to discharge the Divine commandment of seeking the Pleasure of Allah through remaining faithful to His Prophet's kin and kith?

These Nasibis, as a matter of fact, tolerate these men not only because they are truthful in narrating hadith, but rather because they are indispensable. Had they rejected these men's hadith, the majority of the Prophet's ahadith would have then been abandoned, as al-Thahbi himself admits in his Al-Mizan while discussing the biography of Aban ibn Taghlib. I think that al-Mughirah's statement: "Abu Ishaq and your A'mash have rendered Kufa to destruction" is said due only to these men's Shi'a beliefs. Other than that, both Abu Ishaq and al-A'mash are oceans of knowledge and custodians of the prophetic legacy.

Al-A'mash has left us many interesting incidents which vividly portray his greatness. One of them, for example, is included by Ibn Khallikan in al-A'mash's biography in Wafiyyat al-A'yan where the author states:

"Hisham ibn 'Abdul-Malik once wrote to al-A'mash saying: 'Recount for me 'Uthman's virtues and 'Ali's vices.' Al-A'mash took the letter and tossed it into his she-camel's mouth. Then he turned to the messenger and said: 'This is my answer.' The messenger, however, pleaded to al-A'mash saying that his master had vowed to kill him if he did not return with an answer. He also pleaded to al-A'mash's brothers to pressure their brother to write something.

Finally, he wrote: 'In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Had 'Uthman had all the virtues of the people of the world, they would not have availed you aught, and had 'Ali had in him all the vices of the people of the world, they would not have harmed you in the least; therefore, worry about your own soul, and peace be with you."

Another anecdote is narrated by Ibn 'Abd al-Birr in his chapter on the 'ulema's statements evaluating each other's work in his book Jami' Bayanul 'Ilm wa Fada'ilih.7

The author quotes 'Ali ibn Khashram saying, "I have heard Abul-Fadl ibn Musa say, 'I entered the house of al-A'mash once accompanied by Abu Hanifah to visit him during his sickness.

Abu Hanifah said: 'O Abu Muhammad! Had I not feared my visits would be a nuisance to you, I would have visited you more often'.

Al-A'mash answered, 'You are a nuisance to me even at your own home; so, imagine how I feel when I have to look at your face." Abul-Fadl continues to say that having left the house of al-A'mash, Abu Hanifah said, 'Al-A'mash never observed the fast of the month of Ramadan.' Ibn al-Khashram then asked al-Fadl what Abu Hanifah meant.

Al-Fadl answered, 'Al-A'mash used to observe the suhur during the month of Ramadan according to the Prophet's hadith as narrated by Huthayfah al-Yemani." In fact, he used to observe the Holy Qur'anic verse:

"Therefore, eat and drink till you can distinguish the white thread from the black one, from the dawn, and complete the fast till night-time." (2:187)

Authors of Al-Wajiza and Bihar Al-Anwar have both quoted Hasan ibn Sa'id al-Nakh'i who quotes Sharik ibn 'Abdullah, the judge, saying, "I visited al-A'mash when he was sick prior to his demise. While I was there,

Ibn Shabramah, Ibn Layla and Abu Hanifah entered and inquired about his health. He told them that he was suffering from an acute feebleness, that he feared God for his sins, and he almost broke in tears.

Abu Hanifah then said to him: 'O Father of Muhammad! Fear Allah! Look now after yourself. You used to narrate certain ahadith about 'Ali which, if you denounce, would be better for you.' Al-A'mash answered: 'Do you dare to say this to a man like me?' He even denounced him, and there is no need here to go into that. He was, may Allah have mercy on his soul, as al-Thahbi describes him in his Al-Mizan, a trusted Imam.

He was exactly what Ibn Khallikan had described while discussing his biography in his own Wafiyyat al-A'yan, a trustworthy and virtuous man of knowledge. Scholars have all conceded his truthfulness, equity and piety. Authors of the six sahih books, as well as many others besides them, have all relied on his authority.

Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahih books from Zayd ibn Wahab, Sa'id ibn Jubayr, Muslim al-Batin, al-Sha'bi, Mujahid, Abu Wa'il, Ibrahim al-Nakh'i and Abu Salih Thakwan. He is cited in these works by Shu'bah, al-Thawri, Ibn 'Ainah, Abu Mua'awiyah Muhammad, Abu 'Awanah, Jarir, and Hafs ibn Ghiyath. Al-A'mash was born in 61 A.H. and he died in 148 A.H., may Allah be merciful unto him.

40. Sharik ibn 'Abdullah ibn Sinan al-Nakh'i al-Kufi the judge

Imam Abu Qutaybah, in his Ma'arif, has unreservedly included him among Shi'a nobility. At the conclusion of Sharik's biography as recorded in Al-Mizan, 'Abdullah ibn Idris swears that Sharik is a Shi'a. Abu Dawud al-Rahawi is quoted in Al-Mizan, too, to have heard Sharik saying, "'Ali is the best of creation; whoever denies this fact is kafir (apostate)."8

What he meant, of course, is that 'Ali is the best of all men excluding the Prophet , as all Shi'as believe. For this reason, al-Jawzjani, as quoted in Al-Mizan, describes him as "biased," meaning biased towards the faith of Ahl al-Bayt and preferring it to Jawzjani's sect. Al-Mizan also quotes Sharik's ahadith regarding the Commander of the Faithful. He cites Abu Rabi'ah from Ibn Buraydah from his father upto the Prophet who said: "For every Prophet there is a vicegerent and heir."

He was very zealous about disseminating the knowledge pertaining to the virtues of the Commander of the Faithful, and to pressure the Omayyads to recognize and publicize his merits, peace be upon him. In his work Durrat al-Ghawwas, al-Hariri, as in Sharik's biography in Ibn Khallikan's Wafiyyat al-A'yan, says, "Sharik had an Omayyad friend of his. One day, Sharik recounted the attributes of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Lie.' His Omayyad friend said that 'Ali was 'a fine man.' This enraged Sharik who said, 'Is this all that can be said about 'Ali, that he was a fine man, no more?'"9

At the conclusion of Sharik's biography as stated in Al-Mizan, Ibn Abu Shaybah has quoted 'Ali ibn Hakim ibn Qadim citing 'Ali saying that once a complaint was brought with a man to Sharik's attention. The man said: "People claim that your mind is doubtful." Sharik answered: "You fool! How can I ever be doubtful?! I wish I had been present in the company of 'Ali to let my sword be drenched with the blood of his enemies."

Anyone who studies Sharik's life-style will be convinced that the man was a very loyal follower of the path of Ahl al-Bayt All He transmitted a great deal of traditions narrated by the most learned followers of Ahl al-Bayt. His son 'Abdul-Rahman has said, "My father has learned queries from Ja'far al-Ju'fi, in addition to ten thousand rare traditions."

'Abdullah ibn al-Mubarak is quoted in Al-Mizan saying, "Sharik is more knowledgeable about the Kufans' hadith than Sufyan. He was an avowed enemy of 'Ali's foes, one who spoke ill of them." 'Abdul-Salam ibn Harb once

asked him: "Why don't you visit a sick brother of yours?" He inquired: "And who is that?" The man answered: "Malik ibn Maghul." Sharik, as stated in the latter's biography in Al-Mizan, then said: "Anyone who speaks ill of 'Ali and 'Ammar is surely no brother of mine."

Once the name of Mu'awiyah was mentioned in his presence and was described as "clement." Sharik, as stated in his biography in Al-Mizan as well as in Ibn Khallikan's Wafiyyat al-A'yan, said: "Whoever discards equity and fights 'Ali can never be clement." He narrated one hadith from Asim, Tharr, 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud successively indicating that the Prophet had said: "If you see Mu'awiyah on my pulpit, kill him." This is quoted by al-Tabari, and al-Tabari in turn is quoted by al-Thahbi while the latter discusses the biography of Abbad ibn Ya'qub.

Ibn Khallikan's Wafiyyat includes a biography of Sharik where the author quotes a dialogue between Sharik and Mis'ab ibn 'Abdullah al-Zubairi, in the presence of the 'Abbaside ruler al-Mahdi. Mis'ab asked Sharik: "Do you really belittle Abu Bakr and 'Umar?" up to the conclusion of the incident.

In spite of all of this, al-Thahbi has described him as a "truthful imam." He also quotes Ibn Ma'in saying that Sharik is "truthful, trustworthy." At the conclusion of the biography, the author states: "Sharik was a bastion of knowledge. Ishaq al-Azraq learned from him nine thousand ahadith." He also quotes Tawbah al-Halabi saying, "We were at Ramla once, and someone wondered who the nation's man was. Some people said it was Lahi'ah, while others said it was Malik. We asked 'Isa ibn Yunus to state his view. He said: 'The nation's man is Sharik,' who was then still alive."

Muslim and authors of the four books of sunan have all relied on Sharik's authority. Refer to his hadith as they quote it transmitted by Ziyad ibn Alaqah, 'Ammar al-Thihni, Hisham ibn 'Urwah, Ya'li ibn 'Ata', 'Abdul-Malik ibn 'Umayr, 'Ammarah ibn al-Qa'qa' and 'Abdullah ibn Shabramah. These reporters have cited Sharik's hadith from Ibn Shaybah, 'Ali ibn Hakim,

Yunus ibn Muhammad, al-Fadl ibn Musa, Muhammad ibn al-Sabah, and 'Ali ibn Hajar. He was born in either Khurasan or Bukhara in 95 A.H., and he died in Kufa on a Saturday early in Thul-Qi'dah, 177 or 178.

41. Shu'bah ibn al-Hajjaj Abul-Ward al-'Atki al-Wasiti (Abu Bastam)

Born in Wasit but lived in Basra, Abu Bastam is the first to inquire in Iraq about traditionists, and he is credited with helping the weak and the abandoned. He is considered among Shi'a nobility by many highly intellectual Sunni scholars such as Qutaybah in his Al-Ma'arif, and al-Shahristani in his Al-Milal wal-Nihal. Authors of the six sahih books and others have all relied on his authority.

His hadith is ascertained in Bukhari's and Muslim's sahih books as transmitted by Abu Ishaq al-Subai'i, Isma'il ibn Abu Khalid, Mansur, al-A'mash and others. In both Bukhari's and Muslim's books, his hadith is cited by Muhammad ibn Ja'far, Yahya ibn Sa'id al-Qattan, 'Uthman ibn Jabalah and others. He was born in 83 and he died in 160 A.H., may Allah be merciful on him.

42. Sa'sa'ah ibn Sawhan ibn Hajar ibn al-Harith al-'Abdi

Imam Ibn Qutaybah describes him on page 206 of his Ma'arif as one of the famous Shi'a dignitaries. Ibn Sa'd states on page 154, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat: "[Sa'sa'ah] is very well known all over Kufa as an orator and a companion of 'Ali with whom he has witnesed the Battle of the Camel together with his brothers Zayd and Sihan sons of Sawhan. Sihan is known as an orator before Sa'sa'ah, and he was the standard-bearer during the Battle of the Camel.10

Having been killed, Sihan was succeeded in bearing the standard by Sa'sa'ah. Sa'sa'ah has narrated hadith from Imam 'Ali Abdullah ibn 'Abbas. He is a trusted traditionist although the ahadith he has

narrated are not many." Ibn 'Abd al-Birr mentions him in his Isti'ab saying: "He accepted Islam during the life-time of Prophet Muhammad عَلَيْنَا اللهُ ال

He is chief among his tribesmen, descendants of 'Abd al-Qays. He is quite an eloquent orator, a man of wisdom who has acquired a total command over the language. He is, indeed, a man of piety, virtues, and wisdom. He is counted among the companions of 'Ali, peace be upon him. Yahya ibn Ma'in is quoted saying that Sa'sa'ah, Zayd and Sihan sons of Sawhan are all orators, and that Zayd and Sihan were killed during the Battle of the Camel.

He also cites a critical problem which 'Umar, then caliph, could not solve; therefore, the caliph delivered a sermon in which he asked people for their suggestions. Sa'sa'ah, then a youth, stood and clarified its complexity and put forth a suggestion to it which was unanimously accepted. This should not surprise the reader since the descendants of Sawhan were among the most prominent masters of Arabia, pillars in virtue and descent. Ibn Qutaybah mentions them on page 138 of his chapter on renowned dignitaries and men of influence in his Ma'arif.

The author says: "Sawhan's descendants were Zayd ibn Sawhan, Sa'sa'ah ibn Sawhan, Sihan ibn Sawhan, of Banu 'Abd al-Qays." He adds: "Zayd was among the best of men. He narrated saying that the Prophet had said: 'Zayd is indeed a good man, and Jandab - what a man he is!' People inquired: 'Why do you mention these men alone?' The Prophet answered: 'The arm of one of them will precede in thirty years the rest of his body in entering Paradise, while the other will deal heavy blows so that right is distinguished from wrong.'

The first, as it came to pass, participated in Jalawla' Battle where his arm was chopped off. He also participated in the Battle of the Camel on the side of 'Ali **E. He asked the Imam: 'O Commander of the Faithful! It looks

like I am going to meet my fate.' The Imam Asked him, 'How do you know that, O father of Sulayman?' He answered: 'I have seen in a vision my arm stretching from heaven to pull me away from this world.' He was killed by 'Amr ibn Yathribi, while his brother Sihan was killed during the Battle of the Camel.'

It is no secret that the Prophet's prophecy regarding Zayd's arm preceding the rest of his body in entering Paradise is regarded by all Muslims as a testimony for his prophethood, a sign of the truth of the religion of Islam, and a recognition of the men of truth. All biographies of Zayd have mentioned it. Refer to his biography in Al-Isti'ab, Al-Isabah, and others. Traditionists have recorded the above, each in his own way of wording it, adding that [in "spite" of his being Shi'a] he was promised Paradise; so, praise be to the Lord of the Worlds.

Al-'Asqalani mentions Sa'sa'ah ibn Sawhan in Part Three of his Isaba, saying: "He narrates traditions about 'Uthman and 'Ali 'Ali's. He has participated in the Battle of Siffin on 'Ali's side. He is an eloquent orator who has encounters with Mu'awiyah." Al-Sha'bi has said: "I used to learn how to deliver sermons from him."11

Abu Ishaq al-Subai'i, al-Minhal ibn 'Amr ibn Baridah, and others have all cited his hadith. Al-'Ala'i, narrating Ziyad's encounters, says that once al-Mughirah banished Sa'sa'ah, in accordance to an edict which he had received from Mu'awiyah, from Kufa to Jazirah, or to Bahrain (some historians say to the island of Ibn Fakkan), where he died in banishment just as Abu Tharr al-Ghifari had died before him in the Rabatha desert (southern Iraq). Al-Thahbi mentions Sa'sa'ah and describes him as "a well-known and trusted traditionist," citing testimonies to his trustworthiness from Ibn Sa'd and Nisa'i, and marking his name to indicate that al-Nisa'i relies on his authority. Whoever does not rely on his authority does not in fact harm anyone but his own self, as the holy Qur'an says:

"We have not done them any harm; they have only harmed their own selves."(2:57)

43. Tawus ibn Kisan al-Khawlani al-Hamadani al-Yamani

He is 'Abdul-Rahman's father. His mother is Persian, and his father is Ibn Qasit, a Namri slave of Bajir ibn Raysan al-Himyari. Sunni intellectuals regard him a Shi'a without any question. Among their dignitaries, al-Shahristani mentions him in his Al-Milal wal-Nihal, and Ibn Qutaybah in his Al-Ma'arif. Authors of the six sahih books, as well as others, have all relied on his authority.

Refer to his hadith in both sahih books where he cites Ibn 'Abbas, Ibn 'Umar and Abu Hurayrah, and in Muslim's Sahih where he cites 'Ayesha, Zayd ibn Thabit, and 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar. His hadith is recorded in Bukhari alone as transmitted by al-Zuhri, and in Muslim by many renowned traditionists. He died in Mecca while performing the rite of pilgrimage one day before the day of Tarwiya (i.e. on the 7th of Thul-Hijjah), in either 104 or 106 A.H. His funeral was quite eventful. His coffin was carried by 'Abdullah son of al-Hasan son of the Commander of the Faithful 'Lawe. He was vying with others to carry it, so much so that his headwear dropped, and his clothes were torn from the back side by the stampede, as narrated by Ibn Khallikan in his biography of Tawus in Wafiyyat al-A'yan.

44. Zalim ibn 'Amr ibn Sufyan Abul-Aswad al-Du'ali

His being a Shi'a and a faithful adherent to the faith during the wilayat of Imams 'Ali, al-Hasan and al-Husayn, as well as other members of the Ahl al-Bayt, peace be upon all of them, is more visible than the sun, and it requires no reiteration.12

We have dealt with it in detail in our work Mukhtasar al-Kalam fi Muallifi al-Shi'a min Sadr al-Islam. His being a Shi'a is a matter which nobody

disputes. In spite of this fact, authors of the six sahih books have relied on his authority. Refer to his hadith about 'Umar ibn al-Khattab in Bukhari's Sahih. In Muslim's, his hadith is cited by Abu Musa and 'Umran ibn Hasin.

In both sahih books, his hadith is cited by Yahya ibn Ya'mur. In Bukhari's, 'Abdullah ibn Buraydah quotes him, and in Muslim's, his hadith is narrated by his son Abu Harb. He died, may Allah Almighty have mercy on him, at the age of 85 in Basrah in 99 A.H. by the plague which devastated the city. He is the one who laid down the foundations of Arabic grammar according to rules which he learned from the Commander of the Faithful when the plague which he plague

45. 'Amr ibn Wa'ilah ibn 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar al-Laithi al-Makki

Also known as Abul-Tufayl, he was born in the same year when the Battle of Uhud took place, i.e. 3 A.H. He was for eight years contemporary of the Prophet . Ibn Qutaybah has included him among so-called "extremist Rafidis," stating that he was al-Mukhtar's standard-bearer and the last of the sahabah to die. Ibn 'Abd al-Birr has mentioned him in his chapter on kunayat in his Isti'ab saying, "He resided in Kufa, and he accompanied 'Ali was in all his battles. When 'Ali was killed, he left for Mecca." He concludes by saying, "He was a virtuous and wise man, swift in providing an accurate answer, eloquent. He was also one of the Shi'as of 'Ali, peace be upon him."

He also indicates that "Once, Abul-Tufayl approached Mu'awiyah and the latter asked him: 'For how long have you mourned the death of your friend Father of al-Hasan 'Allah'?' He answered: 'I have grieved as much as the mother of Moses grieved when she parted with her son, and I complain unto Allah for my shortcomings.' Mu'awiyah asked him: 'Were you among those who enforced a siege around 'Uthman's house?'

He answered: 'No; but I used to visit him.' Then Mu'awiyah asked him: 'What stopped you from rescuing him?' He retorted: 'What about you? What stopped you from doing so when sure death surrounded him, while you were in Syria a master among his subjects?!' Mu'awiyah replied: 'Can't you see that avenging his murder is an indication of my support?' 'Amir then told Mu'awiyah that he acted exactly like the one implied in the verses composed by the brother of Ju'f the poet in which the latter says: 'You mourn my death, yet while I was alive, you did not even sustain me against starvation.'"

Al-Zuhri, Abul-Zubair, al-Jariri, Ibn Abul-Hasin, 'Abdul-Malik ibn Abjar, Qatadah, Ma'ruf, al-Walid ibn Jami', Mansur ibn Hayyan, al-Qasim ibn Abu Bardah, 'Amr ibn Dinar, 'Ikremah ibn Khalid, Kulthum ibn Habib, Furat al-Qazzaz, and 'Abdul-Aziz ibn Rafi' have all narrated his hadith as it exists in Muslim's and Bukhari's Sahih books. Bukhari's work contains traditions of the Prophet 'Fregarding the pilgrimage which are narrated by Abul-Tufayl. He describes the Prophet's characteristics, and he narrates about the prayers and signs of prophethood from Ma'ath ibn Jabal, and he narrates about fate from 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud.

He narrates from 'Ali Huthayfah ibn al-Yemani, 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas and 'Umar ibn al-Khattab, as is well-known by all researchers of Muslim's hadith besides that of the authors of his musnads. Abul-Tufayl, may Allah Ta'ala encompass his soul with His mercy, died in Mecca in 100 A.H. (some say in 102, while still others say 120), and Allah knows best.

46. 'Abbad ibn Ya'qub al-Asadi al-Ruwajni al-Kufi

He is mentioned by Dar Qutni who says, "'Abbad ibn Ya'qub is a truthful Shi'a." Ibn Hayyan mentions him and says, "'Abbad ibn Ya'qub used to invite people to Rafidism." Ibn Khuzaymah says, "'Abbad ibn Ya'qub is a man whose traditions are never doubted, though his faith is questioned, etc." 'Abbad narrates from al-Fadl ibn al-Qasim, Sufyan al-Thawri, Zubayd,

Murrah, that Ibn Mas'ud used to interpret the verse

"Allah has spared the Believers from fighting" (Qur'an, 25:33)

to imply that they were spared from fighting 'Ali. He quotes Sharik, 'Asim, Tharr, from 'Abdullah who has stated that the Messenger of Allah has said: "When you see Mu'awiyah on my pulpit, kill him." This hadith is recorded by Tabari and others. 'Abbad says that anyone who does not mention in his daily prayers that he dissociates himself from the enemies of the Prophet's progeny shall be resurrected in their company. He also says, "Allah Almighty is too fair to let Talhah and al-Zubayr enter Paradise; they fought 'Ali after swearing allegiance to him."

Salih al-Jazrah has said: "'Abbad ibn Ya'qub used to denounce 'Uthman." 'Abbad al-Ahwazi quotes his trusted authorities saying that 'Abbad ibn Ya'qub used to denounce "their" ancestors. In spite of all this, Sunni Imams like al-Bukhari, al-Tirmithi, Ibn Majah, Ibn Khuzaymah, and Ibn Abu Dawud rely on his authority, their mentor, in whom they all place their trust.

In spite of his intolerance and prejudice, Abu Hatim has mentioned him and said that he is a trusted shaykh. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan and says, "He is one of the extremist Shi'as, leaders of innovators; yet he is truthful when narrating hadith." He goes on to mention what has already been stated above regarding 'Abbad's views.

Al-Bukhari quotes him directly while discussing tawhid in his own sahih. He died, may Allah be merciful unto him, in Shawwal of 150 A.H. Al-Qasim ibn Zakariyyah al-Mutarraz has intentionally misquoted 'Abbad's statements regarding the digging the sea and the flow of its water, and we seek refuge with Allah against telling lies about the Believers; He is surely the One Who foils their schemes.

47. 'Abdullah ibn Dawud

He is father of 'Abdul-Rahman al-Hamadani al-Kufi. He resided in Al-Harbiyya, a Basrah suburb. Qutaybah has included him among renowned Shi'a personalities in his own Al-Ma'arif, and al-Bukhari has relied on his authority in his own Sahih. Refer to his hadith from al-A'mash, Hisham ibn 'Urwah and Ibn Jurayh. His hadith is narrated in Bukhari's Sahih by Musaddid, 'Amr ibn 'Ali, and, in some places, by Nasr ibn 'Ali. He died in 212.

48. 'Abdullah ibn Shaddad ibn al-Had

Al-Had's full name is Usamah ibn 'Abdullah ibn Jabir ibn al-Bashir ibn 'Atwarah ibn 'Amir ibn Malik ibn Laith al-Laithi al-Kufi Abul-Walid, a companion of the Commander of the Faithful parallel. His mother is Salma daughter of 'Amis al-Khayth'ami, sister of Asma'. He is nephew, from the mother's side, of 'Abdullah ibn Ja'far and Muhammad ibn Abu Ja'far, and brother of 'Amara daughter of Hamzah ibn 'Abdul-Muttalib from the mother's side. Ibn Sa'd includes him among residents of Kufa who were distinguished for their fiqh and knowledge and who belong to the tabi'in.

At the conclusion of his biography, the author states on page 86 of Vol. 6 of his Tabaqat: "During the reign of 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Muhammad ibn al-Ash'ath, 'Abdullah ibn Shaddad was among those who recite the Holy Qur'an and know it by heart and who fought al-Hajjaj, and he was killed during the Dujail Battle." He also says, "He was a trustworthy faqih who narrated a great deal of hadith, and he was a Shi'a."

The battle referred to above took place in 81 A.H. All authors of the sahih books have relied on the authority of 'Abdullah ibn Shaddad. His hadith is quoted by Ishaq al-Shaybani, Ma'bid ibn Khalid and Sa'd ibn Ibrahim. Their ahadith from 'Abdullah ibn Shaddad exist in both sahih books as well as in others, in addition to all musnads. Al-Bukhari and Muslim quote his hadith as transmitted from 'Ali Maymuna and 'Ayesha.

49. 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar ibn Muhammad ibn Aban ibn Salih ibn 'Umayr al-Qarashi al-Kufi

Also known as Mishkadanah, he is mentor of Muslim, Abu Dawud, al-Baghwi, and many other peers who all learned hadith from him. Abu Hatim has mentioned him testifying to his truthfulness. He quotes his hadith and states that he is a Shi'a. Salih ibn Muhammad ibn Jazrah has mentioned him and said that he is a Shi'a "extremist."

In spite of this, 'Abdullah ibn Ahmad has narrated hadith from his father. Abu Hatim states that Mishkadanah is trustworthy. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan, describing him as "a truthful man who has learned a great deal of hadith from Ibn al-Mubarak, al-Dar Wardi, and their group of scholars. Muslim, Abu Dawud, al-Baghwi and many others have recorded a great deal of his ahadith." He has marked his name with the initials of Muslim and Abu Dawud indicating thereby their reliance on his hadith, and quoting what the learned scholars named above have said about him. He has also stated that he died in 239 A.H.

Refer to his hadith in Muslim's Sahih as transmitted through 'Abdah ibn Sulayman, 'Abdullah ibn al-Mubarak, 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Sulayman, 'Ali ibn Hashim, Abul-Ahwas, Husayn ibn 'Ali al-Ju'fi and Muhammad ibn Fudayl. In his chapter dealing with causes of dissension, Muslim quotes his hadith directly. Abul-'Abbas al-Sarraj has said that he died either in 238 or 237 A.H.

50. 'Abdullah ibn Lahi'ah ibn 'Uqbah al-Hadrami Egypt's judge and scholar

In his Ma'arif, Ibn Qutaybah has included him among famous shaykhs. In his biography of 'Abdullah ibn Lahi'ah in his Al-Mizan, Ibn 'Adi has described him as an "extremist Shi'a." Quoting Talhah, Abu Ya'li states: "Abu Lahi'ah has said: 'Hay ibn 'Abdullah al-Ghafari has narrated through

the authority of Abu 'Abdullah Rahman al-Hibli from 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar that during his sickness (which preceded his demise), the Messenger of Allah مَا اللهُ ال

we brought him Abu Bakr, but he turned away from him and said: 'I had asked for my brother'. We then brought 'Uthman, but again the Messenger of Allah معلقة turned away from him. 'Ali معلقة was then brought in his presence. He covered him with his own mantle and inclined his head on his shoulder for a while (as if he was whispering something in his ear). When 'Ali left, people asked him: 'What has the Prophet معلقة said to you?' He answered: 'He has taught me a thousand chapters each of which leads to a thousand sections.'"

Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan, marking his name with DTQ to denote who among the authors of the sahih books quotes him [i.e. Abu Dawud, al-Tirmithi, and Dar Qutni. Refer to his hadith in al-Tirmithi's Sahih, Abu Dawud and all musnads. Ibn Khallikan has greatly praised him in his Wafiyyat al-A'yan. Refer to his hadith in Muslim's sahih as transmitted by Yazid ibn Abu Habib. In his book Al-Jam' Bayna Kitabay Abu Nasr al-Kalabathi wa Abu Bakr al-Asbahani [Compilation of Both Books of Abu Nasr al-Kalabathi and Abul-Faraj al-Asbahani, al-Qaysarani includes him among Bukhari's and Muslim's reliable authorities. Ibn Lahi'ah died on Sunday, mid-Rabi'ul Akhir, 174 A.H.

51. 'Abdullah ibn Maymun al-Qaddah al-Makki

A friend of Imam Ja'far ibn Muhammad al-Sadiq مرابعة, he is relied upon by al-Tirmithi. Al-Thahbi mentions him and marks his name with al-Tirmithi's initials as an indication that the latter cites his hadith. He adds saying that he narrates hadith through the authority of Imam Ja'far ibn Muhammad al-Sadiq مرابعة, and of Talhah ibn 'Umar.

52. 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Salih al-Azdi

His name is Abu Muhammad al-Kufi. His friend and student 'Abbas al-Duri says that he was a Shi'a. Ibn 'Adi mentions him and says, "He is burnt in the fire of Shi'ism." Salih Jazrah says that 'Abdul-Rahman used to oppose 'Uthman. Abu Dawud says that 'Abdul-Rahman has compiled a book containing the vices of some of the companions of the Prophet 'James', and that he is a bad person.

In spite of all this, both 'Abbas al-Duri and Imam al-Baghwi narrate his hadith. Al-Nisa'i has quoted him. Al-Thahbi has referred to him in his Al-Mizan and marked his name with al-Nisa'i's initials as an indication of the latter's reliance on him. He also quotes what the Imams (among the Sunnis) have said about him as stated above. He indicates that Ma'in trusts him, and that he died in 235. Refer to his hadith in the Sunan books as transmitted through Sharik and a group of his peers.

53. 'Abdul-Razzaq ibn Humam ibn Nafi' al-Himyari al-San'ani

One of the Shi'a nobility and honourable ancestry, he is included by Ibn Qutaybah among renowned Shi'as in his Ma'arif. Ibn al-Athir, on page 137, Vol. 6, of his Al-Tarikh Al-Kamil, mentions 'Abdul-Razzaq's death in the end of the events of 211 A.H. thus: "In that year, the traditionist 'Abdul-Razzaq ibn Humam al-San'ani, one of Ahmad's Shi'a mentors, died."

Al-Muttaqi al-Hindi mentions him while discussing hadith number 5994 in his Kanz al-'Ummal, on page 391, Vol. 6, stating that he is a Shi'a. Al-Thahbi, in his Al-Mizan, says, "'Abdul-Razzaq ibn Humam ibn Nafi', Abu Bakr al-Himyari's mentor, is a Shi'a dignitary of San'a, was one of the most trusted traditionists among all scholars."

He narrates his biography and adds: "He has written a great deal, authoring [in particular] Al-Jami' Al-Kabir. He is a custodian of knowledge sought

by many people such as Ahmad, Ishaq, Yahya, al-Thahbi, al-Ramadi, and 'Abd."

He discusses his character and quotes al-'Abbas ibn 'Abdul-'Azim, accusing him of being a liar. He states that al-Thahbi has denounced such an accusation. He says, "Not only Muslim, but all those who have memorized hadith have agreed with al-'Abbas, while the Imams of knowledge rely on his authority."

He goes on to narrate his biography, quoting al-Tayalisi saying: "I have heard Ibn Ma'in say something from which I became convinced that 'Abdul-Razzaq was a Shi'a. Ibn Ma'in asked him: 'Your instructors, such as Mu'ammar, Malik, Ibn Jurayh, Sufyan, al-Awza'i, are all Sunnis. Where did you learn the sect of Shi'ism from?' He answered: 'Ja'far ibn Sulayman al-Zab'i once paid us a visit, and I found him to be virtuous and rightly guided, and I learned Shi'ism from him.'"

'Abdul-Razzaq, as quoted above, statement in which he says that he is a Shi'a indicates that he has learned Shi'ism from Ja'far al-Zab'i, but Muhammad ibn Abu Bakr al-Muqaddimi thinks that Ja'far al-Zab'i himself has learned Shi'ism from 'Abdul-Razzaq. He even denounces 'Abdul-Razzaq for this reason. In Al-Mizan, he is quoted as saying, "I wish I had lost 'Abdul-Razzaq for good. Nobody has corrupted Ja'far's beliefs other than he." The "corruption" to which he refers is Shi'ism!

Ibn Ma'in has heavily relied on 'Abdul-Razzaq's authority, in spite of his "admission" that he is a Shi'a as stated above. Ahmad ibn Abu Khayth'amah, as in 'Abdel-Razzaq's biography in Al-Mizan, has said, "It has been said to Ibn Ma'in that Ahmad says that 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa rejects 'Abdul-Razzaq's hadith because of his Shi'a beliefs. Ibn Ma'in has responded thus: 'I swear by Allah, Who is the only God, that 'Abdul-Razzaq's hadith and found it to be many times more in volume than 'Ubaydullah's."

Also in 'Abdel-Razzaq's biography in Al-Mizan, Abu Salih Muhammad ibn Isma'il al-Dirari is quoted saying, "While we were in San'a guests of 'Abdul-Razzaq, we heard that Ahmad and Ibn Ma'in, joined by others, had rejected 'Abdul-Razzaq's hadith, or say disliked it, because of the traditionist being a Shi'a. The news deeply depressed us. We thought that we had spent our resources and taken the trouble to make the trip there all in vain. Then I joined the pilgrims for Mecca where I met Yahya and asked him about this issue. He, as stated in 'Abdel-Razzaq's biography in Al-Mizan, said: 'O Abu Salih! Even if 'Abdul-Razzaq abandons Islam altogether, we shall never reject his hadith."

Ibn 'Adi has mentioned him and said: "'Abdul-Razzaq has reported ahadith dealing with virtues, but nobody has endorsed them.13 He also counts the vices of certain people, which views are rejected by others;14 above all, he is believed to be a Shi'a."

In spite of all this, Ahmad ibn Hanbal was asked once, as indicated in 'Abdel-Razzaq's biography in Al-Mizan, whether he knew of any hadith better than that reported by 'Abdul-Razzaq, and his answer was negative. Ibn al-Qaysarani states at the conclusion of 'Abdul-Razzaq's biography in his own book Al-Jami' Bayna Rijalul Sahihain, quoting Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal saying, 'If people dispute Mu'ammar's hadith, then the final arbitrator is 'Abdul-Razzaq.'

Mukhlid al-Shu'ayri says that he was once in the company of 'Abdul-Razzaq when a man mentioned Mu'awiyah. 'Abdul-Razzaq, as stated in his biography in Al-Mizan, then said: 'Do not spoil our meeting by mentioning the descendants of Abu Sufyan.'" Zayd ibn al-Mubarak has said: "We were in the company of 'Abdul-Razzaq once when we recounted ibn al-Hadthan's hadith.

When 'Umar's address to 'Ali and al-'Abbas: 'You (i.e. 'Abbas) have come to demand your inheritance of your nephew (the Prophet, peace be upon

him and his progeny), while this man (i.e. 'Ali) has come to demand his wife's inheritance of her father' was read, 'Abdul-Razzaq, as stated in his biography in Al-Mizan, said: 'Behold this shameless, impertinent man using 'nephew' and 'father' instead of 'the Messenger of Allah نام المناسبة المناس

In spite of all this, all compilers of hadith have recorded his traditions and relied on his authority. It has even been said, as Ibn Khallikan states in his Wafiyyat al-A'yan, that people did not travel to anyone after the demise of the Prophet as often as they did to 'Abdul-Razzaq's. He is quoted by the Imams of contemporary Muslims such as Sufyan ibn 'Ayinah, among whose mentors 'Abdul-Razzaq himself was one, Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Yahya ibn Ma'in, and others.

Refer to his hadith in all the sahih books, as well as all musnads, which all contain quite a few of his ahadith. He was born, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in 211 A.H. He was contemporary to Abu 'Abdullah Imam al-Sadiq for twenty-two years.15 He died during the first days of the Imamate of Imam Abu Ja'far al-Jawad 'Line', nine years before the Imam's demise;16 may Allah resurrect him in the company of these Imams to whose service, seeking of the Pleasure of Allah, he sincerely dedicated his life.

54. 'Abdul-Malik ibn 'Ayan

He is brother of Zararah, Hamran, Bakir, 'Abdul-Rahman, Malik, Musa, Daris, and Umm al-Aswad, all descendants of 'Ayan, and all are notable Shi'as. They have won the sublime cup for serving the Islamic Shari'a, and they have produced a blessed and righteous progeny that adheres to their sect and views.

Al-Thahbi mentions 'Abdul-Malik in his Al-Mizan, citing Abu Wa'il and others quoting Abu Hatim saying that he has reported authentic ahadith, and that Ma'in has said that there is nothing wrong with his hadith, while another authority testifies thus: "He is truthful, yet he is Rafidi, too." Ibn

Ayinah has said: "'Abdul-Malik, a Rafidi, has reported hadith to us." Abu Hatim says that he is among the earliest to embrace Shi'a Islam, and that his hadith is authentic. Both Sufyans have transmitted his hadith and reported it well-documented by others.

In his book Al-Jami' Bayna Rijalul Sahihain, Ibn al-Qaysarani, as quoted in both works by Sufyan ibn A'yinah, has this to say about him: "'Abdul-Malik ibn 'Ayan, brother of Hamran al-Kufi, was a Shi'a whose hadith about tawhid is recorded by Bukhari as transmitted by Abu Wa'il, and about iman as recorded in Muslim's."

He died during the life-time of Imam al-Sadiq المنافقة who earnestly invoked the Almighty's mercy upon him. Abu Ja'far ibn Babawayh has reported that Imam al-Sadiq المنافقة, accompanied by his disciples, visited 'Abdul-Malik's gravesite in Medina. May he receive the good rewards and live eternally in peace.

55. 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa al-'Abasi al-Kufi

He is al-Bukhari's mentor, as the latter acknowledges on page 177 of his Sahih. Ibn Qutaybah has included him among traditionists in his work Al-Ma'arif, stating that the man is a Shi'a. When he recounts a roll call of notable Shi'as in his chapter on sects on page 206 of his book al-Ma'arif, he includes 'Ubaydullah among them.

On page 279, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat, Ibn Sa'd narrates 'Ubaydullah's biography without forgetting to indicate that he is a Shi'a, and that he narrates hadith supportive of Shi'ism, thus, according to Ibn Sa'd, weakening his hadith in the eyes of many people. He also adds saying that 'Ubaydullah is also very well familiar with the Holy Qur'an. He records on page 139, Vol. 6, of his Al-Kamil the date of his death at the conclusion of events that took place in 213 A.H., stating: "Ubaydullah ibn Musa al-'Abasi, the jurist, was a Shi'a who taught al-Bukhari as the latter himself acknowledges in his Sahih."

Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan saying, "Ubaydullah ibn Musa al-'Abasi al-Kufi, al-Bukhari's mentor, is no question trustworthy, but he also is a deviated Shi'a." Yet the author admits that both Abu Hatim and Ma'in have trusted his hadith. He says, "Abu Hatim has said that the hadith narrated by Abu Na'im is more authentic, yet 'Ubaydullah's is more authentic than all of them when it comes to the ahadith transmitted by Isra'il."

Ahmad ibn 'Abdullah al-Ajli has said, "'Ubaydullah ibn Musa is very knowledgeable of the Holy Qur'an, a major authority therein. I have never seen him arrogant or conceited, and he was never seen laughing boisterously." Abu Dawud says, "'Ubaydullah ibn al-'Abasi was a Shi'a heretic." At the conclusion of the biography of Matar ibn Maymun in Al-Mizan, al-Thahbi states: "Ubaydullah, a Shi'a, is trustworthy."

Ibn Ma'in used to learn hadith from 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa and 'Abdul-Razzaq knowing that they were both Shi'as. In Thahbi's Al-Mizan, while documenting 'Abdul-Razzaq's biography, the author quotes Ahmad ibn 'Ali Khaythamah saying, "I inquired of Ibn Ma'in once regarding what I heard about Ahmad's alleged rejection of 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa's hadith because of his being a Shi'a. Ibn Ma'in answered: 'I swear by Allah Who has no associate that 'Abdul-Razzaq is superior to 'Ubaydullah a hundred times, and I have heard from 'Abdul-Razzaq many times more ahadith than I heard from 'Ubaydullah.'"

Sunnis, like all others, rely on 'Ubaydullah's hadith in their respective sahih books. Refer to his hadith in both sahih books transmitted by Shayban ibn 'Abdul-Rahman. Bukhari's Sahih quotes his hadith as reported by al-A'mash ibn 'Urwah and Isma'il ibn Abu Khalid. His hadith as recorded in Muslim's Sahih is reported from Isra'il, al-Hasan ibn Salih, and Usamah ibn Zayd. Al-Bukhari quotes him directly.

He is also quoted directly by Ishaq ibn Ibrahim, Abu Bakr ibn Abu Shaybah, Ahmad ibn Ishaq al-Bukhari, Mahmud ibn Ghaylan, Ahmad ibn Abu Sarij, Muhammad ibn al-Hasan ibn Ashkab, Muhammad ibn Khalid al-Thahbi, and Yusuf ibn Musa al-Qattan. Muslim quotes his hadith as reported by al-Hajjaj ibn al-Sha'ir, al-Qasim ibn Zakariyyah, 'Abdullah al-Darmi, Ishaq ibn al-Mansur, Ibn Abu Shaybah, 'Abd ibn Hamid, Ibrahim ibn Dinar, and Ibn Namir.

Al-Thahbi states in his Al-Mizan that 'Ubaydullah died in 213 A.H. adding, "He was well known for his asceticism, adoration, and piety." His death took place in early Thul-Qi'da; may Allah Almighty sanctify his resting place.

56. 'Uthman ibn 'Umayr 'Abdul-Yaqzan al-Thaqafi al-Kufi al-Bijli

He is also known as 'Uthman ibn Abu Zar'ah, 'Uthman ibn Qays, and 'Uthman ibn Abu Hamid. Abu Ahmad al-Zubayri says that 'Uthman believes in the return. Ahmad ibn Hanbal says, "Abu Yaqzan was joined in dissenting by Ibrahim ibn 'Abdullah ibn Hasan."

Ibn 'Adi says the following about him: "He has embraced the bad sect, and he believes in the return, although trusted authorities have quoted him knowing that he was weak." The fact of the matter is that whenever some people desire to belittle a Shi'a traditionist and undermine his scholarly ability, they charge him with preaching the concept of the return. Thus have they done to 'Uthman ibn 'Umayr, so much so that Ibn Ma'in has said: "There is really nothing wrong with 'Uthman's hadith."

In spite of all attacks on him, al-A'mash, Sufyan, Shu'bah, Sharik and other peers have not in the least hesitated to quote him. Abu Dawud, al-Tirmithi and others have all quoted him in their sunan and relied on his authority. Refer to his hadith as they record it through Anas and others. Al-Thahbi has documented his biography and quoted the statements by notable

scholars as cited above, putting DTQ on his name to indicate who among the authors of the sunan quote him.

57. 'Adi ibn Thabit al-Kufi

Ibn Ma'in has described him as a "Shi'a extremist," while Dar Qutni calls him "Rafidi, extremist, but also reliable." Al-Jawzjani says that the man has "deviated." Al-Mas'udi says, "We have never seen anyone who is so outspoken in preaching his Shi'a views like 'Adi ibn Thabit."

In his Al-Mizan, al-Thahbi describes him as "the learned scholar of Shi'as, the most truthful among them, the judge and Imam of their mosques. Had all the Shi'as been like him, their harm would have been minimized." Then he goes on to document his biography and quote the views of the scholars cited above. He recounts the scholars who describe him as trustworthy such as Dar Qutni, Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Ahmad al-'Ajli, Ahmad al-Nisa'i, placing on his name the initials of authoers of all the six sahih books who quote him.

Refer to his hadith in both Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahih books as transmitted by al-Bara' ibn 'Azib, 'Abdullah ibn Yazid (his maternal grandfather), 'Abdullah ibn Abu Awfah, Sulayman ibn Sard, and Sa'id ibn Jubayr. His hadith reported by Zarr ibn Habish and Abu Hazim al-Ashja'i is recorded in Muslim's Sahih. His hadith is quoted by al-A'mash, Mis'ar, Sa'id, Yahya ibn Sa'id al-Ansari, Zayd ibn Abu Anisa, and Fudayl ibn Ghazwan.

58. 'Atiyyah ibn Sa'd ibn Janadah al-'Awfi

He is Abul-Hasan al-Kufi, the renowned tabi'i. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan, quoting Salim al-Muradi saying that 'Atiyyah adhered to Shi'ism. Imam Ibn Qutaybah has included him among traditionists in his Ma'arif following his grandson al-'Awfi, al-Husayn ibn 'Atiyyah, the judge, adding, "'Atiyyah, a follower of Shi'ism, has been a jurist since the reign of al-Hajjaj."

Ibn Qutaybah has mentioned a few renowned Shi'as in his chapter on sects in his Ma'arif, listing 'Atiyyah al-'Awfi among them. Ibn Sa'd mentions him on age 212, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat indicating his firm belief in Shi'ism. His father, Sa'd ibn Janadah, was a companion of 'Ali Donce he visited the Imam in Kufa and said: "O Commander of the Faithful! I have been blessed with a newly born son; would you mind choosing a name for him?" The Imam answered: "This is a gift ('atiyyah) from Allah; therefore, do name him 'Atiyyah."

Ibn Sa'd has said: "'Atiyyah ibn al-Ash'ath went out in an army to fight al-Hajjaj. When al-Ash'ath's army fled, 'Atiyyah fled to Persia. Al-Hajjaj wrote an edict to Muhammad ibn al-Qasim ordering him to call him to his presence and give him the option to either denounce 'Ali or be whipped four hundred lashes, and his beard and head be shaven.

So, he called him and read al-Hajjaj's letter to him, but 'Atiyyah refused to succumb; therefore, he had him whipped four hundred lashes and his head and beard were shaven. When Qutaybah became governor of Khurasan, 'Atiyyah rebelled against him and remained there till 'Umar ibn Habirah became ruler of Iraq. It was then that he wrote to him asking permission to go there. Granted permission, he came to Kufa where he stayed till he died in 11 A.H." The author adds, "He was, indeed, a trusted authority, and he reported many authentic ahadith."

All his descendants were sincere followers of Muhammad's progeny Among them were noblemen, highly distinguished personalities like al-Husayn ibn al-Hasan ibn 'Atiyyah who was appointed governor of the district of Al-Sharqiyya succeeding Hafs ibn Ghiyath, as stated on page 58 of the same reference, then he was transferred to al-Mahdi's troops. He died in 201 A.H. Another is Sa'd ibn Muhammad ibn al-Hasan ibn 'Atiyyah, also a traditionist, who became governor of Baghdad.17 He used to quote his father Sa'd from his uncle al-Husayn ibn al-Hasan ibn 'Atiyyah.

Back to the story of 'Atiyyah al-'Awfi. He is considered a reliable authority

by Dawud and al-Tirmithi. Refer to his hadith in their sahih books from Ibn 'Abbas, Abu Sa'id and Ibn 'Umar. He has also learned hadith from 'Abdullah ibn al-Hasan who quotes his father who quotes his grand-mother al-Zahra', Mistress of the women of Paradise. His son al-Hasan ibn 'Atiyyah has learned hadith from him, and so have al-Hajjaj ibn Arta'ah, Mis'ar, al-Hasan ibn Adwan and others.

59. Al'ala' ibn Salih al-Taymi al-Kufi

In his biography of Al'ala' in Al-Mizan, Abu Hatim says the following about him: "He is one of the seniors of the Shi'as." In spite of this, Abu Dawud and al-Tirmithi have relied on his authority. Ma'in trusts him. Both Abu Hatim and Abu Zar'ah say that there is nothing wrong with his hadith.

Refer to his hadith in both al-Tirmithi's and Abu Dawud's sahih books from Yazid ibn Abu Maryam and al-Hakam ibn 'Utaybah, in addition to all Sunni musnads. Abu Na'im and Yahya ibn Bakir quote him, and so do many of their peers. He must be distinguished from Al'ala' ibn Abul-'Abbas, the Meccan poet. The latter is a Sufyani shaykh.

His hadith is reported by Abul-Tufayl. He is in a higher rank than Abul-'ala' ibn Salih; the latter is a Kufian, while the poet is Meccan. Both are mentioned in al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan, where the author inaccurately quotes a statement pertaining to their being Shi'a seniors. Al'ala' the poet has composed poetry in praise of the Commander of the Faithful which serves as irrefutable proof of his dedication and also highlights the truth about the Imam. He has also several poetic eulogies appreciated by Allah, His Messenger, and the believers.

60. 'Alqamah ibn Qays ibn 'Abdullah al-Nakh'i Abu Shibil

He is uncle of al-Aswad and Ibrahim, sons of Yazid. He is also a follower of the Progeny of Muhammad مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ . Al-Shahristani, in his Al-Milal

wal-Nihal, has included him among Shi'a nobility. He is master among the traditionists mentioned by Abu Ishaq al-Jawzjani who spitefully says, "There has been a group of people among the residents of Kufa whose sect [of Shi'ism is not appreciated; they are the masters among Kufi traditionists."

'Alqamah and his brother 'Ali have been companions of 'Ali Marie. They have both participated in Siffin where 'Ali was martyred. The latter used to be called "Abul-Salat" (man of the prayers) due to his quite frequent prayers. 'Alqamah drenched his sword with the blood of the oppressive gang. His foot slid, yet he continued to wage jihad in the way of Allah, remaining an enemy of Mu'awiyah till his death.

Abu Bardah included 'Alqamah's name among the emissary to Mu'awiyah during the latter's reign, but 'Alqamah objected and even wrote to Abu Bardah saying: "Please remove my name (from the list); please do remove it." This is recorded by Ibn Sa'd in his biography of 'Alqamah on page 57, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat.

'Alqamah's fair mindedness and prestige among Sunnis is undisputed in spite of their knowledge of his Shi'a beliefs. Authors of the six sahih books, as well as others, have all relied on his authority. Refer to his hadith in Muslim and Bukhari from Ibn Mas'ud, Abul-Darda'ah and 'Ayesha. His hadith about 'Uthman and Abu Mas'ud is recorded in Muslim's Sahih.

In both sahih books, his hadith is narrated by his nephew Ibrahim al-Nakh'i. In Muslim's Sahih, his hadith is transmitted by 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Yazid, Ibrahim ibn Yazid, and al-Sha'bi. He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in 62 A.H. in Kufa.

61. 'Ali ibn Badimah

Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan quoting Ahmad ibn Hanbal saying, "He has reported authentic ahadith," that he is a pioneer of Shi'ism, that

Ibn Ma'in has trusted him, that he narrates hadith from Makrimah and others, and that both Shu'bah and Mu'ammar have learned hadith from him. He marks his name to indicate that the authors of sunan have all quoted his hadith.

62. 'Ali ibn al-Ja'd

He is Abul-Hasan al-Jawhari al-Baghdadi, a slave of Banu Hashim. One of al-Bukhari's mentors, he is included by Qutaybah among notable Shi'as in his book Al-Ma'arif. His biography in Al-Mizan indicates that for sixty years, 'Ali used to fast every other day. Al-Qaysarani mentions him in his book Al-Jami' Bayna Rijalul Sahihain, stating that al-Bukhari alone has narrated twelve thousand ahadith reported by 'Ali ibn al-Ja'd. He died in 203 at the age of 96.

63. 'Ali ibn Zaid

His full name is 'Ali ibn Zaid ibn 'Abdullah ibn Zuhayr ibn Abu Malika ibn Jad'an Abul-Hasan al-Qarashi al-Taymi al-Basri. Ahmad al-'Ajli has mentioned him saying that the man follows the Shi'a School of Muslim Law.

Yazid ibn Zari' has said that 'Ali ibn Yazid has been a Rafidi. In spite of all this, the learned scholars among the tabi'in, such as Shu'bah, 'Abdul-Warith, and many of their peers, have all quoted his hadith. He is one of the three jurists for whom Basrah has acquired fame, the others are Qatadah and 'Ash'ath al-Hadani. They were all blind. When al-Hasan al-Basri died, they suggested to 'Ali to take his place due to his accomplishments. He was so prestigious that only renowned dignitaries were his companions, something not too many Shi'as could enjoy during those days.

Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Al-Mizan stating the above facts about him. In his book Al-Jami' Bayna Rijalul Sahihain, al-Qaysarani states

his biography and says that Muslim has quoted his hadith as reported by Thabit al-Banani, and that he has learned about jihad from Anas ibn Malik. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in 131 A.H.

64. 'Ali ibn Salih

He is brother of al-Hasan ibn Salih. We have already said a word about his virtues when we recounted the biography of his brother al-Hasan. He is one of the early Shi'a scholars, just like his brother. In his chapter on sales, Muslim relies on his authority.

'Ali ibn Salih has reported hadith from Salameh ibn Kahil, while Waki' has quoted him; they, too, are both Shi'as. He was born, may Allah be merciful unto his soul, and his twin brother al-Hasan, in 100 A.H., and he died in 151 A.H.

65. 'Ali ibn Ghurab Abu Yahya al-Fazari al-Kufi

Ibn Hayyan has described him as "an extremist Shi'a." Probably for this reason, al-Jawzjani drops him completely. Abu Dawud has said that 'Ali's hadith has been rejected, while both Ibn Ma'in and Dar Qutni trust him. Abu Hatim has said that there is nothing wrong with his hadith. Abu Zar'ah says he considers him truthful.

Ahmad ibn Hanbal says, "I find him quite truthful." Ibn Ma'in describes him as "the poor man, the man of the truth," while al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan quoting both pros and cons regarding his hadith as mentioned above, and marking his name with SQ to identify which authors of the sunan rely on his authority. He reports hadith from Hisham ibn 'Urwah and 'Ubaydullah ibn 'Umar.

On page 273, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat, Ibn Sa'd says the following about him: "Isma'il ibn Raja' quotes his hadith regarding what al-A'mash had said

about 'Uthman." He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in Kufa in early Rabi'ul-Awwal 184, during Harun's regime.

66. 'Ali ibn Qadim Abul-Hasan al-Khuza'i al-Kufi

He is mentor of Ahmad ibn al-Furat, Ya'qub al-Faswi and a group of their peers who have all learned hadith from him and relied on his authority. Ibn Sa'd mentions him on page 282, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat and describes him as an "extremist Shi'a." Probably for this reason alone that Yahya regards his hadith as "weak." Abu Hatim says that he is truthful.

Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan, quoting the above stated views about him, and marking his name to indicate that Abu Dawud and al-Tirmithi have both quoted his hadith. His hadith is recorded in their books from Sa'id ibn Abu 'Urwah and Qatar. He died, may Allah be merciful unto his soul, in 213 A.H. during al-Ma'mun's regime.

67. 'Ali ibn al-Munthir al-Tara'ifi

He is professor of al-Tirmithi, al-Nisa'i, Ibn Sa'id, 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Hatim, and other peers who have all learned hadith from him and relied on his authority. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan, marking his name with TSQ as an indication of which authors of the sunan quote his hadith. He quotes the following from al-Nisa'i: "Ali ibn al-Munthir is a staunch Shi'a, very trustworthy."

He states that Ibn Hatim has said that the man is truthful and trustworthy, and that he reports hadith from Fudayl, Ibn 'Ayinah and al-Walid ibn Muslim. Al-Nisa'i testifies to the fact that he is "a staunch Shi'a," and that he relies on his hadith which is recorded in both sahih books. This, indeed, provides food for thought for those who cast doubt about his reliability. Al-Munthir, may Allah be merciful unto his soul, died in 256 A.H.

68. 'Ali ibn al-Hashim ibn al-Barid Abul-Hasan al-Kufi al-Khazzaz al-'Aithi

He is one of Imam Ahmad's mentors. Abu Dawud mentions him and describes him as a "well-ascertained Shi'a." Ibn Haban says that he is an "Shi'a extremist." Ja'far ibn Aban says, "I have heard Ibn Namir say that 'Ali ibn Hashim is extremist in his Shi'a beliefs." Al-Bukhari has said that both 'Ali ibn Hashim and his father are over-zealous in their Shi'a beliefs.

Probably for this reason, al-Bukhari has rejected his hadith, but all other five authors of the sahih books have relied on his authority. Ibn Ma'in and others have trusted him, while Abu Dawud has included him among the most reliable traditionists. Abu Zar'ah has said that he is truthful, and al-Nisa'i has stated that there is nothing wrong with his hadith. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan, quoting what we have already cited above.

Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, in a chapter dealing with 'Ali's character in his own Tarikh (history), Vol. 12, page 116, quotes Muhammad ibn Sulayman al-Baghindi saying that 'Ali ibn Hashim ibn al-Barid is truthful, a man who used to follow Shi'ism. He also quotes Muhammad ibn 'Ali al-Ajiri saying: "Once I asked Abu Dawud about 'Ali ibn Hashim ibn al-Barid. He suggested that I should ask 'Isa ibn Yunus. The latter has said: 'He belongs to those who call for Shi'ism." All of this is true. He also quotes al-Jawzjani saying that Hisham ibn al-Barid and his son 'Ali ibn Hashim are extremist in their "corrupt sect."

In spite of all this, authors of five sahih books rely on 'Ali ibn Hashim. Refer to his hadith about marriage in Muslim's Sahih as reported by Hisham ibn 'Urwah, and in his chapter dealing with seeking permission as transmitted from Talha ibn Yahya. His hadith in Muslim's Sahih is transmitted by Abu Mu'ammar Isma'il ibn Ibrahim and 'Abdullah ibn Aban. Ahmad ibn Hanbal, too, has reported his hadith, in addition to both sons of Shaybah, and a group of their class of reporters whose mentor was none other than 'Ali ibn Hashim. Al-Thahbi says, "He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul,

in 181 A.H.," adding, "His death is probably the earliest of those of Imam Ahmad's mentors."

69. 'Ammar ibn Zurayq al-Kufi

Al-Sulaymani calls him "Rafidi," as al-Thahbi states while discussing 'Ammar in his Al-Mizan. In spite of this allegation, Muslim, Abu Dawud and al-Nisa'i rely on his authority. Refer to his hadith in Muslim's Sahih as transmitted by al-A'mash, Abu Ishaq al-Subai'i, Mansur, and 'Abdullah ibn 'Isa. His hadith is reported in Muslim's Sahih by Abul-Jawab, Abul-Hawas Salam, Ibn Ahmad al-Zubayri, and Yahya ibn Adam.

70. 'Ammar ibn Mu'awiyah or Ibn Abu Mu'awiyah

He is also called Khabab, or Ibn Salih al-Dihni al-Bijli al-Kufi, Abu Mu'awiyah. He is one of the Shi'a heroes who suffered a great deal of persecution while defending Muhammad's Progeny (so much so that Bishr ibn Marwan cut off his hamstrings only because he was a Shi'a. He is mentor of both Sufyans, in addition to Shu'bah, Sharik, and al-'Abar, who have all learned hadith from him and relied on his authority. Ahmad, Ibn Ma'in, Abu Hatim and other people have also relied on his authority. Muslim and four authors of sunan have quoted his hadith. Al-Thahbi has included his biography in his own Al-Mizan and quoted the views stated above regarding his being a Shi'a and a trustworthy traditionist, adding that nobody had spoken ill of him except al-'Aqili, and that there was no fault in him other than his being a Shi'a. Refer to his hadith about the pilgrimage in Muslim's Sahih from Abul-Zubayr. He died in 133; may Allah have mercy on his soul.

71. 'Amr ibn 'Abdullah Abu Issaq al-Subai'i al-Hamadani al-Kufi

He is Shi'a according to Ibn Qutaybah's Ma'arif, and Shahristani's Al-Milal wal Nihal. He was one of the masters of traditionists whose sect, in its

roots and branches, the Nasibis do not appreciate due to the fact that Shi'as have followed in the footsteps of Ahl al-Bayt, deriving their method of worship from their own leadership in all religious matters.

For this reason, al-Jawzjani has said in his biography of Zubayd in Al-Mizan: "Among the residents of Kufa, there is a group whose sect is not appreciated; they are the chiefs of Kufi traditionists such as Abu Ishaq, Mansur, Zubayd al-Yami, al-A'mash and other peers. People have tolerated them because of being truthful in narrating hadith, without adding aught of their own thereto."

Among what the Nasibis have rejected of Abu Ishaq's hadith is this one:

"'As the author of Al-Mizan indicates, Amr ibn Isma'il has quoted Abu Issaq saying that the Messenger of Allah has said, 'Ali is like a tree whose root I am, and whose branches are 'Ali, whose fruit are al-Hasan and al-Husayn, whose leaves are the Shi'as."

In fact, al-Mughirah's statement "nobody caused the Kufis to perish except Abu Ishaq and al-A'mash" is uncalled for except for the fact that these men are Shi'as and are loyal to Muhammad's progeny "They have become custodians of all ahadith pertaining to the attributes of the latter, peace be upon them. They were oceans of knowledge, and they followed Allah's commandments.

They are relied upon by the authors of all six sahih books and by others. Refer to Abu Ishaq's hadith in both sahih books from al-Bara' ibn 'Azib, Yazid ibn Arqam, Harithah ibn Wahab, Sulayman ibn Sard, al-Nu'man ibn Bashir, 'Abdullah ibn Yazid al-Khadmi, and 'Amr ibn Maymun.

He is quoted in both sahih books by Shu'bah, al-Thawri, Zuhayr, and by his grandson Yusuf ibn Ishaq ibn Abu Ishaq. Ibn Khallikan says in 'Amr's biography in Al-Wafiyyat that 'Amr was born three years before 'Uthman took charge of ruling the Muslims, and that he died either in 127 or in 128, or in 129, whereas both Yahya ibn Ma'in and al-Mada'ini say that he died in 132, and Allah knows best.

72. 'Awf ibn Abu Jamila al-Basri Abu Sahl

He is well known as "al-A'rabi" [the bedouin], although his origin is really not from the desert. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan and says that "He is also called 'Awf the Truthful, while some say that he follows Shi'ism; despite that, a group of scholars has trusted him." He also quotes Ja'far ibn Sulayman describing him as Shi'a and quotes Bandar calling him "Rafidi."

Ibn Qutaybah has included him in his own Al-Ma'arif among Shi'a dignitaries. He has taught hadith to Ruh, Hawdah, Shu'bah, al-Nadr ibn Shamil, 'Uthman ibn al-Haytham and many others of their calibre. Authors of the six sahih books as well as others have all relied on his authority. Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's Sahih from al-Hasan and Sa'id, sons of al-Hasan al-Basri, Muhammad ibn Sirin and Siyar ibn Salamah. His hadith in Muslim's Sahih is transmitted by Al-Nadr ibn Shamil. His hadith from Abu Raji' al-'Ataridi exists in both sahihs. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in 146 A.H.

73. Al-Fadl ibn Dakin

His real name is 'Amr ibn Hammad ibn Zuhayr al-Malla'i al-Kufi, and he is well known by Abu Na'im. He is al-Bukhari's mentor, as the latter admits in his own Sahih. A group of elite scholars, like Ibn Qutaybah in his Al-Ma'arif, has included him among Shi'a dignitaries.

Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Al-Mizan and says: "I have heard ibn Ma'in saying: 'If a man's name is mentioned in the presence of Abu Na'im and he calls him a good person and praises him, then rest assured that that person is a Shi'a; whereas if he labels someone as Murji', then rest assured

that he is a good Sunni." Al-Thahbi says that this statement proves that Yahya ibn Ma'in inclines towards believing in the Return. It also proves that the man considers al-Fadl as a very staunch Shi'a.

In his biography of Khalid ibn Mukhlid in his Al-Mizan, al-Thahbi quotes al-Jawzjani saying that Abu Na'im follows the Kufi sect, i.e. Shi'ism. To sum up, the fact that al-Fadl ibn Dakin is a Shi'a has never been disputed. Nevertheless, all authors of the six sahih books rely on him. Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's Sahih from Humam ibn Yahya, 'Abdul-'Aziz ibn Abu Salamah, Zakariyyah ibn Abu Za'idah, Hisham al-Distwa'i, al-A'mash, Misar, al-Thawri, Malik, Ibn 'Ayinah, Shaybah, and Zuhayr.

His hadith in Muslim is transmitted by Saif ibn Abu Sulayman, Isma'il ibn Muslim, Abu 'Asim Muhammad ibn Ayyub al-Thaqafi, Abul Amis, Musa ibn 'Ali, Abu Shihab Musa ibn Nafi', Sufyan, Hisham ibn Sa'd, 'Abdul-Wahid ibn Ayman, and Isra'il. Al-Bukhari quotes him directly, while Muslim quotes his hadith as transmitted by Hajjaj ibn al-Sha'ir, 'Abd ibn Hamid, Ibn Abu Shaybah, Abu Sa'd al-Ashajj, Ibn Namir, 'Abdullah al-Darmi, Issaq al-Hanzali, and Zuhayr ibn Harb.

He was born in 133, and he died in Kufa on a Thursday night on the last day of Sha'ban, 210, during al-Mu'tasim's reign. Ibn Sa'd mentions him on page 279, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat, describing him as "trustworthy, reliable, a man who has narrated a great deal of hadith, and an authority therein."

74. Fadil ibn Marzuq al-Aghar al-Ruwasi al-Kufi Abu 'Abdul-Rahman

Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Mizan and describes him as a well-known Shi'a, quoting Sufyan ibn 'Ayinah and Ibn Ma'in testifying to this fact. He quotes Ibn 'Adi saying that he hopes there is nothing wrong with the hadith he narrates, then he quotes al-Haytham ibn Jamil saying that the latter once mentioned Fadl ibn Marzuq once and described him as "one of the Imams of guidance."

In his Sahih, Muslim relies on the authority of Fadil's ahadith which deals with prayers as transmitted by Shaqiq ibn 'Uqbah, and with zakat by 'Adi ibn Thabit. His hadith dealing with zakat as recorded by Muslim is transmitted by Yahya ibn Adam and Abu Usamah. In the sunan, his hadith is quoted by Waki', Yazid, Abu Na'im, 'Ali ibn al-Ja'd and many peers. Zayd ibn al-Habab has in fact lied regarding what he attributed to him of hadith dealing with the appointment of 'Ali منافعة as Amr by the Prophet المنافعة عند المناف

75. Fitr ibn Khalifah al-Hannat al-Kufi

'Abdullah ibn Ahmad once asked his father about Fitr ibn Khalifah. He answered, "He is a reporter of authentic hadith. His hadith reflects an attitude of a responsible person, but he also is a follower of Shi'ism." 'Abbas has quoted Ibn Ma'in saying that Fitr ibn Khalifah is a trusted Shi'a. Ahmad has said: "Fitr ibn Khalifah is trusted by Yahya, but he is an extremist Khashbi." Probably for this reason alone, Abu Bakr ibn 'Ayyash has said, "I have not abandoned the traditions reported by Fitr ibn Khalifah except because of his bad sect," i.e. for no fault in him other than his being a Shi'a.

Al-Jawzjani says: "Fitr ibn Khalifah has deviated from the path." During his sickness, he was heard by Ja'far al-Ahmar saying: "Nothing pleases me more than knowing that for each hair in my body there is an angel praising Allah Almighty on my behalf because of my love for Ahl al-Bayt, peace be upon them."

Fitr ibn Khalifah narrates hadith from Abul-Tufayl, Abu Wa'il, and Mujahid. His hadith is quoted by Usamah, Yahya ibn Adam, Qabisah and others of the same calibre. Ahmad and others have trusted him. Murrah has said the following about him, "He is a responsible narrator of hadith who has memorized what he narrates by heart." Ibn Sa'd says, "He is, Insha-Allah, trustworthy." Al-Thahbi discusses him in his Mizan, stating the learned

scholars' views, which have already been stated above, concerning his character. Ibn Sa'd has quoted the same on page 253, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat.

When Qutaybah mentions renowned Shi'as in his Ma'arif, he includes Fitr ibn Khalifah among them. Al-Bukhari has quoted Fitr's hadith as narrated by Mujahid. Al-Thawri has quoted Fitr's hadith dealing with etiquette as recorded in al-Bukhari's work. Authors of the four sunan books, as well as others, have all quoted Fitr's hadith. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in 153 A.H.

76. Malik ibn Isma'il ibn Ziyad ibn Dirham Abu Hasan al-Kufi al-Hindi

He is one of Bukhari's mentors as stated in the latter's Sahih. Ibn Sa'd mentions him on page 282, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat. He concludes by saying that "Abu Ghassan is trustworthy, truthful, a very staunch Shi'a." Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Mizan, which proves his reliability and prestige, stating that the man has learned the teachings of the sect of Shi'ism from his mentor al-Hasan ibn Salih, that Ibn Ma'in has said that nobody in Kufa is more accurate in reporting hadith than Abu Ghassan, and that Abu satim has said: "Whenever I look at him, he seems as though he has just left his grave, with two marks of prostration stamped on his forehead."

Al-Bukhari has quoted him directly in many chapters of his Sahih. Muslim has quoted his hadith on criminal penalties in his own Sahih as transmitted by Harun ibn 'Abdullah. Those who narrate his hadith in Bukhari are: Ibn 'Ayinah, 'Abdul-Aziz ibn Abu Salamah, and Isra'il. Both al-Bukhari and Muslim quote his hadith from Zuhayr ibn Mu'awiyah. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in Kufa in 219.

77. Muhammad ibn Khazim

He is very well known as Abu Mu'awiyah al-Darir al-Tamimi al-Kufi. Al-Thahbi mentions him saying, "Muhammad ibn Khazim al-Darir is confirmed, truthful; nowhere at all have I seen his hadith as weak; I shall discuss him in my chapter on kunayat." When the author mentions him in his said chapter, he states: "Abu Mu'awiyah al-Darir is one of the most renowned and trustworthy Imams of hadith," and he goes on to say: "Al-Hakim has said that both Shaykhs rely on his authority, and he is famous for being an extremist Shi'a."

All authors of the six sahihs have relied on his authority. Al-Thahbi has marked his name with "A" to indicate that all traditionists rely on his authority. Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahihs from al-A'mash and Hisham ibn 'Urwah. Muslim's Sahih contains other ahadith he has narrated through other trusted reporters. In Bukhari's Sahih, his hadith is reported by 'Ali ibn al-Madini, Muhammad ibn Salam, Yusuf ibn 'Isa, Qutaybah, and Musaddad. In Muslim's Sahih, he is quoted by Sa'd al-Wasiti, Sa'dibn Mansur, 'Amr al-Naqid, Ahmad ibn Sinan, Ibn Namir, Issaq al-Hanzali, Abu Bakr ibn Abu Shaybah, Abu Karib, Yahya ibn Yahya, and Zuhayr. Musa al-Zaman has reported his hadith in both sahihs. Muhammad ibn Khazim was born in 113, and he died in 195; may Allah be merciful unto him.

78. Muhammad ibn 'Abdullah al-Dabi al-Tahani al-Nisaburi Abu 'Abdullah al-Hakim

He is an Imam of huffaz, those who memorize the entirety of the holy Qur'an and hadith by heart, and author of about one thousand books. He toured the lands seeking knowledge and learning hadith from about two thousand mentors. He may be compared with the most renowned scholars of his time such as al-Sa'luki.

Imam ibn Furk and all other Imams consider his status to be superior even to their own. They appreciate him and his contributions; they cherish his name and reputation, without doubting his mastership at all. All learned Sunni scholars who could not achieve as much as he did envy him. He is one of the Shi'a heroes, a protector of the Islamic Shari'a.

The author of Al-Mizan narrates his biography and describes him as "a truthful Imam, a very renowned Shi'a." He quotes Ibn Tahir saying: "I once asked Abu Isma'il 'Abdullah al-Ansari about al-Hakim Abu Abdullah. He said: 'He is an Imam in hadith, a wretched Rafidi." Al-Thahbi has recounted a few of his interesting statements such as his saying that the Chosen One مُسَاعِدُ came to the world circumcised, with a smile on his face, and that 'Ali عمان is a wasi.

The author adds the following: "His being truthful and knowledgeable of what he reports is a unanimously accepted fact." He was born in Rabi' al-Awwal of 321, and he died in Safar of 405, may Allah have mercy on his soul.

79. Muhammad ibn 'Ubaydullah ibn Abu Rafi' al-Madani

He, Abu 'Ubaydullah, his brothers al-Fadl and 'Abdullah sons of 'Ubaydullah, his grandfather Abu Rafi', his uncles Rafi', al-Hasan, al-Mughirah, 'Ali, and their sons as well as grandsons, are all among good Shi'a ancestors. The books they have authored testify to the depth of their Shi'a conviction, as we have mentioned in Section 2, Chapter 12, of our book Al-Fusul al-Muhimmah

Ibn 'Uday mentions Muhammad ibn 'Ubaydullah ibn Abu Rafi' al-Madani, adding, at the conclusion of his biography in the Mizan, that the man is among Kufi Shi'as. When al-Thahbi states his biography in his own Mizan, he marks it with TQ as an indication of which authors of the sunan books quote his hadith (i.e. Tirmithi and Dar Qutni). He also mentions that he quotes his father and grandfather, and that Mandil and 'Ali ibn Hashim quote his hadith. His hadith is also quoted by Haban ibn 'Ali, Yahya ibn Ya'li and others.

Muhammad ibn 'Ubaydullah ibn Abu Rafi' al-Madani may have also reported hadith from his brother 'Abdullah ibn 'Ubaydullah who is well known as a traditionist by researchers of hadith. Al-Tabarani in his Al-

Mu'jam al-Kabir has relied on the authority of Muhammad ibn 'Ubaydullah ibn Abu Rafi' al-Madani who quotes his father and grandfather saying that the Messenger of Allah has said to 'Ali 'Ali 'The first to enter Paradise will be I and you, then al-Hasan and al-Husayn, with our progeny behind us, and our Shi'as on our right and left."

80. Muhammad ibn Fudayl ibn Ghazwan Abu 'Abdul-Rahman al-Kufi

Ibn Qutaybah has included him among Shi'a dignitaries in his work Al-Ma'arif, and Ibn Sa'd has mentioned him on page 271, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat, saying, "He is a trustworthy and reliable traditionist who as reported a great deal of hadith; he also is a Shi'a, and some scholars [for this reason] do not rely on his authority." Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his chapter containing those well-known because of their fathers' reputation at the conclusion of his Mizan, describing him as a truthful Shi'a.

He also mentions him in his chapter containing those whose first name is Muhammad, describing him as "a man of truth and fame," adding that Ahmad has described him as a Shi'a whose hadith is authentic, and that Abu Dawud has described him as a "Shi'a by profession" (!), adding that he was a man of hadith and knowledge, that he learned the Qur'an from Hamzah, that he has written numerous books, and that Ibn Ma'in has trusted him and Ahmad spoken well of him. Al-Nisa'i has said that there is nothing wrong with his hadith.

Authors of the six sahih books, as well as many others, have relied on his authority. Refer to his hadith in Bukhari as transmitted by Muhammad ibn Namir, Ishaq al-Hanzali, Ibn Abu Shaybah, Muhammad ibn Salam, Qutaybah, 'Umran ibn Maysarah, and 'Amr ibn 'Ali. His hadith is transmitted in Bukhari by 'Abdullah ibn 'Amir, Abu Karib, Muhammad ibn Tarf, Wasil ibn 'Abd al-A'la, Zuhayr, Abu Sa'd al-Ashajj, Muhammad ibn Yazid, Muhammad ibn al-Muthanna, Ahmad al-Wak'i, and 'Abdul-'Aziz ibn 'Umar ibn Aban. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in Kufa in 194 or 195 A.H.

81. Muhammad ibn Muslim ibn al-Ta'ifi

He was one of the most distinguished companions of Imam Abu 'Abdullah al-Sadiq, peace be upon him. Shaykh al-Ta'ifa Abu Ja'far al-Tusi has mentioned him in his book Rijal al-Shi'a, and al-Hasan ibn 'Ali ibn Dawud has included him in his chapter on the most trustworthy traditionists in his book Al-Mukhtasar. Al-Thahbi includes his biography and quotes Yahya ibn Ma'in and others who say that the man is truthful.

He adds saying that al-Qa'nabi, Yahya ibn Yahya, and Qutaybah have all transmitted his traditions, and that 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Mahdi once mentioned Muhammad ibn Muslim ibn al-Ta'ifi and said: "His books [of traditions] are all authentic," and that Ma'ruf ibn Wasil said: "I saw Sufyan al-Thawri once accompanied by Muhammad ibn Muslim ibn al-Ta'ifi who was writing down his hadith."

Yet those who have labelled his hadith as "weak" have done so only on the grounds of his being a Shi'a, although their prejudice has not at all harmed him. His hadith from 'Amr ibn Dinar about ablution exists in Muslim's Sahih. According to Ibn Sa'd's Tabaqat, as stated on page 381, Vol. 5, his hadith is quoted by Waki' ibn al-Jarrah and one hundred others. In that year, his name-sake Muhammad ibn Muslim ibn Jummaz died in Medina. Ibn Sa'd has included both of their biographies in Vol. 5 of his Tabaqat.

82. Muhammad ibn Musa ibn 'Abdullah al-Qatari al-Madani

Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his Mizan quoting Abu Hatim testifying to his being a Shi'a. He also quotes al-Tirmithi saying that the man is trustworthy, and he even marks his name with the initials of Muslim and the authors of sunan as an indication of their reliance on his authority. Refer to his hadith about foods in Muslim's Sahih transmitted from 'Abdullah ibn 'Abdullah ibn Abu Talha. He is also quoted by al-Maqbari and a group of his peers. Others who have quoted his hadith are: Ibn Abu Fadik, Ibn Mahdi, Qutaybah, and others of their intellectual calibre.

83. Mu'awiyah ibn 'Ammar al-Dihni al-Bajli al-Kufi

He is among our highly respected and revered Shi'as, prestigious and trustworthy. His father 'Ammar is a good example for perseverance and persistence in adhering to the principles of justice, a model Allah has brought forth for those who are patient while suffering for His Cause. A few tyrants cut off his hamstrings because of being a Shi'a, as we have indicated above, without succeeding in swaying him, till he left this world to receive his rewards.

His son Mu'awiyah was meted the same treatment, and the father is but a model for the son. He has accompanied Imams al-Sadiq and al-Kazim, peace be upon them, and learned from them a great deal. He has authored many books - as indicated above - and he is quoted by Shi'a reporters such as Ibn Abu 'Umayr and others. Muslim and al-Nisa'i have relied on his authority. His hadith about hajj is quoted in Muslim's Sahih by al-Zubayr.

In Muslim, he is quoted by both Yahya ibn Yahya and Qutaybah. He has also narrated hadith from his father 'Ammar, and from a group of his peers, and such ahadith exist in Sunni musnads. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in 175 A.H.

84. Ma'ruf ibn Kharbuth al-Karkhi

Al-Thahbi describes him18 in his Mizan as "a truthful Shi'a," marking his name with the initials of al-Bukhari, Muslim, and Abu Dawud to indicate that they all quote his hadith. He also quotes Abul Tufayl saying that Ma'ruf narrates a few ahadith. His hadith is narrated by Abu 'Asim, Abu Dawud, 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa and others. He also quotes Abu Hatim saying that the latter writes down his hadith.

Ibn Khallikan mentions him in his Wafiyyat and describes him as one of the servants of 'Ali ibn Musa al-Rida, peace be upon him. He goes on to praise him, quoting a statement of his in which he says, "I have come unto the Almighty Allah, leaving everything behind me, with the exception of serving my master 'Ali ibn Musa al-Rida, peace be upon him."

When Ibn Qutaybah discusses a few Shi'a notables in his work Al-Ma'arif, he includes Ma'ruf ibn Kharbuth among them. Muslim has relied on the authority of Ma'ruf ibn Kharbuth; refer to his hadith about hajj in his sahih from Abul Tufayl. He died in Baghdad in 200 A.H.;19 his grave-site is now a mausoleum. Sirri al-Saqti was one of his students.

85. Mansur ibn al-Mu'tamir ibn 'Abdullah ibn Rabi'ah al-Salami al-Kufi

He is one of the companions of Imams al-Baqir and al-Sadiq مرابعة, and he has narrated hadith from them, as the author of Muntahal Maqal fi Ahwal al-Rijal states. Ibn Qutaybah includes him among Shi'a nobility in his book Al-Ma'arif. Al-Jawzjani has included him among the narrators "whose sect is not appreciated by [certain] people" in the roots and branches of religion, due to their adherence to what they have learned from Muhammad's progeny "

Says he: "Among the people of Kufa there is a group whose sect is not appreciated; these are chiefs of Kufa's traditionists such as Abu Ishaq, Mansur, Zubayd al-Yami, al-A'mash and other peers. People have tolerated them just because they are truthful in narrating hadith." 20 Why do they bear so much grudge against these truthful men? Is it because of their upholding the Two Weighty Things? Or their embarking upon the Ark of Salvation? Or their entring into the city of the Prophet's knowledge through its Gate, the Gate of Repentance? Or is it their seeking refuge with the "Refuge of all the world"? Or is it their obedience to the Prophet's will to be kind unto his descendants? Or is it their heart's submission to Allah and their weeping for fear of Him, as is well known about them?

Stating the biography of Mansur ibn al-Mu'tamir ibn 'Abdullah ibn Rabi'ah, Ibn Sa'd says the following about Mansur on page 235 of Vol. 6 of his

Tabaqat: "He has lost his eye-sight because of excessive weeping for fear of Allah. He used to carry a handkerchief for the purpose of drying his tears. Some allege that he fasted and prayed for sixty years." Can a man of such qualities be a burden on people? No, indeed, but we have been inflicted by some people who do not know what fairness is; so, we are Allah's, and unto Him is our return.

In his biography of Mansur ibn al-Mu'tamir ibn 'Abdullah ibn Rabi'ah, Ibn Sa'd also quotes Hammad ibn Zayd saying, "I have seen Mansur in Mecca, and I think he belongs to those Khashbis, yet I do not think that he tells a lie when he quotes hadith."

Behold the underestimation, grudge, contempt and manifest enmity this statement bears. How surprised I am when I consider his statement: "I do not think that he tells lies..." As if telling lies is one of the practices of those who are sincere to Muhammad's progeny. As if Mansur alone is truthful, rather than all other Shi'a traditionists. Name-calling... As if the Nasibis could not find a name whereby they can call the Shi'as other than misnomers such as Khashbis, Turabis, Rafidis, etc. As if they have never heard the Almighty's Commandment:

"And do not exchange bad names; what an evil it is to use a bad name after having accepted faith (Qur'an, 49:11)."

Ibn Qutaybah has mentioned the "Khashbis" in his book Al-Ma'arif and said: "These are Rafidis. Ibrahim al-Ashtar met 'Ubaydullah ibn Ziyad in the battle-field. Most of Ibrahim's men had guaiacum wood panels; therefore, they were labelled 'khashbis,' men associated with paneling, out of scorn." In fact, they called them so just to humiliate them and look down upon them and their wooden weapons with which they were able to beat Ibn Marjanah, predecessor of the Nasibis, thus annihilating those heretics, murderers of Muhammad's progeny.

"Allah has cut off the tail of those who committed injustice; all praise be to Allah, Lord of the Worlds (Qur'an, 6:45)."

There is no harm, therefore, in this noble name, nor is there any harm in its synonyms like Turabis, after Abu Turab (Imam 'Ali, as); we are proud of it.

We have digressed. Let us go back to our main topic and state that it is the consensus of traditionists to rely on Mansur. For this reason, all authors of the six sahih books, as well as others, rely on his authority, knowing that he is Shi'a. Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahihs from Abu Wa'il, Abul Duha, Ibrahim al-Nakh'i and other peers.

He quotes Shu'bah, al-Thawri, Ibn 'Ayinah, Hammad ibn Zayd and others who are the most distinguished of that class of reporters of hadith. Ibn Sa'd has said that Mansur's death took place at the end of the year 132, adding, "He is a trusted authority who has reported a great deal of hadith; he is a man of sublime prestige; may Allah have mercy on him."

86. Al-Minhal ibn 'Amr al-Kufi the tabi'i

He is one of the renowned Shi'as of Kufa. For this reason, al-Jawzjani has categorized his hadith as "weak," describing him as a "follower of the bad sect." Ibn Hazm has spoken ill of him in the same manner, and Yahya ibn Sa'd, too, chews his name. Ahmad ibn Hanbal states contrariwise. He says: "Abu Bishr is more dear to me than a sweet cool fountain, and he is more reliable than others."

In spite of being a staunch Shi'a, stating so in public even during the time of al-Mukhtar, he is not doubted by scholars regarding the accuracy of his hadith. He is quoted by Shu'bah, al-Mas'udi, al-Hajjaj ibn Arta'ah, and many peers of their intellectual calibre. He is trusted by Ibn Ma'in, Ahmad al-'Ijli and others. In his Mizan, al-Thahbi quotes their assessment of the

man as we have stated above, marking his name with the initials of Bukhari and Muslim as an indication that they both consider his hadith reliable.

Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's Sahih from Sa'id ibn Jubayr. In Bukhari's Sahih, in the author's section on Tafsir, his hadith is transmitted by Zayd ibn Abu Anisa. Al-Mansur ibn al-Mu'tamir has quoted him in a chapter on prophets.

87. Musa ibn Qays al-Hadrami Abu Muhammad

Al-'Aqili describes him as an "extremist Rafidi." Once, Sufyan asked him about Abu Bakr. He answered: "'Ali is more dear to me." Musa ibn Qays reports hadith from Salamah ibn Kahil, Iyad ibn Iyad, ending with Malik ibn Ja'na reporting that "I heard Umm Salamah saying that 'Ali is with the truth; whoever follows him is a follower of the truth, and whoever abandons him certainly abandons the truth; this is decreed." This has been narrated by Abu Na'im al-Fadl ibn Dakin from Musa ibn Qays. Musa ibn Qays has reported hadith praising Ahl al-Bayt in volumes which angered al-'Aqili who said to him what he said. Ibn Ma'in has trusted and relied on him.

Abu Dawud and Sa'd ibn Mansur have both relied on his authority in their respective sunan. Al-Thahbi has included his biography in his own Mizan, stating about him what we have already stated above. Refer to his hadith in the sunan from Salamah ibn Kahil and Hajar ibn 'Anbasah. His hadith is transmitted by Dakin, 'Ubaydullah ibn Musa and other reliable authorities. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, during the reign of al-Mansur.

88. Naif' ibn al-Harith Abu Dawud al-Nakh'i al-Kufi al-Hamadani al-Subay'i

Al-'Aqili described him as being an "extremist Rafidi." Al-Bukhari says: "People speak ill of him [because of being a Shi'a]." Sufyan, Hamam, Sharik and a group of the most renowned scholars of such calibre have all quoted

him. Al-Tirmithi relies on him in his own sahih. Authors of musnads have all recorded his hadith. Refer to his hadith in Tirmithi and others from Anas ibn Malik, Ibn 'Abbas, 'Umran ibn Hasin and Zayd ibn Arqam. Al-Thahbi has included his biography and stated what we have already said above.

89. Nuh ibn Qays ibn Rabah al-Hadani

He is also known as al-Tahi al-Basri. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Mizan, describing his hadith as authentic, adding that Ahmad and Ibn Ma'in trust him. He also quotes Abu Dawud saying that the man is a Shi'a. Al-Nisa'i has said that there is nothing wrong with his hadith, putting on his name the initials of Muslim and authors of the sunan as an indication that they all quote his hadith. In Muslim's Sahih, his ahadith about beverages are quoted by Ibn 'Awn. His ahadith on the dress codes exist in Muslim's Sahih, too, as narrated by his brother Khalid ibn Qays.

In Muslim, he is quoted by Nasr ibn 'Ali. In works other than Muslim's, his hadith is quoted by al-Ash'ath and by many others of his calibre. Nuh ibn Qays ibn Rabah reports from Ayyub, 'Amr ibn Malik and a group of other men.

90. Harun ibn Sa'd al-'Ijli al-Kufi

Al-Thahbi mentions him and puts Muslim's initial on his name as an indication that the latter quotes him, then he describes him as "truthful in his own right," but he also calls him "a hated Rafidi" who narrates from 'Abbas from Ibn Ma'in that he is an extremist Shi'a. He has learned hadith from 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Sa'id al-Khudri, who in turn quotes Muhammad ibn Abu Hafs al-'Attar, al-Mas'udi, and Hasan ibn Hayy. Abu Hatim says that there is nothing wrong with his hadith. I remember one of his ahadith which describes Hell-fire; it is recorded in Muslim's Sahih as narrated by al-Hasan ibn Salih from Harun ibn Sa'd al-'Ijli, from Salman.

91. Hashim ibn al-Barid ibn Zayd Abu 'Ali al-Kufi

Al-Thahbi mentions him and puts the initials of Abu Dawud and al-Nisa'i on his name to indicate that he is one of their authorities, quoting Ibn Ma'in and others testifying to his being trustworthy, in addition to his own testimony to being a "Rafidi." He quotes Ahmad saying that there is nothing wrong with his hadith. Hashim narrates hadith from Zayd ibn 'Ali and Muslim al-Batin, and he is quoted by al-Kharibi and his son 'Ali ibn Hashim, to whom we referred above, in addition to a group of other renowned scholars. Hashim adhered to Shi'ism, and this has been made clear when we discussed 'Ali ibn Hashim.

92. Hubayrah ibn Maryam al-Himyari

He is one of the companions of Imam 'Ali Alia, equal only to al-Harith in his sincerity as well as companionship. Al-Thahbi mentions him and puts on his name the initials of the authors of sunan books as a reference to his being one of the authorities of their musnads, then he quotes Ahmad saying, "There is nothing wrong with his hadith, and he is more dear to us than al-Harith." Al-Thahbi quotes Ibn Kharash describing Hubayrah as "weak; he used to assault the wounded in Siffin." Al-Jawzjani says the following about him: "He is a follower of al-Mukhtar who used to put an end to the life of those wounded in the Khazir Battle."

Al-Shahristani, in his book Al-Milal wal Nihal, has included him among Shi'a notables, a fact taken for granted by everyone. His hadith from 'Ali is unquestioned in the sunan, and he is quoted by both Abu Ishaq and Abu Fakhita."

93. Hisham ibn Ziyad Abul Miqdam al-Basri

Al-Shahristani has included him in his Al-Milal wal Nihal among Shi'a notables. Al-Thahbi mentions him twice: once under his alphabetical

index, and once in his chapter on kunayat, placing a Q on his name to indicate that Dar Qutni of the sunan relies on his authority. Refer to his hadith in Tirmithi's Sahih and other works as transmitted from al-Hasan and al-Qardi. He is quoted by Shayban ibn Farukh, al-Qawariri and others.

94. Hisham ibn 'Ammar ibn Nasr ibn Maysarah Abu al-Walid

He is also called al-Zafri al-Dimashqi. He is one of Bukhari's mentors as the latter states in his Sahih. Ibn Qutaybah includes him among Shi'a notables when he mentions quite a few of them in his chapter on sects in Al-Ma'arif. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his Mizan, describing him as "the Imam, orator, and reciter of the Holy Qur'an of Damascus, its traditionist and scholar, a man of truth who has narrated a great deal of hadith, though he has a few [ideological] defects, etc."

Al-Bukhari quotes him directly in his chapter on "those who voluntarily grant extensions for repayment of debt" in his chapter on sales in his sahih and in other chapters with which researchers are familiar. Some of such chapters, I believe, are his books Al-Maghazi, his book on beverages, and his chapter on the attributes of the companions of the Prophet خصافی . Hisham ibn 'Ammar narrates hadith from Yahya ibn Hamzah, Sadaqah ibn Khalid, 'Abdul-Hamid ibn Abul 'Ishrin and others.

The author of Al-Mizan says: "Many quote his hadith; they travel to his place to learn from him how to recite the Holy Qur'an and the narration of hadith. His hadith is quoted by al-Walid ibn Muslim, one of his mentors, while he himself narrates from Abu Lahi'ah. 'Abdan has said that there is no traditionist like him in the world, while someone else has said that Hisham is outspoken, wise, easy to comprehend, and he has acquired a great deal of knowledge."

Like other Shi'as, Hisham ibn 'Ammar believes that the Qur'anic diction is created only by Allah Almighty. When Ahmad [ibn Hanbal] heard

about this, as the author of Al-Mizan states in his biography of Hisham ibn 'Ammar, he responded by saying, "I have known him to be wreckless; may Allah annihilate him." Ahmad has also come across a book written by Hisham in which one of the latter's sermons says: "Praise be to Allah Who has manifested Himself unto his creatures through what He has created."

This caused Ahmad to be extremely furious, so much so that he required all those who used to pray behind Hisham to repeat their prayers. Ahmad could not see that Hisham's statement is very clear in stating that Allah is superior to being seen, glorified above those who inquire about Him with "how" or "where," appreciative of His norm of creation. His statement may be compared with one saying: "He has manifested His miracles in everything He has created," or it may even be more pertinent and fitting than the latter; but scholars of the same calibre speak of each other in the light of their own likes and dislikes, each according to his own degree of knowledge. Hisham ibn 'Ammar was born in 153, and he died at the commencement of Muharram of 245 A.H.; may Allah have mercy on him.

95. Hashim ibn Bashir ibn al-Qasim ibn Dinar al-Wasiti Abu Mu'awiyah

His birth-place is Balkh. His grandfather al-Qasim had moved to Wasit to engage in trade. Ibn Qutaybah includes him in his Al-Ma'arif among Shi'a nobility. He is mentor of Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal and all those of his calibre. Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his book Al-Mizan, marking his name with an indication that all authors of the six sahih books rely on his authority, and describing him as one who knows the Holy Qur'an by heart. Says al-Thahbi: "He is one of the most renowned scholars. He learned hadith from al-Zuhri and Hasan ibn 'Abdul-Rahman. His hadith is quoted in turn by al-Qattan, Ahmad, Ya'qub al-Dawraqi, and by many others."

Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahih books as transmitted by Hamid al-Tawil, Isma'il ibn Abu Khalid, Abu Ihaq al-Shaybani, and by others. He is quoted in both books by 'Umar, al-Naqid, 'Amr ibn Zararah, and Sa'id ibn Sulayman. In Bukhari, his hadith is quoted by 'Amr ibn 'Awf, Sa'd ibn al-Nadir, Muhammad ibn Nabahan, 'Ali ibn al-Madini, and Qutaybah. In Muslim, he is quoted by Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Shurayh, Ya'qub al-Dawraqi, 'Abdullah ibn Mu'it', Yahya ibn Yahya, Sa'id ibn Mansur, Ibn Abu Shaybah, Isma'il ibn Salim, Muhammad ibn al-Sabah, Dawud ibn Rashid, Ahmad ibn Mani', Yahya ibn Ayyub, Zuhayr ibn Harb, 'Uthman ibn Abu Shaybah, 'Ali ibn Hajar, and Yazid ibn Harun. He died, may Allah have mercy on him, in Baghdad in 183 A.H. at the age of 79.

96. Waki' ibn al-Jarrah ibn Malih ibn 'Adi

His kunyat is "Abu Sufyan," after his son Sufyan al-Ruwasi al-Kufi. He belongs to the tribe of Qays Ghilan. In his Ma'arif, Ibn Qutaybah includes him among Shi'a notables. In his book titled Tahthib, Ibn al-Madani has said that Waki' adheres to Shi'ism. Marwan ibn Mu'awiyah never doubted that Waki' was "Rafidi."

Once, Yahya ibn Ma'in visited Marwan and found him with a tablet containing statements about this person and that. Among its contents was a statement describing Waki' as Rafidi. Ibn Ma'in said to Marwan: "Waki' is better than you." Better than me?!" exclaimed Marwan. Ibn Ma'in answered: "Yes, better than you." Ibn Ma'in indicates that Waki' came to know about this dialogue and he responded by saying, "Yahya is a friend of ours."

Ahmad ibn Hanbal was asked once, "If there is a discrepancy in narrating hadith between Waki' and Abdul-Rahman ibn Mahdi, whose hadith shall we accept?" Ahmad answered that he personally preferred 'Abdul-Rahman's hadith for reasons which he stated. Among them was this one: "'Abdul-Rahman never speaks in a derogatory manner about our ancestors, unlike Waki' ibn al-Jarrah." This is supported by a statement recorded by al-Thahbi at the conclusion of his biography of al-Hasan ibn Salih wherein he says that Waki' used to say: "Al-Hasan ibn Salih, in my view, is an Imam of hadith." Some people said to him, "But he does not invoke Allah's mercy

on 'Uthman." He said, "Do you invoke Allah's mercy upon al-Hajjaj's soul?" thus equating 'Uthman with al-Hajjaj.

Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his book Al-Mizan stating the above views about him. All authors of the six sahih books as well as others rely on his authority. Refer to his hadith in Bukhari's and Muslim's Sahih books as transmitted by al-A'mash, al-Thawri, Shu'bah, Isma'il ibn Abu Khalid, and 'Ali ibn al-Mubarak. He is quoted in both books by Ishaq al-Hanzali and Muhammad ibn Namir. Al-Bukhari quotes his hadith as transmitted by 'Abdullah al-Hamidi, Muhammad ibn Salam, Yahya ibn Ja'far ibn A'yan, Yahya ibn Musa, and Muhammad ibn Muqatil. In Muslim's book, he is quoted by Zuhayr, Ibn Abu Shaybah, Abu Karib, Abu Sa'd al-Ashajj, Nasr ibn 'Ali, Sa'd ibn Azhar, Ibn Abu 'Umar, 'Ali ibn Kashram, 'Uthman ibn Abu Shaybah, and Qutaybah ibn Sa'd. He died, may Allah have mercy on his soul, in Fid when he was in the company of a caravan returning from the pilgrimage, in Muharram of 197 A.H. at the age of 68.

97. Yahya ibn al-Jazzar al-'Arni al-Kufi

He is one of the companions of the Commander of the Faithful, peace be upon him. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his book Al-Mizan and marks his name to indicate that Muslim and authors of the sunan rely on his authority, describing him as "truthful" and "trustworthy," and quoting al-Hakam ibn Atbah saying that Yahya ibn al-Jazzar is "extremist" in his Shi'a views. Ibn Sa'd has mentioned him on page 206, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat saying: "Yahya ibn al-Jazzar adheres to Shi'ism, and he goes to extremes in doing so; yet many have said that he is trustworthy, and that he narrates many ahadith."

I have seen how Muslim's Sahih contains one hadith about prayers which he narrates from 'Ali, and another about faith transmitted from 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Layla. Al-Hakam ibn 'Utayba and al-Hasan al-'Urfi quote his hadith in Muslim and others.

98. Yahya ibn Sa'id al-Qattan

His kunyat is "Abu Sa'id." He is a slave of Banu Tamim al-Basri, and he is the most renowned traditionist of his time. Qutaybah has included him in his Ma'arif among Shi'a notables. Authors of the six sahih books and others have relied on his authority. His hadith from Hisham ibn 'Urwah, Hamid al-Tawil, Yahya ibn Sa'id al-Ansari and others stands on solid grounds in Bukhari, Musaddad, 'Ali ibn al-Madini and Bayan ibn 'Amr. In Muslim's book, his hadith is transmitted by Muhammad ibn Hatim, Muhammad ibn Khalad al-Bahili, Abu Kamil Fadl ibn Husayn al-Jahdari, Muhammad al-Muqaddimi, 'Abdullah ibn Hashim, Abu Bakr ibn Abu Shaybah, 'Abdullah ibn Sa'd, Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Ya'qub al-Dawraqi, Ahmad ibn 'Abdah, 'Amr ibn 'Ali, and 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Bishr. He died, may Allah Almighty have mercy on him, in 198 A.H. at the age of 78.

99. Yazid ibn Ziyad al-Kufi Abu 'Abdullah

He is a slave of Banu Hashim. Al-Thahbi mentions him in his book Al-Mizan, placing on his name the initials of Muslim and four authors of sunan to indicate that they quote him. He cites Abu Fadl saying: "Yazid ibn Ziyad is one of the foremost Shi'a Imams." Al-Thahbi has admitted that he is one of the renowned Kufi scholars. In spite of all this, many have assaulted him, preparing against him all means of belittling and charging due to the fact that, relying on Abu Barzah or maybe Abu Bardah, he has narrated one hadith stating the following: "We were in the company of the Prophet when some singing was heard.

Then 'Amr ibn al-'Aas and Mu'awiyah came singing. The Prophet said: 'O Mighty Lord! Involve both of these men in dissension, and hurl them in Hell-fire.'" Refer to his hadith on beverages in Muslim's Sahih from 'Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Layla as reported from him by Sufyan ibn 'Ayinah. He died, may Allah Almighty have mercy on him, in 136 at the age of about ninety.

100. Abu 'Abdullah al-Jadali

Al-Thahbi has mentioned him in his chapter on kunayat, placing on his name "DT" to indicate that he is among those relied upon by both Dawud and Tirmithi in their sahih books, then he describes him as an "abhorred Shi'a." He quotes al-Jawzjani saying that the man is the standard-bearer of al-Mukhtar. He also quotes Ahmad describing him as "trustworthy."

Al-Shahristani has included him among Shi'a dignitaries in his book Al-Milal wal Nihal. Ibn Qutaybah has included him among the most zealous of "Rafidis" in his book Al-Ma'arif. Refer to his hadith in both Tirmithi's and Abu Dawud's sahih books as well as all Sunni musnads.

Ibn Sa'd mentions him on page 159, Vol. 6, of his Tabaqat where he says that, "Abu 'Abdullah al-Jadali is a very zealous Shi'a. Some allege that he headed al-Mukhtar's police force, and that he was sent once to 'Abdullah ibn al-Zubayr accompanied by eight hundred men to annihilate them and support Muhammad ibn al-Hanafiyyah against Ibn al-Zubayr's scheme."

Ibn al-Zubayr, in fact, had enforced a siege around the houses of Ibn al-Hanafiyyah and Banu Hashim, surrounding them with fire wood in preparation for burning them alive because of refusing to swear the oath of allegiance to him, but Abu 'Abdullah al-Jadali saved them from a certain death; therefore, may Allah reward him for what he did for His Prophet's household

This much concludes what we liked to count in a hurry a hundred Shi'a heroes who are authorities relied upon by the Sunnis. They are custodians of the nation's knowledge. Through them, the prophetic legacy is preserved, and they are sought by the authors of the sahih and musnad books. We have mentioned them by their names and quoted Sunni texts testifying to their being Shi'as while still remaining authorities, as you had requested. I think those who raise objections will see their error in claiming that the Sunnis do not rely on the authority of Shi'as.

They will come to know that their criterion is truthfulness and accuracy, regardless of the school of thought, Sunni or Shi'a. If the hadith narrated by the Shi'as is all rejected, then the vast majority of the prophetic legacy will be lost, as al-Thahbi himself admits while narrating the biography of Aban ibn Taghlib in his book Al-Mizan. There can be no better testimony than that.

You, may Allah render the truth victorious through your person, know that there have been quite a few ancestors of the Shi'as, other than the ones we have counted here, whose full count is many times more than this hundred, upon whose authority the Sunnis rely. These "others" are even of a higher calibre; they are narrators of even more authentic hadith, having acquired more knowledge. And they were closer to the Prophet's time, with a seniority in embracing the Shi'a beliefs. They are Shi'a companions [sahabah] of the Prophet Allah be pleased with all of them. We have dealt with their blessed names at the conclusion of our work Al-Fusul al-Muhimmah.

They are also among the trustworthy tabi'in whose authority is relied upon. Each one of them is a trustworthy man who has memorized the entire text of the Holy Qur'an by heart, and his argument is irrefutabe. Among such men are those who were martyred while supporting the lesser and the greater Camel Battles, Siffin, Al-Nahrawan, in Hijaz as well as in Yemen, when Bisr ibn Arta'ah invaded them, during the dissension of al-Hadrami who was sent to Basrah by Mu'awiyah.

They include those who were martyred on the Taff Battle with the Master of the Youths of Paradise [Imam Husayn ibn 'Ali, as], and those who were martyred with his grandson Zayd, and many others who had to face a great deal of injustice and persecution, avenging the massacre of the Prophet's progeny. Among them were those who were murdered just because of being very strong in their beliefs.

Others were unfairly exiled from their homes, and those who had to resort to taqiyya, fearing for their lives or due to their physical weakness, such as al-Ahnaf ibn Qays, al-Asbagh ibn Nabatah, Yahya ibn Ya'mur, the latter being the first to apply dots to the Arabic alphabet, al-Khalil ibn Ahmad al-Farahidi, who founded

the rules of Arabic grammar and scansion, Ma'ath ibn Muslim al-Harra, who laid the foundations of the science of conjugation in the Arabic language, and many others whose complete biographies would require huge volumes.

Overlook the hatred of the Nasibis towards these men through their use of attacking; they call them "weak" traditionists, and they chew their names, thus depriving themselves of their knowledge. There are hundreds of reliable Shi'as who have learned hadith by heart, who are light-houses of guidance, ignored by Sunnis.

For these men, Shi'as have dedicated indices and bibliographies containing their biographies and stories. These works prove the extent of service these men have rendered to the tolerant Shari'a. Whoever researches them will find them to be models of truthfulness and trustworthiness, piety, asceticism, worship, and sincerity in bringing people closer to Allah Almighty and to His Messenger of the His Book, and to the Imams of Muslims as well as to their commoners. We pray Allah to enable us and your own self to benefit from their blessings; He is the Most Merciful.

Sincerely,		
Sh		

- 1. This Letter has grown quite lengthy because the topic demands it to be as such. Scholars are not bored by its length due to its contents that include precious benefits sought by every researcher and critic. Other than these, let whoever is bored read a portion of it, and let him judge the rest of it accordingly, then let him go directly to Letter No. 17 and the ones that succeed it. For fear of boring you by such a lengthy Letter, we have refrained from including it in lists of books containing valuable and very interesting information.
- 2. This statement is important. Many narrators of hadith did not know how to read and write; they simply memorized hadith, as is the case with the most cited Sunni narrator of all, namely Abu Hurayra. Tr.

- 3. I think this is a typographical error and that the name should be "Abdullah ibn Omar" instead, the famous traditions and son of second caliph Omar ibn al-Khattab. Tr.
- 4. Upon mentioning Isma'il ibn 'Abbad al-Thahbi departs from his usual approach in his Al-Mizan listing him before Isma'il ibn Aban al-Ghanawi and Isma'il ibn Aban al-Azdi. He has indeed greatly wronged his own self discarding all basic rights.
- 5. A collar put around the dog's neck; the meaning here is that his time to depart has come when a rope is tightened around his neck.
- 6. See page 196 of the abridged version of Al-Jami' Baynal 'Ilmi wa Fad'ilih by the contemporary scholar Shaykh Ahmad ibn 'Umar al-Muhammasani al-Beiruti.
- 7. Refer to page 199 of its summary in the book written by the scholar Shaykh Ahmad ibn 'Umar al-Muhammasani al-Beiruti.
- 8. Ibn 'Adi quotes a chain of narrators including al-Husayn ibn 'Ali al-Sukuni al-Kufi, Muhammad ibn al-Hasan al-Sukuni, Salih ibn al-Aswad, al-A'mash, and 'Atiyyah, stating that Jarir was asked once: "How was 'Ali's status among your folks?" Jarir answered: "He was the best of mankind." This has been quoted by Muhammad Ahmad al-Thahbi in his biography of Salih ibn Abul-Aswad in Al-Mizan. In spite of al-Thahbi's extreme fanaticism, all he had to say in his comment about this hadith is his statement: "He probably meant during his ['Ali's] lifetime."
- 9. His statement "What a great man 'Ali was," though flattering, does not do justice to the status of the Imam, peace be upon him, even coming from one of his adversaries. Sharik's rejection of such a feeble compliment and his anger thereat are, according to the norms of tradition, justified. There is quite a difference between the statement of this Omayyad vagabond who infers "What a great man 'Ali was," having heard 'Ali's outstanding virtues, as well as the verses of the Exalted and Almighty stating: "We have

decreed, and the most capable of decreeing are We..." The comparison between the statement of that Omayyad man and those of Allah is indeed quite manifest; yet Allah Almighty did not content Himself with just saying "What a great servant of Allah he is," but also added: "He is oft-returning;" so, Wafiyyat al-A'yan does not provide any answer to such a question.

- 10. He was also one of those who were put in charge of fighting the renegades as Ibn Hajar indicates as he discusses Sihan ibn Sawhan in Part One of his Al-Isabah.
- 11. It was said to al-Sha'bi, as mentioned in the biography of Rashid al-Hijri in al-Thahbi's Al-Mizan, "What is the matter with you? Why do you find fault with 'Ali's companions? Haven't you learned what you have learned from any of them?" He asked: "From whom?" They answered: "From al-Harith and Sa'sa'ah." He said: "As regarding Sa'sa'ah, he was, indeed, an eloquent orator, and I learned from him how to deliver sermons, and truly al-Harith was an expert in mathematics, and from him did I learn the same."
- 12. Suffices you for proof testifying to this fact what is mentioned by Ibn Hajar in his biography in Part Three of his Isabah, Vol. 2, page 241.
- 13. Yes, he was agreed upon by those who are fair, and they included it in their sahihs with satisfaction. Those who opposed it are the Nasibis and Kharijites. It includes what is narrated by Ahmad ibn al-Azhar, who is unanimously considered as an authority, saying: "Abdel-Razzaq has taught me a few exclusive ahadith which he knows through a chain of narrators that includes Mu'ammar, al-Zuhri, and 'Ubaydullah and ends with Ibn 'Abbas who says that the Messenger of Allah with Ibn 'Abbas who says that the Messenger of Allah looked once at 'Ali and said: 'You are a chief in this life, and a chief in the life to come; whoever loves you loves me, and whoever hates you hates me; the one you love is loved by Allah, and the one you dislike is disliked by Allah; woe unto those who despise you." This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 128, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak, followed by the author's comment thus: "This is an authentic hadith according to the authority of both Shaykhs." Among others is what 'Abdel-Razzaq has narrated from Mu'ammar, from

Ibn Najih, from Mujahid, from Ibn 'Abbas who says that Fatima once said: "O Messenger of Allah! You have married me to a provider who has no money." He said: "Are you not pleased that Allah cast a look at the inhabitants of the earth and chose from among them two men, and He made one of them your father and the other your husband?" This hadith is quoted by al-Hakim on page 129, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak through Sarih ibn Younus, Abu Hafs, al-A'mash, Abu Salih, up to Abu Hurayrah.

- 14. Allah forbid that they have abominations only Mu'awiyah and his oppressive gang are more likely to have. Among such abominations is narrated by 'Abdel-Razzaq through a chain of narrators that includes: Ibn 'Ayinah, 'Ali ibn Zayd ibn Jath'an, Abu Nadrah, up to Abu Sa'd who quotes the Prophet خالات saying: "If you see Mu'awiyah sitting on my pulpit, kill him."
- 15. The reason for this is the fact that he, peace be upon him and his progeny, died in 148 at the age of 65.
- 16. The demise of Imam al-Jawad, peace be upon him, took place in 220; he was 25 years old. They have committed a mistake those who say that 'Abdel-Razzaq narrated hadith from al-Baqir, for al-Baqir, peace be upon him, died in 114 at the age of 57, twelve years prior to 'Abdel-Razzaq's birth.
- 17. This can be extracted from the biography of his grandfather Sa'd ibn Janadah in Part One of the Al-Isabah.
- 18. Some say "Ibn Fayruz," others say "Ibn Fayruzan," while still others call him "Ibn 'Ali."
- 19. Some say in the year 201, while others say it was the year 204.
- 20. As in Zubayd al-Yami's biography in Al-Mizan. We have quoted this statement from al-Jawzjani while discussing the biographies of Zubayd, al-A'mash, and Abu Ishaq, and we included noteworthy comments on them.

Discussions

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has clearly underestimated the complexity of the science of Ḥadīth. His elementary conceptualization of this subject – which mirrors that of many others besides him – is symptomatic of the limitations within the Shīʿah approach to Ḥadīth criticism. The purpose of this discussion is not to compare the Sunni/Shīʿī approach to Ḥadīth. The objective is merely to provide the necessary context to this discussion which will remove the cosmetics from the objection.

The pivotal issue in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's argument is that a Ḥadīth cannot simply be dismissed because it is narrators happen to be Shī'ah. It would stand to reason that the narrations that he has furnished deserve to be accepted despite the fact that they are narrated by Shī'ahs. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of one-hundred Shī'ī narrators is produced as unquestionable evidence in support of his allegation and has primarily been collected to impress his real audience; the uneducated common-folk and not Islamic scholars.

While it is true that a narration cannot simply be discarded on account of a Shīʿī narrator appearing in its chain, the elementary flaws in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's reasoning are revealing on so many levels, and are evident to the novice student let alone an accomplished scholar.

Firstly, the diffrentiation between a $R\bar{a}fid\bar{\imath}$ and $Sh\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ has been completely ignored. The key issue is that any person who is tempted to bend the truth because of his ideological leanings, whether $Sh\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ or not, will not be relied upon. We have elaborated on this point in sufficient detail in our discussions on the previous letter and repeating some of those points will make this discussion unnecessarily long. Therefore, we refer the esteemed reader to the previous discussion on this point.

Secondly, the factors which render a narration weak are abundant. It is not restricted to the evaluation of the narrators. It is neccesarry to establish that each narrator has actually received the narration from the person he cites. Subtle interruptions in the chain (*Mursal Khafī*) as well as concious ommisions (*Tadlīs*)

are both flaws which can render a narration unreliable; worse still if there are obvious breaks in transmission (*Ingitā*'),

Thirdly, the levels of 'Adālah (moral integrity) and <code>pabṭ</code> (precision), which are key measures of the quality of a narrator, vary in narrators. As such, a narrator might be accepted in a supporting capacity but will not be relied upon independently in establishing a fundemental matter in the Sharīʿah (*Tafarrud bi Asl*).

Fourthly, it stands to follow from the previous reason that not every narrator whose narrations appear in Sunnī books is cited for the purpose of accepting his narrations (*Iḥtijāj*). Oftentimes the reports of a particular narrator have been cited to point out that his narrations are substantiated by others, not that he deserves to be relied upon independently (*Mutābaʿāt* and *Shawāhid*). It is sometimes the case that a narration is cited to point out that a particular narrator erred, or is the only one to narrate a particular report. It is also possible that a narrator is only cited to contrast his narrations with those of the reliable narrators (*Mukhālafah*). Scholars who compiled biographical profiles on narrators often commented on the manner in which a particular narrator's reports have been cited. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn cannot be blamed for overlooking this aspect since this level of erudition is practically absent from Shīʿī scholarship.

Fifthly, the narrators appearing in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list are not necessarily all Shī'ah. The level of deception is mindblowing when one considers the fact that some of those who are considered Shī'ah from the perpective of Ahl al-Sunnah are unknown entities in the Shī'ī Rijāl Literature, while some of them are even listed as Sunnis. On the other hand only a limited number of those whose names appear in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list happen to be narrators whose narrations which he cites in his arguments. These are but a handful of the many fissures that are evident in the foundation of his reasoning.

In order to faithfully process the raw data in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of one-hundred we need to sort and classify the narrators into their respective categories so that we have useful information. The most practical classification would be to

separate the narrators who are not Shīʿah from the rest, then to separate those who are considered weak and dubious narrators regardless of their Shīʿī leanings or ideology. After sorting the narrators into their respective categories, the next process is to include their status as documented by Shīʿī scholars. Finally, the biographical profiles of a few narrators from each category will be cited to provide further insight on the status of narrators under each category.

Narrators who were definitely not Shīʿah

No.	Name	Source cited in al-Murājaʿāt	
2.	Ibrāhīm ibn Yazīd al-Nakhaʿī¹	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif	
14.	Jarīr ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd²	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif	
20.	Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit³	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif al-Shahrastānī – al-Milal wal-Niḥal	
22.	Al-Ḥakam ibn ʿUtaybah⁴	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif	
28.	Zayd ibn al-Ḥubāb⁵	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif	
29.	Sālim ibn Abī al-Jaʿd ⁶	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif	
37.	Sulaymān ibn Ṭarkhān ⁷	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʿārif	
41.	Shuʿbah ibn Ḥajjāj ⁸	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif al-Shahrastānī – al-Milal wal-Niḥal	
43.	Ṭāwūs ibn Kaysān ⁹	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif al-Shahrastānī – al-Milal wal-Niḥal	
47.	ʿAbd Allah ibn Dāwūd¹º	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʿārif	
60.	ʿAlqamah ibn Qays al-Nakhaʿī¹¹	al-Shahrastānī – al-Milal wal-Niḥal	
71.	Abū Ishāq al-Sabīʿī¹²	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif al-Shahrastānī – al-Milal wal-Niḥal	

94.	Hishām ibn ʿAmmār¹³	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʿārif
95.	Hushaym ibn Bashīr ¹⁴	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʿārif
96.	Wakīʿibn al-Jarrāḥ¹⁵	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʿārif
98.	Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān¹6	Ibn Qutaybah – al-Maʻārif

1

^{1 1} Al-Jarḥ wa al-Ta'dīl vol. 1 pg. 333 , Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 2 pg 233 , al-Kāshif bio.221 , al-Taqrīb bio.270

³ Ṭabaqāt ibn Saʿd vol. 7 pg. 320, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 5 pg. 354, Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl vol. 1 pg. 451, al-Kāshif bio. 902, al-Taqrīb bio. 1084

⁴ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 7. pg. 115, al-Kāshif biograpgy no. 1185, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 2 pg. 432

⁵ Ṭabaqāt ibn Saʿd vol. 6 pg. 402, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 10 pg. 40, Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl vol. 2 bio. 2997, al-Kāshif bio. 1729, al-Taqrīb bio. 2124

⁶ Ṭabaqāt ibn Saʿd vol. 6 pg. 291, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 10 pg. 130, Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalāʾ vol. 5 pg. 108, al-Kāshif bio. 1767, al-Taqrīb bio. 2170

⁷ Țabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 7 pg. 252, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 12 pg. 5, Mīzān al-I'tidāl bio. 3481, al-Taqrīb bio. 2575

⁹ Țabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 5 pg. 537, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 13 pg. 357 , Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 5 pg. 38 , al-Kāshif bio. 2461 , al-Taqrīb bio. 3009

¹⁰ Țabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 7 pg. 295, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 14 pg. 458 , Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 9 pg. 346 , al-Taqrīb bio. 3297

¹¹ Țabaqāt ibn Saʿd vol. 6 pg. 86, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 20 pg. 300, Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalā' vol. 4 pg. 53, al-Taqrīb bio. 4681

¹² Ţabaqāt ibn Saʿd vol. 6 pg. 313, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 22 pg. 102, Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl bio. 6393, al-Taqrīb 5065

¹³ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol. 9 pg. 66, Tahdhīb al-Kamal vol. 30 pg. 242, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 11 pg. 420

¹⁴ Țabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 7 pg. 313, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 30. pg. 272 , Mīzān al-I'tidāl bio. 9250 , al-Taqrīb bio. 7312

¹⁵ Țabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 7 pg. 394, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 30 pg. 462 , Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 9 pg. 140 al-Taqrīb bio. 7414

¹⁶ Țabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 7 pg. 293 , Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 31. pg. 329 , Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 9 pg. 175, al-Taqrīb bio. 7557

One notices a common reference, Ibn Qutaybah. Who was Ibn Qutaybah; and how does he fair in the field of narrator criticism?

'Abd Allāh ibn Muslim ibn Qutaybah, Abū Muḥammad al-Dīnawarī (213-276) was born in Kūfah, Iraq, and lived in the East. He served as a judge in Dīnawar. He was a polymath who wrote on diverse topics including tafsīr, fiqh, ḥadīth, grammar, history, theology, and philosophy. He was well-known for his contributions to Arabic literature as well as his work on reconciling conflicting ḥadīth titled *Ta'wīl Mukhtalif al-Ḥadīth*.

Opinions regarding him varied in the subjects of ḥadīth and theology. Al-Dhahabī said:

The man is not an authority in hadīth even though he is an accomplished scholar who was grounded in diverse disciplines and skilled at important subjects.¹

Oftentimes a scholar will excel in multiple disciplines but will not be regarded an authority in some. This was the case with Ibn Qutaybah. He was a man of letters, and his contribution to the literary arts are his most distinguished accomplishments.

Al-Qāsim ibn Aṣbagh recalls a discussion about Ibn Qutaybah's works on various subjects with al-Ṭabarī and Ibn Surayj, especially about Ibn Qutaybahs books on Fiqh. They were not impressed in the least with his books on Fiqh. They went on to criticize the books of Abū 'Ubayd as well. They said:

This was not their field [Fiqh]. They are authorities in language though. If it is Fiqh that you are asking about, you are better of with the books of al-Shāfiʿī and Dāwūd.²

¹ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 13 pg. 300

² Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 13 pg. 301

His book, *al-Maʿārif*, is nothing more than a book on history which lacks the academic rigour when it comes to discussions on narrator criticism. As such, any reference to it would never be taken too seriously by a novice student of Ḥadīth, let alone an accomplished scholar. However, since 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's work was intended for a different audience he had no qualms about citing this as a reference, and including Sunnis in his list of one-hundred Shīʿī narrators.

The same can be said for al-Shahrastānīs work, *al-Milal wal-Niḥal*. This is a book on various religions and sects. Al-Shahrastānī's approach in this work is to list the core beliefs and principles of each of the sects that he investigates, with the undertaking to represent their views and beliefs honestly and objectively. He is not known for any expertise in narrator criticism, in fact Ḥadīth is not even his field of expertise.¹

Abū Ḥanīfah, the great Faqīh, whose Madhhab is the oldest of the four remaining Sunnī schools of law, is included among the Shīʿah in al-Shahrastānīs work. If Abū Ḥanīfah is considered a Shīʿī, it does not require a strong imagination to realise that those marked as Shīʿah by al-Shahrastānī have no connection to Shīʿī belief. Abū Ḥanīfah's opposition to the Shīʿah is clearly demonstrated in matters that are not fundamentals of faith, he distinguishes a Sunnī from a Shīʿah on the basis of wiping of the *Khuffayn* [leather socks].² In the issue of Khilāfah, he explicitly accepts Abū Bakr as the first Khalīfah and considers him the most virtuous; just as he accepts the Khilāfah of 'Umar, 'Uthmān, and 'Alī Alī He explains that the sound Islamic belief is that a person loves all the Ṣaḥābah, without exceeding the bounds for any one of them in the same way that there is no disassociation with any of them.³

To demonstrate the inaccuracies of these sources let us investigate the biography of Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʿī. The error of including him among the Shīʿah can be extended to the rest as well.

¹ Al-Taḥbīr vol. 2 pg. 160, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 20 pg. 286, Ṭabaqāt al-Shāfi'iyyah al-Kubrā vol. 6 pg. 128

² Al-'Aqīdat al-Ṭaḥāwiyyah, pg 25

³ Al-'Aqīdat al-Ṭaḥāwiyyah, pg 29

Ibrāhīm ibn Yazīd al-Nakhaʿī was the heir to the legal tradition of Kūfah which had begun with ʿAbd Allah ibn Masʿūd . His uncles, ʿAlqamah and al-Aswad were the two distinguished disciples of Ibn Masʿūd and their family had a well-known link to ʿĀishah . The entire Ḥanafī school of Fiqh is built on the foundations of Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʿī's teachings.

As we can see, it is only Ibn Qutaybah who has listed Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʿī as a Shīʿī. If that were the case then Abū Ḥanīfah is as much a Shīʿī as Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʿī. Abū Ḥanīfah was known for financially supporting Zayd ibn ʿAlī, and later Muḥammad al-Nafs al-Zakiyyah.¹However his teachings in ʿAqīdah have been documented.

One could add to this list:

Zubayd ibn al-Ḥārith – The extent of his Tashayyuʻ was that he considered ʿAlī correct in his stance against Muʿāwiyah . This is the view of Ahl al-Sunnah.²

Sulaymān ibn Ṣurad — He was a Ṣaḥābī who fought alongside ʿAlī www when he became Khalīfah. He was responsible for the *Tawwābūn* movement; seeking retribution for Ḥusayn . Sadly, he was killed in battle and this movement was then hijacked by the likes of Mukhtār al-Thaqafī the Liar.³

Sulaymān ibn Mihrān al-Aʿmash – Al-Dhahabī says that the allegations of Shīʿī leanings against al-Aʿmash are quite flimsy, he is not certain about al-Aʿmash's stance.⁴ All evidence indicates that the extent of his 'Shīʿī' leanings amount to nothing more than a few misplaced statements which are to be understood in context.

¹ Aḥkām al-Qur'ān, al-Jassās, vol. 1 pg. 87

² Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 9 pg. 289

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 11 pg. 454, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 3. pg. 394

⁴ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 2 pg. 394

Ṣālim ibn 'Amr Abu al-Aswad al-Dīlī – His Shīʿī leanings are only expressed by Ibn Saʿd; which appears very much to be nothing more than a political affiliation. He narrates Ḥadīth from the likes of 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb :. One would hardly describe a Shīʿī as such.¹

'Āmir ibn Wāthilah, Abu al-Ṭufayl al-Laythī ' - He was a companion of the Prophet '. He fought alongside 'Alī iii in battle against Muʿāwiyah in battle against Muʿāwiyah amounts to nothing more than political allegiance and has no bearing on his beliefs. After all, he was the last of the Ṣaḥābah iii to pass away; may Allah be pleased with him.²

'Alī ibn Ṣāliḥ ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Hamadānī - 'Abd al-Ḥusayn could not provide a single reference from Sunnī books to identify him as a Shī'ī. He therefore resorted to Shī'ī books and abridged his name so as not to completely identify him. By abridging the name it would be plausible to deny this narrator and cite another with the same name.'

Muḥammad ibn Muslim al-Ṭā'ifī – The extent of the criticism against him was that he erred when he narrated from memory. There were no problems when he narrated from his original books. We have yet to come across anything that indicates that he was a Shīʿī.⁴

Muʿāwiyah ibn ʿAmmār al-Duhnī – Besides his relationship with his father, there are no other indicators that suggest any Shīʿī inclinations. ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn tries to establish a connection via his father, but this holds very little weight.⁵

¹ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 33 pg. 37, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 4 pg. 81, Tārīkh al-Islām vol. 2 pg. 735

² Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 3 pg. 467

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 20 pg. 464

⁴ Al-Thiqāt vol. 7 pg. 399, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 26 pg. 412, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 6293

⁵ Al-Thiqāt vol. 9 pg. 167, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 28 pg. 202, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 137

Al-Minhāl ibn 'Amr – Besides al-Jūzajānī, none of the critics appear to ascribe any Shīʿī thought to him. Al-Bukhārī narrates from him in praise of al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn .¹

Those described with Tashayyu'

For the sake of brevity we have reduced these narrators to a list and avoided a detailed, or even summarized, biographical account since we concede that there are Shīʿī sentiments attached to these narrators. This does not mean that they upheld the doctrine of the Twelver Shīʿah; as elaborated on in our previous discussion. The extent of their Tashayyuʻ could be limited to prefering ʿAlī woo, or being overzealous in accepting the virtuous of ʿAlī woo, or being overly critical of those who differed with ʿAlī woo, like Ṭalḥah, Zubayr, or Muʿāwiyah woo.

Their sub-division is the result of consulting numerous works dedicated to narrator biographies and profiles. The sources upon which we have largely relied include, but are not limited to:

Al-Ṭabaqāt of Ibn Saʿd, al-Ṭārīkh al-Kabīr of al-Bukhārī, Al-Thiqāt of al-ʿIjlī, Al-Jarḥ wa al-Taʿdīl of Ibn Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī, Al-Thiqāt of Ibn Ḥibbān, al-Majrūḥīn of Ibn Ḥibbān, al-Kāmil of Ibn ʿAdī, al-Istīʿāb of Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr, al-Ḍuʿafā wa al-Matrūkīn of Ibn al-Jawzī, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl of al-Mizzī, Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalā' of al-Dhahabī, al-Mughnī fī al-Ḍuʿafā' of al-Dhahabī, Mīzān al-Iʻtidāl of al-Dhahabī, al-Kāshif of al-Dhahabī, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb of Ibn Ḥajar, al-Iṣābah of Ibn Ḥajar, Lisān al-Mīzān of Ibn Ḥajar and Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb of Ibn Ḥajar

The narrations of the committed Shīī

Al-Dhahabī's biographical account of Abān ibn Taghlib brilliantly demonstrates the objective, unbiased approach of Sunnī Ḥadīth scholars in the way they

¹ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 28 pg. 568, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 192

accepted Ḥadīth from narrators who were known for heterodox views or beliefs. It is therefore imperative that we reproduce his account which explains why Sunnis accepted those narrations of a *Mubtadi* [innovator] who was deemed reliable, including many of the names below.

He writes in Mīzān al-I'tidāl:

Abān ibn Taghlib al-Kūfī 2 [$_{out}$] 1 ($_{out}$)

Notwithstanding the fact that he is a hardcore Shīī, he is truthful. We [benefit] from his honesty, and his innovation is his own [responsibility].

Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Ibn Maʿīn, and Abū Ḥātim have all attested to his reliability.

Ibn ʿAdī mentioned him saying that he was extreme in his Tashayyuʿ, and that al-Saʿdī³said, "[He is] deviant; brazen."

It might be asked, "How is it possible to credit an innovator; whereas a *Thiqah* [reliable narrator] is defined as one who combines religious integrity along with accuracy [in narration]? Is it plausible that an innovator be described with religious integrity?"

The response comes in realising that innovation is of two kinds; there are minor [heterodoxies] like excessive *Tashayyu*; or even benign *Tashayyu*; which is not uncommon among the Tābiʿīn and those in the generation after them. Many of them displayed traits of religiosity, piety and honesty.

¹ These symbols are an abbreviation for the books in which the narrators \cancel{H} ad \cancel{I} th are to be found. These symbols tell us that this narrators \cancel{A} \cancel{H} Ad \cancel{I} th can be found in \cancel{S} \cancel{A} \cancel{I} \cancel{I} \cancel{I} Muslim and the Four Sunans.

² This symbol means that Imām al-Dhahabī is aware of the criticism levelled against the narrator; although his view is that the criticism is either misplaced, or does not affect the reliability of the narrator.

³ This refers to al-Jawzajānī, Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm ibn Yaʻqūb al-Saʻdī al-Jawzajānī; who died in 259 A.H.

If the narrations of these types were discarded a great deal of the prophetic legacy would be lost; and the disastrous consequences of this is evident.

Besides this [tier of heterodoxy] are the major heterodoxies, like *Rafd*, or excessive Rafd; in the form of detracting from Abū Bakr and 'Umar or campaigning for that. These types are not relied upon in Ḥadīth and no honour is lost in that as well. As a matter of fact, I cannot recall at this moment anyone (described as being Rāfidī) who is trustworthy and honest. On the contrary, deception is their outer-garment and subterfuge and hypocrisy their inner-garment. Can the narrations of such people ever be trusted? Never!

The extreme Shīʿī in the early period, and in their vernacular referred to those who were critical of 'Uthmān, Zubayr, Ṭalḥah, Muʿāwiyah among others who were considered their rivals. Sometimes this led to abrasive statements being made against them.

In our times¹ when we refer to an extreme Shīī it applies to those who declared these noble personalities apostates, and those who disassociate from the Shaykhayn [Abū Bakr and 'Umar). Anyone guilty of this is wayward and deviant.

Abān was not known for any criticism of Abū Bakr or 'Umar ; he did, however, consider 'Alī greater in virtue.'

From this we realise the variant interpretations of extremism in reference to Tashayyu', in addition to the fact that a narrators honesty and integrity was not questioned merely because of innovation. It was when the person's innovation motivated him to distort that draws the line between those whose narrations were accepted and those whose narrations were questioned. The objectivity and fair-mindedness in this approach is a testimony to the sophistication in the discipline of Ḥadīth within the Sunnī world.

^{1 8}th Century A.H

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 6

Moderate Shī'ah

- 4. Ismāʻīl ibn Abān al-Azdī al-Warrāq
- 6. Ismāʻīl ibn Zakariyyā
- 21. Al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ
- 32. Saʿīd ibn ʿAmr
- 33. Saʿīd ibn Khuthaym
- 35. Salamah ibn Kuhayl
- 42. Şa'şa'ah ibn Şūḥān
- 40. Sharīk ibn 'Abd Allah al-Nakha'ī
- 48. 'Abd Allah ibn Shaddād
- 53. 'Abd al-Razzāq ibn Hammām
- 57. 'Adī ibn Thābit
- 62. 'Alī ibn Ja'd
- 67. ʿAlī ibn Mundhir al-Ṭarīqī
- 69. 'Ammār ibn Ruzayq
- 72. ʿAwf ibn Abī Jamīlah
- 73. al-Faḍl ibn Dukayn
- 74. Fuḍayl ibn Marzūq
- 76. Fiṭr ibn Khalīfah
- 75. Mālik ibn Ismā'īl
- 77. Muḥammad ibn Khāzim, Abū Muʿāwiyah
- 78. Abū ʿAbd Allah al-Ḥākim al-Naysāpūrī
- 80. Muḥammad ibn Fuḍayl ibn Ghazawān
- 82. Muḥammad ibn Mūsa al-Fiṭrī
- 84. Maʿrūf ibn Kharrabūdh
- 85. Manṣūr ibn al-Muʿtamir
- 87. Mūsā ibn Qays
- 89. Nūh ibn Qays
- 95. Hāshim ibn al-Barīd

Committed Shī ah

- 1. Abān ibn Taghlib
- 3. Aḥmad ibn al-Mufaḍḍal al-Kūfī al-Ḥafarī
- 8. Ismā'īl ibn 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Suddī
- 9. Ismā'īl ibn Mūsā al-Fazārī
- 15. Jaʿfar ibn Ziyād al-Aḥmar
- 16. Ja'far ibn Sulaymān al-Ņabū'ī
- 18. Al-Ḥāriṭh ibn Ḥaṣīrah
- 25. Khālid ibn Makhlad al-Qatawānī
- 26. Dāwūd ibn Abī 'Awf
- 46. ʿAbbād ibn Yaʿqūb al-Rawājinī
- 49. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar ibn Abān
- 52. 'Abd al-Rahmān ibn Sālih al-Azdī al-'Atakī
- 54. 'Abd al-Malik ibn A'yan
- 55. 'Ubayd Allah ibn Mūsā al-'Absī
- 59. 'Alā' ibn Ṣāliḥ
- 61, 'Alī ibn Badhīmah
- 65. 'Alī ibn Ghurāb
- 68. 'Alī ibn Hāshim
- 70. ʿAmmār ibn Muʿāwiyah
- 90. Hārūn ibn Sa'd al-'Ijlī
- 92. Hubayrah ibn Yarīm
- 97. Yaḥyā ibn al-Jazār al-ʿUranī
- 100. Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Jadalī

Those who are considered weak due to bad memory or lack of integrity

5. Ismāʿīl ibn Khalīfah, al-Kūfī, Abū Isrāʾīl¹ – Al-Dhahabī says that he was considerably weak. Ibn Ḥajar says that he is honest but his memory was significantly weak. (al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 226, al-Kāshif bio. 370, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 440

- 7. Ismā'īl ibn 'Abbād al-Ṭālaqānī¹ He was not known for narrating Ḥadīth. In addition to his Shī'ī leanings he has invested in many other heterodoxies.
- 10. **Talīd ibn Sulaymān al-Kūfī**² Abū Dāwūd refered to him as a Rāfiḍī, known for cursing. Al-Dhahabī said he was weak, and Ibn Ḥajar agrees. Ṣāliḥ Jazarah says the used to call him Balīd³ [Stupid]. (al-Tirmidhī)
- 11. **Thābit ibn Abī Ṣafiyyah, Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī**⁴ Al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar concur on the fact that he is considered weak, Ibn Ḥajar adds that he is a Rāfiḍī as well. (al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)
- 12. **Thuwayr ibn Abī Fākhitah**⁵ Al-Dhahabī consideres him significantly weak and Ibn Ḥajar agrees with him adding that he is a Rāfiḍī as well. (al-Tirmidhī)
- 13. Jābir al-Juʿfī ⁶ Abū Ḥanīfah said that he had never encountered a bigger liar than Jābir al-Juʿfī. Abū Dāwūd said that he excluded him from his Sunan entirely save for a single Ḥadīth on forgetfulness in prayer. He is considered severely weak on account of lack of integrity. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)
- 17. **Jumay** 'ibn 'Umayr al-Taymī ' Al-Bukhārīs criticism is quite distinct. Al-Dhahabī agrees that he is significantly weak. Ibn Ḥajar adds that his errors are evident. (Abū Dawūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasā'ī, Ibn Mājah)

¹ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 16 pg.511, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 2 pg. 137

² al-Kāshif bio. 670, Tagrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 797

³ This is a play on the letters appearing in his name. The two dots appearing above the first letter, $T\bar{a}$ ' have been replaced by a $B\bar{a}$ with a single dot below.

⁴ Al-Kāshif bio. 687, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 818

⁵ Al-Kāshif bio. 725, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 862

⁶ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 379, al-Kāshif bio. 739, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 878

⁷ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 379, al-Kāshif bio. 739, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 878

- 19. Al-Ḥārith ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Hamadānī al-Aʿwar¹ He was accused of lying, and much of what he narrates cannot be corroborated. Al-Dhahabī provides a long list of scholars who considered him weak as untrustworthy. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasāʾī, Ibn Mājah)
- 23. Ḥammād ibn ʿĪsā al-Juhanī² Abū Dāwūd, Abū Ḥātim and al-Dāraquṭnī all considered him weak. Al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar uphold this grading. (al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)
- 24. Ḥumrān ibn Aʿyan³ Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn criticized him severely; and Abū Dāwūd confirmed that he was a Rāfiḍī. His brother, Zurārah is a well known fabricator whose biography will be discussed at length later in this book. Al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar confirm that he was indeed weak and unreliable. (Ibn Mājah)
- 30. Sālim ibn Abī Ḥafṣah⁴ ʿAmr ibn ʿAlī al-Fallās and al-Nasāʾī consider him weak, whereas others claim that the accuracy of what he narrates was compromised by the extent of his heterodoxy. Ibn Ḥajar considers him honest, though his innovation becomes problematic. Al-Dhahabī clearly states that he is not to be relied upon. (al-Tirmidhī)
- 31. Sa'd ibn Ṭarīf al-Iskāf al-Ḥanẓalī al-Kūfī⁵ Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said that it is not allowed to rely on him, whilst Aḥmad and Abū Ḥātim declared him weak. Al-Nasā'ī and al-Dāraquṭnī considered him severely weak, and suspected of forgery, whilst Ibn Ḥibbān claims that he used to fabricate. Both al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar maintain that he is significantly weak and cannot be relied upon.(al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 436, al-Kāshif bio. 859, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 1029

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 599, al-Kāshif bio. 1223, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 1503

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 605, al-Kāshif bio. 1230, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 1514

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 110, al-Kāshif bio. 1768, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio.2171

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 122, al-Kāshif bio. 1831, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 2241

- 34. **Salamah ibn al-Faḍl al-Abrash**¹ Isḥāq ibn Rāhūyah, al-Nasāʾī, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī among others have declared him weak. Some have pointed out the fact that he narrates that which cannot be corroborated and has an abundance of errors. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī)
- 38. **Sulaymān ibn Qarm**² He was considered weak on account his memory. Ibn Maʿīn, Abū Zurʿah, Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasāʾī consider him weak. Al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar confirm hat tthis was on account of weak memory. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasāʾī)
- 50. ʿAbd Allah ibn Lahīʿah³ There is much debate as to his status as a narrator. Ibn Sayyid al-Nās has a lengthy discussion on him, as does the editor of al-Nafḥ al-Shadhī, the commentary on al-Tirmidhī by Ibn Sayyid al-Nās, concludes after thirty pages of discussion that Ibn Lahīʿah is independently weak, but his narrations could be elevated if they are corroborated or supported by other narrations. This applies to his narrations prior to his books even getting burnt.⁴ (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)
- 51. ʿAbd Allah ibn Maymūn ibn al-Qaddāḥō Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī and al-Bukhārī considered him significantly weak. Ibn Ḥibbān considered him weak where he was not corroborated. Although al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar uphold the view of severe weakness,under all circumstances. (al-Tirmidhī)
- 56. ʿ**Uthmān ibn ʿUmayr, Abū al-Yaqzān**6– Al-Dhahabī has cited the views of Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Mahdī, al-Nasāʾī,

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 192, al-Kāshif bio. 2043, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 2505

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 219, al-Kāshif bio. 2122, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 2600

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 475, al-Kāshif bio. 2934, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio.3563

⁴ Al-Nafh al-Shadhī vol. 2 pg. 792 onwards

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 512, al-Kāshif bio. 3013, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 3653

⁶ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 50, al-Kāshif bio. 3730, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 4507

- 'Amr ibn 'Alī al-Fallās and al-Dāraquṭnī all confirming the fact that he was weak and unreliable. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)
- 58. ʿAṭiyyah ibn Saʿd al-ʿAwfī¹- Al-Dhahabī claims that the scholars are in agreement that he is weak. Abū Ḥātim says that his weakness is not so severe that his Ḥadīth are not to be recorded. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal says that he had heard Ḥadīth from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī. He also received Tafsīr from al-Kalbī (a known fabricator) and he had given him the Kunyah Abū Saʿīd. When he narrated from al-Kalbī he would say from Abū Saʿīd; giving the impression that it was al-Khudrī. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasāʾī)
- 63. ʿAlī ibn Zayd ibn ʿAbd Allah ibn Zuhayr also known as [ʿAlī ibn Zayd ibn Judʿān]² ibn ʿUyaynah, Ḥammād ibn Zayd, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn, al-Bukhārī, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, ʿAmr ibn ʿAlī al-Fallās and al-Dāraquṭnī all consider him weak on the basis of his weak memory. There are rare cases where scholars have accepted his narrations and these appear to be cases where he is corroborated by others. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasā'ī, Ibn Mājah although Muslim cites his narrations, it is only in a secondary capacity and not that he relies on him independently)
- 66. ʿAlī ibn Qādim³ Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn and Ibn Saʿd both consider him weak. Ibn ʿAdī has pointed out some of the narrations in which he erred, especially by way of al-Thawrī. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī)
- 79. Muḥammad ibn ʿUbayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfīʿ¹ Al-Dhahabī provides a long list of names of scholars who consider him weak, including Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn, al-Bukhārī, and Abū Ḥātim. Ibn Ḥajar appears to agree with al-Dhahabī that Ibn Abī Rāfīʿ is weak in Ḥadīth. (Ibn Mājah)

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 80, al-Mughnī fi al-Puʿafā' bio. 4139, al-Kāshif bio. 3820, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 4616

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 127, al-Kāshif bio. 3916, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 4734

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 150, al-Kāshif bio. 3955, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 4785

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 634, al-Kāshif bio. 5022, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 6106

- 88. **Nufay** ibn al-Ḥārith¹ He was severely criticized by Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn, Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī al-Nasāʾī and al-Dāraquṭnī. Ibn Ḥibbān went as far as claiming that it was not permitted to narrate from him. Al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar summarized the positions of the earlier scholars stating that he is Matrūk.(al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)
- 93. **Hishām ibn Ziyād**² Al-Dhahabī quotes Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, al-Bukhārī, and Abū Dāwūd among others who have declared him weak. He then quotes al-Nasā'ī and Ibn Ḥibbān; both suspecting him of narrating forged narrations and ascribing them to reliable narrators. (al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah)
- 99. Yazīd ibn Abī Ziyād ʿAbd Allah ibn al-Mubārak, Shuʿbah ibn al-Ḥajjāj, and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal consider him weak. Wakīʿ ibn al-Jarrāh points out some baseless narrations that have been narrrated by way of Yazīd as well. Notwithstanding his truthfulness, the reason for the scholars not relying on his narrations independently was due to his weak memory as pointed out by al-Dhahabī. (Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasāʾī, Ibn Mājah Muslim does not rely on him but mentions him along with others)

What do the Twelver Shīʿah say about these narrators?

We have summarized the views of Ahl al-Sunnah about these narrators. Nearly one-quarter of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100 are not Shī ah to begin with, a further quarter of them are not reliable; not because they were Shī ah but because the either had weak memories, had not recorded their narrations accurately or were known for deception and dishonesty.

Having summarized the Sunnī views, it is necessary to see what the Shīī scholars have said about these narrators. One of the ost voluminous collection of biographical data on Ḥadīth narrators in the Shīī academic library is a book

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 272, al-Kāshif bio. 5870, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 7181

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 298, al-Kāshif bio. 5962, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 7292

titled *Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth* by the late Abu al-Qāsim al-Khū'ī. His works spans over 24 volumes and lists the biographies of nearly 16000 narrators. His work has been summarized by Muḥammad al-Jawāhirī in a book title *al-Mufīd min Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth*. In this work he has restricted himself to the essentials by omiting the extended discussions found in al-Khū'ī's work. Considering the comprehensiveness of this late work – since it might be argued of earlier sources that the material was to be found elsewhere – and the fact that it provides the final grading on the narrator, we shall reproduce 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list with the grading only. This will be a fair alternate representation; and one from which we can gauge the credibility of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's allegations.

- 1. **Abān ibn Taghlib** Thiqah, 'azīm al-manzilah fī aṣḥābinā [of great status among our companions]
- 2. **Ibrāhīm ibn Yazīd al-Nakha**ʿī Majhūl [unknown]
- 3. Aḥmad ibn al-Mufaddal al-Hafarī could not find his bio
- 4. Ismā'īl ibn Abān Majhūl
- 5. **Ismāʿīl ibn Khalīfah, Abū Isrā'īl** could not find his bio
- 6. **Ismāʿīl ibn Zakariyyā al-Asadī** could not find his bio
- Ismā'īl ibn 'Abbād al-Ṭālaqānī 'Ālim Fāḍil...'azīm al-sha'n [Noble scholar...
 of high stature]
- 8. Ismāʿīl ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Suddī Majhūl
- 9. Ismā'īl ibn Mūsā al-Fazārī could not find his bio
- 10. Talīd ibn Sulaymān Majhūl
- 11. **Thābit ibn Dīnār** Thiqah
- 12. Thuwayr ibn Abī Fākhitah Thiqah
- 13. Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī Thiqah
- 14. Jarīr ibn 'Abd al-Ḥamīd al-Ḥabbī Majhūl
- 15. Ja'far ibn Ziyād al-Aḥmar Majhūl
- 16. Jaʿfar ibn Sulaymān al-Dhabaʿī Thiqah

- 17. Jumay ibn 'Umayr al-Taymī Majhūl
- 18. **Al-Ḥārith ibn Ḥaṣīrah al-Azdī** cannot identify him precisely due to shared name
- 19. Al-Ḥārith ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Hamadānī Thiqah
- 20. Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit Majhūl
- 21. Al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy Lam Yuwaththaq [not ratified]
- 22. Al-Ḥakam ibn 'Utaybah Batrī
- 23. Ḥammād ibn ʿĪsā al-Juhanī Thiqah
- 24. **Ḥumrān ibn Aʿyān** Thiqah
- 25. Khālid ibn Makhlad al-Qaṭawānī could not find his bio
- 26. Dāwūd ibn Abī 'Awf difference over whether he is credited or not
- 27. **Zubayd ibn al-Ḥārith ibn ʿAbd al-Karīm al-Yāmī** could not find his bio
- 28. **Zayd ibn al-Ḥubāb** all that is mentioned is that he is the son of Ḥubāb who is Majhūl
- 29. Sālim ibn Abī al-Jaʿd theres no explicit grading of him. The impression given by al-Najāshī under his brothers biography appears to apply to the entire family
- 30. Sālim ibn Abī Ḥafṣah al-ʿIjlī Munḥarif, Dāll, Muḍill [Wayward, deviant, misleads others]
- 31. Sa'd ibn Ṭarīf Thiqah
- 32. **Saʿīd ibn ʿAmr ibn Ashwa**ʿ could not find his bio; although, all those named Saʿīd ibn ʿAmr have been graded Majhūl
- 33. Saʿīd ibn Khuthaym al-Hilālī Þaʿīf
- 34. Salamah ibn Faḍl al-Abrash could not find his bio
- 35. Salamah ibn Kuhayl Batrī
- 36. **Sulaymān ibn Ṣurad** Among the companions of ʿAlī and al-Ḥasan, from the senior Tabiʿīn
- 37. **Sulaymān ibn Ṭarkhān** could not find his bio

- 38. Sulaymān ibn Qarm Majhūl
- 39. Sulaymān ibn Mihrān al-A'mash His arrations in Tafsīr are Mu'tamad
- 40. **Sharīk ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Nakhaʿī** opinions varied, without grading. Some details were extracted from al-Dhahabī's *Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl* citing Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn
- 41. Shu bah ibn al-Ḥajjāj Majhūl
- 42. Şaʻşaʻah ibn Şūḥan Among those who witnessed ʻAlī's Waṣiyyah
- 43. Tāwūs ibn Kaysān Majhūl
- 44. **Zālim ibn ʿAmr Abū al-Aswad al-Dīlī** Majhūl
- 45. 'Āmir ibn Wāthilah Abū al-Ṭufayl Among the Prophet's متألِقَعُلِيوَتِكُمُ companions, and then of 'Alī, al-Ḥasan, al-Ḥusayn and al-Sajjād.
- 46. ʿ**Abbād ibn Ya**ʿq**ūb al-Rawājinī** Thiqah (although there is confusion between him and a namesake there are other opinions that grade him as an ʿĀmmī)
- 47. 'Abd Allah ibn Dāwūd al-Hamadānī could not find his bio
- 48. 'Abd Allah ibn Shaddād Among the close companions of 'Alī
- 49. 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar Mishkdāneh could not find his bio
- 50. 'Abd Allah ibn Lahī'ah could not find his bio
- 51. **Abd Allah ibn Maymūn al-Qaddāḥ** Thiqah
- 52. 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Azdī could not find his bio
- 53. ʿAbd al-Razzāq ibn Hammām he objects to al-Khūʾī quoting Ibn Ḥajarʾs grading
- 54. 'Abd al-Malik ibn A'yan among the companions of al-Bāqir
- 55. **'Ubayd Allah ibn Mūsā al-'Absī** Thiqah because a narration of his appears in the Tafsīr of al-Qummī
- 56. 'Uthmān ibn 'Umayr (Abū al-Yaqẓān) could not find his bio
- 57. 'Adī ibn Thābit could not find his bio
- 58. **'Aṭiyyah ibn Sa'd al-'Awfī** Majhūl
- 59. ʿAlā ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Taymī could not find his bio

- 60. 'Alqamah ibn Qays from the companions of Amīr al-Mu'minīn
- 61. 'Alī ibn Badīmah could not find his bio
- 62. 'Alī ibn Ja'd al-Jawharī could not find his bio
- 63. 'Alī ibn Zayd ibn Jud'ān Majhūl
- 64. 'Alī ibn Ṣāliḥ Majhūl
- 65. 'Alī ibn Ghurāb al-Fazārī Majhūl
- 66. 'Alī ibn Qādim al-Khuzā'ī (Abū al-Ḥasan) could not find his bio
- 67. 'Alī ibn al-Mundhir al-Tarā'ifī could not find his bio
- 68. 'Alī ibn Hāshim Majhūl
- 69. 'Ammār ibn Zurayq Majhūl
- 70. 'Ammār ibn Mu'āwiyah Thiqah according to the 'Āmmāh [Sunnis]
- 71. ʿAmr ibn ʿAbd Allah (Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī) Majhūl , not far-fetched that he is from the ʿĀmmāh [Sunnis]
- 72. 'Awf ibn Abī Jamīlah could not find his bio
- 73. Fadl ibn Dukayn Majhūl
- 74. Fuḍayl ibn Marzūq Majhūl
- 75. Fiṭr ibn Khalīfah Majhūl
- 76. Mālik ibn Ismāʿīl Majhūl
- 77. Muḥammad ibn Khāzim could not find his bio
- 78. Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Pabbī could not find his bio
- 79. Muḥammad ibn 'Ubayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfi' Majhūl
- 80. Muḥammad ibn Fuḍayl ibn Ghazawān Thiqah
- 81. Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn al-Ṭā'ifī Min Awthaq al-Nās
- 82. Muḥammad ibn Mūsā ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Fiṭrī Majhūl
- 83. Muʿāwiyah ibn ʿAmmār al-Duhnī Thiqah
- 84. Maʿrūf ibn Kharrabūdh Thiqah because his narration appears the Tafsīr of al-Qummī
- 85. Manşūr ibn al-Muʿtamir Batrī

- 86. **Al-Minhāl ibn 'Amr** Majhūl
- 87. Mūsā ibn Qays al- Hadramī could not find his bio
- 88. **Nufay** ibn al-Ḥārith Majhūl (narrated spurious narrations)
- 89. Nūḥ ibn Qays ibn Rabāḥ could not find his bio
- 90. Hārūn ibn Sa'd al-ʿIjlī Majhūl
- 91. Hāshim ibn al-Barīd Majhūl
- 92. Hubayrah ibn Buraym al-Ḥimyarī Majhūl
- 93. Hishām ibn Ziyād could not find his bio
- 94. Hishām ibn 'Ammār could not find his bio
- 95. Hushaym ibn Bashīr could not find his bio
- 96. **Wakīʿ ibn al-Jarrāḥ** Theres only mention of a Wakīʿ whose narration appears in the Tafsīr of al-Qummī
- 97. Yaḥyā ibn al-Jazār al-ʿUranī could not find his bio
- 98. Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān Majhūl
- 99. **Yazīd ibn Abī Ziyād** Majhūl
- 100. Abū ʿAbd Allah al-Jadalī Majhūl

We learn from this list that the narrators that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn listed are not the prominent Shīʿī narrators appearing in the books of the Twelver Shīʿah; with the exception of a few most of these narrators have no grade in the books of the Twelver Shīʿah. It further confirms the division spelled out by the great Muḥaddith, Shams al-Dīn al-Dhahabī, that the early usage of the term Shīʿah did not apply to the Rāfiḍah, who later became the dominant strain of Shīʿah in the form of the Twelvers.

The narrations cited from Shīʿī sources can therefore, not be said to be acceptable by Sunnis without scrutiny merely because Sunnī's have relied on some Shīʿī narrators in their books as has been repeatedly discussed.

Letter 17

Thul-Hijjah 3, 1329 A.H.

- I. Appreciating the debater's sentiments
- II. Admitting There is no Objection if Ahl ul-Sunnah Rely on Shi'a Authorities
- III. His belief in the Miracles of Ahl al-Bayt
- IV. Dilemma at Compromising the Above with what Ahl Al-Qibla do
- 1. I swear by your eyes that I have never seen anyone more good-hearted, faster in dealing with the topic, more attentive, deeper in vision, stronger in argument, clearer in proof, than you. Your letters have come like a flowing waterfall, and your arguments have taken control over all my senses and sentiments. Your latest letter twists the necks of men, smashes the head of falsehood.
- 2. The Sunni no longer has any excuse for not relying on his Shi'a brother if the latter is trustworthy. Your view in this regard is the clear truth, and that of your opponents is nothing more than fanaticism and intolerance. Their argument that it is wrong to rely on the Shi'as contradicts their actual deeds, and their deeds in fact contradict their arguments. Their arguments and deeds do not race with each other in the arena, nor do they pursue the same goal, due to the clash between them which causes them to clash.

For this reason, their argument has been proven faulty, while yours remains invincible. During such a short time, you have produced what I would consider a dissertation for which a title like "Shi'a Authorities in Support of Sunni Authorities" may be appropriate. The objective is not to defend this sect or that or win an argument; rather, I hope it will, if Allah so wills, bring a glorious reform to the Islamic world.

3. We believe in all Allah's miracles, in those of our Master the Commander of the Faithful, and in those of Ahl al-Bayt, peace be upon them, more than what you indicate.

4. The question now is why have the people of the qibla turned away from following the path of the Imams of Ahl al-Bayt ("Why didn't they worship Allah through their own concepts of usul and furu'? Why have they not taken their word as the final word in the matter in which they differed? Why have the nation's scholars not been researching their views?

Why have they instead opposed them ideologically? The nation's scholars have always been, from sons to fathers, referring to those besides Ahl al-Bayt without denying doing so. If the Book's verses and the Sunnah's texts are as you indicate, Ahl al-Qibla would not have turned away from the Imams of Ahl al-Bayt, nor would they have accepted any alternative to them. But they did not understand of the Book and the Sunnah other than the praise of Ahl al-Bayt, and the necessity of loving and respecting them. The ancestors are closer to the truth and more familiar with the meanings of the Sunnah and the Book

("and follow their own guidance (Qur'an, 6:90),"

Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 18

Thul-Hijjah 4, 1329

- I. Sentiments Reciprocated
- II. Debater's Error in Generalizing Regarding Ahl al-Qibla
- III. The Nation's Politicians are the Ones Who Turned Away from Ahl al-Bayt
- IV. The Imams of Ahl al-Bayt are not Inferior to others
- V. Which Fair Court Judges Calling Their Followers "Strayers"?
- 1. Thank you for thinking so highly of me, the unworthy that I am, and I appreciate your compliments as well as the contents of my letters; therefore, I look humbly to such gracefulness, and I bow down before such kindness to honour its greatness and prestige.
- 2. But I request you to reconsider what you have stated regarding those who turned away from Ahl al-Bayt, generalizing them about all Ahl al-Qibla. I remind you that half of Ahl al-Qibla are the Shi'as of Muhammad who have not turned away nor shall ever turn away from the Imams of Ahl al-Bayt in as far as the origins and branches of the faith are concerned.
 - It is their view that following their sect, peace be upon them, is one of the strict commandments of the Book and the Sunnah; therefore, they worship Allah Almighty thus in every time and place. This is the way of their good ancestors as well as that of their posterity since the Messenger of Allah

 passed away.
- 3. Those who have turned away from the beliefs of Ahl al-Bayt in as far as the roots and branches of the creed are concerned are the nation's politicians, the ones who control its destiny, due to their turning away from the

succession (to the Prophet), affecting such a succession by elections, although they knew for sure that it was assigned for the Commander of the Faithful 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Ali ibn Abu Talib' 'Ali ibn Abu

They saw that the Arabs would not tolerate such a succession if restricted to one dynasty; therefore, they started interpreting its texts, assuming power through elections so that every suburb of theirs may enjoy it sooner or later. So, it was here and it was there. They sacrificed their means and might to keep it that way and support that principle, eradicating all contrary views and trends. Necessity forced them to turn away from the school of thought of Ahl al-Bayt.

They started interpreting the texts of the Book or the Sunnah to mean the necessity of following such a concept. Had they yielded to the clear proofs, and referred the elite and the commoners to them in matters relevant to the roots and branches of religion, they would have found no alternative to adhering to their principle. They would have then become among the greatest callers to Ahl al-Bayt. But this did not agree with their ambition, scheme and politics.

Whoever looks carefully in these matters will find out that turning away from the imams of Ahl al-Bayt in his sect is but turning away from their leadership, which was next only to that of the Messenger of Allah and that interpreting the arguments regarding their special leadership was adopted after interpreting the arguments regarding their general leadership; otherwise, nobody would have turned away from them.

4. Leave their texts and arguments alone, and look at them while overlooking the former; do you then find them, in their knowledge, deeds, or worship, less than Imam al-Ash'ari, or the other four Imams, or any others at all? And if the answer is No, then why should others be followed then? Leadership should be given to the most qualified.

5. Which just arbitrator decides that those who uphold their rope and follow into their footsteps are strayers? Sunnis are above passing such a judgment, and peace be with you.

Sincerely, Sh

Discussions

Excessive self-praise

The convenience of a forged letter is that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn could praise himself at great lengths with his opponents pen. At the same time he could provide lack-lustre arguments that are built on faulty premises. Naturally these less-than-satisfactory objections provide the platform for 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, from which he is quite free to redress any 'misconceptions' and present his own objections without fear of opposition.

The Sunni no longer has an excuse to accept Shīʿī narrations

Our discussions over the previous two sets of correspondence has clarified the Sunnī position in sufficient detail. It is exceedingly hard to believe that the Shaykh al-Azhar would have been oblivious of these elementary principles which are expounded upon in the summarized primers of this discipline, and have been applied in the earliest of primary sources.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's sleight of hand is that he passes of individuals like Zurārah ibn A'yan, as the trustworthy Shīʿī narrators who deserve to be relied upon. Wheres even the 'infallible' Imāms had cursed individuals like Zurārah, accusing them of lying in the names of the Ahl al-Bayt.¹ Incidentally, Imām Ja'far al-Ṣādiq is on record having said, "No one has brought innovation into Islam as Zurārah has; may Allah curse him!"²

So in essence, the narration of a Shīī who is trustworthy, competent, and avoids deception is deserving of acceptance. The problem, however, is that the bulk of the narrations quoted by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn are not by way of those Shīʿah. Unfortunately he primarily relies on those whose reputation is not much different from Zurārah.

¹ Al-Kashshī p. 147, Muʻjam Rijal al-Hadīth vol. 7 pg. 141, Tanqīh vol. 1 pg. 443

² Al-Kashshī pg. 149

Would further research forge Sunnī-Shīʿī unity?

'Abd al-Ḥusayn uses the pen of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī to encourage himself to further research the subject of Shīʿī narrators in Sunnī Ḥadīth literature. This is seen as potential public-relations strategy which can bring about Sunnī-Shīʿī unity. But is that really possible? Would 'Abd al-Ḥusayn be capable of truly representing the legacy of the Ahl al-Sunnah considering his outright slander of Abū Hurayrah in a book dedicated to the personality of Abū Ḥurayrah and his capacity as a narrator of the Prophet's Ḥadith? Would someone like 'Abd al-Ḥusayn resist the temptation to bend the truth after all the deception he is already found guilty of?

Neglect of the school of Ahl al-Bayt

The objection that is allegedly raised presumes that the Ahl al-Sunnah have neglected the school of Ahl al-Bayt in both the essentials and the secondary issues. The underlying assumptions upon which this objections rests is flawed on many fronts. Who is intended by Ahl al-Bayt? Did the Ahl al-Bayt have a separate 'school'? Have the Ahl al-Sunnah dispensed with the knowledge transmitted by way of the Ahl al-Bayt?

As far as the Ahl al-Sunnah is concerned, the term Ahl al-Bayt applies firstly to the Prophet's مَا الله wives, then his relatives who accepted Islam from Banū Hāshim and Banū al-Muṭṭalib.

The evidence that supports this is overwhelming and due to this not being the primary discussion we will suffice with a few proofs from the Qur'ān as well as from the Hadīth.

يَا نَسَاءَ النَّبِيِّ لَسْتُنَّ كَأَحَد مِّنَ النِّسَاءِ إِنِ اتَّقَيْتُنَّ فَلَا تَخْضَعْنَ بِالْقَوْلِ فَيَطْمَعَ الَّذِيْ فِيْ قَلْبِهِ مَرَضٌ وَقُلَنَ قَوْلًا مَعْرُوْفًا وَقَرْنَ فِيْ بُيُوْتِكُنَّ وَلَا تَبَرَّجْنَ تَبَرُّجَ الْجَاهِلِيَّةِ الْأُولٰي وَأَقَمْنَ الصَّلَاةَ وَآتَيْنَ الزَّكَاةَ وَأَطِعْنَ اللَّهَ وَرَسُولُهُ إِنَّمَا يُرِيْدُ اللَّهُ لِيُنْهِ عِنْكُمُ الرَّجْسَ أَهْلَ الْبَيْتِ وَيُطَهِّرَكُمْ تَطْهِيْرًا وَاذْكُرْنَ مَا يُتْلَى فِيْ بُيُوْنِكُنَّ مِنْ آيَاتِ اللَّهِ وَالْحِكَمةِ إِنَّ اللَّهَ كَانَ لَطِيْفًا خَبِيْرًا O wives of the Prophet (you are not like anyone among women. If you fear Allah, then do not be soft in speech [to men], lest he in whose heart is disease should covet, but speak with appropriate speech. And abide in your houses and do not display yourselves as [was] the display of the former times of ignorance. And establish prayer and give zakāh and obey Allah and His Messenger. Allah intends only to remove from you the impurity, O people of the [Prophet's] household, and to purify you with [extensive] purification. And remember what is recited in your houses of the verses of Allah and wisdom. Indeed, Allah is ever Subtle and Acquainted [with all things].¹

The wives of the Prophet مَالْسَعَيْسَ are clearly being addressed in these verses. Thus, their inclusion in Ahl al-Bayt is established in the Qur'ān. Below, we will present a number of Aḥādīth which support the fact that the Prophet's wives are included in Ahl al-Bayt.

Anas مُثَوَّلُكُ relates:

عن أنس رضي الله عنه قال بني على النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم بزينب بنت جحش بخبز ولحم فأرسلت على الطعام داعيا فيجيء قوم فيأكلون ويخرجون ثم يجيء قوم فيأكلون ويخرجون فدعوت حتى ما أجد أحدا أدعو فقلت يا نبي الله ما أجد أحدا أدعوه قال ارفعوا طعامكم وبقي ثلاثة رهط يتحدثون في البيت فخرج النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم فانطلق إلى حجرة عائشة فقال السلام عليكم أهل البيت ورحمة الله فقالت وعليك السلام ورحمة الله كيف وجدت أهلك بارك الله لك فتقرى حجر نسائه كلهن يقول لهن كما يقول لعائشة ويقلن له كما قالت عائشة ثم رجع النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم فإذا ثلاثة من رهط في البيت يتحدثون وكان النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم شديد الحياء فخرج منطلقا نحو حجرة عائشة فما أدري آخبر أن القوم خرجوا فرجع حتى إذا وضع رجله في أسكفة الباب داخلة وأخرى خارجة أرخى الستر بيني وبينه وأنزلت آية الحجاب

A Walīmah [wedding feast] of bread and meat was held on the occasion of the marriage of the Prophet to Zaynab bint Jaḥsh . I was sent to invite the people (to the Walīmah), and so the people started coming (in groups); They would eat and then leave. Another batch would come, eat and leave. So I kept on inviting the people till I found nobody to invite.

¹ Sūrah al-Ahzāb: 32-34

Then I said, "O Messenger of Allah! I do not find anybody else to invite."

He said, "Carry away the remaining food."

Then a group of three people stayed behind in the house chatting.

The Prophet fight and went towards the home of 'Ā'ishah and said, "Assalāmu 'Alaykum Ahl al-Bayt."

She replied, "Peace and the mercy of Allah be on you too. How did you find your Ahl [wife]? May Allah bless you."

Then he went to the homes of all his other wives and said to them the same as he said to 'Ā'ishah and they said to him the same as she had said to him. Then the Prophet returned and found the same group of three persons still in the house chatting. The Prophet was a very shy person, so he went out (for the second time) and went towards the home of 'Ā'ishah . I do not remember whether I informed him that the people have gone away, or he was told of it, so he returned. As soon as he entered he drew the curtain between me and him, and then the Verse of Ḥijab was revealed.¹

Abū Ḥumayd al-Sāʿidī ﷺ relates:

They asked the Messenger of Allah how they were to ask for blessings upon him and he replied that they should say, "Allāhumma Ṣalli 'alā Muḥammadin wa Azwājihī wa Dhurriyyatihī, kamā Ṣallayta 'alā Āli Ibrīhīm, wa Bārik 'alā Muḥammadin wa Azwājihī wa Dhurriyyatihī, kamā Bārakta 'alā Āli Ibrāhīm, innaka Hamīdun Majīd."

¹ Şaḥīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, Ḥadīth: 4793

O Allah, bless Muḥammad and his wives and his descendants as You blessed the $\bar{A}l$ [family] of Ibrāhīm, and give barakah to Muḥammad and his wives and his descendants as You gave barakah to the $\bar{A}l$ [family] of Ibrāhīm. You are worthy of Praise and Glorious.¹

Jubayr ibn Muṭʻim نَوْلَيْكُونَ said:

عن جبير بن مطعم قال مشيت أنا وعثمان بن عفان إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقلنا يا رسول الله أعطيت بني المطلب وتركتنا ونحن وهم منك بمنزلة واحدة فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إنما بنو المطلب وبنو هاشم شيء واحد

قال الليث حدثني يونس وزاد قال جبير ولم يقسم النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم لبني عبد شمس ولا لبني نوفل

When the Messenger of Allah المنافقة distributed the share for his relatives to Banū Hāshim and Banū al-Muṭṭalib, I came to him with 'Uthmān ibn 'Affan and we said, "O Messenger of Allah بالمنافقة, how come you have given the share (of al-Khumus from Khaybar) to Banū al-Muṭṭalib and left us, when we both share the same degree of (family) relationship to you."

The Messenger of Allah said, "Banū Hāshim and Banū al-Muṭṭalib are the same."

So the Prophet did not give anything to Banū 'Abd Shams and Banū Nawfal.'

The narration above shows that Banū Hāshim and Banū al-Muṭṭalib are included in the Prophet's family. The Ahl al-Sunnah narrates from the entire spectrum of Ahl al-Bayt who were known for knowledge. Al-Bukhārī alone narrrates from 'Abbās, 'Alī, Fāṭimah, Umm Hāni', 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās, Faḍl ibn 'Abbās, Kathīr ibn 'Abbās, 'Abd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ḥasan ibn 'Alī ibn

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Da'awāt, Hadīth 6360

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth 4229

Abī Ṭālib, Ḥūsayn ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib, ʿAbd Allāh ibn al-Ḥārith ibn Nawfal, ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd Allah ibn al-Ḥārith ibn Nawfal, Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib [ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah], ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn [Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn], Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī [al-Bāqir], ʿAbd Allāh ibn al-Faḍl, Muḥammad ibn ʿAmr ibn Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī, Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah. These are but a few names from the Ahl al-Bayt whose narrations are to be found in <code>Sahīh</code> al-Bukhārī.

How could the Ahl al-Sunnah be accused of disregarding the legacy of the Ahl al-Bayt when, if the 'Asharah Mubashsharah¹ — who are accorded the highest status among the Companions — are considered, then 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib 🍇 is the one who has the most narrations recorded among them. Abu al-Ḥajjāj al-Mizzī has compiled a phenomenal index of all the narrations appearing in the six major collections titled *Tuḥfat al-Ashrāf*. He has arranged all the narrations according to the Companion who narrates it from the Prophet 🎉 It is no surprise that 'Alī's ﷺ narrations number the highest among them, reaching a total of 332 narrations in the six major primary Ḥadīth collections. He is followed by 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb ﷺ, whose narrations total 312, and then Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ at 121 narrations.

If we were to widen the scope of the survey and consider some of the other earlier texts, we could include the findings taken from the encyclopedia of Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn Ḥajar who used al-Mizzī's idea and indexed the narrations of eleven other major Ḥadīth collections in a book titled *Ithāf al-Maharah*. The results of surveying this encyclopedia reveals that the narrations from ʿAlī www in these works exceeds the total of any of the other nine. Ahl al-Sunnah have recorded a total of 877 narrations from ʿAlī www in these other Ḥadīth collections, next is ʿUmar www with 787 narrations.

¹ The Prophet force listed the names of ten of his closest companions promising their entry into Paradise. These ten are refered to as the 'Asharah Mubashsharah, translated as the ten who received glad tidings [of Paradise]. They are Abū Bakr ibn Abī Quḥāfah, 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭab, 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān, 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ṭalḥah ibn 'Ubayd Allāh, Zubayr ibn al-'Awwām, Sa'd ibn Abī Waaqāṣ, 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Awf, Abū 'Ubaydah 'Āmir ibn al-Jarrāh, Sa'īd ibn Zayd 🌬.

On the other hand, there is not a single narration from Fāṭimah (in the entire collection of al-Kāfī which comprises over 16000 narrations! The number of narrations from the Prophet's grandsons, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn (found in the Ṣaḥīḥayn outnumber the number of narrations in al-Kāfī. It appears that the Ahl al-Sunnah have preserved the legacy of the Ahl al-Kisā¹ to a greater extent than those who deem them infallible. The shocker is that al-Ṣadūq ibn Bābawayh acknowledges the fact that very little was passed on from 'Alī Zayn al-'Ābidīn.² The poignant question is that if the Ahl al-Bayt were advocating an alternative approach to the Ahl al-Sunnah in terms of doctrine and practise, where were the Shī ah receiving instruction from for almost a century as the legacy of the Ahl al-Bayt in general, and the Ahl al-Kisā specifically, was preserved to a greater extent by the Ahl al-Sunnah?

Demolishing the straw-man

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's responses are primarily targeted at the strawman objection that he penned in the name of his debater. He flatters him for the sake of convenience and to give some credibility to the exchange. While the details of the correspondence might be counterfeight, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is playing the role of a social influencer to the innocent, unguarded, educated class of his age. The broad assumptions which cloak the innaccuracies of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's discourse could not possibly stir a scholar of the stature of Shaykh al-Azhar. The distortion of fact, and a calculated misrepresentation of what a potential Sunnī argument might be serves as the perfect medium for propoganda.

The first misleading detail is the claim that half of the Muslims never turned away from Ahl al-Bayt, and never shall they turn away. We have already demonstrated that nobody turned away from Ahl al-Bayt. On the contrary, a forged ideology was retrospectively conceived in the name of the Ahl al-Bayt and the later Shī ah

¹ The Prophet ﷺ, his daughter - Fāṭimah ﷺ, his cousin - ʿAlī ﷺ, and his two grandsons - Hasan and Husayn ﷺ.

² Kamāl al-Dīn wa Tamām al-Niʿmah by al-Ṣadūq, vol.1 pg. 96, al-Aʿlamī 1991 edition

had to develop a counter-narrative to accommodate for this. That being said, even if we were to accept that narrative temporarily we find that people like 'Abd al-Ḥusayn always get caught in their lies. The claim that only fifty-percent of Muslims 'turned away from Ahl al-Bayt' is grossly inflated. Let any Shīʿī scholar name some of the prominent adherents of the 'path of Ahl al-Bayt' during that time. Despite the forced conversions during the Safawid reign of terror and recent proselytisation efforts, the Shīʿah — considering all their factions — barely exceed ten-percent of the world's Muslim.

Compare that with what has been narrated by al-Kulaynī and ratified by al-Majlisī, from Abū Jaʿfar al-Bāqir:

"After the Prophet's passing the people turned apostate except for three."

[The narrator says] I asked who they were.

Abū Jaʿfar replied, "Miqdād ibn al-Aswad, Abū Dharr al-Ghifārī, and Salmān al-Fārisī. May Allah's mercy and blessings be upon them, for it is them around whom the grinding stone of Islam revolved." ¹

As catastrophic the implications of such a narration might be, it shows that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's cajoling was nothing more than an act for his readers. Furthermore it belies the claim that those who — in keeping with the Shīʿī narrative — remained on the path of Ahl al-Bayt represented half the Ummah!

The second misleading detail is that 'the nations politicians' opted for elections and abandoned the Prophet's المنافذة Waṣiyyah [bequest] for 'Alī المنافذة Waṣiyyah that this was to apease the various Arab tribes with the hope of future leadership.

¹ Al-Rawdah min al-Kāfī, vol. 8 pg. 245; Mir'āt al-'Uqūl vol. 26 pg. 213

This could not be more inaccurate since those present at Saqīfah Banī Sāʿidah, whom ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn dubs 'the nations poiliticians',¹ argued that leadership can only be with the Quraysh.

Al-Tabarī describes the incident at Saqīfah thus, from 'Umar ' Umar ' Um

What happened when Allah took his Messenger is that the Anṣār had assembled under the canopy of Banū Sāʿidah, whilst ʿAlī and Zubayr and those with them were absent from us in the house of Fāṭimah. The Muhājirīn gathered around Abū Bakr and I said, "Let us go to our brothers from the Ansār."

So we set of heading towards them. On the way two pious men who witnessed Badr met us and said, "Where are you heading, O Muhājirīn?"

1 The 'nations politicians' comprised of the Anṣār and three representatives from the Muhājirīn; Abū Bakr, 'Umar and Abū 'Ubaydah ﷺ.

With regards to Abū Bakr who has favoured me the greatest with his property and company is Abū Bakr. If I were to take a *Khalīl* (close friend) other than Allah, I would certainly have taken Abū Bakr. It is enough that we share the Islamic bond of brotherhood and friendship." [Ṣaḥūḥ al-Bukhārī, 3654]

The Prophet said about 'Umar, "There were Muḥaddathūn (Divinely inspired people) among the nations before you. If there is any of such person among my followers, it is 'Umar." [Ṣaḥūḥ al-Bukhārī, 3689; Ṣaḥūḥ Muslim, 2398]

This is what the Prophet said about Abū 'Ubaydah said, "Every nation has an Amīn [one entrusted with great affairs]. The Amīn of my Ummah is Abū 'Ubaydah." [Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, 3744,4382,7255; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, 2419]

About the Anṣār this is what the Prophet will said about them, "I advise you to treat the Anṣār well. They are my family and with them I found shelter. They have acquitted themselves credibly of the responsibility that fell upon them and now there remains what is for them. The believers will increase, but the Anṣār will diminish to the extent that they would be among men as salt is in food. Whoever among you occupies a position of responsibility and is powerful enough to do harm or good to people should fully acknowledge and appreciate the favour that these benefactors have shown, and overlook their faults." [Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, 3799; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, 2510]

The Prophet said, "Love for the Anṣār is a sign of īmān and hatred for the Anṣār is a sign of nifāq [hypocrisy]." [Ṣahīḥ Muslim, 1845]

We said, "We intend meeting our brothers from the Anṣār."

They said, "Return and discuss your matter amongst yourselves (Muhājirīn)."

We replied, "By Allah! We will go and see them."

(He said) We went to them and they were gathered at the meeting place of Banū Sāʻidah and amongst them there was a man covered with his shawl.

I said, "Who is this?" and they replied, "Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah."

I asked, "What is the matter with him?"

They replied, "He is sick."

Then a man amongst them stood up praised Allah and said, "Indeed we are the Anṣār and the legion of Islam and you are the Quraysh, the kinsmen of our Prophet, and a group of you has come to us ..."

When I realised they intended to leave us without a say and exclude us from the matter... I had prepared an impressive speech in my mind which I intended to present before Abū Bakr in such a manner that it would pacify his anger somewhat (if I spoke out of turn). When I intended to speak he bade me to keep silent and I did not want to disobey him.

Then he stood up and praised Allah, and he was more composed and tolerant than me. He did not omit a single thing I had formulated in my mind that I intended to say if I had to speak except that he expressed it more eloquently.

He said, "O Anṣār! You have not mentioned a single virtue about yourselves except that you are worthy of it. (That being said) the Arabs do not recognise leadership except in this tribe of the Quraysh. They are the greatest of Arabs in terms of residence and lineage. I am pleased with

either of these two men. Pledge your allegiance to either of the two you prefer," and he grabbed hold of my hand and the hand of Abū 'Ubaydah.

By Allah, I approved of everything he said besides this statement. For me to be brought forward and have my neck chopped off was easier for me than to be selected as the leader of a community which included Abū Bakr.

When Abū Bakr completed his speech a man amongst them stood up and said, "I am the one with the solution and most deserving of consultation; a leader from amongst you and a leader from amongst us, O Quraysh!"

Then the voices started to rise and the noise started to increase.

I said to Abū Bakr, "Extend your hand so that I can give you the pledge!" and he extended his hand and I gave him my pledge, and the Muhājirīn gave him their pledge, and the Ansār gave him their pledge..."

It is evident from this historic report which describes what happened at Saqīfah Banī Sāʿidah that ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's reasoning is substantiated only in his imagination and is without any basis, whether from historical sources or from Ḥadīth literature.

To claim that there was a *Waṣiyyah* for 'Alī's which leadership is one that is yet to be established. As far as Ahl al-Sunnah is concerned no such Waṣiyyah exists, and the narrations found in the Shīʿī books are clear forgeries. Source evidence aside, the fact that 'Alī which fought Muʿāwiyah which at Ṣiffīn demanding that he gives his pledge of allegiance indicates that he considered his own instatement through Shūra a valid instatement. Had he been aware of any bequest from the Prophet which he would have demanded that Muʿāwiyah which, and the three Khulafā before him, acknowledge his appointment in light of this text. His comportment throughout is inconsistent with someone whose appointment was by way of bequest.

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 2, p. 234-235

Beyond this, we find that 'Alī's we policies and religious practise was not very different from that of his three companions before him we. Does this mean that he succumbed to their 'schemes and politics' and even he strayed from the way of Ahl al-Bayt? Worse still is the fact that his son, Ḥasan we, abdicated in favour of Muʿāwiyah wes; was this because he too was influenced?

Imāms are not inferior

The emotional outburst that the Imāms are not inferior to Abū Ḥanīfah, Mālik, al-Shāfiʿī, and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal that people leave them. The same can be asked of the Shīʿah; the Imāms are not inferior to Nāfiʿ, Ibn Kathīr, Abū ʿAmr al-Baṣrī, Ibn ʿĀmir al-Dimashqī, ʿĀṣim, Ḥamzah and al-Kisāʾī that the recitation of Qur'an is taken by way of these and the modes of recitation from the Imāms are ignored.

How does 'Abd al-Ḥusayn expect the Sunnī's to adhere to the way of Ahl al-Bayt when the Imāms themselves left behind so little knowledge.¹

The Ahl al-Sunnah love, reveres, honours and respects the Ahl al-Bayt in its entirety. However, being from the Ahl al-Bayt does not automatically render someone a scholar. The Ahl al-Sunnah love Abū Bakr, 'Umar, 'Uthmān, 'Alī, Ibn Mas'ūd, 'Ā'ishah, Abū Hurayrah, Anas, Ibn 'Umar, Ibn 'Abbās, and all the Companions much more than they do Abū Ḥanīfah or Shāfīī. The intellectual legacy of the Ṣaḥābah, and those among Ahl al-Bayt who were known for their knowledge, is preserved in the teachings of these four.

The Ahl al-Sunnah does not consider any of these four infallible and free from error; just as they do not consider the Ṣaḥabah individually infallible.

It is true that no arbitrator would consider the followers of the Ahl al-Bayt as deviant. The real issue is whether or not the Shīʿah truly are followers of the Ahl al-Bayt.

¹ Kamāl al-Dīn wa Tamām al-Niʿmah by al-Ṣadūq, vol.1 pg. 96, al-Aʿlamī 1991 edition

Letter 19

Thul-Hijjah 5, 1329

- I. No Fair Arbitrator Would Call Followers of Ahl al-Bayt Strayers
- II. Following Their Sects is Carrying out the Responsibility
- III. It Could be Said that They Have the Priority to Lead
- IV. Requesting Texts Relevant to the Khilafate
- 1. No; any fair arbitrator would never label those who have upheld the rope of Ahl al-Bayt, who follow in their footsteps, as "strayers," nor are they, by any means, inferior to other Imams.
- 2. Adherence to their sect obligates them and clears their conscience, just like adhering to any of the four sects; there is no doubt about that.
- 3. It may be said that your Twelve Imams are even more worthy of being followed than the four Imams or any others, since all of them follow one sect which they have scrutinized and agreed upon by consensus. Contrariwise, the four Imams' disagreements among themselves exist in all departments of jurisdiction, leaving its sources exclusive, unchecked. It is well known that if one person verifies something, his effort cannot equate that of twelve Imams. This is clear to any fair minded person, and it leaves no argument for any unjust person. Yes, the Nasibis may dispute referring your sect to the Imams of Ahl al-Bayt, and I may, at a later time, ask you to prove their error.
- 4. For the time being, I request you to go ahead and indicate what you claim to be statements nominating Imam 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'مثالثة as the successor to the Prophet مثالثة . Derive your arguments from Sunni references, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 20

Thul-Hijjah 9, 1239

- I. A General Reference to the Texts
- II. A Reference to the House on the Day of Warning
- III. Sunni Reporters of this Hadith
- 1. Anyone who is acquainted with the biography of the holy Prophet والمستخدين والمستخدين والمستخدين والمستخدى والمس
- 2. Refer to such statements at the dawn of the Call, before Islam was preached in Mecca publicly, when the Almighty revealed unto him the verse

"And warn thy nearest tribe (Qur'an, 26:214)."

He invited them to the house of his uncle Abu Talib. They were forty men, more or less. Among them were his uncles Abu Talib, al-Hamzah, al-'Abbas, and Abu Lahab. The hadith in this regard is sequentially reported by Sunnis. At the conclusion of his statement to them, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, said:

"O descendants of 'Abdul-Muttlib! I swear by God that I know no youth among the Arabs who has brought his people something better than what

I have brought you. I have brought you the best of this life and the life to come, and God has commanded me to call you towards Him. Therefore, who among you shall support me in this matter and be my brother, the executor of my will, and my successor?"

All the listeners, with the exception of 'Ali, who was the youngest among them, kept silent. 'Ali responded by saying: "I, O Messenger of Allah, am willing to be your vizier in this matter." The Messenger of Allah then took 'Ali by the neck and said: "This is my brother, executor of my will and vizier; therefore, listen to him and obey him." Those present laughed and kept saying to Abu Talib: "Allah has commanded you to listen to your son, and to obey him!"

3. Many of those who have learned the prophetic legacy by heart have reported the hadith above verbatim as such. Among them are: Ibn Ishaq, Ibn Jarir, Ibn Abu Hatim, Ibn Mardawayh, Abu Na'im, al-Bayhaqi in his book Al-Dala'il, both al-Tha'labi and al-Tabari in their exegeses of Surat al Shu'ara' in their book Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, in Vol. 2 of al-Tabari's Tarikh al-Umam wal Muluk.

Ibn al-Athir has reported it as an undisputed fact in Vol. 2 of his Al-Kamil when he mentioned how the Almighty commanded His Messenger to declare his call to the public, Abul-Fida in Vol. of his Tarikh while discussing who was the first to embrace Islam, Imam Abu Ja'far al-Iskafi al-Mu'tazili in his book Naqd al-Uthmaniyyah declaring its accuracy,1 al-Halabi in his chapter on the Prophet's hideout at the house of Arqam in his well-known Sirah.2

In this same context, with almost identical wording, has this hadith been reported by many masters of hadith and most reliable Sunni authorities such as al-Tahawi, Diya' al-Maqdisi in his Mukhtara, and Sa'id ibn Mansur in his Sunan. Refer to what Ibn Hanbal has recorded of 'Ali's hadith on pages 111 and 159 of Vol. 1 of his Musnad. He also pointed out at the beginning

of page 331 of Vol. 1 of his Musnad, to a very significant hadith from Ibn 'Abbas] containing ten characteristics in which 'Ali has distinguished himself from everyone else. That hadith is published in Nisa'i, too, from Ibn 'Abbas, on page 6 of his Khasa'is al 'Alawiyyah, and on page 132, Vol. 3, of Hakim's Mustadrak. Al-Thahbi has narrated it in his Talkhis], vouching for its authenticity. Refer to Vol. 6 of Kanz al-'Ummal which contains all the details.3 Refer also to Muntakhabul Kanz which is cited in the footnote of Imam Ahmad's Musnad; refer to the footnote on pages 41 and 43 of Vol. 5 of the book to find all details. This, we believe, suffices to serve as glorious proof, and peace be with you.

011					
Sh					
Since	reiy,				

Footnotes

- 1. As on page 263, Vol. 3, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by Ibn Abul Hadid, Egyptian edition. As regarding his book Naqd al-'Uthmaniyya, it is a unique book worthy of the attention of any seeker of the truth. It is on page 257 and its succeeding pages up to page 281, Vol. 3, of the Sharh, at the end the commentary at the conclusion of the "qasi'a" sermon.
- 2. Refer to the fourth page of that chapter, or to page 381 of the first volume of Al-Sira al-Halabiyya. Ibn Taymiyyah's wrecklessness is unfair, and his judgment is due to his well-known fanaticism. This hadith is quoted by the Egyptian sociologist Muhammad Hasanayn Haykal; refer to the second column on page five of the supplement to issue 2751 of his newspaper Al-Siyasa dated Thul-Qi'da 12, 1350, and you will find it there explained in detail. If you refer to the fourth column on page six of the supplement to issue 2785 of the same newspaper, you will find the author quoting this hadith from Muslim's , Ahmad's musnad, 'Abdullah ibn Ahmad's Ziyadat

al-Musnad, Ibn Hajar al-Haithami's Jami'ul Fara'id, Ibn Qutaybah's 'Uyun al-Akhbar, Ahmad ibn 'Abd Rabbih's Al-'Iqd al-Farid, 'Amr ibn Bahr al-Jahiz in his dissertation on the descendants of Hashim, and Imam Abu Ishaq al-Tha'labi's Tafsir. This hadith is also quoted by the British author Georges in his well-known book A Treatise on Islam, translated into the Arabic by an atheist from a Protestant descent calling himself Hashim al-'Arabi. You can also find this hadith on page 79 of the treatise's Arabic version, 6th edition. Due to the fame this hadith enjoys, a few non-Arab writers have included it in their books, especially in French, English and German. In his book Heroes and Hero Worship, Thomas Carlyle quotes it briefly.

3. Refer to hadith 6008 on page 392, and you will find it quoted from Ibn Jarir, while hadith 1045 on page 396 is quoted from Ahmad's Musnad and from al-Dia al-Maqdisi's Al-Mukhtara, and from al-Tahawi. Ibn Jarir has verified it. Also refer to hadith 6056 on page 397 and you will find it quoted from Ibn Ishaq, Ibn Jarir, Ibn Abu Hatim, Ibn Mardawayh, Abu Na'im, al-Bayhaqi on the branches of faith, and in the Dala'il, and hadith 6102 on page 401 and you will find it quoted from Ibn Mardawayh, and hadith 6155 on page 408 and you will find it quoted from Ahmad's Musnad and from Ibn Jarir from Al-Diya fil Mukhtara. Whoever researches Kanz al-'Ummal will find this hadith in various places throughout the book. If you look into page 255, Vol. 3, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by the Mu'tazilite Imam Ibn Abul-Hadid, or at the end of the explanation of the "qasi'a sermon" in it, you will find this hadith in its entirety.

Discussions

The Madh-hab of Ahl al-Bayt

The assumptions of the previous round of 'correspondence' are upheld here as well. The Shaykh al-Azhar is made to seem accepting of a distinct Madh-hab which is ascribed to the Ahl al-Bayt.

The discussions under Letter 4 deal with this phenomenon in sufficient detail. As such, to address it here would not only be superluous, but redundant.

General texts

'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges that a person who studies the Prophet's Firah comprehensively will find that 'Alī was his closest aide and adviser. These are meant to be indicators for 'Alī's for nomination. The Ahl al-Sunnah do not deny the virtues of 'Alī was. They have compiled exclusive chapters about the virtues of 'Alī was in their ḥadīth collections. Similarly, many of the other Companions of the Prophet have virtues and merits.

However, he fails to realise that 'Alī نقطة was the first to accept Islam among the children, whereas Abū Bakr was the first to accept among the men. He seems to have forgotten who was nearly killed after being beaten up in al-Masjid al-Ḥarām defending the Prophet المالة . Who was it that accompanied the Prophet مالة on the journey of Hijrah? On the eve of the Battle of Badr it was Abū Bakr who reassured the Prophet مالة للمالة that Allah would not abandon him. It was on Abū Bakr's منافقة counsel that the Prophet مالة spared the lives of the captives at Badr. Abū Bakr was appointed as the leader of Ḥajj in the year prior to the Prophet's منافقة إلى المالة ال

Is 'Abd al-Ḥusayn unaware that Allah praised Abū Bakr at numerous places in the Qur'ān?

إِلَّا تَنْصُرُوهُ فَقَدْ نَصَرَهُ اللّٰهُ إِذْ أَخْرَجَهُ الَّذِيْنَ كَفَرُواْ ثَانِيَ اثْنَيْنِ إِذْ هُمَا فِي الْغَارِ إِذْ يَقُولُ لِصَاحِبِهِ لَا تَحْزَنْ إِنَّ اللّٰهَ مَعَنَا فَأَنْزَلَ اللّٰهُ سَكِيْنَتَهَ عَلَيْهِ وَأَيْدَه بِجُنُوْدٍ لَمْ تَرَوْهَا وَجَعَلَ كَلِمَةَ اللَّذِيْنَ كَفَرُوا السُّفْلَى وَكَلِمَةُ اللّٰهِ هِيَ الْعُلْيَا وَاللّٰهُ عَزِيْزٌ حَكِيْمٌ

If you do not aid him [i.e. the Prophet [Lie.] — Allah has already aided him when those who disbelieved had driven him out [of Makkah] as one of two, when they were in the cave and he [i.e. Muḥammad said to his Companion, "Do not grieve; indeed Allah is with us." And Allah sent down His tranquillity upon him and supported him with soldiers [i.e. angels] you did not see and made the word of those who disbelieved the lowest while the word of Allah — that is the highest. And Allah is Exalted in Might and Wise.

'Urwah ibn al-Zubayr relates that he asked 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr ibn al-'Āṣ, "Tell me of the worst thing which the mushrikūn did to the Prophet?"

He said, "While the Prophet was praying in the Ḥijr of the Kaʿbah; 'Uqbah ibn Abī Muʿayṭ came and put his garment around the Prophet's neck and throttled him violently. Abū Bakr came and caught him by his shoulder and pushed him away from the Prophet and said, 'Do you want to kill a man just because he says, 'My Lord is Allah?'"¹

'Ā'ishah وَخَالِنَكُ narrates:

Some Muslims emigrated to Abyssinia and Abū Bakr also prepared himself for the emigration, but the Prophet said (to him), "Wait, for I hope that Allah will allow me also to emigrate."

Abū Bakr said, "May my father and mother be sacrificed for you. Do you expect to emigrate (soon)?"

The Prophet said, "Yes."

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Manāqib al-Anṣār, ḥadīth no. 3856.

So Abū Bakr waited to accompany the Prophet and fed two shecamels he had on the leaves of an acacia tree regularly for four months. One day while we were sitting in our house at midday, someone said to Abū Bakr, "Here is the Messenger of Allah coming with his head and a part of his face covered with a cloth at an hour he never used to come to us."

Abū Bakr said, "May my father and mother be sacrificed for you, (O Prophet)! An urgent matter must have brought you here at this hour."

The Prophet seems and asked permission to enter, and he was allowed.

The Prophet entered and said to Abū Bakr, "Let those who are with you excuse themselves."

Abū Bakr replied, "There is no stranger; they are your family. Let my father be sacrificed for you, O Messenger of Allah!"

The Prophet مَاسَنَعْتُ said, "I have been allowed to leave (Makkah)."

Abū Bakr said, "Shall I accompany you, O Messenger of Allah, May my father be sacrificed for you?"

The Prophet صَّالَتُهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمْ said, "Yes."

Abū Bakr said, "O Messenger of Allah! May my father be sacrificed for you. Take one of these two she camels of mine."

The Prophet مَالِسُنَةِ said, "I will take it only after paying its price."

So we prepared their baggage and put their journey food in a leather bag; and Asmā' bint Abī Bakr cut a piece of her girdle and tied the mouth of the leather bag with it. That is why she was called Dhāt al-Niṭāqayn. Then the Prophet and Abū Bakr went to a cave in a mountain called Thawr and remained there for three nights. 'Abd Allah ibn Abī Bakr, who was a young intelligent man, used to stay with them at night and leave before

dawn so that in the morning, he would be with the Quraysh in Makkah as if he had spent the night among them. If he heard of any plot contrived by the Quraysh against the Prophet and Abū Bakr, he would understand it and (return to) inform them of it when it became dark. 'Āmir ibn Fuhayrah, the freed slave of Abū Bakr used to graze a flock of sheep for them and he used to take those sheep to them a while after the 'Ishā prayer. They would sleep till 'Āmir awakened them when it was still dark. He used to do that in each of those three nights...'

Jābir مُنْقَطِّنَةُ narrates:

The Prophet Addition, after his return from the 'Umrah which commenced at Ji'irrānah, sent Abū Bakr to lead the Ḥajj. We proceeded until we were close to al-'Arj when the adhān for Fajr was called out and the sound of the Messenger's camel was heard and sitting on it was 'Alī.

Abū Bakr said to him, "Have you been sent as a leader or a messenger?"

He said, "Rather, the Messenger sent me with (Sūrat) al-Bara'ah to recite to the people."

We arrived in Makkah and one day before the Day of Tarwiyah, Abū Bakr came and addressed the people with regards to their rituals. Upon the completion of his address 'Alī stood up and recited (Sūrat) al-Barā'ah to the people until he completed it. The Day of al-Naḥr passed by in the same manner and the Day of al-Naḥr passed by in the same manner.²

During this Ḥajj, Abū Bakr proclaimed that no mushrik may perform Ḥajj after that year, and no person may perform ṭawāf in an unclothed state. He commanded his other Companions to do the same. This is supported by what al-Bukhārī narrates from Abū Hurayrah, who said:

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Libās, Hadīth: 5807.

² Fath al-Bārī, vol. 8 p. 171.

بعثني أبو بكر في تلك الحجة في مؤذنين يوم النحر نؤذن بمنى أن لا يحج بعد العام مشرك ولا يطوف بالبيت عريان قال حميد بن عبد الرحمن ثم أردف رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم عليا فأمره أن يؤذن ببراءة قال أبو هريرة فأذن معنا علي في أهل منى يوم النحر لا يحج بعد العام مشرك ولا يطوف بالبيت عريان.

Abū Bakr sent me during that Ḥajj amongst the announcers on the Day of Naḥr at Minā that no mushrik may perform the Ḥajj after that year and no person may perform ṭawāf naked.

Ḥumayd ibn Abd al-Raḥmān says, "Then the Messenger مَا الله seated 'Alī (on his camel) and instructed him to announce (recite Sūrat) al-Barā'ah (to the people)."

Abū Hurayrah says, "Then 'Alī announced with us amongst the people in Minā the Day of al-Naḥr (Sūrat) al-Barā'ah and that no mushrik may perform the Ḥajj after that year and that no person may perform ṭawāf naked."

The reason for sending 'Alī نفي was that since the Prophet ناه was a leader, it was Arab custom that only he, or someone from his household could convey this instruction.

Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī هُوْشَافِينَ relates:

The Messenger was ill and when his illness intensified, He said, "Order Abū Bakr to lead the people in salāh!"

'Ā'ishah then said, "Indeed, he is a soft-hearted man. When he stands in your place he will be unable to lead the people in ṣalāh."

She then repeated herself and he said, "Order Abū Bakr to lead the ṣalāh! Indeed you are of the women of Yūsuf!"

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, vol. 4, Ḥadīth: 4378.

He then came to the Messenger and he led the people in salāh during the life of the Messenger 1.

'Ā'ishah المَوْنَقُونَ narrates:

The Messenger said, "Indeed, I was on the verge of calling Abū Bakr and his son, and entrust leadership to him for fear that people might speak or aspire to things. But Allah and the Believers refuse to have anyone but Abū Bakr."

In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, 'Urwah narrates from 'Ā'ishah 🕬:

The Messenger said to me, "Call Abū Bakr and your brother for me so that I may write a letter. Indeed I fear that some aspiring person might say I am more deserving, but Allah and the Believers refuse to have anyone but Abū Bakr."

Jubayr ibn Muṭʻim ﴿﴿ اللَّهُ اللَّ

A woman came to the Messenger and he instructed her to return to him later.

She said, "What should I do if I return and I do not find you?" It was as if she was implying death.

He replied, "If you do not find me then go to $Ab\bar{u}$ Bakr."

To this end the Prophet صَأَلْتَلُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ said:

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Hadīth: 646.

² Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Hadīth: 6791.

³ Sahīh Muslim with the commentary, 15: 2387.

⁴ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Ḥadīth: 3459; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim with its commentary, The Chapter on the Merits of the Ṣaḥābah, 15: 2386.

No one has done a favour for us except that we have repaid him in full, with the exception of Abū Bakr. Verily his favour upon us is such that Allah will repay him on the Day of Judgement. The wealth of none of you has benefited me as much as the wealth of Abū Bakr. Were I to take a close companion it would have been Abū Bakr; however my Khalīl is Allah.¹

The following narration ought to be accepted by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn since its narrators are from his list of one-hundred.

Abū Muʻāwiyah – Al-Aʻmash – Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʻī - ʻAlqamah – ʻUmar bin Al-Khattab who narrated:

The Messenger of Allah would stay up at night with Abu Bakr discussing matters concerning the Muslims while I was with them.²

One wonders what Sīrah ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn refers to when it is also reported that ʿAlī عَلَيْنَةُ attested to the fact that Abū Bakr and ʿUmar were always in the Prophet's مَالِسُتَهُ وَصَلَّهُ company.

Ibn Abī Mulaykah reported:

I heard Ibn 'Abbās saying, "When 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb was placed on the bier the people gathered around him. They praised him and supplicated for him before the bier was lifted up, and I was one amongst them. Nothing attracted my attention but a person who gripped my shoulder from behind. I turned towards him and found that it was 'Alī. He invoked Allah's mercy upon 'Umar and said, 'You have left none behind you (whose) deeds (are so desirable) that I love to meet Allah with them. By Allah, I expected that Allah would keep you and your two companions together. I had often heard Allah's Messenger as saying, "I came and Abū Bakr and 'Umar came along; I entered and Abū Bakr and 'Umar entered with me; I went out

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāgib, Hadīth: 3661.

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Ṣalāh, Ḥadīth 169; Musnad Aḥmad, Ḥadīth: 178, 228.

and Abū Bakr and 'Umar were with," and I expected – or hoped – that Allah will keep you along with them."

The Ḥadīth of Warning his closest kin

'Abd al-Ḥusayn deserves to be congratulated for his resourcefulness and creative mind. He was careful to ask himself — with the pen of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī — to provide references from Sunnī sources. He cites a narration and provides references to Ibn Ishāq, Ibn Jarīr, Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Ibn Mardawayh, Abū Nuʿaym, al-Bayhaqī, al-Ṭhaʿlabī, al-Ṭabarī in his *Tafsīr*, al-Ṭabarī in his work on history, Ibn al-Athīr, Abul Fidā in is book on history, Abū Jaʿfar al-Iskāfī, and al-Ḥalabī in his book on Sīrah. This narration is cited as context for the revelation of verse 214 in Sūrah al-Shuʿarā.

The average reader might be led to the assumption that the narration cited is beyond question as it is narrated in so many texts. The truth is that it is a single narration which is repeated with the same chain of transmission througout all the books. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's deception is evidently clear since Ibn Jarīr and al-Ṭabarī are one and the same person. His complete name is Muḥammad ibn Jarīr al-Tabarī, and his Kunyah is Abū Ja'far.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has present a truncated version of this narration. The narration in its complete form reads:

When this verse, "And warn, (O Muḥammad), your closest kindred," was revealed upon Muḥammad, he called me and said, "O 'Alī! Allah has instructed me 'to warn your close relatives,' but I find that difficult. I know that when I open this discussion with them I will see from them what I dislike. Therefore prepare a meal for them with a leg of lamb and milk. Then gather the sons of 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib for me so that I may speak to them and convey to them what I have been instructed with."

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Faḍā'il, Ḥadīth: 3677, Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'l al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth: 2389.

Then I did what he instructed me to do and I invited them to come and see him. They were approximately forty on that day and amongst them were his paternal uncles Abū Ṭālib, Ḥamzah, ʿAbbās, and Abū Lahab. When they were all there he summoned me to bring the food I had prepared for them. Then I brought it and when I placed it down the Prophet took a chunk of meat and cut into it with his teeth and then spread it out at the corners of the plate.

Then he said, "Take, with the name of Allah!"

The people ate until they were satisfied. I could only see their hands.

Then he said, "Bring the drinks," and I brought them the milk and they drank until they all were quenched.

When the Prophet intended to address them Abū Lahab preceded him in speaking and said, "Indeed, your companion has bewitched you," and the people left and the Prophet in did not speak to them.

Then Prophet said, "Tomorrow, O ʿAlī! Indeed, this person preceded me to what you heard from him of speech and the people left before I could speak to them. Prepare the food again as you did before. Then gather them by me."

Then I did that and gathered them and the Prophet summoned me to bring the food. I presented the food they ate to their fill as the previous day. Then he said, "Quench them." and I brought the milk until all of them were quenched.

The Messenger then spoke and said, "O Banī 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib! By Allah! I do not know of a youth amongst the Arabs who has brought to his people what I am bringing you. I bring to you the best of this world and the next. Indeed, Allah has instructed me to call you to him. Who will assist me with this and he will be my brother, and my executor, and my khalīfah amongst you?"

('Alī says) All of them desisted and I said, and I was the youngest amongst them, and the one with the smallest eyes, and the sternest amongst them, and the most zealous amongst them, "Me, O Messenger of Allah! I will be your assistant upon it!"

The Prophet took me by the shoulder and said, "This is my brother, and my executor, and my khalīfah amongst you! Therefore, listen to him, and obey him!" The people stood up laughing and saying to Abū Ṭālib, "Indeed, he instructs you to listen to your son and to obey him!"

The purport of the narration is problematic for the following reasons:

- 1. It portrays the Prophet reluctant to carry out the command of Allah. In some versions of the narration it says that Jibrīl descended a second time to warn him for a repercussions if he does not carry out the command of Allah. Such insinuation is unbecoming of the Messenger of Allah frame.
- 2. In the ḥadīth it tells us that the sons of 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib, "Were approximately forty on that day." However, history tells us that they were not even twenty men in number, let alone forty! Ibn Taymiyyah comments:

Scholars agree that only four of the sons of 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib had sons to perpetuate the family name. They were 'Abbās, Abū Ṭālib, Ḥārith, and Abū Lahab. As for the uncles and the cousins, Abū Ṭālib had four sons: Ṭālib, 'Aqīl, Ja'far, and 'Alī. As for 'Abbās all of his sons were minors as none of them were adults when in Makkah. But for argument's sake, let us assume they were all adult men then they were 'Abd Allāh, 'Ubayd Allāh, and Fadl. As for Qatham, he was born afterwards. The eldest amongst them

¹ *Sīrah ibn Isḥāq*, pg 126; *Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī*, vol. 17 pg. 662 [2003 edition]; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, vol.9 pg. 2826; *Sharḥ Maʿānī al-Āthār*, vol. 3 pg. 284-286 & vol. 4 pg 387-388; Abū Nuʿaym in *al-Dalāʾil* (331); al-Bayhaqī in *al-Dalāʾil*, vol. 2 pg 178-180.

was Faḍl and it was with that name he was called by in his agnomen. As for Ḥārith ibn ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib and Abū Lahab, their sons were fewer. Ḥārith had two sons: Abū Sufyān and Rabīʿah, both of them accepted Islam later. They were amongst those who accepted Islam upon the Conquest of Makkah. Similarly, the sons of Abū Lahab, they too accepted Islam upon the Conquest of Makkah. He had three sons, two of whom accepted Islam, ʻUtbah and Mughīth.¹

3. This narration contradicts another which is authentic and well-established.

Al-Bukhārī and Muslim narrate from Ibn 'Abbās who said:

لما نزلت وأنذر عشيرتك الأقربين صعد النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم على الصفا فجعل ينادي يا بني فهر يا بني عدي لبطون قريش حتى اجتمعوا فجعل الرجل إذا لم يستطع أن يخرج أرسل رسو لا لينظر ما هو فجاء أبو لهب وقريش فقال أرأيتكم لو أخبرتكم أن خيلا بالوادي تريد أن تغير عليكم أكنتم مصدقي قالوا نعم ما جربنا عليك إلا صدقا قال فإني نذير لكم بين يدى عذاب شديد فقال أبو لهب تبا لك سائر اليوم ألهذا جمعتنا فنزلت تبت يدا أبي لهب وتب ما أغنى عنه ماله وما كسب

When the verse, "And warn, (O Muḥammad), your closest kindred," was revealed, the Prophet ascended al-Ṣafā and started exclaiming, "O sons of Fihr! O sons of 'Adī!" to all the clans of the Quraysh until all of them were gathered together. Those unable to attend sent a messenger to find out what the commotion was about. Then Abū Lahab and the Quraysh came.

The Prophet said, "If I should tell you that there is an army in the valley ready to attack you will you believe me?"

They said, "Yes, we have only experienced truthfulness from you."

He said, "Then certainly I am a warner unto you before a severe punishment."

Abū Lahab said, "May you be ruined for the rest of the day! Did you gather us here for this?"

¹ Minhāj al-Sunnah, vol. 7, p. 304-305 with minor adaptation.

Then the following verse was revealed:

May the hands of Abū Lahab be ruined and ruined is he. His wealth will not avail him or that which he gained. He will (enter to) burn in a Fire of (blazing) flame. And his wife (as well)—the carrier of firewood, around her neck is a rope of twisted fibre.¹

4. This narration claims that the Prophet said to 'Alī said, after his people desisted from assisting him, "This is my brother, my executor, and my khalīfah amongst you! Therefore, listen to him, and obey him!" The people stood up laughing and saying to Abū Ṭālib, "Indeed, he instructs you to listen to your son and to obey him!" How could the Prophet say to a people who had refused to assist him, rather, they waged war against him, "This is my brother, and my executor, and my khalīfah amongst you! Therefore, listen to him, and obey him"? They did not obey the divinely sent Prophet would they follow a small boy?

The narration as it appears in all these texts is transmited with one of two common chains

- Muḥammad ibn Ishāq 'Abd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim Minhāl ibn 'Amr
 'Abd Allah ibn al-Ḥārith Ibn 'Abbās ﷺ 'Alī ﴿
- 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abd al-Quddūs al-A'mash al-Minhāl ibn 'Amr with his chain to 'Alī المالة المال

We have already presented the problems in the text. Let us now examine the problems in the chains of transmission. Appearing in the chain of this narration

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, Bāb Wa Andhir ʿAshīrataka al-ʿAqrabīn, Ḥadīth: 4492.

is a pair of unreliable narrators, namely; 'Abd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim and 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Quddūs.

'Abd al-Ghaffar ibn al-Qasim

'Abd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim, Abū Maryam, is *matrūk* (suspected of forgery) and is not proof-worthy. Al-Dhahabī says about him:

He is Abū Maryam al-Ansārī, a Rāfidī. He is not reliable.

'Alī ibn al-Madīnī said about him, "He fabricates ahādīth."

It is also said about him that he is amongst the leaders of the Shīʿah.

'Abbās [al-Dūrī] relates from Yaḥyā [ibn Ma'īn], "He is nothing!"

Al-Bukhārī says, "He is not reliable according to them."

Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal says, "When Abū 'Ubaydah used to relate ḥadīth to us from Abū Maryam the people would become noisy and say, 'We do not want him (his aḥādīth).'

Aḥmad said, "Abū Maryam used to narrate profanities about 'Uthmān."

Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasā'ī among others have said, "Matrūk [suspected of forging Ḥādīth] $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$

Ibn Hibbān says:

He was amongst those who narrated profanities about Uthmān. He consumed alcohol to the point of intoxication. In addition to that he used to distort information. It is not permissible to cite him as proof. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn suspected him of forgery.²

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl by al-Dhahabī, vol. 2, p. 640.

² Kitāb al-Majrūḥīn by Ibn Ḥibbān, p. 143.

Ibn Kathīr says about him:

He is matrūk, a liar, a Shīʿī. ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī and others accuse him of fabricating ḥādīth, and the expert scholars grade him weak.¹

'Abd Allah ibn 'Abd al-Quddūs

As for 'Abd Allāh ibn Quddūs, al-Dhahabī says about him:

He was a Kūfī, Rāfiḍī. He settled in Ray. He narrates from al-Aʿmash and others.

Ibn 'Adī says about him, "Most of what he narrates relates to the merits of the Ahl al-Bayt."

Yaḥyā says, "He is no good (as a transmitter). He is a Rāfiḍī, malicious."

Al-Nasā'ī and others say, "He is not reliable."

Al-Dāraquṭnī says, "He is a weak narrator."

Abū Maʿmar says, "ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-Quddūs, he was a Rāfiḍī." 2

Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī al-Abār says, "I asked Zanīj, Rāzī's teacher, about ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-Quddūs and he said, 'I have abandoned him (suspected of forgery). I did not write anything from him and he was not pleased with it."³

The academic value of references to *Kanz al-'Ummāl* have already been discussed.⁴ Suffice to say that the reference to the abriged version of *Kanz al-'Ummāl* provided

¹ Tafsīr Ibn Kathīr, vol. 3, p. 363.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2, p. 458.

³ Al-Du'afā' by al-'Uqaylī, vol. 2, p. 279.

⁴ Refer to pg. 116 of this book.

by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn has ʿAlī al-Muttaqī on record clearly pointing out that ʿAbd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim is a narrator suspected of forging Ḥadīth.¹If ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn cited this from a later source then his sloppiness can be understood, but if he cited it directly from *Muntakhab Kanz al-ʿUmmāl* his deception was deliberate!

The supporting narrations

All that remains under this discussion are the supporting narrations from Musnad Aḥmad, Khaṣā'iş 'Alī by al-Nasā'ī, and al-Mustadrak of al-Ḥākim.

These narrations form the core of the next round of correspondence so we will discuss them there.

¹ Muntakhab al-Kanz, vol. 5 pg. 41.

Letter 21

Thul-Hijjah 10, 1329

I. Raising Doubts about the Hadith's Authenticity

Your debater strongly doubts the credibility of this hadith. For one thing, both Shaykhs have not included it in their sahih books, nor have the authors of other sahih books. I do not think that this hadith has been narrated by any reliable Sunni traditionist, and I do not think that you yourself consider it authentic, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 22

Thul-Hijjah 1329

- I. Proving the Text's Authenticity
- II. Why the Shaykhs Have Not Reported it
- III. Whoever Knows These Shaykhs Knows Why
- 1. Have I not ascertained its reliability by Sunnis, I would not have mentioned it to you. Yet Ibn Jarir and Imam Abu Ja'far al-Iskafi have taken its authenticity for granted.1 Several other critics have also considered it authentic. It is sufficient proof for its authenticity the fact that it is reported by the reliable authorities upon whose accuracy the authors of sahih books rely unhesitatingly. Refer to page 111, Vol. 1, of Ahmad's Musnad, where you will read this hadith as narrated by Aswad ibn 'Amir2 from Sharik,3 al-A'mash,4 Minhal,5 'Abbad ibn 'Abdullah al-Asadi,6 from 'Ali said chronologically.

Each one of these men in the chain of narrators is an authority in his own right, and they all are reliable traditionists according to the testimony of the authors of the sahih books without any dispute. Al-Qaysarani has mentioned them in his book Al-Jami' Bayna Rijal al-Sahihain. There is no doubt that this hadith is authentic, and the narrators report it from various ways each one of which supports the other.

2. The reason why both shaykhs [Bukhari and Muslim], and their likes, have not quoted this hadith is due to the fact that it did not agree with their own personal views regarding the issue of succession. This is why they have rejected a great deal of authentic texts for fear the Shi'as may use them as pretexts; therefore, they hid the truth knowingly.

There are many Sunni shaykhs, may Allah forgive them, who have likewise hidden such texts, and they have in their method of hiding a well known history written down by al-Hafiz ibn Hajar in his Fath Al-Barari. Al-Bukhari has assigned a special chapter for this theme at the conclusion of his chapter on "Al-'Ilm," in Vol. 1, page 25, of his Sahih, subtitled "A Chapter on Those Who Recognized the Knowledge of some People Rather than that of Others."

3. Whoever knows the way al-Bukhari thought, his own attitudes towards the Commander of the Faithful parties, and towards all Ahl al-Bayt will come to know that Bukhari's pen falls short of narrating texts regarding them, and his ink dries up before recounting their attributes. He will not be surprised to see him rejecting this particular hadith as well as others similar to it; therefore, we seek refuge with Allah, the Almighty, the Sublime, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,		
Sh		

Footnotes

- 1. Refer to hadith 6045 of the hadith included in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 396, Vol. 6, where you will find reference made to Ibn Jarir's verification of this hadith. If you refer to Muntakhab al-Kanz, the beginning of the footnote on page 44, Vol. 5, of Ahmad's Musnad, you will find reference to Ibn Jarir's verification of this hadith. As regarding Abu Ja'far al-Iskafi, he has emphatically judged its accuracy in his book Naqd al-'Uthmaniyya; so, refer to the text of page 263, Vol. 3 of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by al-Hadid, Egyptian edition.
- 2. Both al-Bukhari and Muslim have relied on him in their sahihs. They have both learned hadith from Shu'bah, and Bukhari has learned it from 'Abdul-

'Aziz ibn Abu Salamah, while Muslim has learned hadith from Zuhayr ibn Mu'awiyah and Hammad ibn Salamah. His hadith is narrated in Bukhari by Muhammad ibn Hatim ibn Bazi'. In Muslim's Sahih he is quoted by Harun ibn 'Abdullah the critic, and by Abu Shaybah and Zuhayr.

- 3. Muslim has relied on his authority in his Sahih, as we explained when we discussed him in Letter No. 16.
- 4. Both Bukhari and Muslim rely on his authority in their respective sahihs, as we have stated while discussing him in Letter No. 16.
- 5. Al-Bukhari has relied on him, as we explained when we mentioned him in Letter No. 16.
- 6. His full name is 'Abbad ibn 'Abdullah ibn al-Zubayr ibn al-Awwam al-Qarashi al-Asadi. Al-Bukhari and Muslim rely on his authority in their respective sahihs. He has heard hadith from Asma' and 'Ayesha daughters of Abu Bakr. He is quoted in both sahihs by Ibn Abu Malka, Muhammad ibn Ja'far ibn al-Zubayr, and Hisham ibn 'Umar.

Discussions

Versions of the Hadīth

The first Ḥadīth cited by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn, which concludes the lengthy backstory, is narrated by way of ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib and is worded as follows:

This is my brother, my executor, and my khalīfah amongst you! So, listen to him, and obey him!

In the previous discussion we have demonstrated that this version of the Ḥadīth is narrated exclusively by way of either ʿAbd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim or ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbd al-Quddūs, both of whom were severely impugned as narrators due to them being suspected of forging Ḥadīth, and both of whose narrations could not be elevevated due to the severity of their weakness.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn then cited other narrations for the purpose of corroboration. The narrations he cited were referenced to the *Musnad* of Imām Aḥmad vol.1 pgs 111, 159. He also references a narration from Ibn 'Abbās from *Musnad Aḥmad* vol.1 pg.331, which can also be found in *Khaṣā'iṣ* 'Alī, and the *Mustadrak* of al-Ḥākim vol. 3 pg. 132. For further reading he cites *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl* vol. 6 and *Muntakhab al-Kanz*, which is printed in the margin of *Musnad Aḥmad*, vol. 5 pgs 41, 43.¹

The edition of ${\it Musnad\,Ahmad\,that\, `Abd\,al- Husayn\, refers\, to\, is\, the\, old\, Maymaniyyah\, edition\, which\, was\, printed\, in\, Egypt\, in\, 1313\, A.H.}$

When we refered to the narrations from *Musnad Aḥmad* we found the 'supporting narrations' worded differently from the original narration which was cited.

The first narration is the one whose narrators 'Abd al-Ḥusayn makes sweeping claims about their reliability

¹ See previous letter, al-Murāja'āt letter 20.

Imām Aḥmad narrates it with the following chain:

Aswad ibn ʿĀmir – **Sharīk** – al-Aʿmash – al-Minhāl - ʿ**Abbād ibn** ʿ**Abd Allah al-Asadī** - ʿAlī who said:

When the verse "and warn you nearest kin" was revealed the Prophet gathered some of his family members. Around thirty of them gathered and after they ate and drank the Prophet said, "Who will stand surety for my debts and possessions in my trust, so that he will be with me in Jannah and my successor in my family?"

A man – whom Sharīk did not name – said, "O Messenger of Allah you are like the ocean [in your endless generosity]; who would be able to fulfill this?"

The Prophet then repeated his offer to his family and 'Alī www said, "I [will take that responsibility]." 1

Before addressing the issues with the chain of transmission—which is one of the primary means of verifying the reliability of any Prophetic tradition—we ought to point out that this narration is worded differently from the first narration cited in letter 20.

authority for ʿAlī عَلَيْنَ this narration merely limits his mandate to representing the Prophet المَا المَ

It appears that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn anticipated the possibility of a discerning reader questioning the reliability of the narration cited earlier. In an attempt to put that objection to rest he thought it would be expedient to provide the reference for a different narration altogether.

¹ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 1 pg. 111 [old edition]; vol 2. Pg. 225 Ḥadīth: 883 [Risālah edition].

Let us now focus our attention on the chain of transmission which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn authenticated. This is also the version which Ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī accepts.

Sharīk ibn 'Abd-Allah al-Qadī

Early in the chain we find one **Sharīk ibn** 'Abd-Allah al-Qaḍī, from Kūfah. He is considered weak, especially in that which he narrated from memory after being assigned a post in the judiciary. The narrations which are accepted from him are those which he narrated prior to his appointment as judge, or when he narrated from his books and not from memory.

Ibn Hibbān said about him:

Towards the end he erred regularly and his memory failed him. Therefore, the narrations of those who heard from him in his early days in Wāsiṭ do not have confusion — like Yazīd ibn Harūn, Isḥāq al-Azraq — as for those who heard from him later on in Kufah, their narrations have many mistakes. 1

Ibn 'Adī had this to say:

In general his narrations are acceptable. However, his narrations were affected on account of weakness of memory so he began to narrate contradictory reports. None of is objectionable reports were deliberate.²

Abū Dāwūd said:

Sharīk is truthful, though he errs in his narrations from al-A'mash. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$

Al-Dhahabī states:

¹ al-Thiqāt, vol. 6 pg. 444.

² al-Kāmil, vol. 5 pg. 36.

³ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 8 pg. 214.

Muslim barely cites the narrations of Sharīk, and that too only for $Mut\bar{a}ba'\bar{a}t$ [supporting narrations which do not satisfy his criteria]. Al-Bukhārī has only mentioned him in his $Mu'allaq\bar{a}t$ [incomplete chains which often do not satisfy his criteria]. ¹

'Abbād ibn 'Abd Allah al-Asadī

Sharīk is not the only issue in the chain of this narration. The more pressing issue is the narrator 'Abbād ibn 'Abd Allah al-Asadī, whom 'Abd al-Ḥusayn seems to have confused with another narrator with a similar name. We are prepared to give 'Abd al-Ḥusayn the benefit of doubt in this instance and consider this an error on his part. One doubts that he would accuse al-Bukhārī of partiality for concealing knowledge and then deliberately deceive his readers by listing the biography of a different narrator.

The narrator of this report is not 'Abbād ibn 'Abd Allah ibn al-Zubayr al-Asadī al-Madanī since neither 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib is listed as his teacher, nor is al-Minhāl ibn 'Amr listed among those who took Ḥadīth from him.² On the other hand, there is an 'Abbād ibn 'Abd Allah al-Asadī al-Kūfī who narrates from 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, and from whom al-Minhāl ibn 'Amr narrates.³

The latter, the one from Kūfah, is the narrator of the Ḥadīth under discussion since he narrates from ʿAlī and the narrator from him is al-Minhāl.

'Alī ibn al-Madīnī and al-Bukhārī both classified him as a weak narrator. Al-Dhahabī also pointed out a forged narration that 'Abbād narrates by way of 'Alī which suggests that his weakness is significant.4

¹ Siyār A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 8 page 212.

² Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 14 pg.136-138.

³ Ṭabaqāt ibn Saʿd, vol.6 pg.179; al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr, vol. 6 bio. 1594; al-Kāmil, vol. 2 pg. 187; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 14. pg. 138.

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2 pg. 368.

This narration is definitely problematic in light of the following factors:

- 1. This narration is at odds with the rigourously authenticated Aḥādīth on the circumstances surrounding the revelation of the said verse.
- 2. The weakness of Sharīk ibn 'Abd Allah al-Nakha'ī.
- 3. The fact that Aswad ibn ʿĀmir is not recorded among those who received Ḥadīth from Sharīk in the early period.
- 4. The problems in Sharīk's narrations from al-A'mash as alluded to by Abū Dāwūd.
- 5. The fact that 'Abbād ibn 'Abd Allah al-Asadī al-Kūfī is unreliable.

This narrations does not fulfill the criteria of basic reliability let alone satisfy the criteria of al-Bukhārī or Muslim.

The second narration from *Musnad Aḥmad* (vol.1 pg. 159) is narrated with the following chain:

'Affān ibn Muslim — Abū 'Awānah — 'Uthmān ibn al-Mughīrah — Abū Ṣādiq — **Rabī'ah ibn Najidh** — 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib who relates:

The Prophet invited Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭālib. They were a group of men, each of them ate a Jadha'ah¹ and drank a Faraq.² Then he prepared a mudd³ of food⁴ and they ate to their fill. The left over food seemed as if they had not even touched it. He then called for a small bowl with something to drink and they drank to their satisfaction, and the leftovers appeared as if they had not touched it. Then he said, "O Banū

¹ This term is used to refer to the age of livestock. The age also indicates its size. This term refers to camels which have reached four years of age, or cows and goats which have reached one year of age.

² A measurement which is equivalent of 9 litres.

³ A measure of volumes which is equivalent to 750 ml.

⁴ The word Ta'ām is often used in Ḥadīth in reference to food prepared with wheat.

'Abd al-Muṭṭalib, I have been sent to you specifically and the rest of people in general. You are well aware of what this verse entails. Who of you is prepared to pledge allegiance to me so that he will be my brother and companion?"

None responded to him, so I stood up to pledge and the Prophet told me to sit. He repeated this a second time, and I alone stood and he told me to sit. On the third time he held my hand [and took the pledge]. 1

This narration only speaks of a fraternal bond and does not mention anything of successorship, although we acknowledge that 'Alī was worthy of being a successor.

Not only does this narration not support 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's theory but the chain is found wanting since **Rabī**'ah ibn Najidh is an anonymous identity. Al-Dhahabī says that he is barely known. The only person who narrates from him is Abū Ṣādiq. He is known for a baseless narration, "'Alī is my brother and heir."²

Al-Nasā'ī narrates this narration with slightly variant wording though with the same chain of transmission.³

The unknown status of Rabī'ah ibn Najidh excludes this narration from fulfulling the criteria of reliability. It, therefore, is also weak.

Al-Bukhārī's academic honesty

The last essential issue that requires attention is the allegation that al-Bukhārī was prejudiced and deliberately excluded narrations on the virtue of 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and concealed the narrations which explicitly mention his appointment.

¹ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 1 pg. 159 (old Egyptian edition), vol. 2 pg. 465 (Risālah edition).

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2 pg. 45.

³ Al-Khaṣā'iṣ, pg. 83.

Not only is this conjecture, but the chapter heading which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quoted refers to a statement from 'Alī himself, with a chain whose narrators are all on 'Abd al-Ḥusayns's list of one-hundred!

'Ubayd Allah ibn Mūsā — Ma'rūf ibn Kharrabūdh — Abū al-Ṭufayl — 'Alī 🍇 🎉

Narrate to people what they are familiar with. Do you wish that Allah and His Messenger المنافقينية are belied?¹

What is meant by this is that some aspects of knowledge might be counterproductive to people who lack the capacity of correctly understanding it; not that any knowledge should be concealed.

Al-Bukhārī provides an example of this under the same chapter. Anas relates:

I was informed that the Prophet Had said to Muʻadh, "Whosoever will meet Allah without associating anything in worship with Him will go to Paradise."

Muʿādh asked the Prophet, "Shall I not inform the people of this good news?"

The Prophet replied, "No, I fear they would rely upon it (only and make no effort to practise)."²

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-ʿIlm, Ḥadīth: 127.

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-ʿIlm, Ḥadīth: 131.

Letter 23

Thul-Hijjah 14, 1329

- I. Convinced of the Authenticity of this Hadith
- II. Unreliability Based on Non-Sequential Narration
- III. Its Reference to Restricted Succession

IV. Its Rebuttal

- 1. I have, indeed, read this hadith on page 111 of Volume One of Ahmad's Musnad and ascertained the reliability of his sources and found them to be the most reliable authorities. Then I researched his avenues in narrating this hadith, and I found them to be sequential: each one of them supports the other; therefore, I have contented myself to believe in its contents.
- 2. But you do not rely on an authentic hadith that deals with the issue of succession unless it is sequentially narrated [mutawatir], for succession, according to your Shi'a philosophy, is one of the roots of religion, and this hadith cannot be considered as "mutawatir" (consecutively reported) and, therefore, it cannot be relied upon.
- 3. It may be said that 'Ali is the successor of the Prophet in his own Household alone; so, where is the text that testifies to his succession among the general public?
- 4. This hadith may even be revoked, since the Prophet has refrained from publicly supporting the gist thereof. Because of this, the companions found no reason why they should not swear the oath of allegiance to the three righteous caliphs, may Allah be pleased with them.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 24

Thul-Hijjah 15, 1329

- I. Why Relying on this Hadith
- II. Restricted Succession is Unanimously Rejected

III. Revocation is Impossible

- 1. Sunnis rely on every correct hadith to confirm their concept of succession, be it mutawatir or not. We rely on the authenticity of this hadith in our argument against theirs simply because they themselves testify to its authenticity, thus binding themselves to what they have considered to be binding. Our own proof regarding succession from our viewpoint depends on its tawatur from our own sources, as is obvious to everyone.
- 2. The claim that 'Ali is the successor of the Messenger of Allah only in his household is rejected due to the fact that whoever believes that 'Ali is the successor of the Messenger of Allah in his household also believes that he is his successor among the public as well, and whoever denies his succession over the public also denies his succession among his family. There is no way to separate one from the other; so, why bring up a philosophy which runs contrary to the consensus of all Muslims?
- 3. I cannot overlook your statement that this hadith is revoked, which contradicts both reason and Shari'a, since in order to abrogate, a statement has to be made before the effect of its precedent becomes manifest, as is clear to everyone. The only pretext for abrogation here is the allegation that the Prophet supposedly refrained from [publicly] expounding on the gist of this hadith.

The hadith itself proves that he, peace and blessings of Allah be upon him and his progeny, did not refrain from doing so; rather, texts in this "They follow nothing other than their own whims and desires, after guidance from their Lord has already come unto them (Qur'an, 53:23)"

And peace be with you.

Sincerely, Sh

Discussions

Authenticity of the narrations

In our previous discussion we have demonstrated why the narrations cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn are unreliable. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn seems to have anticipated the possible questions and objections that his readers would have in mind. In order to dispel any doubts about what the narrations meant, his interlocutor had to accept the reliability of these narrations.

Interpreting the narration

The interpretation of the Ḥadīth would only be warranted if it were proven that the Ḥadīth met the criteria of accaptance, which we have already proven not otbe the case. The supplementary narrations from *Musnad Aḥmad* do not even indicate successorship over the entire Ummah, even if one were to concede their reliability.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has reversed the purport of these narrations saying that there is no basis for seperating the major succession (authority over the entire Ummah) from minor succession (authority over the Prophet's blessed family). The entire argument is built on no foundation; there is no textual evidence to prove that 'Alī was nominated for leadership after the Prophet and the concensus which 'And al-Ḥusayn refers to exists only in his mind. Temporal and restricted leadership has many precedents in the Prophet's Trah. Abū Bakr's appointment to lead the Ḥajj demonstrates both temporal and limited leadership. How then could anyone claim that there is Ijmā' on this issue, or that there is no record of any minor successorship?

Tawātur

The argument that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn raises — with the pen of his debater — is that mass-transmission [Tawātur] is necessary in order to establish the position of leadership. His counter argument is that the Ahl al-Sunnah believe that Tawātur

is not essential to establish the Khilāfah. This is where he conflates the doctrine of Imāmah with the concept of Khilāfah.

Everyone agrees that the Ummah requires leadership after the Prophet's departure. The difference between the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Twelver Shī ah on this issue is whether the decision to nominate the leader after the Prophet's demise was the responsibility of the Ummah, or whether there was divine nomination. The Twelver Shī ah believe that 'Alī's معالمة appointment after the Prophet نعم أنه a fundamental of faith and that his appointment was by divine decree.¹ The first among the Shī ah to promote this belief was the Jew, 'Abd Allah ibn Saba'.²

Since the nomination of the leaders is by divine appointment, and Imāmah forms part of the essentials of the Twelver Shīʿī belief structure, it is necessary to provide unquestionable evidence to support any nomination. It appears that the only evidence of why the Imāms are to be accepted as Imāms is because the Imāms 'have said so'. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn would not be able to provide Ḥadīth from the Prophet 'that reaches the level of Tawātur that supports the doctrine of Imāmah; even if he tried.

Abrogation

In order for something to be abrogated it would have to be legislated first. If it cannot be proven that the Prophet $\frac{1}{2}$ nominated 'Alī to begin with, what use is there in arguing that it had been repealed.

The Ahl al-Sunnah have, however, entertained the argument with the Shī ah that *even if* the reports were reliable they are inadmissible as evidence for the claim that 'Alī was divinely appointed. That is where the argument of *Naskh* [Abrogation] comes in. Instead of arguing with incidents which the Shī ah might

¹ Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah Fī Uṣūl al-A'immah, pg. 142.

² Rijāl al-Kashshī, pg. 108-109, Firaq al-Shī'ah by al-Nawbakhtī, pg. 22.

object to, it would be more prudent to argue in light of the narrations that they are willing to accept.

Among the famous narrations that they cite is the Ḥadīth of Ghadīr. If the nomination at Ghadīr were to be seen as Prophetic appointment, that undersmines the entire argument that has grown out of these narrations. Similarly, if the Prophet wanted to write something on the Thursday prior to his demise, often referred to as the *Tragedy of Thursday*, it undermines both the purport of this narration as well as the narration of Ghadīr Khumm.

It is clear for anyone to see the inconsistensies in the line of reasoning the Shīʿah have adopted in intrepreting these narrations; ignoring whether they are authentic or not.

As far as the Ahl al-Sunnah is concerned the nomination of their leader after the Prophet's demise was the collective responsibility of the Ummah, and that the decision in appointment would lay with the people of counsel. The Prophet did, however, suggest whom his prefered candidate was.

Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, the grandfather of Imām al-Bāqir, reports from ʿĀ'ishah

The Messenger said, "Indeed, I was on the verge of calling Abū Bakr and his son, and entrust leadership to him for fear that people might speak or aspire to things. But Allah and the Believers refuse to have anyone but Abū Bakr."

'Urwah narrates that 'Ā'ishah المُعَلِّفَةُ said:

The Messenger said to me, "Call Abū Bakr and your brother for me so that I may write a letter. Indeed I fear that some aspiring person might

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, The Book of Laws, 6:6791

say I am more deserving, but Allah and the Believers refuse to have anyone but Abū Bakr."¹

Jubayr ibn Mut'im said:

A woman came to the Messenger and he instructed her to return to him later. She said, "What should I do if I return and I do not find you?" It was as if she was implying death.

He replied, "If you do not find me then go to Abū Bakr."2

Abū Bakrah ﷺ relates:

The Messenger مَالِسُعُنِينَةُ said one day, "Who amongst you had a dream?"

A man replied, "I saw as if a scale descended from the heavens. Then you (the Prophet and Abū Bakr were weighed, and you outweighed Abū Bakr. Then Abū Bakr and 'Umar were weighed, and Abū Bakr outweighed 'Umar. Then 'Umar and 'Uthmān were weighed, and 'Umar outweighed 'Uthmān. Then the scale was lifted."

Abū Bakrah said, "This upset the Messenger and he then said, 'A khilāfah on the pattern of Prophethood, then Allah will give the kingdom to whomever he wills thereafter."

The Messenger of Allah ﴿ الله sent Abū Bakr ﴿ forward to lead the people in ṣalāh until he passed away. Abū Mūsā al-Ash'arī ﴿ الله relates:

The Messenger was ill and when his illness intensified, He said, "Order Abū Bakr to lead the people in ṣalāh!"

¹ Sahīh Muslim with the commentary, 15:2387

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, The Chapter on the Merits of the Ṣaḥābah, 3459; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim with its commentary, The Chapter on the Merits of the Ṣaḥābah, 15: 2386.

³ Sunan Abū Dawūd, The Chapter on the Sunnah, Ḥadīth: 4635; Sunan al-Tirmidhī, The Chapters of Dreams, Ḥadīth: 2403.

'Ā'ishah then said, "Indeed, he is a soft-hearted man. When he stands in your place he will be unable to lead the people in ṣalāh."

She then repeated herself and he said, "Order Abū Bakr to lead the ṣalāh! Indeed you are of the women of Yūsuf."

He then came to the Messenger and he led the people in salāh during the life of the Messenger $\bar{\mu}$

Why would the Prophet عَلَيْنَ appoint Abū Bakr if 'Alī مُعْلِقَةُ had been appointed already? As a matter of fact, in the Prophet's أَنَّ أَنْ أَمْ اللهُ أَنْ أَنْ أَمْ اللهُ الل

Abū Bakr used to lead them in ṣalāh during the illness of the Messenger in which he passed away. On Monday while they were standing in their rows, the Messenger opened the curtain of the room and stood there gazing at us. As if his face was a page of the Qur'ān. He smiled and we were tempted to break our prayer out of happiness at the sight of the Messenger which. Abū Bakr stepped backwards to reach the row behind him thinking that the Messenger what had come out to the prayer. The Prophet who wever, motioned to him to complete the prayer. He then lowered the screen and passed away later that day.²

Most importantly, even 'Alī ide did not know that he was appointed. If he was previously appointed the following conversation with his uncle 'Abbās ide would not have transpired:

'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās مُنْقَفِّهُ said:

ʻAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib came out of the Messenger's ما المنافقينية home during his fatal illness.

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, The Book of Congregation, Hadīth: 646.

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Ḥadīth: 648.

The people asked, "O Abū al-Ḥasan, how is the health of Allah's Messenger this morning?"

'Alī replied, "He has recovered with the Grace of Allah."

'Abbās grabbed him by the hand and said to him, "In three days you will be ruled (by somebody else), and by Allah, I feel that Allah's Messenger will not survive this ailment. I know the look of death on the faces of the offspring of 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib. Let us go to the Messenger and ask him who will take over the Khilāfah. If it is given to us we will know, and if it is given to somebody else, we will inform him so that he may tell the new ruler to take care of us."

'Alī said, "By Allah, if we asked the Messenger for it (the Khilāfah) and he denied us now, the people will never give it to us after that. By Allah, I will not ask Allah's Messenger

After considering all these texts, the argument of Naskh [Abrogation] is not farfetched at all. It would account for the variance between the text. However, since there is no textual evidence to prove that 'Alī had been exclusively appointed, the entire discussion of possible interpretations and Naskh are merely theoretical and redundant as far as textual evidence goes.

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth: 4447.

Letter 25

Thul-Hijjah 16, 1329

I. His Belief in the Text

II. Requesting More Texts

- 1. I have believed in the One Who has caused you to dissipate the darkness [of ignorance], clarify what is ambiguous, and made you one of His signs and a facet of His own manifestations.
- 2. May Allah bless your father, provide me with more such texts, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 26

Thul-Hijjah 17, 1329

I. Clear Texts Recounting Ten of 'Ali's Exclusive Merits

II. II. Why Rely Upon it

1. Suffices you, besides the hadith of the Household, what Imam Ahmad has indicated in Vol. 1 of his book *Al-Mustadrak*, and al-Thahbi in his Concise, who both admit its authenticity, as well as other authors of the sunan from generally accepted avenues. They all quote 'Umar ibn Maymun saying: "I was sitting once in the company of Ibn 'Abbas when nine men came to him and said 'O Ibn 'Abbas! Either come to debate with us, or tell these folks that you prefer a private debate.' He had not lost his eye-sight yet. He said: 'I rather debate with you.' So they started talking, but I was not sure exactly what they were talking about. Then he stood up and angrily said: 'They are debating about a man who has ten merits nobody else ever had. They are arguing about a man whom the holy Prophet has said, 'I shall dispatch a man whom Allah shall never humiliate, one who loves Allah and His Messenger and who is loved by both,' so each one of them thought to him such an honour belonged.

The holy Prophet inquired about 'Ali. When the latter came unto him, with his eyes swelling in ailment, he blew in his eyes, shook the standard thrice and gave it to him. 'Ali came back victorious with Safiyya bint Huyay [al-Akhtab] among his captives.'" Ibn 'Abbas proceeded to say, "Then the Messenger of Allah sent someone with surat al-Tawbah, but he had to send 'Ali after him to discharge the responsibility, saying: 'Nobody can discharge it except a man who is of me, and I am of him.'" Ibn 'Abbas also said, "The Messenger of Allah sitting beside him, asked his cousins once: 'Who among you elects to be my wali in this life and the life hereafter?'

They all declined, but 'Ali said: 'I would like to be your wali in this life and the life to come,' whereupon he responded by saying: 'You are, indeed, my wali in this life and the life hereafter." Ibn 'Abbas continues to say that 'Ali was the first person to accept Islam after Khadija, and that the Messenger of Allah took his own robe and put it over 'Ali, Fatima, Hasan and Husayn, then recited the verse saying:

"Allah wishes to remove all abomination from you, O Ahl al-Bayt [people of my household] and purify you with a perfect purification (Qur'an, 33:33)."

He has also said: "'Ali bought his own soul. He put on the Prophet's garment and slept in his bed when the infidels sought to murder him," till he says: "The Messenger of Allah went on Tabuk expedition accompanied by many people. 'Ali asked him: 'May I join you?' The Messenger of Allah refused, whereupon 'Ali wept. The Prophet then asked him: 'Does it not please you that your status to me is similar to that of Aaron's to Moses, except there is no Prophet after me? It is not proper for me to leave this place before assigning you as my vicegerent.' The Messenger of Allah has also said the following to him: 'You are the wali of every believing man and woman."

Ibn 'Abbas has said: "The Messenger of Allah closed down all doors leading to his mosque except that of 'Ali who used to enter the mosque on his way out even while in the state of janaba. The Messenger of Allah has also said: 'Whoever accepts me as the wali, let him/her take 'Ali as the wali, too."

As a matter of fact, al-Hakim, having counted the sources from which he quoted this hadith, comments by saying, "This is an authentic hadith according to isnad, yet both shaykhs did not narrate it this way." Al-Thahbi has quoted it in his Talkhis and described it as an authentic hadith.

2. Clear and irrefutable proofs highlight the fact that 'Ali was the Prophet's vicegerent. Have you noticed how the Prophet has named him wali in this life and the life to come, thus favouring him over all his kin, and how he regarded his status to himself as similar to that of Aaron to Moses, without any exception other than Prophethood, and exception which reflects generality?

You also know that what distinguished Aaron from Moses was mostly his being the vizier of his brother, his de facto participation in his brother's Message, his vicegerency, and the enforcement by Moses of people's obedience to Aaron as his statement, to which references is included in the Holy Qur'an (20:29-32), and which clearly says: "And let my brother Aaron, from among my household, be my vizier, to support me and take part in my affair," and his statement:

"Be my own representative among my people; reform them, and do not follow the path of corrupters (Qur'an 7:142),"

and the Almighty's response:

"O Moses! Granted is your prayer (Qur'an 20:36)."

According to this text, 'Ali is the Prophet's vicegerent among his people, his vizier among his kin, his partner in his undertaking - not in Prophethood - his successor, the best among his people, and the most worthy of their leadership alive or dead. They owed him obedience during the Prophet's lifetime as the Prophet's vizier, just as Aaron's people had to obey Aaron during the lifetime of Moses.

Whoever becomes familiar with the status hadith will immediately consider its deep implications without casting any doubt at the gist of its context. The Messenger of Allah has made this very clear when he said: "It is not proper for me to leave this place before assigning you as my vicegerent."

It is a clear text regarding his succession; nay, it even suggests that had the Prophet المستقدة left without doing so, he would have done something he was not supposed to have done. This is so only because he was commanded by the Almighty to assign him as his own successor according to the meaning of the verse saying:

"O Messenger! Convey that which has been revealed unto you from your Lord, and if you do not do it, then you have not conveyed His Message at all (Our'an 5:67)."

Anyone who examines the phrase "then you have not conveyed His Message at all," then examines the Prophet's statement: "It is not proper for me to leave this place before assigning you as my vicegerent," will find them both aiming at the same conclusion, as is quite obvious.

We should also not forget the Prophet's hadith saying: "You are the wali of every believer after me." It is a clear reference to the fact that he is the Prophet's wali and the one who takes his place, as al-Kumait, may Allah have mercy on his soul, has implied when he said: "A great Vicegerent, a fountain-head of piety, an educator!" And peace be with you.

Sincerely, Sh

Discussions

Post-humous correspondence confirmed

It was necessary to wait until this point to reveal the extent of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's deceit and expose the entire correspondence as a charade. In letter 20 'Abd al-Ḥusayn listed all the references where the versions of the Ḥadīth could be found. Among those references cited was a Ḥadīth narrated in *al-Mustadrak* of al-Ḥākim vol. 3 pg. 132.¹ This is interesting since the Shaykh al-Azhar allegedly researched these narrations and confirms their reliability. However, this is not possible.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn could never have cited a reference to al-Ḥākim's Mustadrak with page and volume number in any correspondence dated 1329 A.H; since the book had not yet been published! The earliest printed version of *al-Mustadrak* was published in Hyderabad, India in the year 1340 A.H; over ten years after the alleged correspondence and five years after Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī's demise! The page and volume numbers cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn match the Hyderabad edition of *al-Mustadrak*. So, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn either found a way to travel to the future to cite the volume and page number from *al-Mustadrak* in his correspondence or Shaykh Salīm communicated to him from beyond the grave!

Issues with the Isnād

Before addressing the issues pertaining to the Isnād it is important that we clarify that 'Alī 'Loop is one of the greatest of the Prophet's 'Companions and he is his beloved cousin and son-in-law. For us, loving 'Alī 'Loop' is part of our faith and any hatred towards him is considered a sign of hypocrisy.

That being said, our love for 'Alī is is determined by the Sharī ah and not by raw emotions. We accept *all* the virtues that have authentically been reported in respect to 'Alī is. Unfortunately, due to unsanctioned emotional attachment

¹ See letter 20 of al-Murājaʿāt.

to our master, 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ﴿ people have falsely attributed words to the Prophet ﴿ which are meant to elevate the status of 'Alī ﴿ In all honesty, we believe that these people do not realise the lofty status that 'Alī ﴿ actually occupies; and they feel that they have to compensate the assumed 'lack of status' by inventing Aḥādīth to establish the status they feel he deserves. It is in this light that our investigation of these narrations ought to be viewed.

This narration is found in Musnad Ahmad¹ with the following Isnād:

```
Yaḥyā ibn Ḥammād — Abū ʿAwānah — Abū Balj — ʿAmr ibn Maymūn — Ibn ʿAbbās
```

The same narration appears in al-Mustadrak² with the following Isnād:

```
Abū Bakr, Aḥmad ibn Jaʿfar al-Qaṭīʿī — ʿAbd Allah ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal — Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal — Yaḥyā ibn Ḥammād — Abū ʿAwānah — Abū Balj — ʿAmr ibn Maymūn — Ibn ʿAbbās
```

We notice that the narration in *al-Mustadrak* is in essence the narration in *Musnad Aḥmad*, and that al-Ḥākim narrates it by way of Imām Aḥmad. Therefore, any problems with the Isnād in *Musnad Aḥmad* applies equally to the Isnād in *al-Mustadrak*.

Abū Nuʻaym, after quoting a phrase from this narration, points out that this narration — with this wording — is only known with this Isnād; by way of $Ab\bar{u}$ Balj, from 'Amr.'

Abū Balj Yaḥyā ibn Sulaym

The narrator in question is Abū Balj; his full name is Yaḥyā ibn Sulaym (or Ibn

¹ Musnad Ahmad, vol.1 pg.331 (old Egyptian print); vol.5 pgs.178-181 (Risālah edition).

² Al-Mustadrak, vol. 3 pg. 132.

³ Al-Hilyah, vol. 4 pg. 153.

Abī Sulaym) al-Fazārī al-Kūfī. Opinions varied among the scholars in terms of his reliabilty as a narrator. 1

Some of the scholars like Ibn Maʿīn, Ibn Saʿd, and al-Nasāʾī were inclined to accepting his narrations.Others, like al-Bukhārī and al-Jūzajānī considered him weak in general. Though, others say that his level is tolerable, his solitary narrations cannot be relied upon.

Imām Ahmad, Ibn Hibbān, Ibn ʿAdī, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr, al-Dhahabī all agree that the narration of his via ʿAmr ibn Maymūn, from Ibn ʿAbbās wherein he mentions that the Prophet instructed the companions — with the exception of ʿAlī — to cover up their doors which led to the Masjid, is an unreliable narration.

This brings us to the authentication of this narration by al-Dhahabī in his abridgement of *al-Mustadrak*. The reality is that al-Dhahabī's abridgement of *al-Mustadrak* was his first academic work, one that he compiled at the beginning of his career in the field of Ḥadīth. *Mīzān al-I'tidāl* is a much later work of his. Therefore, his authentication of this narration is officially retracted.

Ḥāfiẓ ibn Rajab al-Ḥanbalī has provided another perspective on this Isnād. He points out that there is a subtle defect in this chain citing Ḥāfiẓ ʿAbd al-Ghanī ibn Saʿīd al-Azdī of Egypt. He suggests that Abū Balj might have erred in naming the teacher from whom he received this narration; confusing it with another by the name of Maymūn. This teacher of his is Abū ʿAbd Allah Maymūn Mawlā ʿAbd al-Rahmān ibn Samurah, who is considered a weak narrator.

Abū ʿAbd Allah Maymūn Mawlā ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Samurah

Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said Maymūn Mawlā ʿAbd al-Rahmān ibn Samurah is worthless as a narrator.² Furthermore, al-Dhahabī provides a sample of his baseless

¹ Al-Majrūhīn by Ibn Ḥibbān, vol. 3 pg. 113, al-Kāmil by Ibn ʿAdī, vol. 9 pg. 80, al-Ḍuʿafā wal-Matrūkīn by Ibn al-Jawzī, vol.3 pg. 196, Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl, vol. 4 pg. 384, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb by Ibn Ḥajar, vol. 12 pg. 47.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 4 pg. 235.

narrations and it comes as no surprise that he lists the detail of the Prophet instructing that all doors — besides the door of 'Alī — leading into the Masjid be sealed up.¹

To test Ḥāfiẓ ibn Rajab's theory we listed all the narrations from Ibn ʿAbbās which have been narrated by ʿAmr ibn Maymūn. Initially we used *Tuḥfat al-Ashrāf²* by Ḥāfiẓ Abū al-Ḥajjāj al-Mizzī which is an encyclopeadic index of all the narrations found in the six canonical collections. Then it occurred to us to expand the scope of the sources so we referred to *Ithāf al-Maharah³* by Ḥāfiẓ Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī wherein he indexed all the narrations appearing in eleven major sources beyond the six canonical collections. These include: *Musnad al-Dārimī*, Ṣaḥīḥ ibn Khuzaymah, Ṣaḥīh ibn Ḥibbān, al-Muntaqā of Ibn Jārūd, *Mustakhraj Abī ʿAwānah*, al-Mustadrak, Sunan al-Dāraquṭnī, al-Muwaṭṭa', Musnad al-Shāfiʿī, Musnad Aḥmad, and Sharh Maʿānī al-Āthār.

The findings of this investigation appear to support Ibn Rajab's theory as the narrations from Ibn 'Abbās in all seventeen collections from 'Amr ibn Maymūn is solely by way of Abū Balj. Furthermore, the only narrations which appear in these collections are parts of this lengthy narration. No other details have been narrated by this chain.

This means that all the major students of 'Amr ibn Maymūn do not corroborate what Abū Balj narrates from 'Amr ibn Maymūn, which increases the likelyhood of this being an undeliberate error on the part of Abū Balj Yaḥyā ibn Sulaym.

The textual inconsistencies with this Ḥadīth

Before addressing the textual inconsistencies with this Ḥadīth it is necessary to point out the extent of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's deceit. The author mentions that the

¹ Ibid.

² Tūḥfat al-Ashrāf, vol. 4 pg. 649.

³ Ithāf al-Maharah, vol. 6 pg. 660-661.

Ḥadīth speaks about ten merits for ʿAlī ˈwww yet he only lists nine. As a matter of fact the Ḥadīth lists more than ten merits as we shall point out shortly.

Sahl ibn Sa'd reported that the Messenger of Allah مَا الله عَلَيْمَ said on the Day of Khaybar:

I would certainly give this flag to a person at whose hand Allah would grant victory and who loves Allah and His Messenger; and Allah and His Messenger love him also. The people spent the night thinking as to whom it would be given. When it was morning the people hastened to the Messenger of Allah المنافقة all of them hoping that it would be given to him.

The Prophet مَا تَعْنَا عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ said, "Where is 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib?"

They replied, "O Messenger of Allah, his eyes are sore."

He then sent for him and he was brought and the Messenger of Allah applied saliva to his eyes and invoked blessings and he was cured, as if he had no ailment at all. He was then given the flag.

'Alī said, "O Messenger of Allah, shall I fight them until they are like us?"

Thereupon the Prophet said, "Advance cautiously until you reach their open places, thereafter invite them to Islam and inform them what is obligatory for them from the rights of Allah, for, by Allah, if Allah guides even one person through you that is better for you than to possess the most valuable of the camels."

The general meaning of this element of the narration appears to be corroborated. There are, however, slight variations in the way the Prophet's statement to 'Alī is worded.

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Jihād, Ḥadīth: 2942; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth: 2406.

2. Jabir هُوَ الْعَالَةُ narrates:

When the Prophet returned from the 'Umrah of Ji'irrānah, he sent Abū Bakr to lead the Ḥajj. We departed with him and when he was in al-'Arj, the Iqāmah for morning prayers was said, and before he could say the Takbīr to commence the prayer he heard the grunting of a camel behind him, and so he paused and said, "This is the grunting of the camel of the Messenger of Allah . Perhaps he will join the Ḥajj [this year], since he is here we will rather pray behind him."

However, it was 'Alī on his camel. Abū Bakr said to him, "(Have you come) as a leader or as messenger?"

He said, "No, as a messenger sent by the Messenger of Allah with (Sūrah) al-Barā'ah to recite it to the people in the stations of Ḥajj." And thus we went to Makkah.

One day before the Day of Tarwiyah Abū Bakr stood up and addressed the people telling them about their rituals. When he finished, 'Alī stood up and recited the [ultimatum in Sūrah] al-Barā'ah to the people until he finished it. Then we went out with him and on the Day of 'Arafah. Abū Bakr stood up and addressed the people, telling them about the rituals. When he finished, 'Alī stood up and recited the [ultimatum in Sūrah] al-Barā'ah to the people until he finished it. Then on the Day of Sacrifice, we departed and when Abu Bakr streturned, he addressed the people, telling them about their departure (Ifāḍah), sacrifice, and rituals. When he finished, 'Alī streta, stood up and recited the [ultimatum in Sūrah] al-Barā'ah to the people until he finished it. On the first day of Departure (12th of Dhul-Ḥijjah), Abū Bakr stood up and addressed the people, telling them how to stone the Jamarāt, and teaching them their rituals. When he had finished, 'Alī stood up and recited the [ultimatum in Sūrah] al-Barā'ah to the people until he finished it.¹

¹ Sunan al-Nasā'ī, Kitāb al-Ḥajj, Hadīth: 2993.

We learn from this incident that 'Alī ''étéés prayed behind Abū Bakr ''étéés throughout the Ḥajj without objection; just as he sat, listening to the sermons of Abū Bakr ''étéés throughout this Ḥajj. If this was reason for 'Alī's nomination why did he have to follow Abū Bakr ''étés?' As for the statement that none can convey except a family member of the Prophet 'ti was merely upholding the Arab custom when announcing an ultimatum, especially since the Prophet 'had pledged sanctuary to many tribes who remained on their old ways.

3. The element wherein the Prophet ﴿ () offers his relatives to be his Walī in this life and the next is only found in the narrations that we have already discussed in the previous letters. This is the common ground between this narration and the ones in our previous discussion. One might assume that this narration corroborates that which is mentioned in the earlier ones. The question is which version? Each version has unique details that excludes each narration from supporting the other. The meaning of the word Walī will be discussed. The other narration about <code>Mu'ākhāt</code> (bonds of brotherhood), "You are my brother in the world and the Hereafter," is considered baseless. Tirmidhī, Ibn 'Adī, and Ḥākim all narrate it from a narrator called Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr — from Jamī 'ibn 'Umayr.

Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr is a weak narrator, whilst Jamīʿ ibn ʿUmayr is a known fabricator about whom Ibn Hibbān said:

He is a Rāfidī who fabricates ahādīth.

Ibn Numayr said about him:

He was of the most deceitful people.2

¹ Refer to pg. 395 of this book.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl by al-Dhahabī: vol. 1, p. 421, Ḥadīth: 1552.

Ibn Taymiyyah says about the aḥādīth of the mu'ākhāt:

It appears that all of them were fabricated.1

- 4. Al-Tirmidhī cites the narration from Abū Balj that 'Alī www was the first to accept Islam. Immediately thereafter he indicates that this narration is narrated with an uncorroborated Isnād, hinting to the fact that it cannot be relied upon independantly.² He goes on to say that the scholars have differed over who was the first person to accept Islam. He attempts to harmonise between conflicting narrations by saying that 'Alī www was the first among the youth to accept Islam and that his age was around 8 at the time. Abū Bakr would the be the first adult male to accept Islam. To support this he presents another narration wherein he states that a man from the Anṣār commented that Zayd ibn Arqam said, "The first to accept Islam was 'Alī." 'Amr ibn Murrah said, "I mentioned that to Ibrāhīm al-Nakha'ī, and he disagreed saying, 'The first to accept Islam was Abū Bakr."'3
- 5. There is no disagreement that 'Alī نَا اللهُ was under the Prophet's مَا اللهُ ا
- 6. The details of the events during the Prophet's المائة المائة Hijrah here are not consistent with what has been accurately recorded. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has conviently abridged the story, deliberately omitting the fact that Abū Bakr was mentioned as the Prophet's مائة companion on the Hijrah. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's deceit aside, this version of events is inaccurate since it gives the impression that Abū Bakr came to the Prophet's مائة المائة house looking for him and it was 'Alī المائة who gave him directions. If one considers

¹ Minhāj al-Sunnah, vol. 7, p. 361; Refer also to al-Silsilat al-Mawdū'ah by al-Albānī, vol. 1, p. 355-366.

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth: 3734.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Refer to pg. 181 of this book.

the detailed preparations that Abū Bakr had made it becomes evident that the details mentioned in this version are inaccurate.

'Ā'ishah المَوْنَقُونَ narrates:

Some Muslims emigrated to Abyssinia and Abū Bakr also prepared himself for the emigration, but the Prophet said (to him), "Wait, for I hope that Allah will allow me also to emigrate."

Abū Bakr said, "May my father and mother be sacrificed for you. Do you expect to emigrate (soon)?"

The Prophet said, "Yes."

So Abū Bakr waited to accompany the Prophet and fed two shecamels he had on the leaves of an acacia tree regularly for four months. One day while we were sitting in our house at midday, someone said to Abū Bakr, "Here is the Messenger of Allah coming with his head and a part of his face covered with a cloth at an hour he never used to come to us."

Abū Bakr said, "May my father and mother be sacrificed for you, (O Prophet)! An urgent matter must have brought you here at this hour."

The Prophet from and asked permission to enter, and he was allowed. The Prophet entered and said to Abū Bakr, "Let those who are with you excuse themselves."

Abū Bakr replied, "There is no stranger; they are your family. Let my father be sacrificed for you, O Messenger of Allah!"

The Prophet مَالْمُنْكِينِيَةُ said, "I have been allowed to leave (Makkah)."

Abū Bakr said, "Shall I accompany you, O Messenger of Allah, May my father be sacrificed for you?"

The Prophet صَالَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ said, "Yes,"

Abū Bakr said, "O Messenger of Allah! May my father be sacrificed for you. Take one of these two she camels of mine."

The Prophet said, "I will take it only after paying its price."

So we prepared their baggage and put their journey food in a leather bag; and Asmā' bint Abī Bakr cut a piece of her girdle and tied the mouth of the leather bag with it. That is why she was called Dhāt al-Niṭāqayn.

Then the Prophet and Abū Bakr went to a cave in a mountain called Thawr and remained there for three nights. 'Abd Allah ibn Abī Bakr, who was a young intelligent man, used to stay with them at night and leave before dawn so that in the morning, he would be with the Quraysh in Makkah as if he had spent the night among them. If he heard of any plot contrived by the Quraysh against the Prophet and Abū Bakr, he would understand it and (return to) inform them of it when it became dark. 'Āmir ibn Fuhayrah, the freed slave of Abū Bakr used to graze a flock of sheep for them and he used to take those sheep to them a while after the 'Ishā prayer. They would sleep till 'Āmir awakened them when it was still dark. He used to do that in each of those three nights...¹

How would the son of Abū Bakr نقيقة know where to find his father if Abū Bakr مَالِمَتُ himself was in the dark as to the Prophet's مالمنافقة whereabouts when he left for Hijrah?

The inconsistencies are becoming increasingly evident.

7. The original narration that addresses the Prophet first leaving 'Alī leaving behind on the expedition of Tabūk has been added to. Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ ﷺ narrates:

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Libās, ḥadīth: 5807.

The Prophet left Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib behind for the Battle of Tabūk. He said, "O Messenger of Allah! Are you leaving me behind with the women and the children?"

The Prophet Assid, "Does it not please you that you are to me, in the position Hārūn was to Mūsā (when he left to speak to his Lord) except that there is no Prophet after me?" ¹

The phrases, "It is not proper for me to leave this place before assigning you as my vicegerent," and "You are the wali of every believing man and woman," do not exist in the authentic versions. The Prophet woman, and he did not leave 'Alī wow behind. As a matter of fact when he went for Ḥajj — which was after Tabūk — he did not leave 'Alī wow behind. Add to this that 'Alī wow was left behind to look after the Prophet's family specifically. He was put in charge of the women and children. How does that give him authority over every believing man and woman? This is further evidence that the details mentioned here do not align with what is mentioned in the rigourously authenticated narrations.

8. The authentic versions mention that it was Abū Bakr's طَالِمُعُنَّ door which the Prophet مَا ordered to be kept open and all others besides it be sealed. Abū Saʿīd Al-Khudrī relates:

The Prophet طالتين delivered a sermon and said, "Allah gave a choice to one of (His) slaves either to choose this world or what is with Him in the Hereafter. He chose the latter."

Abū Bakr began to weep.

I said to myself, "What is this old man weeping for, if Allah gave a choice to one (of His) slaves either to choose this world or what is with Him in the Hereafter and he chose the latter?"

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Faḍā'il, Ḥadīth: 3503; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Faḍā'il, Ḥadīth: 2404.

(However) that slave was Allah's Messenger ; he was referring to himself. Abū Bakr was more knowledgeable than us.

The Prophet مَا تَعْنَا عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ said, "O Abū Bakr! Do not cry."

The Prophet then added, "Abū Bakr has been my greatest benefactor with his property and company. If I were to take a Khalīl (close friend) other than Allah, I would certainly have taken Abū Bakr. It is enough that we share the Islamic bond of brotherhood and friendship. No door leading into the Masjid is to be left open besides the door of Abū Bakr."

A similar narration to this is also recorded from 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbās in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī.' As a matter of interest al-Bukhārī has included the wording of the Ḥadīth of Ibn 'Abbās in under the chapter-heading where he cites the Ḥadīth of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī in He is alluding to the fact that the narration which mentions that only the door of 'Alī in is to be left open is an anomalous version.

9. What is the meaning of Mawlā? Ibn Taymiyyah has a thorough discussion on the meaning of this term. He writes:

There is nothing in the statement which clearly indicates that the word (Mawlā) means the khalīfah. That is because the word Mawlā is similar to the word walī. Allah says:

Your [Walī] **ally** is none but Allah, and also His Messenger and those who have believed. ³

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Ḥadīth: 3654.

² Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Salah, Hadīth: 467.

³ Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 55

But if you support one another against him - then indeed Allah is his [Mawlā] **protector**, as well as Jibrīl and all righteous believers, and the angels, moreover, are [his] assistants.¹

Allah explains that the Messenger is the wall (friend) of the believers and that they are his friends as well. In the same manner Allah is the friend of the believers and they are His friends, and likewise the believers are friends of one another, since friendship is the opposite of enmity and it is established from two sides.

If one of the two friends is greater than the other in status then his friendship is a form of goodwill and the friendship of the other is a form of obedience and worship. This is similar to the way Allah loves the believers and they love Him. Friendship is therefore the opposite of enmity, warring, and deception. The disbelievers do not love Allah and (instead) oppose Him and His Messenger and take Him as an enemy.

Allah says:

Do not take My enemies and your enemies as allies.²

In similar manner Allah says:

If you do not, then be informed of a war (against you) from Allah and His Messenger.³

- 1 Sūrah al-Tahrīm: 4
- 2 Sūrah al-Mumtahinah: 1
- 3 Sūrah al-Baqarah: 279

Allah is the friend of the believers and their Mawlā, removing them from the darkness to the light. If that is the case then the meaning of Allah being the friend of the believers and their Mawlā, and the Messenger ما في المنافق المنافق

The believers have pledged to Allah and His Messenger such allegiance that excludes the possibility of enmity. This ruling, however, applies to all believers. 'Alī is included among the believers, whose description is that they take other believers as their friends and allies and they take him as their friend and ally.

This ḥadīth therefore establishes 'Alī's allegiance inwardly and affirms that he is deserving of friendship inwardly and outwardly. This dispels whatever has been said against him by his enemies from the Khawārij and the Nawāṣib.

There is nothing in the ḥadīth to prove that the believers have no other Mawlā besides 'Alī. How can that be inferred when the Prophet had many Mawlās, namely, the pious believers—which includes 'Alī was by way of priority—who took him as their friend? The Prophet said that the tribes of Aslam, Ghifār, Muzaynah, Juhaynah, Quraysh, and the Anṣār, had no Mawlā besides Allah and his Messenger¹. Allah made them the Mawlās of the Messenger just as He made the pious believers His Mawlās, and Allah and His Messenger

In summary, there is a slight difference between Walī and Mawlā, and a significant difference between these terms and Wālī (governor). The meaning of Wilāyah (the opposite of enmity) is at one end of the spectrum, and the term wilāyah referring to leadership is at the other. The wilāyah spoken of in the ḥadīth refers to the former and not the latter. The Prophet did not say, "Whoever I am his wālī (governor) 'Alī is his wālī." The word used (in the ḥadīth) is "Whoever's Mawlā I am, 'Alī is his Mawlā."

¹ Refer to Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Bāb dhikr Aslam, wa Ghifār, wa Muzaynah, wa Juhaynah, wa Ashja', Ḥadīth: 3321.

The word Mawlā cannot refer to $w\bar{a}l\bar{\iota}$ (governor) since friendship is established mutually. Indeed, the believers are the friends of Allah and He is their $Mawl\bar{a}$ (guardian).

As for the Prophet being more worthy of them (the believers) than themselves, this is only established for the Prophet as it is a unique feature of his prophethood. If we assume that he instated a khalīfah to be the leader after him that would not mean he is more worthy of every believer than himself in the same manner that the Prophet's wives will not be his wives. If this meaning was intended then he would have said, "Whoever I am more worthy of him than himself, 'Alī is more worthy of him than himself," but no one has said this and no one has transmitted this, and its meaning is definitely false. The Prophet's being more worthy of every believer than himself is an established matter in his life and death.

The khilāfah of 'Alī, on the assumption of its existence, only came into being after the Prophet's death. It did not exist during the Prophet's life. Therefore, it is not possible for 'Alī to have been the khalīfah during the era of the Prophet and he could not therefore be more worthy of every believer than himself, rather, he could not have been the Mawlā of any believer if what is intended is the khilāfah. This is amongst the factors which prove that khilāfah was not intended. The fact that he is a friend of every believer is established during the era of the Prophet demise as opposed to the khilāfah which could only come into effect after the demise of the Prophet demise of the Prophet to the Rafiḍah intend.

'Alī being the Mawlā of every believer is true during the life of the Messenger his death, and even after the death of 'Alī. Even today 'Alī remains the "Mawlā" of every believer even though he is not the governor over the people. In a similar manner all the believers are friends of one another living and deceased.¹

¹ Minhāj al-Sunnah, vol. 7 pgs. 322-325.

- 10. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has omitted the fact that in this narration Ibn 'Abbās includes the fact that 'Alī was present at the Bay'ah al-Riḍwān in which Allah praises all who were present. This is an accolade which includes close to 1500 of the Ṣaḥābah was.
- 11. The incident of Ḥātib ibn Abī Baltaʿah concludes this narration. The point being mentioned here was that ʿAlī www was also present at Badr, as were over 300 of his brothers www. Obviously this is counterproductive to ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's mission so he simply omitted it in his correspondence. If the Shaykh al-Azhar had studied the narration he would have raised an objection stronger than ours!

The remaining discussions take shape over the course of the next series of 'correspondence'. It would be best if these issues are addressed comprehensively over the course of the pending discussions.

Letter 27

Thul-Hijjah 18, 1329

I. Raising Doubts About the Status Hadith

The "status hadith" is authentic and well-known, but al-Amidi, who verified and ascertained hadith, and who is considered the master of the science of usul, has doubted its sources and suspected its narrators. Your debater may uphold al-Amidi's view; so, how can you prove him wrong? And peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 28

Thul-Hijjah 19, 1329

- I. The Status Hadith Stands on Most Solid Grounds
- **II. Binding Proofs**
- III. Its Sunni Narrators
- IV. Why al-Amidi Suspects It
- 1. Al-Amidi has done nobody injustice except his own self by casting doubt about the authenticity of this hadith which is one of the most accurate sunan and a most solid legacy.
- 2. Nobody else has doubted its accuracy, nor did anyone else dare to argue about its grounds. Even al-Thahbi, who is a most prejudiced narrator, has admitted its accuracy in his Talkhis *Al-Mustadrak1*. Ibn Hajar al-Haithami, in spite of his antagonistic views embedded in his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, has quoted this hadith in his chapter on "Al-Shubuhat," citing statements by the foremost narrators of hadith testifying to its accuracy; so, refer to that book. Had this hadith not been accurate, al-Bukhari would not have included it in his book, in spite of his prejudice when it comes to counting 'Ali's merits and those of Ahl al-Bayt 'Ali's.

Mu'awiyah was the leader of the oppressive gang. He stood in enmity against the Commander of the Faithful page, fought him, cursed him from Muslims' pulpits and ordered people to do likewise. Yet, in spite of his insolent hostility, he never doubted the status hadith. Nor has Sa'd ibn Abu Waqqas exaggerated when he, according to Muslim, was asked by Mu'awiyah why he hesitated to denounce "Abu Turab;" he answered him by saying:2 "

I remember three ahadith of the Messenger of Allah which I have personally heard, because of which I shall never curse him. Had I had just one of his exclusive merits, it would have been more precious for me than a herd of the choicest red camels. I have heard the Messenger of Allah who was then accompanied by a few people participating in some of his campaigns, saying to 'Ali: 'Are you not pleased that your status to me is similar to that of Aaron to Moses except that there will be no Prophet after me?'"3 Mu'awiyah was dumbfounded, and he could not utter a word or pressure Sa'd.

In addition to all of this, Mu'awiyah himself has narrated the same hadith. Ibn Hajar says in his book Al-Sawa'iq Al-Muhriqa:4 "Ahmad has said that a man once asked Mu'awiyah a question and his answer was: 'Forward your question to 'Ali because he is more knowledgeable.' Yet the man said: 'Your own answer to this matter is dearer to me than that of 'Ali.'

Mu'awiyah was angry, and he said: 'What a bad statement you have uttered! You hate a man whom the Messenger of Allah used to gorge with knowledge? He even told him that his status to him was like that of Aaron to Moses except that there would be no Prophet after him? Whenever 'Umar was confused about a matter, he sought 'Ali's advice....'"5 In short, the status hadith is considered, according to the consensus of all Muslims, regardless of their sects and inclinations, to be authentic.

3. Authors of both Al-Jami' Baynal Sihah Al-Sitta and Al-Jami' Bayna Rijal al-Sahihain have quoted it, and it is included in Bukhari's chapter on the Battle of Tabuk in his Sahih, in Muslim's chapter on 'Ali's merits in his Sahih, in a chapter on the attributes of the Prophet's companions in Ibn Majah's sunan, and in a chapter on 'Ali's merits in Hakim's *Al-Mustadrak*. Imam Ahmad Ibn Hanbal has quoted it in his Musnad from several different reporters. Ibn 'Abbas, Asma' bint 'Amis, Abu Sa'd al-Khudri, Mu'awiyah ibn Abu Sufyan,6 and many other companions have all narrated it as recorded in the musnad.

Al-Tabrani has quoted it as narrated by Asma' bint 'Amis, Umm Salamah, Habis ibn Janadah, Ibn 'Umar, 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Amis, '7 and many others. Al-Bazzaz has included it in his Musnad,8 and so has al-Tirmithi in his Sahih9 depending on the authority of Abu Sa'id al-Khudri. In Al-Isti'ab, in a chapter dealing with 'Ali, the author quotes Ibn 'Abdul Birr narrating it, then he comments thus: "This is one of the most reliable and accurate ahadith narrated about the Prophet by Sa'd ibn Abu Waqqas." Sa'd's references are numerous and are enumerated by Ibn Abu Khayth'amah and others. Ibn 'Abbas, Abu Sa'id al-Khudri, Umm Salamah, Asma' bint Amis, Jabir ibn 'Abdullah, and quite a few other traditionists have all narrated it."

As a matter of fact, whoever researches the Battle of Tabuk and refers to books of traditions and biographies will find them mentioning this hadith. Those who have written biographies of 'Ali, among authors of glossaries of ancient as well as modern times, regardless of their inclinations and sectarian preferences, have all quoted this hadith. It is also quoted by anyone who writes about the merits of Ahl al-Bayt, those of the Imams among the companions of the Prophet such as Ahmad ibn Hanbal, and by others before or after his time. It is a hadith taken for granted by all past Muslim generations.

4. There is no lesson to learn about the doubt cast by al-Amidi regarding this hadith in his Musnad, since the man knows nothing about the science of traditions, and his knowledge about musnads and narrators is the knowledge of illiterate commoners who do not know the meaning of hadith. In fact, his own extensive knowledge in the science of usul is the reason why he has fallen in such a dilemma. According to the requirements of usul, he saw it to be a correct hadith which he could not get rid of except by suspecting its isnad, thinking that that would be possible. Indeed, that was only his unattainable desire, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

Sh

- 1. Letter No. 26 contains his admission of its authenticity.
- 2. This occurs in his section dealing with 'Ali's virtues at the beginning of page 324, Vol. 2, of his Sahih.
- 3. Al-Hakim, too, quotes it at the beginning of page 109, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak*, admitting its authenticity due to its being endorsed by Muslim.
- 4. This occurs in the fifth maqsad of Al-Maqasid when the author discusses verse 14 in Section 11, page 107, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 5. He says that others have quoted it, and that some added to it "Get up; may Allah never allow you to stand up," and his name is omitted from the diwan, to the end of his quotation on page 107 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. This proves that a group of late traditionists besides Ahmad has quoted the status hadith from Mu'awiyah.
- 6. As we mentioned in the beginning of this Letter, quoting the fifth maqsad of the Maqasid of verse 14 of the verses discussed in Chapter 11, Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, page 107.
- 7. As Ibn Hajar describes in the first hadith of the forty ones which he discusses in the second section of chapter 9, page 72, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. Al-Sayyuti has stated the following while discussing 'Ali 'Al-Tabrani has quoted this hadith from all these men, adding to them Asma' bint Qays."
- 8. Al-Sayyuti indicates so while discussing 'Ali 'Market' in his chapter on the caliphs on page 65.
- 9. As attested to by hadith 2504 of the hadith of Kanz al-'Ummal, page 152, Volume 6.

Discussions

Why quote al-Āmidi?

We have already pointed out that the reference to *al-Mustadrak* could only have been possible if the correspondence took place after 1340 A.H. If we commence this discussion keeping in mind that it is nothing more than a tale of fiction, we need to realise the agenda behind citing $al-\bar{A}mid\bar{\imath}$; and whether he has been faithfully represented.

Firstly, a novice would know better than to cite al- \bar{A} mid \bar{I} in matters relating to Ḥad \bar{I} th as this was not his field. It is no different from asking a dentist to perform heart surgery.

Since al-Murājaʿāt is more about propaganda than academics, it would seem wise to make the opponent look desperate, and his arguments erratic. The earnest reader would let his guard down on the purport of the Ḥadīth since the debater who is meant to represent the Ahl al-Sunnah appears to be grasping at straws to find a way to declare it unreliable. It also gives ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn the perfect platform to tutor his opponent on Ḥadīth sciences; giving the reader the impression that he has expert command of the subject.

Accusation of bigotry

The next step are ad hominem outbursts against scholars from the Ahl al-Sunnah. He accuses them of bigotry among other accusations. The facts, however, speak for themselves. None of the scholars that he has mentioned have concealed any of the virtues of Ahl al-Bayt.

The accusation of Muʿāwiyah ﷺ cursing ʿAlī ﴿ اللَّهُ has no historical truth.

Al-Qurtubi writes:

It is farfetched that Muʿāwiyah would openly curse and abuse him on account of what Muʿāwiyah had been described with in terms of

intelligence, religiousness, forbearance, and general good manners. As for what has been narrated of him in this regard most of it is a lie and unfounded.

Ḥāfiẓ ibn Kathīr debunked this myth in *al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah* saying that there is no basis for the accusation of instuting the cursing of 'Alī 🏎'.

There has been some confusion over what Imām Muslim narrates by way of ʿĀmir ibn Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqas from his father that:

Mu'awiyah called for him [Sa'd] and said: "What prevents you from abusing Abu al-Turāb," whereupon he said, "It is because of three things which I remember Allah's Messenger مَالْسَعَةُ having said about him that I would not abuse him and even if I had one of those three accolades, it would be dearer to me than the red camels. I heard Allah's Messenger مَالِسُعَلِينِيمَةُ say about 'Alī as he left him behind in one of his campaigns. 'Alī said to him, 'O Messenger of Allah, you leave me behind along with women and children?' Thereupon Allah's Messenger مَالْقَعُتُكُ said to him, 'Aren't you pleased with being unto me what Hārūn was unto Mūsā but with this exception that there is no prophethood after me.' I (also) heard him say on the Day of Khaybar, 'I would certainly give this standard to a person who loves Allah and his Messenger, and Allah and his Messenger love him too.' We had been anxiously waiting for it, when he (the Prophet مَالْمُنْعَلِينِهُ) said, 'Call 'Alī', he was called and his eyes were inflamed. He applied saliva to his eyes and handed over the standard to him, and Allah gave him victory. (The third occasion is this) when the (following) verse was revealed, "Let us summon our children and your children." Allah's Messenger مَالِسُعَالِيمُ called 'Alī, Fāṭimah, Ḥasan, and Ḥusayn and said, 'O Allah, they are my family."3

People have assumed that Muʿāwiyah was soliciting people to curse ʿAlī but that is incorrect on all levels, and is either a result of prejudice or failure to understand the context of the Hadīth.

¹ Al-Mufhim, vol. 6 pg. 278.

² Al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah, vol. 10 pg. 576.

³ Sāḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Faḍā'il, Ḥadīṭh: 2404.

If Muʻāwiyah was really wanted to curse 'Alī was he would not have asked of that from Sa'd was since he stayed out of the conflict. Furthermore, it has been established via authentic narrations that he, Sa'd, prayed against those who cursed 'Alī was and Allah accepted that supplication.

Al-Dhahabī related an incident of a man who abused 'Alī 'ÉÉÉ'. Sa'd ÉÉÉ' rebuked him but the person did not stop, so Sa'd prayed against him and no sooner did he complete his supplication that a camel came and stomped the man until he died.¹ Al-Dhahabī then said, there are many chains of transmission for this incident which have been narrated by Ibn Abī al-Dunyā.

It appears that Muʿāwiyah wanted to know the position of Saʿd with regards to ʿAlī was so he asked him the reason that prevented him from criticising, was it out of reverence for him [ʿAlī] or was it out of fear or piety.

Al-Qurtubī comments on this:

This was a question about what was holding him back from cursing 'Alī's that he [Sa'd so that he [Sa'd so could speak openly about his ['Alī's so living virtues or the opposition [those who were cursing him] as was clear from his response. Mu'āwiyah's silence after hearing this shows his approval and acknowledging the right for what it was.²

Al-Nawawī provides a similar explanation, though he mentions an alternate interpretation as well:

It is as if he is saying, "Have you withheld out of piety, fear, or any other reason? Hence, if it is out of piety and reverence for him then you have adopted the correct policy; and if for any other reason there is a different response." Perhaps Sa'd was with a group who used to curse but refrained from cursing and was not in a position to rebuke them so he

¹ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 1 pg. 116.

² Al-Mufhim, vol. 6 pg. 276.

asked the question prompting him, and thus providing the opportunity to object to those who were cursing.

Some have said that it has the potential for an alternative interpretation and that it means why did you not object to his Ijtihād and make apparent to the people the correctness of our opinion and Ijtihād?¹

Rationally speaking, Muʿāwiyah's successful rule which lasted twenty years would not have been possible if he was known for cursing 'Alī www; especially after the tension during the period of Fitnah.

This Ḥadīth is from the merits of 'Alī

This Ḥadīth, among so many others, is from the merits and virtues of 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and is recorded in most of the Sunnī Ḥadīth collections. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's manner might give the impression that Sunnī's are averse to this Ḥadīth but nothing could be further from the truth.

Why would they want to conceal the merits of 'Alī **** This rank is among the highest according to the Ahl al-Sunnah.

The issue that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn — and many like him — have with this Ḥadīth is that the Ahl al-Sunnah understand it in its proper perpesctive. The most accurate wording is in the version of Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ even 'Abd al-Ḥusayn attests to that when he cited Ibn 'Abd al-Barr in al-Istī 'āb.

Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ مُعَوَلِيُّكُعَنُّهُ narrates:

The Prophet If Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib behind for the Battle of Tabūk. He said, "O Messenger of Allah! Are you leaving me behind with the women and the children?"

¹ Sharḥ al-Nawawī 'alā Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, vol. 15 g. 175.

The Prophet said, "Does it not please you that you are to me, in the position Hārūn was to Mūsā (when he left to speak to his Lord) except that there is no Prophet after me?" 1

To understand the meaning of the ḥadīth it is necessary that we are aware of the background to it. The Battle of Tabūk was one wherein the Prophet did not permit anyone to remain behind. Thus, when he left 'Alī behind, the munāfiqīn spread the rumour that the Prophet left him behind because he was displeased with him. Al-Nasā'ī elaborates on this in *Khaṣā'iṣ* 'Alī from Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ the said:

When the Prophet will left for the Battle of Tabūk he left 'Alī, may Allah brighten his face, behind in Madīnah. They (the munāfiqīn) said concerning him, "He is tired of him and he dislikes his company." So, 'Alī followed the Prophet until he caught up with him in the road and said, "O Messenger of Allah! Are you leaving me behind with the women and the children and now they are saying, 'He is tired of him and dislikes his company'?"

The Prophet replied, "O 'Alī! I have left you behind to take care of my family that you are to me, in the position Hārūn was to Mūsā (when he left to speak to his Lord) except that there is no Prophet after me?"²

This version of the narration illustrates the reason that 'Alī www went to the Prophet and said to him what he said. Thereafter, the Prophet attempted to console 'Alī www and explained to him that remaining behind is not necessarily a shortcoming, since Nabī Mūsā www left Nabī Hārūn www behind to assume responsibility for his people in his absence. 'Alī www was satisfied with that explanation and said, "I am pleased, I am pleased," as it appears in the narration of Ibn al-Musayyab narrated by Aḥmad.³

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Fadā'il, Ḥadīth: 2404; Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Fadā'il, Ḥadīth: 4416.

² Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī by al-Nasā'ī, Ḥadīth: 43, the editor says, "Its chain is reliable."

³ Refer to Fath al-Bārī, vol. 7, p. 92.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn gets into the explanation of this Ḥadīth in the forthcoming correspondence. It would be more suitable to discuss the intended meaning behind the Ḥadīth in the associated correspondence. However, the background to the Ḥadīth mentioned in *Khaṣāiṣ* 'Alī of al-Nasā'ī ought to be kept in mind throughout the discussion.

Letter 29

Thul-Hijjah 20, 1329

- I. Believing in Our Arguments Regarding the Hadith's Sanad
- II. Doubting its General Application
- III. Doubting its being Binding
- 1. All what you have mentioned regarding the authenticity of the status hadith is indeed beyond any doubt. Al-Amidi has stumbled in a way which has proven his distance from the science of hadith, and from traditionists. I have bothered you with mentioning his views in clarifying what is already clear. This is my mistake for which I invoke your forgiveness, since you are apt to forgive.
- 2. I have come to know that there are others besides al-Amidi from among your arbitraters who claim that there is no proof that the status hadith has a general application, and that it is restricted to its own context. They support their view by the hadith's text itself, saying that the Prophet's statement is due only to its time context, that is, when he left him in Medina during the Battle of Tabuk.

The Imam, peace be upon him, asked him: "Why do you leave me with women and children?" His answer, peace be upon him and his progeny, was: "Aren't you pleased that your status to me is similar to that of Aaron to Moses, except there will be no Prophet after me?" as if he explained that his position to him is like that of Aaron to Moses when the latter left him to represent him among his people when he left for the Tur Mountain [Mount Sinai]. The gist of the Prophet's statement would be something like: "You are to me, during this Battle of Tabuk, like Aaron to Moses who had to depart to communicate with his Lord."

3. Your arbitrators may even say that this hadith is not a binding proof, even if its implication is general, and a restricted hadith cannot be applied in its general sense, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 30

Thul-Hijjah 22, 1329

- I. Arabs Regard it General
- II. Disproving Claim of Restriction

III. Disproving its Non-Binding Application

1. We refer their argument that the hadith lacks a general application to Arabs who are very well familiar with their language and grammar. You are the Arabs' authority whose view is invincible and undisputed. Do you see your nation doubting the generality of this status hadith? I do not think so. You are above that. Persons of your prestige do not doubt the generality of the additive gender and its inclusion of all implications.

If you, for example, say: "I have granted you my judicial power," will your power be restricted to a few matters rather than others? Or will your statement be general and inclusive of all implications? Allah be Praised! You do not see it other than general, and its meaning as inclusive! If the Muslims' ruler says to one of his subjects: "I have appointed you my own vicegerent over people," or "granted you my own status, or position, over them, or granted you my own wealth," will it come to mind anything other than the general meaning of such a statement? Or will the speaker wish to select some matters rather than others? If he said to one of his ministers: "You may enjoy during my lifetime the same position 'Umar enjoyed during the lifetime of Abu Bakr, but you are not my friend," would this statement be seen, according to common rules, as implying a few situations rather than all?

I do not see you saying accepting anything other than its general application, and I do not doubt at all that you interpret the statement of the holy Prophet: "Your status to me is like that of Aaron to Moses" except

as indicative of generality of application, following the guidelines of its similar texts in the Arabic language and its norms of speech, especially when he excluded Prophethood, thus making its generality inclusive of everything else quite clear. You are surrounded by Arabs; so, ask them if you wish.

2. As regarding the debater's statement claiming that this hadith is restricted to its context, this claim is rejected on two grounds:

First, the hadith itself is generalizing, as you know. The assumption "If we presume that it is specific" does not exclude it from its general meaning, because whoever makes an assumption does not confine his assumption to only one single possibility. Say, if one person in the state of najasa (impurification) touches Surat al-Kursi [verse of the Throne] for example, and you tell him: "Nobody in the state of najasa should touch the holy Qur'an," will your statement be confined to Surat al-Kursi only, or will it be general regarding the entire text of the holy Qur'an?

I cannot imagine that anyone will understand that it is restricted to Surat al-Kursi in particular. If a physician sees his patient eating dates and forbids him from eating anything sweet, will the prohibition be taken to imply only dates, or will it be general to include everything sweet?

I do not consider the one who claims its meaning to be restricted as one adhering to the common concepts of the basics of language; rather, he will then be distant from its grammar, far from commonsense, a foreigner to our world. So is the one who claims that the status hadith is applied specifically to the Battle of Tabuk alone; there is no difference between both cases.

Second, this hadith was not articulated by the Prophet بالمنافقية upon leaving 'Ali عنافقة' as his representative in Medina during the Battle of Tabuk; otherwise, the debater will have had the right to claim its restricted

application. Our sahih books are sequential through the Imams among the Prophet's purified progeny proving that it was said on other occasions to which the researcher may refer. Sunni sunan bear witness to this fact, as researchers know. We say that the wording of this hadith testifies to the fact that the claim that it was said only during the Battle of Tabuk is groundless, as is already obvious.

3. Their claim that the specified generalization cannot be binding over the rest is an obvious mistake and a serious error. Nobody would say so except one who approaches matters like someone riding a blind animal in a dark night. We seek refuge with Allah against ignorance, and we thank Him for our sound health.

Specifying the general does not exclude it from being applied as a testimony against the rest as long as the specified matter is not general, especially if it is related to this hadith. If a master tells his servant: "Be generous to everyone who is visiting me today save Zayd." If the servant surrounds only Zayd with generosity, he will not only be disobeying his master and become liable for his error, according to the judgment of all the wise, he will also deserve to be punished a punishment commensurate with his mistake.

No wise man would listen to his excuse if he produces one; nay, even his excuse will seem to them to be even worse than his guilt. This is so only because of its obvious general implication, having been specified, regarding the rest, as is obvious.

You very well know that Muslims have always been accustomed to use as proof the specified generalizations without any exception. The ancestors among the companions and the tabi'in, as well as those who followed the latter, and so on till today, especially the Imams among the progeny of the Prophet and all other Imams among the Muslims, do just that. This is a matter which does not need raising any doubts.

Suffices you for proof what the four Imams and other Mujtahids have said in their chapters on being aware of the branches of legislative rules as proofs of their explanations. The wheel of knowledge has been spinning on acting upon generally accepted facts. There is nothing general that does not have room for a specification. If these generalities are dropped, the door of knowledge will be shaken. We seek refuge with Allah, and peace be with you.

Sincerely, Sh

Discussions

Sunnī interpretation

The lacklustre response from the pen of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī is to be expected since it was the hand of 'Abd al-Husayn holding it; as we have come to learn.

Al-Zuhrī related from ʿAbd Allah ibn Kaʿb ibn Mālik — and Kaʿb ibn Mālik was one of the three whom Allah pardoned for their absence at Tabūk — that ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbbās informed him that ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib emerged from the Prophet's home during his final illness:

عن الزهري قال أخبرني عبد الله بن كعب بن مالك الأنصاري وكان كعب بن مالك أحد الثلاثة الذين تيب عليهم أن عبد الله بن عباس أخبره أن علي بن أبي طالب رضي الله عنه خرج من عند رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في وجعه الذي توفي فيه فقال الناس يا أبا حسن كيف أصبح رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال أصبح بحمد الله بارتا فأخذ بيده عباس بن عبد المطلب فقال له أنت والله بعد ثلاث عبد العصا وإني والله لأرى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم سوف يتوفى من وجعه هذا إني لأعرف وجوه بني عبد المطلب عند الموت اذهب بنا إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فلنسأله فيمن هذا الأمر إن كان فينا علمنا ذلك وإن كان في غيرنا علمناه فأوصى بنا فقال علي إنا والله لئن سألناها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فمنعناها لا يعطيناها الناس بعده وإني والله لا أسألها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم

The people said, "O Abu al-Ḥasan; How is the Messenger of Allah مناشعين this morning?"

He said, "All praise be to Allah, he is well this morning."

'Abbās ibn 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib took him by the hand and said to him, "I swear by Allah, in three days' time you will be a subject. By Allah, I think that the

Messenger of Allah will die of this illness. I recognise the look of death in the faces of the Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib when they are dying. Let us go to the Messenger of Allah H and ask him who will take charge over this matter (Khilāfah). If it is for us, then we will know that, and if it is for someone other than us, we will know and he can advise him to look after us."

 $^{\circ}$ Al $\overline{1}$ replied, "By Allah, if we ask him for it and he refuses us, then the people would never give it to us afterwards. By Allah, I will not ask it from the Messenger of Allah." 1

The are three major issues to be learnt from this hadīth that are pertinant to our discussion.

- 1. As far as ʿAlī مَالِسُعَيْدِهُ was concerned the Prophet مَالِسُعَيْدِهُ had not nominated anyone.
- 2. He did not consider himself nominated even though the Hadīth likening him to Hārūn was told to him on his face.
- 3. He understood the position of Khilāfah to be nomination by people; not divine appointment.

This is the undeniable truth and the most clear evidence that the μ adīth likening him to μ arūn μ adīth likening did not refer to succession.

The next step is to understand why the \Breve{H} ad \Breve{I} th has been misunderstood, as is the case in the correspondence above.

In letter 26 he writes:

He regarded his status to himself as similar to that of Aaron to Moses, without any exception other than Prophethood, and its exception reflects generality.

¹ Saḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth: 4182.

You also know that what distinguished Aaron from Moses was mostly his being the vizier of his brother, his de facto participation in his brother's Message, his vicegerency, and the enforcement by Moses of people's obedience to Aaron as his statement, to which references is included in the Holy Qur'an (20:29-32), and which clearly says:

"And let my brother Aaron, from among my household, be my vizier, to support me and take part in my affair,"

and his statement:

"Be my own representative among my people; reform them, and do not follow the path of corrupters (Qur'an 7:142),"

and the Almighty's response:

"O Moses! Granted is your prayer (Qur'an 20:36)."

According to this text, 'Al $\bar{1}$ is the Prophet's vicegerent among his people, his vizier among his kin, his partner in his undertaking — not in Prophethood — his successor, the best among his people, and the most worthy of their leadership alive or dead. They owed him obedience during the Prophet's lifetime as the Prophet's vizier, just as Aaron's people had to obey Aaron during the lifetime of Moses.¹

¹ Al-Murāja'āt, letter 26.

² Fath al-Bārī, vol. 7, p. 93; Sharh Muslim, vol. 15, p. 249.

And when Mūsā returned to his people, angry and grieved, he said, "How wretched is that by which you have replaced me after my [absence]. Were you impatient over the matter of your Lord?" And he threw down the tablets and seized his brother by [the hair of] his head, pulling him toward him. [Hārūn] said, "O son of my mother, indeed the people oppressed me and were about to kill me, so let not the enemies rejoice over me and do not place me among the wrongdoing people."

There's no arguing that Hārūn عَلَيْهَ is already a prophet, but if he was Mūsā's عَلَيْهُ successor until death, what would make Mūsā مِنْهُ rebuke him so harshly?

Mūsā ڳٽانيٽ went alone for communion with Allah, and left the entire Banū Isrā'īl under the care of Hārūn بنائين. When the Prophet العالمين left for Tabūk he left with the entire army, and 'Alī نفين remained behind with the frail, women, and children. As a matter of fact 'Alī نفين was left in charge of the Prophet's أَمُ مِنْ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ الله

Ibn Kathīr writes:

Yūnus ibn Bukayr quoted Ibn Isḥāq as stating, "Having made his arrangements, the Messenger of Allah decided to set off. When, on a Thursday, he departed, he made camp at Thaniyyat al-Wadā'; with

¹ Sūrah al-A'rāf: 150

him there were more than 30 000 men. The enemy of Allah, 'Abd Allah ibn Ubayy pitched his camp lower down. When the Messenger of Allah set forth again, 'Abd Allah ibn Ubayy remained behind, along with a group of the hypocrites and the people of doubt."

Ibn Hishām stated, "The Messenger of Allah placed Muḥammad ibn Maslamah al-Anṣārī, in command of Madīnah. Al-Darāwardī related that it was Sibā' ibn 'Urfuṭah whom he left in command at the time of the expedition to Tabūk."

Ibn Isḥāq went on, "The Messenger of Allah Line" left 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib behind to care for his family, ordering him to stay with them. The hypocrites spread lies about 'Alī, maintaining that the Messenger of Allah found his presence onerous and wished to alleviate this. When they said this, 'Alī took up his weapons and proceeded forth, catching up with the Messenger of Allah while the latter was camped at al-Jurf. 'Alī told him what people were saying and he responded, 'They lie; I left you there to care for those I have left behind. Go back and act on my behalf with my family as well as your own. Are you not content, 'Alī, to have the same position with me as Hārūn had with Mūsā? There will, however, be no prophet after myself.' 'Alī returned and the Messenger of Allah departed on his journey."

Ibn Isḥāq went on, "Muḥammad ibn Ṭalḥah ibn Yazīd ibn Rukānah related to me — from Ibrāhīm ibn Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāş — from his father Saʿd, (who said) that he heard the Messenger of Allah سائلة make this comment to 'Alī."

Al-Bukhārī and Muslim both related this through Shu'bah — from Sa'd ibn Ibrāhīm — from Ibrāhīm ibn Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāş — from his father.¹

It cannot be said that this was specific transfer of succession to 'Alī متنافعة merely on account of the Prophet متانعة leaving him in charge of affairs in Madīnah in

¹ Al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah, vol. 7 pg. 155.

his absence since 'Alī was was tasked with taking care of the Prophet's immediate family; and the Prophet appointed someone else, in charge of Madīnah during his absence. Therefore, leaving someone behind to take care of Madīnah does not automatically make the person left in charge a khalīfah.

The only plausible explanation for this Ḥadīth, therefore, is in light of its context. The exhortation to participate in the expedition of Tabūk was so strongly phrased in the Qur'an that no one wanted to remain behind. Allah even praised the crying of those who had no mount, on account of which they were absent. 'Alī ﴿ Alī ﴿ Felt uneasy about remaining behind and the rumours in Madīnah prompted him to join the Prophet ﴿ The Prophet <code> The Prophet </code> The Prophet <code> The Prophet</code>

This demonstrates the error in the version of the Ḥadīth cited by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn which states that it was necessary for ʿAlī to remain behind. The response to this narration, even after we have pointed out the flaws in the Isnād, is that the Prophet appointed many other people over his family, and over the city of Madīnah during his numerous military campaigns. It firstly proves that someone other than ʿAlī could perform this task. Furthermore, to understand ʿAlī's responsibilty as a basis for him being the candidate for Khilāfah, opens the door for all the others before and after him who were appointed by the Prophet to take charge of the affairs of Madīnah.

Being likened to a Prophet is not unique to 'Alī www. Abū Bakr and 'Umar were likened to those prophets who are of the highest rank. 'Abd Allah ibn Mas'ūd relates:

After the Battle of Badr, the Messenger of Allah مَالْتَعْمِينَةُ asked his Companions what they though should be done with the prisoners of war.

Abū Bakr said, "O Messenger of Allah! They are your people and your kinsmen, so spare them and take your time with them. Perhaps Allah will forgive them."

'Umar said, "O Messenger of Allah! They expelled you and they rejected you. Bring them forward and smite their necks."

'Abd Allah ibn Rawāḥah said, "O Messenger of Allah! Look for a valley filled with dry brush. Make them enter it, then set them a fire."

'Abbās said, "You have broken your ties of kinship."

The Messenger went inside without saying anything. The people began saying to each other things like, "He will act upon the opinion of Abū Bakr," others said, "He will take the opinion of 'Umar," and yet others said, "He will accept the opinion of 'Abd Allāh ibn Rawāhah."

The Messenger of Allah then came out to them and said, "Allah makes some people's hearts so gentle that they become gentler than milk, and Allah makes some peoples hearts so hard that they become harder than stone. O Abū Bakr! You are like Ibrāhīm who said, 'And whoever follows me is from me, and whoever disobeys me, then You, O Allah, are Forgiving and Merciful.' And Abū Bakr, you are also like 'Īsā who said, 'If you punish them, then they are indeed Your slaves, and if you forgive them, then indeed you are the Mighty, the Wise.'"

Then he addressed 'Umar and said, "O 'Umar! You are like Nūḥ અله who said, 'Do not leave of the unbelievers anyone on Earth!' And 'Umar, you are also like Mūsā who said, 'O My Lord! Make their hearts harder so they will not believe until they see a painful punishment!'"

All that remains to be said is that the Ḥadīth under discussion has been narrated in relation to the expedition of Tabūk. There are no reliable reports which indicate otherwise.

¹ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 6 pg. 138, Hadīth: 3632 [Risālah edition].

Letter 31

Thul-Hijjah 22, 1329

I. Requesting Sources of this Hadith

You have not provided any proof testifying to this hadith as being said on any occasion besides that of Tabuk. I am very eager to be acquainted with its pristine sources; so, please take me to its fountain-heads, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 32

Thul-Hijjah 24, 1329

- I. Among Its Sources: the Prophet's Visit to Umm Salim
- II. The Case of Hamzah's Daughter
- III. Leaning on 'Ali
- IV. The First Fraternity
- V. The Second Fraternity
- VI. Closing the Doors

VII. The Prophet Comparing 'Ali and Aaron to the Two Stars

1. One of its sources is the discourse of the Prophet ناه with Umm Salim,1 a woman of lengthy achievements, a woman of wisdom who enjoyed a special prestigious status with the Messenger of Allah ما فالمنافق due to being among the foremost in accepting Islam, and because of her sincerity, contributions, and sacrifices in the cause of Islam.

The Prophet wised to visit her and talk to her at her own house. One day, he said to her: "O Umm Salim (mother of Salim)! 'Ali's flesh is of mine, and his blood is of my own; he is to me like Aaron to Moses."2 It is obvious that this hadith is only an excerpt of his lengthy hadith which is stated for the purpose of conveying the truth and providing advice for the sake of Allah in order to highlight the status of his vicegerent, the one who would take his own place (of responsibility) once he is gone, and it cannot be confined to the Battle of Tabuk.

2. A similar hadith was made in the case of Hamzah's daughter in whose regard 'Ali, Ja'far and Zayd disputed. The Messenger of Allah مَا الله عَلَيْنَا الله عَلَيْنِ الله عَلَيْنَا الله

- 3. Another incident occurred when Abu Bakr, 'Umar, and Abu 'Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrah were in the company of the Prophet المائد who was leaning on 'Ali. The Prophet المائد patted 'Ali's shoulder and said: "O 'Ali! You are the strongest among the believers in faith, the first (man) to embrace Islam, and your status to me is similar to that of Aaron to Moses."3
- 4. The ahadith narrated during the First Fraternity also include this text. These were made in Mecca prior to the migration, when the Messenger of Allah consummated brotherhood among the emigrants in particular.
- 5. On the occasion of the Second Fraternity, while in Medina, five months after the migration, the Prophet made fraternity between the emigrants (Muhajirun) and the supporters (Ansar). In both events, he chose 'Ali as his brother,4 thus preferring him over all others, saying to him: "You are to me like Aaron to Moses except there will be no Prophet after me." Narrations in this regard are consecutively reported. Refer to what others state about the First Fraternity such as the hadith narrated by Zayd ibn Abu 'Awfah. Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal has included it in his book Manaqib 'Ali, Ibn 'Asakir in his Tarikh,5 al-Baghwi and al-Tabrani in their Mujma's, al-Barudi in his Al-Ma'rifa, by Ibn 'Adi6 and others.

The hadith under discussion is quite lengthy, and it contains guidelines about how to establish brotherhood. It ends with: "Ali said: 'O Messenger of Allah! My soul has expired, and my spine has been broken, having seen what you have done for your companions while leaving me alone. If this is a sign of your anger with me, then I complain only to you and beg your pardon.' The Messenger of Allah said: 'I swear by the One Who sent me to convey the truth about Him, I have not spared you except for my own self. You are to me like Aaron to Moses, except there will be no Prophet after me. You are my Brother, heir and companion.' 'Ali asked him: 'What shall I inherit from you?'

He answered: 'Whatever Prophets before me left for those who inherited them: the Book of their Lord, and the Sunnah of their Prophet. You will be my companion in my house in Paradise together with my daughter Fatima. You are my Brother and Companion.' Then he, peace be upon him and his progeny, recited the verse: 'They are brethren seated conveniently facing each other,'" referring to the brethren whose hearts Allah has joined in affection who look at each other with sincere compassion.

Refer also to the events of the Second Fraternity. Al-Tabrani, in his Al-Tafsir Al-Kabir, quotes Ibn 'Abbas reporting one hadith stating that the Messenger of Allah 'said to 'Ali 'Ali': "Are you angry because I have established brotherhood between the Ansar and the Muhajirun and have not selected a brother for you from among them? Are you not pleased that your status to me is like that of Aaron to Moses, except there will be no Prophet after me?"7

6. The same hadith was also said when the companions' doors overlooking the Prophet's mosque in Medina were ordered closed except that of 'Ali. Jabir ibn 'Abdullah quotes the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "O 'Ali! It is permissible for you to do at this mosque whatever is permissible for me, and you are to me like Aaron to Moses, except there will be no Prophet after me."

Huthayfah ibn 'Asid al-Ghifari has said that the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, once delivered a khutba on the occasion of closing those doors in which he said: "There are some men who have disliked that I got them out of the mosque while keeping 'Ali. Allah, the Dear and Mighty, inspired to Moses and his brother to reside with their people in Egypt and make their homes a qibla and say their prayers," till he said: "Ali to me is like Aaron to Moses. He is my Brother, and none of you is allowed to cohabit therein other than he."

The sources of this hadith are numerous, and they cannot all be counted in a brief letter like this, yet I hope that what I have stated here suffices to falsify the claim that the status hadith is confined only to the Battle of Tabuk. How much can such a claim weigh in the light of abundance of sources of this hadith?

7. Anyone who is familiar with the biography of the Prophet will find him, peace be upon him and his progeny, describing 'Ali and Aaron as the two bright stars arranged alike, neither one differing from the other. This by itself is a testimony to the generality of status of this hadith, yet the generality of the status is what comes to mind regardless of any pretext, as we have explained above, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,		
Sh		

Footnotes

She is considered to be in the first row of those who accepted and supported the Islamic faith, and she herself was a caller to Islam. During the pre-Islamic period of jahiliyya, she was in love with Malik ibn al-Nadar from whom she conceived her son Anas ibn Malik. At the dawn of Islam, she was among the foremost to embrace it, and she invited her husband Malik to believe in Allah and His Messenger, but he refused; so, she deserted him,

and he in his rage moved to Syria where he died as a kafir. She advised her son, who was then ten years old, to serve the Prophet مَا الله مُعَالِمُ , and the Prophet مَا مُعَالِمُ الله على ا

Many Arab men of prestige sought her hand, but she always used to say: "I shall not get married except when Anas reaches manhood;" so, Anas always used to say: "May Allah reward my mother, for she took very good care of me." Due to her own influence, Abu Talhah al-Ansari became Muslim. He sought her hand when he was still kafir, but she refused to marry him unless he embraced Islam; so, he accepted her invitation to embrace the new faith, and his dowery to her was his own acceptance of Islam.

She conceived a son by him, but the baby fell sick and died; so, she said: "Nobody should mention his death to his father before me." When her husband came home and inquired about his son, she said: "He is in most content;" so he thought that she meant their son was asleep. She served him his dinner, then she put on her best clothes and perfume, and he went to bed with her. The next day she said to him: "Pray for your son's soul."

Abu Talha narrated this story to the Messenger of Allah who said to him: "Allah blessed you last night." She continues to say that he invoked Allah to provide me with what I wanted and even more. In that same night, she conceived 'Abdullah ibn Abu Talha upon whom Allah showered His blessings. He is the father of Ishaq ibn 'Abdullah ibn Abu Talha, the faqih, and his brothers were ten; each one of them was a man of knowledge.

Umm Salim used to participate in the Prophet's military campaigns. On the Day of Uhud, she had a dagger to stab any infidel who would come near her. She rendered Islam a great service, and I do not know any woman besides her whom the Prophet used to visit in her own house and she would offer him a present. She was aware of the status of his progeny, knowledgeable of their rights... May Allah shower His choicest mercy on her.

- 2. 2. This hadith, I mean Umm Salim's, is number 2554 of the ones numbered in Kanz al-'Ummal as narrated on page 154 of its sixth volume. It also exists in Muntakhab al-Kanz; so, refer to the last line of the footnote on page 31 of Volume 5 of Ahmad's Musnad, where you will find it verbatim.
- 3. 3. This is quoted by al-Hasan ibn Badr, al-Hakim in his chapter on kunyat, al-Shirazi in his chapter on surnames, volume six, and by Ibn al-Najjar. It is hadith 6029 and also 6032 of the ones numbered in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 395.
- 4. Discussing the biography of 'Ali Marine' in his Isti'ab, Ibn 'Abd al-Birr describes him thus: "He made brotherhood with the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, among the immigrants, then between the immigrants and the supporters. In each of these instances, he said to 'Ali Marine': 'You are my brother in this life and the life hereafter,' then he made brotherhood between himself and 'Ali Marine'." The details are in the books of traditions and history. For the details of the first brotherhood, refer to page 26, Vol. 2, of Al-Sira al-Halabiyya, and in the second brotherhood on page 120, Vol. 2, also of Al-Sira al-Halabiyya, where you will find how the Prophet favoured 'Ali Marine' in both occasions over everyone else. In Al-Sira al-Dahlaniyya, the details of the circumstances of the first brotherhood and those of the second are similar to what is published in Al-Sira al-Halabiyya. The author also stated that the second brotherhood took place five months after the migration.
- 5. This is quoted from Ahmad and Ibn 'Asakir by a group of trusted authorities such as al-Muttaqi al-Hindi; so, refer to hadith 918 of his Kanz al-'Ummal at the beginning of page 40 of its fifth volume. It is also quoted on page 390, Vol. 6, from Ahmad's book Manaqib 'Ali, numbering it hadith 4972.
- 6. This is quoted from these Imams by a group of trusted authorities such as al-Muttaqi al-Hindi at the beginning of page 41, Vol. 5, of of his Kanz al-'Ummal, numbering it hadith 919.

7. This is quoted by al-Muttagi al-Hindi in his Kanz al-'Ummal and Al-Muntakhab; so, refer to the Muntakhab's footnote on page 31 of its fifth volume regarding Ahmad's Musnad, and you will find it verbatim just as we have quoted it here. It is not difficult to sift the gist of the phrase "You have angered 'Ali عَلَيْهَالْمُالِمُ and comprehend the meanings of companionship, compassion, and the love of a compassionate and kind father to his son. If you wonder how 'Ali had some doubts in the second time he was left behind, although in the first time he had some doubt, too, then he found out that the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, had kept him there just for himself, and why he did not consider the second incident in the light of the first. The answer is that the second incident could not be compared with the first one, for the first was regarding the immigrants in particular; so, the comparison did not forbid the prophet مَثَالِثَلُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّةُ from creating brotherhood with 'Ali عليه رصلته', contrary to the second which was between the immigrants and the supporters. One immigrant in the second instance may be joined in brotherhood to a supporter, and vice versa. Since the prophet and the wasi were both immigrants, the assumption in the second instance was that they should not be brothers; so, 'Ali thought that his brother would be a supporter, just like others by way of comparison. When the Messenger of Allah مَالِّلَةُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّةٌ did not create brotherhood between him and any of the supporters, some doubt entertained his mind, but Allah and His Messenger insisted on favouring him, and so it was: he and the Messenger of Allah مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ became brothers, contrary to the common norm of practice among all the immigrants and supporters at that time and place.

Discussions

Versions of the Hadīth

One of the greatest advantages of a single-sided debate is that one can always call the opponents bluff. This is precisely what unfolds in this round of debate. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn asks the question using the pen of his opponent; and replies in a half-truth which will resonate well with his reading audience.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has presented a number of occasions wherein it is alleged that the Prophet المعالقة announced the fact that 'Alī نفي was to him as Hārūn معالقة was to Mūsa المعالقة; attempting to discredit the claim that this was not limited to the occasion of Tabūk. However, he fails to address the fact that Hārūn معالقة did not succeed Mūsā المعالقة. This has been the crux of our previous discussion.

Our task, then, is to investigate whether or not ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn has been honest in his citations; whether the Prophet مَا الله said this at any other occasion than the expedition of Tabūk.

The first narration

The first narration cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is said to be the narration of Umm Sulaym.¹ He correctly ascribed this narration to *Kanz al-'Ummāl* and its abridgment. He painstakingly pointed out which line the narration could be found in as well; a sign of erudition no doubt.

Conveniently, he fails to mention the fact that the author of $Kanz\ al$ -' $Umm\bar{a}l$, 'Alī al-Muttaqī, ascribed this narration to Abū Ja'far al-'Uqaylī, the compiler of al-Du'afā' which is an encyclopedia on unreliable and disreputable narrators. It is expedient to do so when there is no *real* debator.

¹ The translation has it as Umm Salīm, which is either an academic error or a typographical error. Our inclination is to give the translator the benefit of the doubt despite certain inaccuraicies in the translation at many places.

In his introduction to the abridged version of *al-Kanz*, 'Alī al-Muttaqī was cautious to point out that is was sufficient to deem unreliable any narration exclusively referenced to al-'Uqaylī, along with a host of other earlier books.

He writes:

Whatever is acribed [in this work of mine] to Ibn ʿAdī, al-ʿUqaylī, al-Khaṭīb, Ibn ʿAsākir, al-Ḥakīm al-Tirmidhī in *Nawādir al-Uṣūl*, al-Ḥākim in his *Tārīkh*, Ibn Jārūd in his *Tārīkh*, and al-Daylamī in *Musnad al-Firdaws*; is considered weak. Mere reference to any of these works suffices in pointing out the fact that the narrations quoted are unreliable...¹

It is hard to believe that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn went out of his way to point out the exact line in which the narration could be found, yet failed to read the basic principle spelled out by the author in the introduction to his book; where he explains his methods and symbols to be used throughout the book.

Al-ʿUqaylī indeed quotes the narration, identifying it as the solitary narration of Dāhir ibn Yaḥyā al-Rāzī. He was an extreme Rāfiḍī, and his narrations were not corroborated. Al-ʿUqaylī then cites this narration as one of his anomalous narrations:

'Alī ibn Saʿīd - 'Abd Allah ibn Dāhir - Dāhir ibn Yaḥyā al-Rāzī - al-Aʿmash - 'Abāyah al-Asadī - Ibn 'Abbās that the Prophet said to Umm Salamah, "O Umm Salamah, indeed 'Alī's flesh is from my flesh, his blood is from my blood, and he is to me as Hārūn was to Mūsā except that there is no prophet after me."²

He goes on to list a number of unsubstantiated narrations by Dāhir; all on the virtues of 'Alī and he concludes saying:

¹ Muntakhab al-Kanz vol. 1 pg. 9

² Al-Du'afā al-Kabīr vol. 2 pg. 47

The narration, "You are to me as Hārūn was to Mūsā," is authentic through other chains. Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd narrates it from Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyib, from Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ, from the Prophet as does 'Āmir ibn Saʿd, Muṣʿab ibn Saʿd, Ibrāhīm ibn Saʿd, all of them by way of Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ [i.e. the narration at Tabūk]. All the other versions besides these are anmolous and not sound.¹

It is ironic that the source cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn to prove that this narration was repeated on many occasion negates that very fact. It also proves that the early Ḥadīth critics were aware of a number of narrations of this nature but had pointed out that all the other versions were unreliable and contradicted much sounder authority. Furthermore, this narration mentions Umm Salamah, the Prophet's wife, instead of Umm Sulaym.

Ibn ʿAdī lists this narration under the biography of ʿAbd Allah ibn Dāhir; quoting Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn that he was not reliable and severly impugned. Ibn ʿAdī concludes saying:

'Abd Allah ibn Dāhir has many other narrations besides these, most of which are about the virtues of 'Alī. He is suspected of forging many of them.²

Al-Dhahabī flags both father and son for the forging of this narration. Under the biography of Dāhir he describes him as, "A vengeful Rafiḍī whose dreadful narrations are uncorroborated."

Under the biography of ʿAbd All ibn Dāhir, al-Dhahabī quotes Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn who criticized him severely, pointing out the fact that he cannot be trusted. In conclusion he states:

Allah favoured 'Alī in that his merits are well-established, independent of all such fabrications and fairytales.4

¹ Al-Du'afā al-Kabīr vol. 2 pg. 48

² Al-Kāmil vol. 5 pg. 380

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 2

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 417

The second narration

'Abd al-Ḥusayn then alludes to the narration which mentions the dispute between Zayd ibn al-Ḥārithah, Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib, and ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib over the custody of Ḥamzah's orphaned daughter.

This Ḥadith is very famous; though the wording quoted by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is at variance with all the other versions.

The narration appears by way of al-Barā' ibn 'Āzib and is final part of a lengthy narration which describes the incident at Ḥudaybiyyah, the make-up 'Umrah, and finally the dispute over the custody of Ḥamzah's daughter. The part of the Ḥadīth which concerns us is as follows:

'Alī said, "I took her for she is the daughter of my uncle."

Ja'far argued, "She is the daughter of my uncle, and her aunt is my wife."

Zayd said, "She is the daughter of my brother."

On that, the Prophet gave her to her aunt and said, "The aunt is of the same status as the mother."

He then said to 'Alī , "You are from me, and I am from you," and said to Ja'far , "You resemble me in appearance and character," and said to Zayd, "You are our brother and our freed slave."

A similar narration is recorded from 'Alī , himself, without much alteration in the way it is worded. The chain is as follows Ḥajjāj (ibn Muḥammad al-Maṣīṣī) – Isrā'īl (ibn Yūnus) – Abū Isḥāq (al-Sabī'ī) – Hāni' ibn Hāni' and Hubayrah ibn Yarīm – 'Alī with the wording, "You are from me, and I am from you."

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ṣulḥ Ḥadīth 2699; al-Tirmidhī cites a sentence from it and alludes to the background story, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth 3716

² Musnad Ahmad vol. 2 pg. 249, Hadīth no. 931

The version ascribed to al-Nasā'ī in Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī does not support ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's claim. It is narrated in Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī as follows:

Aḥmad ibn Ḥarb – al-Qāsim ibn Yazīd al-Jarmī – Isrā'īl – Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī – Hubayrah ibn Yarīm and Hāni' ibn Hāni' – 'Alī ఈ

The reference to *Manzilah* in the context of the dispute over the custody of Ḥamzah's daughter appears to be an editing error. We have consulted a number of editions and found the ones which have relied on well-preserved manuscripts are phrased, "You are from me, and I am from you."

If we were to concede, for argument's sake, that the wording of this narration is as 'Abd al-Ḥusayn claims, then this narration is *munkar*. It stands in variance of not only the highly authenticated version by al-Barā ibn 'Āzib ' but all the other narrations by way of Isrā'īl, from Abū Isḥāq, from Hāni' ibn Hāni' and Hubayrah ibn Yarīm, from 'Alī ' but all ' but

Besides al-Qāsim ibn Yazīd the following narrators all narrate from Isrā'īl and all their narrations only mention the words, "You are from me, and I am from you."

- 1. Yaḥyā ibn Ādam his narration appears in the Musnad of Imām Ahmad², al-Nasā'ī in Khasā'is 'Alī'³
- 2. Aswad ibn 'Āmir his narration is found in *Musnad Aḥmad*⁴ though his chain only mentions Hāni' and not Hubayrah.

¹ Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī , pg. 87, editing by Aḥmad al-Balūshī; al-Sunan al-Kubrā, Dhikr Khaṣā'iṣ Amīr al-Mu'minīn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib vol. 10 pg. 381 Dār al-Ta'ṣīl edition

² Musnad Ahmad vol. 2 pg. 160, Hadīth no. 770

³ Khaṣā'īṣ ʿAlī , pg. 204, editing by Aḥmad al-Balūshī; al-Sunan al-Kubrā, Dhikr Khaṣā'iṣ Amīr al-Mu'minīn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib vol. 10 pg. 466 Dār al-Ta'ṣīl edition

⁴ Musnad Ahmad vol. 2 pg. 213, Hadīth no. 857

- 3. 'Ubayd Allah ibn Mūsā al-Ḥākim in al-Mustadrak¹ as well as al-Bazzār² though his narration only mentions Hāni'. This, in addition to Ibn Saʿd in al-Ṭabaqāt³ and Ibn Ḥibbān⁴; all three of them narrate it with this chain from both Hāni' and Hubayrah but only mention the virtue of Jaʿfar.
- 4. Zakariyyah ibn Abī Zā'idah his narration appears in the Sunan of al-Bayhaqī 5
- 5. Ḥajjāj ibn Muḥammad al-Maṣīṣī his narration appears in Musnad Aḥmad as mentioned earlier⁶

It is evident from the wording of all these narrations that there is no mention of the comparison between Mūsā ભાગા and Hārūn ભાગા. The narration cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn from Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī' from al-Qāsim ibn Yazīd matches the remaining narrations in the well-edited editions; and differs in its wording in the editions with less rigourous editing. One could either accept the wording that matches the remaining five versions; or accept the wording which mentions the Manzilah in which case it would contradict five stronger versions with a common chain as well as the well-established version narrated by al-Barā' ibn 'Āzib enter option means that the wording of this Ḥadīth is in stark contrast to the other versions which proves that it is unreliable. Either way, the narration disproves 'Abd al-Husayn's allegation.

A narration worded similar to the one cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is to be found in $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$ Dimashq of Ibn 'Asākir' with the following chain:

^{1~} Al-Mustadrak vol. 3~ pg. 120. He declared this narration authentic and al-Dhahab $\bar{\text{i}}$ concurs with his assessment.

² Al-Bahr al-Zakhkhār vol. 2 pg. 316, hadīth 744

³ Țabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 4 pg. 36

⁴ Al-Ihsan fī Taqrīb Sahīh ibn Hibbān vol. 15 pg. 520, Hadīth 7046

⁵ Al-Sunan al-Kubrā vol. 8 pg. 6

⁶ Musnad Ahmad vol. 2 pg. 241, Hadīth no. 931

⁷ Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī pg. 87

⁸ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 170

'Abd Allah ibn Shabīb - Ibn Abī Uways - Muḥammad ibn Ismāʿīl - 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Bakr - Ismāʿīl ibn 'Abd Allah ibn Jaʿfar - 'Abd Allah ibn Jaʿfar

This chain includes 'Abd Allah ibn Shabīb; whom al-Dhahabī describes as being severely weak. He quotes Abū Aḥmad al-Ḥākim who described him as extremely unreliable. Ibn Ḥibbān stated that he used to attach sound chains on false narrations.¹

Also appearing in this chain is 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Bakr al-Mulaykī. Al-Bukhārī describes him saying, "Dhāhib al-Ḥadīth." Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and al-Nasā'ī also agree that his weakness is significant.²

Anyone familiar with the science of Ḥadīth would know that a narration of this nature could not even be elevated even without the existence of a narration to the contrary. What then could be said of a case where the sound narration is worded differently?

There remains the matter of the Prophet's words to 'Alī words," "You are from me, and I am from you." No doubt this is a major accolade for 'Alī and he is well-deserving of such praise and virtue. The issue, though, is whether it is considered among the unique features of 'Alī words," or have others been described as such?

An attentive read of the Prophet's Frah provides numerous examples of him using a similar phrase for other Ṣaḥābah as well. We present two such cases.

Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī مَثَلَّكُ relates that the Prophet of Allah مَأَلِّكُ said:

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 438

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 550

When the people of the Ashʿarī clan run short of provisions during the military campaigns, or the food for their families in Madīnah ran short, they would collect all their remaining food in one sheet and then distribute it among themselves equally using a small vessel. They are from me and I am from them.¹

Abū Barzah relates that the Messenger مَالْسَنَايَتُ was searching for Julaybīb after one of the battles. When he came across the slain corpse of Julaybīb he realised that Julaybīb had been killed after fighting off seven enemy combatants. Upon seeing this the Prophet مَالْسُنَايُونَ said, "He is from me; and I am from him."

We learn that the Prophet ﴿ الله عَلَى الله

The third narration

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's resourcefulness is rather endearing. He is correct in ascribing this narration, by means of *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*, to the sources which they are referenced to. He withholds the fact that these are not within the catchment area of reliable Aḥādīth, and are repositories of all sorts of narrations. The purpose of some of these books is to try and identify unfamiliar names appearing in the chains of obscure narrations.

Conveniently, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn also hides the fact that the compiler of *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*, 'Alī al-Muttaqī, mentions this naration at three places in short succession, and not just two. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn cites the narrations numbered 6029 and 6032 in *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*. However, if he turned back just one page³ would have had to quote the common isnād for this narration:

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Shirkah, Ḥadīth no. 2483; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no. 2500

² Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no. 2272

³ Kanz al-'Ummāl vol. 6 pg. 394, Ḥadīth 6015

Aslam ibn Faḍl ibn Sahl - Ḥusayn ibn ʿUbayd Allah al-Abzāzī - Ibrāhīm ibn Saʿīd al-Jawharī - al-Ma'mūn -al-Rashīd - al-Mahdī - al-Manṣūr - his father - ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbbās

This in addition to the fact that 'Alī al-Muttaqī concludes this citation saying, "Al-Abzāzī is a counfounded liar!"

The problem does not end there. The narrators appearing in this chain are all 'Abbāsid Khalīfah's; not known for the transmission of Ḥadīth. Their status as Hadīth narrators is a complete mystery and they have not been documented as such.

The father of al-Manṣūr is Muḥammad ibn ʿAbū Allah ibn ʿAbbās. His father, ʿAlī, is known to have heard Ḥadīth from his father, ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbbās, and to have narrated Ḥadīth to some of his sons, ʿĪsā, Dāwūd, Sulaymān, and ʿAbd al-Ṣamad.² There is no mention of Muḥammad though.

Considering the fact that this chain comprises of a series of 'Abbāsid Khulafā' whose status as Ḥadīth narrators remains unknown, the possible interruption between Muḥammad ibn 'Alī ibn 'Abd Allah, the father of al-Manṣūr, and 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbās one would question the narration. However, the appearance of Ḥusayn ibn 'Ubayd Allah al-Abzāzī, a known forger and liar, confirms beyond doubt the baseless nature of this narration.

The fourth narration

'Abd al-Ḥusayn made vague references to an ealier union of brotherhood between the companions; a matter which is largely contested among Sunnī scholars, and rebounded to a narration by Zayd ibn Abī Awfā. However, he employed the sly tactic of splicing the narration and presenting only the part of which suited his argument; completedly ignoring the rest of the narration.

¹ Ibid

² Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 5 pg. 252

This anomaly could either be a consequence of ignorance, or a deceitful omission. The fact that he referenced it to multiple sources, and considering that the entire narration being a thorn in his side, compels us to believe that this is a case of dishonesty and deceit rather than ignorance.

What does the narration speak about?

About Abū Bakr it says, "Your favours upon me can only be compensated by Allah. Where I to take a Khalīl [other than Allah] it would have been you. Your status to me is like my clothing is to my body."

With regards to 'Umar it says, "You are the third of three who will be in my company in Jannah."

The narration goes on to praise 'Uthmān, 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Awf, Ṭalḥāh, Zubayr, Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ, and 'Ammār ibn Yāsir among others ﷺ. Naturally, this information is counter-productive to the propaganda campaign of *al-Murāja*'āt and was therefore omited

Whats more interesting is that this narration has corrrectly been ascribed to al-Istīāb of ibn 'Abd al-Barr in al-Murāja'āt. However, it neglects to mention that he discredited this narration and declared it unreliable.¹ If anything, the objectivity of Sunnī scholars is revealed here since it would suit them that such flowery mention is made of the Prophet's who senior companions who are all loved and revered by Sunnis; yet the Sunnī scholars deem this narration unreliable.

The narration is found in numerous collections² although they eventually converge upon a common narrator and the rest of the chain is relatively the

¹ Al-Istī ab vol. 2 pg. 537

² Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah by Imām Aḥmad vol. 2 pg. 638 and 666, al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr vol. 5 pg. 220 Ḥadīth 5146, al-Kāmil vol. 4. 160, Maʿrifat al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 3 pg. 1191, Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 21 pg. 414 and vol. 42 pg. 51, al-ʿilal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 214

same; with the exception of an unnamed narrator who belongs to the tribe of Quraysh as some versions mention him whereas others omit him. Let us examine the chain of transmission with its common chain

'Abd al-Mu'min ibn 'Abbād – Yazīd ibn Ma'n - 'Abd Allah ibn Shuraḥbīl – a man from Quraysh – Zayd ibn Abī Awfā

It would be best if we began from the Ṣaḥābī narrating this Ḥadīth and work our way backwards,

1. Zayd ibn Abī Awfā

Al-Bukhārī said, "He was not corroborated," meaning that this was the only chain leading to Zayd ibn Abī Awfā. This is to be understood from another statement of his, "This is an unknown chain which is not supported in addition to the fact that it is not known whether some of them have heard from others [above them in the chain]."

Ibn 'Adī says:

Zayd ibn Abī Awfā is known only for this Ḥadīth, on fraternal bonds, with this chain. All those who we have mentioned [in this book of ours] who were known to be Companions of the Prophet have only come under al-Bukhārī's scrutiny because of the chain that leads to them; a chain which is unsupported and anomalous. It is not that he discredited the Companion to him the narration is described as they are beyond scrutiny.³

Considered carefully, the statement of Ibn $Ad\bar{l}$ above reveals that there is interuption in the chain along with the anonymity of some of the narrators. All of these are factors which discredit the narration.

¹ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol. 3 pg. 386

² Al-Tārīkh al-Ṣaghīr vol. 1 pg. 217

³ Al-Kāmil fī Du'afā al-Rijāl vol. 4 pg. 164

2. A man from Quraysh

This addition appears in some versions of the narration and in others it is made to appear as if 'Abd Allah ibn Shuraḥbīl heard it from Zayd directly. The approach of the Muḥaddithīn is to treat these two versions as conflicting, then to ascertain which version appears to be a more accurate account of how this narration was transmitted. The experts are inclined towards the version which includes the anonymous man from Quraysh as this not only comes with additional information, but 'Abd Allāh ibn Shuraḥbīl is known mainly to have narrated from 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Azhar. It is not entirely clear if he narrates from 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān.¹ These are the only two names among the Companions whom the early scholars have considered him to have narrated from. As such, it lends support to those who consider the correct version the one which includes the anonymous narrator from Quraysh.

3. Yazīd ibn Ma'n

There are two main narrators who are known to narrate from 'Abd Allah ibn Shuraḥbīl; ibn Shihāb al-Zuhrī and Sa'd ibn Ibrāhīm.² There is no mention of Yazīd ibn Ma'n. As a matter of fact we have not been able to find a biography from Yazīd ibn Ma'n. this anonymity of this narrator raises further questions.

4. 'Abd al-Mu'min ibn 'Abbād

Al-Bukhārī considers his narrations unsubstantiated and ibn Abī Ḥātim said that he is unreliable.³ Ibn Ḥajar points out that al-Sājī and Ibn Jārūd have both included him in their compilations of weak narrators.⁴

¹ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol. 5 pg. 117, Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol. 5 pg. 81

² Ibid

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.2 pg.670

⁴ Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 5 pg.283

Also consider what the great experts of Ḥadīth, Ḥāfiz Shams al-Dīn al-Dhahabī and Ḥafiz Zayn al-Dīn al-ʿIrāqī, have said about the first bond of brotherhood. Al-Dhahabī states that the correct version of events mentions only fraternal bonds between the Muhājirīn and Anṣār, not the Muhājirīn among themselves. As a matter of fact, he considers this narration a complete forgery.¹ Ḥafiz al-ʿIrāqī was more softer in his tone. He said, "Whatever has been narrated about the bonds of brotherhood between the Prophet ﷺ and ʿAlī ﷺ is all weak; none of it is reliable."²

'Abd al-Ḥusayn is so cautious in his citations so that he cannot appear to have been dishonest. This trick would only have worked in a debate with an untrained individual. If any scholar were to examine his references he would be made out as a huge fraud.

He referenced his narration to *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*, vol 5 page 40, at the top of the page. If anyone bothered to check the reference they would find that in the very next narration ʿAlī al-Muttaqī provided the complete chain for it; concluding that it is an unknown chain which is not supported, in addition to the fact that it is not known whether some of them have heard from others above them in the chain.³

The fifth narration

He mentioned the narration from Ibn 'Abbās in al-Ṭabarānī.

This narration is found in both *al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr*⁴ and *al-Muʿjam al-Awsaṭ*.⁵ Both narrations are found with the same chain:

Maḥmūd ibn Muḥammad al-Marwazī - Ḥāmid ibn Ādam al-Marwazī - Jarīr - Layth - Mujāhid - ibn ʿAbbās ఈ ఈ

- 1 Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 1 pg. 142-143
- 2 Al-Mughnī fī Ḥaml al-Asfār, vol. 2 pg. 190
- 3 Kanz al-'Ummāl vol. 5 pg. 41
- 4 Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 75, Ḥadīth 11092
- 5 Al-Mu'jam al-Awsat vol. 8 pg. 39, Hadīth 7894

In this chain is Ḥāmid ibn Ādam al-Marwazī. Ibn Maʿīn said, "[He is] a confounded liar. Allah's curse be upon him!" Ibn ʿAdī confirms that he was known to be a liar.¹

This fact is not restricted to the earlier books. Later books, ones to which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn had access, like Majma' al- $Zaw\bar{a}$ ' id^2 by al-Haythamī also point out the fact that $H\bar{a}mid$ ibn $\bar{A}dam$ al- $Marwaz\bar{i}$ was a liar.

The sixth narration

The narration of Jābir is found in history books and that is exactly where 'Abd al-Ḥusayn found the narration; in the books of al-Akhṭab al-Khawārizmī, a Shīʿī historian and literateur. This fact has conveniently been excluded from the English translation; though it appears in the Arabic editions of *al-Murāja*ʿāt.³

After pouring over a number of primary and secondary sources we discovered that this narration has been narrated exclusively by way of

Ḥarām ibn ʿUthmān - ʿAbd al-Raḥmān and Muḥammad the sons of Jābir – Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Anṣāri

Under his biography al-Dhahabī says:

Mālik and Yaḥyā both consider him unreliable

Aḥmad said that they abandoned his narration [on suspicion of forgery]

Al-Shāfiʿī said that narrating from Ḥarām is Ḥarām [forbidden]!

Ibn Ḥibbān said that he adopted an extreme brand of Tashayyuʻ and was known for mixing up his chains.

¹ Al-Kāmil vol. 3 pg. 409

² Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 111

³ See pg 144 of the Arabic edition published by Mu'assasat al-Wafā'

Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn reciprocated the sentiments of al-Shāfiʿī as did al-Jawzajānī

Al-Dhahabī went on to cite this narration as a example of his baseless narrations. 1 Ibn Ḥajar concured with him in every detail. 2

The seventh narration

This narration appears only in $Yan\bar{a}b\bar{i}$ al-Mawaddah³ of al-Akhṭab al-Khawārizmī, the Shīʿī about whom Ibn Taymiyyah writes:

Those who have the slightest knowledge regarding hadith, let alone one who is a specialist in this field, will be able to tell that his narrations are fabricated. Akhtab is neither a scholar of hadith, nor is he amongst those who are referred to regarding the subject.⁴

It is now clear that the bold claim of Ḥadīth al-Manzilah being mentioned at repeated intervals during the Prophet's مَا اللهُ الله

The only sound version of this Ḥadīth is the one said at the time of Tabūk, and the context in which it was said to ʿAlī is is not only consistent with its text, but with the entire parrative of the Sīrah as well.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 468-469

² Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 3 pg. 6

³ pg 100

⁴ Minhāj al-Sunnah vol. 3 pg. 101

Letter 33

Thul-Hijjah 25, 1329

I. When was 'Ali and Aaron Described as the Two Stars?

It has not been clarified yet what you claim that he, peace be upon him and his progeny, used to describe 'Ali and Aaron as the two stars which are alike; when did he do that?

Sincerely,

S

Letter 34

Thul-Hijjah 27, 1329

- I. The Occasion of Shabar Shubayr and Mushbir
- II. The Occasion of Fraternity
- III. The Occasion of Closing the Doors

Research the biography of the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, and you will find him describing 'Ali and Aaron as two bright stars in the heart of the skies, the eyes positioned in the face, neither of them is distinguished in his nation from the other.

- 1. Have you noticed how he, peace be upon him and his progeny, had insisted that 'Ali should name his sons just like Aaron did, calling them Hasan, Husayn, and Muhsin? He has said: "I have named them after Aaron's sons, Shabar, Shubayr, and Mushbir," 1 intending thereby to emphasize the similarity between himself and Aaron, and generalizing such a similarity in all areas and aspects.
- 2. For the same reason, 'Ali has cherished his brother and favoured him over all others, thus achieving the goal of generalizing the similarity of both Aarons to their respective brothers, making sure that there must be no difference between them.

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, created brotherhood among his companions, as stated above, making, in the first incident, Abu Bakr brother of 'Umar, and 'Uthman brother of 'Abdul-Rahman ibn 'Awf. In the Second Fraternity, Abu Bakr became brother of Kharijah ibn Zayd, and 'Umar was made brother of 'Atban ibn Malik. Yet on both occasions, 'Ali was made brother of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, as you have come to know.

There is no room here to quote all verified texts citing Ibn 'Abbas, Ibn 'Umar, Zayd ibn Arqam, Zayd ibn Abu 'Awfah, Anas ibn Malik, Huthayfah ibn al-Yemani, Makhduj ibn Yazid, 'Umar ibn al-Khattab, al-Bara' ibn 'Azib, 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, and others narrating this hadith as such. The Messenger of Allah 'has also said to 'Ali: "You are my Brother in this life and the life hereafter."2

In Letter No. 20, we stated how he took 'Ali by the neck, saying: "This is my Brother, vicegerent and successor among you; therefore, listen to him and obey him."

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, came out to meet his companions with a broad smile on his face. 'Abdul-Rahman ibn 'Awf asked him what pleased him so much. He answered: "It is due to a piece of good news which I have just received from my Lord regarding my brother and cousin, and also regarding my daughter. The Almighty has chosen 'Ali a husband of Fatima."

When the Mistress of all women of the world was wed to the master of the Prophet's progeny بقياتة, the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: "O Umm Ayman! Bring me my brother." Umm Ayman asked: "He is your brother, and you still marry him to your daughter?!" He said: "Yes, indeed, Umm Ayman." She called 'Ali in.3

Quite often, the Prophet مَا يَسْتَعَلِّهُ used to point to 'Ali and say: "This is my brother, cousin, son-in-law, and father of my descendants."4

Once he spoke to him and said: "You are my brother and companion." In another occasion, he said to him: "You are my brother, friend, and companion in Paradise." He once addressed him in a matter that was between him, his brother Ja'far, and Zayd ibn Harithah, saying: "O 'Ali! You are, indeed, my brother and the father of my descendants. You are of me and for me."5

He made a covenant with him once saying: "You are my brother and vizier; you complete my religion, fulfill my promise, pay my debts on my behalf, and clear my conscience." 6

When death approached him, may both my parents be sacrificed for him, he said: "Fetch me my brother." They called 'Ali in. He said to him: "Come close to me." 'Ali منافعة did. He kept whispering in his ears till his pure soul departed from his body. 'Ali even caught some of the Prophet's saliva.7

The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has also said: "It is written on the gate of Paradise: 'There is no god but Allah, Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah, 'Ali is the Brother of the Messenger of Allah." 8

The Almighty, when the Prophet left 'Ali sleeping in his bed while the enemies were outside plotting to murder him, addressed Gabriel and Michael thus: "I have created brotherhood between both of you and let the life-span of one of you be longer than that of the other. Which one of you wishes to have the life of the other be longer than his own?" Each held his own life dearer. The Almighty said: "Why can't you be like 'Ali ibn Abu Talib between whom and Muhammad

I have created brotherhood, and he has chosen to sleep in Muhammad's bed, offering to sacrifice his own life for his brother? Go down to earth and protect him from his foes." They both came down. Gabriel stood at 'Ali's head while Michael stood at his feet. Gabriel cried: "Congratulations! Congratulations! Who can be like you, O son of Abu Talib? Even Allah brags about you to His angels!" Regarding that incident, the verse "And there are among men those who trade their own lives for the Pleasure of Allah (Qur'an, 2:207)" was revealed.9

'Ali himself is quoted saying: "I am the servant of Allah and the Brother of His Messenger. I am the strongest in believing in the Prophet. Nobody else can say so except a liar." 10 He has also said: "By Allah! I am his Brother and wali, his cousin and the inheritor of his knowledge; who else is more worthy of it than me?"11

On the Day of Shura, he said to 'Uthman, 'Abdul-Rahman, Sa'd, and al-Zubayr: "Do you know of anyone among the Muslims other than myself with whom the Messenger of Allah established Brotherhood?" They answered: "We bear witness, no."12

When 'Ali stood to duel with al-Walid during the Battle of Badr, the latter asked him: "Who are you?" 'Ali answered: "I am the servant of Allah and the brother of His Messenger." 13

When 'Umar was caliph, 'Ali asked him:14 "Suppose some Israelites come to you and one of them told you that he was cousin of Moses, would he receive a preferred treatment than the others?" 'Umar answered: "Yes, indeed." 'Ali said: "I, by Allah, am the brother of the Messenger of Allah and his cousin." 'Umar took off his mantle and spread it for 'Ali to sit on, saying: "By Allah, you will sit nowhere else other than on my own mantle till each one of us goes his way." 'Ali did so while 'Umar was pleased by that gesture of respect for the brother and cousin of the Messenger of Allah as long as he was in his company.

3. 'Well, I seem to have lost control over my pen. The Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, ordered the doors of his companions' houses overlooking the mosque to be closed for good, as a measure to protect the mosque's sanctity against janaba or najasa, but he allowed 'Ali's door to remain open, permitting him to cross the mosque's courtyard even while being in the state of janaba, just as Aaron was permitted to do, thus providing another proof for the similarity of positions of both men, peace be upon them, in their respective creeds and nations.

Ibn 'Abbas has said: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, ordered all the doors of his companions closed except that of 'Ali

who used to enter even while in the state of janaba, having no other way out."15

'Umar ibn al-Khattab has narrated an authentic hadith which has been reproduced in both sahih books wherein he says:16 "

'Ali ibn Abu Talib was granted three tokens of prestige; had I had one of them, it would have been dearer to me than all red camels [of Arabia]: his wife Fatima daughter of the Messenger of Allah, his residence at the mosque neighbouring the Messenger of Allah and feeling at home therein, and the standard during the Battle of Khaybar."

Sa'id ibn Malik, as quoted in an authentic hadith, once mentioned a few unique merits of 'Ali and said: "The Messenger of Allah turned out everyone from the mosque, including his uncle al-'Abbas and others. Al-'Abbas asked him: 'Why do you turn us out and keep 'Ali?' He, peace be upon him and his progeny, answered: 'It is not I who has turned you out and keep 'Ali. It is Allah who has turned you out while keeping him." 17

Zayd ibn Arqam has said: "A few companions of the Messenger of Allah H used to have the doors of their houses overlooking the mosque. The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, then said: 'Close down all these doors except 'Ali's.'

Some people did not like it, and they talked about it. So, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, stood one day, praised the Almighty then said: 'I have ordered these doors to be closed save 'Ali's, and some of you have disliked that. I have not closed down a door nor opened it, nor gave any order, except after being commanded by my Lord to do so."18

Quoting Ibn 'Abbas, Al-Tabrani has said that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, stood up once and said: "I have not turned

you out acting on my own personal desire, nor have I left a door open out of my own personal preference. I only follow whatever inspiration I receive from my Lord."19

And the Messenger of Allah said once to Ali "O 'Ali! It is not permissible for anybody other than your own self to be present [in the mosque] while being in the state of janaba."20

Sa'd ibn Abu Waqqas, al-Bara' ibn 'Azib, Ibn 'Abbas, Ibn 'Umar, and Huthayfah ibn al-Yemani, have all said: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, came out to the mosque once and said: 'Allah inspired to his Prophet Moses to build Him a pure mosque in which nobody other than Moses and Aaron would live. Allah has inspired to me to build a sanctified mosque wherein only I and my brother 'Ali are permitted to sleep." 21

There is no room here to state all the ascertained texts narrated by Ibn 'Abbas, Abu Sa'id al-Khudri, Zayd ibn Arqam, a companion from the tribe of Khath'am, Asma' bint 'Amis, Umm Salamah, Huthayfah ibn Asid, Sa'd ibn Abu Waqqas, al-Bara' ibn 'Azib, 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, 'Umar, 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar, Abu Tharr al-Ghifari, Abul Tufail, Buraydah al-Aslami, Abu Rafi', freed slave of the Messenger of Allah, Jabir ibn 'Abdullah al-Ansari, and others have all narrated the same hadith.

It is also well known that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, invoked the Almighty once saying:

"O Lord! The my brother Moses had prayed you saying: 'Lord! Remove depression from my chest, untie my tongue's knot so that people may understand my speech, and let my brother Aaron be my vizier from among my household to support me in my undertaking and participate therein,' and you, Lord, responded with: 'We shall support you through your brother and bestow upon you a great authority (Qur'an, 28:35).'

Lord! I am your servant Muhammad; therefore, I invoke you to remove depression from my chest, to make my undertaking easier to carry out, and to let 'Ali be my brother from among my household."22

Al-Bazzaz has likewise indicated that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, took 'Ali's hand and said: "Moses had prayed his Lord to purify His mosque through Aaron, and I have prayed my Lord to purify mine through you." He then sent a messenger to Abu Bakr ordering him to close down his door which overlooked the mosque, and Abu Bakr responded expressing his desire to honour the Prophet's command.

Then he sent another messenger to 'Umar to do likewise, and another to al-'Abbas for the same purpose. Then he, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: "It is not I who has closed down your doors, nor have I kept 'Ali's door open out of my own accord; rather, it is Allah Who has opened his door and closed yours."

This much suffices to prove the similarity between 'Ali and Aaron in all circumstances and conditions, and peace be with you.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes

1. This is quoted by the traditionists according to their own authentic sources of the traditions of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny. Refer to pages 265 and 168, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*, and you will find the text of this hadith described as authentic according to the endorsement of both Shaykhs. Imam Ahmad has also quoted it from 'Ali's hadith on page 98, Vol. 1, of his Musnad. Ibn 'Abdel-Birr, too, quotes the

biography of the grandson of the Prophet al-Hasan From Isti'ab, and even al-Thahbi quotes it in his Talkhis, taking its authenticity for granted, in spite of his fanaticism and deviation from this nation's Aaron, and from its Shabar and Shubayr. It is also quoted by al-Baghwi in his Mu'jam, and 'Abdul-Ghani from his Idah, as is recorded on page 115 of Al-Sawaiq al-Muhriqa, from Salman whose text is almost similar, and also from Ibn 'Asakir.

- 2. Al-Hakim has quoted it on page 14, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak* as narrated by Ibn 'Umar from two authentic sources and endorsed by both Shaykhs. Al-Thahbi has also quoted it in his Talkhis, taking its authenticity for granted. Al-Tirmithi, too, quotes it as cited by Ibn Hajar on page 72 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; so, refer to the seventh hadith of the ones included in Section 2 of Chapter 9 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. All those who have discussed the brotherhood hadith among writers of traditions and chronicles have accepted it without any argument.
- 3. This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 159, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak*. Al-Thahbi, too, has quoted it in his Talkhis, admitting its authenticity. Ibn Hajar copies it in Chapter 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. All those who wrote about the wedding of al-Zahra' S have, without any exception, mentioned it.
- 4. This is included by al-Shirazi in his chapter on surnames, and by Ibn al-Najjar who quotes Ibn 'Umar. Al-Muttaqi al-Hindi has transmitted it in his Kanz al-'Ummal and Al-Muntakhab which he attaches to the footnote of his Musnad; so, refer to the second line of the footnote on page 32 of its fifth volume.
- 5. Al-Hakim quotes it on page 217, Vol. 3, of his Mustadrak, the authenticity of whose narrators is endorsed by Muslim. Al-Thahbi has admitted the same in his own Talkhis.

- 6. Al-Tabrani has quoted it in his Al-Kabir from Ibn 'Umar, and it is transmitted by al-Muttaqi al-Hindi in his Kanz al-'Ummal as well as Al-Muntakhab; so, refer to Al-Muntakhab to see the inclusion of the footnote on page 32, Vol. 5, of the Musnad.
- 7. This is quoted by Ibn Sa'd on page 51, Part Two, Vol. 2, of his Tabaqat, and also on page 55, Vol. 4, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- This is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Al-Awsat, by al-Khatib in his Al-Muttafaq wal-Muftaraq, and it is transmitted by the author of Kanz al-'Ummal; so, refer to Al-Muntakhab and see the inclusion of a footnote on page 35, Vol. 5, of Ahmad's Musnad. It is also transmitted by Ibn 'Asakir in his footnote on page 46.
- 9. This is quoted by authors of books of traditions in their respective works, and it is briefly referred to by Imam Fakhrul-Din al-Razi as he interprets this verse of Surat al-Baqara, on page 189, Vol. 2, of his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir.
- 10. This is quoted by al-Nisa'i in Al-Khasa'is al-'Alawiyya, and by al-Hakim at the beginning of page 112, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak*, by Abu Shaybah and Ibn Abu 'Asim in Al-Sunnah, and by Abu Na'im in Al-Ma'rifa. It is also transmitted by al-Muttaqi al-Hindi in Kanz al-'Ummal and Muntakhab al-Kanz. Refer to Al-Muntakhab and read what Ahmad has included in the footnote on page 40, Vol. 5, of his Musnad.
- 11. Refer to page 126, Vol. 3, of the *Al-Mustadrak*. It is quoted by al-Thahbi in his Talkhis, where the author does not dispute its authenticity at all.
- 12. This is quoted by Ibn 'Abdl al-Birr in 'Ali's biography in the Isti'ab, in addition to many other trusted authorities.
- 13. This is quoted by Ibn Sa'd while discussing Badr's military campaign in his Tabaqat, page 15, part One, Vol. 2.

- 14. As Dar Qutni quotes in the fifth maqsad of the Maqasid of the verse enjoining kindness to the Prophet's kin, and it is verse 14 of the ones counted by Ibn Hajar in Part 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; so, refer to page 107 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 15. This hadith is quite lengthy, and it contains ten exclusive merits of 'Ali, and we have quoted it Letter No. 26.
- 16. It exists on page 125, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*. It is quoted by Abu Ya'li, as stated in Part 3, Chapter 9, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; so, refer to page 76 of this book. It is also quoted in this meaning in almost similar wording by Ahmad ibn Hanbal while quoting ahadith by 'Umar and his son 'Abdullah, and by many other trusted traditionists through various avenues.
- 17. As stated at the beginning of page 17, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*. This hadith is included in Sunni books of traditions, and it is quoted by many trusted Sunni authorities.
- 18. As quoted about him by Ahmad on page 369, Vol. 4, of the Musnad. It is also quoted by al-Diya as stated in Kanz al-'Ummal and its Muntakhab; so, refer to Al-Muntakhab to see what is included in the footnote for page 29 of the fifth volume of the Musnad.
- 19. As he is quoted by al-Muttaqi al-Hindi at the end of the footnote on the page referred to above.
- 20. As quoted by al-Tirmithi in his Sahih and quoted from him by al-Muttaqi al-Hindi as we have stated when referring to his Muntakhab. It is also quoted by al-Bazzaz from Sa'd, as stated in hadith 13 of the ahadith which Ibn Hajar quotes in Section 2, Chapter 9, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; so, refer to page 73 of the same.

- 21. As they are quoted by 'Ali ibn Muhammad al-Khatib, the Shafi'i faqih who is better known as Ibn al-Maghazli, in his book Al-Manaqib from various sources, and transmitted by the trusted researcher al-Balkhi in Chapter 17 of his Yanabi' al-Mawaddah.
- 22. This is quoted by Imam Abu Ishaq al-Tha'labi from Abu Tharr al-Ghifari in his interpretation of the following verse of Surat al-Ma'ida: "Verily, your wali are: Allah, His Messenger, and the Believers," in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, similar to which is transmitted from Imam Ahmad's Musnad by the Balkhi researcher.

Discussions

Jewish Influence

There is no mistaking the Jewish influence of 'Abd Allah ibn Saba' in the development of Shīʿī thought. The entire concept of Waṣiyyah for the Khilāfah of 'Alī ata is an adaptaion of the Waṣiyyah for Yūsha' ibn Nūn raid by Mūsā raid. This is something attested to my the scholars of the Shīʿah. Al-Nawbakhtī writes:

A group of scholars aligned to 'Alī Mar, have concluded that 'Abd Allah ibn Saba' was a Jew, who embraced Islam and expressed love for 'Alī. He was known for propagating the idea that Yūsha' ibn Nūn was the one to whom leadership was bequeathed after Mūsā. After embracing Islam he promoted the same idea about 'Alī; that leadership was bequethed to him upon the Prophet's demise. He was the first person to propagate the doctrine which declared the necessity of accepting the Imāmah of 'Alī, and disassociating one's self from his enemies and adopting a hostile attitude towards them.¹

The progression of this idea subconciously resonates in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's arguments. He argues along similar lines for the pre-eminence of 'Alī's immediate succession. The parallel to the Jewish tradition is again misplaced since Hārūn parallel did not succeed Mūsā parallel. Notwithstanding this, our arguments have very little to do with the nuanced Jewish similarilities.

The dilemma

Not only was it that circumstances prompted the Prophet مَا المُعَلِّمُةُ to console 'Alī فَا اللهُ وَاللهُ وَا اللهُ وَاللهُ وَاللّهُ و

¹ Firaq al-Shī ah pg. 44

would be continuing the mission with which he was mandated to perform by Allah الشَهْمَاتُهُوْتَعَالًى.

Hārūn ﷺ was also the biological brother of Mūsā ﷺ; so arguing that 'Alī ﷺ resembled Hārūn in every aspect besides prophethood is simply not possible in this respect. The hermanuetic principle dictates that a general text which has been restricted, ceases to be absolute and is subject to further restriction. Proving the pre-eminence of 'Alī's ﷺ succession in light of the Ḥadīth al-Manzilah is not merely speculative but downright whimsical.

To argue that 'Alī ''étéé was first in line for succession because of Waṣiyyah becomes increasingly problematic since Hārūn ''Carlet' did not succeed Mūsā ''Carlet'. It is thus imperitive that we look to another candidate to be the Prophet's 'successor. Consider that Abū Bakr ''Etéé was the Prophet's 'Companion on the Hijrah journey, just as Yūsha' ibn Nūn ''Carlet' was the companion of Mūsā ''Carlet' on their journey to meet al-Khidr. Similarly, Abū Bakr ''Étéé was nominated to lead the people in prayer – even though 'Alī ''Étéé was present – seems to indicate the Prophet's ''Life preference for succession. To the disappointment of many detractors, it was only the door of Abū Bakr ''Étéé which led into the Masjid that the Prophet demanded be kept open when he instructed that all other such doors be sealed shut.¹ It is not farfetched to establish the similarities between them.

The narrations

Considering the delicate foundation upon which this argument rests, it is imperative that it be supported by other forms of evidence. To this end 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has cited over two dozen narrations; most of which are fabricated or seriously flawed, the exception being a few over which the scholars have differed.

We present our study of these narrations below:

¹ Şahīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ṣalāt, Ḥadīth 466; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth 2382

1. The names of Ḥasan, Ḥusayn, Muḥassin and Shabbar, Shabīr, Mushabbir

Even if this narration was accepted, all it indicates is a similarity between Hārūn par and 'Alī and 'Alī and 'Alī and 'Alī and 'Alī's and 'Alī

This narration is transmitted with variant wordings via different chains:

a. Isrā'īl – Abū Isḥāq – **Hāni' ibn Hāni'** - 'Alī ﷺ, All those who narrate it with this chain mention Ḥasan, Ḥusayn, Muḥassin and Shabbar, Shabīr, Mushabbir as well as the fact that these were the names of the children of Hārūn ﷺ, with the exception of al-Bazzār who names them Jabr, Jubayr, Mujabbir.

It is interesting to know that after ascribing this narration to al-Ḥākim in his *Mustadrak*, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes him as grading this narration authentic according to the criteria of both al-Bukhārī and Muslim whereas al-Ḥākim merely accepted it without ascribing to it the criteria of neither al-Bukhārī nor Muslim.

- b. Yūsuf ibn Isḥāq Abū Isḥāq **Hāni' ibn Hāni'** 'Alī ﷺ' This version mentions nothing about Hārūn ﷺ'

¹ Musnad Aḥmad vol. 2 pg 159 Ḥadīth 769; vol. 2 pg. 264 Ḥadīth 953; al-Adab al-Mufrad (823); al-Bazzār vol.2 pg.314 Ḥadīth 742, al-Iḥsān fī Taqrīb Ṣaḥīḥ ibn Ḥibbān vol. 15 pg. 409 Ḥadīth 6958; al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr vol. 3 pg. 96 Ḥadīth 2773; al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 165

² Al-Mu jam al-Kabīr vol.3 pg. 97 Ḥadīth 2776

³ Musnad al-Ṭayālisī (129); al-Bazzār vol.2 pg.315 Ḥadīth 743

- d. 'Amr ibn Ḥurayth Bardha'ah ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān Abū al-Khalīl Salmān al-Fārisī 🍇 ¹ This version only mentions two sons.
- e. Al-A'mash Sālim ibn Abī al-Ja'd 'Alī مُنْقَفَّةُ. 2
- f. Al-A'mash Sālim ibn Abī al-Ja'd Prophet صَالَاتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالًم 3.

The first three chains are all by way of Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī, from Hāniʾ ibn Hāniʾ, from ʿAlī . The astute reader will notice the inconsistency in the wording between the three versions. This could be attributed to the fact that the memory of Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī was not as strong towards the end of his life.⁴

Earlier we touched on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's deception vis-à-vis al-Ḥākim's grading. Let us elaborate on why this could not be on the criteria of al-Bukhārī or Muslim, let alone them both.

The narrator, Hāni' ibn Hāni', is relatively unknown. The only person to narrate from him is Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī. His narrations do not appear in any of the Ṣaḥāḥayn; instead they are found in $Ab\bar{u}$ $D\bar{a}w\bar{u}d$, al- $Tirmidh\bar{\iota}$ and Ibn $M\bar{a}jah$. 5 Ibn Ḥajar describes him as one whose narrations would not be independently relied upon, but have the capacity of being elevated when there is supporting evidence. 6 Naturally, this would apply when there is nothing to contradict it. However, if the inconsistencies in the wordings are considered it might be reason for scholars not to accept this narration as has been the case with some scholars. Others might not deem it too contradictory, and accept the narration on the lowest level of acceptance.

¹ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol. 2 pg. 147; al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 3 pg. 97

² Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 3 pg. 97 Ḥadīth 2777

³ Fadā'il al-Şaḥābah vol.2 pg. 774 Ḥadīth 1367

⁴ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 22 pg. 102

⁵ Al-Kāshif bio. 5938; al-Tagrīb bio. 7264

⁶ Al-Tagrīb bio. 7264

The narration by way of Salmān includes two problematic narrators whose weakness renders his version incapable of providing support to others. Appearing the chain of this narration is 'Amr ibn Ḥurayth, whose status as a narrator remains unknown.' Worse still is Bardha'ah ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān who is severely criticized and known for spurious narrations; this one in particular'

The remaining two versions have interrupted chains, **Sālim ibn Abī al-Ja'd** did not meet 'Alī متاللة العلاقة let alone the Prophet متاللة المنافقة.

Imām Aḥmad narrates from Zakariyyā ibn ʿAdī — from ʿUbayd Allah ibn ʿAmr — from ʿAbd Allah ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAqīl — from Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī³ — from ʿAlī ʿŒŒ who said that when Ḥasan was born he first named him Ḥamzah (after his uncle) and when Ḥusayn ʿŒŒ was first born he named him Jaʿfar (after his brother); however the Prophet ĒŒŒ indicated that he wished to change their names. ʿAlī ʿŒŒ said that Allah and His Messenger ĒŒŒ know best. So, the Prophet ĒŒŒ changed the names to Ḥasan and Ḥusayn.⁴

It is increasingly difficult to decide which of the narrations is the most accurate. Needless to say that this narration is not known to have been criticized by the scholars of Ḥadīth. In any case, if the Shīʿah accept the narration presented by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn then it is necessary to retract all allegations of 'Umar kicking in the door of 'Alī kicking and causing Fāṭimah kicking to lose the child with which she was pregnant.

¹ Lisān a-Mīzān vol. 7 pg. 198

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 303, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 2 pg. 270

³ Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah, the son of ʿAlī 🍇 🚳

⁴ Musnad Aḥmad vol 2. Pg. 464-465, Ḥadīth 1370; A similar narration appears in Musnad Abī Ya'lā (498) and al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 3 pg. 98 Ḥadīth 2780.

- 2. You are my brother in this life and the next
- a. Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr Jumay ibn 'Umayr 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar 🖼 1
- b. **Isḥāq ibn Bishr al-Kāhilī** Muḥāmmad ibn Fuḍayl Sālim ibn Abī Ḥafṣah **Jumay** ibn 'Umayr 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar .²
- c. Kathīr al-Nawā' Jumay' ibn 'Umayr 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar & 3.3

The common narrator in all the variant chains is Jumay before discussing his status as a narrator let us investigate the other problematic narrators who appear in each chain.

Hakīm ibn Jubayr has been criticized by a number scholars including Shuʿbah, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, al-Nasā'ī and al-Dāraquṭnī. Some of them have criticized him with very harsh terms.⁴

Appearing in the second chain is **Isḥāq ibn Bishr al-Kāhilī**, a narrator of Ḥadīth in Kūfah who is suspected of forging narrations. Muṭayyin said that the only person he heard Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah call a liar was Isḥāq ibn Bishr. He was also suspected of fabricating Ḥadīth by Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī, ʿAmr ibn ʿAlī al-Fallās and al-Nasāʾī. ʿAl-Dhahabī criticizes him harshly in *Talkhīṣ al-Mustadrak*. Ġ

Kathīr al-Nawā' was a hardline Shī'ī, considered weak by Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, al-Nasā'ī, ibn 'Adī and al-Dhahabī among many others.⁷

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib Ḥadīth 3720; al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 14; al-Kāmil vol. 2 pg. 166

² Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 14

³ Al-Kāmil vol. 2 pg. 166

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 584; al-Kāshif bio. 1197; al-Taqrīb bio. 1468

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 187

⁶ Talkhīṣ al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 14

⁷ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 402

Jumay' ibn 'Umayr

Jumayʻ ibn ʻUmayr is the common narrator in this Ḥadīth. ʻAbd al-Ḥusayn claimed that al-Dhahabī ratified this narration as being sound. The reality, however, is very different. Al-Dhahabī suspects him of forging this narration in *Talkhīṣ al-Mustadrak*!¹

Al-Bukhārī described him with the term, " $F\bar{\imath}hi$ Nazar," a term used mostly for a narrator whose weakness is severe. Ibn Ḥibbān described him as a hardline Shīʿī who forged Ḥadīth; whereas Ibn ʿAdī said that his narrations are largely unsupported.²

None of the chains can be elevated due to the severity of the weakness of this narration.

3. This is my brother, my executor, and my khalīfah amongst you! Therefore, listen to him, and obey him!

We have already discussed this narration in significant detail under Letter 20. Please refer there for the detailed response, especially the inconsistancies in the text. Our brief comment in this narration will follow.³

All the references quote this narration with one of two common chains

- a. Muḥammad ibn Ishāq **'Abd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim** Minhāl ibn 'Amr 'Abd Allah ibn al-Ḥārith ibn 'Abbās ﷺ' 'Alī ﴿ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ
- b. 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abd al-Quddūs al-A'mash al-Minhāl ibn 'Amr with his chain to 'Alī المالة الما

¹ Talkhīṣ al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 14

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 422

³ Refer to pg. 388 of this book.

'Abd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim, Abū Maryam, is *matrūk* (suspected of forgery) and is not reliable on any level.¹

'Abd Allah ibn 'Abd al-Quddūs was considered weak and a known Rāfiḍī. Ibn 'Adī said most of what he narrates is about the Ahl al-Bayt, and al-Nasā'ī and al-Dāraquṭnī emphatically conclude that he was weak.²

4. Good news which has just reached me from my Lord...

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has not provided a reference for this naration. We managed to trace it to *Tārīkh Baghdād* by al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī. It is a lengthy narration with a lengthy chain. Al-Khaṭīb, after citing this narration, states, "All the narrators appearing in this chain from 'Umar ibn Muḥammad until Bilāl [ibn Ḥamāmah] – this amounts to seven narrators – are all *Majhūl*." This means that there are seven consecutive narrators whose identities, and status as transmitters of Ḥadīth, remains a mystery. What is not a mystery is why 'Abd al-Ḥusayn relied on this Ḥadīth.

5.On the night of Fāṭimah's wedding the Prophet said, "O Umm Ayman, call my brother." She responded, "Is he is your brother yet you marry him to your daughter?"

- a. Ḥātim ibn Wardān Ayyūb **Abū Yazīd al-Madanī** Asmā' bint 'Umays who said, "I was present at the wedding of Fāṭimah ..."⁴
- b. Ma'mar Ayyūb **Abū Yazīd** & 'Ikrimah or one of them that Asmā' bint 'Umays :...(Mursal)⁵

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl by al-Dhahabī, vol. 2, p. 640.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2, p. 458

³ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 4 pg. 210

⁴ Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah vol.2 pg. 762 Ḥadīth 1342, Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī pg 137 Ḥadīth 124, al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 24 pg. 136, al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 159

⁵ Muṣannaf ʿAbd al-Razzāq vol. 5 pg. 485, Faḍā ʾil al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 2 pg. 578 Ḥadīth 958, al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr vol. 24 pg. 137

- c. Ḥammād ibn Zayd Ayyūb **Abū Yazīd** that Asmā' bint 'Umays (Mursal)¹
- d. **Muḥammad ibn Sawā' Saʿīd ibn Abī ʿArūbah** Ayyūb 'Ikrimah ibn 'Abbās ﷺ'

The variations in this chain might hold little value, if any, to the untrained eye. However, expert critics examined the subtle changes in the way a narration was transmitted.

The reader will notice that Ayyūb is the common narrator in all chains, however those who narrate it from Ayyūb differ in the manner in which they narrate it from him.

Three versions have Ayyūb with a chain to Asmā' bint 'Umays, whereas one chain goes via Ibn 'Abbās.

The chain that mentions Saʿīd ibn Abī ʿArūbah cites ʿIkrimah, from Ibn ʿAbbās, a common chain. It is to be noted that while Saʿīd ibn Abī ʿArūbah was a highly reliable narrator, his memory failed him at the end of his life as a result of which he erred in his narrations. The scholars accept the narrations of those who narrate from him prior to the lapse in memory, 145 A.H. Those who narrate from him after this date have been found to have errors in their narrations.³

Muḥammad ibn Sawā', despite being a reliable narrator,⁴ is among those who narrate from him after his lapse in memory;⁵ and the evidence to that can be seen in this narration as he cites the common chain from 'lkrimah.

¹ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg 133, 'Ilal al-Dāraquṭnī vol 14. Pg. 243

² Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī pg. 138 Ḥadīth 125

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 11 pg. 5; al-Thiqāt by ibn Ḥibbān vol. 6 pg. 360

⁴ Al-Kashif bio. 4892, al-Tagrīb bio. 5939

⁵ Al-Kawākib al-Nayyirāt pg. 111-112

Abū Yazīd al-Madanī, while it is correct that he is among the narrators found in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, has only been cited by him once in his Ṣaḥīḥ.¹ This narration happens to be a Mawqūf narration. While scholars like Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal were inclined to accept his narration; that was only on account of Ayyūb narrating from him. Imām Mālik appears not to have known him. Perhaps this is the reason that Ibn Ḥajar grades him on the bare minimum, Maqbūl.²

In the remaining three versions, two of them have interrupted chains and one of the chains is transmitted in way that can be assumed continuous. All three versions mention Ayyūb. Fortunately, the expert in the science of *'Ilal* [subtle anomalies], Abū al-Ḥasan al-Dāraquṭnī, has offered his insight by pointing out that the interrupted version is the correct narration.³

Contrary to what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn deceitfully ascribes to al-Dhahabī in *Talkhīs al-Mustadrak*, we find that al-Dhahabī has acknowledged that this narration is incorrect, *Ghalaṭ*.⁴ We have come to accept this as deceit since neither the *Talkhīṣ*, nor the *Mustadrak* was printed during the period in which this correspondence is meant to have taken place.⁵

The error is further confirmed by historical inaccuracies in the text. Asmā' bint 'Umays could not have been present at the wedding of Fāṭimah as she was still in Abyssinia. She only arrived in Madīnah with her husband, Ja'far ibn Abī Ṭālib in the seventh year of the Hijrah; around the time of the Khaybar expedition.

$\ensuremath{\text{6.}}$ This is my brother, cousin, son-in-law and the father of my descendents.

There is nothing objectionable in the wording of this narration. The only question is whether this was said by the Prophet مَا التَّمَا المُعَالِّ in the manner described.

¹ *Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī*, Manāqib al-Anṣār, al-Qasāmah fī al-Jāhiliyyah, Ḥadīth3845

² Al-Tagrīb bio. 8542

^{3 &#}x27;Ilal al-Dāraquṭnī vol. 12 pg. 243

⁴ Talkhīṣ al-Mustadrak vol.3 pg. 159

⁵ Refer to pg. 430 of this book.

The narration could be traced with the following chain:

Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿArs – Muḥammad ibn Sahl al-Māzinī – **Ismāʿīl ibn Yaḥyā al-Tamīmī** - ʿUbayd Allah ibn ʿUmar – Nāfiʿ - ibn ʿUmar...¹

Al-Ṭabarānī states after narrating it, "This narration is only known from Ibn 'Umar except by way of Ismāʿī ibn Yaḥyā al-Tamīmī; and the only one to narrate it from him is Muhammad ibn Sahl al-Māzinī."²

Ismāʿīl ibn Yaḥyā al-Tamīmī is known for forging Ḥadīth. He has been described as a liar, and a cornerstone of forgery. As such, this narration cannot be relied upon.

7. You are my brother and my companion.

Like the narration before it, there is nothing objectionable in the text. The issue is whether this narration can correctly be traced back to the Prophet in the manner described. The correct wording appears in the narration of al-Barā ibn ʿĀzib.⁴

It is narrated by way of Ḥajjāj [ibn Arṭāt] – al-Ḥakam – Miqsam – ibn ʿAbbās Lawer — ibn ʿAbbās

Ḥajjāj ibn Arṭat is known as a *Mudallis*, which means that if he often omits the person from whom he received the Ḥadīth and assigns its reference to someone higher up the chain. The problem with Ḥajjāj is that he was known for omitting spurious narrators and ascribing the narration to a reliable narrator higher up the chain. As such, the scholars are reluctant to accept his narrations unless he

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Awsat vol. 6 pg. 300; al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 316

² Ibid

³ Al-Kāmil vol.1 pg. 491, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol 1. pg. 253

⁴ Sahīh al-Bukhārī Hadīth 4251

⁵ Musnad Ahmad vol. 3 pg. 480; al-Istī āb vol. 3 pg. 1098

expressly indicates whom he received it from. In this case he used the word "an" which is an ambiguous term.

In addition to this there is the interruption between al-Ḥakam and Miqsam. Shuʿbah ibn Ḥajjāj stated that al-Ḥakam only heard five narrations from Miqsam;² this is not one of them.

8. You are my brother, my friend, and companion in paradise.

What has been said for the previous two narrations applies here as well in terms of the meaning. The narration has correctly been ascribed to $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$ Baghd $\bar{a}d$.

The end of the chain is as follows:

'**Uthmān ibn** '**Abd al-Raḥmān** – Muḥammad ibn 'Alī ibn Ḥusayn – his father - 'Alī **Lating** ...

Firstly, this is an interrupted chain since ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn does not narrate from his grandfather, ʿAlī .

The major problem, however; is the presence of 'Uthmān ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Qurashī al-Waqqāṣī in this chain. He is suspected of forging Ḥadīth.⁴

9. Zayd ibn Ḥārithah, Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib and ʿAlī نقطة came to the Prophet asking him who was the most beloved...

It is narrated by way of Muḥammad ibn Salamah – **Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq** – Yazīd ibn ʿAbd Allah ibn Qusayṭ - Muḥammad ibn Usāmah – Usāmah...⁵

¹ Taʻrīf Ahl al-Taqdīs pg 164

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Jumuʿah, Ḥadīth 527

³ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 12 pg. 268

⁴ Al-Tagrīb bio. 4525

⁵ Musnad Ahmad vol. 36 pg. 110 Ḥadīth 21777; al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 217

Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq ibn Yasār is considered acceptable if he expressly states the person from whom he received the Ḥadīth. In this case he used the ambiguous term "an" which raises a red flag.

The Ḥadīth is found to contradict the more authentic version narrated by both al-Barā' ibn ʿĀzib¹ and ʿAlī ;² both in the wording and circumstance. We have already discussed the variant versions of this Ḥadīth.³

10. You are my brother, my adviser...

This narration appears by way of Muḥammad ibn 'Uthmān ibn Abī Shaybah – Muḥammad ibn Yazīd - 'Abd Allah ibn Muḥammad al-Ṭahwī – Layth [ibn Abī Sulaym] – Mujāhid – 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar :....4

After citing this narration al-Haythamī said, "Al-Ṭabarānī narrates it; though there are names which I do not recognize in it [the chain]." Perhaps he is refering to 'Abd Allah ibn Muḥammad al-Ṭahwī who does not have any bigraphical data on him; which means that his anonymity alone is enough to dismiss this narration.

The chain suffers from further problems in that Layth ibn Abī Sulaym was considered a weak narrator. Al-Dhahabī has cited quotations from Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, and al-Nasāʾī, all of them discrediting his narrations. Al-Dhahabī further lists a number of narrations, including some by way of Mujāhid, for which Layth has been criticized and found wanting in terms of his memory.⁶

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī Ḥadīth 4251; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Jihād Ḥadīth 1783

² Musnad Ahmad vol. 2 pg. 160 Hadīth 770

³ Refer to pg. 484 of this book.

⁴ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 12 pg. 420;

⁵ Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 121

⁶ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 420

11. The Prophet مَا تَشَعَادُ called for 'Alī مُعَالِّلُهُ on his deathbed

'Abd al-Ḥusayn ascribed this narration, via the agency of *Kanz al-'Ummāl*,¹ to Ibn Sa'd in his *Ṭabaqāt*. Although; he conveniently omited the fact that after referencing this narration, 'Alī al-Muttaqī says that the chain is weak!

We present the chain as it appears in Tabaqāt ibn Sa'd:2

Muḥammad ibn 'Umar [al-Wāqidī] - 'Abd Allah ibn Muḥammad ibn 'Umar ibn 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib - from his father [Muḥammad] - from his grandfather...

To begin with the chain is interrupted. Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib did not meet ʿAlī . As such there is a missing link between him and ʿAlī i. It might be argued that the pronoun in this chain refers not to the grandfather of Muḥammad, but that of his son, ʿAbd Allah; meaning that Muḥammad narrates it from his own father. If this is the case the narration remains Mursal since ʿUmar ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib is a Tābi ʿī and his narration from the Prophet directly is also interupted.

'Abd Allah ibn Muḥammad has been graded the bare minimum by Ibn Ḥajar, Maqbūl.⁴ Ibn Ḥajar has divided narrators into twelve categories. Six categories of narrators whose narrations are within the realm of acceptance, and the remaining six describe the weak narrators with increasing degrees of unreliablity. The term Maqbūl is used for narrators on the lowest ond of the spectrum. This term applies to someone who does not narrate in adundance, whose narrations dont deserve to be discarded completely. Such a narrator is one whose solitary narrations would be considered on the higher end of weak, whilst they may be elevated to acceptable if supported by others.⁵

¹ Kanz al-'Ummāl Hadīth 18790

² Al-Ṭabaqāt vol. 2 pg. 263

³ Fath al-Bārī vol. 8 pg. 107

⁴ Al-Tagrīb bio. 3595

⁵ Muqaddimah al-Taqrīb pg. 111, Dār al-Yusr, 1430 A.H

The major problem in this chain is the presence of al-Wāqidī, Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar. He was considered extremely unreliable, even suspected of falsifying narrations. When it came to matters of Sīrah and military expeditions they would mention his views alongside others due to the vast number of narrations he collected in that genre. The scholars are uninimous in rejecting those aḥādīth which he alone narrates.¹

The authentic narrations place the Prophet's مَالِسَعَا wife, 'A'ishah وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ at his side during his final moments.

Al-Aswad ibn Yazīd relates:

It was said in 'Ā'ishah's was presence that 'Alī was appointed (by the Prophet before he died), and she responded, "When did he [the Prophet do the Waṣiyah? [In his final moments] He was resting against my bosom, or in my lap, and he called for a basin, then he became limp in my lap and passed away, and I did not realize it. So when did he was appoint him?"

The combination of those three defects in the chain with the contradictory narrative is enough to consider the narration in the *Ṭabaqāt* of Ibn Saʿd a fabrication, as some have pointed out.

12. It is written on the doors of Paradise...

This narration has been transmitted by way of Muḥāmmad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah – **Zakariyyā ibn Yaḥyā** – **Yaḥyā ibn Sālim** – **Ash**ʿath – Misʿar - ʿ**Aṭiyyah** – Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allah ... ³

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol 3. Pg. 662-666, al-Ta'rīf wal-Ikhbār bi Takhrīj Ahādīth al-Ikhtiyār vol. 1 pg 246

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Waṣāyā, Ḥadīth 2741; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Waṣiyyah, Ḥadīth 1636

³ Al-Muʻjam al-Awsaṭ Hadīth 5498; Ḥilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 7 pg. 256; Tārīkh Baghdād vol.7 pg. 387; al-Duaʻafā' al-Kabīr by al-ʻUqaylī vol. 1 pg. 33

Zakariyyah ibn Yaḥyā al-Kisā'ī has been described by Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn as an evil person who narrated false narrations. Al-Nasā'ī and al-Dāraquṭnī claim that the scholars suspected him of forgery so they abandoned his narrations.¹

Yaḥyā ibn Sālim al-Asadī al-Kūfī was considered a weak narrator by al-Dāraquṭnī. Al-ʿUqaylī put him in the same category as his teacher, Ashʿath. Ibn al-Jawzī upheld the opinion of al-Dāraquṭnī, as did al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar.²

Ashʿath, the cousin of Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ, is described by al-Dhahabī has an extremist Shīʿī. Abū Jaʿfar al-ʿUqaylī discredits him saying that he did not preserve his narrations. He then goes on to cite this narration as an example of his spurious narrations.³ Al-ʿUqaylī goes on to state that the previous two narrators, Yaḥyā ibn Sālim al-Asadī and Zakariyyā ibn Yaḥyā al-Kisāʾī, are no better than Ashʿath.⁴

'Aṭiyyah al-'Awfī is considered weak notwithstanding his Shīʿī leanings. He is also on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100 and we have pointed out that the scholars of Ḥadīth do not rely on his narrations independently.⁵ Al-Dhahabī documents the fact that the all the scholars agree that he is weak.⁶

A further reference to *Kanz al-'Ummāl* reveals a similar narration recorded by Ibn 'Asākir.⁷ This narration is found with the following chain:

Sulaymān ibn al-Rabīʿ - Kādiḥ ibn Raḥmah - Misʿar ibn Kidām - ʿAṭiyyah al-ʿAwfī - Jābir ஃ.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 75

² Al-Du'afā wal-Matrūkīn by al-Dāraquṭnī pg. 218, 395; al-Du'afā al-Kabīr vol. 1 pg. 33; al-Du'afā' wal-Matrūkīn by ibn al-Jawzī vol. 3 pg. 195; Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 377; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 8 pg. 442

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 270, al-Du'afā al-Kabīr vol. 1 pg. 33

⁴ Al-Du'afā al-Kabīr vol. 1 pg. 33

⁵ Refer to his bio in letter 16 on pg. 357 of this book.

⁶ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 80, al-Mughnī fi al-Ḍuʿafā bio.4139, al-Kāshif bio.3820, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 4616

⁷ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 56 pg. 72

⁸ Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 2 pg. 665; al-Kāmil fil-Du'afā' vol. 7 pg. 228; Ḥilyat al-Awliyā vol. 7 pg. 256

It is clear from this chain of transmission that this is simply another version of the narration that we are discussing. The link from Mis'ar – Aṭiyyah al-'Awfī – Jābir is common; unreliable independently. What can about the rest of the chain is that it is even more problematic than the previous one due to the severity of the weakness of both Sulaymān ibn Rabī'and Kādiḥ ibn Rahmah.

Ibn Hibbān writes:

Kādiḥ ibn Raḥmah, the Ascetic. He was from Kūfah and narrates from al-Thawrī and Misʿar; and Sulaymān ibn Rabīʿ al-Nahdī narrates from him. He's known for grafting weak narrations onto strong chains. I have a niggling feeling that this was deliberate; although it is possible that these were inadvertent in which case his narrations were poorly preserved which resulted in huge blunders on account of which he deserved to be abandoned.¹

To demonstrate the spurious narrations which have been transmitted by way of Kādīh, ibn Ḥibbān cites this very narration as an example. He says:

It is he who narrates from Misʿar ibn Kidām — from ʿAṭiyyah — from Jābir that the Prophet said, "I saw written on the door of Paradise, 'There is none worthy of worship besides Allah, Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allah, 'Alī is the brother of the Messenger of Allah."

Al-Dhahabī quotes al-Azdī, claiming that Kādiḥ was a forger.³ He also confirms that this narration is a forgery and establishes that the narrator from Kādiḥ, Sulaymān ibn Rabī, is suspected of forgery as well.⁴

These fabrications were well-known and recorded by the scholars very early on. The fact that anyone would think that these narrations would pass by unnoticed in a scholarly exchange is sufficient to prove that it was one-sided.

¹ Al-Majrūhīn vol. 2 pg. 230

² Ibid

³ Al-Mughnī fil-Du'afā' vol. 2 pg. 529

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 399

13. The night that ʿAlī slept in the Prophet's صَالَتُعَامِينَا bed Allah revealed to Jibrīl and Mīkā'īl that He had made them brothers...

Contrary to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim, no such narration exists in the Sunan works! To cover his bases he added *al-Tafsīr al-Kabīr* of Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī as a secondary reference; whereas al-Rāzī does not cite this narration verbatim in his *tafsīr*.¹

Under the discussion on the verse in Sūrah al-Bagarah:

And of the people is he who sells himself, seeking means to the approval of Allah . And Allah is kind to [His] servants. 2

Before we proceed it ought to be noted that the great scholar, Fakhr al-D \bar{n} al-R $\bar{a}z\bar{i}$, was an expert in the rational sciences, especially in the disciplines of 'ilm al-Kal $\bar{a}m$, and U- $s\bar{u}l$ al-Fiqh. Ḥad \bar{i} th, however, was not his speciality and his opinion on Ḥad \bar{i} th related matters doesn't receive much consideration. That being said; al-R $\bar{a}z\bar{i}$ opens this discussion citing three possible scenarios which serve as the circumstance for the revelation of this verse neither of which he has cited any original reference.

The first context that he provides describes a number of individuals whose sacrifices in Makkah were notable. Specific mention is made of Suhayb al-Rūmī who struck a bargain with the Quraysh; all his wealth in exchange for unhindered passage allowing him to migrate to Madīnah where he would join the Prophet . This scenario is correct and is supported by a sound narration which al-Ḥākim has vouched is authentic and is aproved by al-Dhahabī.³

The second possibility is that it was revealed about an unnamed man who endured hardship in the way of enjoining good and forbidding evil.

¹ Al-Tafsīr al-Kabīr vol. 5 pg. 221

² Sūrah al-Bagarah: 207

³ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 398-400

The third context was that of 'Alī ''Alī' 'sleeping on the Prophet's bed on the night of Hijrah. The issue is that al-Rāzī did not provide any reference for any of the scenarios which he had described. Furthermore, the version about 'Alī' 'si worded very differently from the one cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.

So, considering the lack of any mention of this narration in Sunnī Ḥadīth literature and the absence of any Isnād there is no way of reliably ascribing this version of events the the Prophetic period. However, an analysis of the text reveals that this narration – not the fact that 'Alī slept in the Prophet's bed – is inconsistent with Prophetic aḥādīth and considering this in addition to the previous problems some have no reservations in declaring this a forgery.

14. I am the slave of Allah and the brother of His Messenger. I am the greatest believer; only a liar shall claim this after me.

This narration appears by way of al-Minhāl ibn 'Amr – 'Abbād ibn 'Abd Allah – 'Alī 4406.1

We have already pointed out that 'Abbād ibn 'Abd Allah al-Kūfī is an unreliable narrator, as well as the opinions of al-Bukhārī and 'Alī ibn al-Madīnī on the extent of his weakness.²

Commenting on this narration, al-Ḥākim graded it sound. However, al-Dhahabī pointed out that this was an oversight and that the narration was baseless.³

Ibn al-Jawzī included this narration on his collection of fabricated aḥādīth, al-Mawdūʿāt.⁴

¹ Sunan ibn Mājah vol. 1 pg. 87 ḥadīth no. 120, al-Sunan al-Kubra of al-Nasā'ī ḥadīth no. 8340, al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 111-112.

² See discussions on Letter 22

³ *Al-Mustadrak* vol. 3 pg. 111-112

⁴ Al-Mawdū'āt vol. 1 pg. 341

15. By Allah! I am his brother, his Walī, his cousin and the inheritor of his knowledge; who then can be more deserving of him me?

This is narrated by way of 'Amr ibn Ṭalḥah al-Qannād – Asbāṭ ibn Naṣr – Simāk ibn Harb - 'Ikrimah – ibn 'Abbās ¹

Asbāṭ ibn Naṣr, even though his narrations appear in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, he does not meet the criteria of credibility by Imām Muslim. Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī objected to Muslim's inclusion of narrators like Asbāṭ. Muslim justified his inclusion saying that he did not include him on the basis of accepting his narrations independently. Instead, he had acquired narrations by way of Asbāṭ with a higher chain than that of the sound narrators of his time.. Since these narrations of Asbāṭ are known to be correct, partly through the supplementary chains and partly by the fact that he only selected that which the reliable narrators have recorded from him, he included them with his shorter chain.²

When asked about Asbāt, Abū Zurʻah claimed that some of his narrations were reliable whilst others were suspicious.³ Although, Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasā'ī and Abū Nuʻaym – one of those on ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100 – considered Asbāṭ weak and unreliable.⁴ Al-Sājī has pointed out that Asbāṭ narrated many flawed narrations from Simāk ibn Ḥarb which could not be corroborated.⁵

Simāk ibn Ḥarb was a moderately reliable narrator in general, his memory did affect his narrations towards the end of his life though. Ibn Maʿīn, Abū Ḥātim and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal all accepted his narrations in general. However, when asked about the reason for his criticism Ibn Maʿīn responded that often times Simāk was found narrating a complete chain where others had narrated the

¹ Tafsīr ibn Abī Ḥātim vol. 3 pg. 777; Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī pg. 82 Ḥadīth 65 ; al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol.1 pg. 64; al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 126, Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 56

² Su'ālāt al-Bardha'ī pg. 676

³ Su'ālāt al-Bardha'ī pg. 464

⁴ Ibid

⁵ Ikmāl Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 2 pg. 64, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 1 pg. 109

same report with an interrupted chain. Hishām ibn 'Ammār acknowledges that Simāk erred in his narrations somewhat, and the narrators from him differed, suggesting inconsistency.

'Alī ibn al-Madīnī and Yaʻqūb ibn Shaybah al-Sadūsī have identified the problem area in Simāk's narrations; when he narrated from 'Ikrimah. He was particular inconsistent with what he narrated from 'Ikrimah, and would revert to that chain due to the abundance of what he narated via it, even when the narration was transmitted with a different chain.'

So, while Simāk is reliable in general, his narrations from 'Ikrimah specifically are problematic.

If we combine the problems found with 'Simāk from 'Ikramah' with the weakness of Asbāṭ ibn Naṣr it is quite easy to see why this narration is flawed.

Al-Dhahabī has pointed out that this narration is baseless adding to the previous problems that of 'Amr ibn Ḥammad ibn Ṭalḥāh al-Qannād. He concludes that although 'Amr is within the acceptable range of narrators, he is known to have narrated baseless narrations and cited this particular narration as an example of such narrations.²

16. On the Day of Shura, he said to 'Uthmān, 'Abd al-Raḥmān, Sa'd, and al-Zubayr: "Do you know of anyone other than myself with whom the Messenger of Allah established Brotherhood?"...

In the footnotes this narration is correctly referenced to al-Isti $\bar{a}b$ of Ibn 'Abd al-Barr. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr was the unrivaled expert of μ -Isti $\bar{a}b$, is one of the earliest encyclopedias on the biographies of the Companions μ -Isti $\bar{a}b$, is one of the earliest encyclopedias on the biographies of the Companions μ -Isti $\bar{a}b$.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 232-234, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 2 pg. 115

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 255

The narration appears thus in al-Istīʿāb:

'Abd al-Wārith – Qāsim – Aḥmad ibn Zuhayr - '**Amr ibn Ḥammād al-Qannād** – Isḥāq ibn Ibrāhīm al-Azdī – Ma'rūf ibn Kharrabūdh – **Ziyād ibn al-Mundhir** – Sa'īd ibn Muḥammad al-Azdī – Abū al-Ṭufayl¹

The comments on 'Amr ibn Ḥammād al-Qannād have been discussed in the previous narration.

The more obvious issue in the chain of this narration is **Ziyāḍ ibn al-Mundhir**; to whom the extremist Jārūdiyyah branch of the Zaydī Shīʿah is ascribed.

He is Abū al-Jārūd, Ziyād ibn al-Mundhir al-Hamadānī [some say al-Nahdī]. Al-Shahrastānī quotes al-Bāqir calling Ziyād a blind devil.² Yaḥyā al-Naysāpūrī, al-Bukhārī, Ibn Maʿīn have all agreed to the severity of his weakness and to the fact that he cannot be trusted.

Al-Ḥākim al-Naysābūrī refers to him as having adopted a wayward doctrine, famed for narrating false narrations about the virtues of 'Alī $\overset{\text{\tiny color}}{\text{\tiny Lorente}}$."

Some of the students of Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn have him on record declaring Ziyād a liar. This opinion is upheld by many of the critics; including Ibn Ḥibbān, who said that he was a Rāfiḍi known for forging narrations which paint the Ṣaḥābah in negative light, in addition to his narration of baseless aḥādīth about the virtues of Ahl al-Bayt. 4

Even ibn ʿAbd al-Barr, from whom ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn sourced this narration, considers Ziyād ibn al-Mundhir extremely unreliable. This confirms that ibn ʿAbd al-Barr did not rely on this narration himself. He probably included it in al-Istī āb for the purpose of collected whatever had been written about ʿAlī 🏎.

¹ Al-Istī āb vol. 3 pg. 1098

² Ikmāl Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 5 pg. 112

³ Ihid

⁴ Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 1 pg. 654

⁵ Ibid

17. ʿAlī's statement to al-Walīd at the Battle of Badr, "I am the slave of Allah, and the brother of His Messenger مَا اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَ

This has been ascribed correctly to Ibn Sa'd in his <code>Ṭabaqāt</code>. While there is nothing objectionable in th text of this narration it does appear to suffer from problems in terms of the chain of transmission. The narration appears in <code>al-Ṭabaqāt</code> of Ibn Sa'd with the following chain:

Khalaf ibn al-Walīd al-Azdī – Yaḥyā ibn Zakariyyā ibn Abī Za'idah – Ismāʿīl ibn Abī Khālid – **al-Bahiyy** [ʿAbd Allah ibn Yasār]¹

This narration ends with al-Bahiyy, whose name is 'Abd Allah. Despite being from the generation of the Tābi'īn, he only met the younger Ṣaḥābah and did not meet 'Alī www. This means that this chain is interupted and cannot be relied upon.

 $Ab\bar{u}$ $H\bar{a}$ tim expressed reservations about his narrations whereas others accepted him as honest. Therefore Ibn $H\bar{a}$ are that although he is trustworthy he has erred in some of his narrations.

Both these factors affect the reliability of the chain.

18. Conversation with 'Umar

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has given a spin to this incident that it is barely recognizable from the one mentioned in *al-Ṣawāʿiq al-Muḥriqah*. Also, the reference to *al-Dāraquṭnī* could not be verified.

The narration as it appears in **al-Ṣawāʿiq** demonstrates that ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb asked about ʿAlī and he was told that he was working his fields. So ʿUmar week went with those who were with him to ʿAlī week providing assistance

¹ Al-Ṭabaqāt vol. 2 pg. 23

² Al-Tagrīb bio. 3723

with his work. After a while they took a rest and in the conversation 'Alī asked 'Umar ''O Amīr al-Mu'minīn! If a cousin of Mūsā 'Cara' were to come to you would he receive special treatment from you?" 'Umar ''Cara' replied in the affirmative. So 'Alī ''Cara' asked, "Is it because I am the cousin of the Messenger and his brother [that you came personally to assist be with manual labour]?" So 'Umar ''Cara' removed his own shawl and spread it down ushering 'Alī ''Cara' to sit on his shawl, telling him that none shall have the privilege of being seated on it whilst they were present.

Al-Haytamī mentioned this incident without a chain. Despite the lack of credibility in the narration, it shows that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn was deceptive. Even a weak narration had to be tailored to suit his agenda!

19. Instruction to close all doors besides the door of 'Alī

We have discussed this narration in a fair amount of detail under Letter 26.¹ The summarized version is that while some of the experts have declared it a forgery, others have considered it a weak narration which has been contradicted by an authentic narration, thus significantly unreliable.

The correct version of the Ḥadīth is the one narrated by Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, wherein the Prophet نَعْلَيْهُ instructed that all doors leading into the Masjid besides Abū Bakr's فَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ be sealed shut.²

We might add here that al-Nasā'ī has suggested where the confusion might have arisen which resulted in the erroneous version of the Ḥadīth. He cites a narration by way of Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqās المحقود "We were seated with the Prophet معقود ألم and there was a group of people around him. Then 'Alī والمحتود وال

¹ Refer to pg. 430 of this book.

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Manaqib al-Anṣār, Ḥadīth no. 3904

they returned the Prophet تَالَّهُ commented, 'It was not I who made you leave or him ('Alī) to enter, instead it was Allah who made you leave and caused him to enter.'"¹

Al-Nasa'T cited this narration immediately after the narration which mentions closing all the doors except 'Alī's door. He commented on it saying, "This appears to be more accurate."²

The weaker version states that the Prophet مَالْسَعْتُهُ anounced one evening that everyone ought to vacate the masjid besides the Prophet's معالمة own family, and the family of 'Alī معالمة . The next morning one of his uncles expressed his disappointed when he asked the Prophet معالمة why his companions and uncles were made to leave for a youngster; to which the Prophet معالمة allegedly responds, "It was not I who took you out and brought him in, rather it is Allah who took you out and brought him in."

It is clear that the underlying premise in the second version is somewhat altered from the first. The first version merely states that those who were seated around the Prophet left from their own misunderstanding of a situation. The second, and weaker, version seems to imply that this was a divine directive. There is a variation of the second version, and in this variation the questioner is named as 'Abbās. He is alleged to have said, "O Prophet od Allah, you have closed all our doors [told us to leave] and opened the door of 'Alī [permited him to stay]?" the response was, "I have neither opened them, nor closed them."

The details of each version become increasingly elaborate. It comes as no surprise when there are numerous weak versions of the report calling for the closing of all doors except 'Alī's . And Allah Knows Best.

¹ Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī pg. 61 Ḥadīth 39

² Ibid

³ Khaṣā'is 'Alī pg62. Ḥadīth 40

⁴ Ibid

Our apologies to the esteemed reader. Since 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's correspondence was not really responded to he repeatedly employs the same flawed evidence without worry. However, it is our duty to point these out periodically.

20. 'Umar said that 'Alī had been granted three things...

The translation of al-Murājaʿāt gives the impression that this narration appears in the Ṣaḥīḥayn, whereas the original Arabic merely states that this is on the criteria of the Shaykhayn [al-Bukhārī and Muslim]. In the footnotes he references it to al-Mustadrak, Musnad Abī Yaʿlā and he points to a supporting version in Musnad Aḥmad.

While the narration does appear in al-Mustadra k^1 , al-Ḥākim merely stated that the chain was authentic – though al-Ḥākim is known for his leniency in authenticating reports. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn took the liberty of elevating the grading to the level of the Ṣaḥīḥayn!

Al-Dhahabī expressed his disagreement with al-Ḥākim in his abridgment. He pointed out that there was a narrator in the chain, 'Abd Allah ibn Ja'far, whose weakness is agreed upon. 'Abd Allah ibn Ja'far is the father of the legendary 'Alī ibn 'Abd Allah al-Madīnī, al-Bukhārī's famous teacher and mentor. 'Alī ibn al-Madīnī's objectivity is remarkable; when asked about his father he first avoided the question, but when asked again he confirmed that his father was weak in Hadīth.

Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasā'ī suggest that the extent of the weakness was significant.2

Al-Haythamī has pointed out that the narration in $Musnad\ Ab\bar{\imath}\ Ya'l\bar{a}$ is transmitted with the same chain; by way of 'Abd Allah ibn Ja'far ibn Najīḥ. Al-Haythamī says about him, "Matrūk."

¹ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 125

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 401

³ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 121

In the footnote he makes a vague reference to Musnad Aḥmad. The narration, as it appears in Musnad Aḥmad is as follows:

Wakī - Hishām ibn Sa d - 'Umar ibn Usayd - ibn 'Umar

We used to say during the Prophet's time, "After him the best of this Ummah was Abū Bakr ", then 'Umar "," and 'Alī " was given three virtues, had I been granted even one of them it would have been better for me than red camels. The Prophet got him married to his own daughter, Fāṭimah " and she bore him children, the doors to the Masjid were closed besides his door, and he was granted the standard on the Day of Khaybar."

A similar narration is found in *Musnad Abī Yaʿlā²* by way of Naṣr ibn ʿAlī – ʿAbd Allah ibn Dāwūd – **Hishām ibn Saʿd** with the same chain to Ibn ʿUmar $\overset{\checkmark}{\longleftarrow}$

Also, by al-Ṭaḥāwī³ by way of Fahd ibn Sulaymān – Abū Nuʿaym – **Hishām ibn** Saʿd with the same chain to Ibn ʿUmar .

Its quite evident that this narration has four primary elements: Abū Bakr and 'Umar being the best of this Ummah, 'Alī's was marriage to Fāṭimah the standard on the Day of Khaybar and the closing of all doors leading to the Masjid besides the door of 'Alī was.

The question is whether 'Abd al-Ḥusayn accepts this narration in its entirety. The elements besides the matter of closing the doors to the Masjid are corroborated in sound reports. It is this matter which is contradicted by other narrations.

This brings us to the chain of transmission. The common narrator in all versions is **Hishām ibn Sa**'d.

¹ Musnad Ahmad vol 8. Pg. 416 Hadīth 4797

² Musnad Abī Ya'lā Hadīth 5601

³ Sharḥ Mushkil al-Āthār Ḥadīth 3560

'Alī ibn al-Madīnī said of him, "Not very strong." Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal was also critical of him as a narrator of Ḥadīth. Ibn Maʿīn and al-Nasāʾī shared the same sentiments of him being not that reliable. Ibn 'Adī considered him weak, though not weak enough for his narrations to be discarded. Ibn Saʿd noted Shīʿī tendencies as well.¹ These factors acount for the erroneous addition in this narration.²

21. The narration of Sa'd ibn Mālik [Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqās]

He ascribed this narration to al- $mathbb{H}
alahabin$, claiming that this is among the most authentic narrations. This is nothing more than his own deception since al- $mathbb{H}
al$ - $mathbb{H}
al$ -m

The narration of Sa'd is the one which we used to demonstrate the development of the idea that the instruction was given for all doors leading to the Masjid to be sealed besides the door of 'Alī ****. There are a few versions of this narration:

- a. Ibn Fuḍayl Muslim al-Aʿwar al-Malāʾī Khaythamah ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān Saʿd
- b. Muḥammad ibn Sulaymān [Luwayn] ibn ʿUyayanah ʿAmr ibn Dīnār Mūhammad ibn ʿAlī [al-Bāqir] Ibrāhīm ibn Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqās:

We were seated with the Prophet was a group of people around him. Then 'Alī was entered; in turn they got up and left. After they left, the began blaming each other for leaving saying, 'By Allah, he

¹ Al-Kāmil vol. 7 pg. 109, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 299 Al-Tabagāt, vol. 2 pg. 15

 $^{2\,}$ Refer to the discussions on letters 14 (pg. 231) and 16 (pg. 341) for more details on the narrations of an innovator.

³ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 117

did not instruct us to leave or him ('Alī) to enter!'When they returned the Prophet commented, 'It was not I who made you leave or him ('Alī) to enter, instead it was Allah who made you leave and caused him to enter.'"¹

This is al-Nasā'īs prefered version. This chain is sound, and it is also a chain in which Abū Jaʿfar, Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn, also known as al-Bāqir appears.

- c. 'Alī ibn Qādim Isrā'īl '**Abd Allah ibn Sharīk al-Ḥārith ibn Mālik** Sa'd.²
- d. Fiṭr 'Abd Allah ibn Sharīk 'Abd Allah ibn Ruqaym Sa'd.3

Versions C and D mention closing of the doors. Both of these versions are declared weak by al-Nasā'ī; firstly due to the weakness of the common narrator, 'Abd Allah ibn Sharīk, and secondly because both his teachers, al-Ḥārith and 'Abd Allah ibn Ruqaym, are considered *Majhūl*.4

22. The narration of Zayd ibn Arqam

Appearing in the common chain of this narration is ${\bf Maym\bar{u}n}, {\bf Ab\bar{u}}$ 'Abd Allah.

Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said Maymūn Mawlā ʿAbd al-Rahmān ibn Samurah is worthless as a narrator.⁵ Furthermore, al-Dhahabī cites this narration as a specimen of his baseless narrations.⁶ We have discussed this in detail under Letter 26.⁷

¹ Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī pg. 61 Ḥadīth 39

² Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī pg. 62 Ḥadīth 40

³ Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī pg. 62 Ḥadīth 41

⁴ Ibid

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.4 pg.235

⁶ Ibid

⁷ Refer to pg. 430 of this book.

23. The narration of Ibn 'Abbās

This narration is referenced to *al-Ṭabarānī* by way of the abridged version of *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*. The narration appears in *al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr* with the following chain:

'Abd Allah ibn Zaydān al-Bajalī – Muhammad ibn Hammād ibn 'Amr al-Azdī

- Husayn al-Ashqar Abū 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Mas 'ūdī Kathīr al-Nawā'
- Maymūn Abū 'Abd Allah Ibn 'Abbās.1

Ḥusayn ibn Ḥasan al-Ashqar has been discredited by al-Bukharī, Abū Zurʿah – who considered him completely unreliable - and Abū Ḥātim. Al-Jūzajānī calls him an extremist Shīʿī accused of cursing the companions. Ibn ʿAdī has pointed out the fact that he was known to have narrated many baseless narrations.²

Abū 'Abd al-Raḥman, 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abd al-Malik al-Mas'ūdī, is considered weak. Abū Jaʿfar al-ʿUqaylī said that his narrations were problematic.'

Kathīr ibn Ismāʿīl al-Nawā' is extremely unreliable. Al-Dhahabī says, "A Shīʿī die hard. Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasā'ī deem him incompetent and weak."

Maymūn, **Abū** '**Abd Allah** is considerably weak. His biography appears briefly in the previous narration.

This narration is extremely unreliable; it comprises of a chain with four unreliable narrators in succession.

24. Entering the Masjid in the state of Janābah

There are two narrations cited. The narration in *al-Bazzār* and the narration of Abū Saʿīd in *al-Tirmidhī*. One wonders why he insists on calling al-Tirmidhī's *Jāmi*ʿ

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 12 pg. 147

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 531

³ Al-Dua'afā' al-Kabīr vol. 2 pg. 275

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 402

as $Ṣaḥ\bar{\imath}h$. The two terms commonly attributed to al-Tirmidhīs work, both of which suggest a particular chapter arrangement, are Sunan and $J\bar{a}mi$. The preferred name is the $J\bar{a}mi$ of al-Tirmidhī, whilst Sunan al-Tirmidhī is also an acceptable term in academic circles.

The narration in *Musnad al-Bazzār* appears by way of Ḥasan ibn Zayd – Khārijah ibn Saʿd – from his father. After narrating it al-Bazzār states, "We do not know of this narration from Saʿd except by this chain. Khārijah ibn Saʿd [is only known to] narrate one [other] Ḥadīth, also with this chain. We are not aware of anyone who narrates from Khārijah besides Ḥasan ibn Zayd."

Al-Haythamī listed this very narration in *Majmaʿ al-Zawāʾid* and then commented, "I do not recognize Khārijah."²

Khārijah appears to be *Majhūl* [anonymous narrator]. Neither al-Bukhārī, nor Ibn Abī Ḥātim list him in their anthologies of Ḥadīth narrators. His absence in these anthologies, as well as al-Bazzār's confirmation that the only narrator was Ḥasan ibn Zayd is a textbook definition of *Majhūl*.

Ḥasan ibn Zayd was a scholar in Madīnah. Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn rearded him weak, whereas others have accepted his narration. Ibn Ḥajar said that he was trustworthy, but known for some errors. He passed away in 168 A.H.³

The second narration, which appears in *al-Tirmidhī*, is narrated by way of 'Alī ibn al-Mundhir – Muḥammad ibn Fuḍayl – **Sālim ibn Abī Ḥafṣah** - '**Aṭiyyah** [al-'**Awfī**] – Abū Saʿīd...⁴

Sālim ibn Abī Ḥafṣāh is described by al-Dhahabī as, "… a Shīʿī whose narrations cannot be relied upon. He died around 140 A.H." Ibn Ḥajar describes him as being

¹ Al-Bahr al-Zakhkhār vol.4 pg. 33

² Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 115

³ Al-Tagrīb bio. 1242

⁴ Jāmi al-Tirmidhī, Ḥadīth 4061

⁵ Al-Kashif, bio. 1767

extreme in his Tashayyuʻ, almost hinting that when he narrates something that might support his ideology it could be compromised by prejudice.¹

'Aṭiyyah al-'Awfī is not only weak in terms of his memory, but he narrates from one of his teachers – al-Kalbī – refering to him as Abū Saʿīd. This was perceived as a problem by many of the early experts since al-Kalbī was a known forger.

Ibn Ḥajar states in his Tahdhīb:

Muslim ibn al Ḥajjāj said about ʿAṭiyyah al-ʿAwfī that "His narrations are unreliable." Thereafter he said, "I have been made aware that ʿAṭiyyah would visit al-Kalbī asking him about tafsīr. He had conferred on him the title Abū Saʿīd which was his unique way of referring to him. Thereafter, he would narrate to people saying, "Abu Saʿīd said".

Hushaym considered his narrations to be da'if (weak). Al-Jūzajānī said, "He was inclined towards Shī'ism." Al-Nasā'i said, "He is weak." Ibn 'Adī included him among the Shī'ah of Kūfah.

Ibn Ḥibbān says, "He (ʿAṭiyyah) had given him (al-Kalbī) the title Abū Saʿīd. Later he would narrate in such a way that he gave the impression that he was narrating from Abu Saʿīd al-Khudrī, whereas he was actually referring to his codename for al-Kalbī. It is not permissible to write his narrations except to note down their peculiarities." He adds that Abū Bakr al-Bazzār considered him from the Shīʿah.²

'Abd al-Ḥusayn tries desperately to establish similarities between the Prophet and 'Alī مَالِيَّةُ and Mūsā مَالِيَّةُ and Hārūn مُالِيَّةُ , based on blood relations. While we have already disproved the prospect of successorship in previous discussions, we have consistently demonstrated that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has conjured his theory from unreliable narrations. However, even, if it were conceded for

¹ Al-Tagrīb, bio. 2173

² Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 7 pg. 225

the sake of argument that this narration was acceptable by the standards of the Ḥadīth scholars, it would be of no avail to ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn.

Anyone remotely failiar with the location of the Prophet's fouse, specifically the house of 'Ā'ishah in which he lays buried, in relation to his Masjid, would know that the house enters into the Masjid. The home of 'Alī was and Fāṭimah was located directly behind the Prophet's form, and is today part of the enclosed area, seperated from the greater Masjid. The door of 'Alī was also led directly into the Masjid. Since there was no other door to both the Prophet's fouse and 'Alī's was house, necessaity dictated that they would have to pass through the Masjid for their comings and goings. It was in the geographical location of their houses, in relation to the Masjid, that would have determined this concession if the narration were proven correct; not blood relations between the Prophet form and 'Alī was

Furthermore, if this narration were furnished to support the previous ones it would only reinforce the fact that this was not a matter of distinction but of necessity based on the location of both homes in relation to the Prophet's Masjid.

25. Allah inspired Mūsā متيالتكة to build a pure Masjid...

This narration is referenced to al-Manāqib by Ibn al-Maghāzilī, citing Yanābī al-Mawaddah.

Yanābī al-Mawaddah is for all intents and purposes a melting pot of unreliable narrations. The author, Sulaymān ibn Ibrāhīm al-Balkhī al-Qunduzī, is a Shīī portraying himself as a Sunnī. He quotes extensively from the radical Shīīsources, which are filled with known fabrications. This, in addition to his advocating the belief of only twelve Imām's after the Prophet's demise, that the Mahdī is the son of Ḥasan al-ʿAskarī and the doctrine of Ghaybah. All of these beliefs are foreign to the Ahl al-Sunnah wa l-Jamāʻah.

The source that he cites, the *Manāqib*, by Ibn al-Maghāzilī is no better. Ibn Taymiyyah had identified it as a source for fabricated reports and baseless narrations.¹

This narration has been identified as a forgery by Ibn al-Jawzī.²

26. The Prophet's صَأَلِتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّة supplication

He references it to the *Tafsīr* of al-Tha labī and Ahmad, citing al-Balkhī.

We have just discussed al-Balkhī in the previous narration. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's citing him is convenient as it provides an opportunity to demonstrate the type of narrations it comprises of.

This narration, ascribed to Aḥmad is assumed to refer to the *Musnad*. However, it turns out that it is narrated in *Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah*. It appears with this chain:

'Abd Allah ibn Ghannām - 'Abbād ibn Ya'qūb - 'Alī ibn 'Abbās - al-Ḥārith ibn Ḥaṣīrah - al-Qāsim - a person from the Khath'am tribe - Asmā' bint 'Umays'

'Alī ibn 'Abbās al-Azraq was described by Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn as "worthless" while al-Jūzajānī, al-Nasā'ī and al-Azdī merely said that he was weak. However, Ibn Ḥibbān went on to say, "... Abundant in error to the extent that his narrations deserve to be discarded."

The anonymity of the person from the Khath'am tribe compounds the weakness of this narration.

¹ Mukhtaşar Minhāj al-Sunnah pg. 420

² Al-Mawdūʻāt vol. 1 pg. 179

³ Fāḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 2 pg. 678

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 134

Ibn ʿAdī cites another version by way of Aḥmad ibn al-Mufaḍḍal – **Ja**ʿf**ar al-Aḥmar** - ʿ**Imrān ibn Sulaymān** - Ḥuṣayn al-Thaʿlabī – Asmāʾ bint ʿUmays¹

Jaʿfar ibn Ziyād al-Aḥmar was considered slightly weak, with Shīʿī leanings. Ibn ʿAdī points out that he narrates unreliable narrations regarding the virtues of Ahl al-Bayt, citing this as one of them.²

'Imrān ibn Sulaymān is described as one who – at times – narrates that which can be corroborated, whilst other times his narrations are severly flawed.³ Such a narrator is never independently relied upon.

Ḥuṣāyn al-Thaʿlabī is described by al-Bukhārī with the term, "Fīhi Naẓar."⁴ Oftentimes al-Bukhārī uses this term to describe someone with significant weakness; such narrations could never support others.⁵

The remaining narration is from the *Tafsīr* of al-Thaʿlabī from Abū Dharr.⁶ This narration will form part of the discussion on *Āyat al-Wilāyah* in the forthcoming correspondence. Suffice to say that the greatest Ḥadīth scholar of the 9th century, al-Ḥāfiz ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī has said that the chain of this narration is worthless.⁷

27. The narration from al-Bazzār

This is a variation of the narration appearing at no. 25. This narration comes by way of 'Alī and appears in *Musnad al-Bazzār* with the following chain:

¹ Al-Kāmil vol. 2 pg. 377

² Ibid

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.3 pg. 238

⁴ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol. 3 pg. 6

⁵ Al-Raf wa al-Takmīl pg. 141

⁶ Al-Kashf wal-Bayān vol. 4 pg. 81

⁷ Al-Kāf al-Shāf bi Takhrīj Aḥādīth al-Kashshāf vol. 4 pg. 56-57

Layth ibn Ḥātim - ʿUbayd Allah ibn Mūsā - **Abū Maymūnah** - ʿ**Īsā al-Madanī** - ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn - his father - ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib...¹

After narrating it al-Bazzār goes on to explain why it is significantly weak. He points out two errors:

- a. **Abū Maymūnah** is Majhūl. None, besides 'Ubayd Allah ibn Mūsā narrates from him
- b. This narration is only known by way of 'Isā al-Madanī, also known al-Malā'ī.

Al-Bazzār goes on to say that this narration is not known from the Prophet مَالَسُتَا وَ the only reason for mentioning it was to point out its errors.²

'Īsā al-Malā'ī is considered severely weak, suspected of narrating fabrications.3

This is the final narration that forms part of this round of correspondence. The short of it is that the narrations cited are found to be unreliable by the standards of the early Hadith critics.

Many of the narrations, even if presumed to be correct, indicate a meaning very different from the twisted meanings 'Abd al-Ḥusayn attempts to provide.

¹ Al-Baḥr al-Zakhkhār vol. 2 pg. 144

² Ibid

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 328

Letter 35

Thul-Hijjah 27, 1329 A.H.

I. Requesting other texts

1. May Allah reward your father! How eloquent your arguments and how convincing! Please oblige and go ahead to state the rest of the clear consecutively reported (mutawatir) texts, Wassalamo Alaikom.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 36

Thul-Hijjah 29, 1329

- I. Ḥadith by ibn 'Abbas
- II. 'Umran's Hadith
- III. Buraydah's Hadith
- IV. Hadith Recounting Ten Exclusive Attributes [of 'Ali]
- V. 'Ali's Hadith
- VI. Wahab's Hadith
- VII. Ibn Abu 'Asim's Hadith
- 1. Refer to what Abu Dawud al-Tayalisi has reported, as stated in a chapter discussing 'Ali in Isti'ab through the authority of Ibn 'Abbas who is quoted saying: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said to 'Ali ibn Abu Talib: 'You are next to me alone as the wali of every believer."
- 2. Another authentic hadith is narrated by 'Umran ibn Hasin who says: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, deployed an army division under the command of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib who chose, as his share of the khums, a slave-girl for himself, and people criticized him. Four men vowed to complain against him to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny.

When they came to the Prophet, one of them stood up and said: 'O Messenger of Allah! Have you seen how 'Ali has done such and such?' The Prophet مستقد turned his face away from him. The second stood up and spoke likewise, and the Prophet نوانستان ignored him, too. The third stood up and repeated what his fellows had previously stated, and he, too, was

ignored. The fourth one stood up and stated exactly as had been stated by his fellows.

It was then that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, turned to them with anger in his eyes and said: 'What do you want of 'Ali? 'Ali is of me and I am of him, and only after me is he the mawla of all believers." 2

3. Also refer to Buraydah's hadith quoted verbatim on page 356 of Vol. 5 of Ahmad's Musnad. He says: "The Messenger of Allah sent two armies to Yemen. One of them was led by 'Ali ibn Abu Talib Marie, and the other by Khalid ibn al-Walid. He instructed them thus: 'When you combine your forces, let 'Ali be the overall leader.3

But if you disperse, then each one of you is the leader over his own troops.' We then battled Banu Zubayda, and 'Ali selected one of the captives, a slave-girl, for himself; so, Khalid and I wrote to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, to inform him of the incident. When I came to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, and the letter was read for him, I noticed anger in his eyes; therefore, I pleaded to him by saying: 'This is the place for those who seek refuge; you have sent me with a commander and ordered me to obey him, and I have done just that.' The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: 'Do not ever plot against 'Ali, for he is of me and I am of him, and he is your wali after me.'"4

Al-Nisa'i has quoted the following words of the Prophet (verbatim on page 17 of his Al-Khasa'is al-'Alawiyyah: "O Buraydah! Do not try to make me dislike 'Ali, for 'Ali is of me, and I am of him, and he is your wali after me." Jarir, too, quotes Buraydah's statement verbatim thus: "The Prophet's face became red with anger, and he said: 'To whomsoever I have been mawla, 'Ali is his mawla;' therefore, I forgot my own anger against 'Ali and said that I would never speak ill of 'Ali again."5

Al-Tabrani, too, has quoted this hadith in detail. Among what he narrates is that when Buraydah came from Yemen and entered the mosque, he found a crowd standing by the room of the Prophet . Upon seeing him, they stood up to greet him and ask him what news he had brought them. He said: "Good news. Allah has rendered victory upon the Muslims." They asked him: "Then what brought you here?" He answered: "An incident regarding a slave-girl whom 'Ali chose as his share of the khums, and I have come here to inform the Prophet about it."

They said: "Inform him of it, do inform him, so that he may change his heart about 'Ali," while the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, was standing overhearing their conversation from within. He, thereupon, came out angrily and said: "What is the matter with those who bear grudge against 'Ali? Whoever hates 'Ali hates me, too, and whoever abandons 'Ali abandons me. 'Ali is of me and I am of him; he has been created of my own mould, and my own mould is Ibrahim's (Abraham's), and I am even superior to Ibrahim,6 one progeny descending from another, and Allah is all-Hearing, all-Knowing. O Buraydah! Have you not come to know that 'Ali's share is a lot more than the slave-girl he took, and that he is your wali after me?"7 - There is no doubt about the authenticity of this hadith, and its narrators are quite numerous, and they are all reliable.

- 4. Similar to this narration is what al-Hakim has narrated from Ibn 'Abbas who cites a particular hadith of weight and significance. In it he counts ten exclusive attributes of 'Ali, and he quotes the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, addressing 'Ali thus: "You are the wali of every believer after me."8
- 5. Likewise, in another hadith, he, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said, "O 'Ali! I have prayed Allah to grant me five wishes concerning you, and He granted me four and denied the fifth." He continues to say: "He has granted me that you are the wali of the believers after me."9

6. A similar hadith is transmitted by Ibn al-Sakan from Wahab ibn Hamzah and is quoted in Wahab's biography in Isti'ab thus: "I travelled once with 'Ali and found him to be cold towards me; therefore, I decided to complain about him to the Prophet upon returning.

So I mentioned him to the Messenger of Allah and I spoke ill of him, whereupon he said: 'Do not say so about 'Ali, for he is your wali after me.'" Al-Tabrani, in his book Al-Mujma' al-Kabir, cites Wahab's statement with a minor alteration in its wording thus: "Do not say this about 'Ali, for he is the most worthy of being your leader after me."10

7. Ibn Abu 'Asim has quoted 'Ali's hadith from the Prophet through a chain of narrators thus: "Do I not have more authority over the believers than they themselves have?" People answered in the affirmative. The Prophet مُعْلِمُ then said: "To whomsoever I have been wali, 'Ali is his wali;"11 and our sahih books in this regard are mutawatir from the Imams of the Purified Progeny

This much should suffice to prove our point, although ayat al-wilayat alone suffices to support our claim, and praise be to Allah, Lord of the Worlds, Wassalamo Alaikom.

Sincerely,		
Sh		
Footnotes		

1. This is quoted by Abu Dawud and other authors of books of traditions from Abu 'Awanah al-Waddah ibn 'Abdullah al-Yashkuri through a chain of narrators: Abu Balj Yahya ibn Salim al-Fizari, 'Amr ibn Maymun al-'Awdi, ending with Ibn 'Abbas. The men who have quoted this tradition are all authorities in their own right, and they are relied upon by both Shaykhs

in their respective sahihs with the exception of Yahya ibn Salim whom they do not quote, yet even the pioneers of criticism and verification have all declared his trustworthiness, and that he used to mention the name of Allah most frequently. Al-Thahbi, while stating his biography in his Al-Mizan, quotes Ibn Ma'in, al-Nisa'i, Dar Qutni, Muhammad ibn Sa'id, Abu Hatim, and many others all testifying to the fact that the man is a trusted authority.

- 2. This is quoted by many authors of books of traditions such as Imam al-Nisa'i in his Al-Khasa'is al-'Alawiyya, Ahmad ibn Hanbal (when quoting 'Umran's hadith at the beginning of page 438, Vol. 4, of his Musnad), al-Hakim on page 111, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak*, al-Thahbi in his Talkhis *al-Mustadrak*, admitting its authenticity due to its endorsement by Muslim. It is quoted by Ibn Abu Shaybah and Ibn Jarir, and the hadith both men quote from him has been verified by al-Muttaqi al-Hindi at the beginning of page 400, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. It is also quoted by al-Tirmithi from reliable sources as mentioned by al-'Asqalani while discussing 'Ali's biography in his Al-Isabah. The Mu'tazilite scholar has quoted it on page 450, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah, commenting: "This is narrated by Abu 'Abdullah Ahmad [ibn Hanbal] in his Musnad in more than one place." He also narrates it in his book Fada'il 'Ali ['Ali's virtues], and it is narrated by most traditionists.
- 3. The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, as long as he lived, never required anyone to issue orders to 'Ali; on the contrary, he vested upon him the responsibility of issuing orders to others. He was his standard-bearer in every campaign, unlike many others. Abu Bakr and 'Umar were both ordinary soldiers in Usamah's troops, serving under the standard tied for him by the Messenger of Allah who ordered him to take charge of the Mu'ta expedition. He personally enlisted both men, according to the consensus of chroniclers, and he also made them soldiers of Ibn al-'As. These facts are stated by al-Hakim on page 43, Vol. 3, of his

Al-Mustadrak, and they are cited by al-Thahbi in his Talkhis al-Mustadrak, admitting the authenticity of the hadith. As regarding 'Ali himself, he was never to receive orders, nor to be the subject of anyone other than the Prophet himself since the inception of his mission and till his demise, peace be upon him and his progeny.

4. This is quoted by Ahmad on page 356 from 'Abdullah ibn Buraydah who quotes his father. On page 347, Vol. 5, of his Musnad, relying on a chain of narrators including Sa'id ibn Jubayr and Ibn 'Abbas, he quotes Buraydah saying: "I participated in 'Ali's campaign against Yemen, and I felt that his attitude towards me was cool. When I came to the Messenger of Allah and mentioned 'Ali, I belittled him. Having done so, I saw the face of the Messenger of Allah مَا لِسَمَا الله الله change colour, and he said to me: 'O Buraydah! Do I not have more authority over the believers than the believers have over their own selves?' I answered: 'Yes, indeed, O Messenger of Allah.' He said: 'To whomsoever I am a mawla, 'Ali is his mawla." This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 110, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak, in addition to many traditionists. It is, as you see, quite clear in its gist, for when he starts with the question "Do I not have more authority over the believers than the believers have over their own selves?" he bears testimony to the meaning of "mawla" in this hadith to be "the one who is awla, i.e. most worthy of ruling" them, as is quite obvious. Similar to this hadith is what has been quoted by many traditionists such as Imam Ahmad at the end of page 483, Vol. 3, of his Musnad, from 'Amr ibn Shas al-Aslami, one of those who were present at Hudaybiya, who quotes the same adding: "I accompanied 'Ali to Yemen, and he was cool to me during the trip, so much so that I concealed some feelings against him. When I came back, I complained about him at the mosque till the news reached the Messenger of Allah صَالِتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ . I entered the mosque one afternoon, and the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, was present there accompanied by many of his companions. As soon as he saw me, he stared at me till I sat down. He said to me: 'O 'Amr! By Allah you have hurt me.' I said: 'I seek refuge with Allah

- against hurting you, O Messenger of Allah!' He said: 'Yes; whoever hurts 'Ali hurts me, too.'"
- 5. As he is quoted by al-Muttaqi al-Hindi on page 398, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. He is also quoted in Muntakhab al-Kanz.
- 6. When he was told that 'Ali was created of his own mould, peace be upon him and his progeny, thus by necessity becoming superior to this man, he said: "And I am created of Ibrahim's mould," mistakingly thinking that Ibrahim (Abraham) is superior to him, peace be upon him and his progeny, which contradicts the truth of the matter.
- 7. Ibn Jarir has quoted this hadith from al-Tabrani who includes it on page 103 of his book Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa while discussing the second maqsad of verse 14 of the ones which he discusses in Chapter 11 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. But when he comes to the statement "Have you not come to know that 'Ali's share is more than a slave-girl?" his pen halts, and he cannot finish the hadith in its entirety! This is not strange, coming from him and his likes; and praise be to Allah for our good health.
- 8. This is quoted by al-Hakim at the beginning of page 134, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*, al-Thahbi in his Talkhis *al-Mustadrak*, admitting its authenticity, al-Nisa'i on page 6 of his Al-Khasa'is al-'Alawiyya, and Imam Ahmad on page 331, Vol. 1, of his Musnad. We have quoted it verbatim at the beginning of Letter No. 26.
- 9. This hadith is number 6048 among the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 396, Vol. 6.
- 10. This hadith is numbered 2579 among the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 155, Vol. 6.
- 11. This is transmitted by al-Muttaqi al-Hindi from Ibn Abu 'Asim on page 397, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.

Discussions

There is no harm in summarizing the general approach towards the narrations about Sayyidunā 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ﷺ. There are abundant sound narrations about the virtues and merits of 'Alī ﷺ; all these we accept.

On the other hand, there are even more narrations about him which are either complete forgeries, significantly unreliable or adapted versions of the sound narrations.

It might be asked, "If you accept the sound narrations, why is it that you reject what the Shī'ah say? After all these narrations, by your own admission, are correctly attributed to the Prophet "." The simple response is that we understand these in their proper context.

Stripped of their context, these narrations could be adapted to suit any preconceived ideology. Further still, if the narrations about the other Companions are completely dismissed there is no chance that the narrations about 'Alī will ever be understood in context.

The correspondence does have the tendency of becoming tedious especially since 'Abd al-Ḥusayn employs the same evidence repeatedly. The Shaykh al-Azhar does not appear to even notice this fault. We cannot blame him though; he didn't even see these letters!

In this exchange, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has requested himself to furnish unambiguous *Mutawātir* texts. The motive behind this specific request is to present an opportunity to design a foundation upon which doctrine may be built. While there is a debate among Sunnī's about the role of a solitary narration in matters of 'Aqīdah, there is no arguing with evidence which is absolute in what it denotes and uncontestable in the manner it is establishment.

Narrations which are *Mutawātir* [mass-transmitted] are presumed, under ordinary circumstances, to eliminate the possibility of conspiracy to misrepresent. It would follow that such evidence is beyond contest [*Qaţ*'ī].

'Abd al-Ḥusayn overlooks the fact that those who consider a *Mutawātir* narration beyond contest also stipulate that it has to be mass-transmitted at all stages of the isnād before it is exempt of scrutiny.

In his attempt to establish *Tawātur* [mass-transmission], 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has cited seven narrations. We shall discuss all these narrations and compare different versions of them to establish the veracity of 'Abd al-Husayn's

The Narrations

1. The narration of Ibn 'Abbās¹

This is the exact narration that appeared in Letter 26 and reappeared in Letter 34. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn cunningly references it to Abū Dāwūd, creating the impression that it is a different narration. What he failed to realize was that Abū Dāwūd al-Ṭayālisī, the compiler of the *Musnad* where this narration is found, is not Abū Dāwūd Sulaymān ibn Ash'ath from Sijistān, the compiler of the famous *Sunan*.

While we refer the esteemed reader to the detailed discussion under Letter $26,^2$ it would be prudent to summarize some of those discussions here since 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is going to repeat this Ḥadīth at narration no. 4.

The narration is only known by way of a single common chain:

Abū Balj - ʿAmr ibn Maymūn – Ibn ʿAbbās

¹ Musnad Abī Dāwūd al-Ṭayālisī vol. 4 pg. 369 Ḥadīth no. 2875, Musnad Aḥmad vol.5 pgs.178-181 Ḥadīth 3061, al-Mustadrak vol.3 pg.132

² Refer to pg. 430 of this book.

The problematic narrator is Abū Balj. We find the academic integrity in *al-Murājaʿāt* is such that he quotes *Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl* to produce the opinions of five Ḥadīth critics, all appearing to ratify Abū Balj, Yaḥyā ibn Sulaym. Conveniently he ignored the fact that al-Bukhārī, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Ibn Ḥibbān, al-Jūzajānī and al-Dhahabī, the author of *Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl*, have all pointed out that he narrates baseless narrations!¹

Ibn Maʿīn is also on record for having criticized him; his acceptance as mentioned in $M\bar{\imath}z\bar{a}n$ al-I' $tid\bar{a}l$ is thus qualified to specific narrations. One could also add to the list of those who criticized Abū Balj: al-Azdī, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr, Ibn al-Jawzī and Ibn Ḥajar.² As a matter of fact, even al-Tirmidhī³, ʿAbd al-Ghanī ibn Saʿīd al-Miṣrī,⁴ Ibn Rajab al-Ḥanbalī,⁵ Ibn Taymiyyah,⁶ and al-Haythamī² confirm that he is weak on some levels.

If it came down to sheer numbers, those who consider him weak outnumber those who accept his narrations. Furthermore, they have provided reasons for their assessment; he is known to have narrated baseless narrations. The general principle that applies to a narrator where the evaluations vary is to see who provides details for their evaluation. Based on that principle alone, Abū Balj would be considered unreliable.

The method of reconciliation between the conflicting opinions is to recognize that he has erred in a fair number of narrations, whilst the extent of his error is not so severe that it warrants his narrations to be discarded altogether. That is to say that where Abū Balj is supported by other narrators his narrations are to be accepted. However, this narration, in particular, has been specifically criticized.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 384

² Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol 12. Pg. 47

³ Jāmi al-Tirmidhī, Ḥadīth 3732

⁴ Sharh 'Ilal al-Tirmidhī vol. 2 pg. 687-688

⁵ Ibid

⁶ Minhāj al-Sunnah vol. 5 pg. 34

⁷ Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol. 9. Pg 120

Ibn Rajab has also provided another perspective which also maintains that the error is ascribed to Abū Balj. He quotes 'Abd al-Ghanī al-Miṣrī saying that Abū Balj erred in the name of his teacher. Instead of Abū 'Abd Allāh Maymūn he named him 'Amr ibn Maymūn.¹

2. The narration of 'Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn²

The common chain for the narration of 'Imrān ibn al-Ḥuṣayn is as follows:

Jaʿfar ibn Sulaymān al-Pubaʿī - Yazīd al-Rishk - Muṭarrif ibn ʿAbd Allāh - ʿImrān ibn al-Ḥuṣāyn ఈ ఈ.

Al-Tirmidhī stated that this narration is only known by way of Jaʿfar ibn Sulaymān; indicating that there is no alternate chain to ʿImrān ibn al-Ḥuṣayn.

Al-Ḥākim declared this *Isnād* authentic. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn lied about al-Dhahabī agreeing with al-Ḥākim; he remained silent on this narration.'

3. The narration of Buraydah

The narration cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn appears with this common chain:

Ajlaḥ al-Kindī - ʿAbd Allāh ibn Buraydah - his father, Buraydah

Both of these narrations refer to the same incident, hence it is more practical to study both narrations together.

¹ Sharh 'Ilal al-Tirmidhī vol 2. Pg. 688

² Muṣannaf ibn Abī Shaybah vol. 17 pg. 130 Ḥadīth no. 32784, Musnad Aḥmad vol. 33 pg. 154 Ḥadīth no. 19928, Jāmiʿ al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth 3712, al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 110-111

³ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 110-111

⁴ Musnad Ahmad vol. 38 pg. 117-118, Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī Ḥadīth no. 90

saying: "[If] we meet the Messenger of Allah ناملة we will inform him of what 'Alī did." When the Muslims returned from any journey, they would first meet with the Messenger of Allah ناملة بالمانية , then they would go to their homes. So when they met the Prophet نام one of the four stood saying, "O Messenger of Allah! Do you see that 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib did such and such." The Messenger of Allah خاصة turned away from him. Then the second one stood and raised the same complaint, and he turned away from him. Then the third stood before him, and complained as well, and he turned away from him. Then the fourth stood and said as they had said. The Messenger of Allah خاصة أعلى المان أعلى ا

The version of Buraydah states that the Prophet dispatched two battalions to Yemen, one led by 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and the other led by Khālid ibn al-Waliḍ was. The Prophet informed them that when they were together, 'Alī was in charge, and when they were separate, each leader was responsible for their own battalion. In Yemen they fought Banū Zayd,¹ and the Muslims were victorious. We killed many of their fighters and captured many of their women and children, and 'Alī chose a woman for himself. Buraydah said that Khālid sent him with a written message to the Prophet was, "When the message was read to him, I could see the signs of anger in the Prophet's face so I said, 'O Messenger of Allah. This is where I seek refuge. You have sent me under the leadership of a man and instructed me to obey him. I have only done as tasked.' The reply was, 'Do not be unfriendly towards 'Alī since he is from me and I from him; and he is your Walī after me."

At this point it is necessary to draw the esteemed readers attention to a particular aspect of narrator criticism. We have previously established that there are, broadly speaking, three views when it comes to accepting the narrations of innovators – where the narrators are proven to be honest and competent.

¹ Some versions have it as Banū Zubayd

The fringe view holds that the narrations ascribed to anyone with heterodox beliefs ought to be discarded. The second opinion – and this is the popular view – holds that it is necessary to diffrentiate between those who were staunch promoters of their heterodox beliefs, especially when they narrate something that might support their views.

If this view is applied rigidly, all narrations which might be seen to support an innovated belief would be rejected. However, we find isolated narrations in the rigourously authenticated collections, from well-known innovators, which appear to favour their heterodox inclinations. These narrations only *appear* to favour their unorthodox views, whereas in reality there are perfectly acceptable explanations for these.

If this is the case, how does one account for the second opinion? The short answer is that, in principle, a reliable narrator with heterodox beliefs, because of prejudice, might inadvertently convey the Ḥadīth in a manner that supports his belief. The experts who were capable of textual scrutiny, beyond narrator criticism, would accept only those narrations that were free of anomalies; hence a third view. Those whose Ḥadīth criticism featured mainly in narrator criticism applied the principle rigidly.

It would be fair to ask how is it that we know these experts were able to differentiate between the accepted versions and the anomalous versions. This is where the above narrations enter the scene.

Both narrations describe a single event, an event which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn will later come to reinvent.

Background

Prior to Ḥajj the Prophet ﴿ sent 'Alī ﴿ to Yemen to distribute the spoils after Khālid ibn al-Walīd's ﴿ military campaign in Yemen. There were some people who were displeased with 'Alī ﴿ and complained to the Prophet ﴿ about him. These people felt that 'Alī ﴿ was not entirely fair in his distribution

and had treated them harshly. The Prophet المنافقة realized that there had been a misunderstanding and that there was some bitterness towards 'Alī المنافقة from those who had complained about him. Having realized this, and finding a suitable opportunity to address the matter, the Prophet منافقة stopped at a place called Ghadīr Khum, which served as a rest stop for travellers with water and shade. On his return to Madīnah, after the Farewell Ḥajj, the Prophet المنافقة stopped here to rest and pray. He addressed the entire gathering and said, 'Whoever considers me his Mawlā, then 'Alī is also his Mawlā.'

Rawh ibn 'Ubādah - 'Alī ibn Suwayd - 'Abd Allāh ibn Buraydah relates from his father:

The Prophet sent 'Alī to Khālid to collect the *Khumus* [one-fifth share of the spoils of war]. I was already averse to 'Alī, so when he took a bath' I said to Khālid, "Do you see this?"

When we met the Prophet we spoke of this to him and he replied, "O Buraydah; do you dislike 'Alī?" I replied in the affirmative and he commented, "Do not be unfriendly towards him; his share of the Khumus is more than that."

Abū Muʿāwiyah – al-Aʿmash – Saʿd ibn ʿUbaydah – [ʿAbd Allāh] ibn Buraydah - his father

The Messenger dispatched us and appointed 'Alī (as the leader) over us. When we returned he asked us, "How did you find your companion's (i.e. 'Alī's) company?" Either I was going to complain about him or someone else was going to complain about him. I raised my head, even though I was a person whose gaze was usually lowered, and I saw the Prophet's face was red. He said, "Whoever considers me his Walī, 'Alī is his Walī!"

There is a similar narration with the chain from al-A'mash.

¹ After relations with a beatiful slave girl.

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth no. 4350, Musnad Aḥmad vol. 38 pg. 144 Ḥadīth 23036

³ Musnad Aḥmad vol 38. Pg. 58 Ḥadīṭh: 22961, Aḥmad in al-Faḍā'il, Ḥadīth: 947; Khaṣā'iṣ Amīr al-Mu'minīn, Hadīth: 77

Wakī – al-A'mash – Sa'd ibn 'Ubaydah – ['Abd Allāh] ibn Buraydah who relates that his father [Buraydah [Buraydah are group of people and overheard them speaking ill of 'Alī [so he stopped them immediately and said:

Wakīʻ ibn al-Jarrāḥ and Sulaymān ibn Mihrān al-Aʿmash both appear in ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100 narrators.

There is yet another version of the same narration, this one by way of Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, from Ibn ʿAbbās .

Abū Nuʿaym al-Faḍl ibn Dukayn – Ibn Abī Ghunayyah – al-Ḥakam –Saʿīd ibn Jubayr - Ibn ʿAbbās from Buraydah, who said:

I went out with 'Alī to Yemen and noticed some harshness about him. I went to the Prophet ما عليه and complained about 'Alī and criticised him. Then the Prophet's ما أحد started to change (and he said), "Buraydah! Do I not have more right over the believers than they have over themselves?" I said, "Yes, O Messenger of Allah!" He said, "Whoever considers me his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā."

¹ Musnad Ahmad vol. 38 pg. 133 Hadīth: 23028, in al-Fadā'il, Hadīth: 947, 1177

² Muṣannaf ibn Abī Shaybah vol 17. Pg. 136 Ḥadīth:32795, Musnad Aḥmad vol 38. Pg. 32 Ḥadīth: 22945, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah by Aḥmad, Ḥadīth: 989; al-Sunan al-Kubra lil-Nasā'ī Ḥadīth 8145 (Risālah edition), Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī Ḥadīth: 82

'Adī ibn Thābit relates the same narration from Saʿīd ibn Jubayr with the same chain going to Buraydah.¹ 'Adī ibn Thābit is a well known Shīʿī narrator. He appears in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100, as does al-Ḥakam ibn 'Utaybah and Abū Nuʿaym al-Fadl ibn Dukayn.

Finally the version of Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd – ʿAbd al-Jalīl – ʿAbd Allāh ibn Buraydah – Buraydah:

There was a time when I used to detest 'Alī such that there was none more disliked to me than he. I had a companion who shared my sentiments, on account of which I kept his company. This companion of mine was sent on an expidition, and I joined his party only because of our mutual dislike for 'Alī.²

[Due to our victory] there were women and chidren captives. He sent message to the Prophet for someone to distribute the spoils.³ He sent 'Alī for that task. Among the captives was a most beautiful slave girl. After allocating the shares, we saw him come out and his hair was wet [from ceremonial bath]. We asked him, "O Abū al-Ḥasan! What is this?" He responded, "Did you not see the slave girl? She was part of the Khumus of the Ahl al-Bayt, and she formed part of the share of the family of 'Alī so I consumated with her."

So this man wrote to the Prophet and I requested to be sent along to confirm the details of the report. I read the complaint that was written to the Prophet and repeatedly confirmed the details as I read. The Prophet took hold of my hand and the document that I was reading, then asked me, "Do you dislike 'Alī?" I replied in the affirmative so he responded, "Do not have an unpleasant disposition towards him, and

¹ Al-Sunnah by ibn Abī ʿĀsim Hadīth: 2359, al-Bazzār (Kashf al-Astār: 2534)

² This probably refers to the time when both 'Alī and Khālid had been mandated with their respective tasks.

³ Including the women and children captives who had now become slaves

if you have any love for him then let that increase. I swear by He in whose hands lays the the life of Muḥammad, the share of the family of 'Alī from the *Khumus* is greater than the slave girl!"

After hearing those words from the Prophet there was no person more beloved to me than 'Alī \sim . ¹

'Abd Allāh ibn Buraydah said, "I swear by He besides whom there is none worthy of worship; in this Ḥadīth there is no one between myself and the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْنَا اللهُ عَلَيْنِ اللهُ عَلَيْنَا اللّهُ عَلَيْنَا اللهُ عَلَيْنَا اللّهُ عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْنَا اللّهُ عَلَيْنَا اللّهُ عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْنَا اللّهُ عَلَيْنَا اللّهُ عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْ

Al-Barā ibn ʿĀzib ﷺ relates:

We returned with the Messenger of Allah from the farewell Ḥajj, and we stopped at some point on the road. He instructed that prayer should be performed in congregation, then he took the hand of 'Alī and said, "Do I not have a greater right over the believers than their own selves?" They said, "Yes, indeed." He said, "Do I not have a greater right over every believer than his own self?" They said, "Yes, indeed." He said, "This man is the Walī of those whom I am there Mawlā. O Allah, take as friends those who take him as a friend, and take as enemies those who take him as an enemy."

All these narrations – the narration of 'Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn, the narration of Buraydah and the narration of al-Barā ibn 'Āzib ﷺ – refer to the same incident, and are consistent with the fact that some of the companions complained about 'Alī's ''Éé distribution of the spoils of war. When these complaints reached the Prophet 'he addressed the companions 'invoking their own love of him 'Juña'' he addressed the companions ''Alī''s ''Éé invoking their own love of him 'Juña'' he addressed the companions ''Alī'' he addressed the ''Alī''

¹ Musnad Aḥmad vol. 38 pg. 65 Ḥadīth 22967, Fadā'il al-Ṣaḥābah Ḥadīth: 1180, Khaṣā'iṣ ʿAlī Ḥadīth: 97

² Ibio

³ Ibn Mājah, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth 116; al-Sunan al-Kubrā by al-Nasa'ī Ḥadīth: 8473

We know that Jaʿfar ibn Sulaymān al-Ḍubaʿī is a fairly reliable narrator, as a matter of fact his narrations even appear in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim. However, he was not considered on the highest grade. How does this tie in with the introduction about the narration of an innovator?

While there seems to be a general sense of accepting his narrations, some of the experts, like Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd, prefered not to transmit what he narrates citing slight weakness. After quoting Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd, Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn offered his own view; that was of acceptance. Al-Bukhārī pointed out that Jaʿfar was known to have been contradicted by greater authority on a number of narrations. Ibn Saʿd summed up the status of Jaʿfar most succinctly, "He is a reliable narrator with slight weakness¹ and known for Shīʿī inclinations."² Ibn Ḥajar suggests that the overall grade was slightly lower when he graded him with the term, "Sadūq [Trustworthy],"³ often used when there are minor issues with a narrators precision and accuracy. Al-Dhahabī paraphrases both al-Bukhārīs and Ibn Saʿdʾs assessment,⁴ and cites this narration as one of those where he erred and contradicted the majority.⁵

We learn from this case study that a narrator's prejudice has the potenial to affect the accuracy of what he narrates. Since Jaʿfar ibn Sulaymān al-Ḍubaʿī was a commited Shīʿī, his own notions of *Waṣiyyah* were projected on his narration which bears the additional phrase, "the *Walī* of every believer **after me.**" He clearly understood the term *Walī*, to mean successor and narrated it in a manner consistent with his own belief system.

The debate about the meaning of the word *Walī* will feature prominently in the upcoming discussions. Let us suffice to point out here that this narration is *Munkar* [severely flawed] as it is not only inconsistent with the other versions in how it

¹ Suggesting that he has a few well-known anomalous narrations

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 409

³ Al-Tagrīb bio. 942

⁴ Al-Kāshif bio. 792

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 411

is worded, but its wording affects the meaning of the narration significantly. 'Alī was the *Walī* of all the believers prior to the Prophet's مَالِسُنَا فَعَلَيْنَا departure from this world and he continues to be the *Walī* of all believers.

These elements are present in the version of Ajlaḥ al-Kindī as well. It is evident that his narration bears the same features as Jaʿfar's. Even though Ibn Maʿīn, Ibn ʿAdī and al-ʿIjlī accord him a very low status within the acceptable spectrum, he was considered weak by all of Abū Hātim, al-Nasā'ī and al-Qattān.¹

The additional phrase, "the Walī of every believer after me," does not feature in the narration ascribed to Ibn Jarīr by way of *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*. The narration cited there² is consistent with the sound narrations which we have listed above.

The scholars who have documented the Prophet's history have also indicated that the versions of Ja'far and Ajlaḥ are inconsistent with what is narrated regarding this incident;³ including the supporting narration in al-Ṭabarānī's al-Mu'jam al-Awsaṭ.⁴ 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's reference to the narration in al-Mu'jam al-Awsaṭ does very little to alleviate the problem with the cited narrations since it is extremely weak or even fabricated according to some. The likes of Ḥusayn al-Ashqar have already been discussed earlier.⁵

The claim that 'Alī نَفَقَعَةُ was never under someone elses authority is inaccurate. In the year prior to the Prophet's الما بالمانية إلى Ḥajj he was under the authority of Abū Bakr عَفَقَاتُهُ

Al-Ṭabarī, Isḥāq ibn Rāhūyah in his *Musnad*, Nasā'ī, Dārimī, Ibn Khuzaymah, and Ibn Ḥibbān all narrate by way of Ibn Jurayj, who says, "'Abd Allāh ibn 'Uthmān ibn Khaytham narrated to us—from Abū Zubayr—from Jābir who said:

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 78

² Kanz al-'Ummāl Ḥadīth: 36425

³ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī vol 3 pg. 131-132, Tārīkh al-Islām vol. 1 pg. 690, al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah vol. 7 pg. 343

⁴ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 127

⁵ Refer to pg. 142 of this book.

The Prophet April April

'Alī's www own statement clarifies that he was under the authority of Abū Bakr's www; he prayed behind him and sat quietly listening to Abū Bakr's was sermons during Ḥajj. Furthermore, Abū Bakr www was not part of the battalion of Usāmah as the Prophet had instructed him to lead the people in prayer.

4. The ten accolades of 'Alī is exclusively narrated by a chain to Ibn 'Abbās

'Abd al-Ḥusayn is pulling a juvenile stunt by repeatedly using the narration that appeared as no. 1 in this series of correspondence. All that he has done this time is to reference it to al-Mustadrak. The poor chap does not realize that the narration in al-Mustadrak is the very narration in Musnad Aḥmad; it is transmitted with the same chain via al-Qaṭīī-'Abd Allāh ibn Aḥmad – Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. Although, he cannot be blamed for not knowing this, how was he to access al-Mustadrak in 1329 A.H when the book was only published for the first time in 1341 A.H? Is it not obvious that these letters were penned a long time after the death of the said interlocuter?

5. The narration of 'Alī

The narration was referenced to al-Kanz but conveniently omitted the reference provided in *Kanz al-'Ummāl*, "Ibn al-Jawzī in *al-Wāhiyāt*." This refers to Ibn

¹ Fath al-Bārī vol. 8 p. 171

² Kanz al-'Ummāl Ḥadīth: 36411

al-Jawzī's compilation on severely weak narrations, also known as al-ʿIlal al-Mutanāhiyah fī al-Aḥādīth al-Wāhiyah. 1

The original source for this narration is al-Khaṭib al-Baghdādī's *Tārīkh Baghdād* by way of Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn al-Ḍurays - '**Īsā ibn** '**Abd Allāh ibn** '**Umar ibn** '**Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib** - 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar – his father – his grandfather 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ...'

'Īsā ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar ibn 'Alī is accused of forging this narration. Ibn Ḥibbbān, Abū Nuʿaym and Al-Dhahabī all said that he narrated fabricated reports by way of his father, from his grandfathers.³

6. The narration of Wahb ibn Ḥamzah

This narration is referenced to *al-Iṣābah* of Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī. While the narration does appear in *al-Iṣābah*, Ibn Ḥajar states that the narrator, Wahb, cannot be proven to be a Companion of the Prophet مَا فَيْسَانِكُ since the narration which is used to prove this – the narration under discussion – appears with a weak chain.⁴

In addition to the fact that Wahb cannot be objectively proven to be a $\$aḥ\bar{a}b\bar{i}$ – which means the rules of Jarh and $Ta'd\bar{i}l$ apply to him – there is very little detail about his status as a narrator.

Furthermore, 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Mūsā, despite being a reliable narrator, was known for having transmitted uncorroborated narrations about the virtues of 'Alī and the Ahl al-Bayt in general. It was on account of this that his status as a narrator was called into question by some of the experts like Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. Ibn Sa'd expressed similar concerns about his uncorroborated narrations.⁵

¹ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah Ḥadīth 394

² Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 5 pg. 556

³ Al-Majrūḥīn vol 2 pg 121, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol 3. Pg. 315

⁴ Al-Iṣābah vol. 3 pg. 604

⁵ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 19 pg. 164

Dukayn is another narrator in this chain. All that is known of him is that he was from Kūfah. He was mentioned without comment by Ibn Abī Ḥātim, suggesting that he is $Majh\bar{u}l$.

This narration appears with a similar chain in al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr of al-Ṭabarānī

Aḥmad ibn ʿAmr al-Bazzār and Aḥmad ibn Zuhayr al-Tustarī – Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Karāmah - ʿ**Ubayd Allah ibn Mūsā** – Yūsuf ibn Ṣuhayb – **Dukayn** – **Wahb ibn Ḥamzah**...

The wording is somewhat different since it is worded, "Do not say this since he is the closest of people to you after me."²

The textual implication of this narration is thus ambiguous. Even if the narration was accepted, it amounts to no more than identifying 'Alī نفي as a distinguished member of the Prophet's مَالِسُعَيْدَةُ family. May Allah increase our love for the Prophet's مَالِسُعَيْدَةُ family.

7. The narration from ibn Abī ʿĀṣim

The wording of this narration supports the claim that we have been making all along; the addition of 'after me' does not appear in the sound narrations. The issue is not with this narration, but how it is understood. That is the topic of the next series of correspondence.

¹ Al-Jarh wa al-Ta'dīl vol 3 pg. 439

² Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 22 pg. 135

Letter 37

Thul-Hijjah 29, 1329 A.H.

I. "Wali" is a Linguistic Denominator; so Where is the Text?

1. The word "wali" is a common denominator between the supporter and the friend, the loved one and the brother-in-law, the follower, the ally, and the neighbour. Whoever takes charge of a matter is its "wali." The ahadith you have quoted may simply mean: 'Ali is your supporter, or friend, or loved one, after the Prophet; so, where is the text which you claim?

Sincerely,

S

Letter 38

Thul-Hijjah 29, 1329

I. Explaining the Implications of "Wali"

II. Proving its Connotation

- 1. You have indicated, while explicating the meanings of "wali," that whoever takes charge of anyone becomes the latter's wali. This, indeed, is the connotation of "wali" in as far as those ahadith are concerned. It is the same that comes to mind. Its meaning is similar to saying "The minor has had for his wali both his father and his paternal grandfather, then he was put in the custody of either of them, then in the custody of the legal administrator." This implies that these persons are the ones who are in charge of looking after him and administer his affairs on his own behalf.
- 2. The proofs testifying to the meaning connoted in the word concealed from the discreet. His statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "And he is your wali after me" clearly restricts "wilayat" to him and only him. This mandates that we should underscore the meaning which we have just attached to this word, a meaning which does not agree with that of any other interpretation.

Support, love, friendship, and the like are not confined to one single person, and the believers, men and women, are walis of one another. What merit, other than what we have just indicated, could the Prophet have emphasized in this hadith regarding his brother and wali if we say that the meaning of the word wali is something else that differs from what we have indicated above? What a hidden matter has the Prophet decided to unveil through the medium of such ahadith had the meaning of "wali" been the supporter, the loved one, or the like?

The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, is above clarifying what is already clear, or pointing out what is already taken for granted. His wisdom is vast, his infallibility is incumbent, his Message is conclusive and is more than what some people think. Yet these ahadith are quite clear in stating that wilayat is assigned for 'Ali after the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny.

This, too, requires applying the same meaning which we have suggested. It simply is not conducive to the meanings of supporter, loved one, etc., since there is no doubt that 'Ali is known to have been supported, loved, and befriended by Muslims due to his being raised in the lap of prophethood, to his contributions to the promotion of its message, till he, peace be upon him, passed away. Supporting, loving and befriending the Muslims, therefore, are not confined to 'Ali alone after the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, as is quite obvious.

Suffices you for a testimony to this meaning what Imam Ahmad has stated on page 347 of Vol. 5 of his Musnad through the correct path of narrators who cite Sa'id ibn Jubayr quoting Ibn 'Abbas citing Buraydah saying: "I participated in 'Ali's invasion of Yemen, and I found him to be cool to me; so, when I came to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, I mentioned 'Ali and belittled him; thereupon, I saw the Messenger's face changing colour, and he asked me: 'O Buraydah! Do I not have more authority over the believers than the believers have over their own selves?' I answered: 'Yes, indeed, O Messenger of Allah'. He then said: 'To whomsoever I have been mawla, 'Ali, too, is his mawla.'

This hadith is also quoted by al-Hakim on page 110, Vol. 3, of his Mustadrak, where he considers it authentic relying on the authority of Muslim. Al-Thahbi has quoted it in his Talkhis, taking its authenticity for granted for the same reason that be Muslim, too, considers it authentic. You yourself know the implication the introductory question "Do I not have

more authority over the believers than they themselves have?" carries, a meaning that supports what we have suggested. Anyone who scrutinizes these ahadith, as well as all matters relevant to them, will have no doubt in what we have stated, and praise be to Allah.

Sincerely, Sh

Discussions

After 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's undertaking to provide unmistakable evidence proving 'Alī's pre-eminence to the position of leadership; all that he could offer were ambiguous narrations stating 'Alī to be the *Walī* of the believers.

To give credance to his claim he must now prove that the term $Wal\bar{\imath}$, or $Mawl\bar{\imath}$, meant leadership and authority. At the same time he must discredit any alternate meaning of this term. It is worrisome that such an integral matter of faith be decided upon by debate of the correct meaning of a particular term or phrase. Considering that the nomination of the Khal $\bar{\imath}$ fah is understood to be a cardinal matter within the Sh $\bar{\imath}$ $\bar{\imath}$ paradigm one would expect the evidence to be self-evident; not subject to interpretation.

While the meanings of the term Walī which are ascribed to the pen of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī are consistent with the lexical meanings of this term, his apparent lack of confidence is yet another tell when the question of the ficticious nature of the correspondence in brought up. There is one major flaw in the reasoning ascribed to the Shaykh al-Azhar though; his conceding to the anomalous version of this narration.

In the opening lines of his response 'Abd al-Ḥusayn rightfully concedes to the array of possible meanings of the term *Walī*. It would be absurd on his part to challenge the notion that this term potentially applies to the said spectrum of meanings. The experts on language have provided similar meanings.

Al-Rāzī says in Muhktār al-Ṣiḥāḥ:

Mawlā means muʿtiq (the one who sets free a slave), and muʿtaq (the freed slave), and Ibn al-ʿAmm (cousin), and nāṣir (helper), and jār (neighbour), and Ḥalīf (ally)...Muwālāt (friendship) is the opposite of muʿādāt (enmity)... Wilāyah (guardianship) with a kasrah means sulṭān (power/authority) and wilāyah or walāyah with a kasrah or a fatḥah means nuṣrah (assistance).¹

¹ Mukhtār al-Ṣiḥāḥ, pg. 306-307

Al-Fayrūzābādī says in al-Qāmūs al-Muḥīţ:

Al-Walyū is from qurb (closeness)... Walī (guardian) is the noun derived from it and it means muḥibb (the one who loves), ṣadīq (friend), naṣīr (helper). (It is used in the following ways) waliya al-shay means he took responsibility for the thing. alayh al-wilāyah or walāyah (It is his responsibility). With the kasrah it means khiṭṭāh (a plan), imārah (leadership), sulṭān (authority). (The word Mawlā) means mālik (owner), ʿabd (slave), muʿtiq (one who sets free a slave), muʿtaq (the freed slave), ṣāḥib (companion), ibn (son), ʿamm (uncle), nazīl (guest), sharīk (partner), ibn al-ukht (sister's son), and walī, and Lord (owner), and nāṣir (helper), and munʿim (generous), and munʿamʿalayh (favoured), muḥibb, and tābiʿī (follower), and ṣihr (in-law).¹

In conceding that the term is *Mushtarak* [homonym] he immediately ignores all hermanuetic principles and states that the intended meaning is authority. He attempts to make his case stating that it is the first meaning that comes to mind and provides an example of a statement that supports that meaning.

In doing this he has ignored the fact that a word which is Mushtarak cannot be said to mean any of the possible meanings at first instance; it is coined having equal potential to mean any of the possible meanings. The context of the sentence, or paragraph, will determine the intended meaning. So 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's example was an exercise in futility since he had already given context to the word in the sentence. Instead of it being an argument in his favour, he has unwittingly exposed his own error. Furthermore, it requires stretching the term Mawlā for it to mean $W\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ (governor).

He goes on to charm the reader into agreeing with him; suggesting that such subtelties are easily percieved by people of high intelligence. Not only is his reliance on the wording, "of every believer after me," problematic as we have

¹ Al-Qāmūs al-Muḥīt, p. 1732

demonstrated in the discussions on the previous letter¹ but so is his premise that this term is meant for 'Alī exclusively [Ḥaṣr] and is limited to him [Qaṣr].

He hasn't realized that in his goal of excluding the three Khulafā' before 'Alī from being potential candidates, he has also excluded the sons and grandsons of 'Alī from a position authority. If only 'Alī is the *Walī* or *Mawlā*; where does it leave the rest of the 11 Imāms? If someone other than 'Alī could be understood to be a Walī or Mawlā, what is there to prevent Abū Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmān from being included as well? It stands to reason that since this premise is severely flawed, and the resultant argument of it being only plausible to mean 'leader' or 'authority' falls away along with it.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's admits in this letter, when he cited the narration of Ibn 'Abbās from Buraydah, that it is authentic. This is consistent with the many authentic versions that we have quoted earlier, which are all phrased, "Whomsoever, I am his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā." This means that 'Alī نعم was the Mawlā of every believer during the Prophet's مُنْ الله المعالمة ال

The complaints, and unfriendly attitude of some of the companions towards 'Alī clearly indicate the context for this Ḥadīth. Once context is extablished we can unreservedly determine the intended meaning; friendship, love and loyalty.

The Messenger المستخمين is not merely saying that 'Alī المستخمين deserves to be loved. He is admonishing all those who were upset with him, instructing them to me friendly, loyal and develop love for 'Alī المستخمة. The Prophet is advocating that 'Alī فالقائمة is deserving of all of this from all believers.

Buraydah مَوَّ acknowledges that he disliked 'Alī فَاللَّهُ initially. After the Prophet's مَاللَّهُ address he clarifies that 'Alī فَاللَّهُ became the most beloved of people to him.

¹ Refer to pg. 553 of this book.

Why else would 'Alī say, "By He who split the seed and created the living soul, it is the covenant of the unlettered Prophet to me than none shall love me except a believer and none shall hate me besides a hypocrite."

The most preposterous suggestion is that the Prophet inferred the transfer of authority to 'Alī with his statement, "Do I not have more authority over the believers than they themselves have?" While the words Mawlā and Awlā rhyme, they do not share any meaning.

As a matter of fact, 'Alī did id not understand the term *Mawlā* or *Walī* to mean succession or leadership. Al-Bukhārī narrates from Ibn 'Abbās did::

'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ﷺ emerged from the [home of the] Messenger of Allah during his final illness and the people said, "O Abu al-Ḥasan; How is the Messenger of Allah this morning?" He said, "All praise be to Allah, he is well this morning."

'Abbās ibn 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib took him by the hand and said to him, "I swear by Allah, in three days' time you will be a subject. By Allah, I think that the Messenger of Allah will die of this illness. I recognize the look of death in the faces of the Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib when they are dying. Let us go to the Messenger of Allah and ask him who will take charge over this matter (Khilāfah). If it is for us, then we will know that, and if it is for someone other than us, we will know and he can advise him to look after us."

'Al \bar{l} replied, "By Allah, if we ask him for it and he refuses us, then the people would never give it to us afterwards. By Allah, I will not ask it from the Messenger of Allah."²

If ʿAlī عَالَيْنَ was nominated by the Prophet والمُعَالِّين explicitly, why would ʿAbbās والمُعَالِين even bother to ask the Prophet المُعَلِّين about Khilāfah since it ought to be

¹ Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Hadīth: 78

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, ḥadīth: 4447

known that 'Alī 'www was appointed? Furthermore, why did 'Alī not correct 'Abbās and acknowledge that he was appointed? Why did 'Alī fear the Prophet not granting him the Khilāfah if he was already appointed? The reaction of 'Alī clearly indicates that his understanding of the term Walī or Mawlā is consistent with what we have mentioned.

Ibn Taymiyyah disproves the inference that the term Mawlā refers to leadership saying:

There is nothing in the ḥadīth to prove that the believers have no other Mawlā besides 'Alī. How can that be inferred when the Prophet had many Mawlās, namely, the pious believers – which includes 'Alī by way of priority – who took him as their friend? The Prophet said that the tribes of Aslam, Ghifār, Muzaynah, Juhaynah, Quraysh, and the Anṣār, had no Mawlā besides Allah and his Messenger¹. Allah made them the Mawlās of the Messenger just as He made the pious believers his Mawlās, and Allah and his Messenger their Mawlā.

In summary, there is a slight difference between Walī and Mawlā, and a significant difference between these terms and Wālī (governor). The meaning of Wilāyah (the opposite of enmity) is at one end of the spectrum, and the term walāyah referring to leadership is at the other. The wilāyah spoken of in the ḥadīth refers to the former and not the latter. The Prophet did not say, "Whoever I am his wālī (governor) 'Alī is his wālī." The word used (in the hadīth) is "whoever I am his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā."

The word Mawlā cannot refer to $w\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ (governor) since friendship is established mutually. Indeed, the believers are the friends of Allah and He is their $Mawl\bar{a}$ (guardian)...

The khilāfah of 'Alī, on the assumption of its existence, only came into being after the Prophet's death. It did not exist during the Prophet's

¹ Refer to Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Bāb dhikr Aslam, wa Ghifār, wa Muzaynah, wa Juhaynah, wa Ashjaʻ, ḥadīth no. 3321

life. Therefore, it is not possible for 'Alī to have been the khalīfah during the era of Prophet and he could not therefore be more worthy of every believer than himself, rather, he could not have been the Mawlā of any believer if what is intended is the khilāfah. This is one of the factors that prove khilāfah was not intended. The fact that he is a friend of every believer is established during the era of the Prophet, whose implementation was not postponed until the Prophet's demise as opposed to the khilāfah which could only come into effect after the demise of the Prophet to the thing. Therefore, it is known that this (what is mentioned in the hadīth) is not that which the Rāfidah intend.

'Alī we being the Mawlā of every believer is true during the life of the Messenger whe, his death, and even after the death of 'Alī. Even today 'Alī remains the "Mawlā" of every believer even though he is not the governor over the people. In similar manner all the believers are friends of one another living and deceased.

Ḥasan ibn Ḥasan, the grandson of ʿAlī نَفَيَقَةُ was asked whether the Prophet said, "Whomsoever, I am his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā." He responded:

Certainly! By Allah, if the Messenger of Allah intended by it governance and authority he would have stated it unequivocally. The Messenger was most eloquent, and most sincere to all Muslims. He would have stated [emphatically], "O people! This is the one in authority and the one deputed to carry out your affairs, so listen to him and obey."

By Allah, if Allah and His Messenger which chose 'Alī for this matter [succession after the Prophet and appointed him to implement it for the Muslims after him, then 'Alī disregards the command of Allah and His Messenger which, he would be the first one responsible for disregarding the Allah's and His Messengers instruction.²

¹ Minhāj al-Sunnah, vol. 7 pgs. 322-325

² Al-I'tiqād by al-Bayhaqī pg. 232

He is stating that 'Alī ''éééé conducted himself as a follower of Abū Bakr 'éééé during his *Khilāfah*. To suggest that 'Alī 'éééé would bide his time in *Taqiyyah* is an indictment on 'Alī 'éééé. The Ḥadīth is sound, but it does not mean what the Shī 'ah desire it to mean.

Letter 39

Thul-Hijjah 30, 1329

I. Requesting the Wilayat Verse

I testify that you are firm in your beliefs, sincere in your campaign, forceful and unmatched in facing your debater, invincible in the field. I am a believer in the ahadith according to the way which you have suggested. Had I not been obliged to believe in the sahabah, I would have accepted your judgement, but taking the word's meaning in the way those sahabah have taken it is a must, following in the footsteps of the good ancestors, may Allah be pleased with all of them.

But you have not acquainted us with the verse which you claim, at the conclusion of Letter No. 36, that supports your view regarding the interpretation of these ahadith. Recite it for us so that we may comprehend its meaning by the Will of Allah Almighty, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 40

Muharram 2, 1320

- I. The Verse of Wilayat and its Revelation in 'Ali's Honour
- II. Why it was Revealed
- III. Why Using it as a Testimonial
- 1. Yes, indeed, I would like to recite unto you one of the perfect verses of Allah, the Exalted, the Almighty, in His great Book which distinguishes right from wrong. It is one of the verses of Surat al Ma'ida (Table of Viands):1

Only Allah is your wali and His Messenger and those who believe, those who say their prayers and offer zakat (even) while prostrating (in prayers). And whoever takes for wali Allah, His Messenger, and the believers, they, indeed, are the party of Allah; they are the ones who shall achieve victory. (Qur'an, 5:55-56)

Nobody doubts the fact that these verses were revealed in honour of 'Ali who offered his own ring in the way of Allah while engaged in performing the prayers.

2. The sahih books consecutively report, through the authority of the Imams from among the Purified Progeny, stating that it was revealed in honour of 'Ali when he, out of charity, offered his ring while prostrating in prayers. Refer to what has been said in this regard by others such as Ibn Salam who quotes hadith from the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny. Refer to it as published in Nisa'i's Sahih, or in Al-Jami Bayna al-Sihah al-Sittah, in a chapter dealing with the interpretation of Surat al Ma'ida.

Likewise, refer to the hadith of Ibn 'Abbas who explains the meanings of these verses in imam al-Wahidi's book Asbab al-Nuzul. Al-Khatib has

included it in Al-Muttafaq.2 Also refer to 'Ali's hadith in the musnads of Ibn Mardawayh and Abul-Shaykh. If you wish, refer to it in Kanz al-'Ummal.

Its revelation to honour 'Ali is a matter of consensus among scholars of the exegesis of the Holy Qur'an. Such consensus is attested to by many Sunni scholars like Imam al-Qawshaji in his chapter on imamate in Sharh al Tajrid. Chapter 18 of Ghayat al-Maram includes one hadith narrated through the Sunnis testifying to our claim.

Had I not aspired to be brief, in addition to the fact that this issue is as clear as the sun in midday, I would have quoted for you many comments thereupon in authentic chronicles, but, praise to Allah, it is a matter which does not entertain any doubt. Despite that, we do not like to let this letter be without a few ahadith narrated by the majority of Muslims.

Suffices us what Imam Abu Ishaq Ahmad ibn Ibrahim al-Nisaburi al-Tha'labi3 has stated in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir. When the writer comes to this verse, he quotes Abu Tharr al-Ghifari saying:

"I have heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, with these ears - may I be deaf if I tell a lie - and saw him with these eyes - may I be blinded if I lie - saying: 'Ali is the leader of the pious, the annihilator of infidels; whoever supports him is supported by Allah, and whoever abandons him is abandoned by Allah.' I have, indeed, said my prayers once in the company of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, when a beggar came to the mosque and nobody gave him anything. 'Ali was in the state of ceremonial prostration when he beckoned to him to take his ring. The beggar came and took it from 'Ali's finger, whereupon the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, invoked Allah, the Almighty, the Omniscient, and prayed Him on behalf of 'Ali saying: 'Lord! My Brother Moses had prayed to you saying:

Lord! Remove the distress from my bosom, render my mission easy for me, and untie my tongue's knot so that people may understand me, and let me have a vizier from my own kin, my brother Aaron, to support my endeavour and participate in my undertaking, so that we may both praise you a great deal and mention your Name a great deal; You have been most Kind unto us (Qur'an, 20:25-35)

Thereupon, You inspired to him:

Verily, your prayer has been granted, O Moses! (Qur'an, 20:36).

Lord! I am Your servant and Prophet; therefore, remove my distress, render my mission easy for me, and grant me a vizier from my kin, 'Ali, to support my endeavour'. By Allah, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, had hardly finished his supplication before Gabriel, the trusted one, brought him this verse:

'Only Allah is your wali and His Messenger and those who believe, those who say their prayers and offer zakat (even) while prostrating (in prayers). And whoever takes for wali Allah, His Messenger, and the believers, they, indeed, are the party of Allah; they are the ones who shall achieve victory (Our'an, 5:55-56)."

3. You, may Allah support righteousness through your own person, know that the meaning of the word "wali" in such a context is "one who has the top priority in faring with one's affairs." We say "Such and such is the minor's wali." Lexicographers have made it clear that whoever takes charge of someone's affairs is the latter's wali.

The meaning of the verse, therefore, is as though Allah says that "the ones who take charge of your affairs and have priority even over your own lives in faring with the latter are: Allah, the Almighty and Omniscient, His Messenger, and 'Ali," for in 'Ali alone have all these qualities been combined: faith, saying the prayers, and offering zakat even while prostrating in prayers, and for whom these verses were thus revealed.

The Almighty has in these verses reserved wilayat for Himself and for both His Messenger and wasi in the same manner. The wilayat of Allah, the Almighty and Omniscient, is general and inclusive. So is the wilayat of the Prophet as well as his wali; it carries the same meaning. It is not possible to apply to it in this context the meanings of "supporter, loved one, etc.," since such a restriction [of application] is groundless, as is quite obvious. I believe this is a quite clear matter, and praise to Allah, Lord of the Worlds.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes

- 1. This is why people in Syria call a Shi'ah "mutawali," due to his taking for mawla Allah, His Messenger, and those who have truly believed, that is, those in whose honour the same verse was revealed. Linguistically, the "mutawali" is singular, and the "mutawla" are the Shi'ahs. They are so-called because they accepted the wilayat of 'Ali and Ahl al-Bayt S.
- 2. It is hadith number 5991 of the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal on page 391, Vol. 6.
- 3. He died in 337. Ibn Khallikan mentions him in his Wafiyyat al-A'yan saying: "He was the unique authority of his time in the science of exegesis; he wrote Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, which surpassed all other books of tafsir," and he goes on to say: "He is mentioned by 'Abdul-Ghafir ibn Isma'il al-Farisi in his book Siyaq Nisabur, where the author lauds him and describes him as 'accurate in transmitting, trustworthy."

Discussions

The insistence on citing this verse, referred to as $\bar{A}yat$ al-Wilāyah, as evidence for 'Alī's immediate succession is peculiar. Firstly, there's nothing in the verse to suggest 'Alī immediate succession is peculiar. Firstly, there's nothing in the verse to suggest 'Alī immediate succession is peculiar. Firstly, there's nothing in the appointment it is overly vague and ambiguous. There is nothing to suggest that he is intended specifically. The only indication of 'Alī immediate being intended is what is found in the Ḥadīth; not the verse.

Authenticity of the Ḥadīṭh

The Ḥadīth is thus subject to scrutiny. We refer the reader to the lengthy discussion on the authenticity of this narration in the response to letter 12.¹ To summarize, the narration was transmitted by way of the following companions, 'Alī, 'Ammār, Abū Rāfi', 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbās. All the narrations were found to be extremely weak and significantly flawed.

We might add here that the narration ascribed to 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭalib ﷺ can be found in both *Maʿrifat 'Ulūm al-Ḥadīth*, by al-Ḥākim al-Naysapūrī, and *Tārīkh Dimashq*, by Ibn 'Asākir.

The narration appears with a common chain in both collections by way of Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Ḍurays - 'Īsā ibn 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar ibn 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib - his father - grandfather - 'Alī 🍪 -

'Īsā ibn 'Abd Allah ibn 'Umar ibn 'Alī is accused of forging this narration. Ibn Ḥibbbān, Abū Nuʿaym and Al-Dhahabī all said that he narrated fabricated reports by way of his father, from his grandfathers.³

¹ Refer to pg. 181 of this book.

² Tārīkh Dimashq vol 42/356; Maʻrifah ʻUlūm al-Ḥadīth pg. 350

³ Al-Majrūḥīn vol 2 pg 121, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol 3. Pg. 315

'Abd al-Ḥusayn introduced the version from *Tafsīr al-Thaʿlabī* by way of Abū Dharr pinning his argument to this narration. The narration appears in his *Tafsīr* with the following chain of transmission:

Abū al-Ḥasan Muḥammad ibn Qāsim ibn Aḥmad – Abū Muḥāmmad ʿAbd Allah ibn Aḥmad al-Shaʿrānī – Abū ʿAlī Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn Razī – al-Muṇaffar ibn al-Ḥasan al-Anṣārī – al-Sindī ibn ʿAlī al-Warrāq – Yaḥyā ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd a-Ḥammānī – Qays ibn al-Rabī – al-Aʿmash - ʿAbāyah ibn Ribʿī - ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbbās – Abū Dharr¹

'Abāyah ibn Rib'ī was a fanatic Shī'ī, known for narrating baseless reports. Mūsā ibn Ṭarīf, another fanatic Rāfiḍī was the primary narrator from him.

Al-A'mash heard from Mūsā but never transmitted. Once, people were heard narrating from Al-A'mash from Mūsā, from 'Abāyah, so the scholars asked him about this. He responded saying that he was mocking 'Abāyah and demonstrating some of his ridiculous narrations and people must have assumed that he was narrating from him.²

We learn from this the interruption between al-A'mash and 'Abāyah.

Qays ibn al-Rabī was considered weak in terms of his memory. The difference of opinion among the scholars is how serious that was. It is believed that in his old age his son corrupted his books by adding narrations to them, when he would narrate from his books he did not realize that he transmitted baseless narrations. He was also known for being a Shīī, which could have influenced the way he narrates the Faḍā'il of ʿAlī Lie. Despite his weakness, he was held to be trustworthy by some of the scholars. ³

¹ Al-Kashf wal-Bayān, Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 55

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 388, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 417

³ Al-Kāshif bio. 4600, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 393, al-Taqrīb bio. 5573

Yaḥyā ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd al-Ḥimmānī, despite his comprehensive knowledge, was accused of *Sariqat al-Ḥadīth*; he would graft his own isnād on another Ḥadīth. Ibn Numayr and Ahmad ibn Hanbal called him a liar.¹

Sindī ibn ʿAlī al-Warrāq. Despite comprehensive searching we could not find a biography for any narrator with this name.

Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī does mention a Sindī ibn Abān who narrates from Yaḥyā ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd al-Ḥimmānī.² He remains *Majhūl*.

There is another Sindī al-Warrāq al-Baghdādī, he worked as a scribe for Isḥāq ibn Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣilī. This Sindī was known to forge narrations into the books of Isḥāq ibn Ibrāhīm, this was confirmed by Ḥammād ibn Isḥāq.³ If this is the narrator he would be a forger.

Al-Muzaffar ibn al-Ḥasan al-Anṣārī is considered *Mahjūl*. There is no biographical entry for him in the books of Rijāl. The same can be said for the teacher of al-Thaʿlabī, **Abu al-Ḥasan**, **Muḥammad ibn Qāsim ibn Aḥmad**, he has not been mentioned with credit or discredit.

This Isnād is riddled with flaws. One can see how desperate the Shī'ah are to prove the Imāmah of 'Alī if they base their entire doctrine on narrations such as this. Without this narration, the Shī'ah have no argument that can be proven from the verse in $S\bar{u}r\bar{a}h$ $al-M\bar{a}'idah$.

Narrations from the Imāms

'Abd al-Ḥusayn reassures the readers that the narrations from the infallible Imāms are in such abundance they reach the level of Mutawātir. Why does he not volunteer some of these narrations then?

¹ Al-Du'afā wal- Matrūkīn by Ibn al-Jawzī vol. 3 pg. 197

² Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 10 pg. 234

³ Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 196

The truth is that if his bluff were called he would be left with egg on his face since the fifth Imām, Muḥammad al-Bāqir, was asked about this verse and he had this to say, "The ones intended by it are the Prophet's Companions." 'Abd al-Malik says, "I then said to him that people say it refers to 'Alī?" He responded, "Well, 'Alī 'Éssi is included among them (the Companions)."

It appears al-Bāqir was either unaware of the context of the revelation of this verse, or that the alleged context was an outright forgery!

Proper context

Ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī narrates by way of Hannād ibn Sarī — Yūnus ibn Bukayr — Muḥammad ibn Ishāq — Isḥāq ibn Yasār — 'Ubādah ibn al-Walīd ibn 'Ubādah ibn al-Ṣamit who said

When the Jewish tribe of Banū Qaynuqāʻ decided to fight the Prophet Line - from Banū 'Awf from the Khazraj who had alliances with the Banū Qaynuqāʻ - went to the Prophet Line and announced that there remained no fealty between him and Banū Qaynuqaʻ. He pledged his loyalty to Allah and His Messenger Line and disassociated with all the disbelievers. It was in this regard that the verse was revealed...²

The verses preceding this all relate to the theme of loyalty to Islam and Muslims, not taking the disbelievers as allies, promising continuity of the religion even if people renegade. The verse after it prohibits taking the People of the Book and other disbelievers as allies, pledging loyalty to them.

When all the previous verses refer to the Walī as a helper, friend, supporter, ally; and the verses after also use the term in the same context; why should it mean something different in verse 55?

¹ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol.3 pg.185

² Jāmi al-Bayān vol. 8 pg. 529

Problems with applying it to 'Alī specifically with the meaning of authority

It would also mean that 'Alī held this authority during the Prophet's مَالِسُعَيْدَوَتُمُّةُ life independently of the Prophet مَالِسُعَيْدِوَتُمُّةً. Is it logical that he and the Prophet مَاللَّمُعُيْدِوَتُمُّةً share this authority simultaneously?

If this meant that leadership was conferred exclusively for 'Alī because of giving the ring in charity, it follows that none of the remaining 'eleven infallibles' are deserving of Imāmah. They fall short of the criteria of giving charity whilst in Rukū'. If it is argued that their appointment is determined by other texts it would undermine the very argument made by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn; that the verse applies exclusively to 'Alī! 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has created his own conundrum.

There are other inaccuracies in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's interpretation of this verse. He has brought up these issues in the ensuing correspondence, it is there where we shall address some of these issues with Allah's permission.

Letter 41

Muharram 3, 1330

I. "Mumins" is Plural; Why Apply it to the Singular?

It may be said in rebutting your objection that the phrase "the Mu'mins who say their prayers and offer zakat (even) while prostrating (in prayers)" is applied to the plural; so, why should it be applied to the Imam, may Allah glorify his countenance, who is singular? What is your answer if you are asked thus?

Sincerely,

S

Letter 42

Muharram 4, 1330

- I. Arabs Address the Singular Using the Plural Form
- II. Testimonials
- III. Quoting Imam al-Tibrisi
- IV. Quoting al-Zamakhshari
- V. What I have Stated
- 1. The answer to your question is that Arabs apply the plural expression while addressing an individual due to the nice effect it produces [i.e. respect].
- 2. A testimony to this fact is what the Almighty says in Surat Al-i-'Imran:

Those to whom some people said: "A large army has been raised against you; so, fear them," yet it only increased their faith, and they said: "Allah suffices us, and He is the One upon Whom we depend most." (Qur'an, 3:173)

The person implied in these verses of Al-i-'Imran is none other than Na'im ibn Mas'ud al-Ashja'i, according to the consensus of scholars of exegesis, traditionists, and chroniclers. Yet Allah Almighty has applied to him, the singular person that he is, the plural form just to express respect for those who did not listen to his statements nor heeded his dissuading calls.

Abu Sufyan had given him ten camels in order to demoralize and frighten the Muslims regarding the strength of the polytheists, and he did just that. Among his statements then was: "People have gathered a mighty force to attack you; so, fear for your own lives."

Many Muslims disliked the idea of fighting that force just because of his statement, but the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, came out accompanied by seventy cavaliers to meet them, and they all returned from the battle-field safely, whereupon this verse was revealed praising the seventy believers who came out with the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, heedless to the dissuasion of those who wished to demoralize them.

In applying the word "people" for just one individual, a nice and divine point is made which is complimenting the seventy men who came out with the Prophet. This surely sounds more eloquent when used as such; it is better than saying: "Those to whom a man said that a large army had been raised..., etc.," as is obvious. There are numarous verses in the Holy Qur'an similar to this one, as well as in the Arabic language as a whole. The Almighty Allah says: "O you who believe! Remember Allah's blessing unto you when some folks intended to lay their (evil) hands upon you, and He protected you against their harm."

In fact, the person who intended to lay his evil hands upon them and hurt them was a man from the tribe of Muharib named Ghawrath - others say it was 'Amr ibn Jahsh of Banu al Nadir - who unsheathed his sword and shook it intending to strike the Holy Prophet but Allah, the Almighty and the Glorified, foiled his attempt, according to the narration of the incident as recorded by traditionists, authors of chronicles, and scholars of exegesis, and as transmitted by Ibn Hisham in the campaign of That al Riqa' in Vol. 3 of his book titled Sirah. Allah has applied the collective plural "people" for this lone man just to express His blessings, the Dear One, the Omnipotent, upon the Muslim masses manifested in the safety of the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny.

In the Mubahala verse, He has applied both the singular and the plural forms to the "sons," "women," and "selves" to both the Hasanain, Fatima,

and 'Ali in particular, just to honour to their lofty status, may Allah be pleased with them. Examples for the application of the plural form for the individual wherever necessary are innumarable and beyond recounting, and they all prove the license to use the plural form while talking about one individual whenever there is a nice eloquent effect thereto.

- 3. In his interpretation of this verse, in Mujma'ul Bayan fi Tafsir al-Qur'an, Imam al-Tibrisi comments on the usage of the plural form to refer to the Commander of the Faithful as a token of respect and veneration, stating that lexicographers describe the singular using the plural form to show respect and veneration. He says: "Such an application is too well known in their language to require proofs."
- 4. In his Kashshaf, al-Zamakhshari mentions another nice point when he says: "If you wonder how it can be accurate to use the plural with 'Ali, may Allah be pleased with him, I will tell you that he is addressed in the plural form, although he is only one man, so that people may follow his example and earn rewards like his, and so that Allah may point out the fact that a believer's attitude should be like 'Ali's, that is, being eager to do deeds of righteousness and goodwill by looking after the poor, so much so that even the performance of something which does not permit any delay, such as saying the prayers, should not make them postpone it till they are through."
- 5. I personally have a nice and more precise point. When the Almighty applied the plural rather than the singular form, as many do, then those who hated 'Ali as well as all those who were envious of and in competition with Banu Hashim would not be able to tolerate hearing it in the singular form, for they would then be unable to hide the truth or water it down. Because of their desperation, they might even do something quite harmful to Islam. It is quite possible that it was for this reason that the verse was revealed in the plural form though applied to the singular: in order to avoid the harm resulting from disgracing those folks.

The verses after that particular one vary in form and status, gradually preparing them for wilayat, till Allah perfected His religion and completed His blessing, as was his usual habit, peace be upon him and his progeny, and that of the wise in attaining what otherwise is quite difficult to attain. Had the verse come in the singular form, those folks would have then put their fingers in their ears, covered themselves with their own clothes and become stubborn, arrogant, and haughty.

This is a sublime wisdom manifested in all the verses of the Holy Qur'an which were revealed to highlight the attributes of the Commander of the Faithful and those among his purified household, as is quite obvious. We have explained these statements and brought irrefutable proofs and obvious testimonies in our books Sabil al-Muminin and Tanzil al-Ayat, and praise be to Allah for His Guidance and Support, Wassalam.

Sincerely, Sh

Discussions

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has identified one of the less significant issues with arguing the Imāmah of 'Alī from Āyat al-Wilāyah and responds to it in unnecessary detail. With the letter ascribed to the Shaykh al-Azhar he creates the impression that the entire objection with using Āyat al-Wilāyah for proving the Imāmah of 'Alī is based on pronouns, a strawman argument.

The gist of the reply is that in the Arabic language it is not uncommon to use the plural pronoun when refering to a single person; he qoutes verses from the Quran to support this. He goes on to cite passages from the tafsīrs of the Shīʿī al-Ṭabarsī, and the Muʿtazilī al-Zamakhsharī; both confirming that it not beyond convention that a plural pronouns is used in reference to a single person. Finally, he offers his own insight on why the plural was used instead of being specific; the detractors would have retaliated had ʿAlī www been mentioned specifically.

Plural refering to specific

While we do not contest the fact that it is not beyond the convention of the Arabic language for the plural pronoun to be used in reference to an individual; there is, however, always an indication to it by way of context. The context is absent in this case as the narration used to create it is an outright forgery. That being said, let us briefly examine the examples cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.

Those to whom the people said, "Indeed, the people have gathered against you, so fear them." But it [merely] increased them in faith, and they said, "Sufficient for us is Allah, and [He is] the best Disposer of affairs."

The scholars of tafsīr are not unanimous that this verse in Sūrah Āl-ʿImrān applies Nuʿaym ibn Masʿūd al-Ashjaʿī. On the contrary, the reference to "people" in the verse refers to the delegation of BanūʿAbd al-Qays.²

¹ Sūrah Āl-ʿImrān: 173

² Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol. 6 pg. 248-253, Ibn Hishām vol. 3 pg. 616-617.

After the Battle of Uḥud, the Prophet Section off with those who were present at the battle to pursue the army of Quraysh. He camped at a placed called Ḥamrā al-Asad. Abū Sufyān encountered a trading party from Banū 'Abd al-Qays on their way towards Madīnah to collect supplies. He offered to supply them with as much raisins as they could load on their mounts at the 'Ukāz fair if they carried a message to the Prophet informing him that the Quraysh had considered returning to wipe out the Muslims once and for all. It was in this regard the verse was revealed. The term 'people' clearly applies to the entire trading party; not Nu'aym ibn Mas'ūd.

Nu'aym ibn Mas' \bar{u} d played a postive role for the Muslims by causing confusion among the disbelievers on the occasion of Ahz \bar{a} b two years later. However, that is unrelated to this verse in \bar{A} l 'Imr \bar{a} n.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn gives the impression that only members of the Prophet's مَـٰٓالتُنكَيْوسَةُ family were present at Ḥamrā al-Asad.

'Ā'ishah 'Elated to her nephew, 'Urwah ibn al-Zubayr, about whom the verse, "Those [believers] who responded to Allah and the Messenger after injury had struck them..." was revealed:

Nephew, your fathers were among these, Zubayr and Abū Bakr When the Messenger of Allah Suffered his losses at Uḥud and the polytheists withdrew, he was concerned that they might return. And so he asked, "Who will pursue them?" Seventy of his men volunteered, including Abū Bakr and Zubayr.²

As for the incident with Ghawrath referenced to the verse:

¹ Sūrah Āl 'Imrān: 172

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth no. 4077

O you who have believed, remember the favour of Allah upon you when a people determined to extend their hands [in aggression] against you, but He withheld their hands from you; and fear Allah . And upon Allah let the believers rely. 1

The usage of plural here is consistent since he had been sent by his people to harm the Prophet . So his actions reflected the intention of his people. This is confirmed by the version related by Qatādah.²

The episode involving the bedouin drawing the Prophet's sword is well-known, and accepted by the scholars of Ahl al-Sunnah. However, they differ whether this verse was revealed in that context, or whether the early scholars have applied the meaning of this verse to the incident under discussion. Ibn Jarīr al-Tabarī considers this verse to be revealed in the context of the Jewish plot to assisinate the Prophet and has cited a number of narrations that support this view. The reason for his preference is that the Qur'an makes repeated references to the Jewish people before and after this verse. Following this reasoning, the term, "… a people," refers to the Jewish tribe of Banū al-Nadhīr in the context of its revelation; while it could be understood to be correct in a general sense in reference to the incident with the bedouin. Either way, the example relied upon by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn does not support his argument.

The citations from both al-Ṭabarsī and al-Zamakhsharī, even though they are from non-Sunnī sources, would only be taken seriously if they did not rely on the narration of ʿAlī giving his ring away. Stripped of that backstory, the verse is not remotely connected to ʿAlī . The discussion on the forged nature of the said narration has already been dealt with.³

Problem with plural pronoun in this verse

We have already established that while it is acceptable to use the plural pronoun for a single subject in the Arabic language. This usually seeks to fulfill a purpose

¹ Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 11

² Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol. 8 pg. 232

³ Refer to pg. 215 of this book.

We then have to consider the consequences of applying the plural to 'Alī نفي 'Alī ن

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's rationalization that departure from convention is that this was to disguise 'Alī's identity from his detractors appears to be rather absurd instead of appealing to reason. Why would the Companions endure difficulties and hardships for the sake of the Prophethood of the Messenger and hardships for the sake of the Prophethood of the Messenger with the unwilling to pledge allegiance to 'Alī '''' Why did they pledge allegiance to him later on? Is it conveivable that the Companions would object to the mention of 'Alī '''' as the immediate and only legitimate successor to the Prophet 'successor to the Prophet 'successor' whereas they were prepared to end the lives of their own family members because of what the Qur'an emphatically states?

لَّا تَجِدُ قَوْمًا يُؤْمِنُوْنَ بِاللَّهِ وَالْيَوْمِ الْأَخِرِ يُوَادُّوْنَ مَنْ حَادَّ اللَّهُ وَرَسُوْلَهُ وَلَوْ كَانُوْا أَبَآءَهُمْ أَوْ أَبْنَاءَهُمْ أَوْ يَكُونُ بَاللَّهِ وَالْيُومِ الْأَخِرِ يُوَادُّوْنَ مَنْ حَادَّ اللَّهُ وَرَسُوْلَهُ وَلَوْ كَانُوا أَبَاءَهُمْ جَنَّاتِ أَوْ إِخْوَانَهُمْ مَرُوْحٍ مِّنْهُ وَيُدْخِلُهُمْ جَنَّاتٍ تَجْرِيْ مِنْ تَحْتِهَا الْأَنْهَارُ خَالِدِيْنَ فِيْهَا رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنَّهُمْ وَرَضُوْا عَنْهُ أُولِئِكَ حِرْبُ اللَّهِ أَلَا إِنَّ عَزْبَ اللَّهِ أَلَا إِنَّ حَرْبُ اللَّهِ أَلَا إِنَّ حَرْبُ اللَّهِ أَلَا إِنَّ عَنْهُمْ وَرَضُوا عَنْهُ أُولِئِكَ حِرْبُ اللَّهِ أَلَا إِنَّ

You will not find a people who believe in Allah and the Last Day having affection for those who oppose Allah and His Messenger, even if they were their fathers or their sons or their brothers or their kindred. Those - He has decreed within their hearts faith and supported them with spirit from Him. And We will admit them to gardens beneath which rivers flow, wherein they abide eternally. Allah is pleased with them, and they are pleased with Him - those are the party of Allah . Unquestionably, the party of Allah - they are the successful. ¹

Could any sensible, thinking mind possibly agree with his line of reasoning?

¹ Sūrah al-Mujādilah: 22

Letter 43

Muharram 4, 1330

I. Context Denotes "the Loved one" or the Like

May Allah bless your father! You have, indeed, dispelled my doubts and thus overcome my suspicion, so much so that truth has become manifest. Nothing remains to say other than the fact that the context of the said verse denotes the prohibition of taking the infidels for walis.

The verses which precede and succeed it testify to this fact, and this supports the claim that the connotation of the word "wali" in this verse is the supporter, loved one, friend, or the like; so, what would your answer be? Kindly state it, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 44

Muharram 5, 1330

I. Context is not Indicative of "Supporter" or the Like

II. Context does not Outweigh the Proofs

1. Here is my answer: This verse, if one were to scrutinize it, overlooking the verses which precede it and which prohibit taking the infidels for walis, does not connote praising the Commander of the Faithful or recommending him for leadership and imamate by threatening dissidents with his might or by warning them against being punished by him. This is so because in the preceding verse, if and when scrutinized independently, Allah Almighty states:

"O ye who believe! If anyone of you relinquishes his religion, then Allah will raise a people whom He loves and who love Him, soft-hearted with the believers, mighty against the unbelievers, struggling in His Path, not fearing anyone while doing so. This, indeed, is Allah's favour; He grants it to whomsoever He pleases, and Allah is vast in knowledge (Qur'an, 5:54)."1

This verse is revealed on behalf of the Commander of the Faithful S, warning others of his might and that of his followers, as the Commander of the Faithful has himself stated on the Battle of the Camel and is stated by Imams al-Baqir and al-Sadiq.

The same meaning is applied by al-Tha'labi in his Tafsir al-Qur'an. It is also narrated by the author of Muj'maul Bayan fi Tafsir al-Qur'an from 'Ammar, Huthayfah, and Ibn 'Abbas. It is interpreted in this way according to the consensus of Shi'as who narrate it consecutively from the Imams of the Purified Progeny Market.

The verse of the wilayat will thus come after hinting to his wilayat and referring to the necessity of accepting his imamate. Its context would

then be an explanation of that hint, and an elaboration on the hint that preceded it which suggests his government; so, how can it be said that this verse was revealed in the context of prohibiting taking the infidels for walis?

2. The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has himself equated the status of the Imams among his descendants to that of the Holy Qur'an, indicating that they both shall never separate from each other, and that they are equal in significance to the Book (Qur'an) itself; through them can right be distinguished from wrong. To them, taking this verse as a proof is consecutively reported. The meaning they have always applied to the word "wali" in such a context is identical to the one which I have applied above; therefore, context does not bear any weight if you take it to contradict their texts,2 for all Muslims are in consensus regarding the application of context as a proper argument.

When context and proof collide with one another, they abandon the connotation of the context and yield to the judgement of the proof. This is so due to the fact that the connotation of this verse's context is not relied upon, since the Glorious Book itself is not arranged in the order of its compilation, according to the consensus of all Muslim scholars, but according to the sequence of the revelation of its verses.

As such, there are quite a few verses which give a meaning that contradicts their context. Take, for example, the Verse of Purification. The fact that the chapter where it exists deals with women is quite clear in restricting its connotation to the five individuals [men and women] who were covered with the mantle. Generally speaking, to interpret a verse in a way which contradicts its context does not in any way violate its miraculous aspect, it does not harm its eloquence, and it does not hurt to resort to it whenever irrefutable proofs demand it, Wassalamo Alaikom.

Sincerely,

Sh

Footnotes

1. This is similar in meaning to the hadith of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "You, folks of Quraysh, shall never cease feuding till Allah sends you a man the sincerity of whose faith He has tested to strike your necks with his sword, while you run away in fear like frightened cattle." Abu Bakr asked: "Is it I, O Messenger of Allah?" He answered: "No." 'Umar asked: "Is it I, O Messenger of Allah?" He answered: "No; but it is he that mends the sandal."

The narrator continues to say: "Ali then had in his hand the Prophet's sandal which he was mending for the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny." This hadith has been recorded by many authors of books of traditions, and it is hadith number 610 at the beginning of page 393, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.

Also similar to it is his saying, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Among you is a man who shall fight for the implementation of the Qur'an just as I have fought for its revelation." Abu Bakr asked: "Am I the one?" He answered: "No." 'Umar asked likewise, and the Prophet H answered: "No, but it is the man who is inside mending the sandal," whereupon 'Ali came out of the room carrying the Prophet's sandal after having finished mending it.

This hadith is quoted by Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal in his Musnad as transmitted by Abu Sa'id, and it is narrated by al-Hakim in his *Al-Mustadrak*, Abu Ya'li in his Musnad, and by many authors of books of traditions. Al-Muttaqi al-Hindi quotes it from them on page 155 of the sixth volume of his book.

2. What weight can a superficial interpretation have if it contradicts the spirit of the entire text?

Discussions

Considering that we have maintained objectivity throughout these discussions, the reader might appreciate this slight departure from character as we pause to express our amusement at the level of discourse in this round of 'correspondence'. The confusion in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's reasoning appears to have outwitted even the translator. His folly has only drawn him further into the quagmire of his sunken arguments.

Qur'ānic cohesion

The primary level of meaning in any text exists at the word level, it is then expounded on at the sentence level, whilst the preceding and succeeding passages of a larger body of text provide the context.

Structure is a naturally occurring system of meaning in almost every language. Interpreting the verse dubbed, $\bar{A}yat$ al- $Wil\bar{a}yah$, as categorical nomination of 'Alī is neither supported at a word level, nor sentence level. Furthermore, it appears misplaced if the preceding and succeeding verses are considered. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn realizes this and seeks to remedy the situation.

Firstly, he acknowledges that it does not conform to the general structure and flow of the passage as a whole. Secondly, he seeks out a similar occurrence elsewhere by citing $\bar{A}yat$ al- $Tath\bar{i}r$ in $S\bar{u}rah$ al- $Ahz\bar{a}b$. Thirdly, he attempts to soften the blow by connecting the previous verse in $S\bar{u}rah$ al- $M\bar{a}$ 'idah with the current verse.

To begin with, let us always keep in mind that he acknowledges that proving the concept of 'Iṣmah [Infallibility] and Imāmah from both Āyat al-Taṭhīr and Ayat al-Wilāyah respectively, cannot be achieved by way of the Qur'ānic verses alone. Furthermore, he acknowledges that both verses do not conform to the general context in which they are placed. It stands to reason that the actual evidence for these doctrines lie beyond the words, textual implications, or context of the verses themselves.

We have demonstrated, in detail, under the discussions on Letter 12 that the mention of purification was not exclusive to the Ahl al-Bayt, and that the term Ahl is used for a person's wives. The change in pronoun in $\bar{A}yat$ al- $Tath\bar{i}r$ was to accommodate for the inclusion of the Prophet . We demonstrated that interpreting such did not compromise the integrity of the passages structure, nor did it depart from the textual implications of the verse. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's acknowledgement of the fact that the Shīī interpretation of the verse is inconsistent with the context only reinforces our position.

The cohesion in the structure of Qur'ānic passages is a positive consequence of the Angel Jibrīl's إِلَا الله final rendition of the Qur'ān, when he revised it twice with the Prophet المعالمة in his final Ramaḍān. Had there been no integrity in the context, the rearrangement of verses would have been futile. The arrangement is thus understood to be deliberate; and the cohesion and structure of the verses intended and meaningful.

Attempt to create context

With the aim of establishing order within his chaos 'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges that the verse before Āyat al-Wilāyah is also inconsistent with the verses preceding it, and is the first subtle hint that 'Alī is the focal point of reference.

O you who have believed, whoever of you should revert from his religion - Allah will bring forth [in place of them] a people He will love and who will love Him [who are] humble toward the believers, powerful against the disbelievers; they strive in the cause of Allah and do not fear the blame of a critic. That is the favor of Allah; He bestows it upon whom He wills. And Allah is all-Encompassing and Knowing.¹

¹ Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 54

To support his claim he provides the following as evidence; the narrations about 'Alī 'Eles' mending the Prophet's sandal, a citation for *Tafsīr al-Thaʿlabī*, citations from the *Tafsīr* of al-Ṭabarsī, and finally the alleged consensus of the Ahl al-Bayt.

Why this verse does not refer to 'Alī specifically

We shall now demonstrate why it does not support the view that considers 'Alī intended, specifically, by these verses. We have already demonstrated that the statements of the Imām's are not proof in of themselves, they have to be supported by evidence. The claim of Ijmā' is refuted by the Imāms themselves since they consider those whom 'Alī in fought during his Khilāfah believers.

To begin with let us examine the narrations cited. There are two narrations that speak about the one who was repairing the strap on the Prophet's sandal.

The first narration mentions it on the occasion of Ḥudaybiyyah and it appears with the common chain:

Sharīk ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Nakhaʿī — Muʿtamir — Ribʿī ibn Ḥirāsh — ʿAlī "abb " "abb "

Sharīk ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Qaḍī, Abū ʿAbd Allāh, the Qāḍī of Kūfah, is considered weak, especially in that which he narrated from memory after being assigned a post in the judiciary.²

This narration refers to the Prophet warning the Quraysh that he will send a man whose faith of heart had been tested by Allah. Abū Bakr first asks if he is intended and the response is negative, then 'Umar asks and

¹ *Musnad Aḥmad* vol. 2 pg. 448 Ḥadøth no: 1336, *Jāmī* 'a*l-Tirmidhī*, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3715, Khaṣā'iṣ Amīr al-Mu'minīn by al-Naṣā'ī Ḥadīth no: 31, a*l-Mustadrak* vol. 4 pg. 298

² Al-Thiqāt of ibn Ḥibbān vol. 6 pg. 444, Al-Kāmil of Ibn 'Adī vol.4 pg.22.

receives a negative response and it is then that the Prophet مَالِسَنَاوَدُوسَالُهُ said, "It is the one who is mending the sandal," and 'Alī مَالِسُنَافِهُ was mending the Prophet's مَالِسُنَافِهُ sandal.

This narration is an example of those narrations in which he erred since it has been narrated with significant differences with the same chain from Muʿtamir.

Abān ibn Ṣāliḥ — Muʻtamir — Ribʻī — ʻAlī هُوَ الْعَالَةُ كَا

Some slaves (of the unbelievers) went out to the Messenger of Allah on the Day of al-Ḥudaybiyyah before the treaty. Their masters wrote to him saying, "O Muḥammad, they have not gone out to you with any interest in your religion, but they have gone out to escape from slavery."

Some people said, "They have spoken the truth, O Messenger of Allah, send them back to them."

The Messenger of Allah became angry and said, "I do not see you restraining yourself from this action, O assembly of Quraysh, but that Allah would send someone to you who would strike your necks [i.e. fight you]."

He then refused to return them, and said, "They are emancipated slaves of Allah." 1

Furthermore, the narration by way of Sharīk stated that the Prophet asked Abū Bakr and 'Umar whereas the authentic versions of the incident of al-Ḥudaybiyyah describe the vocal reaction of 'Umar when the Prophet agreed for the son of Sahl ibn 'Amr to be returned after he escaped to the Muslim camp and he was still in his shackles.

There is another version by way of Abū Dhar (except in that version the Prophet was warning the Yemeni tribe, Banū Walī ah. In that version, 'Umar asks

¹ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Jihād, Ḥadīth: 2700

Abū Dhar who is intended; and Abū Dhar responds saying, "It is not you nor is it your companion; it is the one who is mending the sandal." He went on to say that 'Alī was mending the sandal.

The problem with this version is that the scholars differed over its chain, whether or not it was interrupted, as there are versions with an interrupted chain.²

As we can see there are significant inconsistencies between both the versions attributed to 'Alī منافعة and those attributed to Abū Dhar. The one references Quraysh, whilst the other addresses Banū Walī'ah. One version has the Shaykhayn present, whereas the other version infers that they were absent when the Prophet منافعة said this. The likelihood of 'Alī منافعة mending the Prophet's عماله sandals at both instances is very rare.

The second narration is narrated by way of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī and appears with a common chain:

Ismāʿīl ibn Rajā' ibn Rabīʿah — his father, Rajā' — Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī $^{\!\scriptscriptstyle 3}$

There will be some from you who will fight people over the interpretation of the Qur'ān just as I have fought over its revelation. Abū Bakr asked if it would be him and the Prophet replied in the negative, then 'Umar asked and again the reply was in the negative. The Prophet then responded saying that it would be the person mending the sandal; refering to 'Alī we, who was mending the Prophet's was sandals.

¹ Muşannaf ibn Abī Shaybah vol. 17 pg. 138 Ḥadīth no: 32800, al-Sunan al-Kubrā lil-Nasā'ī vol. 7 pg. 434 Hadīth 8403

² Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 2 pg. 23 Ḥadīth 966

³ Musnad Aḥmad vol. 17 pg. 360 Ḥadīth no:11258, vol.17 pg. 390 Ḥadīth no. 11289 vol. 18 pg. 295 Ḥadīth no: 11773, vol. 18 pg. 299 Ḥadīth no: 11775, Muṣannaf ibn Abī Shaybah vol. 17 pg. 105 Ḥadīth no: 32745, Musnad Abī Ya'lā vol. 2 pg. 341, al-Sunan al-Kubrā lil-Nasā'ī vol. 7 pg. 466 Ḥadīth no: 8489, al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 122

This is an authentic narration, there are no issues with the Isnād and the text is from the signs of Prophethood. The Prophet منافعة predicted that 'Alī would deliberately fight the Khawarij, who are believers in the broad sense, based on their flawed interpretation of the Quran; and he would be justified in doing so. Similarly the Prophet منافعة fought the polytheists deliberately over the revelation of the Qur'ān by Allah's instruction.

Abū Hurayrah مَالْسَعَيْهُ said that the Messenger of Allah مَالْسَعَيْهُ said, "The [final] Hour shall not come until two groups fight each other; their call being one."

Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī related that the Messenger of Allah said, "A faction will renegade at a time when there is division among the Muslims; and the party, among two parties, which is closer to the truth will fight them."²

The narration of Abū Hurayrah (is a prediction of what was to occur between 'Alī (a) and Mu'āwiyah (is a prediction that 'Alī (is would raise arms against the Khawārij. There is no doubt that in the matter between 'Alī and Mu'āwiyah (Alī (Alī (Alī (Alī)))) was closer to the truth.

The verse in al-Mā'idah refers to those who would fight the apostates. The Ḥadīth of Abū Saʿīd refers to fighting the Khawārij and not the apostates. As such, this narration supports the view that states that 'Alī was not referred to in the verse of Sūrah al-Mā'idah specifically.

In fact, it is not known that 'Alī we's ever fought the apostates. During his Khilāfah, the battles which he fought were against those whom he considered believers. The first major battle was at Jamal against the army of Ṭalḥāh, Zubayr, and 'Āi'shah we's. The second encounter was at Ṣiffīn against the army of Mu'āwiyah. The third major battle was against the Khawārij at Nahrawān. The

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Manāgib, Hadīth no: 3608

² Şaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Zakāt, Ḥadīth no: 1065

only apostates that he dealt with were the followers of Ibn Saba', who deified him. He had them burnt at the stake.

Muḥammad ibn Naṣr al-Marwazī states, "ʿAlī نفي took responsibility for fighting the people who rebelled; and he narrated from the Prophet المنافقة regarding them all that he narrates. Despite that he called them believers, and dealt with them as believers, as did ʿAmmār ibn Yāsir."¹

He then narrates by way of Isḥāq — Abū Nuʿaym — Sufyān — Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad — from his father who said:

On the day of Jamal or the day of Ṣiffīn ʿAlī heard a person exceeding the bounds in what he was saying [against the opposing party] so he said, "Do not say anything except that which is good. All that they are is a people who claim that we have rebelled against them, and we say that they have rebelled against us; and on that we have fought them."

He relates another narration by way of Isḥāq — Wakīʻ — Misʻar — ʻĀmir ibn Shaqīq — Abū Wā'il — who said — a man said:

"Who called to the grey mule on the day the polytheists were fought?"

so 'Alī was polytheism from which they fled."

Then it was said, "Hypocrites?" and he responded, "Hypocrites do not remember Allah, except a little."

Then it was asked what they were and he replied, "A group of people who rebelled against us and we fought them and were victorious against them."

¹ Taʻzīm Qadr al-Şalah pgs. 543-547

² Ibid

³ Ibid

He narrates yet again by way of Qays ibn Muslim from Ṭāriq ibn Shihāb, who said:

I was with 'Alī www when the fighting ended at Nahrawān and it was said to him, "Are they polytheists?", to which he replied, "It was polytheism from which they fled."

Then it was said, "Hypocrites?" and he responded, "Hypocrites do not remember Allah, except a little."

Then it was asked what they were and he replied, "A group of people who rebelled against us and we fought them." 1

He brings yet another narration by way of Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā — Aḥmad ibn Khālid —ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Abī Salamah – ʿAbd al-Wāḥid ibn [Abī] ʿAwn, who said:

'Alī wə passed by the martyrs at Şiffīn while leaning on al-Ashtar and he found Ḥābis al-Yamānī slain to which al-Ashtar claimed, "Innā lillāhi wa innā ilayhi rāji'ūn, Ḥabis al-Yamānī is with them, O Amīr al-Mu'minīn, he has the sign of Mu'āwiyah. By Allah, I always assumed him to be a believer!" to which 'Alī replied, "and now he is still a believer. Ḥābis was from the people of Yemen, people of piety and exertion in worship."²

Muḥammad ibn Naṣr narrates with his chain of transmission from 'Ammār ibn Yāsir that man said that the people of al-Shām had committed disbelief and 'Ammār responded: "Do not say that; our Qiblah is one, our Prophet is one. However, they are a people who have been affected by fitnah and it is our duty to fight them to bring them upon the right."

¹ Ibid

² Ibid

³ Ibid

He also narrates with his chain of transmission to Muḥammad al-Bāqir who confirmed that they were indeed believers. 1

All these narrations prove that 'Alī did not consider those he fought to be apostates or even hypocrites. His view was shared by both 'Ammār ibn Yāsir and Muḥmmad al-Bāqir. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn considers the statements of the Imām's equivalent to the Qur'ān, so these narrations are unequivocal evidence that those whom 'Alī dogs fought were believers. How could this apply to the verse which speaks about fighting the apostates?

Among the four rightly-guided Khulafā we know that the major portion of the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr was spent fighting the tribes that renegaded and become apostate. What is stranger still, is that al-Thaʿlabī is on record citing ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib was, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, and Qatādah who all understood this verse to mean Abū Bakr was,² and it is in this context that the narration refered to as the Ḥadīth of the Pond was mentioned.³Not only is ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's argument debunked, but it is from the very sources which he has cited!

Consider the profoundness in Qatādah's explanation:

Allah revealed this verse knowing that many people would apostasize. When the Prophet departed from this world, many Arab tribes became apostate... Abū Bakr was asked to show leniency on this matter but he did not relent.⁴

If one considers the greater plan, the next verse has a subtle connection with those who refrained from discharging their Zak $\bar{a}h$.

¹ Ibid

² Al-Kashf wal-Bayān vol.11 pg. 383

³ Al-Kashf wal-Bayān vol.11 pg. 387

⁴ Paraphrased from Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol. 8 pg. 521

Your Wal \bar{l} is none but Allah and [therefore] His Messenger and those who have believed - those who establish prayer and give zakah, and they bow [in submission]¹

The mention of Rukūʿ is symbolic of submission and surrendering oneself to Allah. This is a subtle reference to those who, after the Prophet departed from this world were characterised by these features. Those who prayed, and discharged their Zakāh willingly. In Qatādah's narration above, he also points out that only three regions continued to discharge their Zakāh after the Prophet's passing; Makkah, Madīnah and Baḥrayn [the tribe of 'Abd al-Qays]. Many of the other beduoin tribes continued to pray but refused to discharge Zakāh. This faction was also dealt with by Abū Bakr and he was victorious over them as well.

Abū Hurayrah وَعَوْلِتُهُمَّةُ reported:

عن أبي هريرة رضي الله عنه، قال: لما توفي رسول الله، وكان أبو بكر، رضي الله عنه، وكفر من كفر من العرب، فقال عمر رضي الله عنه، وكفر من كفر من العرب، فقال عمر رضي الله عليه وسلم: "أمرت أن أقاتل الناس حتى يقولوا لا إله إلا الله، فمن قالها، فقد عصم مني ماله ونفسه إلا بحقه وحسابه على الله"؟! فقال أبو بكر: والله لأقاتلن من فرق بين الصلاة والزكاة، فإن الزكاة حق المال. والله لو منعوني عقال كانوا يؤدونه إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم، لقاتلتهم على منعه، قال: عمر رضي الله عنه: فوالله ما هو إلا أن رأيت الله قد شرح صدر أبي بكر للقتال، فعرفت أنه الحق

When the Messenger of Allah passed away, Abū Bakr was appointed as his successor. Amongst the Arabs some men apostatized. Abū Bakr resolved to fight them.

'Umar ibn Al-Khaṭṭāb ﷺ said to Abū Bakr, "How can you fight them when the Messenger of Allah has declared, 'I have been commanded to fight people till they testify Lā ilāha illā Allah (there is no true god except

¹ Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 55

Allah); and if they do it, their blood (life) and property are secured except when justified by law, and it is for Allah to call them to account.'?"

Upon this Abū Bakr said, "By Allah, I would definitely fight him who makes distinction between Salāh and Zakāh because it is an obligation upon the rich to pay Zakāh. By Allah, I will fight them even to secure the piece of rope which they used to give to the Messenger of Allah "!"

'Umar said, "I realized that Allah opened the heart of Abū Bakr for fighting those who refused to pay Zakāh, and I fully recognized that to be correct."

While we have not exhausted all the possible responses, what has been mentioned thus far ought to suffice.

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-I'tiṣām bil-Kitāb wal-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 7285; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Hadīth no:20

Letter 45

6 Muharram, 1330

I. Resorting to Interpretation Following in the Footsteps of the Predecessors is Unavoidable

Had it not been for the caliphate of the Righteous Caliphs, which is correct beyond any doubt, we would not have had any choice other than accepting your view and interpreting this verse and others according to your own judgement, but to cast doubts about the soundness of their caliphate, may Allah be pleased with them, is out of the question. Resorting to interpretation, then, is unavoidable, since we have believed in them as well as in those who swore the oath of allegiance to them, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 46

Muharram 6, 1330

I. Believing in the Ancestors does not Require Interpretation

II. Interpretation is Impossible

The three righteous caliphs, may Allah be pleased with them, are, indeed, the subject of the study and debate; to use such caliphate, however, to rebut our arguments is totally rejected.

- 1. To believe in those caliphs, as well as in those who swore allegiance to them, does not require interpreting the arguments. In justifying their caliphate, you yourselves resort to interpretation, as we will clarify if necessary.
- 2. Interpreting the texts which we have stated to you is impossible; so is the case with what we have not stated yet, such as the Ghadir's hadith and that of the Will, particularly when backed by irrefutable traditions which support one another, the latter being sufficient by themselves to require reference to manifest texts. Whoever acquaints himself with the latter will find them irrefutable testimonials and unequivocable verdicts, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

Sh

Discussions

The premise of the argument attributed to the Shaykh al-Azhar is problematic. It proceeds under the notion that all the evidence furnished by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is reliable: whereas much of it has no academic value.

The evidence for 'Alī's pre-eminence can be divided into two categories; evidence that is explicit in declaring 'Alī's immediate succession, and evidence that mentions his virtues but is silent on his immediate succession. The former was always found wanting in terms of reliability, whereas the latter is often times found to be fairly reliable.

The classical position of the Ahl al-Sunnah wa l-Jamāʿah is that the choice of leadership is left to the *Ummah*, but prior to the Prophet's passing he gave strong hints on his preferred candidate. Similarly, he predicted that Khilāfah on the Prophetic model would last thirty years.

Safīnah relates that the Messenger of Allah said, "The Khilāfah will remain in my Ummah for thirty years, then it will become a monarchy after that." Safīnah said, "Count. Abū Bakr's Khilāfah was 2 years, 'Umar's was 10 years, 'Uthmān's was 12 years, and 'Alī's was 6 years." ¹

Similārly, Abū Wa'il, Shaqīq ibn Salamah relates

It was said to ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib , "Will you not appoint a successor for us?"

He responded, "The Messenger of Allah wishes good for the people he will unite them behind the best of them, just as he united the Ummah after the Prophet's passing behind the best of them."²

¹ Musnad Aḥmad vol. 36 pg. 248 Ḥadīth no: 21919; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 2226; Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 4646

² Dalā'il al-Nubuwwah vol. 7 pg. 223

The problem is when the ambiguous narrations about 'Alī are given a spin it becomes necessary to point out their correct interpretation. Worse still, all the narrations which mention the virtues of the other companions, especially $Ab\bar{u}$ Bakr and 'Umar are ignored and treated as if they don't exist.

Thus the subject of debate is not the Khilāfah of the three who preceded 'Alī 'Liusa'. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's promise of irrefutable proof remain as bold as all his previous claims. That is all they are; claims.

Letter 47

Muharram 7, 1330 A.H.

I. Requesting testimonial traditions

1. I wish you had stated those traditions supporting such texts and thereby complemented your research, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 48

Muharram 8, 1330

I. Forty Ahadith supporting the texts

Consider forty such supporting ahadith:

- 1. Consider the statement of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, while holding 'Ali's neck, "This is the Imam of the righteous, the slayer of the debauchees; victorious is whoever supports him, forsaken (by Allah) is whoever abandons him." He raised his voice while saying the last phrase. This is included by al-Hakim as narrated by Jabir on page 129, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*,1 where the author comments saying: "This is one hadith the authenticity of which is attested to by its own chain of narrators, though both authors (of sahih books) did not record it."
- 2. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "It has been revealed to me that 'Ali has three exclusive merits: that he is the chief of the Muslims, the Imam of the righteous, and the leader of those whose foreheads radiate with the mark of faith."
 - It is included by al-Hakim at the beginning of page 138, Vol. 3, of his Mustadrak2 where the author comments: "This is one hadith the accuracy of which is attested to by its own chain of narrators, though both authors (of the sahih books) did not record it."
- 3. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "It has been revealed to me that 'Ali is the chief of the Muslims, the wali of the pious, and the leader of those whose foreheads radiate with the mark of faith." It is recorded by Ibn al-Najjar]3 and many other authors of books of traditions.

- 4. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, to 'Ali: "Welcome, chief of the Muslims, Imam of the pious!" It is included by Abu Na'im in Hilyat al-Awliya'.4
- 5. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "The first to enter through this door is the Imam of the pious, the chief of Muslims, the head of the religion, the seal of the wasis, and the leader of those whose foreheads radiate with the mark of faith," whereupon 'Ali entered and he, peace be upon him and his progeny, stood up happily excited, hugged him and wiped his sweat saying: "You shall fulfill my covenant, convey my message, and after me clarify whatever seems to be ambiguous."5
- 6. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Allah has promised me that 'Ali is the standard of guidance, the Imam of whoever accepts my wilayat, the light for whoever obeys me, and the word which I have mandanted unto the pious." 6
 - As you see, these six ahadith contain obvious texts regarding his imamate and the obligation to obey him, peace be upon him.
- 7. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, pointing to 'Ali, "This is the first to have believed in me, the first to shake hands with me on the Day of Resurrection; he is the foremost friend, and he is the faruq of this nation who distinguishes between right and wrong; he is the chief of the believers."
- 8. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O you group of the Ansars! Shall I lead you to that which, as long as you adhere to it, you shall never go astray? It is 'Ali; love him as you love me, and respect him as you respect me, for Gabriel has commanded me to say so to you on behalf of Allah, the Almighty, the Omniscient."8
- 9. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "I am the city of knowledge, and 'Ali is its gate; whoever aspires to attain knowledge, let him approach through the gate."9

- 10. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "I am the house of wisdom and 'Ali is its gate." 10
- 11. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Ali is the gateway of my knowledge, the one who is to explain to my nation after me what I have been sent with; loving him is a mark of genuine faith, and hating him is hypocrisy."11
- 12. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, to 'Ali: "You shall clarify to my nation all matters wherein they differ." This is recorded by al-Hakim on page 122, Vol. 3, of his Mustadrak12 as reported by Anas. The author then comments: "This is an authentic hadith according to the endorsement of both Shaykhs [Bukhari and Muslim], although they did not quote it themselves."

In fact, whoever scrutinizes this hadith and others similar to it will come to know that 'Ali's status with relevance to the Messenger of Allah is similar to that of the Messenger of Allah to the Almighty Himself, for Allah says to His Messenger: "We have sent you Our revelations only so that you may clarify for them all the matters in which they dispute, and as guidance and mercy unto those who believe;" while in this hadith the Messenger of Allah Allah Learlify to my nation all matters wherein they differ after me."

- 13. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, as recorded by Ibn al-Sammak from Abu Bakr, "'Ali's status to me is similar unto that of mine to my Lord."13
- 14. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, as recorded by al-Dar Qutni in Al-Afrad where the author quotes Ibn 'Abbas citing the Prophet saying: "'Ali ibn Abu Talib is (like) the gate of salvation to the Israelites; whoever enters through it becomes a true believer [mu'min], and whoever gets out of it becomes infidel."14

15. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, on the day of 'Arafat during Hijjatul Wada' [the farewell pilgrimage]: "'Ali is of me, and I am of 'Ali, and nobody pays my debts other than I or 'Ali."15

"It is the statement of a glorious Messenger empowered by the One Who manifests the Throne, Able, Obeyed: how trustworthy He is! Nay! Your fellow is not possessed at all." (Qur'an, 81:19-22)

"He does not speak out of his own personal inclination; it is but a revealed inspiration." (Qur'an, 53:3-4)

So, whither are you going? And what shall you say about these clear arguments and explicit texts?

If you carefully scrutinize this much, examine the wisdom behind making such an announcement during the supreme pilgrimage in front of the witnesses, truth will then appear to you most manifestly. And if you examine his words how few, and their meaning how encompassing, you will then have a great reverence for him, for he has learned a great deal and digested and researched what he has learned.

None other than 'Ali remains to be worthy of discharging any responsibility. No wonder, then, that he, and only he, executes the Prophet's own will, taking his own position of leadership as vicegerent and vizier; praise be to Allah Who has guided us to all this, for without Allah's guidance, we would not have been thus guided.

16. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Whoever obeys me obeys Allah, and whoever disobeys me disobeys Him; and whoever obeys 'Ali obeys me, too; and whoever disobeys 'Ali also disobeys me." This is recorded by al-Hakim on page 121, Vol. 3, of his Mustadrak, and by al-Thahbi in his Talkhis. Both authors have relied on the authority of both Shaykhs to endorse this hadith.

- 17. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O 'Alil Whoever abandons me abandons Allah; and whoever abandons you abandons me, too." This is recorded by al-Hakim on page 124, Vol. 3, of his Sahih, where he comments saying: "This hadith is authentic through isnad, though the Shaykhs did not record it."
- 18. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, as quoted by Umm Salamah, "Whoever denounces 'Ali denounces me, too," which is recorded by al-Hakim at the beginning of page 121, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak* as ascertained by both Shaykhs, and it is narrated by al-Thahbi in his Talkhis where the author testifies to its authenticity.

It is recorded by Ahmad among the ahadith narrated by Umm Salamah on page 323, Vol. 6, of his Musnad, and by al-Nisa'i on page 17 of Al-Khasa'is al-Alawiyya, in addition to many other traditionists. So is the statement of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, as included among the ahadith narrated by 'Amr ibn Shash thus: "Whoever harms 'Ali harms me, too."16

- 19. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Whoever loves 'Ali loves me, too; and whoever despises 'Ali despises me, too." This hadith is recorded by al-Hakim who describes it as authentic on page 130, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*, and it is narrated by al-Thahbi in his Talkhis where he admits reference to its authenticity for the same reason. Such is the case of 'Ali's statement:17 "I swear by the One Who has cleft the seed [so that a plant may grow therefrom] and created the breeze from nothing, the Ummi Prophet has promised me that nobody loves me except a true believer (mu'min), and nobody hates me except a hypocrite."1
- 20. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O 'Ali! You are a leader in this life and the life hereafter; whoever loves you loves me, too, and whoever loves me is loved by Allah; your foe is my foe, and my foe is Allah's foe; woe unto whoever despises you after me." 19 This is recorded

- by al-Hakim at the beginning of page 128, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*, and its authenticity is ascertained by both Shaykhs.20
- 21. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O 'Ali! Glad tidings to whoever loves and believes in you, and woe unto whoever hates you and tells lies about you." This is recorded by al-Hakim on page 135, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak*, where he comments saying: "This hadith is authentic by way of its being consecutively reported (through isnad, consecutive reporting). Neither shaykh records it."
- 22. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Whoever wishes to live the way that I have lived and die the way that I shall die and reside in the Eternal Garden, which is promised to me by my Lord, let him accept 'Ali as his/her wali, for surely he never gets you out of guidance, nor will he ever hurl you into misguidance."
- 23. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "I enjoin whoever believes and trusts in me to be mindful of the wilayat of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, for whoever accepts him as the wali accepts me as such, and whoever accepts me as the wali has indeed accepted Allah as such; and whoever loves him loves me, and whoever loves me loves Allah; and whoever hates him hates me, too, and whoever hates me hates Allah, the Almighty, the Omniscient."
- 24. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Whoever is pleased to live my life and die my death, and then reside in the Garden of Eden, planted for me by my Lord, then let him take 'Ali as the wali after me, and let him accept the authority of whoever 'Ali places in charge, and let him follow the examples of my progeny after me, for they are my offspring: they are created out of my own mould and blessed with my understanding and knowledge; therefore, woe unto those who deny their favours from among my nation, who cut their ties with them; may Allah never grant them my intercession."

- 25. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Whoever loves to live my life and die my death and enter the Garden my Lord has promised me, the Garden of Eternity, then let him take 'Ali and his descendants after him as his walis, for they shall never take you out of guidance, nor shall they ever drag you into misguidance."21
- 26. At the beginning of page 156, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, al-Daylami quotes Ammar citing the Messenger of Allah telling 'Ammar the following: "O 'Ammar! If you see 'Ali walking on one path while other people walk on another, walk with 'Ali and leave the people, for he shall never lead you to destruction, nor shall he ever take you out of right guidance."
- 27. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, according to one hadith narrated by Abu Bakr, "My hand and 'Ali's are equal when it comes to justice." This is hadith 2539 recorded on page 153, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 28. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O Fatima! Are you not pleased that Allah, the Unique, the Sublime, has looked unto the inhabitants of the earth and chose from among them two men: one of them is your father and the other is your husband?"22
- 29. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "I am the Warner, and 'Ali is the Guide; through you, O 'Ali, shall guidance be attained after me." This is recorded by al-Daylami who quotes Ibn 'Abbas, and it is hadith 2631 on page 157, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 30. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O 'Ali! Nobody is permitted to remain in the state of janaba other than I and you."23 Likewise is the hadith recorded by al-Tabrani as quoted by Ibn Hajar in his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa as narrated by Umm Salamah, al-Bazzar, and Sa'd; so, refer to hadith 13 of Al-Arba'in al-Nawawiyya which he quotes in Chapter 9. The latter quotes the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him

- and his progeny, saying: "Nobody is permitted to be in the state of janaba in this mosque except I and 'Ali."
- 31. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "I and this (meaning 'Ali) are the Proofs unto my nation on the Day of Judgement." This is recorded by al-Khatib as narrated by Anas. How could the father of al-Hassan فالمنافذة be Proof just like the Prophet منافذة was, had he not been his vicegerent and successor?
- 32. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "It is written on the gate of Paradise: 'There is no god but Allah, Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah, 'Ali is the Brother of the Messenger of Allah.'"24
- 33. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "It is written on the Throne's leg: 'There is no god but Allah, Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah, I (God) have supported him (Muhammad) through 'Ali, and I have aided him through 'Ali."
- 34. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Whoever wishes to discern Noah's determination, Adam's knowledge, Ibrahim's clemency, Moses' discretion, Christ's asceticism, then let him look unto 'Ali." This is recorded by al-Bayhaqi in his Sahih and by Imam Ahmad ibn Hanhal in his Musnad.25
- 35. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O 'Ali! There is a resemblance in you to Jesus who was hated by the Jews to the extent that the latter even cast doubts about his mother's honour, and loved by the Christians to the extent that they attributed to him a status which is not his."
- 36. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "The foremost (among believers) are three: Joshua son of Nun [of the tribe of Ephraim tr.] who was the foremost to believe in Moses, the believer implied in

- 37. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "The foremost in testifying (to the Prophets' truth) are three: Habib al-Najjar, the believer implied in Surat Yasin, who said: 'O my people! Follow the Messengers (of God);' Izekiel [whose name means "Strength of God" tr.], the believer from the family of Pharaoh, who said: 'Do you intend to kill a man just for saying that his Lord is Allah?,' and 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, who is superior to all of them.'27
- 38. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, to 'Ali: "The nation will turn treacherous to you; you shall live adhering to my faith and will be murdered for safeguarding it; whoever loves you loves me, too, and whoever hates you hates me, too, and this ('Ali's beard) will be drenched with blood from this ('Ali's head)."28 'Ali himself has said: "One of the Prophet's predictions is that the nation will be treacherous to me after his demise."

Ibn Abbas has quoted the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, telling 'Ali, "You will certainly encounter a great deal of hardship after me;" 29 'Ali inquired: "Shall I be able to keep my faith intact?" and the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, answered him in the affirmative.

39. Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Among you is one who will fight for its (Qur'an's) interpretation just as I fought for its revelation." The audience was very excited. Among them were Abu Bakr and 'Umar. Abu Bakr asked: "Am I the one?" and the Prophet's answer was negative. 'Umar inquired: "Is it I?" and the Prophet answered: "No; but it is the one who is mending the shoes," meaning thereby 'Ali; therefore, we visited 'Ali to convey the good news to him, but he did not

even raise his head, as if he had already heard it from the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny."30

Similar narrative is the hadith narrated by Abu Ayyub al-Ansari during 'Umar's caliphate. According to al-Hakim, who relies on two references which he indicates on page 139 and the page that follows it, Vol. 3, of his Mustadrak, 'Umar has said that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, ordered those who reneged from their faith, and who dissented, to be fought. Ibn 'Asakir, as indicated in hadith 2588 on page 155, Vol. 6 of Kanz al-'Ummal, states that 'Ammar ibn Yasir has said that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said, "O 'Ali!

The oppressive gang will fight you; but you are on the right track; whoever refrains from supporting you is not of me." Abu Tharr al-Ghifari, as al-Daylami is quoted at the close of page 155, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, has quoted the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "I swear by the One in whose hands my life is placed that among you is a man who shall fight after me for the interpretation of the Qur'an just as I fought the polytheists for its revelation."

Muhammad ibn 'Ubaydullah ibn Abu Rafi', as indicated by al-Tabrani in his Mujma' al-Kabir and indicated on page 155, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, has quoted his father and grandfather Abu Rafi' saying that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has addressed him thus: "O Abu Rafi'! A group of people shall fight 'Ali after me; Allah has made mandated that they should be fought. Whoever is unable to fight them with his hands, let him fight them with his tongue; if he still is unable to do so, then by his heart." Al-Akhdar al-Ansari31 has quoted the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "I fight for the revelation of the Qur'an, while 'Ali fights for its interpretation."

40. He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "O 'Ali! I am superior to you due to my being a Prophet, while you are superior to all other

people due to seven merits: You are the foremost among them to believe in Allah, the most just in fulfilling Allah's Promise, the most obedient to the Commandments of Allah, the most equitable, the most fair in dealing with the public, the most far-sighted in all issues, and the one who enjoys the highest status in the sight of Allah."

Abu Sa'id al-Khudri quotes the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "O 'Ali! You possess seven qualities about which nobody can dispute with you: You are the first to truly believe in Allah, the most just in fulfilling Allah's Promise, the most obedient to Allah's Commandments, the most compassionate to the public, the most informed of all issues, and the highest among them in status."32

There is no room here to quote all such traditions which, as a whole, support one another and are all indicative of one meaning, and that is: 'Ali is second only to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, in faring with this nation, and that he is next only to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, in leading it. These traditions convey such a meaning, even if their texts are not consecutively reported, and this much should suffice as an irrefutable proof, Wassalam.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes

- 1. This is hadith number 2527 of the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 153, Vol. 6, and it is quoted by al-Tha'labi from Abu Tharr when the author attempts to interpret the verse of wilayat in his book Al-Tafsir al-Kabir.
- 2. It is also quoted by al-Barudi, Ibn Qani', Abu Na'im, and al-Bazzar. It is hadith 2628 of the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 157, Vol. 6.

- 3. It is hadith 2630 of the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 157, Vol. 6.
- 4. It is news item number 11 of the ones Ibn Abul Hadid states on page 450, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah, and it is hadith number 2627 of the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 157, Vol. 6.
- 5. This is quoted by Abu Na'im in his Hilyat al-Awliya' from Anas and transmitted in detail by Ibn Abul Hadid on page 450, Vol. 2, of his Sharh Nahjul Balaghah; so, refer to news item 9 on that page.
- 6. This is quoted by Abu Na'im in his Hilyat al-Awliya' from one hadith narrated by Abu Barzah al-Aslami and Anas ibn Malik, and it is transmitted by the Mu'tazilite scholar on page 449, Vol. 2, of his Sharh Nahjul Balaghah; so, refer to the third news item on that page.
- 7. This is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Kabir from the ahadith narrated by Salman and Abu Tharr. It is quoted by al-Bayhaqi in his Sunan, and by Ibn 'Uday in his Al-Kamil; it also is hadith number 2608 of the ones included in Kanz al-'Ummal, Vol. 6, page 156.
- 8. This is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Kabir, and it is hadith number 2625 of the ones included in Kanz al-'Ummal, Vol. 6, page 157, and the tenth on page 450, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by Ibn Abul Hadid; so, look and see how he has made their right guidance conditional upon upholding 'Ali; thus, those who do not do so would certainly stray. See how he has commanded them to love him just as they love the Prophet 'This is so only because of his being his successor, the one to take charge after him. If you consider the verse "Gabriel has commanded me to tell you so," then truth becomes manifest to you.
- 9. This is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Kabir from Ibn 'Abbas as stated on page 107 of Al-Jami' al-Saghir by Sayyuti. It is also quoted by al-Hakim in

Manaqib 'Ali, page 226, Vol. 3 of his authentic Mustadrak from two sources: one of them is Ibn 'Abbas from yet two authentic sources, and the other from Jabir ibn 'Abdullah al-Ansari. He has brought forth irrefutable proofs for its authenticity. Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal ibn al-Siddiq al-Magharibi, of Cairo, has dedicated an entire book only to prove the authenticity of this hadith, and he has crammed it with information and titled it Fath al-Malak al-'Ali Bisihhati Hadith Babul 'Ilm 'Ali, printed in Egypt at the Islamic Press.

It is worthy of the attention of researchers, for it contains invaluable information. Views of the Nasibis and their likes are worthless vis-a-vis this hadith that is as commonly used as a popular proverb by both the elite and the common residents of the urban districts and the countryside. We have even considered their criticism, and we have found it to be sheer submission to sentiment, lacking in proof, full of extreme fanaticism, as declared by al-Hafiz Salahud-Din al-'Ala'i when he quoted the false allegation of al-Thahbi and others who charge that it is incorrect. He comments saying: "These have not produced any proof for their claim except its being a fabrication so that it may not indict them."

- 10. This is quoted by al-Tirmithi in his Sahih, in addition to Ibn Jarir, and from them it is quoted by several authorities such as al-Muttaqi al-Hindi on page 401, Vol. 6, of his Kanz al-'Ummal, where he quotes Ibn Jarir saying: "This is a tradition of whose authenticity we are quite sure." It is also quoted from al-Tirmithi by Jalalud-Din al-Sayyuti while discussing the "hamza" in language in his Jami' al-Jawami' and Al-Jami' al-Saghir; so, refer to page 170, Vol. 1, of Al-Jami' al-Saghir.
- 11. This is quoted by al-Daylami from Abu Tharr's hadith as stated on page 156, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal
- 12. Ibid.

- 13. This is quoted by Ibn Hajar in the fifth maqsad of the maqasid of chapter 14 of the ones discussed in Chapter 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; so, refer to page 106 of the same.
- 14. This hadith is number 2528 among the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 153, Vol. 6.
- 15. This is quoted by Ibn Majah in his chapter on the virtues of the Prophet's companions on page 92, Vol. 1, of his Sunan, by al-Tirmithi and al-Nisa'i in their respective sahihs, and it is hadith number 2531 among the ones cited in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 153, Vol. 6. It is also quoted by Imam Ahmad on page 164, Vol. 4, of his Musnad from hadith narrated from various authentic sources by Janadah.

Suffices you the fact that it is quoted from a chain of narrators which includes: Yahya ibn Adam, Isra'il ibn Younus and his grandfather Abu Ishaq al-Subay'i who quotes Habashi. All of these men are authorities relied upon by both Shaykhs in their respective sahihs. Whoever studies this hadith in Ahmad's Musnad will come to know that it was said during the Farewell Pilgrimage which shortly preceded the departure of the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, from this vanishing world. Prior to that, he, peace be upon him and his progeny, had sent Abu Bakr to recite ten verses of Surat Bara'a to the residents of Mecca, then he, according to Imam Ahmad on page 151, Vol. 1, of his Musnad, said to him: "Go see Abu Bakr before he discharges his mission, and as soon as you meet him, take the message from him, then carry it yourself to the people of Mecca and read it to them."

'Ali met Abu Bakr at the Juhfa and took the tablets from him. Abu Bakr went back to the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, and asked him: "O Messenger of Allah! Have you received any message from Allah against me?" He answered: "No, but Gabriel has come to me and told me that nobody conveys Allah's Message except I or a man of my own family."

Another narration, recorded by Ahmad on page 510, Vol. 1, of his Musnad from 'Ali محتان , says that when the Prophet dispatched him with Surat Bara'a, he said to him: "Either I should carry it, or you." 'Ali said: "If it cannot be avoided at all, then I will go." He محتان said: "Then proceed, for Allah will make your tongue firm, and He will guide your heart."

- 16. You have come to know by now the hadith narrated by 'Amr ibn Shash with our commentary in Letter 36.
- 17. As quoted by Muslim in his chapter on iman, page 46, Vol. 1, of his Sahih. Ibn 'Abd al-Birr explains its gist while narrating 'Ali's biography in the Isti'ab from a group of companions. Buraydah's hadith has been quoted in Letter No. 36 above. His hadith, peace be upon him and his progeny, "O Allah! Befriend whoever befriends 'Ali, and be the enemy of whoever sets himself as the enemy of 'Ali" is consecutively reported (mutawatir), as admitted by the author of Al-Fatawa al-Hamidiyya in his treatise titled "Al-Salat al-Fakhira fil Ahadith al-Mutawatira]."
- 18. Narrated, through al-Azhar, by 'Abdul-Razzaq, Mu'ammar, al-Zuhri, 'Ubaydullah, and Ibn 'Abbas, each from the other, and all are reliable authorities. For this reason, al-Hakim, having labelled the hadith as "sahih" because of its endorsement by both Shaykhs, says: "Abul-Azhar, according to their consensus view, is trustworthy, and if authorities unanimously agree on the authenticity of one hadith, then it has to be held authentic," then he continues to say: "I have heard Abu 'Abdullah al-Qarashi saying that he heard Ahmad ibn Yahya al-Halwani saying: 'When Abul-Azhar came from San'a and started narrating this hadith to the people in Baghdad, Yahya ibn Ma'in rejected it. When he opened his place to the public, as usual, he inquired about the Nisaburi writer who quotes 'Abdul-Razzaq stating such ahadith, Abul-Azhar stood up and said that it was he. Yahya ibn Ma'in laughed at his statement, stood up, and brought him to sit closer to him and inquired of him about how I personally came

to be the only one who heard such hadith from 'Abdul-Razzaq. I told him that I had just come from San'a, and when I bade him farewell, he told me that he owed me a unique hadith which nobody else had ever heard, and by Allah it was this hadith verbatim. Yahya ibn Ma'in then believed him and apologized to him.""

- 19. We have quoted this hadith in Letter No. 10 above.
- 20. We have quoted this hadith, too, in Letter No. 10; so, refer to our commentary about it and about the one that precedes it.
- 21. Refer to our comment on this hadith and the one that precedes it in our Letter No. 10.
- 22. This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 129, Vol. 3, of his authentic *Al-Mustadrak*, and it is narrated by quite a few authors of books and traditions, all testifying to its authenticity.
- 23. Refer to our comment on this hadith in Letter No. 34, and also scrutinize the books of traditions to which we have referred.
- 24. This is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Awsat, and by al-Khatib in his Al-Muttafaq wal-Muftaraq, as stated at the beginning of page 159, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. We have quoted it in Letter No. 34 and commented on it in a way which hopefully benefits the researcher.
- 25. This is transmitted from both of them by Abul-Hadid in the fourth news item of his news to which he has referred on page 449, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah. It is also quoted by Imam al-Razi while discussing the meaning of the verse of Mubahala in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, p. 288, Vol. 2, taking for granted the authenticity of this hadith according to the views of those who act upon it as well as those who do not. This hadith is also quoted by Ibn Battah from Ibn 'Abbas's hadith, as stated on page 34 of

Fath al-Malik al-'Ali Bisihhati Babil 'Ilm 'Ali by Imam Ahmad ibn al-Sadiq al-Hasani al-Magharibi of Cairo. Among those who have admitted that 'Ali is the one who is acquainted with the secrets of all prophets combined is the Shaykh of all men of knowledge, namely Muhiyud-Din ibn al-'Arabi, as quoted by the learned al-Sha'rani in Section 32 of his book Al-Yawaqit wal-Jawahir, page 172.

- 26. This is quoted by al-Tabrani and Ibn Mardawayh who rely on the authority of Ibn 'Abbas. It is also quoted by al-Daylami from 'Ayesha, and it is one of the lengthy traditions.
- 27. This is quoted by Abu Na'im and Ibn 'Asakir from Abu Layla, and quoted also by al-Najjar from Ibn 'Abbas; so, refer to ahadith 30 and 31 of the forty ahadith cited by Ibn Hajar in Part Two, Section 9, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, at the conclusion of page 74 and the page following it.
- 28. This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 122, Vol. 3, of his *Al-Mustadrak* where the author admits its authenticity. Al-Thahbi quotes it in his own Talkhis, admitting its authenticity.
- 29. This hadith and the one succeeding it, i.e. Ibn 'Abbas's hadith, are quoted by al-Hakim on page 140, Vol. 3, of his Mustadrak, and al-Thahbi quotes him in his Talkhis *al-Mustadrak*. Both authors admit the authenticity of this hadith due to its endorsement by both Shaykhs.
- 30. This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 122, Vol. 3, of *Al-Mustadrak*, saying that it is an authentic hadith according to its endorsement by both Shaykhs who have not included it in their books. Al-Thahbi has admitted its authenticity for the same reason when he quoted it in his Talkhis *al-Mustadrak*. Imam Ahmad has produced it from Abu Sa'id on pages 82 and 33, Vol. 3, of his Musnad, and al-Bayhaqi has quoted it in Shu'ab al-Iman. Imam Ahmad has included Abu Sa'id's hadith on pages 82 and 33, Vol. 3, of his Musnad, and al-Bayhaqi quotes it in his Shu'ab al-Iman, Sa'id ibn Mansur in his Sunan,

- Abu Na'im in his Hilyat al-Awliya', and Abu Ya'li in his Sunan numbering it 2585, page 155, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 31. His name is Ibn Abul-Akhdar. Ibn al-Sakan mentions him and quotes this hadith in his regard from al-Harith ibn Hasirah from Jabir al-Ju'fi from Imam al-Baqir from his father Zaynul-'Abidin, peace be upon them, from al-Akhdar from the Prophet . Ibn al-Sakan says: "He is not quite famous among the Prophet's companions, and his traditions ought to be verified." This is quoted by al-Asqalani in his biography of al-Akhdar in Al-Isabah. Al-Dar Qutni has produced this hadith in his Ifrad, saying: "This hadith is narrated only by Jabir al-Ju'fi, who is a Rafizi."
- 32. Abu Na'im has quoted it among the traditions reported by Ma'ath, as well as the hadith succeeding it, that is, that of Abu Sa'id, in his Hilyat al-Awliya', and they are on page 156, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.

Discussions

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has brought forty narrations which he claims proves the Imāmah of 'Alī While many of these narrations are forgeries or extremely unreliable, there are some narrations which are in fact sound. We will point out the weakness in the flawed narrations and provide an explanation for the sound narrations as these are vague and ambiguous.

1. The narration attributed to Jabir 455

This narration appears in *al-Mustadrak* by way of **Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Yazīd** — ʿAbd al-Razzāq — al-Thawrī — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUthmān ibn Khuthaym — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Bahmān — Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allāh ¹

It is interesting that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quoted the exact page number for this narration. He usually quotes al-Dhahabī as well. This time he chose not to do so, and for a good reason. Al-Dhahabī says about this narration, "By Allah, it is a forgery! Aḥmad (ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Yazīd) is a confounded liar!" 'Abd al-Ḥusayn knew full well that the scholars of Ḥadīth consider this narration a forgery yet he quotes it as if it were reliable.

This narration has also been narrated by al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī with the same chain, from Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Yazīd.³ Immediately after narrating it he says, no one narrates it from ʿAbd al-Razzāq besides this Aḥmad; and it is the most objectionable of all his narrations He then cites his chain to Ibn ʿAdī who said that Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Yazīd used to fabricate narrations in Sāmarra. He goes on to cite his chain to al-Dāraquṭnī who says that he, Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Yazīd, used to graft narrations using the chain from ʿAbd al-Razzāq.⁴

¹ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3. Pg. 129

² Ibid

³ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 5 pg. 358

⁴ Ibid

This is clearly one of his forgeries.

Furthermore, the narration in the footnote, from Abū Dharr wife, is none other than the narration about 'Alī wife giving his ring in charity while praying. The details of it have already been dealt with under the discussion on Letter 40.

2. The narration attributed to As'ad ibn Zurārah

This narration is known by the following chain:

'Amr ibn al-Ḥuṣayn — Yaḥyā ibn al-ʿAlā al-Rāzī — Hilāl ibn Abī Ḥumayd — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Asʿad ibn Zurārah — his father, Asʿad ibn Zurārah¹

Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī narrates it with numerous variations of this chain, each one interrupted, and some which place a narrator between Hilāl ibn Ḥumayd and ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saʿd.² Further still, the name given to ʿAbd Allāh ibn Asʿad is altered in some versions. These irreconcilable variations not only creates confusion about the identity of ʿAbd Allāh ibn Asʿad ibn Zurārah, but shows the chain to be questionable.

After discussing the identity of 'Abd Allāh ibn As'ad ibn Zurārah, Ibn Ḥajar says, "Most of the narrators appearing in this chain are weak, and the text is erroneous."

'Abd al-Ḥusayn conveniently omitted to mention that this narration has been declared a forgery by three major Ḥadīth experts. The tragedy is that it is mentioned in *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*, the source from where 'Abd al-Ḥusayn found this narration. 'Alī al-Muttaqī has quoted Ibn 'Imād, al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar, all declaring this narration baseless.4

¹ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg.138, Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42. Pg 303

² Al-Mūdih vol. 1. Pgs 189- 191

³ Al-Iṣābah vol. 4 pg. 6

⁴ Kanz al-'Ummāl vol. 5 pg. 157 (old edition)

As a matter of fact, al-Dhahabī, states in his abridgement of al-Mustadrak, that this narration is a forgery, and that 'Amr ibn al-Ḥuṣayn and his teacher Yaḥyā ibn al-ʿAlā are suspected of forgery.'

Abū Ḥātim, Abū Zurʿah and al-Dāraquṭnī all agree that ʿ**Amr ibn al-Ḥuṣayn** is severely impugned. Ibn ʿAdī states that he is known for attributing baseless narrations to reliable narrators.²

Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī and Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn both state that **Yaḥyā ibn al-ʿAlā** is weak; whereas al-Dāraquṭnī and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal suggest that he might have forged Ḥadīth.³

3. The narration attributed to As ad ibn Zurārah in the addendum to Tārīkh Baghdād by Ibn al-Najjār.

This is the exact narration appearing in no. 2 above. He merely tried to decieve the readers by adding another reference. In any case, it suffers with the same problem of interruption, and the anonymity of 'Abd Allāh ibn As'ad ibn Zurārah. Ibn Sa'd says that As'ad ibn Zurārah left no male offspring, his brother Sa'd ibn Zurārah left behind male off-spring.⁴ Ibn Taymiyyah has pointed out that the text describes 'Alī in a way that is only appropriate for the Prophet 'Jimis'; this is further evidence of the problematic nature of this narration.⁵

4. The narration attributed to ʿAlī என்று

This narration has been related by Abū Nuʿaym al-Asfahānī by way of ʿAlī ibn ʿAbbās al-Bajalī — Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā — Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn — Ibrāhīm ibn Yūsuf ibn Abī Isḥāq — his father — al-Shaʿbī — ʿAlī $\overset{\text{\tiny color}}{\text{\tiny color}}$

¹ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 138

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 252

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 397

⁴ Al-Tabaqāt vol. 3 pg. 608

⁵ Minhāj al-Sunnah vol. 7 pg. 386-387

⁶ Al-Hilyah vol. 1 pg. 66

The problems with this narration are too many to count. Firstly, there is an interruption between al-Sha'bī and 'Alī ***. Al-Sha'bī is only known to have narrated one Ḥadīth from 'Alī ***; the Ḥadīth of stoning the adulter; Ibn Ḥajar qoutes al-Dāraquṭnī on this without objection.¹

Secondly, according to al-Dhahabī there is an interruption in the chain between **Ibrāhīm ibn Yūsuf** and his father.² This is in addition to his weak memory. His narrations are only accepted when corroborated, and he was known to err in his hadīth.³

Thirdly, Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-ʿuranī is seriously compromised as a narrator. Ibn Abī Ḥātim said that he was not trustworthy, a leading figure among the Shīʿah. Ibn ʿAdī says that his narrations are contrary to what others narrate. Ibn Ḥibbān commented that he attributed baseless narrations to reliable narrators.⁴

Finally, notwithstanding the objectionable implication of the narration's wording, 'Alī ibn 'Abbās al-Bajalī and his teacher Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā are considered Majhūl (unknown). Very little biographical information is available on them.

5. The narration attributed to Anas 42446

This narration has been recorded by Abū Nuʻaym — and from him ibn ʿAsākir — by way of Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah — Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad ibn Maymūn — ʿAlī ibn ʿĀbis — Ḥārith ibn Hasīrah — Qāsim ibn Jundub — Anas ibn Mālik

¹ Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 5 pg. 68

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 77

³ Ibid

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 482

⁵ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 1 pg. 63; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 386

The scholars are divided about **Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah.**¹ Some accuse him of forging Ḥadīth for the Shīʿah, whereas others consider him reliable. Considering the level of controversy on his grade as a narrator, let us look beyond him.

Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad ibn Maymūn is included among the weak narrators according to al-Dhahabī.² Ibn Ḥajar quotes al-Azdī describing him as extremely weak.³ In both references they cite this narration of his as a specimen of the baseless narrations he was known to have transmitted.⁴

'Alī ibn 'Ābis is no better; the scholars are in unanimity that he is weak and unreliable.

Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn says, "ʿAlī ibn ʿĀbis is not a good transmitter."5

Al-Jūzajānī, al-Nasā'ī and al-Azdī say, "He is weak."

Ibn Ḥibbān says, "His mistakes are dreadful, to the extent that deserves to be abandoned (as a narrator)." 6

Ibn Ḥajar says: "He is a weak narrator."

 ${\bf Q\bar asim\ ibn\ Jundub}$ is considered Majhūl, without biographical data.

This narration has been included in the works on $Mawd\bar{u}$ $d\bar{u}$ [fabricated hadīth] by Ibn al-Jawzī, al-Dhahabī, al-Suyūṭī, ibn 'Arrāq, al-Shawkānī among many others.'

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 642-643

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 64

³ Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 1 pg. 356

⁴ Ihid

⁵ Al-Mawdū'āt by Ibn al-Jowzī, vol. 1, p. 376-377.

⁶ Al-Mīzān, vol. 3, p. 134-135,

⁷ Tagrīb al-Tahdhīb, vol. 1, p. 697.

⁸ Al-Mawḍūʿāt vol. 2 pg. 376, Talkhīṣ Al-Mawḍūʿāt (125), Mīzān al-Iʻtidāl vol. 1 pg. 64, al-La'āli' al-Maṣnūʿah vol. 1 pg. 329, Tanzīh al-Sharīʿah vol. 1 pg. 357, al-Fawāʾid al-Majmūʿah (322)

While it might be assumed that since the narrations from 2 – 5 appear similar in the way they are worded they might support each other, the extent of the weakness in all versions render them unsupportable. In all likelihood, the unscrupulous narrators in most versions have grafted their own isnād on a forged text. The anomalous wording of the narration supports the view of those who consider this a forgery. Taken literally, the narration would infer that the Prophet's مالا المحافظة only task in this world was to preach the Imāmah of 'Alī المحافظة !

6. The narration attributed to Abū Barzah al-Aslamī

This narration has been found with two chains

- Abū Nuʿaym and Ibn ʿAsākir narrate by way of ʿAbbād ibn Saʿīd ibn ʿAbbād al-Juʿfī Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Bahlūl Ṣāliḥ ibn Abī al-Aswad Abū al-Muṭahhir al-Rāzī al-Aʿshā al-Thaqafī Salām al-Juʿfī Abū Barzah
- Ibn ʿAdī and Abū Nuʿaym narrate by way of Lāhiz ibn ʿAbd Allāh —
 Muʿtamir ibn Sulaymān his father Hishām ibn ʿUrwah his
 father Anas Abū Barzah ﷺ

In the first chain, all the narrators placed in bold, with the exception of $\S \bar{a} = 1$ in $Ab\bar{a} = 1$. Aswad, are considered $Aajh\bar{u} = 1$ (unknown) and are without biographic data. As for $\S \bar{a} = 1$ in 'Ad $\bar{a} = 1$ said that he was not well-known and his narrations were inconsistent with what his peers narrated, in addition to some serious flaws found in them.' Al-Dhahab $\bar{a} = 1$ and ibn $\bar{a} = 1$ in $\bar{a} =$

¹ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 1 pg. 66, Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 291

² Al-Kāmil vol. 7 pg. 141, Ḥilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 1 pg. 66

³ Al-Kāmil vol. 1 pg. 200

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 288, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 280

Under the biography of 'Abbād ibn Saʿīd, al-Dhahabī provides no data besides this chain and then states, "This is absolutely baseless! The chain is [filled] with darkness!" Ibn al-Jawzī also declared this narration a forgery.²

The problem in the second chain in **Lāhiz ibn** 'Abd Allāh. Ibn 'Adī says:

This narration is baseless. Not only baseless in the chain, but in the text as well as I do not know of any narration with the Isnad from Sulaymān al-Taym \bar{l} [father of Muʻtamir] — from Hishām ibn 'Urwah — from his father ['Urwah ibn al-Zubayr] — from Anas besides this narration here. Lāhiz is $Majh\bar{u}l$, unknown. The blunder is his, and I do not know of any other narration of his besides this one.³

Al-Dhahabī comments on this narration saying that it is one of the most blatant forgeries.⁴ Ibn al-Jawzī includes it in his book on forged Aḥādīth.⁵

These six narrations which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges are explicit and 'obvious' in proving the *Imāmah* of 'Alī ; are anything but reliable. They are well-known forgeries! The scholars have diligently identified the narrators responsible for transmiting these false reports, with Allah is their reward.

7. The narration, "Verily, this is the first to believe in me..."

This narration has been attributed to three of the companions; Abū Dharr, Salmān and ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 366

² Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 236

³ Al-Kāmil vol. 7 pg. 141

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 356

⁵ Al-Mawdū'āt vol. 1 pg. 388

• The narration attributed to Abū Dharr

This narration has been transmited by al-Bazzār by way of 'Abbād ibn Ya'qūd al-Rawājinī — 'Alī ibn Hāshim — **Muḥammad ibn** '**Ubayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfi**' — his father — his grandfather — Abū Dharr 'Law'.

Al-Bukhārī states that the scholars considered **Muḥammad ibn** '**Ubayd Allah ibn Abī Rāfi**' significantly weak. Abū Ḥātim is on record saying that he is severely criticised as a narrator. Al-Dhahabī concurs with this assessment and ends off his biographical note with a statement from Ibn 'Adī who said that he is considered among the Shī'ah of Kūfah.²

While 'Abbād ibn Ya'qūb is generally considered a truthful narrator, his prejudice may have prevailed here. He was a committed $Sh\overline{1}$.'

• The narration attributed to both Abū Dharr and Salmān

This narration appears in al-Ṭabarānī's collection, al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr, by way of ʿAlī ibn Isḥāq ibn al-Wazīr — Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā al-Suddī — ʿUmar ibn Saʿīd (Saʿd) — Fuḍayl ibn Marzūq — Abū Sakhīlah — Abū Dharr and Salmān ⁴

Abū Sakhīlah al-Kūfī is considered Majhūl by Ibn Ḥajar.5

Fuḍayl ibn Marzūq is a narrator found in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, though the scholars are divided on him. The summary is that he is a fair

¹ Al-Baḥr al-Zakhkhār vol. 9 pg. 342, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 23 pg. 79

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3pg. 634-635

³ See discussion on letter 16

⁴ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 6 pg. 269

⁵ Al-Tagrīb bio (8115)

narrator who is known for serious errors as well. Where he is found in conformity with the reliable narrators, and he narrates from a reliable narrator, he will be accepted. However, his level of competency is not strong enough that his solitary narrations would be relied upon in a matter such as this, especially when one considers his Shīʿī leanings.¹ Ibn Ḥibbān says of him, "He is among those whom I do <code>Istikhārah</code> before accepting their narrations."² In this narration he is narrating from someone who is considered Majhūl, which is problematic.

'Umar ibn Sa'd has been criticised by al-Bukhārī among others.3

Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā was a commited Shīʿī. the scholars graded him fairly as a narrator, but they pointed out that despite being an honest narrator he erred at many places.⁴

This narration has been declared significantly weak by a number of scholars.⁵

• The narration attributed to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas (Abbas)

Ibn 'Adī narrates it by way of '**Abd Allāh ibn Dāhir** — **his father** — al-A'mash — 'Abāyah — Ibn 'Abbās 🍇.

Ibn ʿAdī lists this narration under the biography of ʿ**Abd Allāh ibn Dāhir**; quoting Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn that he was unreliable and severly

¹ Al-Jarḥ wal-Taʿdīl vol. 7 pg. 75, al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol. 7 pg. 122, Suʾālāt al-Sijzī pg. 44, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 23 pg. 305,

² Al-Majrūḥīn vol.2 pg. 210

³ Al-Jarḥ wal-Taʿdīl vol. 6 pg. 112, al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol. 6 pg. 158, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 6 pg. 105

⁴ Al-Kāmil vol. 1 pg. 528, al-Duʿafā by ibn al-Jawzī vol.1 pg. 122, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol 2. Pg. 210,

⁵ Jāmi al-Masānīd by Ibn Kathīr vol.3. pg 527

impugned. Ibn 'Adī concludes saying, "'Abd Allāh ibn Dāhir has many other narrations besides these, most of which are about the virtues of 'Alī. He is suspected of forging many of them." 1

Dāhir ibn Yaḥyā al-Rāzī was an extreme Rāfiḍī, and his narrations were not corroborated. Al-'Uqaylī then cites this narration as one of his anomalous narrations.² Al-Dhahabī flags both father and son for the forging of Ḥadīth. Under the biography of Dāhir he describes him as, "A vengeful Rafiḍī whose dreadful narrations are uncorroborated."³

8. The narration attributed to al-Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī 🚟 🕳

This narration appears in both Al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr of al-Ṭabarānī and Ḥilyat al-Awliyā' of Abū Nuʻaym by way of Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah — Ibrāhīm ibn Isḥāq al-Ṣīnī — Qays ibn al-Rabīʿ — Layth ibn Abī Sulaym — Abū Laylā — Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī .⁴

We have already pointed out the divergent views on Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah under Narration no. 4.

Ibrāhīm ibn Isḥāq al-Ṣīnī is considered *Matrūk* (suspected of forgery), and it is on account of him the Hadīth is considered severely weak.⁵

Qays ibn al-Rabī was truthful but weak in memory. This, in addition having Shīī leanings. When Imām Aḥmad was asked why some of the Muhaddithūn abandoned his narrations he responded saying, "He had

¹ Al-Kāmil vol. 5 pg. 380

² Al-Du'afā al-Kabīr vol. 2 pg. 47

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 2

⁴ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 3 pg88, al-Ḥilyah vol. 1 pg. 63

⁵ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol.9 pg 132, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 1 pg. 236

Shīʿī inclinations, but mostly because of his abundant errors and the baseless narrations he was known to have narrated. Wakīʿ and ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī considered him weak."¹ Ibn Ḥibbān adds to this saying that he had a devilish son who would falsely include narrations into his books and he would not reliaze they had been corrupted.²

Layth ibn Abī Sulaym ibn Zunaym, is considered weak.

'Abd Allāh ibn Aḥmad, relates from his father, "... irreconcilable inconsistency in what he narrates, however some have narrated from him"³

Ibn Ma'īn said of him, "Weak. Although, his narrations may be recorded."4

Yaḥya ibn Saʿīd did not narrate from him, and Ibn ʿUyaynah considered weak the narrations of Layth ibn Abī Sulaym.

Ibn Abī Ḥātim said, "I heard my father and Abū Zurʿah saying, 'Layth ibn Abī Sulaym is fairly weak, his narrations are not independently authoritative according to the scholars of Ḥadīth."⁵

Ibn Sa'd has said, "He has a man of righteousness and worship, he was weak as a narrator. It is said he would ask 'Aṭā', Tāwūs and Mujāhid about something and they would differ. However, he would – unintentionally – narrate it as though they were in agreement."

Al-Tirmidhī said, "Muḥammad said that Aḥmad would say of Layth that his narrations were not pleasing. Muḥammad said that Layth is truthful, but makes mistakes"⁷

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 393

² Ibid

³ Al-Du'afā al-Kabīr vol.4 pg16

⁴ Tārīkh ibn Ma'īn narration of al-Dūrī vol. 1 pg. 158

⁵ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol.7 pg.178

⁶ Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā vol. 6 pg.349

⁷ Al-'ilal al-Kabīr (293), al-Tahdhīb vol.8 pg.418

All these factors considered, the narration is significantly weak. Some have tried to bring corroborating narrations but those suffer from the same weakness, or are even more discredited than this.

9. The narration attributed to ibn 'Abbās (Abbās)

Ibn al-Jawzī has listed this narration in his book Al-Mawdūʻāt and after a thorough examination of all of its variant narrations concluded that it is false.¹

Ibn Ṭāhir al-Maqdisī mentioned it in his book *Tadhkirat al-Mawḍūʿāt* and said:

(Appearing) in its (chain) is Abū al-Ṣalt. His name is ʿAbd al-Salām. Also (appearing) in its (chain) is ʿUthmān ibn Khālid, and Ismāʿīl ibn Muḥammad ibn Yūsuf, all of whom are liars.²

'Abd al-Salām ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Harawī, Abū al-Ṣalt, was known to be a commited Shīʿī. with the exception of Ibn Maʿīn all the experts on narrators are in agreement that his narrations are substantially weak. They include, but are not limited to, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī, al-Nasāʾī, Ibn ʿAdī, Abū Jaʿfar al-ʿUqaylī, al-Dāraquṭnī, and Ibn Ḥibbān among others. Ibn Maʿīn seems to have mixed views about him and therefore it cannot be established with certainty what he thought of Abū al-Ṣaltʾs narrations.³

The editor of al-Shawkānī's *al-Fawā'id al-Majmū'ah*, 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Mu'allimī, provides a detailed discussion on this narration wherein he demonstrates that it is flawed by all counts. ⁴

¹ Al-Mawdū'āt by Ibn al-Jawzī, vol. 1, p. 349-354.

² Tadhkirat al-Mawdū'āt p. 33

³ Al-Majrūḥīn vol. 2 pg. 151; Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 11 pg. 46-51; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 18 pg 73-82; Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 11 pg. 446; Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 616

⁴ Al-Fawā'id al-Majmū'ah p. 348

Ibn Kathīr mentions it in al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah and says:

This ḥadīth is known by way of Abū al-Ṣalt al-Harawī. Aḥmad ibn Salamah among others who comprise a group of unreliable transmitters got it from him, then fraudulently ascribed it to themselves.

He goes on to say:

Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Qāsim ibn Miḥraz relates from Ibn Maʿīn who said, "Ibn Ayman narrated to me that Abū Muʿāwiyah narrated this ḥadīth initially but then refrained from it. He says, 'Abū al-Ṣalt was a wealthy man who used to honour the scholars who would narrate these aḥādīth to him"

Ibn 'Asākir records this ḥadīth with an unreliable chain reaching the Prophet by, by way of Ja'far al-Ṣādiq — from his father — from his grandfather — from Jābir ibn 'Abd Allāh; He also narrates it from a different chain, from Jābir. Ibn 'Adī said that this version is also fabricated. Abū al-Fatḥ al-Awdī says, "There is no authentic ḥadīth like this."

Aside from the problems in the chain, the wording of this narration suggests that it is problematic as well. The Messenger of Allah مَا الله is an ocean of knowledge. It is inconceivable that the knowledge of the Final Messenger مَا الله الله is only accessible via one door.

It is well-known that knowledge has been transmitted from the Prophet by other than 'Alī. Knowledge was spread in the different regions and cities based on whichever of the Companions had settled there. Much of 'Alī's knowledge was spread in Kūfah. Despite that, the people of Kūfah began learning the Qur'ān and the Sunnah even before 'Uthmān's era.

When 'Alī arrived in Kūfah, Shurayḥ was already appointed the judge. He and 'Abīdah al-Salmānī learnt from others before 'Alī. Therefore, Islamic knowledge preceded 'Alī's arrival in Kūfah.

¹ Al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah vol. 11 pg. 97 (al-Hajr edition)

Overlooking the weakness of this narration, there is nothing in it that proves 'Alī's pre-eminence for succession. At most it could be said that he is a leader in terms of knowledge.

10. The narration ascribed to 'Alī diedilies

This narration is an adaptation of the narration before it. It appears in the $J\bar{a}mi^{c}$ of al-Tirmidhī with the following chain:

Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā — **Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar al-Rūmī** — **Sharīk** — Salamah ibn Kuhayl — Suwayd ibn Ghaflah — al-Sunābihī — ʿAlī ¹

After relating it al-Tirmidhī points out that it is severely weak saying, "This narration is Gharīb Munkar. Some have narrated this Ḥadīth from Sharīk without including al-Ṣunābiḥī [as a link in the chain]. We are not aware of this Ḥadīth being narrated by any other reliable narrators; only this version from Sharīk. There is a similar narration from Ibn 'Abbās 🎬 .

Al-Dhahabī appears to grade this narration as a forgery. When discussing the biography of **Muḥāmmad ibn ʿUmar al-Rūmī**, one of the unreliable narrators appearing in this chain, he quotes Abū Zurʿah and Abū Dāwūd both declaring him weak. He goes on to say that al-Bukhārī transmits his narration in his other works; not in his Ṣaḥīḥ. He then cites the chain of al-Tirmidhī for this narration and concludes, "I am not certain who forged it."³

Ibn Ḥibbān discusses a narrator, ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Rūmī, a narrator of Ḥadīth who transmits from Sharīk. He says that he switches Isnāds, erroneously ascribing the text of a Ḥadīth narrated by weak and unreliable narrators to an Isnād with reliable narrators. He then cites the isnād in *al-Tirmidhī* by way of Sharīk and concludes saying:

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3723

² Ibid

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 668

There is no basis for this narration from the Prophet whatsoever, nor is it from the narration of Sharīk, nor Salamah, nor al-Ṣunābiḥī. What appears to be the case is that this narrator heard of the Ḥadīth from the likes of Abū al-Ṣalt, with the chain via Abū Muʻāwiyah. While he remembered the wording, he confused the isnād and ascribed it to Sharīk with the aforemoentioned chain.

Al-Dhahabī points out that Ibn Ḥibbān confused the names and instead of mentioning this under the biography of Muḥammad ibn 'Umar al-Rūmī, who is a narrator from Sharīk, he mentioned it under the biography of his father, 'Umar, who narrates from a generation higher than Sharīk.²

Sharīk ibn 'Abd-Allah al-Nakha'ī al-Qaḍī. He is considered weak, especially in that which he narrated from memory after being assigned a post in the judiciary. The narrations which are accepted from him are those which he narrated prior to his appointment as judge, or when he narrated from his books and not from memory.

Ibn Ḥibbān said about him:

Towards the end he erred regularly and his memory failed him. Therefore, those narrations of those who heard from him in his early days in Wāsiṭ do not have confusion — like Yazīd ibn Harūn, Isḥāq al-Azraq — as for those who heard from him later on in Kufah, their narrations have many mistakes. 3

Ibn 'Adī said:

In general his narrations is are acceptable. However, his narrations were affected on account of weakness of memory so he began to fairly contradictory reports. None of is objectionable reports were deliberate.⁴

¹ Al-Majrūhīn vol. 2 pg. 68

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 212

³ Al-Thiqāt vol. 6 pg. 444

⁴ Al-Kāmil vol. 5 pg. 36

11. The narration ascribed to Abū Dharr வேய்த்

This narration is found in *Musnad al-Firdaws* by al-Daylamī at it appears by way of Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh — Aḥmad ibn ʿUbayd al-Thaqafī — Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Khalaf al-ʿAṭṭār — **Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar ibn Ibrāhīm** ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib — ʿAbd al-Muhaymin ibn al-ʿAbbās — his father — Sahl ibn Saʿd — Abū Dharr.¹

Appearing in this chain is **Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar al-Jaʿfarī**. Ibn Ḥajar cites al-ʿUqaylī, agreeing with him, that there are blunders in what Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar narrates.²

The other problematic narrator is 'Abd al-Muhaymin ibn al-'Abbās. Al-Bukhārī criticized him severely as a narrator of Ḥadīth saying, "Munkar al-Ḥadīth." Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī described him with the same words, 4 whilst al-Nasā'ī graded him Matrūk. Al-Sajī said that he possessed a manuscript which was filled with baseless reports; he narrated these from his father, from his grandfather.

In the footnotes of *al-Murāja'āt* this narration was referenced to *Kanz al-'Ummāl*, a secondary source. 'Alī al-Muttaqī al-Hindī, the compiler of *Kanz al-'Ummāl*, has clearly indicated that if he references any Ḥadīth to a specific set of works, that his mere referencing is sufficient to point out the unreliablity, and possible forgery, of those narrations.

He writes:

¹ Musnad al-Firdaws by al-Daylamī vol. 2 pg. 299

² Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 8 pg. 193

³ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr vol. 6 pg. 137

⁴ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol. 6 pg. 67

⁵ Al-Du'afā' Bio: 386

⁶ Ikmāl Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 8 pg. 359

Whatever is acribed [in this work of mine] to Ibn 'Adī, al-'Uqaylī, al-Khaṭīb, Ibn 'Asākir, al-Ḥakīm al-Tirmidhī in *Nawādir al-Uṣūl*, al-Ḥākim in his $T\bar{a}r\bar{\iota}kh$, Ibn Jārūd in his $T\bar{a}r\bar{\iota}kh$, and al-Daylamī in *Musnad al-Firdaws*; is considered weak. Mere reference to any of these works suffices in pointing out the fact that the narrations quoted are unreliable.¹

The severity of weakness in this narration means that it is beyond support.

12. The narration attributed to Anas decides

It has been recorded by al-Ḥākim, by way of **Dirār ibn Ṣurad** — Muʿtamir ibn Sulaymān — his father — al-Ḥasan — Anas 🏎 .²

Al-Dhahabī said in his *Talkhī*ṣ, "I believe this to be the forgery of Dirār! Ibn Maʿīn said that he is a liar!" Under the biography of Dirār, al-Dhahabī states, "Dirār is a famous liar. Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said that there were two famous liars in Kūfāh called Abū Nuʿaym. Dirār is one of them."

Ibn Abī Ḥātim says about Dirār that he narrated a report by way of Muʿtamir — from his father — from al-Ḥasan — from Anas $\overset{\text{\tiny Model}}{=}$, which the scholars of Hadīth reject and consider to be baseless.

Ibn Ḥibbān stated that he would attribute the text of an unreliable Ḥadīth to reliable narrators.

¹ Muntakhab al-Kanz vol. 1 pg. 9

² Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 122

³ Ibid

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 327

⁵ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol. 4 pg. 465

⁶ Al-Majrūhīn vol. 1 pg. 486

13. The narration ascribed to Abū Bakr

This narration is found in the tenth-century book, *al-Ṣawā'iq al-Muḥriqah*, without any chain of transmission, only a reference to Ibn al-Sammān. The absence of these details compounds the skepticism on the veracity of this narration. The general principle employed in Sunnī scholarship is to ignore any narration unless it can be evaluated in terms of its Isnād. The absence of isnād in this case means that this narration is to be set aside.

14. The narration attributed to Ibn Abbas (Abbas Abbas)

It is recorded by al-Daylamī by way of muusayn al-Ashqar — Sharīk — al-A'mash — 'Atā' — Ibn 'Umar muusays.¹

Ḥusayn ibn Ḥasan al-Ashqar has been discredited by al-Bukharī, Abū Zurʿah—who considered him completely unreliable—and Abū Ḥātim. Al-Jūzajānī calls him an extremist Shīʿī accused of cursing the companions. Ibn ʿAdī has pointed out the fact that he was known to have narrated many baseless narrations. Al-Dhahabī cites this very narration as one of the baseless narrations related by Ḥusayn al-Ashqar.²

The weakness of Sharīk was discussed under narration no. 10 above.

15. The narration of Ḥubshī ibn Junādah

This narration appears in Musnad Aḥmad, Sunan ibn Mājah and Jāmiʿ al-Tirmidhī among other primary Hadīth collections.³

¹ Musnad al-Firdaws vol. 3 pg. al-Jāmi al-Saghīr Hadīth no: 5592, Fayd al-Qadīr vol. 4 pg. 356

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 531

³ *Musnad Aḥmad* vol. 29 pgs. 49-53 Ḥadīth no: 17505, 17506, 17510, 17511, 17512; *Sunan ibn Mājah*, Faḍl ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib , Ḥadīth no: 119; *Jāmi* ʿa*l-Tirmidhī*, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3719

There is a divided opinion among the scholars on the reliability of this narration. The famous versions of this Ḥadīth are by way of Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī — from al-Barā ibn ʿĀzib¹; and by way of Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī — from Hāniʾ ibn Hāniʾ and Hubayrah ibn Yarīm — from ʿAlī ² The fact that the narration from Ḥubshī ibn Junādah is narrated by way of Sharīk is cause for concern among some scholars, due to the reasons cited earlier. However, Sharīk is not the only narrator of this report, there are supplementary versions where Sharīk is supported by Isrāʾīl.³

While we are inclined to accepting the soundness of this narration, our interpretation differs significantly from what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has written. His version of events is handicapped with oversights.

The first of his claims which ought to be addressed is the claim of multiple chains; this narration is only known by way of Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī. The narrations of al-Barā ibn ʿĀzib and ʿAlī ﴿ Only mention the Prophet's statement, "Alī is from me and I from him." The addition whichs pertains to conveying on his مَاسَنَا فَعَالَمُ behalf is only known through this version from Hubshī ﴿ الله عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ الله عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَ

Furthermore, these words are not unique for ʿAlī نَوْلَيْكَ The Prophet used similar words for the people of the Ashʿarī tribe⁴, Julaybīb⁵ and even his uncle, al-ʿAbbās وَالْكُونَاءُ We have elaborated on this under the discussions on Letter 32.7

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ṣulḥ Ḥadīth 2699; al-Tirmidhī cites a sentence from it and alludes to the background story, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth 3716

² Musnad Ahmad vol. 2 pg. 249, Hadīth no. 931

³ Musnad Ahmad vol. 29 pgs. 49-53 Ḥadīth no: 17505, 17506, 17510, 17511, 17512

⁴ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Shirkah, Ḥadīth no. 2483; Ṣahīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no. 2500

⁵ Şaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no. 2272

⁶ Al-Tirmidhī, Manāqib al-ʿAbbās, Ḥadīth no:3759; Sunan al-Nasā'ī vol. 8 pg. 33 Ḥadīth 4775

⁷ Refer to pg. 481 of this book.

Secondly, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn seems to have misunderstood the meaning of the narrations in Musnad Aḥmad. The mention of the Farewell Ḥajj is cited to prove that Ḥubshī نَا اللهُ اللهُ

It well-known that the Prophet instated Abū Bakr as the leader of the Ḥajj in the **ninth year** after the Hijrah. 'Alī www was subsequently sent to join Abū Bakr was as a follower during that Ḥajj, and to recite the verses of Sūrah al-Tawbah. These were revealed after Abū Bakr's was departure. This disproves 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim that Abū Bakr was departed with Sūrah al-Tawbah, also referred to as Sūrah al-Barā'ah.

Al-Ṭabarī, Isḥāq ibn Rāhūyah in his *Musnad*, Nasā'ī, Dārimī, Ibn Khuzaymah, and Ibn Ḥibbān all narrate by way of Jābir www who said:

The Prophet Assemble sent Abū Bakr to lead the Ḥajj after his Assemble return from the 'Umrah which commenced at Ji'irrānah, We proceeded until we were close to al-'Arj when the adhān for Fajr was called out and the sound of Messenger's Assemble camel was heard, and sitting on it was 'Alī.

Abū Bakr said to him, "Have you been sent as a leader or a messenger?"

He said, "Rather, the Messenger خَالْسُتُوسَةُ sent me with (Sūrah) al-Barā'ah to recite to the people."

We arrived in Makkah and one day before the day of Tarwiyyah. Abū Bakr came and addressed the people with regards to their rituals. Upon the completion of his address 'Alī stood up and recited (Sūrah) al-Barā'ah to

the people until he completed it. The Day of al-Naḥr passed by in the same manner and the Day of al-Nafr passed by in the same manner.¹

During this Ḥajj, Abū Bakr proclaimed that no mushrik may perform Ḥajj after that year, and no person may perform ṭawāf in an unclothed state. He commanded his other companions to do the same. This is supported by what al-Bukhārī narrates from Abū Hurayrah, who said:

بعثني أبو بكر في تلك الحجة في مؤذنين يوم النحر نؤذن بمنى أن لا يحج بعد العام مشرك، ولا يطوف بالبيت عريان. قال حميد بن عبد الرحمن ثم أردف رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم عليا، فأمره أن يؤذن ببراءة قال أبو هريرة فأذن معنا على في أهل منى يوم النحر لا يحج بعد العام مشرك، ولا يطوف بالبيت عريان.

Abū Bakr sent me during that Ḥajj amongst the announcers on the Day of Naḥr at Minā that no mushrik may perform the Ḥajj after that year and no person may perform ṭawāf naked.

Ḥumayd ibn Abd al-Raḥmān says, "Then the Messenger seated ʿAlī (on his camel) and instructed him to announce (recite Sūrah) al-Barā'ah (to the people)."

Abū Hurayrah says, "Then 'Alī announced (Sūrah) al-Barā'ah with us amongst the people in Minā on the Day of al-Naḥr, and that no polytheist may perform the Ḥajj after that year, and that no person may perform tawāf unclothed."²

The reason for sending 'Alī was to uphold the customary diplomatic protocol since the Prophet was a leader. The protocol among the Arabs when they discussed matters relating to withdrawing from a pledge, or consenting, or reconciliation, cancelling agreements, that the only persons mandated were the leader or his closest relative. Anyone besides these would not be considered mandated for the task.³

¹ Fath al-Bārī vol. 8 p. 171

² Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, Hadīth no. 4378

³ Tuḥfat al-Aḥwadhī, vol. 10, p. 152.

Thus we realize that the Prophet's dispatching 'Alī under the command of Abū Bakr does not prove 'Alī's right to immediate succession in any way. Instead, it indicates that Abū Bakr does was the most eligible candidate for the khilāfah as he was appointed by the Prophet to lead the Ḥajj. It also provides context for this Ḥadīth.

There is another version of this Ḥadīth by way of Yaḥyā ibn Bukayr with the same isnād from Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī but it is worded, "None shall repay my debts except 'Alī."¹ Whichever version is prefered, neither proves the immediate succession to the Prophet "May Allah shower His mercy on 'Alī and his beloved family.

16. The narration ascribed to Abū Dharr

This narration appears in *al-Mustadrak* by way of 'Alī ibn Sa'īd ibn Bashīr al-Rāzī — Ḥasan ibn Ḥammād al-Ḥaḍramī — Yaḥyā ibn Ya'lā — Bassām al-Ṣayrafī — Ḥasan ibn 'Amr al-Fuqaymī — Mu'āwiyah ibn Tha'labah — Abū Dharr ﷺ.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn lied though, when he said that both al-Ḥākim and al-Dhahabī graded it authentic on the criteria of al-Bukhārī and Muslim. Al-Ḥākim graded the isnād, Ṣaḥīḥ, and al-Dhahabī remained silent offering no grading. Al-Ḥākim's grading of Ṣaḥīḥ is a farcry from the criteria of either al-Bukhārī or Muslim, let alone their joint-criteria. As a matter of interest, al-Ḥākim's individual grading in *al-Mustadrak* holds very little weight to Ḥadīth scholars as he did not put as much academic rigour into his grading. He was found to have graded spurious narrations authentic, and thus is not relied upon in terms of his grading.

Al-Dāraquṭnī said that 'Alī ibn Saʿīd was known for some Aḥādīth which could not be corroborated, he considered him lacking in terms of reliabilty.³

¹ Musnad Ahmad vol. 29 pg. 43 Hadīth 17505

² Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 121

³ Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 5 pg. 542

Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī was considered a weak narrator, this in addition to being a Shīʿī. 1

Muʿāwiyah ibn Thaʿlabah is considered Majhūl.

All these factors considered, this narration is unreliable without question.

17. The narration ascribed to Abū Dharr

Al-Dhahabī grades this narration significantly weak.³ It appears that he considers **Abū al-Jaḥḥāf** as the cause. While the scholars do not reject his narrations outright, he is known for being an extreme Shīʿī and also known for a number of unreliable narrations. This is one of those that are used to demonstrate his problematic narrations.⁴

The problem with this isnād is exacerbated by the anonymity of **Muʿāwiyah ibn Thaʿlabah**.

18. The narration of Umm Salamah ಫಡೆಡಿತ

This narration appears in Musnad Aḥmad and al-Mustadrak among other works.⁵ The scholars are divided on the authenticty of this narration and those who consider it to be reliable have credible evidence. It is not surprising that there is nothing to suggest succession from this narration. It is no different from the Prophet's statement:

¹ Al-Tagrīb bio: 7677

² Al-Baḥr al-Zakhkhār vol. 9 pg. 455; al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 123

³ Al-Talkhīs vol. 3 pg. 124

⁴ Al-Kāmil vol. 3. Pg 544, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 18

⁵ Musnad Ahmad vol. 44 pg. 328 Hadīth no: 26748, al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 121

Do not revile my Ṣaḥābah, for I swear by the One Who controls my life, if any of you have to spend gold equal to Mount Uḥud, it will never equal one $mudd^1$ spent by the Ṣaḥābah, and not even half.²

The translator has taken liberties by translating the Arabic word *Sabb* [revile] as 'denounce'. This is not the only deception, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges that al-Ḥākim grades this authentic on the criteria of al-Bukhārī and Muslim. Al-Ḥākim only states that the chain is sound. Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Bajalī, the narrator from Umm Salamah does not appear in either of the Ṣaḥīḥ collections.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn cited the narration of 'Amr ibn Shās to support this narration. It appears in *Musnad Aḥmad* by way of Yaʻqūb ibn Ibrāhim ibn Saʻd — his father — Muḥamad ibn Isḥāq ibn Yasār — Abān ibn Ṣāliḥ — Faḍl ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Maʻqil ibn Sinān — 'Abd Allāh ibn Niyār al-Aslamī — 'Amr ibn Shās.

Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq has narrated with 'an'anah and not with Samā', this means that he implied but did not explicitly state that he heard the narration from Abān, and Ibn Isḥāq is known for Tadlīs.

Faḍl ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Maʿqil ibn Sinān is considered Majhūl.³This, in addition to the interruption in the chain between ʿAbd Allāh ibn Niyār and ʿAmr ibn Shās. Yaḥya ibn Maʿīn indicates that ʿAbd Allāh ibn Niyār narrates via an intermediary who is omitted from this chain.⁴

These factors are sufficient to consider this narration weak and unreliable, even though there is nothing objectionable in the text.

¹ A measurement of volume equivalent to approximately 750 ml.

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī Ḥadīth no. 3470

³ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol. 7 pg. 67

⁴ Tārīkh ibn Ma'īn, Riwāyah of al-Dūrī (504)

There is another narration which—despite its weakness—is in better standing in terms of its narrator. 'Abd Allāh ibn Mughaffal relates that the Messenger of Allah with said:

عن عبد الله بن مغفل قال قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم الله الله في أصحابي الله الله في أصحابي لله الله في أصحابي لا تتخذوهم غرضا بعدي فمن أحبهم فبحبي أحبهم ومن أبغضهم فببغضي أبغضهم ومن آذاهم فقد آذاني ومن آذى الله ومن آذى الله فيوشك أن يأخذه قال أبو عيسى هذا حديث حسن غريب لا نعرفه إلا من هذا الوجه

Fear Allah regarding my Companions! Fear Allah regarding my Companions! Do not make them objects of insults after me. Whoever loves them, it is out of love of me that he loves them. And whoever hates them, it is out of hatred for me that he hates them. And whoever harms them, he has harmed me, and whoever harms me, he has offended Allah, and whoever offends Allah, [then] he shall soon be punished.¹

Appearing in this chain is 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Ziyād, some have said his name is 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Abd Allāh, and others 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān. Some have considered him Majhūl and others have said that he is slightly weak. As such, it is deemed a weak narration even if its weakness is subtle.

It is evident that the features in the narration cited in *al-Murājaʿāt* are not exclusive for ʿAlī www. Despite its weakness it only speaks of his merits and warns against causing harm to him, physically or otherwise. Contrary to what ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn posits they do not indicate immediate succession in any way. May Allah be pleased with ʿAlī.

19. The narration of Salmān مُعْلِلُهُ عَنْهُ

This narration appears in *al-Mustadrak* by way of Aḥmad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Yaḥyā al-Muqrī — Abū Bakr ibn Abī al-ʿAwām — Abū Zayd Saʿīd ibn Aws al-Ansārī — ʿAwf² — Abū ʿUthmān al-Nahdī.³

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāgib, Hadīth no: 3862

² In the original it read 'Awf ibn Abī 'Uthmān al-Nahdī which appears to be a typographical error. The editor might have read the word 'an as bin since the two can easily be confused.

³ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 130

Al-Ḥākim's grading it authentic on the criteria of al-Bukhārī and Muslim, and al-Dhahabī's approval appear to be an oversight since Saʿīd ibn Aws al-Ansārī is not cited in either of the Sahīhayn.

This narration expresses the meaning of the Ḥadīth, "Whomsoever, I am his Mawlā, ʿAlī is his Mawlā."

Likewise the Ḥadīth, "Indeed it is the covenant of the unlettered prophet to me that none shall love me except a believer and none shall hate me except a hypocrite."

This was also said of the Anṣār. We find the Ḥadīth of al-Barā' ibn ʿĀzib wherein the Prophet mentioned the Anṣār hone but the believer loves them, none but the hypocrite hates them. He who loves them loves Allah and he who hates them hates Allah."²

Despite the narration pertaining to 'Alī www being sound, the virtue established therein was shared by the Anṣār and this debunks 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim of exclusivity. Furthermore, there is nothing in the narration to suggest leadership. The Ḥadīth speaks about maintaining a loving relationship towards 'Alī www and this is part of the belief of Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā'ah.

20. The narration ascribed to ibn 'Abbas accided

This narration appears in *al-Mustadrak*.³The Ḥadīth expert, al-Dhahabī, criticized this narration severely and considered it unreliable.⁴ In many of his works he pointed out that this narration was unreliable, the error being an oversight from the reliable narrator, 'Abd al-Razzāq of San'ā.⁵He

¹ Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Hadīth no. 78

² Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Hadīth no. 75

³ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 128

⁴ Ibid - al-Talkhīs

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 82, vol. 2 pg. 613, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 9 pg. 574

was not alone in his grading this narration. He was preceded by the Ḥadīth Master, Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn,¹ Ibn ʿAdī,² and Abū al-Faraj ibn al-Jawzī.³ The scholars after al-Dhahabī also considered extremely weak; these include al-Suyūtī⁴ and Ibn ʿArrāq al-Kinānī.⁵

21. The narration attributed to 'Ammar actions'

This narration has been recorded by al-Ḥākim, Abū Yaʿlā, al-Khaṭib al-Baghdādī and Ibn ʿAsākir, all with a common chain by way of Saʿīd ibn Muḥāmmad al-Warrāq — ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥazawwar — Abū Maryam al-Thaqafī — ʿAmmār . '

Al-Dhahabī commented on this narration in his *Talkhīṣ*, "Saʿīd and ʿAlī are both Matrūk!"⁷

Saʿīd ibn Muḥammad al-Warrāq was declared weak by a number of critics including Ibn Saʿd, al-Nasāʾī and Ibn ʿAdī; Ibn Maʿīn, al-Dāraquṭnī and al-Dhahabī considered him severely weak.⁸

'Alī ibn al-Ḥazawwar was from the Shī ah of Kūfah, and was suspected of forgery. He was criticised harshly by al-Bukhārī, Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd, Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasā'ī. Ibn 'Adī cited this narration as an example of his baseless narrations.'

¹ Tahthīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 1 pg. 10

² Al-Kāmil vol. 6 pg. 539

³ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 220

⁴ Al-Dhayl vol. 1 pg. 257

⁵ Tanzīh al-Sharī ah vol. 1 pg. 398

⁶ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 135; Musnad Abī Yaʿlā vol. 3 pg. 178 Ḥadīth no: 1602, Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 10 pg. 102; and Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 281

⁷ Al-Talkhīs vol. 3 pg. 135

⁸ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 152

⁹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 118

The problem is compounded since $Ab\bar{u}$ Maryām al-Thaqafī, who narrates from 'Ammār is considered Majhūl by al-Dāraquṭnī.¹ Forgers of Ḥadīth were known to invent a narrator from whom they would transmit their forgeries. This was a neat trick to avoid being caught out immediately since the teacher they were citing was an imaginary person and the narration could never be verified from the teacher. It is possible that this is the case here.

22. The narration ascribed to Zayd ibn Argam

This narration has been discussed in detail under the discussions on Letter 10. It is the third narration. This narration is either severely weak or perhaps a fabrication.²

23. The narration ascribed to 'Ammar decides'

This narration has been discussed in detail under the discussions on Letter 10. It is the fourth narration. This narration is extremely unreliable.³

24. The narration ascribed to ibn 'Abbās @ Abbās

This narration has been discussed in detail under the discussions on Letter 10. It is the first narration. This narration is either extremely weak or perhaps a fabrication.⁴

25. The narration ascribed to Ziyād ibn Muṭarrif

This narration has been discussed in detail under the discussions on Letter 10. It is the second narration. This narration is extremely weak and cannot be relied upon.⁵

¹ Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 12 pg. 233

² Refer to pg. 133 of this book - The Third Narration.

³ Refer to pg. 134 of this book - The Fourth Narration.

⁴ Refer to pg. 130 of this book - The First Narration.

⁵ Refer to pg. 131 of this book - The Second Narration.

26. The narration ascribed to Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī 🍇 🍇 💮

The common narrator, Muʿallā ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Wasiṭī, is considered a liar and suspected of forging Ḥadīth. This in addition to the fact that he was suspected of being a Rafiḍī as well. Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn was asked about him and he related the final moments in the life of Muʿallā. He was asked why he did not seek Allah's forgiveness and he responded, "Should I not hope that I will be forgiven, [afterall] I have fabricated over seventy Aḥādīth about the virtues of ʿAlī."

There is no other source for this narration and it can easily be understood to be one of his forgeries.

On the other hand we have many narrations from the Prophet مَا اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ wherein he says about the Ansār:

عن عبد الله بن زيد بن عاصم، قال لما أفاء الله على رسوله صلى الله عليه وسلم يوم حنين قسم في الناس في المؤلفة قلوبهم ولم يعط الأنصار شيئا، فكأنهم وجدوا إذ لم يصبهم ما أصاب الناس فخطبهم فقال يا معشر الأنصار ألم أجدكم ضلالا فهداكم الله بي، وكنتم متفرقين فألفكم الله بي وعالة، فأغناكم الله بي كلما قال شيئا قالوا «الله ورسوله أمن قال ما يمنعكم أن تجيبوا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ؟ قال كلما قال شيئا قالوا الله ورسوله أمن قال لو شئتم قلتم جئتنا كذا وكذا أترضون أن يذهب الناس بالشاة والبعير وتذهبون بالنبي صلى الله عليه وسلم إلى رحالكم لو لا الهجرة لكنت امرأ من الأنصار ولو سلك الناس واديا وشعبا لسلكت وادي الأنصار وشعبها الأنصار شعار والناس دثار إنكم ستلقون بعدي أثرة فاصبروا حتى تلقوني على الحوض

'Abd Allāh ibn Zayd ibn 'Āṣim relates that when Allah granted victory to His Messenger on the Day of Ḥunayn, he distributed the Fay'

¹ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 472

² Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 10 pg. 238

[booty] amongst those whose hearts have been (recently) reconciled (to Islam), but did not give anything to the Ansār.

So they seemed to have felt disappointed and sad as they did not get as others had received. The Prophet then addressed them, saying, "O assembly of Ansār, did I not find you astray, and then Allah guided you on the Right Path through me? You were divided into groups, and Allah brought you together through me? You were poor and Allah made you rich through me?"

Whatever the Prophet عَنْ said , they (the Anṣār) said, "Allah and His Messenger have done greater favours [for us]."

The Prophet said, "What stops you from answering the Messenger of Allah?"

But whatever he said to them, their response was, "Allah and His Messenger have done greater favours [for us]."

The Prophet then said, "The thought might cross your minds, 'You came to us in such-and-such state (at Madīnah).' Would it not please you to see the people go away with sheep and camels while you return with the Prophet to your homes? Were it not for the Hijrah, I would have been one of the Anṣār. And if the people took their own paths through a valley or mountain pass, I would select the valley or mountain pass of the Anṣār. The Anṣār are Shiʿār (those clothes which are in direct contact, i.e. inner garments), and the [rest of] people are Dithār (those clothes which are not in direct contact with the body, i.e. outer garments). No doubt, you will see other people favoured over you after my passing but you should be patient until you meet me at the Pond [of Kawthar]."

Abū Hurayrah 🍇 related a similar narration:

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukḥārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth no: 4330; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Zakāh, Ḥadīth no: 1061

عن أبي هريرة رضى الله عنه عن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم أو قال أبو القاسم صلى الله عليه وسلم لو أن الأنصار سلكوا واديا أو شعبا، لسلكت في وادي الأنصار ولولا الهجرة لكنت امرأ من الأنصار .فقال أبو هريرة ما ظلم بأبي وأمي آووه ونصروه أو كلمة أخرى

The Prophet or Abū al-Qāsim said, "If the Anṣār took their way through a valley or a mountain pass, I would take Anṣār's valley. Were it not for the Hijrāh, I would have been one of the Anṣār."

Abū Hurayrah used to say, "The Prophet was not unjust; may my parents be sacrificed for him. Indeed the Anṣār sheltered and helped him."

27. The narration ascribed to Abū Bakr

The reference given for this narration in al-Mur $\bar{a}ja$ $\bar{a}t$ is simply a sequential number, volume and page number from Kanz al-'Umm $\bar{a}l$. What it conveniently excludes is the actual reference in Kanz al-'Umm $\bar{a}l$: al-W $\bar{a}hiy\bar{a}t$ of Ibn al-Jawz $\bar{1}$!²

Ibn al-Jawzī compiled a work titled, *Al-ʿIlal al-Mutanāhiyah fī al-Aḥādīth al-Wāhiyah*, which is an anthology of weak and unreliable narrations. ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn disguised the unreliability of this narration with his reference to *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*.

The narration appears with a lengthy chain in Ibn al-Jawzī's work: al-Qazzāz — Aḥmad ibn 'Alī — Muḥammad ibn Ṭalḥah al-Nī'ālī — Muḥammad ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Ibrāhīm al-Shāfi'ī — Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Tammār — Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Wārah — 'Abd Allāh ibn Rajā' — Isrā'īl — Abū Isḥāq — Ḥubshī ibn Junādah — Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Manāqib al-Ansār, Hadīth no: 3779

² *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl* vol. 6 pg. 153 Ḥadīth no: 2539 (old edition); vol. 11 pg. 604 Ḥadīth no: 32921 (Risālah edition)

³ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah vol.1 pg. 213

This narration has been recorded with the same chain of transmission by al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, and by way of him by Ibn ʿAsākir.¹

Appearing in this chain is **Muḥammad ibn Ṭalḥah al-Niʿālī**, about whom al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī says, "He would seek out the false and baseless narrations… he was a Rāfiḍī." He was considered unreliable by both al-Dhahabī and Ibn Hajar.³

The gretaer problem in this chain is **Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Tammār.** Ibn 'Asākir suspects that he is the one who forged it, or popularized the forged report.⁴ Al-Dhahabī endorses that statement saying that he, al-Tammār, transmitted a false Hadīth.⁵

Al-Khaṭīb recorded this narration with another chain going to Abū Hurayrah .6 After narrating it he says, "This is only narrated with chain by way of Qāsim al-Malaṭī; and he used to forge Ḥadīṭh!"⁷

Ibn ʿAsākir also narrates it, with the same chain, by way of al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī which means that the same problem affects it.⁸

'Abd al-Ḥusayn truncated the narration in his letter. The original narration speaks about either Abū Bakr or Abū Hurayarah observing that 'Alī distributed dates, and the amount of dates that filled his palm was equal to number of dates which filled the Prophet's palm. Nonetheless, the explanation would only be required if the narration were

¹ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 6 pg. 180; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 369

² Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 3 pg. 380

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 588; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 7 pg. 219

⁴ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 369

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 146

⁶ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 8 pg. 630

⁷ Ibid

⁸ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 368

sound. Considering the extent of weakness in it, there is no mystery why Ibn al-Jawzī included it in his work of suficiently unreliable Ahadīth.

28. The narration wherein the Prophet allegedly said, "O Fāṭīmah, does it not please your that Allah has looked at all the people of this world and [from them] chosen for you two men; one of them your father and the other your husband?"

This narration is ascribed to a number of companions; Abū Hurayrah, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās, Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī and Ma'qil ibn Yasār ﷺ.

a. The narration ascribed to Abū Hurayrah is recorded by al-Ḥākim and appears by way of **Abū Bakr Muḥāmmad ibn Aḥmad ibn Sufyān al- Tirmidhī** — Surayj ibn Yūnus — Abū Ḥafṣ al-Abbar — al-Aʿmash — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah

Al-Dhahabī objects to al-Ḥākim's inclusion of such a narration stating, "It appears to be forged [and] attributed to Surayj."²

Al-Dhahabī says about Abū Bakr Muḥāmmad ibn Aḥmad al-Tirmidhī, "He narrated a fabricated report from Surayj ibn Yūnus for which he is suspected forging."³

This is not the only problem with this narration. The wording indicates that it might be a forgery. The text of the narrates states that Fāṭimah cobjected to the Prophet marrying her off to 'Alī cobjected to the Prophet marrying her off to 'Alī cobjected to the Prophet marrying her off to 'Alī cobjected to he was too poor! Surely this would cause inconvenience to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, therefore he decided to reveal only that which suited his agenda.

¹ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 129

² Ibid

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3. Pg. 457

b. The version ascribed to Ibn ʿAbbās appears by way of ʿAbd al-Razzāq

— Maʿmar — Ibn Abī Najīḥ — Muhājid — Ibn ʿAbbās

All these narrators in the chain above are sound narrators. The problem arises when we look at those who transmit this narration from 'Abd al-Razzāq:

- **Ibrāhīm ibn al-Ḥajjāj**¹ Al-Dhahabī claims that he is Majhūl, and that he narrated a baseless report citing this very narration.² Ibn al-Jawzī has also declared this arration significantly flawed.³ Al-Haythamī also alludes to the fact that he is Majhūl.⁴
- Abū al-Ṣalt al-Harawī⁵ Significantly weak, suspected of lying. He
 is known specifically for transmitting baseless narrations by way
 of 'Abd al-Razzāq.⁶
- Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Yazīd al-Hushaymī⁷ is suspected of forgery of Ḥadīth. Al-Dāraquṭnī states that he would narrate many false narrations by way of ʿAbd al-Razzāq.⁸
- Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī al-Maʿmarīº the scholars are divided about his status a narrator of Ḥadīth and he is known for having narrated uncorroborated narrations. There were many discrepencies in

¹ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 5 pg. 319; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 135

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 26

³ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 220

⁴ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 112

⁵ Al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 94 Ḥadīth no: 11154; Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 5 pg. 319, Tārikh Dimashq vol 42. Pg 136

⁶ Al-Majrūḥīn vol. 2 pg. 151; Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 11 pg. 46-51; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 18 pg 73-82; Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 11 pg. 446; Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 616

⁷ Tārīkh Baqhdād vol. 5 pg. 319, Tārikh Dimashq vol 42. Pg 136; Al-ʿIlal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 353

⁸ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg; 109 Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 1 pg. 501

⁹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 93 Ḥadīth no: 11153

what he narrated when compared against what his peers narrated. His version of this narration is further marred by the fact that he did not receive it from 'Abd al-Razzāq; rather he narrates it via Abū al-Salt, 'Abd al-Salām ibn Sāliḥ al-Harawī.'

• Muḥammad ibn Jābān al-Jindaysāpūrī² is considered Majhūl and no biographical data on him can be found.

All these narrators are problematic, and none of them are from the mainstream narrators from 'Abd al-Razzāq. The narrators from 'Abd al-Razzāq are either suspected of forging Ḥadīth or unknown entities. We have previously pointed out that unscrupulous narrators would invent a name and ascribe false narrations to reliable Muḥaddithīn by way of this anonymous, invented narrator. The experts have long suspected this of being a forgery.

c. The narration ascribed to Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī 🍇 appears by way of a common chain **Qays ibn al-Rabī** — Al-Aʿmash — ʿ**Abayah ibn Ribʿī** — Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī ﴿.3

Qays ibn al-Rabī was considered weak in terms of his memory. The difference of opinion among the scholars is how serious that was. It is believed that in his old age his son corrupted his books by adding narrations to them, and when he would narrate from his books he would not realize that they had been tampered with. He was also known for being a Shīī, which could have influenced the way he narrates the Faḍā'il of 'Alī www. Despite his weakness, he was held to be trustworthy by some of the scholars.4

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg 505; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 3 pg. 71

² Ibid

³ *Al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr* vol. 4 pg. 171-172

⁴ Al-Kāshif biio. 4600, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 393, Al-Taqrīb bio. 5573

'Abāyah ibn Rib'ī was a fanatic Shī'ī, known for narrating baseless reports.1

The narration is interrupted between al-A'mash and 'Abāyah ibn Rib'ī.²

It does not help that both narrators from Qays ibn al-Rabī are problematic:

- Yaḥyā al-Ḥimmānī was accused of Sariqat al-Ḥadīth; he would graft his own isnād on another Ḥadīth. Ibn Numayr and Aḥmad ibn Hanbal called him a liar.³
- Ḥusayn al-Ashqar has been discredited by al-Bukhari, Abū Zurʿah, and Abū Ḥātim. Abū Zurʿah considered him completely unreliable. Al-Jūzajānī accused him of being an extremist Shīʿī suspecting of cursing the Companions. Ibn ʿAdī states that he was known for narrating many baseless narrations.⁴

This narration appears to contradict the earlier ones in terms of its context. The other versions mention this under the circumstances of 'Alī's proposal and Fāṭimah's reluctance to wed because of 'Alī's lack of means. The version ascribed to Abū Ayyūb Al-Anṣārī (places this conversation at the Prophet's

d. The version ascribed to Maʻqil ibn Yasār is worded differently and appears by way of Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Asadī — Khālid ibn Ṭahmān — Nāfiʿ ibn Abī Nāfiʿ — Maʿqil ibn Yasār is 5.

Khālid ibn Ṭahmān lost his memory about ten years before he passed away.⁶

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 388, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 417

² Ibid

³ Al-Du'afā wal- Matrūkīn by ibn al-Jawzī vol. 3 pg. 197

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 531

⁵ Musnad Aḥmad vol. 33 pg. 422 Ḥadīth no: 20307; Al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr vol. 20 pg. 229

⁶ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 633

29. The narration attributed to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas (Abbas (Abbas Allah ibn 'Abbas (Abbas (

The narration can be traced via the *Tafsīr* of al-Ṭabarī by way of Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-Ansārī — Muʿādh ibn Muslim — al-Harawī — ʿAṭāʾ ibn al-Sāʾ ib — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — Ibn ʿAbbās.¹

Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-Anṣārī al-ʿUranī is extremely unreliable and considered a Shīʿī. Ibn ʿAdī says that his narrations are contrary to what others narrate. Ibn Ḥibbān noted that he attributed baseless narrations to reliable narrators. Al-Dhahabī cited this narration as an example of one of the anamalous, uncorroborated, baseless narrations for which he was known.²

Muʿādh ibn Muslim is Majhūl.3

Al-Harawī is also Majhūl.4

The anonymity of these narrators compounds the problem in this chain, confirming its unreliability. Ibn Kathīr has criticized this narration both in terms of its unreliable chain, and anomolous meaning.⁵

30. The narration about entering the Masjid in the state of Jan $\bar{a}bah$

This narration has been discussed in detail under Letter 34. The narration was found to be unreliable via both chains. Even if it were reliable there is nothing in it to infer 'Alī's is leadership.

¹ Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī vol. 13 pg. 442

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 483

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 132

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 483

⁵ Tafsīr ibn Kathīr vol. 4 pg. 434 (Al-Ṭayyibah edition)

⁶ Narration no:24

31. The narration attributed to Anas action

This narration is transmitted with a common chain by way of 'Ubayd Allah ibn Mūsā — Maṭar ibn Abī Maṭar — Anas ibn Mālik .¹

Maṭar ibn Abī Maṭar is also known as Maṭar ibn Maymūn al-Muḥāribī. He is described by al-Bukhārī, Abū Ḥātim, and al-Nasā'ī in very harsh terms, "Munkar al-Ḥadīth." Ibn al-Jawzī classifies this narration a forgery and suspects that Maṭar was the one responsible for this. Al-Dhahabī agrees with Ibn al-Jawzī's conclusion and supports the claim by citing other examples of forged, and baseless narrations known by way of Maṭar.³ Ibn 'Arrāq al-Kinānī also declares this a forgery citing Maṭār as the cause.⁴

Even if this were not a forged narration, it does not support the greater doctrine of Imāmah held by the Twelver Shīʿah since it excludes the remaining Imāms. ʿAbd al-Husayn did not realise that this forged narration could not possibly support his cause.

32. The narration attributed to Jābir مُعْلِيَةُ عَالَى اللَّهُ عَلَيْكُ عَلِيكُ عَلَيْكُ عَلِي عَلَيْكُ عَلِيكُ عَلَيْكُ عَلِيكُ عَلَيْكُ عَلْكُ عَلِيكُ عَلِيكُ عَلَيْكُ عَلِيكُ عَلْكُ عَلَيْكُ عَلَيْكُ عَلَيْ

This narration has been discussed in detail under the narrations found in Letter 34. It was proven to be a fabrication.⁵

33. The narration attributed to Abū al-Ḥamrā 🍇 🎉 💮

a. This narration appears by way of 'Ubādah ibn Ziyād al-Asadī — 'Amr ibn Thābit — Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — Abū al-Ḥamrā.

¹ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 2 pg 437; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 309

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg 127

³ Ibid, Al-Mawdū'āt vol. 1 pg. 383

⁴ Tanzīh al-Sharī ah vol. 1 pg. 360

⁵ Narration no: 12

⁶ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 22 pg. 200; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 309

'Abādah ibn Ziyād al-Asadī is a committed Shīʿī about whom the scholars differ.¹ Due to his below-average rating as a Ḥadīth narrator, and the fact that he is a committed Shīʿī calls into question the reliability of this Ḥadīth for this reason alone; were there no other factors.

'Amr ibn Thābit is a famous Shīʿī from Kūfah. Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said of him that he is worth nothing. Al-Nasāʾī held him in contempt and said that he is severely impugned. Ibn Ḥibbān accused him of narrating forgeries. Finally, Abū Dāwūd pointed him out as an extremist among the Shīʿah of Kūfah.²

Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī, also known as Thābit ibn Abī Ṣafiyyah is considered weak and unreliable by consensus. He was also known for being a Rāfiḍī.³ Ibn Ḥibbān stated that he erred abundantly and was not relied upon in terms of his narrations.⁴

Al-Haythamī suggests that the major problem in this narration is 'Amr ibn Thābit and rejects it on account of him.⁵

There is an alternative chain that connects to Saʿīd ibn Jubayr by way of:

b. Muḥammad ibn Ḥusayn ibn Mirdās — Aḥmad ibn Ḥasan al-Kūfī — Ismāʿīl ibn ʿUlayyah — Yūnus ibn ʿUbayd — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — Abū al-Ḥamrā al-Ṣūbaya — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — Abū al-Ḥamrā al-Ṣūbaya — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — Abū al-Ḥamrā

This narration could not possibly corroborate the earlier narration due to the severity of weakness in both versions. Appearing in this chain is

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 381; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 399

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3, pg. 249

³ Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 818

⁴ Al-Majrūhīn vol. 1 pg. 206

⁵ Majmaʻ al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 121

⁶ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 3 pg. 27

Aḥmad ibn Ḥasan al-Kūfī who is suspected of forging narrations and attributing forged narrations to reliable narrators.¹

'Abdal-Ḥusaynreferencedthe narration to Kanzal-'Ummāl² but conveniently omitted to mention that it has been ascribed to Ibn al-Jawzī's anthology of weak and dubious narrations, Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah.3

The narration is in direct conflict with the verse of the Qur'an wherein Allah confirms His divine assistance to the Prophet ئالمنافية through the believers; not one believer only.

It is He who supported you with His help and with the believers.⁴

Before it is argued that this refers to 'Alī 'specifically, the verses that follow prove, beyond a doubt, that the Prophet's Companions in general were intended, and it could not have possibly referred to 'Alī in isolation:

وَأَلْفَ بَيْنَ قُلُوْبِهِمْ لَوْ أَنفَقْتَ مَا فِي الْأَرْضِ جَمِيْعًا مَّا أَلَفْتَ بَيْنَ قُلُوْبِهِمْ وَلٰكِنَّ اللَّهَ أَلَفَ بَيْنَهُمْ إِنَّهُ عَزِيْزٌ حَكِيْمٌ يَا أَيُّهَا النَّبِيُّ حَسِّبُكَ اللَّهُ وَمَنِ اتَّبَعَكَ مِنَ الْمُؤْمِنِيْنَ يَا أَيُّهَا النَّبِيُّ حَرِّضِ الْمُؤْمِنِيْنَ عَلَى الْفَقَالِ إِن يَكُنْ مِّنكُمْ مَّائَةٌ يَغْلِبُوا اللَّهَ وَمَن يَغْلِبُوا مِاتَتَيْنِ وَإِنْ يَكُنْ مِّنكُمْ مَّائَةٌ يَغْلِبُوا أَلْفًا مَن اللَّهُ عَنكُمْ وَعَلَمَ أَنَ فِيْكُمْ ضَعْفًا فَإِنْ يَكُنْ مِّنكُمْ مَّائَةٌ وَعَلَىمَ أَنْ فِيْكُمْ ضَعْفًا فَإِنْ يَكُنْ مِّنكُمْ مَّائَةٌ وَعَلَمَ أَنَ فِيْكُمْ ضَعْفًا فَإِنْ يَكُنْ مِّنكُمْ مَّائَةٌ صَائِحٌ اللَّهِ وَاللَّهُ مَعَ الصَّابِرِيْنَ

And brought together their hearts. If you had spent all that is in the earth, you could not have brought their hearts together; but Allah brought them together. Indeed, He is Exalted in Might and Wise. O Prophet, sufficient for you is Allah and

¹ Al-Majrūḥīn vol. 1 pg.145; Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 90

² Kanz al-'Ummāl vol. 6 pg. 158 (earlier edition)

³ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 234

⁴ Sūrah al-Anfāl: 62

for whoever follows you of the believers. O Prophet, urge the believers to battle. If there are among you twenty [who are] steadfast, they will overcome two hundred. And if there are among you one hundred [who are] steadfast, they will overcome a thousand of those who have disbelieved because they are a people who do not understand. Now, Allah has lightened [the hardship] for you, and He knows that among you is weakness. So if there are from you one hundred [who are] steadfast, they will overcome two hundred. And if there are among you a thousand, they will overcome two thousand by permission of Allah . And Allah is with the steadfast. \(^1\)

34. The narration wherein the Prophet allegedly said, "Whoever wishes to Nūḥ in his resolve, Ādam ibn his knowledge, Ibrāhīm in his forbearance, Mūsā in his discernment, and ʿĪsā ibn his ascetism; let him look at ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib."

This narration has been referenced in al-Murājaʿāt to al-Bayhaqī's 'Ṣaḥīḥ' and Aḥmad's Musnad. In the footnotes he has cited Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, the Shīʿī commentator of Nahj al-Balāghah, as a secondary reference.

What can be said about the deception and cunning strategies? 'Abd al-Husayn either misled his audience deliberately, or he relied on the misdirection of Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, whom he tried to pass off as a neutral figure. To begin with, al-Bayhaqī does not have any work that bears the title 'Ṣaḥīḥ' – denoting higher authenticity – nor has he made that a condition in any of his works. Furthermore, this narration cannot be found in any of al-Bayhaqī's works, nor in the *Musnad* of Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal.

It is found in the $Mawd\bar{u}$ $\bar{a}t$ works, wherein most fabricated material is compiled under a single title. In this works the narration has been referenced to earlier works, and in most places they mention the chain of

¹ Sūrah al-Anfāl: 63-66

² Al-Mawḍūʿāt of ibn al-Jawzī vol. 1 pg. 370; al-Laʾālī al-Maṣnūʿah of al-Suyūṭī vol. 1 pg. 355, Tanzīh al-Sharīʿah al-Marfūʿah by ibn ʿArrāq al-Kinānī vol. 1 pg. 385

narration by which the Ḥadīth is narrated. This narration is attributed to four of the Prophet's مَالِسُنَا وَاللهُ Companions: Abū al-Ḥamrā, Anas ibn Mālik, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās, and Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī مَعُلِيَّةُ عَلَيْهِ .

- a. The version ascribed to Abū al-Ḥamrā ﷺ
 - Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Saʿīd al-Rāzī Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Wārah ʿUbayd Allah ibn Mūsā AbūʿAmr al-Azdī/
 Abū ʿUmar al-Asadī¹ Abū Rāshid al-Ḥubrānī Abū al-Ḥamrā

Al-Suyūṭī ascribes this narration to al-Ḥākim al-Naysāpūrī's $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$. It can also be found with this common chain in $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$ Dimashq of Ibn 'Asākir.²

Appearing in this chain is Abū Jaʿfar, Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Saʿīd al-Rāzī, whom al-Dhahabī considers Majhūl. Beyond this, al-Dhahabī suspects him of forging a narration of Ḥadīth.³ This means that the only biographical data that we have on him that is of any use in the science of Ḥadīth is the fact that he is suspected of forging a Ḥadīth; this renders his narration severely weak and beyond support.

The narration is put under further scrutiny by the presence of **Abū** '**Amr al-Azdī**, considered Majhūl and without biographical data; or **Abū** '**Umar al-Asadī** who is extremely weak in Ḥadīth as well.⁴

Ibn Kathīr declared this narration severely weak and completely unreliable.⁵

¹ The spelling of these two names is very similar in Arabic.

² Tārīkh Dimagsh vol. 42 pg. 313

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 457

⁴ Tanzīh al-Sharī ah vol. 1 pg. 385

⁵ Al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah vol. 7 pg. 356

Al-Daylamī — ʿAlī ibn Dukayn al-Qāḍī — ʿAlī ibn Muḥammad ibn Yusuf — al-Faḍl al-Kindī — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan — ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn — Muḥammad ibn Abī Hāshim al-Nawfalī — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Mūsā — al-ʿAlā — Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī — Abū Dāwūd Nufayʿ — Abū al-Ḥamrā.¹

This chain comprises of a series on unknown narrators, without biographical entries. Worse still is the presence of **Nufay** ibn al-Ḥārith, Abū Dāwūd, who is suspected of forgery, and severely criticized by Ibn Maʿīn, Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī, al-Nasāʾī and al-Dāraqutnī.²

b. The version ascribed to Ibn 'Abbas accided

Abū Dharr ibn al-Bāghindī — **his father** — **Mis'ar ibn Yaḥyā al-Nahdī** — **Sharīk** — Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī — his father — 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās 🏎'.

After stating that **Mis**'ar ibn Yaḥyā is unknown, al-Dhahabī cited this narration as an example of his baseless narrations.⁴

The father of Abū Dharr ibn al-Bāghindī is Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Sulaymān ibn al-Bāghindī. He was criticised for ascribing narrations to his teachers which he had received from his peers; also known as Tadlīs. In his case it was quite severe since he was known to have ascribed narrations to teachers of his while omitting numerous links between himself and the said teacher. Ibn 'Adī states that he was known to have narrated a number of baseless narrations.⁵

¹ Al-La'ālī al-Maṣnū'ah vol. 1 pg. 356

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 272

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 99 with a reference to Ibn Baṭṭah.

⁴ Ibio

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4 pg. 26; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 7 pg. 473-475

The details of **Sharīk's** weakness have been discussed under narration 10 above.

Abū Isḥāq al-Sabī'ī's father is not known for narrating Ḥadīth; as a matter of fact no biographical entry can be found to establish his status as a narrator. As such, this report comprises of narrators who are Majhūl and those whose memory and accuracy has been comprised.

This narration is flawed severely; it cannot be used to support another narration.

c. The version ascribed to Anas 425

Abū Muḥammad ibn al-Akfānī — ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn Aḥmad — Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad al-Qirmīsīnī — ʿUmar ibn ʿAlī ibn Saʿīd — Yūsuf ibn Ḥasan al-Baghdādī — Muḥāmmad ibn Qāsim — Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn al-Muthannā — Muḥammad ibn Bakkār — his father — Thābit — Anas.¹

Abū Isḥāq al-Qirmīsīnī is without discretionary mention. Similarly, Yūsuf ibn Ḥasan al-Baghdādī, Muḥāmmad ibn Qāsim, and Bakkār ibn Rayyān al-Hāshimī are all considered Majhūl.

'Umar ibn 'Alī ibn Sa'īd is also mentioned with very little biographical data; this narration of his has been identified as false by al-Dhahabī and endorsed by Ibn Ḥajar.³

¹ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 7 pg. 112

² Ibid

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 214; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 6 pg. 122

• Abū Aḥmad, ʿAbbās ibn Faḍl ibn Jaʿfar al-Makkī — Isḥāq ibn Ibrāhīm al-Dabarī — ʿAbd al-Razzāq — Ḥammād ibn Salamah — Thābit — Anas .¹

After recording this narration ibn 'Asākir concludes that it is a basleless report.² Abū Aḥmad al-Makkī is pointed out to be Majhūl; and Isḥaq ibn Ibrāhīm al-Dabarī is known to have transmitted extremely unreliable reports from 'Abd al-Razzāq specifically.³

d. The version ascribed to Abū Saʿīd ﷺ

Ibn Shāhīn — Muḥammad ibn Ḥusayn ibn Ḥumayd ibn al-Rabī — **Muḥammad ibn ʿImrān ibn Ḥajjāj** — ʿUbayd Allah ibn Mūsā — Abū Rashīd al-Himmānī — **Abū Hārūn al-ʿAbdī** — Abū Saʿīd.⁴

Muḥammad ibn ʿImrān is considered Majhūl; whereas Abū Hārūn al-ʿAbdī is suspected of forgery.⁵

All these versions are severely compromised and their weakness eliminates any potential of corroboration. In all likelihood someone must have forged it and others copied their forgery.

35. The narration ascribed to 'Alī acades

This narration has been recorded by 'Abd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, al-Nasā'ī, al-Ḥākim, and Abū Ya'lā with a common chain by way of **al-Ḥakam ibn** 'Abd **al-Malik** — al-Ḥāriṭh ibn Ḥaṣīrah — Abū Ṣādiq — Rabī'ah ibn Nājidh — 'Alī $\stackrel{\text{\tiny desc}}{=}$.6

¹ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 288

² Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 289

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 181

⁴ Sharḥ Madhāib Ahl al-Sunnah vol. 1 pg. 151 Ḥadīth no: 107; al-La'ālī al-Maṣnūʿah vol. 1 pg 356

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 173

⁶ Musnad Aḥmad vol. 2 pg. 469 Ḥadīth no:1376,1377; Khaṣāʾis ʿAlīḤadīth no: 103, al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 123, Musnad Abī Yaʾlā vol. 1 pg. 404 Ḥadīth no: 534

Al-Ḥākim graded it sound but al-Dhahabī pointed out the fact that he overlooked the weakness of al-Ḥakam ibn ʿAbd al-Malik,¹ a common narrator in all these books.

On different occasions Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said about al-Ḥakam, "Weak", "Unreliable", "Not worth anything".

Ibn Abī Khaythamah said, "His narrations are worthless!"

Abū Ḥātim, Abū Zurʿah and al-Nasāʾī stated that he is not a reliable narrator.

Abū Dāwūd said, "Munkar" indicating the veracity of his weakness.

Ibn Ḥibbān stated that he would narrate – by way of reliable narrators – that which could not be corroborated; he did this quite often.²

If we ignore the weakness of this Ḥadīth for a moment and consider its wording, we will find that it fits very well. 'Alī is is said to resemble Tsā in terms of the polar ends of extremism. Those who hated him accused his mother of indecency; and those who loved him exceeded his limits and attribute to him a status that was not his. The same can be said for 'Alī is ; he is a person of immense virtue but the Nawāṣib and the Khawārij are blind to it. On the other hand, the Shīʿah have exaggerated his virtues such that they have elevated him to being infallible. The consequence of this extreme position was that they stripped the other Ṣaḥābah is of all their virtues and accused them of being renegades and apostates!

The path of moderation is the way of Ahl al-Sunnah: We love 'Alī www, and believe in whatever has authentically been mentioned about him, his virtues and merits. We also acknowledge the virtues and merits of all the Ṣaḥābah ***

¹ Talkhīs al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 123

² Maʿrifat al-Rijāl vol. 1 pg. 73 al-Ḍuʿafā wal-Matrūkīn pg. 190, Al-Majrūḥīn vol. 1 pg. 302, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 7 pg. 110

36. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas (Abbas)

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṭabarānī by way of Ḥusayn ibn Isḥāq al-Tustarī — Ḥusayn ibn Abī al-Sarī al-ʿAsqalānī — Ḥusayn al-Ashqar — Sufyān ibn ʿUyaynah — ibn Abī Najīh — Mujāhid — Ibn ʿAbbās.¹

Ḥusayn al-Ashqar has appeared repeatedly in our discussions and we have demonstrated that he is unreliable and known to relate false narrations.²

Ḥusayn ibn Abī al-Sarī al-ʿAsqalānī has been called a liar by his brother and his nephew, the seekers of Ḥadīth were cautioned by them from taking Ḥadīth from him. Abū Dāwūd also declared him unreliable. Al-Dhahabī listed this narration of his as an example of the baseless narrations transmitted by Ḥusayn ibn Abī al-Sarī al-ʿAsqalānī.³

Ibn Kathīr and al-Munāwī have emphatically declared this narration completely unreliable.⁴

37. The narration ascribed to ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Laylā, from his father

This has been recorded by al-Qaṭīʿī, Abū Nuʿaym, and Ibn ʿAsākir with a common chain from ʿ**Amr ibn Jumay**ʿ — Ibn Abī Laylā — his brother ʿĪsā — ʿAbd Al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Laylā — his father.⁵

'Amr ibn Jumay' has been accused of forging Ḥadīth by Ibn Maʿīn, Ibn 'Adī al-Dāraquṭnī among others. Al-Bukhārī critisized him in very severe terms, "Munkar al-Hadīth."

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 93

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 531

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 536

⁴ Tafsīr ibn Kathīr vol. 6 pg. 50; Fayḍ al-Qadīr vol. 4 pg. 135

⁵ Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 2 pg 627 Ḥadīth no: 1072, Maʿrifat al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 1 pg 86-87; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 313

⁶ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 251

Ibn Taymiyyah and al-Dhahabī have declared this narration baseless.¹

38. The narration attributed to 'Alī acidis

a. This narration has been recorded by al-Ḥākim without a chain, from Ḥayyān al-Asadī who heard ʿAlī نقيقة saying that he heard the Prophet saying, "The Ummah will be disloyal to you after me. You will be upon my teachings and fight in accordance with my Sunnah..."²

Fortunately, we can trace the chain for this narration from $Ith\bar{a}f$ al-Maharah of Ibn Ḥajar: Abū ʿAlī al-Ḥāfiz — al-Haytham ibn Khalaf — Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar ibn Ḥayyāj — Yaḥyā ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — Yūnus ibn Abī Yaʿfūr — his father — Hayyān — ʿAlī .³

The scholars are divided over Yūnus ibn Abī Yaʿfūr. Some of the early scholars like Ibn Maʿīn, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, and al-Nasāʾī have considered him weak. Al-Sājī stated that he is weak and he was a commited Shīʿī. Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī considered him truthful; without mention of his competence. Ibn Ḥajar has reconciled all the earlier positions by stating that Yūnus ibn Abī Yaʿfūr is honest, but known for abundance of errors.⁴

A narration with this isnād would not meet the criteria of Ṣaḥīḥ due to the status of Yūnus ibn Abī Yaʿfūr. It has the potential to be sound if it is properly corroborated.

b. Abū Ḥafṣ ʿUmar ibn Aḥmad al-Jumaḥī — ʿAlī ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz — ʿAmr ibn ʿAwn — Hushaym — Ismāʿīl ibn Sālim — **Abū Idrīs al-Awdī** — ʿAlī who said, "Among that which the Prophet promised me was that the Ummah will be disloyal to me after he [is gone]." ⁵

¹ Minhāj al-Sunnah vol. 7 pg. 225; al-Muntaqā pg. 309

² al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 142, both al-Ḥākim and al-Dhahabī said, "Ṣaḥīḥ."

³ Ithāf al-Maharah vol. 11 pg. 297

⁴ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 32 pg. 559, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb vol. 11 pg. 452; Al-Taqrīb bio: 7920

⁵ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 140, both al-Ḥākim and al-Dhahabī said, "Ṣaḥīḥ."

The issue in this chain is Abū Idrīs al-Awdī. Abū Ḥātim said that he is Majhūl and that his narration from ʿAlī is interrupted.¹

Again, the chain of this narration is not independently Ṣaḥīḥ due to the interruption between Abū Idrīs and ʿAlī . Whether each version supports the other is a matter of debate among Ḥadīth scholars.

If the narration of Ibn 'Abbās ﷺ' is cited to support the two versions from 'Alī ﷺ then it also clarifies what is meant. The Prophet ﷺ warned 'Alī ﷺ that he would encounter hardships after he ﷺ was gone. 'Alī ﷺ asked if this would be at the detriment of his faith and the Prophet ﷺ comforted him saying that it would not affect his faith in any way.

This narration disproves the theory of Imāmah since 'Alī asked about possible negative effects. A question of this nature unhinges the argument for infallibility firstly. It further dismisses divine appointment since such appointment has no potential for negative consequences to one's faith. The only plausible circumstance which accomodates for this question is one where 'Alī is appointed by the Ummah and his decisions are governed by Shūrā and Ijtihād.

This prophecy came to pass when 'Alī ''égés fought the Khawārij. The members of the Khawārij were initially from the army of 'Alī ''égés and it was after Ṣiffīn that they broke their allegiance with him on account of which he fought them at Nahrawān. He ''égés was assasinated at the hands of the Khawārij as well.

This narration could not possibly refer to the period immediately after the Prophet's demise since 'Alī was made no claim for the Khilāfah

¹ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol. 2 pg 96

² Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 140

at that time nor was he harmed in any way. If anything, his relationship with the rest of the Ṣaḥābah was cordial and friendly. This is an exchange between ʿAlī and his companions; it is recorded in one of the classical works of the Shīʿah:

"O Amīr al-Mu'minīn! Inform us about your comrades."

He asked, "About which comrades of mine?"

They said, "About the Ṣaḥābah of Muḥammad."

He said, "All of the Ṣaḥābah of Muḥammad are my comrades." 1

If we examine this narration of Ibn 'Abbās 'it appears no different from the aḥādīth wherein the Prophet 'warns 'Uthmān of the treachery that will befall him; and that he should not relinquish the mantle which Allah will clothe him with.

The Prophet fried predicted that 'Uthmān would enter Jannah, and that he would be afflicted by tribulation prior to his death. Abū Mūsā al-Ash'arī reports:

عن أبي موسى الأشعري رضي الله عنه أنه توضاً في بيته ثم خرج فقال لألزمن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ولأكونن معه يومي هذا فجاء المسجد، فسأل عن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم الله عليه وسلم فقالوا وجه ههنا قال فخرجت على أثره أسأل عنه حتى دخل بئر أريس فجلست عند الباب حتى قضى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم حاجته وتوضأ فقمت إليه فإذا هو قد جلس على بئر أريس وتوسط قفها وكشف عن ساقيه ودلاهما في البئر فسلمت عليه ثم انصر فت فجلست عند الباب فقلت لأكونن بواب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم اليوم فجاء أبو بكر رضي الله عنه فدفع الباب فقلت من هذا فقال أبو بكر فقلت على رسلك، ثم ذهبت فقلت يا رسول الله هذا أبو بكر يستأذن فقال اتذن له وبشره بالجنة فأقلبت حتى على رسلك، ثم ذهبت فقلت يا رسول الله يشرك بالجنة فدخل أبو بكر حتى جلس عن يمين النبي صلى الله عليه وسلمى الله عليه وسلم معه في القف ودلى رجليه في البئر كما صنع رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وكشف عن ساقيه ثم رجعت وجلست وقد تركت أخي يتوضأ ويلحقني فقلت إن يرد الله بفلان يرد أخاه خيراً يأت به فإذا إنسان يحرك الباب فقلت من هذا فقال عمر بن الخطاب فقلت على رسلك ثم جئت إلى

¹ Al-Thaqafī: Al-Ghārāt vol. 1 p. 177

رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فسلمت عليه وقلت هذا عمر يستأذن فقال ائذن له وبشره بالجنة فجئت عمر فقلت أذن ويبشرك رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بالجنة فدخل فجلس مع رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في القف عن يساره ودلى رجليه في البئر ثم رجعت فجلست فقلت إن يرد الله بفلان خيراً يعني أخاه يأت به فجاء إنسان فحرك الباب. فقلت من هذا فقال عثمان بن عفان فقلت على رسلك وجئت النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم الله عليه وسلم فأخبرته فقال ائذن له وبشره بالجنة مع بلوى تصيبه فجئت فقلت له ادخل ويبشرك رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بالجنة مع بلوى تصيبك فدخل فوجد القف قد ملئ فجلس وجاههم من الشق الآخر. قال سعيد بن المسيب فأولتها قبورهم

One day, I performed ablution in my house. When I left home I did so with the idea of staying close to Messenger of Allah مناشعة and spending the entire day with him. I came to the Masjid and enquired about him. The Companions told that he had gone off in a particular direction. I continued enquiring about him until I came to Bi'r Arīs (a well in a particular area of al-Madīnah). I sat down at the door until the Prophet relieved himself and performed ablution. Then I went to him and saw him sitting at the edge of the well with his shins uncovered and his legs dangling in the well. I greeted him and returned to the door of the garden, thinking to myself, "Today I will be the gatekeeper of the Messenger of Allah." Abū Bakr ame and knocked at the door. I said, "Who is it?" He said, "Abū Bakr." I said, "Wait a moment." Then I went to the Messenger of Allah مَالِسَعَهُ and said, "O Messenger of Allah! Abū Bakr is at the door seeking permission to enter." He said, "Allow him in and give him the glad tidings of Jannah." I returned and said to Abū Bakr , "You may enter and Messenger of Allah مالكتينية has given you the glad tidings of Jannah." Abū Bakr came in, sat down on the right of the Messenger of Allah at the edge of the well, dangling his legs in the well with his shins exposed, as the Messenger of Allah مُعَلِّمُ had done. I returned to the door and sat down. I had left my brother at home while he was performing ablution and anticipated that he would to join me. I said to myself, "If Allah intends good for him (i.e., to be blessed to come at this time and receive the glad tidings of entering Jannah), He will bring him here." Someone knocked at the door and I said, "Who is it?" He said, "'Umar ibn al-Khattāb." I said, "Wait a moment." Then I proceeded towards Messenger of Allah صَالِمُتَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ greeted him and said, "'Umar is at the door, seeking permission to enter."

He said, "Allow him in and give him the glad tidings of Jannah." I went back to 'Umar and said to him, "The Messenger of Allah has given you permission to enter, as well as glad tidings of Jannah." He entered and sat down with Messenger of Allah on his left, dangling his feet into the well. I returned to the door and sat down and said to myself, "If Allah intends well for my brother, He will bring him here." Someone knocked at the door and I said, "Who is it?" He said, "'Uthman ibn 'Affan." I said, "Wait a moment." I went to Messenger of Allah مناشقات and informed him about his arrival. He said, "Let him in and give him glad tidings of entering Jannah together with a tribulation which he will have to face." I came back to him and said, "You may enter; and Messenger of Allah والمنافقية gives you the glad tidings of entering Jannah together with a tribulation which will afflict you." He entered and saw that the one side of the well was fully occupied. So he sat on opposite side. Sa'īd ibn al-Musayyab – a narrator in the chain - commented: The order in which they sat down indicated the places of their burial."1

The Prophet مَا الله also confirmed that 'Uthmān will be upon guidance at the time of this Fitnah

عن أبي الأشعث الصنعاني أن خطباء قامت بالشام وفيهم رجال من أصحاب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقام آخرهم رجل يقال له مرة بن كعب فقال لو لا حديث سمعته من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ما قمت وذكر الفتن فقربها فمر رجل مقنع في ثوب فقال هذا يومئذ على الهدى فقمت إليه فإذا هو عثمان بن عفان قال فأقبلت عليه بوجهه فقلت هذا قال نعم

Abu al-Ashʿath al-Ṣanʿānī said that people were delivering sermons in al-Shām, and among them were Companions of the Prophet finally, the last of them, a man called Murrah bin Kaʿb, stood up and said, "Were it not for a ḥadīth which I heard from the Messenger of Allah would not have stood (to address you). He mentioned tribulations, and that they would be coming soon. Then a man, who was concealed by a garment, passed by and he

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī ﷺ, Ḥadīth no: 3674 ; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah ﷺ, Ḥadīth no. 2403

that day." So I went towards him, and it was 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān. I turned, facing him, and I said: "This one?" He said: "Yes."

This Ḥadīth has been transmitted by more than one chain from Murrah ibn Kaʿb,² and has also been narrated by ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar³ and ʿAbd Allāh ibn Hawālah.⁴

In his final days, the Prophet مَا تَعْلَقُ summoned 'Uthmān and consoled him over the difficulty he was to face in the future. 'Ā'ishah المنظقة relates:

عن عائشة قالت قال رسول الله - صلى الله عليه وسلم في مرضه وددت أن عندي بعض أصحابي قلنا يا رسول الله ألا ندعو لك عثمان قال أبا بكر فسكت قلنا ألا ندعو لك عمر فسكت قلنا ألا ندعو لك عثمان قال نعم فجاء عثمان فخلا به فجعل النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم يكلمه ووجه عثمان يتغير قال قيس فحدثني أبو سهلة مولى عثمان أن عثمان بن عفان قال يوم الدار إن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم عهد إلى عهدا وأنا صائر إليه وقال علي في حديثه وأنا صابر عليه قال قيس فكانوا يرونه ذلك اليوم

When the Messenger of Allah was ill he said, "I wish to have some of my Companions with me."

We said, "O Messenger of Allah! Shall we call Abū Bakr for you?" But he remained silent so we said, "Shall we call 'Umar for you?" But he remained silent so we said, "Shall we call 'Uthmān for you?"

He said, "Yes."

So 'Uthmān came and he spoke to him in private. The Prophet spoke to him and 'Uthmān's expression changed.

A narrator in this chain, Qays ibn Abī Ḥāzim, said, "Abū Sahlah, the freed slave of 'Uthmān, narrated to me that on the day he was assassinated in

¹ Musnad Ahmad vol. 29 pg. 609 Hadīth no: 18068; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3704

² Musnad Aḥmad vol. 29. Pg. 608 Ḥadīth no: 18067 – his name is either Murrah ibn Kaʿb or Kaʿb ibn Murrah

³ Musnad Aḥmad vol. 10. Pg. 169 Ḥadīth no: 5953; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3708

⁴ Musnad Ahmad vol. 28. Pg. 213 Hadīth no: 17004

his home, 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān said, 'The Messenger of Allah told me what would come to pass and now I am coming to that day [Ṣā'ir]." In another narration of the Hadith, it appears, 'I am going to bear it with patience [Ṣābir].¹ 'Qays said, "They understood it to refer to the day he was assassinated."

In another version she states that the Prophet صَالِتُسْمَالَةُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ said:

O 'Uthmān, one day if Allah places you in authority over this matter (Khilāfah) and the hypocrites want to rid you of the garment with which Allah has clothed you (i.e. the Khilāfah,) do not take it off.

He said that three times.3

All these prophecies came to pass. Uthmān was murdered on account of a Fitnah. Alī was murdered as a consuquence of that Fitnah by the Khawārij; the group that defected from his army.

39. The narration of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī 🍇 🎉 💮

We have discussed this narration at length under Letter 44 about 'Alī mending his sandal. By accepting this narration as authentic here, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has inadvertently dealt a blow to his own argument there. We have established that this narration is sound; however, it does not support the idea of his immediate succession in any way. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has mentioned a number of narrations which are meant to clarify what is meant by this Ḥadīth.

¹ The script of these two words are the same, the difference is on the dots. As such it can be read in two different ways, even though neither negates the meaning of the other.

² Musnad Aḥmad vol. 42 pg. 521 Ḥadīth no: 25797; Ibn Mājah, Kitāb al-Muqaddimah, ḥadīth no: 113

³ *Musnad Aḥmad* vol. 41 pg. 113 Ḥadīth 24566 (worded slightly different); *al-Tirmidhī*, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3705; *Ibn Mājah*, Kitāb al-Muqaddimah, Ḥadīth 112

a. The narration attributed to Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī وَعَوْلِتُكُمُهُ أَنْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ

Abū Saʿīd Aḥmad ibn Yaʿqūb al-Thaqafī - Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Shabīb — Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd — Salamah ibn al-Faḍl — Abū Zayd al-Aḥwal narrated to me — from ʿAttāb ibn Thaʿlabah¹ — Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī منافقة narrated to me during the era of ʿUmar ibn al-Khattāb منافقة, "The Messenger of Allah ناستانة with killing those who are disloyal, those who are unjust, and those who defect."

Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī is considered significantly weak; known for narrating many a baseless narration. Ṣāliḥ Jazarah and Yaʿqūb ibn Shaybah al-Sadūsī both indicated the severity of his weakness as did al-Bukhārī and Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī.³

Salamah ibn al-Faḍl al-Abrash is another problematic narrator in this chain. Isḥāq ibn Rāhūyah, al-Nasā'ī, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī among others have declared him weak. Some have pointed out the fact that he narrates that which cannot be corroborated and has an abundance of errors. Ibn ʿAdī states that while he is weak overall, whatever he narrates from Ibn Isḥāq in the genre of Maghāzī, is slightly better than the rest of his narrations as they were found to be uncorroborated and contradictory in many instances.⁴

Abū Zayd al-Aḥwal is considered Majhūl and his narration from ʿAttāb ibn Thaʿlabah has been declared baseless by al-Dhahabī. "Abū Zayd al-Aḥwal has narrated from him the narration of killing those who are disloyal, the chain is appalling and the text is rejected." ⁵

¹ In the printed version its written 'Iqāb ibn Tha'labah which appears to be a misprint. The correct name can be found in Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 27

² Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 139

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 530

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 192, al-Kāshif bio.2043, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 2505

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 27

Abū Bakr ibn Bālawayh — Muḥammad ibn Yūnus al-Qurashī
 - ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn al-Khaṭṭāb — ʿAlī ibn Ghurāb — ʿAlī ibn Abī
 Fāṭimah — Aṣbagh ibn Nubātah — Abū Ayyūb.

In this chain appears Muḥammad ibn Yūnus al-Qurashi al-Kudaymi al-Baṣrī, a liar and fabricator. Ibn Ḥibbān said about him, "It is possible that he has fabricated over a thousand narrations!" Ibn 'Adī said that he has been accused of fabrication.²

'Alī ibn Abī Fāṭimah is 'Alī ibn Ḥazawwar. He was from the Shī'ah of Kūfah, and was suspected of forgery. He was criticized harshly by al-Bukhārī, Yaḥyā ibn Sa'īd, Abū Ḥātim and al-Nasā'ī. Ibn 'Adī said that he was from the Shī'ah of Kūfah, extremely weak and the irregularities are evident in his narrations.³

Aṣbagh ibn Nubātah is consisdered extremely weak; Abū Bakr ibn ʿAyyāsh said that he was a liar whilst al-Nasāī and Ibn ḤIbbān said that he is Matrūk, which means he was suspected of forgery. Abū Ḥātim said that he is merely weak, and Ibn Maʿīn used terms to indicate the severity of his weakness. Ibn Ḥibbān said that he was infatuated with his love for ʿAlī that he was found to narrate baseless narrations about the virtues of ʿAlī to the extent that he deserved to be abandoned. Al-Dhahabī cited this chain, and this narration specifically, as a sample of the false narrations by way of ʿAlī ibn Ḥazawwar — from Aṣbagh — from Abū Ayyūb .4

b. The narration atributed to 'Ammār ' is transmitted by way of Abū Aḥmad Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-'Assāl — Abū Yaḥyā al-Rāzī — 'Abd

¹ Al-Majrūḥīn vol. 2 pg. 313

² Al-Kāmil vol. 6 pg. 292

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 118

⁴ Al-Duʿafā wal-Matrūkīn pg. 183, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 3 pg. 308, Ikmāl Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 2 pg. 252

Allāh ibn Jaʿfar al-Maqdisī — Ibn Wahb — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Lahīʿah — Abū ʿAshshānah — ʿAmmār ʿat that the Prophet said, "O ʿAlī, the rebellious party will fight you and you shall be upon the truth. Whoever fails to aid you on that day is not from me."

This chain is weak due to the presence of 'Abd Allāh ibn Ja'far al-Maqdisī who is an unknown entity.²

'Abd Allāh ibn Lahī'ah is another disputed narrator in this chain. He is on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100. Ibn Sayyid al-Nās has discussed his status as a narrator of Ḥadīth at length, as did the editor of al-Nafḥ al-Shadhī, the commentary on al-Tirmidhī by Ibn Sayyid al-Nās. He concludes after thirty pages of discussion that Ibn Lahī'ah is independently weak, but his narrations have the potential to be elevated if they are corroborated or supported by other narrations. This applies to his narrations prior to his books even getting burnt.³

The text of this narration contradicts what has been authentically related from the Prophet wherein he expressed pity on 'Ammār was saying that the rebellious party would kill him.4 The narration under discussion is in conradiction with this on two counts. Firstly, the Prophet identified the rebellious party being rresponsible for killing 'Ammār and that is how we are able to determine without doubt that 'Alī was in the right. Secondly, the additional sentence is at variance with the Qur'ān.

Allah says: "If two parties from the believers fight each other; then bring about reconciliation between them..." 5

¹ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 473

² Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 450

³ Al-Nafh al-Shadhī vol. 2 pg. 792

⁴ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ṣālāh, Ḥadīth no: 447; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 2916

⁵ Sūrah al-Ḥujurāt: 9

Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī relates that the Messenger of Allah said, "A faction will renegade at a time when there is division among the Muslims; and the party, among two parties, which is closer to the truth will fight them."

Ibn Taymiyyah said:

Allah says in His book, "If two groups among the believers fight each other then seek to reconcile..." [Sūrah al-Ḥujurāt: 9] so He has referred to them both as believers and brothers despite the fighting and rebelling. It has also been established in the authentic narrations that the Prophet said, "A group will defect which will be fought by the party which is closest to the truth" and he said, "Indeed this son of mine is a Sayyid..." and he said to 'Ammār, "The rebellious party will kill you." Note that he did not say disbelievers. And these narrations are authentic according to the scholars, and have been narrated by variant chains; none of them taking from the other. The Prophet said that the two divided parties are both Muslims, and he praised the one who brought about reconciliation among them. He further predicted that a group would dissent and that the closest of the two parties would fight them."

In light of the verse and hadith cited above, we can clearly see why this narration, the narration attributed to 'Ammār 'ééé, is fount wanting in both its chain of transmission and its text. It is severely weak, and clearly demonstrates the lack of precision on the part of at least one of the narrators.

c. The narration attributed to Abū Dharr is referenced in Kanz al-'Ummāl to al-Daylamī. We have previously pointed out that such a reference is indicative of the weakness of the said narration. Nonetheless, this narration has been deliberately truncated by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn since the

¹ Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Zakāt, Hadīth no: 1065

² Minhāj al-Sunnah vol. 4 pg. 449

complete version speaks about fighting those who testify to the oneness of Allah.

There are additional phrases in this narration which could not possibly be corroborated. The absence of the isnād, its reference to al-Daylamī, and the objectionable additional wording indicate that this narration is problematic.

The sound narration is the original Ḥadīth, and its explanation appears in detail under Letter 44. There is nothing in this narration to suggest 'Alī's immediate succession. It does give a subtle indiation that he will take charge of the affairs of the Muslims at some stage; and that came to pass.

40. The narration attributed to Muʿādh ibn Jabal

This narration is recorded by Abū Nuʿaym, and Ibn ʿAsākir relates it via Abū Nuʿaym, by way of **Khalaf ibn Khālid al-ʿAbdī al-Baṣrī** — **Bishr ibn Ibrāhīm al-Anṣārī** — Thawr ibn Yazīd — Khālid ibn Miʿdān — Muʿādh ibn Jabal 🎬 .1

Khalaf ibn Khālid al-Baṣrī is barely known as a narrator of Ḥadīth yet stands accused of forging Ḥadīth by al-Dāraquṭnī. This narration is provided as an example of his forgeries.²

Bishr ibn Ibrāhīm al-Anṣārī is a known fabricator of Ḥadīth; this has been confirmed by Ibn ʿAdī, Ibn Ḥibbān and others. Abū Nuʿaym, himself, states that Bishr would attribute forged narrations to al-Awzāʿī.³

This narration has been declared a forgery by Ibn ʿAdī, Ibn al-Jawzī, al-Dhahabī, Ibn Ḥajar, al-Suyūṭī and Ibn ʿArrāq. 4

¹ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 1 pg. 65; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 58

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 659; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 3 pg. 368

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 311; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 2 pg. 287-290

⁴ Al-Mawḍūʿāt vol. 1 pg. 343; Mīzān al-Iʻtidāl vol. 1 pg. 313, 659; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 2 pg. 290, al-Laʾālī al-Maṣnūʿah vol. 1 pg. 323, Tanzīh al-Sharīʿah vol. 1 pg. 352

The supplementary narration provided by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is of no avail since it appears by way of 'Iṣmah ibn Muḥammad — Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Ansārī — Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyib — Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī.¹

Appearing in this chain is 'Iṣmah ibn Muḥammad. Abū Ḥātim says that he is weak; wheras Yaḥyā ibn Ma'īn calls him a liar and states that he forged Ḥadīth. Al-'Uqaylī says the he used to attribute false narrations to reliable narrators and al-Dāraquṭnī states that he is severely compromised as a narrator of Hadīth.²

Conclusion

Where is the compelling evidence? With over forty narrations—not counting all the supplementary narrations—'Abd al-Ḥusayn has only managed to produced barely a handful of sound narrations. None of these sound narrations indicate Imāmah in any way whatsoever. They are aḥādīth of virtue and merit for 'Alī and he is worthy of merit since loving him is īmān and hating him is a symptom of hypocrisy. We have provided explanations and commented on all the sound narrations.

The remaining thirty-odd narrations are either complete forgeries or extremely weak, not reliable. Many of these narrations suffer from the same lack of clarity on immediate succession. Those narrations which do indicate this were clear fabrications. Where is the claim that there is not enough room to mention all the narrations that support his Imāmah?

In contrast to these forty narrations we have collected forty sound narrations about the virtues of Abū Bakr and 'Umar will, their candidacy for succession, and their lofty rank. These narrations will be presented under the discussions on Letter 52.

¹ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 1 pg. 66

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3. Pg 67; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 5 pg. 438

Letter 49

Muharram 11, 1330

I. Admitting 'Ali's Merits

II. Such Merits do not Necessitate his Caliphate

1. Imam Abu 'Abdullah Ahmad ibn Hanbal has said: "Nobody among the companions of the Messenger of Allah has possessed as many virtues as 'Ali ibn Abu Talib has."1 Ibn 'Abbas has said, "No verses of the Book of Allah have descended in honour of any man [besides the Prophet] as much as they have in honour of 'Ali."2 On another occasion, he has said, "As many as three hundred verses of the Glorious Book of Allah, the Sublime, have been revealed in praise of 'Ali;" and yet in another instance he has said,3

"Whenever Allah reveals 'O ye who believe...,' 'Ali is implied as their prince and dignitary; and Allah even rebuked the followers of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, on several occasions, in His precious Book while always speaking well of 'Ali." 'Abdullah ibn Ayyash ibn Abu Rabi'ah has said, "'Ali possessed a very sharp edge in knowledge; he has the seniority in embracing Islam; he is the son-in-law of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, and he is the faqih of his Sunnah, the hope for victory during wartime, and the most generous in giving." 4

Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal was asked once about 'Ali and Mu'awiyah; he said:5 "'Ali used to have quite a few enemies. His enemies looked for something whereby they could find fault with him. Having found none, they came to a man [Mu'awiyah] who had fought and killed him, and they praised that man only out of their spite of 'Ali." Isma'il the judge, al-Nisa'i, Abu 'Ali al-Nisaburi and many others have said that nobody, among all the companions of the Prophet

2. There is no argument about your point, yet an argument is raised if you claim that the Prophet during his lifetime, had promised him the caliphate. All these texts are not bound proofs to support such a claim; they simply enumerate the imam's attributes and virtues, and the number of such texts is indeed high.

We believe that he, may Allah glorify his countenance, was worthy of all of them and of even more, and I am sure you have come across several times as many such texts suggesting his nomination for the caliphate. Yet a nomination is not akin to a binding pledge for caliphate, as you know, Wassalam.

Sincerely,	
S	

- 1. Al-Hakim has quoted it on page 107 of his Sahih from Al-Mustadrak. Al-Thahbi did not comment on it in his book Talkhis al-Mustadrak.
- 2. Ibn 'Asakir, as well as many other authors of books of traditions, have all quoted it.
- 3. From one hadith quoted by al-Tabrani, Ibn Abu Hatim, and many other authors of books of tradition. It is transmitted by Ibn Hajar who also quotes the three ahadith that precede it in Section 3, Chapter 9, page 76, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 4. This is quoted from Ibn 'Ayyash by chroniclers and authors of sunan, and it exists where Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa has already referred.
- 5. As quoted by al-Salafi in his Tayyuriyyat, and it is transmitted by Ibn Hajar where we have indicated a short while ago while referring to Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 6. This is well-known about them. Ibn Hajar has copied it at the beginning of Section 2, Chapter 9, page 72, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa

Letter 50

Muharram 13, 1330

I. Why interpret text on his behalf as Indicative of his Imamate

Anyone like you, who is deep in thinking, gifted with a far insight, an authority on linguistic sources and derivatives, aware of its meanings and connotations, deriving guidance from the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, believing in his wisdom and conclusive prophethood, appreciative of his deeds and statements

("He does not speak of his own inclinations (Qur'an, 53:3),

"certainly cannot miss the gist of such texts, nor do their conclusions, which are derived from logic and common sense, remain secret to him. It is not possible that you, the recognized authority on Arabic (i.e. athbat1) that you are, fail to perceive that these texts have all granted 'Ali a very sublime status, one which Allah Almighty and His Prophets do not grant except to the successors of such Prophets, to the ones they trust most to take charge of their religion, to the custodians of such religion.

If they do not explicitly indicate the caliphate for 'Ali, they undoubtedly hint to it, leading to such conclusion by necessity. Such an obligation is quite obvious from their precise meaning. The Master of Prophets is above granting such a lofty status to anyone other than his successor, his vicegerent.

Yet whoever deeply scrutinizes the texts concerning 'Ali Marke' and very carefully and fairly digests their implications will find their vast majority aiming at endorsing his imamate, indicative of it either through explicit announcements, such as the previously quoted ones, and such as the Covenant of al-Ghadir, or by virtue of necessity, such as the ones stated in Letter No. 48.

Take, for example, his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "'Ali is with the Qur'an and the Qur'an is with 'Ali; they both shall never separate from each other till they meet me by the Pool [of Kawthar],"2 and his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "'Ali to me is like the head to the body,"3 and his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, according to a tradition narrated by 'Abdul Rahman ibn 'Awf,4 "I swear by the One in Who hold my life, you will have to uphold the prayers, pay the zakat, or else I shall send you a man of my own self, or like my own self," then the Prophet with took 'Ali's hand and said: "This is he;" up to the end of countless such texts. This is an obvious benefit to which I attract the attention of all seekers of the truth, one which unveils what is ambiguous, delves deeply in independent research. He what is an obvious benefit towhat he himself comprehends of the moral obligations of such sacred texts, without being overtaken by his own personal emotions or inclinations,

Wassalam.		
Sincerely,		
Sh		

- 1. "Athbat" is the plural of "thabat," and "asnad" is he plural of "sanad," and the latter means "hujjah," i.e. proof or authority.
- 2. This is quoted by al-Hakim on page 124, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak, as well as by al-Thahbi in his Talkhis al-Mustadrak. Both authors testify to its authenticity. It is one of the few elaborate ahadith. Anyone who is ignorant of the fact that 'Ali is with the Qur'an and the Qur'an is with 'Ali, after having studied the authentic traditions dealing with the Two Weighty Things, i.e. the Book and the 'Itrat (Progeny), he should be referred to what we have quoted in this regard in our Letter No. 8 above, and let him recognize the rights of the Imam of the Prophet's Progeny, and their undisputed and undoubted chief.
- 3. This is quoted by al-Khatib in the ahadith narrated by al-Bara', and by al-Daylami in those narrated by Ibn 'Abbas. It is transmitted by Ibn Hajar

- on page 75 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa; so, refer to hadith number 35 of the forty ahadith which he quotes in Section Two, Chapter 9, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 4. It is hadith number 6133, page 405, Vol. 6, in Kanz al-'Ummal. Suffices you for a proof that 'Ali's soul is akin to that of the Prophet to study the verse of Mubahala according to the explanations stated by al-Razi in his tafsir titled Mafatih al-Ghayb, page 488, Vol. 2, and refer also to what we have mentioned while dealing with this verse.

Discussions

Oversights in the 'correspondence' of Shaykh al-Bishrī

'Abd al-Ḥusayn found it expedient to inflate his arguments under the correspondence ascribed to his debater.

The statement about the abundance of aḥādīth on the exclusive virtues of 'Alī is often misunderstood. The narrations about the merits and virtues of 'Alī is are of three categories: those which are authentically traced back to the Prophet in, those in which the narrators erred, and those which were fabricated. If we were to compare that which is authentically transmitted about 'Alī's is virtues against that which is unreliable and that which is forged, the outcome would be a small percentage. This means that many people were responsible for fabricating narrations about his virtues, causing confusion and inadvertently detracting from 'Alī's it true status; and since they could not see his virtue for what it was they decided it best to invent a persona for him. The statement, from this perspective, is not one of praise.

The other element implied by that statement refers to the abundance of narrators who narrated the same texts about 'Alī's wirtues. This was the positive response to the Nawāṣib, those who made injurious comments about him and critised and abused him verbally. Among the first to do this was Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqās wir.

The statements attributed to Ibn 'Abbās (Abbās)

There remains the matter of the statements which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has attributed to Ibn 'Abbās with the pen of the Shaykh al-Azhar. These have been lifted from al-Ṣawā 'iq al-Muḥriqah of Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī. Its convenient that his eyes only fell on one chapter and ignored the preceding chapters in the book: the virtues of Abū Bakr 'iba's, the virtues of 'Umar 'iba's, and the virtues of 'Uthmān 'iba's.

a. The statement ascribed Ibn 'Abbās wherein he allegedly says that the Qur'an has not been revealed in such abundance to anyone besides 'Alī appears in Tārīkh Dimashq but the writing in the original manuscript was obscured so there is a missing section in the chain of transmission of this report.¹ The chain is legible upto one Ḥuṣayn, after which the manuscript is blurry and the editors were not able to read it completely. They have pointed out though, that the same chain of transmission has recently appeared where the narrator has been identified as Ḥuṣayn ibn al-Mukhāriq.

Due to the missing information we ought to exclude this narration entirely. Insufficient details about the isnād compromises the narration. However, if we were to make an inference then the missing details would be assumed to include Ḥuṣayn ibn al-Mukhāriq.

Al-Dhahabī quotes al-Dārquṭnī on Ḥuṣayn ibn al-Mukhāriq, Abū Junādah, that he used to fabricated Ḥadīth.²

Either way, the narration is not sound.

b. The quote which has it that Ibn ʿAbbās said that three hundred verses had been revealed about ʿAlī sais is recorded by al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī by way of Abū Yaʿlā Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd al-Wāḥid — Kūhī ibn Ḥasan al-Fārisī — Aḥmad ibn Qāsim — Muḥammad ibn Ḥibsh al-Ma'mūnī — Sallām ibn Sulaymān al-Thaqafī — Ismāʿīl ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Madā'inī — Juwaybir — al-Paḥḥak — Ibn ʿAbbās sais.4

Sallām ibn Sulaymān al-Thaqafī is unreliable. He was known to have narrated many objectionable narrations.⁵

¹ Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 363

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 554

³ Ibn 'Asākir narrates with the same chain, by way of al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī

⁴ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 7 pg. 185; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 43 pg. 364

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 178

Ismāʿīl ibn Muḥāmmad ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān is Majhūl, none besides Sallām is known to have narrated from him. He is also known for having only narrated from Juwaybir.¹

Juwaybir ibn Saʿīd al-Azdī is extremely weak. Ibn Maʿīn, al-Jūzajānī, al-Nasāʾī and al-Dāraquṭnī have rated him extremely poorly. He is known for narrating by way of al-Ḍaḥḥāk. Ibn Maʿīn compared him to Jābir al-Juʿfī.²

Al- $\rlap/pa\rlap/p. hak$ did not narrate from Ibn 'Abbās directly. The narration between him and Ibn 'Abbās is interrupted.'

This narration is severely weak and cannot be relied upon for these four reasons at least.

c. The narration ascribed to Ibn ʿAbbās from *Al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr* of al-Ṭabarānī is considered weak due to the presence of ʿĪsā ibn Rāshid, al-Haythamī declares this narration unreliable on the basis of ʿIṣā ibn Rāshid.⁴

Al-Dhahabī points out that ʿIṣā ibn Rāshid is Majhūl, and is on record for having related baseless narrations. He also quotes al-Bukhārī on the unreliablity of ʿĪsā ibn Rāshid. Ibn Ḥajar concurs with this assessment.⁵

We have repeatedly mentioned that 'Alī is worthy of virtue and merit. There are sufficient sound narrations that extol his merits and virtues; both exclusively and shared. The exaggeration to which the Shī ah resort to—'Abd al-Ḥusayn demonstrating this tendency before us—is a desperate attempt to create grounds for their foreign doctrines. His portrayal of the opponent reflects

¹ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 7 pg. 185

² Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 5 pg. 167, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 427

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 13 pg. 291

⁴ Majma' al-Zawa'id vol. 9 pg. 144

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 311, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 6 pg. 263

cognitive dissonance on the part of the opponent, Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī. The most probable reason for this is his anticipation of possible questions that might linger in the mind of a Sunnī reader. He is proactive in the fact that he preempts the questions; but that reveals the ficticious nature of the correspondence.

Brazen claims

In his round of 'correspondence' 'Abd al-Ḥusayn makes a number of unsettling remarks; impressing upon the Creator of heaven and earth to carry out specific decisions.

He repeatedly resurrects the argument of Waṣiyyah, nomination. Not only have our previous discussions exposed the fallacy in the theory that the Prophet nominated his successor, but we have demonstrated the extent of unreliablity of the narrations that have been furnished as proof of 'Alī's immediate succession.

The authentic narrations about 'Alī cannot be connected to immediate succession in any way. The narrations which are explicit in this regard have already been proven unreliable, many even fabricated.

The narrations

1. "Alī is with the Qur'an..."

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṭabarānī and al-Ḥākim. The narration from al-Ṭabarānī is by way of 'Abbād ibn Saʿīd al-Juʿfī — Muḥammad ibn 'Uthmān ibn Abī Bahlūl — Ṣāliḥ ibn Abī al-Aswad — Hāshim ibn al-Barīd — **Abū Saʿīd al-Taymī** — **Thābit Mawlā Āl Abī Dharr** — Umm Salamah •••••••.

Al-Ṭabarānī indicates that this is the common chain, by way of Hāshim ibn Al-Barīd.²

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Awsat vol. 5 pg. 135 Ḥadīth no: 4880, al-Mu'jam al-Ṣaghīr vol. 2 pg. 28 Ḥadīth no: 720

² Ibid

The version from al-Ḥakīm is by way of ʿAlī ibn Hāshim ibn al-Barīd — his father, Hāshim ibn al-Barīd — Abū Saʿīd al-Taymī — Thābit Mawlā Āl Abī Dharr — Umm Salamah .¹

The identity of **Thābit** is a bit of a mystery, he is not mentioned in the books of Ḥadīth narrators. So, Thābit is Majhūl.

Abū Saʿīd al-Taymī is named Dīnār. He is considered extremely weak and unreliable. Some have suspected him of forgery.² Since he is a common narrator the narration is significantly flawed even though the chain branches out later.

Hāshim ibn al-Barīd, while considered a reliable narrator, is known to be a committed Shīʿī. here we find an isolated narration that supports his beliefs and is only known via a suspicious chain of transmission. It is a possibility that his beliefs have influenced him in this case, although the most likely problem is Abū Saʿīd al-Taymī.

2. "Alī is to me as my head is to my body"

This narration is known by way of **Ayyūb ibn Yūsuf ibn Ayyūb** — ʿA**nbas ibn Ismāʿīl al-Qazzāz** — **Ayyūb ibn Muṣʿab al-Kūfī** — Isrāʾīl — Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī — al-Barāʾ bn ʿĀzib .³

There are a series of Majhūl narrators, in addition to the fact that this narration is only transmitted by way of Isrā'īl from Abū Isḥāq via this chain as attested to by al-Khaṭib al-Baghdād $\bar{\text{L}}$.

¹ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 124

² Su'ālāt al-Barqānī (143), Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 30

³ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 7 pg. 462; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 344

⁴ Ibid

There is another chain going to Ibn 'Abbās 'www of Ḥusayn al-Ashqar — Qays ibn al-Rabī' — Layth — Mujāhid.¹ This chain is riddled with problematic narrators and we have provided details about the unreliablity of the narrators in this chain under Letter 48.²

This narration is thus severely weak. It was not uncommon for unscrupulous narrators to graft the wording of another chain to a chain of their invention. The common practise was to include a few unknown narrators so that the narration could not be traced and the narrator who appeared to possess this narration would hope to impress by narrating that which is rare. It is not farfeched that this second Isnād is the actual isnād and the narration from Barā' could easily be a grafted chain; Allah Knows best.

3. "...I shall send a man from me, or like me..."

This narration appears by way of Ṭalḥah ibn Jabr — Muṭṭalib ibn ʿAbd Allah — Musʿab ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn ʿAwf.³

Talḥāh ibn Jabr is considered weak and unreliable.4

Musʿab ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān, while his identity is known, is considered Majhūl in terms of being a Ḥadīth narrator. Ibn Abī Ḥātim does not mention any grading, neither positive nor negative.⁵

'Abd al-Razzāq narrates this report by way of Tāwūs — from Muṭṭalib — from the Prophet مَالِسُتُهُونَةُ; omitting the other narrators. Tāwūs is a much

¹ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah narration no: 335

² Letter 48, narration no: 8

³ Muşannaf ibn Abī Shaybah vol. 17 pg. 107; Musnad Abī Yaʻlā vol. 1 pg. 244; al-Mustadrak vol. 2 pg. 120

⁴ Talkhīs al-Mustadrak vol. 2 pg 120; Lisān al-Mīzān

⁵ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl vol. 8 pg. 303

more accomplished narrator than Ṭalḥah. The version from Tāwūs—the interrupted version— appears to be a more accurate representation of how this ${\rm Had}\bar{\rm I} th$ was narrated.

For all the reasons given above, this narration is unreliable. There is another version of this Ḥadīth, the discussion on Letter 44 deals with it.

Letter 51

Muharram 14, 1330

I. Rebutting the Arguments through similar ones

Their debaters may refute your claim by citing texts which enumerate the virtues of the three righteous caliphs, and by citing other texts praising the posterity from the Muhajirun (Meccan Immigrants) and the Ansar (Medenite Supporters); so, what would you say to that?

Sincerely,

S

Letter 52

Muharram 15, 1330

I. Rejecting the Rebuttal's premise

We believe in the virtues of all posterity since the time of the Muhajirun and the Ansar, may Allah be pleased with them and they with Him, and these are beyond count or reckoning. Certain verses of the Book (Qur'an), in addition to a few Sunni sahih books, must suffice you for a testimony in this regard. We have scrutinized these, too. We have not found them at all, and Allah knows best, to be in contradiction to the texts that praise 'Ali Allah's, or even in any way eligible to disqualify him [from caliphate].

Yes, our opponents may stand alone in narrating the ahadith which are not authentic according to our sources. Their use of such ahadith to disprove our views is rejected and is not expected from any unbiased arbitrator. We by no means can take them into serious consideration. Do you not see how we do not argue by quoting the texts narrated only by our own sources?

On the contrary, we base our arguments on their own narrations regarding events such as the Ghadir incident or the like. But we have scrutinized the texts pertaining to these virtues recorded by their sources, and we could not find any clues in them opposing such caliphate, nor do they contain anything suggesting it; therefore, they have not been relied upon by anyone to prove the legitimacy of the caliphate of the three righteous caliphs,

Wassalam.

Sincerely,

Sh

Discussions

Forgeries reveal themselves

Despite the appearance of resistance, the question posed with the pen of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī is flawed inherently. How could the virtues of the Muhājirīn and Anṣār be a possible reason for questioning the candidacy of 'Alī for Khilāfah? This question is premised on a worldview that sees 'Alī for Khilāfah? This question is premised on a worldview that sees 'Alī for a significant other to the rest of the Ṣaḥābah for account of them not being from Quraysh. This is also the case for some of the Muhājirīn.

Yes, the virtues of 'Alī which are shared between him and the other Companions cannot be taken as unique characteristics that put him in pole position. However, that does not appear to be the objection raised in the letter ascribed to Shaykh al-Bishrī.

Forty Ahādīth on the virues of Abū Bakr and 'Umar Line State of Ab

1. Abū Hurayrah مَثَلِّتُهُ relates that he heard the Prophet مَثَلِّتُهُ saying:

عن أبي هريرة رضي الله عنه قال سمعت النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم يقول بينا أنا نائم رأيتني على قليب عليها دلو فنزعت منها ما شاء الله ثم أخذها ابن أبي قحافة فنزع بها ذنوبا أو ذنوبين وفي نزعه ضعف والله يغفر له ضعفه ثم استحالت غربا فأخذها ابن الخطاب فلم أر عبقريا من الناس ينزع نزع عمر حتى ضرب الناس بعطن

While I was sleeping, I saw myself standing at a well over which there was a bucket. I drew out as many buckets of water as Allah wished from it. Then Ibn Abī Quḥāfah took the bucket from me and drew out one or two full buckets, but there was weakness in his pull – Allah will forgive that. Then the bucket turned into a very large one and 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb took it. I have never seen any noble draw water with such strength as 'Umar did: to the extent that the people [drank to their satisfaction and] watered their camels to their fill; whereupon the camels sat beside the water."

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī ﷺ, Ḥadīth no: 3664; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no: 2392

The weakness in the drawing of water mentioned in this narration either refers to the short period of his Khilāfah, or the fact that much of it was dedicated to bringing people back into Islam during the Wars against Apostasy, hence the conquests had only just begun. In the time of 'Umar the Muslims conquered vast territories in a short span of time.

The forgiveness mentioned is not a consequence of Abū Bakr's actions, but what he deserved from his Lord. The Prophet was also instructed to seek forgiveness from his Lord in Sūrah al-Naṣr, one of the last Sūrahs to be revealed. Taking this into consideration the forgiveness mentioned in the Ḥadīth also could be interpreted to mean that Abū Bakr's passing will not be very long after the Prophet's مُعْلَمُونَا departure from this world.

A similar narration is reported from ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar فَنَهَا and Abū al-Ṭufayl المُعَالَّى،²

2. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar مُؤَلِّفَةُ relates that the Messenger of Allah مَالِّفَةُ relates that the Messenger of Allah مالمتعبد once came out of his home after the sun had risen and said to us:

عن ابن عمر قال خرج علينا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في ذات غداة بعد طلوع الشمس فقال رأيت قبيل الفجر كأني أعطيت المقاليد والموازين فأما المقاليد فهذه المفاتيح وأما الموازين فهذه التي تزنون بها فوضعت في كفة ووضعت أمتي في كفة فوزنت بهم فرجحت ثم جيء بأبي بكر فوزن بهم فوزن ثم جيء بعمان فوزن بهم ثم رفعت.

Before Fajr I had seen (in a dream) as if I had been given keys and scales; the keys are used for opening and the scales for weighing. I was placed on one pan (of the scale) and the rest of my Ummah were placed on the other pan, and I outweighed them. Then Abū Bakr was brought and weighed against then and he outweighed them. 'Umar was then brought and weigh

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī بَالْسَكِيْتِيكُ, Ḥadīth no: 3676; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Sahābah, Ḥadīth no: 2393

² Musnad Abī Ya'lā vol. 2 pg. 198, Ḥadīth no: 904

against them and he outweighed them. The same happened with 'Uthmān before it was lifted.¹

The mention of keys can be understood as a symbol of the imminent conquests that would occur at the hands of the Muslims. This Prophetic vision also demonstrates the superiority of the Prophet wision also demonstrates the superiority of Abū Bakr with over the entire Ummah, and the superiority of Abū Bakr with and Abū Bakr with the absence of the Prophet with and Abū Bakr with with the absence of the Prophet with what appears later. This is consistent with what appears later.

3. Abū Bakrah صَالِمُتُمَاتِهُ relates that the Prophet صَالِمُتُمَاتِهُ said one morning:

عن أبي بكرة أن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم قال ذات يوم من رأى منكم رؤيا فقال رجل أنا رأيت كان ميزانا نزل من السماء فوزنت أنت وأبو بكر فرجحت أنت بأبي بكر ووزن عمر وأبو بكر فرجح أبو بكر ووزن عمر وعثمان فرجح عمر ثم رفع الميزان فرأينا الكراهية في وجه رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم

"Whom amongst you had seen a dream?"

A man said, "I have. I saw as though a scale descended from the sky. You and Abū Bakr were weighed and you were heavier; Abū Bakr and Umar were weighed and Abū Bakr was heavier; 'Umar and 'Uthmān were weighed and 'Umar was heavier. The scale was then taken up."

We noticed signs of concern on the face of the Messenger of Allah 2^{2}

Abū Dāwūd relates the same narration with a slightly different chain going to Abū Bakrah . This version has the addition, "It will be a Khilāfah on the pattern of Prophethood, then Allah will grant kingdom to whomever He wishes."

¹ *Musnad Aḥmad* vol. 2 pg. 76 (old edition), al-Haythamī states in *Majmaʿ al-Zawāʾ id* vol. 9 pg. 58, "It is narrated by Aḥmad and al-Ṭabarānī, and their narrators are reliable."

² Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 4634; Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Ru'yā, Ḥadīth no: 2287

³ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 4635

Al-Ḥākim's version of this Ḥadīth is by way of Safīnah ﷺ, the freed slave of Umm Salamah ﷺ. It is worded similar to the Ḥadīth of Abū Bakrah ﷺ above, but it, too, has an additional statement of the Prophet ﷺ:

The Khilāfah will remain in my Ummah for thirty years, then it will become a monarchy after that.

Safīnah said, "Count. Abū Bakr's Khilāfah was 2 years, 'Umar's was 10 years, 'Uthmān's was 12 years, and 'Alī's was 6 years."

This narration differs from the narration of Ibn 'Umar comparison. The narration of Ibn 'Umar compares them against the entire Ummah, whereas the narration of Abu Bakrah cestablishes specifically the hierarchy of superiority among the Khulafā; Abū Bakr being the highest in rank, followed by 'Umar compares them against the entire Ummah, whereas the narration of Abu Bakrah cestablishes specifically the hierarchy of superiority among the Khulafā; Abū Bakr compares them against the entire Ummah, whereas the narration of Ibn 'Umar compares them against the entire Ummah, whereas the narration of Abu Bakrah cestablishes specifically the hierarchy of superiority among the Khulafā; Abū Bakr compares them against the entire Ummah, whereas the narration of Abu Bakrah cestablishes specifically the hierarchy of superiority among the Khulafā; Abū Bakrah cestablishes being the highest in rank, followed by 'Umar cestablishes' compares them against the entire Ummah, whereas the narration of Abu Bakrah cestablishes specifically the hierarchy of superiority among the Khulafā; Abū Bakrah cestablishes being the highest in rank, followed by 'Umar cestablishes' cestablishes are compared to the compared to the compared to the compared to the cestablishes are cestablishes as a cestablishes are cestablished to the cestablishes are cestablished to

4. Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allāh وَمُؤَلِّفُهُ relates that the Prophet مَثَلَّهُ said:

عن جابر بن عبد الله أنه كان يحدث أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال أري الليلة رجل صالح أن أبا بكر نيط برسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ونيط عمر بأبي بكر ونيط عثمان بعمر قال جابر فلما قمنا من عند رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قلنا أما الرجل الصالح فرسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وأما تنوط بعضهم ببعض فهم ولاة هذا الأمر الذي بعث الله به صلى الله عليه وسلم

Last night a righteous man had a vision in which Abū Bakr appeared to be joined to the Messenger of Allah , and 'Umar was joined to Abū Bakr, and 'Uthmān was joined to 'Umar.

Jabir said, "When we got up and left the gathering of the Messenger of Allah we said, 'The righteous man is the Messenger of Allah and that their being joined together is a symbol that they would eventually

¹ Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 71

be the custodians over this matter with which Allah has sent His Prophet $^{\prime\prime\prime}$

5. Samurah ibn Jundub ﴿ relates that a man reported that the Prophet هَ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلِيهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْ

عن سمرة بن جندب رضي الله عنه أن رجلا قال قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم رأيت كأن دلوا دلي من السماء فجاء أبو بكر فأخذ بعراقيها فشرب حتى تضلع ثم جاء عمر فأخذ بعراقيها فشرب حتى تضلع ثم جاء عثمان فأخذ بعراقيها فانتشطت وانتضح عليه منها شيء

I saw (in a dream) that a bucket was suspended from the sky. Abū Bakr came, caught hold of both ends of its wooden handle, and drank a little of it. Next came 'Umar who caught hold of both ends of its wooden handle and drank of it to his fill. Next came 'Uthmān who caught hold of both ends of its handle, but it began to shake and some (water) from it was spilled on him. ²

6. Ibn ʿAbbās or Abū Hurayrah عَنَوْنَتُهُ relate that a man came to the Messenger of Allah مَالَّنَا عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ مَا told him:

إن ابن عباس كان يحدث أن رجلا أتى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال إني رأيت الليلة في المنام ظلة تنطف السمن والعسل فأرى الناس يتكففون منها فالمستكثر والمستقل وإذا سبب واصل من الأرض إلى السماء فأراك أخذت به فعلوت ثم أخذ به رجل آخر فعلا به ثم أخذ به رجل آخر فعلا به ثم أخذ به رجل آخر فانقطع ثم وصل. فقال أبو بكر يا رسول الله بأبي أنت والله لتدعني فأعبرها فقال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم اعبرها قال أما الظلة فالإسلام وأما الذي ينطف من العسل والسمن فالقرآن حلاوته تنطف فالمستكثر من القرآن والمستقل وأما السبب الواصل من السماء إلى الأرض فالحق الذي أنت عليه تأخذ به فيعليك الله ثم يأخذ به رجل من بعدك فيعلو به ثم يأخذ به رجل آخر فيعلو به ثم يأخذه رجل آخر فينقطع به ثم يوصل له فيعلو به فأخبرني يا رسول الله بأبي أنت أصبت أم أخطأت قال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم أصبت بعضا وأخطأت قال لا تقسم

I saw a huge cloud in a dream and butter and honey were dripping from it. Then I saw people collecting it in their hands, some gathering much

¹ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Hadīth no. 4636

² Musnad Ahmad vol. 33 pg. 384, Hadīth 20242

and some gathering a little. Suddenly, there was a rope extending from the earth to the sky, and I saw that you (the Prophet) held it and went up, and then another man held it and went up and (after that) another (third) held it and went up, and then after another (fourth) man held it, but it broke and then got connected again.

Abu Bakr said, "O Messenger of Allah ﷺ, may my father be sacrificed for you! Allow me to interpret this dream."

The Prophet مَا تَعْمَاعِيْدَ said to him, "Interpret it."

Abu Bakr said, "The cloud with shade symbolizes Islam, and the butter and honey dripping from it, symbolizes the Qur'ān, its sweetness dripping. Then there are people who take from the Qur'ān in abundance and those who take less. The rope which is extended from the sky to the earth is the Truth which you (the Prophet) are upon. You follow it and Allah will raise you high with it, and then another man will follow it and will rise up with it and another person will follow it and then another man will follow it but it will break and then it will be connected for him and he will rise up with it. O Messenger of Allah, may my father be sacrificed for you! Am I right or wrong?"

The Prophet replied, "You (interpreted) some of it correctly and in some your erred."

Abū Bakr said, "O Prophet of Allah! By Allah, you must tell me in what I was wrong."

The Prophet $\widetilde{\textit{min}}$ said, "Do not take an oath."

7. Ḥudhayfah صَالِتَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّةُ relates that the Prophet صَالِتَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ said:

Follow the two after me Abū Bakr and 'Umar.²

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ta'bīr, Ḥadīth no: 7046; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ru'yā, Ḥadīth no: 2269

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3662

This narration is emphatic and unequivocal in proving that Abū Bakr and 'Umar were the most worthy of being followed after the Prophet's . It also demonstrates that they would perform the Prophet's duties as leader after he has departed from this world.

8. Anas ibn Mālik هُنَوْشَانِينَ relates:

عن أنس بن مالك قال بعثني بنو المصطلق إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقالوا سل لنا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إلى من ندفع صدقاتنا بعدك قال فأتيته فسألته فقال إلى أبي بكر فأتيتهم فأخبر تهم فقالوا ارجع إليه فسله فإن حدث بأبي بكر حدث فإلى من فأتيته فسألته فقال إلى عمر فأتيتهم فأخبر تهم فقالوا ارجع إليه فسله فإن حدث بعمر حدث فإلى من فأتيته فسألته فقال إلى عثمان فأتيتهم فأخبر تهم فقالوا ارجع إليه فسله فإن حدث بعثمان حدث فإلى من فأتيته فسألته فقال إن حدث بعثمان حدث فتبا لكم الدهر تبا

Banū al-Muṣṭāliq sent me to the Messenger of Allah telling me to ask him whom they should hand over their Ṣadaqāt to¹ after his passing. So I went to him and asked and he responded, "To Abū Bakr." In turn I returned and informed him of what the Messenger of Allah with said.

Then they asked me to return to the Prophet And ask him, "Whom shall we pay our Ṣadaqāt to, if something befalls Abū Bakr?" I went to him and asked him and he replied, "To 'Umar." I returned and informed him of what the Messenger of Allah

Then they asked me to return to the Prophet And ask him, "Whom shall we pay our Ṣadaqāt to, if something befalls 'Umar?" I went to him and asked him and he replied, "To 'Uthmān." I returned and informed him of what the Messenger of Allah

Then they asked me to return to the Prophet and ask him, "Whom shall we pay our Ṣadaqāt to, if something befalls 'Uthmān?" I went to him and asked him and he replied, "May time cause you to perish if anything were to happen to 'Uthmān!"

¹ It is common to refer to Zakāh as Sadagah

² Al-Mustadrak vol. 3 pg. 77; al-Dhahabī graded it Ṣaḥīḥ

This narration proves that they would be custodians of the Prophetic legacy after his المنافقة passing. While the narration does not assign the role of Khilāfah explicitly, it does imply that the Prophet ما was divinely informed of the future Khulafā' to which he did not object. On the contrary, he gave strong hints endorsing their appointments.

9. Jubayr ibn Muṭʿim مُنْوَفِينَةُ narrates:

A woman came to the Messenger and he instructed her to return to him later.

She said, "What should I do if I return and I do not find you?" It was as if she was implying death.

He replied, "If you do not find me then go to Abū Bakr." 1

Why would the Prophet مَالِسُّعَيْنَ inform her to go to Abū Bakr وَهَالِتُعَانِينَ if he was not a worthy candidate for Khilāfah?

10. ʿIrbāḍ ibn Sāriyah relates a sermon which the Prophet المُعْتَفِيَّةُ delivered during his final days:

عن العرباض بن سارية أنه يقول وعظنا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم موعظة ذرفت منها العيون ووجلت منها القلوب فقلنا يا رسول الله إن هذه لموعظة مودع فما تعهد إلينا قال قد تركتكم على البيضاء ليلها كنهارها لا يزيغ عنها بعدي إلا هالك فمن يعش منكم فسيرى اختلافا كثيرا فعليكم بما عرفتم من سنتي وسنة الخلفاء الراشدين المهديين عضوا عليها بالنواجذ وعليكم بالطاعة وإن عبدا حبشيا فإنما المؤمن كالجمل الأنف حشما قيد انقاد"

One day, the Messenger of Allah stood up among us and delivered a deeply moving speech that melted our hearts and caused our eyes to flow.

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitab Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī بَاللَّهُ , Ḥadīth no: 3459; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no: 2386

It was said to him, "O Messenger of Allah, it is as though you have delivered a speech of farewell, so enjoin something upon us."

He said, "I urge you to fear Allah, and to listen and obey, even if (your leader) is an Abyssinian slave. After I am gone, you will see great conflict. I urge you to adhere to my Sunnah and the path of the Rightly-Guided Khulafā', and cling to it with your molars. And beware of newly-invented matters, for every innovation is a deviation."

This narration enforces obedience to the leader, even an Abyssinian slave. Under what circumstances would an Abyssinian slave have authority over the Muslims? Surely, not by the divine appointment of twelve specific individuals. The Prophet also makes a distinction between the Khilāfah Rāshidah from the abstract post of Khalīfah. The Khulafā' Rāshidūn are worthy of emulation. The Prophet already informed us to emulate Abū Bakr and 'Umar which is an indicator of the fact that they are from the Khulafā' Rashidūn without any doubt.

11. Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī نَاسَعَتُ relates that the Prophet مَاسَعَتُ delivered a sermon. In it he said:

عن أبي سعيد الخدري قال خطب النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال إن الله خير عبدا بين الدنيا وبين ما عنده فاختار ما عند الله فبكى أبو بكر الصديق رضي الله عنه فقلت في نفسي ما يبكي هذا الشيخ إن يكن الله خير عبدا بين الدنيا وبين ما عنده فاختار ما عند الله فكان رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم هو العبد وكان أبو بكر أعلمنا قال يا أبا بكر لا تبك إن أمن الناس على في صحبته وماله أبو بكر ولو كنت متخذا خليلا من أمتي لاتخذت أبا بكر ولكن أخوة الإسلام ومودته لا يبقين في المسجد باب إلا سد إلا باب أبي بكر

Allah gave a choice to one of (His) slaves either to choose this world or what is with Him in the Hereafter. He chose the latter.

Abū Bakr began to weep. I said to myself, "What is this old man weeping for, if Allah gave a choice to one (of His) slaves either to choose this world

¹ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 3607, Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-ʿIlm, Ḥadīth no: 2676; ibn Mājah, al-Muqaddimah, Ḥadīth no: 42

or what is with Him in the Hereafter and he chose the latter?" (However) that slave was Allah's Messenger ; he was referring himself. Abū Bakr was more knowledgeable than us.

The Prophet مَالَّهُ عَلَيْهُ said, "O Abū Bakr! Do not cry."

The Prophet then added, "The person who has favoured me the most with his wealth and companionship is Abū Bakr. If I were to take a Khalīl (close friend) other than Allah, I would certainly have taken Abū Bakr. Nevertheless we share the Islamic bond of brotherhood and friendship. No door leading into the Masjid is to be left open besides the door of Abū Bakr."

This narration establishes the longstanding friendship between Abū Bakr and the Prophet for In it, the Prophet for acknowledges the favours Abū Bakr has done for him and states that none can match the financial and physical contributions of Abū Bakr has be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading to the Masjid be closed, except the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors leading the door of Abū Bakr has all doors le

12. Abū Hurayrah وَصَالِتُهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَالَمُ relates that the Prophet صَالِّلْتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالًا

عن أبي هريرة قال قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ما لأحد عندنا يد إلا وقد كافيناه ما خلا أبا بكر فإن له عندنا يدا يكافئه الله بها يوم القيامة وما نفعني مال أحد قط ما نفعني مال أبي بكر ولو كنت متخذا خليلا لاتخذت أبا بكر خليلا ألا وإن صاحبكم خليل الله

No one has done a favour for us except that we have repaid him in full, with the exception of Abū Bakr. Verily his favour upon us is such that Allah will repay him on the Day of Judgement. The wealth of none of you has benefited me as much as the wealth of Abū Bakr. Were I to take a close companion it would have been Abū Bakr; however my Khalīl is Allah.²

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Salāt, Hadīth no: 466; Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb Fadā'il al-Sahābah, Hadīth no: 2382

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no.3661

In this narration the Prophet fraction praises Abū Bakr excessively inferring that only Allah can repay the favours of Abū Bakr adequately. This proves Abū Bakr's longstanding commitment and dedication to the cause of Islam from its earliest days.

13. Ibn ʿAbbās الْمُتَوْنِيَاتُينَ relates that the Prophet مَا لِيَنْ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَيْهُ عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَاللَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَا عَلَّا عَلّ

If I were to take a Khalil, I would have taken Abu Bakr, but he is my brother and my companion.¹

In this narration the Prophet And refers to Abū Bakr as his brother. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn had earlier attempted to connect the kinship between the Prophet And 'Alī and 'Al

14. Abū al-Dardā ﴿ relates an incident that he witnessed when he was sitting with the Prophet صَالِتُمُ one day:

عن أبي الدرداء رضي الله عنه قال كنت جالسا عند النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم إذ أقبل أبو بكر آخذا بطرف ثوبه حتى أبدى عن ركبته فقال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم أما صاحبكم فقد غامر فسلم وقال إني كان بيني وبين ابن الخطاب شيء فأسرعت إليه ثم ندمت فسألته أن يغفر لى فأبي على فأقبلت إليك فقال يغفر الله

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَثَالِمُتُعَلِّعُوسَلُو, Ḥadīth no: 3656

لك يا أبا بكر ثلاثا ثم إن عمر ندم فأتى منزل أبي بكر فسأل أثم أبو بكر فقالوا لا فأتى إلى النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم فسلم فسلم فجعل وجه النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم يتمعر حتى أشفق أبو بكر فجثا على ركبتيه فقال يا رسول الله والله أنا كنت أظلم مرتين فقال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم إن الله بعثني إليكم فقلتم كذبت وقال أبو بكر صدق وواساني بنفسه وماله فهل أنتم تاركوا لي صاحبي مرتين فما أوذي بعدها

I was sitting with the Prophet when Abū Bakr headed towards us holding onto the edge of his cloak to the extent that he exposed his knees. The Prophet said, "It appears as if your companion is upset."

Abū Bakr greeted and said, "O Messenger of Allah! Something happened between me and Ibn al-Khaṭṭāb ('Umar). I hastened (after the incident) towards him and regretted (my action) and asked him to forgive me but he refused so I came to you."

The Prophet said, "May Allah forgive you, O Abū Bakr," repeating it thrice.

Then 'Umar regretted his action and went to the house of Abū Bakr and asked, "Is Abū Bakr home?" and they replied that he was not. He then went to the Prophet and when the Prophet saw him, his face turned red to the point that Abū Bakr regretted (taking the matter to the Prophet).

Abū Bakr sat up and said, "O Messenger of Allah! I was the one in the wrong," repeating it twice.

The Prophet then said, "Allah sent me to you and you all said, 'you are lying,' and Abū Bakr said, 'you speak the truth,' he provided me with both physical and financial assistance. Will you not leave my companion for my sake?" repeating it twice. He (Abū Bakr) was never inconvenienced again after that.¹

This narration proves the superiority of Abū Bakr's was rank over 'Umar was. It also demonstrates the Prophet was empathy for Abū Bakr was is afforded the accolade of having supporting

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَا اللُّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهِ بِهِ Hadīth no: 4361

when there was no one else; highlighting his early acceptance of Islam. The mode of expression used by the Prophet signifies the important role of Abū Bakr in the early days as there was no one who was mature in age, and who had influence, who supported the cause of Islam. 'Alī نقاقه was a young boy at this time. May Allah be pleased with them all.

15. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar مَثَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ narrates that the Prophet صَالِّتُهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْكُ عِلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَي

O Allah! Honour Islam through the most beloved of these two men to you: Through Abū Jahl or through 'Umar ibn Al-Khaṭṭāb. He also said, "The most beloved to Him – from the two – was 'Umar."

'Umar's Islam was a consequence of the Prophet's supplication. It also indicates that Allah brought honour to Islam through 'Umar in addition to Him loving 'Umar www. Would Allah bring honour to Islam with 'Umar, only for him to bring dishonour by misappropriating the Khilāfah? Unless one believes that Allah is indecisive, this narration is a form of Divine approval for 'Umar www.

16. 'Abd Allāh ibn Mas'ūd recalled the difficulty experienced by the Muslims and Makkah. They were subject to persecution and abuse, and they could not pray openly in front of the Ka'bah. The situation changed completely after 'Umar accepted Islam; which attests the fruition of the Prophetic request; that Allah brings honour to Islam through 'Umar Libn Mas'ūd says:

We continued to be resilient since 'Umar embraced Islam.²

¹ Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 9 pg. 506 Ḥadīth no: 5696; Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3681

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī صَالِمُعَلِيْنِيَالِهُ, Ḥadīth no: 3684

Ibn 'Abbās Felates that when the Quraysh heard of 'Umar's Felates that when the Quraysh heard of 'Umar's Felates that the Muslims could not pray in public before 'Umar accepted Islam. After he accepted Islam none of the Quraysh dared to interfere with anyone praying out of fear of 'Umar's retaliation.² These are all accounts which confirm the honour that was achieved through 'Umar's Felates acceptance of Islam.

17. Ḥudhayfah هُنَوْشَافِينَ relates:

عن شقيق قال سمعت حذيفة يقول بينا نحن جلوس عند عمر إذ قال أيكم يحفظ قول النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم في الفتنة قال فتنة الرجل في أهله وماله وولده وجاره تكفرها الصلاة والصدقة والأمر بالمعروف والنهي عن المنكر قال ليس عن هذا أسألك ولكن التي تموج كموج البحر قال ليس عليك منها بأس يا أمير المؤمنين إن بينك وبينها بابا مغلقا قال عمر أيكسر الباب أم يفتح قال بل يكسر قال عمر إذا لا يغلق أبدا قلت أجل. قلنا لحذيفة أكان عمر يعلم الباب قال نعم كما يعلم أن دون غد ليلة وذلك أني حدثته حديثا ليس بالأغاليط. فهبنا أن نسأله من الباب فأمرنا مسروقا فسأله فقال من الباب قال عمر

Once, while we were sitting with 'Umar, he said, "Who among you remembers the statement of the Prophet about the tribulation?"

Hudhayfah replied, "The of a man is in his family, his property, his children and his neighbours. These are expiated by his prayers, charity, and enjoining good and forbidding evil."

'Umar said, "It is not about those that I ask, but about those afflictions which will move like the waves of the sea."

Ḥudhayfah said, "Don't worry about it, O Amīr al-Mu'minīn, for there is a closed door between you and these [tribulations]."

'Umar then asked, "Will that door be broken or opened?"

I responded, "No. it will be broken."

¹ Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah vol. 1 pg. 248

² Tabaqāt ibn Sa'd vol. 3 pg. 270

'Umar said, "In that case it will never be closed."

I said, "Yes." – the Narrator says – We asked Ḥudhayfah, "Did 'Umar know what that door meant?"

He replied, "Yes! Just as he knew that there will be night will precede the morning. That is because I narrated it to him, free from errors."

We dared not ask Ḥudhayfah as to whom the door symbolized so we ordered Masrūq to ask him what does the door stand for?

He replied, "'Umar."1

This narration proves that 'Umar was a barrier and fortress against a colossal tragedy that would afflict the Ummah. The conspiracies and clandestine movements began after the demise of 'Umar, which resulted in the first Fitnah which raised its head at the end of 'Uthmān's was Khilāfah.

18. Thumāmah ibn Ḥazan ibn Ḥazan relates what he observed at the home of Uthmān when the rebels lay siege to it:

عن ثمامة بن حزن القشيري قال شهدت الدار حين أشرف عليهم عثمان فقال اتتوني بصاحبيكم اللذين ألباكم علي. قال فجيء بهما فكأنهما جملان أو كأنهما حماران قال فأشرف عليهم عثمان فقال أنشدكم بالله والإسلام هل تعلمون أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قدم المدينة وليس بها ماء يستعذب غير بثر رومة فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم من يشتري بئر رومة فيجعل دلوه مع دلاء المسلمين بخير له منها في الجنة فاشتريتها من صلب مالي فأنتم اليوم تمنعوني أن أشرب منها حتى أشرب من ماء البحر. قالوا اللهم نعم. فقال أنشدكم بالله والإسلام هل تعلمون أن المسجد ضاق بأهله فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم من يشتري بقعة آل فلان فيزيدها في المسجد بخير له منها في الجنة فاشتريتها من صلب مالي فأنتم اليوم تمنعوني أن أصلي فيها ركعتين قالوا اللهم نعم. قال أنشدكم بالله وبالإسلام هل تعلمون أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم كان على ثبير مكة ومعه أبو بكر وعمر وأنا فتحرك الجبل حتى تساقطت حجارته بالحضيض قال فركضه برجله وقال اسكن ثبير فإنما عليك نبي وصديق وشهيدان قالوا اللهم نعم. قال الله الله ورب الكعبة أني شهيد ثلاثا

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 7097; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 144

'Uthmān emerged from his home saying, "Bring me your two companions who have turned you against me."

So they were brought as if they were two camels, or as if they were two donkeys.

'Uthman emerged from above and said, "I adjure you by Allah and by Islam, are you aware that when the Messenger of Allah came to Al-Madinah, and it had no sweet water (suitable for drinking) except the well of Rūmah, he said, 'Who will purchase the well of Rūmah and dip his bucket in it alongside the buckets of the Muslims [i.e. his share in it will be like the rest of the Muslims], in return for a better one in Paradise?' and I purchased it with my capital? Yet today you are preventing me from drinking from it, so that I have to drink salty water?"

They said, "By Allah, yes."

He said, "I adjure you by Allah and by Islam, are you aware that I equipped the army of *Al-'Usrah* (at the expedition of Tabūk) from my own wealth?"

They said, "By Allah, yes."

He said, "I adjure you by Allah and by Islam, are you aware that when the Masjid became too small for the people and the Messenger of Allah said, 'Who will buy the land belonging to the family of so and so and add it to the Masjid, in return for a better piece of land in Paradise?' I bought it with my capital and added it to the Masjid? Yet now you are preventing me from praying two Rak'ahs therein."

They said, "By Allah, yes."

He said, "I adjure you by Allah and by Islam, are you aware that when the Messenger of Allah was on (the mountain of) Thabīr—in Makkah—and with him were Abū Bakr, 'Umar and myself, the mountain shook, and the Messenger of Allah stamped it with his foot and said, 'Be still, Thabīr! Upon you are none other than a Prophet, a Siddīq and two martyrs!"

They said, "By Allah, yes."

He said, "Allahu Akbar! They have testified for me, by the Lord of the Ka'bah [i.e. that I am a martyr]!" He repeated this thrice.

While this narration primarily speaks of the merits of 'Uthmān 'www, it also confirms other significant facts. 'Uthmān 'www was murdered so he was a martyr. 'Umar 'www was also murdered and also attained martyrdom. The difference was that 'Umar 'www was murdered by a disbeliever, whereas 'Uthmān 'www was murdered by the Muslims. This confirms the status of al-Siddīq for Abū Bakr 'www.

19. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās كالمُونِينَ relates:

عن ابن عباس قال حدثني عمر بن الخطاب قال لما كان يوم بدر نظر رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إلى المشركين وهم ألف وأصحابه ثلاثمائة وتسعة عشر رجلا فاستقبل نبي الله صلى الله عليه وسلم القبلة ثم مديديه فبععل يهتف بربه اللهم أنجز لي ما وعدتني اللهم آت ما وعدتني اللهم إن تهلك هذه العصابة من أهل الإسلام لا تعبد في الأرض فمازال يهتف بربه مادا يديه مستقبل القبلة حتى سقط رداؤه عن منكبيه فأتاه أبو بكر فأخذ رداءه فألقاه على منكبيه ثم التزمه من ورائه وقال يا نبي الله كذاك مناشدتك ربك فإنه سينجز لك ما وعدك فأنزل الله عز وجل إذ تستغيثون ربكم فاستجاب لكم أني ممدكم بألف من الملائكة مردفين فأمده الله بالملائكة قال أبو زميل فحدثني ابن عباس قال بينما رجل من المسلمين يومئذ يشتد في أثر رجل من المشركين أمامه إذ سمع ضربة بالسوط فوقه وصوت الفارس يقول أقدم حيزوم فنظر

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Hadīth no: 3703; al-Nasā'ī, Kitāb al-Ahbās, Hadīth no: 3608

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī ﷺ, Ḥadīth no: 3675, 3686, 3699; Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Hadīth no: 4651; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Hadīth no: 3697

³ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no: 2417; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3696

⁴ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 4648; ibn Mājah, al-Muqaddimah, Ḥadīth no: 134

إلى المشرك أمامه فخر مستلقيا فنظر إليه فإذا هو قد خطم أنفه وشق وجهه كضربة السوط فاخضر ذلك أجمع فجاء الأنصاري فحدث بذلك رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال صدقت ذلك من مدد السماء الثالثة فقتلوا يومئذ سبعين وأسروا سبعين قال أبو زميل قال ابن عباس فلما أسروا الأسارى قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم لأبي بكر وعمر ما ترون في هؤلاء الأسارى فقال أبو بكر يا نبي الله هم بنو العم والعشيرة أرى أن تأخذ منهم فدية فتكون لنا قوة على الكفار فعسى الله أن يهديهم للإسلام فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ما ترى يا ابن الخطاب قلت لا والله يا رسول الله ما أرى الذي رأى أبو بكر ولكني أرى أن تمكنا فنضرب أعناقهم فتمكن عليا من عقيل فيضرب عنقه وتمكني من فلان نسيبا لعمر فأضرب عنقه فإن هؤلاء أثمة الكفر وصناديدها فهوي رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ما قال أبو بكر ولم فأضرب عنقه فإن هؤلاء أثمة الكفر وصناديدها فهوي رسول الله عليه وسلم وأبو بكر قاعدين يبكيان قلت يا رسول الله أخبرني من أى شيء تبكي أنت وصاحبك فإن وجدت بكاء بكيت وإن لم أجد بكاء تباكيت يرض على عذابهم أدنى من هذه الشجرة شجرة قريبة من نبي الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وأنزل الله عزض على عذابهم أدنى من هذه الشجرة شجرة قريبة من نبي الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وأنزل الله عزب وجل ما كان لنبي أن يكون له أسرى حتى يثخن في الأرض إلى قوله فكلوا مما غنمتم حلالا طيبا فأحل الله الغنيمة لهم

On the day on which the Battle of Badr was fought, the Messenger of Allah booked in the direction of the disbelievers, and they were one thousand while his own Companions were only three hundred and nineteen in number. The Prophet turned (his face) towards the Qiblah. Then he stretched his hands and began supplicating to his Lord,

"O Allah, fulfil for me what You have promised to me. O Allah, that which You have promised to me. O Allah, if this small band of Muslims is destroyed, You will not be worshipped on this earth."

He continued beseeching his Lord, stretching his hands, facing the Qibla, until eventually his shawl slipped off from his shoulders. So, Abu Bakr came to him, picked it up and put it back on his shoulders. Then he embraced him from behind and said, "O Prophet of Allah, this prayer of yours to your Lord will suffice, and He will fulfill for you what He has promised you."

Immediately thereafter Allah revealed (the verse):

When you appealed to your Lord for help, He responded to your call (saying): I will reinforce you with one thousand angels coming in succession. [Sūrah al-Anfāl: 9]

So Allah sent Angels as reinforcements for him.

On that day, a Muslim was pursuing a disbeliever when he heard the crack of a whip from above, and the voice of the rider saying, "Forward, Ḥayzūm!" He looked towards the disbeliever who had (now) fallen down on his back. When he looked at him he observed that there was a scar on his nose and his face was lacerated as if it had been lashed with a whip, and had turned green with its poison. An Anṣārī came to the Messenger of Allah and related this (event) to him. He said, "You are correct. This was the help from the third heaven."

On that day (i.e. the day of the Battle of Badr) the Muslims killed seventy enemy combatants and captured seventy. The Messenger of Allah said to Abū Bakr and 'Umar "What is your opinion about these captives?"

Abū Bakr said, "They are our relatives and blood. I think you should release them after getting from them a ransom. This will be a source of strength to us against the infidels. It is quite possible that Allah may guide them to Islam."

Then the Messenger of Allah said, "What is your opinion, Ibn Khattāb?"

He said, "O Messenger of Allah, I do not hold the same opinion as Abū Bakr. I suggest that you should hand them over to us so that we may cut off their heads. Hand over 'Aqīl to 'Alī that he may cut off his head, and hand over such and such relative to me that I may cut off his head. They are leaders of the disbelievers and veterans among them."

'Umar continued, "The Messenger of Allah preferred the opinion of Abū Bakr and did not approve what I said. The next day when I came to the Messenger of Allah found that both he and Abū Bakr were in tears. I said, 'O Messenger of Allah, why are you and your companion crying? Tell me the reason. I will also cry, or I will at least try to cry in empathy.'

The Messenger of Allah said, 'I weep for what has happened to your companions for taking ransom (from the prisoners). I was shown the torture to which they were subjected. It was brought to me as close as this tree."

(He pointed to a tree close to him.) Then Allah revealed the verse:

It is not proper for a prophet that he should take prisoners until the force of the disbelievers has been crushed...¹

to the end of the verse

So consume [now] what you have taken of war booty [as being] lawful and good, and fear Allah . Indeed, Allah is Forgiving and Merciful.²

So, Allah made war booty lawful for them.3

It is evident from this narration that both Abū Bakr and 'Umar' were part of the Prophet's inner circle and he turned to them for counsel on matters that affected the Muslims. Abū Bakr's were correlation to the Prophet for preceded the revelation of the first verse in the narration. 'Umar's were opinion on how to deal with the prisoners of war was preferred by Allah, and the next series of verses came. Even though 'Umar's were suggestion was aligned to what was later revealed, the Prophet for relied on Abū Bakr's were counsel. This clearly demonstrates the Prophet's for confidence in their capacity to lead the Ummah, and it further demonstrates the extent to which they intuitively identified the objectives of the Sharī'ah.

20. The Prophet مَا مَنْ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ attested to the purity of Abū Bakr's الله heart, and confirmed that it was free of pride. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar المنافقة relates that Allah's Messenger مَا مَنْ اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْكُ اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلْمُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللّهُ عَلَّمُ عَلَى اللّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَى اللّ

¹ Sūrah al-Anfāl: 67

² Sūrah al-Anfāl: 69

³ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Jihād wal-Siyar, Ḥadīth no: 1763

عن عبد الله بن عمر رضي الله عنهما قال قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم من جر ثوبه خيلاء لم ينظر الله إليه يوم القيامة فقال أبو بكر إن أحد شقي ثوبي يسترخي إلا أن أتعاهد ذلك منه فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إنك لست تصنع ذلك خيلاء

"Allah will not look on the Day of Judgment at the person who drags his robe out of pride."

Abu Bakr asked (out of concern), "One side of my robe (accidentally) slips down unless I constantly pay special attention to it."

The Messenger of Allah Acceptable exempted him saying, "You do not do that out of pride."

The Prophet المناسبية testified to matters of the heart; matters which no human is privy to except those inspired by revelation. Abū Bakr's spiritual excellence is divinely attested to.

21. Abū Hurayrah مُنْقِطِينَةُ relates:

عن أبي هريرة قال قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم من أصبح منكم اليوم صائما قال أبو بكر أنا قال فمن عاد فمن تبع منكم اليوم جنازة قال أبو بكر أنا قال فمن أطعم منكم اليوم مسكينا قال أبو بكر أنا قال فمن الله عليه وسلم ما اجتمعن في امرئ إلا دخل منكم اليوم مريضا قال أبو بكر أنا فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ما اجتمعن في امرئ إلا دخل الحنة

The Prophet ﷺ once asked, "Who among you is fasting today?"

Abū Bakr replied, "I am."

The Prophet then asked, "Who among you followed a Janāzah today?"

Abu Bakr replied, "I did."

The Prophet مناشخين asked, "Who among you fed a poor person today?"

Abū Bakr replied, "I have."

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَثَالِمُتُعَلِيْكِ , Ḥadīth no: 3665

The Prophet ناه went on to ask, "Who among you visited a sick person today?"

Abū Bakr said, "I did."

Upon this the Messenger of Allah said, "Anyone in whom (these good deeds) are combined will certainly enter paradise."

This narration confirms the excellent traits which were combined in the character of Abū Bakr . In each of these qualities he demonstrates empathy and concern for those around him. He is a person who needed not be prompted to see to the needs of his community. Such compassion, care, and dedication are necessary traits in a leader; moreso the successor of the Final Messenger.

22. Abū Hurayrah مُتَوَلِّمَةُ relates that he heard the Messenger مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللهُ saying:

عن أبي هريرة قال سمعت رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يقول من أنفق زوجين من شيء من الأشياء في سبيل الله دعي من أبواب يعني الجنة يا عبد الله هذا خير فمن كان من أهل الصلاة دعي من باب الصلاة ومن كان من أهل الصدقة دعي من باب الصدقة ومن كان من أهل الصدقة دعي من باب الصدقة ومن كان من أهل الصيام دعي من باب الصيام وباب الريان فقال أبو بكر ما على هذا الذي يدعى من تلك الأبواب من ضرورة وقال هل يدعى منها كلها أحد يا رسول الله قال نعم وأرجو أن تكون منهم يا أبا بكر

Whoever spends a pair of something in the Path of Allah will be summoned from the doors of Paradise, "O slave of Allah! This (door) is better (for you)." Whoever was regular with Ṣalāh will be summoned from the door of the Ṣalāh (in Paradise). Whoever was regular with Jihād will be summoned from the door of Jihād. Whoever was regular with Ṣadaqah will be summoned from the door of Ṣadaqah. Whoever observed fast regularly will be summoned from the door of fasting, the gate of Rayyān.

Abū Bakr said, "Whoever is summoned from any of these doors will have no further need, but will anyone be summoned from all these doors, O Messenger of Allah?"

¹ Şaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Zakāt, Ḥadīth no: 1028

The Prophet replied, "Yes! and I expect that you will be among those. O Abu Bakr!"

This narration is further confirmation of Abū Bakr's elevated spiritual rank. It is also a testification from the Prophet to the acceptance of Abū Bakr's wide-spectrum of righteous deeds, and that he is guaranteed a lofty position in Jannah.

23. ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar وَخَلِيَّكُ relates that the Prophet صَالَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ said:

عن ابن عمر أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال إن الله جعل الحق على لسان عمر وقلبه. وقال ابن عمر ما نزل بالناس أمر قط فقالوا فيه وقال فيه عمر أو قال ابن الخطاب فيه شك خارجة إلا نزل فيه القرآن على نحو ما قال عمر وفي الباب عن الفضل بن العباس وأبى ذر وأبي هريرة

"Indeed Allah has put the truth upon the tongue and in the heart of 'Umar."

Ibn 'Umar said, "No matter occurred among the people, except that they said something about it, and 'Umar held a position on it," – or he said "Ibn al-Khaṭṭāb" Khārijah (one of the narrators) was not entirely certain which expression was used – "except that the Qur'an was revealed in line with what 'Umar had said."

The Prophet is testifying to integrity of heart and speech of 'Umar is informing the entire Ummah that 'Umar has been inspired by Allah with an intuitive recognition of the nature of the Sharī'ah. These are necessary traits for a Rightly Guided Khalīfah who is to be a role model for generations to come; and at whose hands the consolidation of Dīn will be accomplished.

24. Abū Hurayrah وَصَالَتُهُ reports that the Prophet مَثَالِتُمُعَلِيْهِ said:

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَا بِاللَّهُ بِيَّالِيَهُ , Ḥadīth no: 3666; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Zakāh, Hadīth no: 1027

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3682

عن أبي هريرة رضي الله عنه عن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم قال إنه قد كان فيما مضى قبلكم من الأمم محدثون وإنه إن كان في أمتى هذه منهم فإنه عمر بن الخطاب

In the nations that preceded you there were people who were Muḥaddathūn (i.e. divinely inspired, though they were not prophets), and if there are any such person amongst my followers, it is 'Umar ibn al-Khattāb.¹

This narration elaborates on the previous one. 'Umar نقيقَة' is the inspired genius of this Ummah, and the distinguished Mujtahid. This accolade is conferred upon him by none other than Allah's Messenger مَا اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنِ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ اللهُ عَلَيْنِ اللهُ عَلِيْنِ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ اللهُ عَلَيْنِ اللهُ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِي عَلَيْنِ عَلِي عَلَيْنِ عَلِي عَلَيْنِ عَلِي عَلَيْنِ عَلِي عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْن

25. Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ مُنْوَفِينَةُ relates

عن محمد بن سعد بن أبي وقاص عن أبيه قال استأذن عمر بن الخطاب على رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وعنده نسوة من قريش يكلمنه ويستكثرنه عالية أصواتهن على صوته فلما استأذن عمر بن الخطاب قمن فبادرن الحجاب فأذن له رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فدخل عمر ورسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يضحك فقال عمر أضحك الله سنك يا رسول الله فقال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم عجبت من هؤلاء اللاتي كن عندي فلما سمعن صوتك ابتدرن الحجاب فقال عمر فأنت أحق أن يهبن يا رسول الله ثم قال عمر يا عدوات أنفسهن أتهبنني ولا تهبن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقلن نعم أنت أفظ وأغلظ من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إيها يا ابن الخطاب والذي من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم إيها يا ابن الخطاب والذي نفسي بيده ما لقيك الشيطان سالكا فجا قط إلا سلك فجا غير فجك

'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb sought permission from the Messenger of Allah sought, in whose gathering there were some women from Quraysh who were talking to him and asking him for more financial support, raising their voices above the voice of the Messenger in the process.

When 'Umar sought permission to enter, they hastened to put on their veils. When the Messenger of Allah granted permission 'Umar entered and found that the Messenger of Allah was smiling, so 'Umar said, "O Messenger of Allah! May Allah always keep you smiling."

¹ *Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī*, Kitāb Aḥādīth al-Anbiyā, Ḥadīth no: 3469; *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim*, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Hadīth no: 2398

The Prophet said, "I am surprized at these women who were with me. As soon as they heard your voice, they hastened to veil themselves."

'Umar said, "O Messenger of Allah, you have more right to be feared by them than I."

Then 'Umar addressed the women saying, "O enemies of your own selves! You fear me more than you do Allah's Messenger?"

The Messenger then said, "O son of al-Khaṭṭāb! By Him in Whose Hands my life is! Never does Shayṭān find you going on a path except that he takes another path; other than yours."

26. ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar نَوْسَنَا relates that he heard the Prophet عَالِمُنا اللهِ relates that he heard the Prophet saying:

While I was sleeping, I saw that a bowl full of milk was brought to me and I drank my fill until I felt its wetness in my limbs . Then I gave the remaining milk to $^{\circ}$ Umar ibn al-Khattāb.

When asked about how he interpreted it he said that he interpreted it to mean knowledge (of $D\bar{n}$).²

27. Anas ibn Mālik வேண் relates that 'Umar வெண்க் said:

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī جَالِيَةُ , Ḥadīth no:3683; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Sahābah هَنْ إِلَيْهِ , Ḥadīth no: 2396

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Taʿbīr, Ḥadīth no: 7007 ; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍāʾil al-Ṣaḥābah , Ḥadīth no: 2391

عن أنس بن مالك قال قال عمر بن الخطاب رضي الله عنه وافقت ربي في ثلاث فقلت يا رسول الله لو اتخذنا من مقام إبراهيم مصلى فنزلت واتخذوا من مقام إبراهيم مصلى [البقرة ١٢٥] وآية الحجاب قلت يا رسول الله لو أمرت نساءك أن يحتجبن فإنه يكلمهن البر والفاجر فنزلت آية الحجاب واجتمع نساء النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم في الغيرة عليه فقلت لهن عسى ربه إن طلقكن أن يبدله أزواجا خيرا منكن فذلت هذه الآبة "

I concurred with my Lord on three matters:

I said, "O Messenger of Allah تَالْسَعُنِينَّة; I wish we took the Maqām Ibrāhīm as a place for some of our prayers. So came the Divine Revelation:

And take the Maq \bar{a} m Ibr \bar{a} h \bar{i} m as a place of prayer (for some of your prayers)...¹

Also, the (verse of) the veiling of the women, I said, "O Messenger of Allah بالمائة ; I wish you ordered your wives to cover themselves from strange men because good and bad ones talk to them." So the verse of the veiling of the women was revealed.

Once, the wives of the Prophet from against the Prophet and I said to them, "It is possible if he (the Prophet) divorced you, that his Lord would replace him with wives better than you." So this verse (worded as he had said) was revealed, i.e. [Sūrah al-Tahrīm: 5].²

28. ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar ﴿ relates that ʿUmar ﴿ said:

I concurred with my Lord (in my Ijtihād) on three occasions. In matter of Maqām Ibrāhīm, in the matter of Ḥijāb and in the matter of the prisoners of Badr.³

¹ Sūrah al-Bagarah: 125

² Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Salāh, Hadīth no: 402

³ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah , Ḥadīth no: 2399

These are manifestions of the Prophet's ﴿ description of 'Umar فَالْمُعَنَّفِ description of 'Umar فَالْمُعَنَّفِ description of 'Umar فَالْمُعَنِّفُ being divinely inspired.

29. Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī المُعَلَّفَةُ reports that the heard the Messenger of Allah مَالَمُعَلِّدُونَ saying:

عن أبي سعيد الخدري رضي الله عنه قال سمعت رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يقول بينا أنا نائم رأيت الناس عرضوا علي وعليهم قمص فمنها ما يبلغ الثدي ومنها ما يبلغ دون ذلك وعرض علي عمر وعليه قميص اجتره قالوا فما أولته يا رسول الله قال الدين

While I was sleeping, the people were presented to me (in a dream), wearing shirts. Some of which were barely covered their (chests), and others were a bit longer. Umar was presented before me and his shirt was so long that he was dragging it.

They asked, "How have you interpreted it, O Messenger of Allah # ?"

He مَثَانِّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَمَسَلَّمَ said, "Dīn."1

30. Anas ﴿ مَا لَهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ لَهُ that he said about Abū Bakr and 'Umar عَنْهُ عَلَيْهُ :

These two are the masters of the elder people among the all the inhabitants of Paradise, from the first to the last, except for the Prophets and Messengers.²

A similar narration is related by way of 'Alī مَا اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ . The only difference in his version is that the Prophet مَا اللهُ ال

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī ఈ , Ḥadīth no:3691; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah ఈ, Ḥadīth no: 2390

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāgib, Hadīth no: 3665

³ Ibn Mājah, al-Muqaddimah, Ḥadīth no: 95

31. Abū 'Uthmān al-Nahdī relates:

عن أبي عثمان أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بعث عمرو بن العاص على جيش ذات السلاسل قال فأتيته فقلت أي الناس أحب إليك قال عائشة قلت من الرجال قال أبوها قلت ثم من قال عمر فعد رجالا فسكت مخافة أن يجعلني في آخرهم

The Messenger of Allah sent 'Amr ibn al-'Āṣ as the commander of the army on the expedition of Dhāt al-Salāsil. (On his return) 'Amr as asking him, 'Whom is the most beloved of all people to you?'

He replied, "Ā'ishah."

I said, '(I meant) from amongst the men?'

He replied, 'Her father (Abū Bakr)'.

I said, 'Whom (do you love the most) after him?'

He replied, "Umar'. Then he listed the names of many men, and I remained silent after that [i.e. stopped asking him] for fear that he might regard me as the last of them."

The Prophet's food mannerism, and manner of dealing with people always made them feel so special that they assumed they must have been the most beloved to him. This was also the case 'Amr ibn al-Āṣ ''éééé'; only in his case he was put in charge of an army comprising of the senior companions ''éééé. Under these circumstances he anticipated that he must have been one of the most beloved to the Messenger of Allah 'éééé. He was not surprised to hear the name 'Ā'ishah 'éééé, nor Abū Bakr 'éééé, nor 'Umar 'éééé, but he expected to feature on the top. We learn that these were the most beloved to the Prophet 'éééééé by his own statement, and by the fact that it was common knowedge among the Ṣaḥābah 'éééé.

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth no: 4358; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah κώω, Ḥadīth no:2384

32. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar Riemes relates:

We used to say during the time of the Prophet نواتشنان: We do not compare anyone with Abū Bakr. 'Umar came next and then 'Uthmān. We then would leave (rest of) the companions of the Prophet without considering any as superior to another.¹

One might ask about the lack of mention of the status of 'Alī 'Libes' in this narration, even though his position is immediately after 'Uthmān 'Libes' according to Ahl al-Sunnah. This was not on account of Ibn 'Umar 'Libes' overlooking his status. Rather, 'Alī 'Libes' was considered young compared to the three mentioned in this narration. He was the first child to accept Islam whereas Abū Bakr 'Libes' was the first man to accept Islām. Abū Bakr 'Libes' was considered the Prophet's 'Libes' peer in terms of his age, whereas 'Alī 'Libes' was more like a son to the Prophet 'Libes'. As a matter of fact, this is consistent with what 'Alī 'Libes' thought, as we shall see in the next narration.

33. Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib (known as ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah) asked his father, ʿAlī :

"Who is the best of all people after the Messenger of Allah ﷺ?"

"Abū Bakr," came the reply.

"Who then?" asked ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah.

"'Umar," replied 'Alī.

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَثَالِمُعُتَابِعُوسَالُم , Ḥadīth no:3697

Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah says, 'I feared he would say 'Uthmān next if I asked him, so I said, "Then you."

'Alī replied, "I am but an ordinary man from the Muslims."

The fact that 'Alī considered Abū Bakr and 'Umar coperior to himself is well recorded. Besides Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah, many of the companions of 'Alī coperior relate it from him including: Abū Juḥayfah,² 'Abd Allāh ibn Salamah,³ 'Abd Khayr,⁴ and one of those on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100, 'Alqamah ibn Qays.⁵

34. ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās ﴿ الله narrates the manner in which ʿAlī المُقَافِينَةُ lamented the passing of ʿUmar المُقَافِيةُ:

وضع عمر على سريره فتكنفه الناس يدعون ويصلون قبل أن يرفع وأنا فيهم فلم يرعني إلا رجل آخذ منكي فإذا على فترحم على عمر وقال ما خلفت أحدا أحب إلى أن ألقى الله بمثل عمله منك وايم الله إن كنت لأظن أن يجعلك الله مع صاحبيك وحسبت أني كنت كثيرا أسمع النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم يقول ذهبت أنا وأبو بكر وعمر ودخلت أنا وأبو بكر وعمر وخرجت أنا وأبو بكر وعمر

'Umar was placed on his bed. Then the people surrounded him supplicating and praying before he was raised and I was amongst them. Then a man alarmed me holding onto my shoulder and then I noticed it was 'Alī. He prayed for Allah's mercy upon 'Umar and said, "I have not left behind anyone who I wished more to meet Allah with his deeds than you! By Allah! I think Allah will place you with you your two Ṣaḥābah, I remember I often used to hear the Prophet saying, "Myself, Abū Bakr, and 'Umar went... Myself, Abū Bakr, and 'Umar entered... Myself, Abū Bakr, and 'Umar left..."

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَثَالِمُعَالِيهِمُ , ḥadīth no: 3671

² Musnad Ahmad, Vol. 2 pg. 200, Hadīth no: 833

³ Ibn Mājah, al-Muqaddimah, Ḥadīth no: 106

⁴ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 2 pg. 238, Hadīth 908

⁵ Musnad Ahmad vol. 2 pg. 311, Ḥadīth no: 1051

⁶ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَثَانِّتُنَا بِعَيْنَا بِهُ hadīth no: 3482.

35. 'Abd Allāh ibn Shaqīq enquired of 'Ā'ishah 🕬 ::

عن عبد الله بن شقيق قال قلت لعائشة أى أصحاب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم كان أحب إلى رسول الله قالت أبو بكر قلت ثم من قالت عمر قلت ثم من قالت ثم أبو عبيدة بن الجراح قلت ثم من قال فسكتت

"Which of the Companions of the Prophet were the most beloved to him?"

She said, "Abū Bakr."

I said, "Then who?"

She said, "Then 'Umar."

I said, "Then who?"

She said, "Then Abū 'Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ."

I said, "Then who?"

He said, "Then she was silent."1

36. ʿĀ'ishah ﴿وَفَلْكَ relates:

عن عائشة أم المؤمنين رضي الله عنها أنها قالت إن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال في مرضه مروا أبا بكر يصلي بالناس قالت عائشة قلت إن أبا بكر إذا قام في مقامك لم يسمع الناس من البكاء فمر عمر فليصل للناس فقالت عائشة فقلت لحفصة قولي له إن أبا بكر إذا قام في مقامك لم يسمع الناس من البكاء فمر عمر فليصل للناس ففعلت حفصة فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم مه إنكن لأنتن صواحب يوسف مروا أبا بكر فليصل للناس

During the final illness of the Messenger of Allah مَاسَنَعْمَتُ he said, "Instruct Abū Bakr to lead the people in prayer."

I said to him, "If Abū Bakr stands in your place, the people would not hear him because of his crying. Why not instruct 'Umar to lead the prayer?"

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, ḥadīth no: 4018

'Ā'ishah added, "I said to Ḥafṣah, 'Tell him: If Abu Bakr should lead the people in the prayer in your place, the people would not be able to hear him due to his crying; so please, order 'Umar to lead the prayer.'

Ḥafṣah did so but the Prophet عن said, 'Enough! You are indeed [like] the Companions of Yūsuf. Instruct Abū Bakr to lead the people in the prayer.'"¹

The Prophet مَالِسَنَهُ would not tolerate that anyone but Abū Bakr العلقة would not tolerate that anyone but Abū Bakr العلقة to represent him during his absence in minor Imāmah if he were not the Prophet's مَالِسَنَهُ preferred candidate to succeed him in the major Imāmah?

37. 'Alī ateatilis relates:

The Messenger of Allah said to me and Abū Bakr on the Day of Badr, "Jibrīl was with one of you and Mīkā'īl was with the other. And Isrāfīl is a huge angel; he witnessed or partook in the battle."²

38. Umm Salamah وَصَالَتُهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّم relates that the Prophet مَثَالَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّم said:

Verily in the heavens are two Angels; one of them commands with firmness and the other with gentleness; each of them is correct: Jibrīl and Mīkā'īl will. (Similarly on earth) There were two Prophets; one of them

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Salāh, Hadīth no: 679; Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Salāh, Hadīth no: 418

² Musnad Abī Yaʿlā, vol. 1, p. 340, the editor said: "Its chain is authentic."

dealt with firmness and the other with gentleness; both are correct. He mentioned Ibrāhīm and Nūḥ and Nūḥ also have two such companions; one who conducts himself with gentleness and the other with firmness; and both are correct. He mentioned Abū Bakr and 'Umar.'

39. Abū Hurayrah ﴿ اللَّهُ اللَّاللَّا اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّا

عن أبي هريرة قال كنا قعو دا حول رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم معنا أبو بكر وعمر في نفر فقام رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم من بين أظهرنا فأبطأ علينا وخشينا أن يقتطع دوننا وفزعنا فقمنا فكنت أول من فزع فخرجت أبتغي رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم حتى أتيت حائطا للأنصار لبني النجار فدرت به هل أجد له بابا فلم أجد فإذا ربيع يدخل في جوف حائط من بئر خارجة والربيع الجدول فاحتفزت فدخلت على رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال أبو هريرة فقلت نعم يا رسول الله قال ما شأنك قلت كنت بين أظهر نا فقمت فأبطأت علينا فخشينا أن تقتطع دوننا ففز عنا فكنت أول من فزع فأتيت هذا الحائط فاحتفزت كما يحتفز الثعلب وهؤلاء الناس ورائي فقال يا أبا هريرة وأعطاني نعليه قال اذهب بنعلى هاتين فمن لقيت من وراء هذا الحائط يشهد أن لا إله إلا الله مستيقنا بها قلبه فبشره بالجنة فكان أول من لقيت عمر فقال ما هاتان النعلان يا أبا هريرة فقلت هاتان نعلا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بعثني بهما من لقبت يشهد أن لا إله إلا الله مستيقنا بها قلبه بشرته بالجنة فضرب عمر بيده بين ثديي فخررت لاستي فقال ارجع يا أبا هريرة فرجعت إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فأجهشت بكاء وركبني عمر فإذا هو على أثري فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ما لك يا أيا هريرة قلت لقبت عمر فأخبرته بالذي بعثتني به فضرب بين ثديي ضربة خررت لاستى قال ارجع فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يا عمر ما حملك على ما فعلت قال يا رسول الله بأبي أنت وأمي أبعثت أبا هريرة بنعليك من لقى يشهد أن لا إله إلا الله مستيقنا بها قلبه بشره بالجنة قال نعم قال فلا تفعل فإني أخشى أن يتكل الناس عليها فخلهم يعملون قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فخلهم

We were sitting around the Messenger of Allah Abū Bakr and 'Umar were also present. In the meanwhile the Messenger of Allah got up and left us, He delayed in coming back to us, which caused anxiety that he might be attacked by some enemy when we were not with him; so being alarmed we got up. I was the first to be alarmed. I, therefore, went out to look for the Messenger of Allah and came to an enclosed garden belonging to the Ansār, Banū al-Najjār to be precise.

¹ *Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr* vol. 23 pg. 315; al-Haythamī said aboth this narration in *Majmaʿ al-Zawāʾid* vol. 9 pg. 54, "The narrators in this chain are all reliable."

I searched the perimeter looking for a gate but failed to find one. After seeing a small streamlet flowing into the garden from a well outside, I drew myself together, like a fox, and slinked into (the place) where the Messenger was.

He مَالِّلَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالَمُ said, "Abu Hurayrah?"

I replied, "Yes, O Messenger of Allah."

He مَالْسَعَيْدَةُ said, "What is the matter (that brings you here)?"

I replied, "You were amongst us but got up and went away and delayed for so long, that we feared that you might be attacked by some enemy while we were absent; so we became alarmed. I was the first to be alarmed. So when I came to this garden, I drew myself together as a fox does, and these people are close behind me."

He addressed me as Abū Hurayrah and gave me his sandals and said, "Take these sandals of mine, and when you meet anyone outside this garden who testifies that there is none worthy of worship but Allah, being assured of it in his heart, give him the glad tidings of Paradise."

The first one I met was 'Umar.

He asked, "What are these sandals, O Abū Hurayrah?"

I replied, "These are the sandals of the Messenger of Allah with which he has sent me to convey to anyone I meet who testifies that there is no god but Allah, being assured of it in his heart, with the glad tidings of Paradise."

Thereupon 'Umar struck me on the chest and I fell on my back. He said, "Go back, Abū Hurayrah."

So, I returned to the Messenger of Allah about to break into tears. 'Umar followed me closely and there he was behind me.

The Messenger of Allah said, "What is the matter, O Abū Hurayrah?"

I said, "I happened to meet 'Umar and conveyed to him the message with which you sent me. He struck me on my chest which made me fall down on my back, and he ordered me to return."

Upon this the Messenger of Allah 基础。, "What prompted you to do this, O 'Umar?"

He said, "O Messenger of Allah, may my mother and father be sacrificed to you. Did you send Abū Hurayrah with your sandals to convey to anyone he met and who testified that there is no god but Allah, and being assured of it in his heart, with the glad tidings of Paradise?"

He said, "Yes."

'Umar said, "Please do it not, for I am afraid that people will rely on that only (and becomes lax with righteous deeds); let them continue doing (good) deeds."

The Messenger of Allah (agreed to this suggestion) saying, "Let them (continue)."

This narration demonstrates that the Prophet accepted the counsel of 'Umar accepted the counsel is trustworthy; how else would the Prophet agree to his counsel. 'Umar was the first recipient of the promise of Paradise.

In this Ḥadīth, the Prophet was obviously summoned to receive Waḥī. He was informed that all who testify to the Oneness of Allah, with firm conviction and soundness of heart, would enter Jannah. He initially wanted all the Muslims to hear this glad news, but 'Umar teams feared that

¹ Saḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Ḥadīth no: 31

this would cause them to become complacent. The Prophet المنافقة did not forbid Abū Hurayrah المنافقة from relating this narration even though he accepted the suggestion of 'Umar نافقة Conveyed this Ḥadīth, since he was one of very few who were privy to this glad tiding from the Prophet المنافقة ال

While it may argued that this is only found in Sunnī books, hence prone to prejudice. We cite a narration appearing in the Shīʿī tradition, by one of the 'infallible' Imāms.

40. ʿAlī ibn Abī al-Fatḥ al-Arbilī relates his book *Kashf al-Ghummah fī Maʿrifat al-A'immah* from ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn:

A group of people came to him from Iraq and made some disparaging remarks about Abū Bakr, and 'Umar, and 'Uthmān. When they completed what they had to say, he said to them, "Are you from the group (described by the verse):

For the first emigrants who were expelled from their homes and their properties, seeking bounty from Allah and [His] approval and supporting Allah and His Messenger of Allah. Those are the truthful?"¹

They answered, "No."

He asked, "Are you then from the group (described by the verse):

¹ Sūrah al-Hashr: 8

Those who were settled in the Home [i.e. Madīnah] and [adopted] the faith before them. They love those who emigrated to them and find not any want in their breasts of what they [i.e., the Muhājirīn] were given but give [them] preference over themselves, even though they are in privation?¹

They answered, "No."

'Al \bar{l} ibn Ḥusayn then said, "As for you, you have distanced yourselves from being either one of these two groups and I testify that you are not amongst those whom Allah says about them:

And those who came after them, saying, "Our Lord, forgive us and our brothers who preceded us in faith and put not in our hearts (any) resentment toward those who have believed. Our Lord, indeed You are Kind and Merciful.²

Be gone from me, Allah will do with you what He wills.3

Not only does this narration prove the high rank of Abū Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmān ﷺ; it also highlights the value of the consensus of the Muhājirīn and Anṣār. If the statements of the Imāms are equivalent to the Qur'an as 'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges, anyone who has accepted his views until this point ought to accept the Khilāfah of the three who preceded 'Alī ﷺ, and accept the weight of the agreement of the Muhājirīn and Ansar

These are forty sound narrations which, collectively, indicate the worthiness of Abū Bakr and 'Umar demise. As a demise. As a

¹ Sūrah al-Hashr: 9

² Sūrah al-Hashr: 10

³ Kashf al-Ghummah, vol. 2, p. 291, under the heading Faḍā'il al-Imām Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn

matter of fact, they demonstrate the reasons why Abū Bakr and 'Umar rank above 'Alī bis in virtue; despite his lofty status bis.

Khilāfah vs Imāmah

While 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is no longer with us to review these narrations, we urge any objective person—who is persuaded by the value of argument rather than emotional and sentimental attachment—to review those narrations and consider their implications.

That being said, the standard view of Ahl al-Sunnah is that the appointment of a Khalīfah is the prerogative of the Ummah. This is in stark contrast to the Shīʿī doctrine of Imāmah that considers the roles of leadership assigned to specific individuals by Divine instruction. A person who rejects the leadership of any of the four rightly-guided Khulafā' will be considered an innovator since this person has overturned the standing consensus of the entire Ummah; whereas anyone who does not uphold the doctrine of Imāmah is considered an apostate and disbeliever according to the Twelver Shīʿah.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's coy gesture of acknowledging the virtues of the early ones among the Muhājirīn and Anṣār is part of the character he is playing in al-Murājaʿāt. If he could dig up forty narrations about 'Alī , most of which are unreliable, he could surely have found the forty we cited about Abū Bakr and 'Umar . He removes this mask in his book, Abū Hurāyrah, and bares his venomous fangs and reveals his true nature.

Abū Bakr and 'Umar in authentic Shī'ī literature

While 'Abd al-Ḥusayn might find reason to conceal his contempt towards Abū Bakr and 'Umar , the scholars upon whom the Twelver Shī ah rely upon religiously have no worries in expressing their real disposition towards these great personalities.

Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī states about the Prophet's صَّالِسُوَعَلِي Companions in general:

Most of the Companions were *Munāfiqs* [hypocrites]. They concealed their hypocrisy during the Prophet's lifetime. However, after his departure from this world their hypocrisy became apparent. They were open an brazen in rejecting the *Waṣiyyah* [bequest].¹

In the *Tafsīr* of al-'Ayyāshī he cites a narration ascribed to Abū 'Abd Allāh [Ja'far al-Ṣādiq] wherein he interprets the verse of the Qur'ān:

Do not follow the footsteps of Shayṭān.²

The footsteps of Shayṭān is the authority of so-and-so, i.e. Abū Bakr and 'Umar'

Abū Baṣīr relates that he asked Abū ʿAbd Allāh [Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq] about the verse:

Indeed, we offered the Trust to the heavens and the earth and the mountains, and they declined to bear it and feared it; but man [undertook to] bear it. Indeed, he was unjust and ignorant.⁴

He replied: the Amānah [Trust] refers to Wilāyah, and Insān refers to Abū al-Shurūr, the Munāfiq.

Al-Majlisiī points out that Abū al-Shurūr refers to Abū Bakr.⁵

This narration is confirmed acceptable by Muḥāmmad Āṣif Muḥsinī, a contemporary, high-ranking Shīʿī scholar.⁶

¹ Al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah vol. 1 pg. 81

² Sūrah al-Baqarah: 168

³ Tafsīr al-'Ayyāshī vol. 1 pg. 121

⁴ Sūrah al-Ahzāb: 72

⁵ Bihār al-Anwār vol. 23 pg. 279

⁶ Mashra'at al-Biḥār vol. 1. pg. 428

Al-Majlisī devotes an entire chapter towards proving the apostasy of Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and 'Uthmān in his book, *Bihār al-Anwār*.¹

The content in this regard is abundant, but we have demonstrated the point without having to polute our work further with those unspeakable narrations.

Reluctance on relying on Sunnī narrations

While it might appear prudent to show reluctance at relying on the sources of the opposition it is accepteble to hold the opposition to account for what is considered reliable to them in their own literature; and to acknowledge the preponderant nature of their explanation to these narrations. After all, it is their own legacy.

That being established, there is not a single case where 'Abd al-Ḥusayn could raise a valid objection on the basis of a sound ḥadīth. The overwhelming majority of the narrations that he has cited throughout his correspondence have either been unreliable or complete forgeries. On the rare instances where he cited an authentic narration we find those narrations do not support his allegation in any way whatsoever.

This might create the impression that the is an impasse. However, there is a method to validate a certain narrative of events objectively, and that is to subject the collective tradition according to the criteria of the experts and masters, to the arbitration of the Qur'an and the role of the Prophet as the Final Messenger.

One would expect the Final Messenger to be a success and that he would manage to effect such change that humanity would not need further instruction. The Shīʿī narrative of history portrays the Prophet's سَالِمُ mission a failure since all, save three, were saved from apostasy after the Prophet's مَا الله الله والله والله

¹ Biḥār al-Anwār vol. 20 pg. 167

On the other hand, the Sunnī narrative of history, during the Prophet's lifetime and after his demise is uninterrupted and consistent. It portrays the Prophet as an ultimate success, and one who left the Ummah upon guidance. Furthermore, his predictions of fututre events and visions of what was to come was consistent with what has been related from his companions and recorded in the authentic sunnī legacy.

The glowing manner in which the Qur'ān describes those around the Messenger, Allah's pleasure with those who participated in the Pledge of Riḍwān, and the fact that the early Muhājirīn and Anṣār were role models is consistent with the narrative provided by the Ahl al-Sunnah.

As a matter of fact, even the behaviour of 'Alī appears erratic based on the Shīī narrative; if compared to the periods of the Khulafā' who preceded him and his fighting the armies at Jamal, Siffīn, and Nahrawān.

Letter 53

Muharram 16, 1330

I. Requesting the Hadith Pertaining to the Ghadir Incident

You have repeatedly referred to the Ghadir incident. Please narrate its story from Sunni sources so that we may look into it, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 54

Muharram 18, 1330

I. Glitters of Ahadith Relevant to the Ghadir Incident

Relying on the consensus of narrators of hadith, al-Tabrani and many others1 have quoted Zayd ibn Arqam saying:

"The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, once delivered a sermon at Ghadir Khumm under the shade of a few trees saying, 'O people! It seems to me that soon I will be called upon and will respond to the call.2 I have my responsibility3 and you have yours;4 so, what do you say?' They said: 'We bear witness that you have conveyed the Message, struggled and advised [the nation]; therefore, may Allah reward you with the best of His rewards.'

He asked them: 'Do not you also bear witness that there is no god but Allah and that Muhammad is His Servant and Messenger, that His Paradise is just and that His Fire is just, that death is just, that the life after death is just, that the Hour will undoubtedly approach, and that Allah shall bring the dead to life from their graves?'

They said: 'Yes, indeed, we do bear witness to all of that.' He said: 'O Mighty Lord! Bear witness that they have.' Then he said: 'O people! Allah is my Master, and I am the mawla (master) of the believers. I have more authority over their lives then they themselves have;5 therefore, to whomsoever I have been a mawla, this ('Ali) is his mawla;6 O Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him, and be an enemy of whoever sets himself as his enemy.'

Then he said: 'O people! I am to precede you, and you shall join me, at the Pool [of Kawthar] which is wider than the distance from Basra to San'a; it contains as many silver cups as the stars; and I shall ask you when you join me about the Two Weighty Things, how you shall succeed me in faring with them; the Greatest

Weighty Thing is the Book of Allah, the Omniscient, the Sublime, one end of which is in Allah's hand and the other in yours; so, uphold it so that you may not go astray, and your faith shall not suffer any alteration; and the other are my Ahl al-Bayt, for the most Gracious and Knowing has informed me that they both shall never part from each other till they join me at the Pool."7

In a section dealing with 'Ali's virtues in Al-Mustadrak, the author indicates that Zayd ibn Arqam8 is quoted through two sources both of which are held reliable by both Shaykhs: al-Hakim [one of such sources] says that when the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, returned from his Farewell Pilgrimage, he camped at Ghadir Khumm and ordered the believers to sweep the area under a few huge trees where a pulpit of camel litters was made for him.

He stood and said: "It seems as if I have been called upon and responded to the call, and I enjoin you to look after both the Book of Allah and my Progeny; see how you fare with them after me, for they shall never part from each other till they join me at the Pool."

Then he added: "Allah, the Dear and Mighty, is my Master, and I am the master of every believer," then he took 'Ali by the hand and said: "To whomsoever I have been a master, this 'Ali is [henceforth] his master; O Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him, and be the enemy to whoever antagonizes him."

The author quotes this lengthy hadith in its entirety. In his Talkhis, al-Thahbi quotes it without commenting on it. Al-Hakim, too, quotes it as narrated by Zayd ibn Arqam in his Al-Mustadrak, admitting its authenticity. In spite of his intolerance, al-Thahbi admits the same in his Talkhis, to which you may refer.

Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal has quoted the same hadith as narrated by Zayd ibn Argam thus:

"We were in the company of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, when he camped in a valley called Wadi Khumm, and he ordered everyone to gather for prayers in midday heat. He then delivered a sermon to us under the shade of a robe over a rush tree [Juncus spinosus] to protect him from the heat of the sun.

He said: 'Do you know - or do you bear witness - that I have more authority over a believer's life than the believer himself has?' They answered: 'Yes, indeed, you do.' He said: 'Whosoever accepts me as his mawla, 'Ali is his mawla; O Lord! Befriend whosoever befriends 'Ali and be the enemy of whomsoever opposes 'Ali.'"

Al-Nisa'i quotes Zayd ibn Arqam saying that when the Prophet when the Farewell Pilgrimage, and having reached Ghadir Khumm, he ordered the ground under a few huge trees to be swept clean. He announced: "It looks like I have been invited [to my Lord's presence] and I have accepted the invitation, and I am leaving with you the Two Weighty Things, one of them is bigger than the other: the Book of Allah and my Progeny, my Household; so, see how you succeed me in faring with both of them, for they shall never part from each other till they join me at the Pool."

Then he added: "Allah is my Master, and I am the master (mawla) of every believer." Taking 'Ali's hand, he added saying, "To whomsoever I have been a master, this 'Ali is his master; O Lord! Befriend those who befriend him, and be the enemy of all those who antagonize him." Abul-Tufail says: "I asked Zayd: 'Have you heard these words of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, yourself?" He answered that all those who were there under the huge trees had seen the Prophet with their own eyes and heard him with their own ears. This hadith is recorded by Muslim in a chapter on the attributes of 'Ali in his Sahih from several different narrators ending with Zayd ibn Arqam, but he abridged it and cut it short - and so do some people behave.

Imam Ahmad has recorded this hadith from al-Bara' ibn 'Azib10 from two avenues saying; it reads: "We were in the company of the Messenger of Allah when we camped at Ghadir Khumm. The call for congregational prayers was made. The site of two trees was chosen, and it was swept clean. He performed the noon-time

prayers then took 'Ali by the hand and asked the crowd: 'Do you not know that I have more authority over the believers than the believers themselves have?'

They answered: 'Yes, we do.' He asked: 'Do you know that I have more authority over every believer than the believer himself has?' They answered in the affirmative; then he took 'Ali's hand and said: 'Whoever has accepted me as his master, this 'Ali is his master; O Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him and be the enemy of whoever chooses to be his enemy.' 'Umar met him immediately following that and said to him: 'Congratulations to you, son of Abu Talib! You have become, at dawn and at sunset, the master of every believing man and woman.'"

Al-Nisa'i has quoted 'Ayisha daughter of Sa'd saying that she heard her father saying: "I have heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, on the Day of Juhfa, when he took 'Ali's hand and delivered a sermon, praised and adored Allah, then said: 'O people! I am your wali.' They said: 'You have said the truth.' Then he raised 'Ali's hand and said: 'This is my wali unto you to discharge the responsibilities of my religion on my own behalf, and I support whoever supports him and am the enemy of whosoever chooses to be his enemy."

Sa'd is also quoted saying: "We were in the company of the Messenger of Allah ... When he arrived at Ghadir Khumm, those who went ahead of him returned to join him, while he waited for those who lagged behind, till all people assembled. Then he said: 'O people! Who is your wali?'

They answered: 'Allah and His Messenger.' Then he took 'Ali's hand, made him rise and said: 'Whoever has taken Allah and His Messenger as his wali, this ('Ali) is his wali; O Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him and be the enemy of whoever chooses to be his enemy.'"

The books of traditons recording this incident are numerous and cannot be all cited here. They all contain explicit texts indicating that Ali is the Prophet's vicegerent and successor, just as al-Fadl ibn al-Abbas Abu Lahab has said:11

The one to be recognized as the Vicegerent, generation after generation,

After Muhammad, is 'Ali; for he was his companion in every occasion.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes

- 1. Many renowned authorities have admitted its authenticity, so much so that even Ibn Hajar stated the same, quoting al-Tabrani and others, in the shubha (allegation) number 11 of the ones which he enumerates on page 25, Section 5, Chapter One, of his book Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.
- 2. He has eulogized his own pure soul simply to attract their attention to the fact that time had come to bring his mission to perfection, necessitating the appointment of his successor, and that he is unable to postpone doing so for fear he might be called upon [i.e. die] before discharging such mission which he is to bring to perfection, a mission that is indispensable to his nation.
- 3. Since the appointment of his brother weighs heavily against those who compete, envy, create dissension and hypocrisy, he, peace be upon him and his progeny, desired, before making such an announcement, to first apologize to them in the hope that that might touch and unify their hearts and in apprehension of their speeches and deeds; he said: "And I am responsible," so that they might come to know that he receives orders, and that he is responsible to discharge them; therefore, he simply has to do so. Imam al-Wahidi, in his book Asbabul Nuzul, quotes Abu Sa'id al-Khudri saying: "The verse 'O Messenger! Convey that which has been revealed unto you from your Lord' was revealed on Ghadir Khumm day in reference to 'Ali ibn Abu Talib

- 4. By saying "You, too, are responsible," he, peace be upon him and his progeny, may have implied, as quoted by al-Daylami and others and stated in Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa and other books from Ibn Sa'id, that they should follow in their footsteps, since they are responsible regarding 'Ali's wilayat. Imam al-Wahidi has said: "They are responsible regarding the wilayat of 'Ali and Ahl al-Bayt." Thus, the purpose of his saying "and you, too, are responsible" is to threaten those who would dispute the authority of his wali and wasi.
- 5. Many have contemplated upon this sermon, giving it due attention, and they have come to know that its gist is nothing other than a reference to the fact that 'Ali's wilayat is as much a root of the faith as his own responsibility as the Imam, for the Prophet first put the question: "Do not you bear witness that there is no god but Allah, and that Muhammad is His Servant and Messenger?" Then he said: "The Hour is approaching; there is no doubt about it, and Allah shall certainly bring to life those who are in the graves," following that with a statement in which he mentioned the wilayat so that it would be understood that the latter bears the same significance like the matters about which he has asked them and to which they have agreed. This is obvious to all the discreet who are familiar with the methods and objectives of speech.
- 6. His statement: "I am the mawla" is an outspoken testimony to a significant fact. The meaning of "mawla" is: one who is "awla," foremost in status, superior. Thus, the meaning of his statement is: "Allah is superior to me, and I am superior to the believers, and whoever considers me to be superior to him must also consider 'Ali as such."
- 7. This wording of the hadith is quoted by al-Tabrani, Ibn Jarir, al-Hakim al-Tirmithi, from Zayd ibn Arqam. It is transmitted by Ibn Hajar from al-Tabrani and others in this exact wording, without questioning its authenticity; so, refer to page 25 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.

- 8. Refer to page 21 of Al-Khasa'is al-'Alawiyya, where the Prophet مَا نَسُتُ is quoted saying: "To whomsoever I have been the wali, this ('Ali) is his wali.
- 9. Abul-Tufayl's question is obviously indicative of his amazement at this nation's overlooking this matter regarding 'Ali in spite of the hadith it narrates from its Prophet in his honor on the day of the Ghadir. As if suspicious of the accuracy of the narrated hadith, he went ahead and inquired of Zayd, having heard him narrate the same, "Did you hear it from the Messenger of Allah?!" His tone is that of someone amazed, bewildered, and skeptical. Zayd answered him that all individuals present under those trees had, indeed, seen the Prophet with their eyes and heard him with their ears; so, Abul-Tufayl then knew that the matter was just as al-Kumait, may Allah be merciful unto his soul, says:

On the day of the dawh, the dawh of the Ghadir,

Caliphate was made for him manifest and clear,

Only if the throngs opted to obey;

Yet I have never seen such a day,

Nor have I seen such right

Trampled upon, discarded outright;

But the men had sold it, and I never saw

Such a precious thing to sale would go...

- 10. This occurs on page 281 of his Al-Khasa'is al-'Alawiyya, in a chapter dealing with 'Ali's status in the eyes of Allah, the Exalted, the Omniscient, and also on page 25 of another chapter enjoining acceptance of his wilayat and warning against bearing animosity towards him.
- 11. These are among poetic lines composed as the answer of al-Walid ibn 'Uqbah ibn Abu Ma'it, quoted by Muhammad Mahmud al-Rafi'i in his Introduction to Sharh al-Hashimiyyat, page 8.

Discussions

The second round of correspondence around the sermon delivered at Ghadīr Khumm revives the opportunity to build on what has been 'exchanged' in recent correspondence. It allows for the debate to pursuit a new course, and initiates a shift in the portrayal of the character of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī.

The background to the incident of Ghadīr Khumm has already been discussed, along with the meaning of the term *Mawlā*. Since the details have been discussed earlier, we will suffice by the mere facts below.

It has been established that this sermon was not delivered during Ḥajj, but at a resting place near <code>Juḥfah</code>. Those who came for Ḥajj from different parts of the Arabian Peninsula had taken off in their respective directions, and it was only the people of <code>Madīnah</code> who accompanied him here. The Prophet stopped to rest and to replenish water supplies, not specifically for the purpose of addressing his companions <code>Madīnah</code>.

The Prophet المنافقة had received a number of complaints during Ḥajj about 'Alī المنافقة, and since this was an internal matter he addressed it when only the people of Madīnah were present. After reminding the Companions of the general rights of the Ahl al-Bayt, the Prophet المنافقة ensured that the relationship with 'Alī بالمنافقة specifically was repaired. His منافقة family includes his wives, and Banū Hāshim.

The Prophet's مَالِمَتُهُ Waṣiyyah (bequest) to be cautious with the rights of Ahl al-Bayt is very similar to his Waṣiyyah to uphold the rights of the Anṣār. Anas وَاللَّهُ relates:

خرج النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم وقد عصب على رأسه حاشية برد قال فصعد المنبر ولم يصعده بعد ذلك اليوم فحمد الله وأثنى عليه ثم قال أوصيكم بالأنصار فإنهم كرشي وعيبتي وقد قضوا الذي عليهم وبقي الذي لهم، فاقبلوا من محسنهم وتجاوزوا عن مسيئهم

¹ Discussions on Letters 8, 36

² Discussions on Letters 26, 38

The Prophet came out with his head wrapped in a piece of cloth. He ascended the pulpit which he never ascended after that day. He glorified and praised Allah and then said, "My Waṣiyyah to you is that you take good care of the Ansar as they are my close companions and trusted friends. They have fulfilled their obligations and rights which were enjoined on them but there remains what is for them. So, accept their goodand overlook their failings."

The version found in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim is worded thus:

The Anṣār are my close companions and my trusted friends. The people are going to increase in number whereas they (the Anṣār) would become less and less, so appreciate their good and overlook their failings.²

These are the people whose favour upon the Prophet مَا الله مَا الله مِنْ مُعْلِقِينَ مِنْ , and support of him, warranted that he مَا الله عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْهُ advise the Ummah to honour their rights and obligations.

Misrepresentation

It is necessary, prior to evaluating the narrations cited in this letter, to point out some of the gross oversights and major misrepresentations in this round of correspondence in *al-Murājaʿāt*.

He begins by quoting a narration found in *Al-Muʿjam al-Kabīr* of al-Ṭabarānī whose chain, he claims, is unanimously accepted as authentic. In the footnotes he goes on to cite Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī as having authenticated this narration in *al-Ṣawāʿiq al-Muhriqah*. The truth is that al-Haytamī declared the Ḥadīth of Ghadīr authentic

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Manāqib al-Anṣār, Ḥadīth no: 3799

² Saḥīḥ Muslim, Faḍā'il al-Anṣār, Ḥadīth no: 2510

in general, not a specific version of it. Furthermore, Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī does not undertake an investigation on the reliability of these chains, he relies on the grading of others.

The chain of transmission for the narration for this particular narration is problematic as we shall demonstrate later.

Āyat al-Tablīgh and the sermon at Ghadīr Khumm

The sermon at Ghadīr Khumm has been tied in with the verse of Sūrah al-Mā'idah, dubbed Āyat al-Tablīgh:

O Messenger! Convey that has been revealed to you from your Lord. For if you do not, then you will not have conveyed His message. And Allah will protect you from the people [have no fear]. Indeed, Allah does not guide the disbelieving people.¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges that this verse was revealed in connection with the sermon given at Ghadīr Khumm. The Prophet مَا اللهُ was warned that if he did not declare 'Alī المنافعة his successor he would have failed his mission, claims 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.

Consider the timeline and what 'Ā'ishah relates:

The Prophet will used to be guarded until this verse was revealed, "Allah will protect you from the people." So the Messenger of Allah stuck his head out from the room and said, "O people! You may leave, for Allah has undertaken to protect me."

¹ Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 67

² Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Tafsīr, Ḥadīth no: 3046

This narration suggests that this verse was revealed early on in Madīnah. What need would the Prophet have for the promise of protection for conveying now: at the end of his life, after he fulfilled his responsibility and Islam was well-established?

Another verse from $S\overline{u}$ rah al-Mā'idah was revealed during the Hajj which heralded the completion of the Prophet's M mission.

جاء رجل من اليهود إلى عمر بن الخطاب فقال يا أمير المؤمنين آية في كتابكم تقرءونها لو علينا معشر اليهود نزلت لاتخذنا ذلك اليوم عيدا قال أي آية قال اليوم أكملت لكم دينكم وأتممت عليكم نعمتي ورضيت لكم الإسلام دينا فقال عمر إني لأعلم المكان الذي نزلت فيه واليوم الذي نزلت فيه نزلت على رسول الله عليه وسلم في عرفات في يوم جمعة

A Jewish man came to 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and said, "O Amīr al-Mu'minīn! There is a verse in your Book which you recite; if it had been revealed to us Jews we would have taken that day as a festival."

He said, "Which verse is that?"

The Jewish man resonded saying, "This day, I have perfected your religion for you, completed My favor upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion."

'Umar said, "I know the place where it was revealed and the day on which it was revealed. It was revealed to the Messenger of Allah منافعة at 'Arafāt, on a Friday." المامة عنافة عنافة عنافة المامة عنافة عنافة عنافة المامة عنافة عنا

The Prophet's مَاسَّعَيْنَ sermons during Ḥajj are well-known. Why did he not appoint his successor during Ḥajj? How is it possible that the Prophet المعاقبة is threatened with incompletion of his mission if he does not announce 'Alī معاقبة as his successor, whereas Allah already revealed to him that the religion was complete and that his mission had been fulfilled?

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Iʿtiṣām, Ḥadīth no: 7268; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, Ḥadīth no: 3017

Consider the damning consequences of associating the divine warning with announcing 'Alī as the Prophet's successor. The intial tone of the verse is sombre. Was the final Prophet sent only to announce that he would be succeeded by 'Alī as 'What about all the good that the Prophet taught? What did the Prophet announce that he would be succeeded by 'Alī as 'Phe was driven out of Makkah for; Tawhid or the succession of 'Alī and around the succession of 'Alī and around the Sacred Ka'bah; yet the most pressing demand of conveying from his Lord is about his successor? Does that not trivialize twenty-three years of striving and toil? Was the only significant revelation from his Lord the Imāmah of 'Alī and the was admonished? Is the most important task of the Final Prophet announcing the Imāmah of 'Alī? Reflect!

Furthemore, if this verse were revealed when 'Abd al-Ḥusayn claims it was, then it proves that all the previous verses which, he claims, prove the Imāmah of 'Alī do not prove it. It would be redundant to warn the Prophet regarding the nomination of his successor if it was already spelled out in the Qur'ān.

Worse still, it portrays the Prophet a coward. What consequence did he have to after Allah promised him protection; that he resorted to an ambiguous term as *Mawlā* instead of appointing his successor in unambiguous terms. The ambigity of this term forced the Shīʿah to resort to mental gymnastics to prove their desired meaning for this term. Consider it carefully.

Would the Prophet where need to "first apologize to them in the hope that that might touch and unify their hearts and in apprehension of their speeches and deeds" after they had pledged their lives and wealth for his service? Who was it that stood bravely at Badr? Whose lives were spent at Uhud? Who are those who placed their hands in his under the tree at Hudaybiyyah pledging to die for his cause, on account of which Allah revealed:

Certainly was Allah pleased with the believers when they pledged allegiance to you, [O Muḥammad], under the tree, and He knew what was in their hearts, so He sent down tranquillity upon them and rewarded them with an imminent conquest.¹

These very individuals are those whom 'Abd al-Ḥusayn describes as, "those who compete, envy [sic], create dissension and hypocrisy [sic]." Whereas Allah says about those whom he is pleased:

Allah will never be pleased with a defiantly disobedient people.²

Is 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's true personality beginning to reveal itself? Afterall, *Taqiyyah* (subterfuge) is nine-tenths of the faith according to the Shī ah. Actually, there is no faith in one who does not practise Taqiyyah.³

Has the Prophet المنافقينية failed in conveying from his Lord when a woman came to him for some need of hers and he instructed her to return to him at another time. She said, "What if I come and do not find you?" as if she wanted to say, "If I found you had already passed away?" and the Prophet ما منافقينية said, "If you should not find me, go to Abu Bakr."?4

What about when he appointed Abū Bakr نفي to lead the prayer during his illness? Had the Prophet ما done a proper job of conveying from his Lord when 'Alī نفي did not even realise that he had already been appointed the Prophet's ما تعلق عليه عليه عليه عليه عليه المعلق المعل

We have prevoiusly mentioned this narration of 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbās, who stated that 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib emerged from the Prophet's مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ الله

¹ Sūrah al-Fath: 18

² Sūrah al-Tawbah: 96

³ Al-Kāfī, vol. 2 pg. 217

⁴ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Iʿtiṣām bi al-Kitāb wa al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 7360

عن الزهري قال أخبرني عبد الله بن كعب بن مالك الأنصاري وكان كعب بن مالك أحد الثلاثة الذين تيب عليهم أن عبد الله بن عباس أخبره أن علي بن أبي طالب رضي الله عنه خرج من عند رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في وجعه الذي توفي فيه فقال الناس يا أبا حسن كيف أصبح رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال أصبح بحمد الله بارتا فأخذ بيده عباس بن عبد المطلب فقال له أنت والله بعد ثلاث عبد العصا وإني والله لأرى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم سوف يتوفى من وجعه هذا إني لأعرف وجوه بني عبد المطلب عند الموت اذهب بنا إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فلنسأله فيمن هذا الأمر إن كان فينا علمنا ذلك وإن كان في غيرنا علمناه فأوصى بنا فقال علي إنا والله لئن سألناها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فمنعناها لا يعطيناها الناس بعده وإني والله لا أسألها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم

The people said, "O Abu al-Ḥasan; How is the Messenger of Allah مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ this morning?"

He said, "All praise be to Allah, he is well this morning."

'Abbās ibn 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib took him by the hand and said to him, "I swear by Allah, in three days' time you will be a subject. By Allah, I think that the Messenger of Allah will die of this illness. I recognise the look of death in the faces of the Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib when they are dying. Let us go to the Messenger of Allah and ask him who will take charge over this matter (Khilāfah). If it is for us, then we will know that, and if it is for someone other than us, we will know and he can advise him to look after us."

 $^\circ$ Allī replied, "By Allah, if we ask him for it and he refuses us, then the people would never give it to us afterwards. By Allah, I will not ask it from the Messenger of Allah." 1

Why would ʿAlī مَالِسَةُ fear something that had already been initiated unless the Prophet مَالَسُتُهُ failed in his duty to convey.

It is intriguing that in this narration 'Abbās عَلَيْنَ asks 'Alī بَاللَّهُ whether someone other than the Prophet's مَاللَّهُ family is going to take charge of the affairs of the Muslims, yet 'Alī فَاللَّهُ did not object to the idea of someone else being

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, ḥadīth no. 4182

the Prophet's مَا اَسْتَعَيْمُوسَدُّ successor. His statement bears much greater clarity than the Prophet's مَا اِسْتَعَيْمُوسَدُّ words, "Whomsoever considers me his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā."

If anything, this narration reinforces the meaning of what the Prophet meant when he spoke about the lessor of the Two Weighty things: "If it [leadership] is for someone other than us, we will know and he can advise him to look after us."

The narrations cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn

1. The narration of Zayd ibn Arqam iii in Al-Mu jam al-Kabīr of al-Ṭabarānī.¹

This Ḥadīth is narrated by way of ʿAbd Allah ibn Bukayr al-Ghanawī — Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr — Abū al-Ṭufayl — Zayd ibn Arqam...

Appearing in this chain is Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr, who is considered weak. Al-Dāraquṭnī and al-Nasā'ī considered him significantly weak, in addition to the fact that he was known to hold Shīʿī beliefs. Ibn Ḥibbān suggests that the extent of his *Tashayyu* was extreme.²

Such a narration would never meet the criteria of being accepted unanimously. Al-Haythamī has declared this narration weak because of Ḥakīm ibn Jubayr as well.³

Despite the weakness of this chain, there is nothing in it that indicates holding on to the Lesser of the Two Weighty Things. Those elements within this version which are correborated by other narrations would be acceptable, not the narration in its entirety.

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr, vol. 5 pg. 166, Hadīth no: 4981

² Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr, vol. 3 bio. 65; al-Ḍuʿafā wal-Matrūkīn of al-Nasāʾī, bio. 129; Al-Majrūḥīn of Ibn Ḥibbān, vol. 1 pg. 246; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 7 pg. 165

³ Majma' al-Zawā'id, vol. 9 pg. 164

2. The narration of Zayd ibn Arqam in al-Mustadrak.

This is narrated by two chains:

- Al-A'mash Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit Abū al-Ṭufayl Zayd ibn Arqam.¹
- Kāmil Abū al-ʿAlā Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit Yaḥyā ibn Jaʿdah Zayd ibn Arqam.²
- 3. The narration of Zayd ibn Arqam in Musnad Aḥmad.

This is narrated by way of Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar Shuʿbah — **Maymūn Abū** ʿ**Abd Allah** — Zayd ibn Arqam.³

Maymūn is an unreliable narrator. We have disvussed him previously.4

4. The narration of Zayd ibn Arqam in Khaṣā'īs 'Alī of al-Nasā'ī.

This is no different from the Hadīth in al-Mustadrak. The common chain is narrated by way of al-A'mash — Hadīth ibn Abī Thābit — Abū al-Tufayl — Zayd ibn Arqam.

Reason for Imām Muslim not including this version

The reason for Imām Muslim not including this version of the Ḥadīth is not due to his prejudice as claimed by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn. The real reason is that it does not meet his criteria. The narration from Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit is inconsistent and presents with a number of issues which Muslim would have avoided. One cannot expect ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn to know this when he cannot even identify authentic narrations from his own legacy.

¹ Al-Mustadrak, vol. 3 pg. 109

² Al-Mustadrak, vol. 3 pg. 109

³ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 32 pg. 75

⁴ See discussions under Letter 26.

Ḥabīb was well-known for *Tadlīs*, and *Irsāl*: omitting the person whom he received the narration from and attributing it to someone higher in the chain.¹ ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī has indicated that the narration of al-Aʿmash from Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit is not very strong, even though they are both reliable narrators in their own right.²

It might be asked why does Muslim include narrations in his Ṣaḥīḥ, wherein al-Aʿmash narrates from Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit? After studying all these places in Ṣāḥīḥ Muslim it has become apparent that the narration of al-Aʿmash from Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit was only listed as a supplementary narration, not one that Muslim lists as the primary Ḥadīth of that chapter.

Furthermore, the narrations of al-A'mash from Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit—with the exception of one case³ where there is an alternative chain for the entire narration—Muslim cites the narrations of other students of Ḥabīb as co-narrators from Ḥabīb ibn Abī Tḥābit.⁴

The inconsistency arises when Ḥabīb—at times—narrates it from Yaḥyā ibn Ja'dah, from Zayd ibn Arqam and sometimes from Abū al-Ṭufayl, from Zayd ibn Arqam. Other times he narrates it as if he received it from Zayd ibn Arqam directly; whereas he is only known to have heard Ḥadīth from Ibn 'Abbās and 'Āishah according to 'Alī ibn al-Madīnī.'

The version in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim has been discussed under Letter 8.

¹ Al-Tagrīb, bio. 1084

² Sharh 'Ilal al-Tirmidhī, vol. 2 pg. 800

³ Saḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Masājid, Ḥadīth no: 705

⁴ Saḥīḥ Muslim, Ḥadīth no's: 1194, 2218, 2549

⁵ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3788

⁶ Al-'Ilal wa Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, pg. 331

5. The narration of al-Barā ibn ʿĀzib in Musnad Ahmad.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn suggests that Imām Aḥmad narrates this with two chains. In reality Imām Aḥmad only narrates it with a single chain: 'Affān — Hammād ibn Salamah — 'Alī ibn Zayd — 'Adī ibn Thābit — al-Barā' ibn 'Āzib

'Alī ibn Zayd ibn Jud'ān is a weak narrator. He is on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's list of 100 and we have discussed him previously.¹ The weakness of 'Alī ibn Zayd ibn Jud'ān is mitigated by the numerous other chains which support the narration. However, only those elements which are corroborated can be accepted, and the additional statements remain unreliable.

6. The narration of Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ ఈ in al-Nasā'īs Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī ఈ المُعَانِينَ in al-Nasā'īs Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī أَنْ الْعَانِينَ in al-Nasā'īs Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī أَنْ الْعَانِينَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلْعَانِينَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلِينَ الْعَلَيْنِينَ الْعَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ الْعَلِينَ عَلَيْنَا الْعَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَا الْعَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَا الْعَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ الْعَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْنَ الْعَلِينَ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنِ الْعَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنِ الْعَلِينَ عَلَيْنِ الْعَلِيلِينَ عَلَيْنِ الْعَلِيلِينَ عَلَيْنِ الْعَلِيلِينَ عَلِيلِينَا عَلَيْنِ الْعَلِيلِينَ عَلِيلِينَا عَلَيْنِ الْعَلِيلِينَ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيلِينَ عَلِيلِينَ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيلِينَ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنَ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيْنَا عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِي عَلَيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِي عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلَيْنِ عَلِيْنَ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْنِ عَلْمِي عَلِيْنِ عَلِيْن

This has been narrated by way of **Mūsā ibn Yaʿqūb** — Muḥājir ibn Mismār — ʿĀʾishah bint Saʿd — Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ 🎎 .²

Mūsā ibn Yaʻqūb is unreliable and has been discredited by a number of experts including Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and al-Nasāʾī. Ibn Ḥajar states that he was truthful but was affected with a bad memory.³

7. The narration of Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ ﴿ اللَّهُ in al-Nasā'īs Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī ﴿ اللَّهُ اللَّا اللَّهُ اللَّاللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ ا

This version states that the Prophet مَالِسَّعَلِيْهِ delivered this Khuṭbah on the way to Makkah. This is clearly an error since ʿAlī نعلِيُّهُ was not with

¹ See discussions under Letter 16

² Khaṣā'is 'Alī, Ḥadīth no: 94

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 3 pg. 227; Al-Tagrīb, bio. 7026

⁴ Khaṣā'iṣ 'Alī, Ḥadīth no: 96

the Prophet أَصَالَتُكُ on the journey to Makkah, instead he was in Yemen. Furthermore, the expert Ḥadīth scholar, al-Mizzī indicates that Yaʿqūb ibn Jaʿfar ibn Abī Kathīr narrates this Ḥadīth from Mūsā ibn Yaʿqūb, from Muhājir.¹

Wording of the Ḥadīth, "Whomsoever considers me his Mawlā, ʿAlī is his Mawlā"

There are varying elements of this narration:

- A. I am leaving behind Two Weighty things
- B. Holding on to the Book of Allah
- C. Upholding the rights of Ahl al-Bayt
- D. Whomsoever considers me his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā
- **E.** O Allah, take as friends those who take him as a friend, and take as enemies those who take him as an enemy
- F. And help whoever helps him. And forsake whoever forsakes him
- **G.** Cause the truth to be with him whichever course he treads

Points A, B and C are all correct and found in $\S ah\bar{\imath}h$ Muslim, as well as the reliable versions of this Had $\bar{\imath}th$.

Point **D** is correct even if it does not appear in the version of $\S a h \bar{l} h$ Muslim as it appears in many of the other sound versions. Al-Dhahabī considered this statement Mutawātir.²

¹ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 32. Pg. 317

² *Al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah*, vol. 7 pg. 681 (Dār Hajr edition)

Point **E** is contested among the scholars. Al-Dhahabī accepts it due to multiple chains. Those who do not accept it point out that almost all the chains that establish this addition are independently not very strong and it is because of corroboration that some accept it.

There is a narration in *Musnad Aḥmad* which seems to indicate that this addition was in fact a supplication made by those who narrated the Ḥadīth rather than it being the words of the Prophet Allah Knows Best.

Points F and G are completely unreliable and are only known to appear in narrations with problematic chains.

¹ Ibid

² Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 2 pg. 434, Ḥadīth no: 1311 (Risālah edition)

Letter 55

Muharram 19, 1330

I. Why use it as a testimonial if not Transmitted Consecutively?

Shi'as apply the principle of consecutive reporting when discussing imamate, due to the fact that they consider consecutive reporting as one of the principles of faith; so why do you quote the Ghadir hadith in support of your argument although such hadith is not consecutively reported according to Sunnis, even if its authenticity is attested to by their sahihs?

Sincerely,

S

Letter 56

Muharram 22, 1330

- I. Natural Laws Necessitate the Consecutive Reporting of Hadith al-Ghadir
- II. The Almighty's Benevolence
- صَلَّالَتُهُ عَلِيهِ وَسَلَّةِ III. Concern of the Messenger of Allah
- IV. Concern of the Commander of the Faithful
- V. al-Husain's Concern
- كالم VI. Concern of the Nine Imams
- VII. Shi'as' Concern

VIII.Its Consecutive Reporting Through the Masses

Suffices to prove its application as an argument what we have mentioned in Letter No. 24 above.

1. The consecutive reporting of the Ghadir hadith is necessitated by the natural laws which Allah has created. Its similitude is like that of any great historical step undertaken by the most important man of a nation who announces, in the presence of thousands of his nationals, the undertaking of a major step, so that they may convey its news to various lands and nations, especially if such an undertaking enjoys the concern of his own family and their supporters in all generations to come, so that such an announcement might receive the widest possible publicity.

Can such an announcement, as significant as it is, be transmitted by, say, just one single person? Certainly not. Its news would spread as widely as the early morning sun rays, encompassing the plains as well as the oceans:

"And you shall never find any alteration to Allah's order (Qur'an, 33:62)."

2. Hadith al-Ghadir has won the divine concern of Allah, the Dear One, the Sublime, Who inspired to His Messenger, peace be upon him and his progeny, including it in His Qur'an which is recited by Muslims even during the late hours of the night or the early hours of the day, in public and in private, in their supplications and ceremonial prayers, from the top of their pulpits and the heights of their minarets, stating:

"O Messenger! Convey that which has been revealed unto you from your Lord, and if you do not do so, then you have not conveyed His Message at all, and Allah will protect you from (evil) men." (Qur'an, 5:67)1

When he, peace be upon him and his progeny, conveyed the divine Message (implied in this verse), appointing 'Ali as the Imam and entrusting him with the caliphate, Allah Almighty revealed the following verse:

"Today have I perfected your religion (Islam) for you, completed my blessing unto you, and accepted Islam as your religion." (Qur'an, 5:3)2

So, congratulations upon congratulations to 'Ali; this is Allah's favour; He grants it to whomsoever He pleases. Anyone who looks into these verses will be profoundly impressed by such divine favours.

3. If divine concern is as such, no wonder, then, that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, expressed such a profound concern when death approached him, may my life be sacrificed for his sake. It was then that, according to the order which he received from Allah Almighty, he set to announce 'Ali's wilayat during his supreme pilgrimage, in the presence of so many witnesses, without being satisfied with similar previous announcements such as his warning in Mecca, or on other occasions with some of which you have by now become familiar. He, therefore, invited the believers to participate in his very last pilgrimage, known as the Farewell

Pilgrimage. People from far and wide responded to his invitation, and no less than one hundred thousand pilgrims left Medina with him.3

On the standing day at 'Arafat, he informed the attendants that: "'Ali is of me, and I am of 'Ali, and nobody discharges the responsibility [of my religion] on my behalf except I and 'Ali." 4

And when he came back from the pilgrimage and arrived at the valley of Khumm, trusted Gabriel descended upon him with "ayat al-tabligh," verse of conveying the Message, from the Lord of the Worlds.

Immediately thereupon, he alighted there till those who lagged behind him, as well as those who went ahead of him, joined him. When they all assembled, he conducted the obligatory prayers then delivered a sermon about Allah, the Dear and the Omniscient, emphasizing the significance of 'Ali's wilayat. You have already heard a glittering report of its news, and what you have not heard is even more exact and more explicit; yet what you have heard should suffice you. Its news was carried on behalf of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, by all those masses who were present with him there and then and who are estimated to have been over one hundred thousand pilgrims from various lands.

The order of Allah, the Dear and Sublime, which does not suffer any alteration in His creation, necessitates the consecutive reporting of this hadith in spite of all obstacles in conveying it. Yet the Imams of Ahl al-Bayt S follow their own wise methods of disseminating it and publicizing for it.

4. Referring to the latter, I suggest that you may consider the measure taken by the Commander of the Faithful منافقة, then Caliph, in gathering people in the spacious meeting place, the Rahba plain. He then said: "I ask in the Name of Allah each Muslim who heard what the Messenger of Allah منافقة said on the Ghadir Day to stand and testify to what he heard.

Nobody should stand except those who saw the Prophet with their own eyes and heard him with their own ears."

Thirty sahabis, twelve of whom had particiated in the Battle of Badr, stood and testified that the Prophet took 'Ali by the hand and asked people: "Do you know that I have more authority over the believers than the believers themselves have?" They answered in the affirmative. He, peace be upon him and his progeny, then said: "To whomsoever I have been mawla, this ('Ali) is his mawla; O Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him, and be the enemy of whosoever chooses to be his enemy." You know that accusing thirty sahabis of being liars is rejected by reason; therefore, the achievement of consecutive reporting through their testimony is an irrefutable and undeniable proof.

The same hadith was transmitted from those thirty sahabis by all those crowds who were then present at the Rahba, and who disseminated it after their dispersal throughout the land, thus providing it with extremely wide publicity. Obviously, the Rahba incident took place during the caliphate of the Commander of the Faithful who received the oath of allegiance in the year 35 A.H.

The Ghadir event took place during the Farewell Pilgrimage, 10 A.H. The time period separating the first date from the second is twenty-five years during which many events took place such as a devastating plague, wars, the opening of new countries, and the invasions contemporary to the three righteous caliphs.

This time period, one fourth of a century, merely due to its duration, wars and invasions, in addition to a sweeping and devastating plague, had ended the lives of many of those who had witnessed the Ghadir event, especially the elderly among the sahabah as well as their youths who were eager to meet their Lord through conducting jihad in His way, the Exalted, the Omniscient, and in the way of His Messenger, peace be upon him and his progeny, so much so that their dead outnumbered their survivors.

Some of them were scattered throughout the land, and many of those were not present at the Rahba except those who kept company with the Commander of the Faithful Faithful in Iraq, and these were only males.

In spite of all this, thirty sahabah, twelve of whom were participants in the Battle of Badr, had heard hadith al-Ghadir from the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny.

There may have been others who hated to testify, such as Anas ibn Malik5 and others who received their due punishment in lieu of the prayers of the Commander of the Faithful to Allah to punish those who hid the truth while knowing it.

Had he been able to gather all sahabis who were alive then, males and females, and address them in the same way which he employed at Rahba, several times that many would have testified; so, what if he had asked people in Hijaz before the passage of such a long time after the incident of the Ghadir? Contemplate upon this fact and you will find it a very strong proof testifying to the consecutive reporting of hadith al-Ghadir.

The books of tradition should suffice you in their documentation of hadith al-Ghadir. Take, for example, what Imam Ahmad has quoted on page 370, Vol 4, of his Musnad from Abul Tufayl who has said: "'Ali gathered people at the Rahba, then he said to them: 'I adjure in the name of Allah every Muslim who heard what the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, had said on the Ghadir Day to state his testimony.' Thirty persons stood up."

Abu Na'im has said: "Many stood up and testified how the Prophet took 'Ali by the hand and asked people: 'Do you know that I have more authority over the believers than the believers themselves have?' They answered: 'We do, O Messenger of Allah!' Then he said: 'To whomsoever I have been a mawla, this 'Ali is his mawla; O Lord! Befriend whoever

befriends him and be the enemy of whoever sets himself as his enemy."

Abul-Tufail continues to say: "I left the place dismayed (disgusted with many people's ignorance of this hadith), and I met Zayd ibn Arqam and said to him: 'I have heard 'Ali say such and such.' Zayd said: 'Then do not deny that you have heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, say so about him."

Zayd's testimony stated above, and 'Ali's statement in this regard, may be added to the testimony of the thirty sahabis, thus bringing the number of narrators of this hadith to thirty-two sahabis. Imam Ahmad has recorded 'Ali's hadith on page 119, Vol. 1, of his Musnad as transmitted by Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Layla. The latter says: "I saw 'Ali at the Rahba abjuring people to testify, emphasizing that only those who had seen and heard the Prophet 'Should stand and testify. Twelve participants in the Battle of Badr, whom I remember so well as if I am looking at them right now, did so."

Abdul-Rahman quotes the latter testifying that they had all heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, asking people on the Ghadir Day: "Do not I have more authority over the believers' lives than they themselves do, and my wives are their mothers?" The audience responded: "Yes, indeed, O Messenger of Allah!"

Then he said, as Abdul-Rahman quotes him, "Then whosoever takes me as his mawla must take 'Ali as his mawla; O Mighty Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him and be the enemy of whoever bears animosity towards him!"

Another narration is recorded by Imam Ahmad on the same page. It quotes the Prophet saying: "O Lord! Befriend whoever takes him as his wali and be the enemy of whoever antagonizes him; support whoever supports him, and abandon whoever abandons him." The narrative goes

on to state that with the exception of three men, the witnesses stood to testify. 'Ali invoked Allah to curse those who hid the truth, and his invocation was heeded.

If you add 'Ali and Zayd ibn Arqam to the afore-mentioned twelve participants in the Battle of Badr, then fourteen is obviously the number of witnesses. By tracing the traditions regarding the Rahba incident, 'Ali's wisdom becomes manifest in disseminating hadith al-Ghadir and publicizing for it.

5. The Master of Martyrs, Abu Abdullah al-Husain, peace be upon him, has left us a legacy of a very memorable stand which he took during the reign of Mu'awiyah. It was then that truth became manifest. It was similar to the stand taken by 'Ali at the Rahba.

During the pilgrimage season, al-Husain pulse, surrounded by throngs of pilgrims, praised his grandfather, father, mother and brother, and delivered an unprecedented, wise and eloquent speech that captivated his audience and won their hearts and minds. His sermon was inclusive, one wherein he reawakened the masses, traced and researched history, and paid the Ghadir incident its fair and just dues. His great stand, therefore, produced great results, and it became equivalent to hadith al-Ghadir in its fame and wide publicity.

6. His nine descendants, all sinless Imams, applied their own methods to publicizing and propagating the same hadith. Their methods reflect their wisdom which is comprehended by all those who possess sound senses. They used the eighteenth of Thul-Hijjah as a special annual feast to congratulate and congratulate one another, merrily and humbly seeking nearness to Allah, the Exalted, the Mighty, through fasting, prayers and supplications.

They go beyond limits in their deeds of goodness and acts of righteousness, thanking Allah for the blessings which He bestowed upon them on that Day by virtue of the text that nominated the Commander of the Faithful as Caliph, and His divine promise for him to be the Imam. They used to visit their kin, give more generously to their families, visit their brethren, look after their neighbours, and enjoin their followers to do likewise.

7. For this reason, the eighteenth of Thul-Hijjah of every year is celebrated as a feast by the Shi'as of all times and climes.6 It is then that they rush to their mosques to offer obligatory and supererogatory prayers, recite the Glorious Qur'an, and read the most celebrated supplications as a token of thanking Allah Almighty for perfecting His religion and completing His blessings upon them by nominating the Commander of the Faithful as the Imam [in the theological as well as the secular sense].

It is then that they exchange visits and happily wish each other the best, seeking nearness to Allah through righteousness and goodness, and through pleasing their kin and neighbours. On that day, every year, they visit the mausoleum of the Commander of the Faithful park, where no less than a hundred thousand pilgrims come from far and wide. There, they worship Allah on that day in the same way their purified Imams used to worship Him: through fasting, prayers, and remembrance of Allah. They seek nearness to Him through acts of righteousness and the payment of sadaqat.

They do not disperse before addressing the sacred shrine with a highly commended address authored by some of their Imams. It includes testifying to the glorious stand taken by the Commander of the Faithful S, honouring his feats and struggle to lay the foundations of the principles of the faith, his service of the Master of Prophets and Messengers and his virtues and merits, among which was the honour which he had received from the Prophet on the Ghadir Day. This is the custom of the Shi'as every year.

Their orators have always been referring to hadith al-Ghadir, quoting its tradition or even without reference to them, and their poets are accustomed to compose poems in its commemoration in old as well as modern times;7 therefore, there is no way to cast doubts about its being consecutively reported from the sources of Ahlal-Bayt and their Shi'as.

Their motives to memorize it by heart, their efforts to maintain its pristine text, safeguard its authenticity, publicize and disseminate it.., all have indeed resulted in the achievement of their most aspired objectives. Refer to all the four major Shi'a Musnads, as well as other Shi'a references, containing well-documented and supported traditions, and you will find each one of them reverberating with the same meaning, and each tradition supporting the other. Whoever acquaints himself with these traditions will find out that this hadith is mutawatir through their precious sources.

8. There is no doubt about its being consecutively reported through Sunni sources, according to natural laws, as you have come to know;

"Allah's creation suffers no alteration; this is the Right Guidance, but most people do not know." (Qur'an, 30:30)

The author of Al-Fatawa al-Hamidiyya, in spite of his stubbornness, admits the consecutive reporting of this hadith in his abridged dissertation titled Al-Salawat al-Fakhira fil Ahadith al-Mutawatira." Al-Sayyuti and other scholars of exegesis all admit the same. Refer to Muhammad ibn Jarir al-Tabari, author of the famous works titled "Tafsir" and "Tarikh,"

Ahmad ibn Muhammad ibn Sa'id ibn Aqdah, Muhammad ibn Ahmad ibn 'Uthman al-Thahbi, have all written critiques of the sources of this hadith. Each one of them has written an entire book on this subject. Ibn Jarir includes in his own book as many as one hundred and five sources for this hadith alone.8 Al-Thahbi, in spite of his fanaticism, has testified to the truth of many of its sources. In chapter sixteen of Ghayat al-Maram, as

many as eighty ahadith transmitted by Sunnis testify to the authenticity of the Ghadir hadith.

Yet he did not quote al-Tirmithi, al-Nisai, al-Tabrani, al-Bazzar, Abu Ya'li, or quite a few other reporters who transmit this hadith. Al-Sayyuti quotes this hadith while discussing 'Ali in his book Tarikh al-Khulafa' transmitted by al-Tirmithi, adding, "This hadith is also recorded by Ahmad as transmitted by 'Ali Ali Ali, and also by Ayyub al-Ansari, Zayd ibn Arqam, 'Umar [ibn al-Khattab], and Thu Murr. Abu Ya'li quotes it from Abu Hurayrah, al-Tabrani from Ibn 'Umar and from Ibn Abbas as transmitted by Malik ibn al-Huwayrith, Habshi ibn Janadah, and Jarir, and also by Ammarah and Buraydah."

A proof of the fame of this hadith is evident from the fact that Imam Ahmad records it in his Musnad from Riyah ibn al-Harish as transmitted by two sources. It states that a group of men once came to 'Ali S and said: "Assalamu Alaikum, our mawla."

The Imam asked who they were, and they answered him by saying that they were his subjects. The Imam asked them: "How can I be your mawla, while you are [stranger] bedouin Arabs?" They said: "We have heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, on the Ghadir Day saying: 'Whoever I have been his mawla, 'Ali is his mawla."

Riyah says that when they left, he followed them and asked them who they were, and that they said to him: "We are a group of the Ansar (Medenite Supporters) in the company of Abu Ayyub al-Ansari." Another proof of its fame is what has been recorded by Abu Ishaq al-Tha'labi while explaining Surat al-Ma'arij in his book Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, relying on two very highly respected sources, and stating the following:

The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, ordered people on the Ghadir Day to assemble, then he took 'Ali's hand and said:

"Whoever accepts me as his mawla, 'Ali is his mawla." The news of this announcement spread throughout the land, and al-Nu'man al-Fahri came to know about this hadith. Riding his she-camel, he came to meet the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny.

Having alighted, he said the following to the Prophet: "O Muhammad! You ordered us to bear witness that there is no deity except Allah and that you are the Messenger of Allah, and we obeyed; then you ordered us to offer prayers five times a day, and we agreed; then you ordered us to pay zakat, and we agreed; then you ordered us to fast during the month of Ramadan and we agreed; then you ordered us to perform the pilgrimage and we agreed; then, as if all of this is not sufficient, you favoured your cousin to all of us and said 'Whoever accepts me as his mawla, 'Ali is his mawla;' is this one of your own orders, or is it Allah's?"

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, answered: "I swear by the One and only God that this is the command of Allah, the Exalted and Omniscient;" whereupon al-Harith left heading towards his animal murmuring softly to himself: "O Lord! If what Muhammad says is true, then let it rain stones, or let a severe torment descend upon us."

He hardly reached his animal before Allah caused a stone to cleave his head, penetrate his body and come out of his anus, leaving him dead on the spot. It is in reference to that incident that Allah Almighty revealed the following verse:

"A man who brought a question (to the Prophet) asked for a sure penalty - which cannot be warded off by those who reject the truth - from Allah, Lord of the Ways of Ascent." 9 (Qur'an, 70:1-3)

This is how the tradition, quoted verbatim,10 concludes. Its authenticity is accepted by many Sunni scholars as a common fact, Wassalam.

Sincerely, Sh

Footnotes

1. We do not dispute its revelation in reference to 'Ali's wilayat on Ghadir Khumm Day, and our narratives from the sources of the purified progeny are consecutive. Suffices you for reference to its narration by others besides the latter what Imam al-Wahid has quoted in his exegesis of Surat al-Ma'ida on page 150 of his book Asbabul Nuzul from two respected sources: 'Atiyyah and Abu Sa'id al-Khudri. The author says: "This verse [that is, the one reading: "O Messenger! Convey that which has been revealed unto you from your Lord"] was revealed on Ghadir Khumm Day in reference to 'Ali ibn Abu Talib عنياتنا " The same is narrated by al-Hafiz Abu Na'im who interprets it in his book Nuzul al-Qur'an relying on two sources one of which is Abu Sa'id and the other is Abu Rafi'. It is also narrated by Imam Ibrahim ibn Muhammad al-Hamawaini al-Shafi'i in his book Al-Fawa'id from various sources ending with Abu Hurayrah. It is quoted by Imam Abu Ishaq al-Tha'labi while explaining the meaning of this verse in his Al-Tafsir al-Kabir from two respected sources. What testifies to its reference to 'Ali عَلَيْهُ is the fact that prayers had been already established, zakat was enforced, fasting was legislated, the pilgrimage to the House was being conducted, what is permissible was clarified and so was what is forbidden, the Shari'ah was already regulated and its injunctions enforced; so, what else required Allah to place so much emphasis other than on the issue of caliphate, one which prompted Him to pressure His Prophet in a way which was almost similar to threatening? And regarding what, if not feel presentiment of dissension if he صَرَّاتِتُمُعَلِيهُ وَسِعَالُمُ feel presentiment of dissension if he did not convey it, something which required God's own immunity against any harm that might result from discharging it?

- 2. sahihs documenting the occasion that necessitated the revelation of this verse are consecutive from the sources of the purified progeny when al-Bukhari claims that the verse was revealed on the day of 'Arafat, for the members of the Prophet's house know what is revealed in their house.
- 3. Sayyid Ahmad Zayni Dahlan, in a chapter on the Farewell Pilgrimage in his book Al-Sirah al-Nabawiyya [Biography of the Prophet], writes: "Ninety thousand some say a hundred and twenty-four thousand, while others say more accompanied him, peace be upon him and his progeny, from Medina, and this is just a rough figure of the number of people who accompanied him," to the end of his statement from which you come to know that those who went back with him were more than a hundred thousand, and they all witnessed the Ghadir hadith.
- 4. We have quoted this hadith in our Letter No. 48; so, if you refer to it, you will find it verbatim numbered 15 in the said reference; the same Letter refers to and comments on it in a way worthy of the attention of researchers.
- 5. He, peace be upon him, said to him then: "Why don't you stand with other companions of the Messenger of Allah and testify to what you heard of him then?" He answered: "O Commander of the Faithful! I have grown old, and I have forgotten it." 'Ali said: "If you are telling a lie, then may Allah strike you with a white [disease, i.e. leprosy] which your turban cannot conceal." He hardly left before his face was filled with the marks of leprosy; so, he used to say: "I have become the object of a curse invoked by the Righteous Servant." This incident is quite famous, and a testimony for its authenticity exists when Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal quotes it at the end of page 119, Vol. 1, of his Musnad, adding: "They all, except three men, rose to testify; and those three fell under the effect of his curse."

6. Ibn al-Athir, while narrating the significant events that took place in the year 352 in his Kamil, says the following on page 181, Vol. 8, of his history book: "On the eighteenth of Thul-Hijjah of that year, Mu'izz al-Dawla ordered decorations to be installed in Baghdad, fires to be lit at the police quarters, and all merriments be displayed; so, market-places were opened at night just as is customary during 'Id nights; he did all that to celebrate 'Iid al-Ghadir, Ghadir Khumm. Drums were beaten; and trumpets were sounded, and it was quite a memorable day."

7. Al-Kumait ibn Zayd has said:

On the day of the dawh, the Ghadir dawh day,

Caliphate was made manifest for him: were they to obey...

Abu Tammam, in a poetic masterpiece which he includes in his diwan, says:

On the Day of Ghadir, truth looked clear and bright;

Redolently, with no curtains nor bars to hide;

The Messenger of Allah stood there to invite

Them to come close to what is just and right,

Gesturing with his hands, introducing your wali

And mawla; yet see what happened to you and me!

He brings the news to people so eloquently,

While they come with grudge and depart grudgingly,

Yet he made the truth eloquently shine,

While they usurped even your right and mine.

You made its destiny the sharp blades of your sword:

And the grave for whoever wanted the truth to uphold...

- 8. The author of Ghayat al-Maram says near the conclusion of Chapter 16, page 89, of his book: "Ibn Jarir has quoted the Ghadir hadith from ninety-five sources in a book which he dedicated to this subject, calling it Al-Wilayat, and Ibn 'Uqdah has quoted it from one hundred and five sources written down in a book which he also dedicated solely for this subject-matter. Imam Ahmad ibn Muhammad ibn al-Siddiq al-Magharibi has stated that both al-Thahbi and Ibn 'Uqdah have dedicated a special book solely for this hadith;" so, refer to the sermon in his valuable book titled Fath al-Malik al-'Ali Bisihhati Babil 'Ilm 'Ali.
- 9. This is quoted from al-Tha'labi by a group of Sunni dignitaries such as scholar al-Shiblinji of Egypt in a biography of 'Ali in his book Nurul Absar; so, you may refer to its eleventh page if you wish.
- 10. Refer to what al-Halabi has quoted of the narratives related to the Farewell Pilgrimage in his book of biography known as Al-Sira al-Halabiyya and you will find this hadith at the end of page 214 of its third volume.

Discussions

This round of 'correspondence' commences under the presumption that the term Mawlā means successor. We have provided adequate evidence showing why this term does not refer to Imāmah.¹ The Ḥadīth being <code>Mutawātir</code> [mass-transmitted] does not change the meaning. It does not help at all; as a matter of fact it is a redundant line of reasoning to begin with. If anything, it reinforces the fact that <code>Mawlā</code> was not meant to be succession after the Prophet in that context. Why would they all narrate it only to practice contrary to what it means?

'Abd al-Ḥusayn is going to cite narrations from his own sources which imply that whoever rejected the Imāmah immediately after the Prophet فَاسَنَعْهُ became apostate. It is convenient that they are believers to argue *Tawātur*, but they are apostates when it applies to the doctrine of Imāmah? All the Companions whose names he will list under those who narrate the Ḥadīth about Ghadīr Khumm have pledged allegiance to Abū Bakr مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ after the Prophet مَا اللهُ وَاللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللّهُ وَالل

The Tawātur in question refers only to the statement, "Whomsoever considers me his Mawlā; 'Alī is also his Mawlā." By necessity it stands to reason that this was said at Ghadīr Khumm and not at Ḥajj as we have pointed out previously.² All the additional phrases are not considered Mutawātir.³

The circumstances and reasoning mentioned by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn for the Tawātur of the sermon at Ghadīr are no different from the sermon that the Prophet طَالِعَاتِينَا لَعَالَىٰ delivered just days before his passing; wherein he said:

¹ See discussions under Letters: 26, 36, 38

² See discussions under Letter 8

³ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 8 pg. 335; al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah, vol. 7 pg. 681 (Dār Hajr edition)

خير عبدا بين الدنيا وبين ما عنده فاختار ما عند الله فكان رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم هو العبد وكان أبو بكر أعلمنا قال يا أبا بكر لا تبك إن أمن الناس علي في صحبته وماله أبو بكر ولو كنت متخذا خليلا من أمتي لاتخذت أبا بكر ولكن أخوة الإسلام ومودته لا يبقين في المسجد باب إلا سد إلا باب أبي بكر

"Allah gave a choice to one of (His) slaves either to choose this world or what is with Him in the Hereafter. He chose the latter."

Abū Bakr began to weep. I said to myself, "What is this old man weeping for, if Allah gave a choice to one (of His) slaves either to choose this world or what is with Him in the Hereafter and he chose the latter?" (However) that slave was Allah's Messenger 'he was referring himself. Abū Bakr was more knowledgeable than us.

The Prophet مَالِسُعَانِينَةُ said, "O Abū Bakr! Do not cry."

The Prophet then added, "The person who has favoured me the most with his wealth and companionship is Abū Bakr. If I were to take a Khalīl (close friend) other than Allah, I would certainly have taken Abū Bakr. Nevertheless, we share the brotherhood in Islam and and mutual love. No door leading into the Masjid is to be left open besides the door of Abū Bakr."

The content of this Khuṭbah is narrated by Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī², ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbbās³, ʿAbd Allah ibn al-Zubayr⁴, ʿAbd Allah ibn Masʿūd⁵, Jundub ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Bajalī⁶, Abū Hurayrah¹ and Abū al-Muʻallā⁸ ﷺ. The claim of Tawātur is present here as well.

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Salāt, Hadīth no: 466; Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb Fadā'il al-Sahābah, Hadīth no: 2382

² Ibid

³ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Fadā'il Ashāb al-Nabī مَالِسُعُنِهِ , Hadīth no: 3657

⁴ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Fadā'il Ashāb al-Nabī مَالِسُعُتِهِ بِهِ Hadīth no: 3658

⁵ Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb Fadā'il al-Sahābah, Hadīth no: 2383

⁶ Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Masājid, Hadīth no: 532

⁷ Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 12 pg. 414, Ḥadīth no: 7446 ; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3661; Ibn Mājah, al-Muqaddimah, Hadīth no: 94

⁸ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 25 pg. 266, Ḥadīth no: 15922; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3659

Ahl al-Sunnah accept both narrations, whereas the Rāfiḍah reject this narration and only accept the Ḥadīth, "Whomsoever is my Mawlā..."

When was the Khutbah delivered?

We have dealt with the absurdity of the claim that the verse of *Tablīgh* was revealed in relation to the sermon at Ghadīr. It is self-contradictory to maintain that the appointment was made at Ḥajj, and the instruction to appoint 'Alī, in *Āyat al-Tablīgh*, was revealed in connection with the sermon of Ghadīr Khumm. If the Prophet already conveyed it, why instruct him to convey it again? Why had the hundred-thousand present at the Farewell Ḥajj pledge their allegiance to Abū Bakr after the Prophet's with the sermon of 'Alī with had already been appointed?'

Abd al-Ḥusayn misquotes the narration of Ḥubshī ibn Junādah because he either misunderstood the meaning of the narration in Musnad Aḥmad or deliberately intended to mislead his audience. The mention of the Farewell Ḥajj in this narration is to prove that Ḥubshī نفي was a companion of the Prophet as he was present during the Prophet's المنافقة Farewell Ḥajj; not that he heard this Ḥadīth during the Prophet's مَا المنافقة Farewell Ḥajj! This narration has been discussed previously in detail.¹

As for discarding the narration in al-Bukhārī about the circumstances under which the other verse in Sūrah al-Mā'idah was revealed:

This day I have perfected for you your religion and completed My favor upon you and have approved for you Islam as religion.²

This narration cannot be said to be the invention of al-Bukhārī. It appears in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim as well as we have pointed out in the previous discussion.³ The bold

¹ See discussions on Letter 48, Hadīth. 15

² Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 3

³ Letter 54

claim of sufficing on what is found in their 'Ṣaḥīḥ' collections is rich when 'Abd al-Ḥusayn cannot even find a single narration proving Imāmah that meets the Shīʿī standards of Hadīth criticism as we shall demonstrate under Letter 62.

The narration about 'Alī 'aujus' enlisting the confirmation those companions who heard the Prophet 'ayaying, "Whoever considers me his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā," occurred less than four months from 'Alī's 'assassination at the hands of the Khawārij. Why would 'Alī require their confirmation from these companions—who pledged allegiance to Abū Bakr 'after the Prophet's demise—if he sought to justify his leadership when he was already the sworn Khalīfah? The only plausible explanation is that he did not understand this term to mean his appointment. Instead, he understood it to mean that it was his right to be respected and loved; an issue which the dissenting Khawārij ignored completely.

Allegation against Anas ibn Mālik

'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges that Anas withheld his testimony on this oocasion and that 'Alī will cursed him on account of it.

There may have been others who hated to testify, such as Anas ibn Malik, and others who received their due punishment.

The narration which he refers to—under footnote no. 5—has been disproved by the very Ibn Qutaybah, whose book, *al-Maʿārif*, he relied on when listing the 100 narrators.

Ibn Qutaybah states that this story is baseless and has no credibility whatsoever!¹ The plot thickens when we discover that this story cannot be found except in Ibn Qutaybah's *Kitāb al-Maʿārif*. Ibn Qutaybah only related the incident to point out that it was a forgery. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn came across the narration but conventiently

¹ Kitāb al-Maʿārif, pg. 194-195

forgot to add that Ibn Qutaybah calls it a forgery. To hide his crime, 'Abd al-Husayn conceals his source.

Reality and fact, however, disprove the allegation. Al-Ṭabarānī has recorded a narration which proves that Anas was one of those who stood up confirming that the Prophet did, in fact, say, "Whomsoever considers me his Mawlā; 'Alī is his Mawlā."¹ The narration also mentions Abū Hurayrah and Abū Saʿīd among those who stood up in confirmation.

Problem with using this narration to prove Tawātur

'Abd al-Ḥusayn bases his claim on the mass-transmission of this narration on the fact that twelve, or thirteen, or fourteen, or even thirty companions testified to the Ḥadīth of 'Alī ' . This is inherently flawed since it remains a solitary narration. The Tawātur of this narration by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's standard is no different from the narration of the Prophet not being inherited. If he claims Tawātur here, then he has essentially forfeited all arguments on the issue of Fadak:

عن مالك بن أوس بن الحدثان قال دخلت على عمر بن الخطاب ودخل عليه عثمان بن عفان والزبير بن العوام وعبد الرحمن بن عوف وسعد بن أبي وقاص ثم جاء علي والعباس يختصمان فقال عمر لهم أنشدكم بالله الذي بإذنه تقوم السماء والأرض تعلمون أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال لا نورث ما تركناه صدقة قالوا نعم قال عمر فلما توفي رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال أبو بكر أنا ولي رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال أبو بكر أنا ويطلب هذا الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فجئت أنت وهذا إلى أبي بكر تطلب أنت ميراثك من ابن أخيك ويطلب هذا ميراث امرأته من أبيها فقال أبو بكر إن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال لا نورث ما تركناه صدقة والله يعلم إنه لصادق بار راشد تابع للحق قال أبو عيسى وفي الحديث قصة طويلة . وهذا حديث حسن صحيح غريب من حديث مالك بن أنس

Mālik ibn Aws ibn al-Ḥadathān relates:

I entered upon 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb. Then, 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān, Zubayr, 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Awf, and Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāş entered. Then 'Alī and

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Ṣaghīr, vol. 1 pg. 119, Ḥadīth no: 175

'Abbās came disputing. 'Umar said to them, "I ask you, by Allah, by Whose Will the heavens and the earth are maintained, you do know that the Messenger of Allah said, 'We are not inherited from, what we leave is charity?'"

They said, "Yes."

'Umar said, "When the Messenger of Allah ناست died, Abū Bakr said, 'I am the custodian of the Messenger of Allah كالم 'So you and he went to Abu Bakr and you sought your inheritance from your nephew, and he sought the inheritance of his wife from her father. So Abu Bakr said that the Messenger of Allah ناست said, 'We are not inherited from, what we leave is charity.' And Allah knows that he is truthful, innocent, instructing and following the truth."

The same elements for 'Tawātur' are present here.

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Siyar, Ḥadīth no: 1610

Letter 57

Muharram 25, 1330

I. I. Interpreting Hadith alGhadir

II. II. The Link

1. Believing in the truthfulness of the Sahabah requires interpreting hadith alGhadir, whether it is consecutively reported or not. For this reason, Sunnis have claimed that "mawla" bears various meanings all of which have been applied in the Holy Qur'an. It may mean "the deserving," as the Almighty says when He addresses the infidels: "Your resort is the Fire; it is your mawla," meaning "You deserve the punishment of the Fire."

Another meaning is "the supporter," as Allah, praised be His Name, says: "It is so because Allah is the mawla of those who believe, and the infidels have no mawla." It also means "the heir," as in the statement of the Almighty: "For each We have assigned mawali [mawlas] from the inheritance of the parents and the relatives," meaning heirs.

It also means "relatives," as is clearly understood from the following verse of the Dear and Mighty One: "I fear the mawali after me," meaning relatives. It also means "friend," as the verse suggests: "On that Day, no mawla will be able to do any good to his mawla." "Wali" also connotes the person who is most qualified to fare with someone else's affairs, as we may say: "Mr. so and so is the wali of the minor."

It also means "the supporter" and "the loved one." Some have said: "The gist of the hadith could be 'whoever I have supported, befriended, or loved;' for 'Ali was as such, and this meaning agrees with the prestige enjoyed by the good ancestors, and with the imamate of the three righteous caliphs, may Allah be pleased with them.

2. It is also possible that some people regarded this hadith to refer to 'Ali simply because one of 'Ali's companions in Yemen noticed his uncompromising policy in executing the commandments of Allah; therefore, he spoke ill of him; for this reason, the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, did not appreciate their attitude and stood up on the Ghadir Day, praised the Imam and lauded his contributions, attracting the attention to his prestige and defending his name against those who intended to chew it.

The pretext used by such a group of advocates is that in his sermon, the Prophet praised 'Ali in particular, saying: "Whoever I have been his wali, 'Ali is his wali," and his Ahl Al-Bayt in general, saying: "I am leaving with you the Two Weighty Things: the Book of Allah and my progeny, my Ahl Al-Bayt;" so, he simply recommended that they should cherish 'Ali in particular and his kin in general. They claim that such a statement neither commits Ali to be his successor, nor does it connote imamate for him, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 58

Muharram 27, 1330

I. Hadith alGhadir Cannot be Interpreted

II. Pretext for its Interpretation is Speculative and Misleading

1. Somehow I have the feeling that your heart is not satisfied with what you yourself have stated, and your soul is not thereby pleased! You revere the Messenger of Allah مَا مَا مَا مَا مُعَالِمُ and cherish his pristine wisdom, infallibility, conclusive Prophethood, believing that he is the master of the wise, and the seal of the prophets:

"He does not speak of his own inclination; it is but a revealed inspiration; he has been taught by one mighty in power (Qur'an, 53:3-5)."

Suppose a philosopher from another faith asks you about the Ghadir Day saying:

"Why did he stop all those thousands of companions from proceeding, confining them in midday heat in such a sunbaked plain? Why did he make sure to call back whoever advanced, and wait for whoever lagged behind? Why did he camp with them in such a desolate place where neither water nor vegetation was available? Then why did he preach to them about Allah Almighty in that place and enjoined those who were present there to convey, upon dispersing, what they had heard to those who had not, and why did he start with a selfeulogizing sermon, saying: 'It looks like my Lord's Messenger [angel of death, Isra'il] is about to come to call me [to return to my Lord] and I will respond to the call; I am responsible, and so are you,' and what message was the Prophet to enjoined to convey and which the nation was enjoined to heed?

Why did he ask them: 'Do not you believe that there is no god but Allah and that Muhammad is His Servant and Messenger, that His Paradise is just and His Fire is just, that death is just and the life after death is just, that the Hour is undoubtedly approaching, that Allah will bring to life all those who are lying in their graves?' and they responded in the affirmative? Why did he immediately take 'Ali's hand, lift it till the white hair in his armpit became visible, saying: 'O people! Allah is my mawla, and I am the mawla of the believers;' then why did he explain his statement 'I am the mawla of the believers' by asking them: 'Do not I have more authority over your lives than you yourselves have?'

Then why did he say, having made such an explanation, 'Whoever has accepted me as his mawla, this ('Ali) is his mawla; O Lord! Befriend whosoever befriends him and be the enemy of whosoever antagonizes him; support whosoever supports him and betray whosoever betrays him,' and why did he specifically choose him and pray for him in such a manner which is worthy only of just Imams and truthful successors?

And why did he require them to testify by asking them: 'Do I not have more authority over you than you yourselves have?' and they answered in the affirmative; then he said: 'To whomsoever I have been a mawla, 'Ali is his mawla,' or 'To whomsoever I have been a wali, 'Ali is his wali, and why did he link the Qur'an to his progeny, thus making them the examples for the wise to follow till the day of Judgment?

Why so much concern from such a wise Prophet? What was the mission that necessitated all these introductions, and what was the aspired objective from such a memorable stand? What was the message which Allah Almighty ordered him to convey when He said:

'O Messenger! Convey what has just been revealed unto you from your Lord, and if you do not do so, then you have not conveyed His Message (at all), and Allah will protect you from (evil) men (Qur'an, 5:67),'

and what mission required so much emphasis from Allah Who demanded, in a tone so close to threatening, to be conveyed? What was the affair regarding which the Prophet feared dissension if not conveyed by him, one the announcement of which required a profound protection from Allah against the harm of the hypocrites...?"

I ask you, in the name of your grandfather, if you are asked all these questions, will you answer them by saying that Allah, the Omniscient, the allPowerful, simply wanted to explain to the Muslims how 'Ali had been supporting them, and how friendly he was to them? I do not think that you would give such an answer, and I do not think that you would interpret Allah's words, or the words of the master of the wise, the seal of messengers and prophets, as such.

You are above thinking that he would exhaust his means and resources in explaining something too clear, according to reason and common sense, to require such an explanation. There is no doubt that you look at the actions and statements of the Prophet in a better light, one which is not derided by the discreet, nor criticized by philosophers or sages. There is no doubt that you appreciate the value of his statements and actions and render them to wisdom and infallibility.

Allah the Almighty has said:

"He is a blessed Messenger endowed with strength from the One with the Throne, obeyed, able, and trustworthy; certainly your fellow is not possessed (Qur'an, 81:19-22)."

You are above accusing him of clarifying what is already clear, or expounding upon what is already common knowledge, or bringing unusual introductions for such clarifications, or introductions having no bearing over nor correlation thereto. Allah and His Messenger are above that. You, may Allah support the truth through your person, know that what suits

such measures, undertaken in the midday heat of that place, ones that are conducive to his actions and statements on the Ghadir Day, is nothing less than the conveying of the divine Message, and the appointment of his vicegerent.

Logical proofs and rational explanations unequivocally prove that what he intended to do on that day was nothing other than the appointment of 'Ali as his vicegerent and successor. This hadith, supported by proofs, is an explicit text regarding 'Ali's caliphate, one which does not even require an interpretation, and there is no way to understand it otherwise. This is quite clear for anyone who is

"... with a sound mind, attentive, and a witness (Qur'an, 50:37)."

2. As regarding the pretext they claim, it is nothing but a speculation and an adulteration. It is the sophistry of confusion and embellishment. The Prophet طائعت dispatched 'Ali to Yemen twice, the first took place in 8 A.H. It was then that scandalmongers spread rumours about him, and some people complained about him to the Prophet سائعت upon their return to Medina. It was then that he resented their complaints,1 and they saw the sparkle of anger on his face; yet they did not refrain from trying again.

The second time took place in 10 A.H. It was then that the Prophet tied a knot on 'Ali's standard, fixed his headwear with his own hands, and said: "Proceed, and do not be distracted;" whereupon 'Ali proceeded to his destination as the divinely guided leader of the rest till he discharged the responsibility entrusted to him by the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny.

Then he participated in the Prophet's Farewell Pilgrimage. It was then that the Prophet welcomed him very warmly and even shared with him his own offering. It was then that no scandalmonger dared to open his mouth, nor did any unfair person charge him with anything; so, how can this

hadith be necessitated by the objections of those in the opposition party? Or how could it be only an answer to their charges, as some people claim?

Yet mere antagonism to 'Ali is not sufficient for the Prophet to pile praises on him in the way which he has done from a pulpit of camel saddles on the Ghadir Day except, Allah forbid, that he risks his own deeds and statements, responsibilities and mission, just to please 'Ali. His divine wisdom is way above that, for Allah, praised be His Name, says: "It is the saying of a glorious Messenger; it is not the speech of a poet; little do you believe; nor is it the speech of a monk; little do you remember; it is but revelation from the Lord of the Worlds." (69:40-43)

Had he desired to just show 'Ali's contributions, and to rebut those who bore grudge against him, he would simply have said: "This is my cousin, my soninlaw, the father of my descendants, the master of my household; therefore, do not harm him," or something like this to show mere admission of status and dignity.

But the way this hadith is worded gives no impression other than what we have suggested. It points out rational and deductive proofs. Let the reason be whatever it may be, the statements quite obviously bear explicit meanings which demand no inquiry into their causes.

As regarding his reference to his household in hadith alGhadir, it is only to support the same meaning which we have suggested, since he correlated them to the Glorious Book of Allah, setting them as examples for all the wise, saying: "I am leaving with you these which, as long as you adhere to, shall never let you stray: the Book of Allah, and my progeny, my household." He did not do that only so that the nation might realize that it had none to refer to, nor rely upon, after the Prophet, other than both of them.

Suffices you for a testimony regarding the Imams from the Prophet's purified progeny is that they are correlated to Allah's Book which

no wrong can approach from front nor from back. Just as it is not possible to refer to any book which differs in its judgment from the Book of Allah, the Praised One, the Sublime, it is not possible likewise to refer to an Imam who opposes in his judgment the Imams from the purified progeny who opposes in his judgment the Imams from the purified progeny.

Consider his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "They shall never separate till they join me at the Pool;" it is a proof that the earth shall never be without an Imam from his loins who is equivalent to the Book. Anyone who scrutinizes this hadith will find it restricting the caliphate to the Imams from the purified progeny of the Prophet

This is supported by the hadith reported by Zayd ibn Thabit and quoted by Ahmad in his Musnad at the beginning of page 122, Vol. 5. It states that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "I am leaving you with two successors: the Book of Allah, like a rope extending from heavens to earth, and my household, for they both shall never part from each other till they join me at the Pool."

Such a statement is indeed indicative of assigning the caliphate to the Imams from the purified progeny, peace be upon them. You know that the text which emphasizes following the Prophet's progeny implies following 'Ali's leadership, since 'Ali, after the Prophet 'Ali's, is the undisputed master, and the obeyed Imam of his household. On one hand, hadith alGhadir and others like it imply that 'Ali is the Imam of the Prophet's household whose status, according to Allah and His Messenger, is equal to that of the Holy Qur'an. On the other hand, it gives credit to his own great personality because of which he became the wali of all those whose wali is none other than the Messenger of Allah

Sincerely		
Sh		

Footnotes

1. We have clarified the same in our Letter No. 36; so, refer to it and do not overlook our comment in this regard.

Discussions

There is not much to discuss under this round of correspondence since much of what he has mentioned he is merely a repetition of what he mentioned earlier in his writings. He has not brought any academic argument. Instead he employed a very emotive tone to give a new veneer to his older arguments.

His claim that the Prophet مَا مَا camped at a desolate place where neither water nor vegetation was available is at odds with reality. The name, *Ghadīr*, suggests that it was a place of water. This is mentioned expressly in some versions of the Hadīth.¹

He alleges that the Prophet مَالْسَكُ stood up to give a sermon in the unbearable extreme heat in order to instate 'Alī as the khalīfah. It's counter-intuitive to state that standing in the heat to deliver a speech was the underlying sign of 'Alī's appointment. It would be more sensible if the Prophet gave a specific instruction for everyone to gather at Ghadīr Khumm before announcing 'Alī's appointment. However, reality paints quite a different picture. No announcement was made for the people to gather at Ghadīr Khumm. Instead the Prophet waited until his return from the Farewell Ḥajj, and when he stopped for water and rest at Ghadīr Khumm he addressed those who were present with him.

This tells us that the reason for addressing the Companions at this point was never to announce 'Alī's khilāfah because if that were the case he would have mentioned it during the Farewell Ḥajj, wherein he delivered numerous sermons about the most important matters for the entire Ummah to know. However, because this was not something to be conveyed to the people he did not mention it. After delivering those sermons he said, "Have I conveyed? O Allah, be my witness!"

This reinforces the view that says that the word Mawlā was not used with the intention of Khilāfah. Why wait until after Ḥajj to appoint the Khalīfah, after the majority of Muslims have returned to their respective destinations?

¹ See our discussions on Letter 36

We have discussed the Ḥadīth of Ghadīr at length under numerous letter so it is most appropriate that we ask a few questions of our own:

- Why did the Prophet مَالَسُعَتُ appoint Abū Bakr مَالَسُعَتُ to lead the prayer during his final illness?
- Why did the Prophet ﴿ ﴿ Who could barely walk, ascend the pulpit, his head bandaged, only to state that he was at his end and that he has repaid the kindness of everyone besides Abū Bakr, and that he was was most indebted to Abū Bakr for his service to Islām? In that sermon he insisted that all doors leading to Masjid be closed, and that only the door of Abū Bakr ought to lead directly into the Masjid; why?
- What caused him to smile when he saw his Companions for the last time; at the time of Fajr, in prayer behind Abū Bakr

Anas هَوَ بَسَاعَةُ, the Companion who served the Prophet مَالِسَّعَةُ for over ten years, narrates:

عن الزهري قال أخبرني أنس بن مالك الأنصاري - وكان تبع النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم وخدمه وصحبه أن أبا بكر كان يصلي لهم في وجع النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم الذي توفي فيه حتى إذا كان يوم الاثنين وهم صفوف في الصلاة، فكشف النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم ستر الحجرة ينظر إلينا وهو قائم كأن وجهه ورقة مصحف ثم تبسم يضحك فهممنا أن نفتتن من الفرح برؤية النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم، فنكص أبو بكر على عتبيه ليصل الصف وظن أن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم خارج إلى الصلاة، فأشار إلينا النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم أن أتموا صلاتكم وأرخى الستر فتوفى من يومه.

The last glimpse I had of the Messenger of Allah was at the time of his last illness, on the Monday morning when he lifted the curtain of his house (to take a look at his ummah praying). His face was radiant and shining as if it was a page of the Muṣḥaf. His face was lit with a smile of joy. The people were performing the (fajr) prayer behind Abū Bakr and began moving back (in happiness after seeing him, thinking that he would come to join them). The Messenger of Allah was a sign to them to remain in their places. The Messenger passed away on that day.¹

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Adhān, Ḥadīth no: 680; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ṣalah, Ḥadīth no: 419

Letter 59

Muharram 28, 1330

I. Truth Manifests

II. Evasion

1. I have never seen, in the past or in the present, anyone more gentle in his tone, more strong in his argument, than your own self. Now truth has manifested itself due to the proofs which you have brought forth, thus uncovering the mask of doubt, revealing the pleasant countenance of conviction.

No longer do we claim that the meaning of "wali" and "mawla" in hadith alGhadir is "foremost," or that it implies the "supporter," or the like, nor anything akin to what that man who asked for a sure torment had suggested; your view regarding the "mawla" stands on firm grounds, and is taken for granted.

2. I wish you agree to our interpretation of the said hadith which is endorsed by a group of learned 'ulema, including imam Ibn Hajar in his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, and alHalabi in his Sirat. They argue that even if we agree that he ('Ali) is the most worthy of imamate, the [Prophet's] intention here is futuristic; otherwise, he would have become the Imam in spite of the presence of the Prophet [which is an impossible situation, since the Prophet, as long as he was alive, was the sole Imamtr.], who did not mind the forthcoming of an Imam after him.

It is as though the Prophet مَالْسَنَا had said: "Ali shall be the Imam as soon as he receives the oath of allegiance;" so, such a situation will not collide with the precedence of the three Imams; it thus safeguards the honour of the good ancestors, may Allah Almighty be pleased with them all.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 60

Muharram 30, 1330

I. Evasion Refuted

You have, may Allah support the truth through your person, asked us to be convinced that the gist of hadith alGhadir is that 'Ali is the most worthy of imamate when and if the Muslims choose him as such and swear the oath of allegiance to him, hence his priority to which the hadith hints is futuristic, rather than immediate. In other words, such a priority will take place when and if it is forcibly taken, rather than being actual, so that it does not clash with the caliphate of the three Imams who preceded him [in ruling the Muslims].

We ask you in the light of the truth, the dignity of justice, the honour of fairness, and the logic of fair play, if you yourself are convinced of it so that we may follow suit and follow in your footsteps. Do you agree to give such an explanation yourself, or can it be attributed to you, so that we may follow in your footsteps and do as you do?

I do not think that you are convinced or pleased with a view such as this. I am convinced that you yourself wonder about anyone who would accept to derive such a meaning for this hadith when the text does not at all suggest it, nor can anyone conceive it as such; nay, it even challenges the wisdom and discretion of the Prophet من المنافقينية...., astaghfir-Allah.

It neither agrees with his great deeds nor very serious statements made on the Ghadir Day, nor with the irrefutable proofs which we brought forth above, nor with what alHarith ibn alNu'man alFahri understood, and what is emphasized by Allah and His Messenger, as well as all the companions.

Yet even the pending priority does not actually agree with the general meaning of this hadith, for it obviously does not necessitate that 'Ali states' should not have

been the mawla of the three caliphs, nor the mawla of anyone who died while being contemporary to any of them.

This is exactly the opposite of the conclusion driven home by the Prophet ما who asked: "Do I not have more authority over the believers than the believers themselves have?" and people answered him in the affirmative; then he ما said: "To whomsoever I have been the mawla (i.e. master of each and every Muslim individual, without any exception), 'Ali is his mawla."

So, as you see, nobody is made the exception [other than, of course, the person of the Prophet Himself.] implied in this statement; 'Ali is indeed the mawla without any argument. Both Abu Bakr and 'Umar, having heard the words of the Prophet on the Ghadir Day, said to 'Ali:1 "You have, O son of Abu Talib, become the mawla of every believing man and woman," thus admitting that he had become the master of every believing man and woman, generalizing the application to all believing men and women since the sun set on the Day of the Ghadir.

Once 'Umar was asked: "Your conduct with 'Ali is quite different from that of any other companion of the Prophet "'." 'Umar responded by saying: "Why, he is my mawla," as stated by Dar Qutni on page 36 of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa.

He thus admitted that 'Ali was his master, and he (Ali) had not been chosen to be a caliph yet, nor had he yet received the oath of allegiance from anyone. Consider how his ('Umar's) statement proved that 'Ali was his mawla and the mawla of every believing man and woman right then, not by virtue of futurity, since the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, on behalf of the Almighty Allah, conveyed the same on the Ghadir Day. 'Umar once asked 'Ali to arbitrate in a case brought forth before him involving two bedouins disputants.

One of them asked: "Is this man ('Ali) to judge between us?" 'Umar immediately leaped in rage, took the man by the neck and said to him: "Woe unto you! Do you know who this man is? He is your mawla, my mawla, and the mawla of all believers; whoever rejects him as the mawla is certainly not a Muslim," as stated

near the conclusion of Chapter 11 of Ibn Hajar's Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. Those who have recorded this incident are quite a few.

You, may Allah support the truth through your person, are aware of the fact that had the philosophy of Ibn Hajar and his supporters regarding the Ghadir hadith been accepted, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, would have been proven to be tampering with his own mission and responsibility - we seek refuge with Allah against thinking in such a manner - hallucinating in his speeches and deeds - Allah is above letting His Messenger do that - without having, according to such a philosophy, any purpose in that awesome situation other than making an announcement that after 'Ali had been elected as caliph, he would be most fit for it, and that, the theory goes on, nobody should monopolize it, for 'Ali and all other companions, and Muslims in general, are in that respect equal. What characteristic did the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, intend then and there to attribute to 'Ali, and 'Ali alone, from among all others who are wellknown for their history in serving Islam, if such philosophy, O Muslims, is proven accurate?

As regarding their claim that had 'Ali's priority regarding the Imamate not been futuristic, he would have become then the Imam in spite of the presence of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, we say that such a claim is indeed quite odd; it is the watering down of the truth, an unmatched misrepresentation which ignores the covenants of all prophets, caliphs, kings and princes to their successors. It overlooks the meaning of the hadith: "You to me are like Aaron to Moses except there will be no prophet after me."

It is an attempt to forget his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, in the hadith relevant to his kin when he warned them saying, "Therefore, listen to him [to 'Ali] and obey him," and to other numerous texts in this meaning. Even if we suppose that due to the presence of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, 'Ali's priority of the imamate could not be effective immediately, then obviously it had to be effective after his demise, following

the unanimously accepted rule of interpreting a statement the absolute truth of which is unattainable by its closest meanings. As regarding the honour of the good ancestors, it is safeguarded without forcing such an interpretation as we will explain if necessary, Wassalam.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes

1. This is quoted by Dar Qutni, as indicated near the conclusion of Section 5, Chapter One, of Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa by Ibn Hajar; so, refer to page 26. It is also narrated by many traditionists, each from his own source, and in their own books of traditions. Ahmad has quoted something similar from 'Umar of the ahadith narrated by al-Bara' ibn 'Azib on page 281, Vol. 4, of his Musnad, which we have already quoted in Letter No. 54 above.

Discussions

It seems that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's best attempt to convince his audience of his argument is through propaganda. Initially he allowed the character of the Shaykh al-Azhar to resist and contest the reasoning; and now he portrays his character as having conceded to his opponent's softer argument.

The reasoning provided by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn does not seem convincing to members of the Ahl al-Bayt though.

Fudayl ibn Marzūq states:

عن الفضيل بن مرزوق قال سمعت الحسن بن الحسن يقول لرجل ممن يغلو فيهم ويحكم أحبونا لله فإن أطعنا الله فأحبونا وإن عصينا الله فأبغضونا. قال فقال له رجل إنكم قرابة رسول الله وأهل بيته فقال ويحك لو كان الله مانعا بقرابة من رسول الله أحدا بغير طاعة الله لنفع بذلك من هو أقرب إليه منا أبا وأما والله إني لأخاف أن يضاعف للعاصي منا العذاب ضعفين وإني لأرجو أن يؤتى المحسن منا أجره مرتين. ويلكم اتقوا الله وقولوا فينا الحق فإنه أبلغ فيما تريدون ونحن نرضى به منكم ثم قال لقد أساء بنا آباؤنا إن كان هذا الذي تقولون من دين الله ثم لم يطلعونا عليه ولم يرغبونا فيه. قال فقال له ألم يقل رسول الله لله لعلي من كنت مولاه فعلي مولاه؟ فقال أما والله إن لو يعني بذلك الإمرة والسلطان لأفصح لهم بذلك كما أفصح لهم بالصلاة والزكاة وصيام رمضان وحج البيت. ولقال لهم أيها الناس هذا وليكم من بعدي. فإن أنصح الناس كان للناس رسول الله ولا ولك كان الأمر كما تقولون إن الله ورسوله هي اختارا عليا لهذا الأمر والقيام بعد النبي هي إن كان لأعظم الناس في ذلك خطأ وجرما إذ ترك ما أمره به رسول الله هي أن يقوم فيه كما أمره أو يعذر فيه إلى الناس.

I heard Ḥasan ibn Ḥasan saying to a man who used to show excessive love to them, i.e. the Ahlul Bayt, "Woe unto you people! Love us (only) for the pleasure of Allah. If we are obedient to Allah, then love us. And if we are disobedient to Allah, then do not love us."

The man said to Ḥasan, "You are related to the Messenger of Allah مالكة على and from his family!"

Ḥasan said, "Woe unto you! If Allah were to prevent anyone from not worshipping Allah on account of his familial relationship with the

Messenger of Allah (then those that are paternally and maternally closer to him than us would (also) benefit. By Allah, I fear that a sinner from among us will be given double punishment. Still, I hope that a good-doer among us will be given his reward twice. Woe unto you! Fear Allah and speak the truth about us, for this is closer to what you (actually) desire. We are well-pleased if that comes from you."

He continued saying, "If what you people are saying (about us) is considered to be a part of Allah's religion – and our forefathers neither informed us about it nor encouraged us – then surely they have wronged us!"

The man said to him, "Did the Messenger of Allah ont say to 'Alī, "Whoever considers me his Mawlā, 'Alī is his Mawlā?""

Hasan replied, "Certainly! By Allah, if the Messenger of Allah intended by it governance and authority he would have stated it unequivocally. The Messenger was most eloquent, and most sincere to all Muslims. He would have stated [emphatically], 'O people! This is the one in authority and the one deputed to carry out your affairs, so listen to him and obey.'

By Allah, if Allah and His Messenger the chose 'Alī for this matter [succession after the Prophet and appointed him to implement it for the Muslims after him, then 'Alī disregards the command of Allah and His Messenger the would be the first one responsible for disregarding the Allah's and His Messengers instruction."

His ensuing arguments are premised on the term <code>Mawlā</code> meaning successor. Scholars of Ahl al-Sunnah have always maintained that it does not mean successor. They might engage in a discussion—under the presumption that it meant successor—that it refers to his eventual Khilāfah and not immediate appointment. The reasoning in their argument is formidable. If we were to pursue this line of reasoning—assuming that the term <code>Mawlā</code> means successor—the character of 'Alī suddenly appears inconsistent.

¹ Al-I'tiqād wa al-Hidāyah by al-Bayhaqī, pg. 232-233

He is brave and strong against the Khawārij, but meek and timid in front of Abū Bakr? Let us not forget that the initial disappointment expressed by 'Alī www for not being included in the consultation about who would succeed the Prophet was shared by Zubayr who did they not mention the Ḥadīth of Ghadīr at this instance? Why would 'Alī cite this Ḥadīth when arguing with the Khawārij after he was already the Khalīfah; when it would have been more appropriate for him to have raised this objection when Abū Bakr 'usurped' his post?

The narration that he cites about the congratulatory process is unreliable. appearing in the chain is 'Alī ibn Zayd ibn Jud'ān, who is unreliable as we have repeatedly pointed out.¹

The second narration about 'Umar intervening is also unreliable.² Appearing in this chain is Ḥusayn al-Ashqar. We have also repeated pointed out that he is severely criticized and unreliable.³ Furthermore, in this narration the chain is interrupted between Sālim ibn Abī al-Ja'd and 'Umar ...

Let us reflect on 'Abd al-Ḥuayn's reasoning when he cites the Ḥadīth, "Does it not please you that you will be unto me as Hārūn was to Mūsā?" to prove that 'Alī is the immediate successor.

Let us begin by establishing the facts, then exploring the plausiblity of his reasoning. The Ḥadīth about Hārūn عَلَيْهَ and Mūsā المَعْهُ refers to the incident at Tabūk, which preceded the Prophet's إلم Ḥajj. Now let us assume that this refered to Khilāfah. Let us momentarily ignore the fact that Hārūn المعاقبة as that would take us back to discussions which we have already dealt with. Proceding under this assumption, we find that 'Abd

¹ See our discussions on Letter 16

² Tārīkh Dimashq, vol. 42 pg. 235

³ See our discussions on Letter. 10

⁴ See our discussions on Letters: 26, 30

al-Ḥusayn argues that the Prophet المعلقة was instructed to announce 'Alī's appointment or risk failing to convey; Āyat al-Tablīgh. This verse would be redundant if the Ḥadīth at Tabūk refered to Khilāfah. The converse is also true: if this verse actually means what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn says it means; the only reasonable conclusion is that the Ḥadīth at Tabūk did not refer to Khilāfah after the Prophet's demise. Rather it meant, minor Khilāfah during his absence.

If we establish that the Ḥadīth at Tabūk does not refer to Khilāfah, it is pointless citing it here to prove 'Alī's immediate succession since the exclusion of his Khilāfah during the Prophet's lifetime—after announcing that he is the Mawlā—limits the timeframe of his being a Mawlā. If it could be delayed during the Prophet's time, it is equally plausible that it be delayed during the Khilāfah of the three who preceded him

We reiterate: this line of reasoning is purely for the purpose of exploring the consequences if the term Mawlā meant leadership. We have already proven that it does not refer to leadership. Instead it refers to friendship, solidarity, and support.

Letter 61

Safar 1, 1330

I. Requesting Texts Narrated by Shi'a Sources

As long as the honour and dignity of the good ancestors are protected, then there is nothing wrong with considering all the ahadith regarding the Imam parks, the ones to which you have referred, including hadith alGhadir or any other one, without the need for an interpretation.

You may also know other ahadith relevant to this subject with which the Sunnis are not familiar; so, may I request you to narrate them so that we may acquaint ourselves therewith? Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 62

Safar 2, 1330

Forty Ahadith

Yes, we will narrate to you consecutively reported ahadith with which the Sunnis are not familiar. These are narrated by members of the purified progeny of Muhammad مَاسَتُونَةُ, of which we relate forty:1

- 1. Al-Saduq Muhammad ibn 'Ali ibn al-Husayn ibn Babawayh alQummi has included in his book Ikmal adDin wa Itmam alNi'mah, as transmitted by 'AbdulRahman ibn Samrah, one particular hadith in which the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, addresses 'AbdulRahman thus: "O Abu Samrah! If views differ and opinions vary, then refer to 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, for he is my nation's Imam, and my successor over them after me."
- 2. In the same reference, i.e. the Ikmal, Al-Saduq quotes Ibn 'Abbas narrating one hadith in which the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, says: "Allah, the Praised One and the Sublime, cast a scrutinizing look at the inhabitants of the earth and chose me from among them to be the Prophet, then he cast another look and chose 'Ali as the Imam and commanded me to take him as my brother, and appoint him as the wali and vizier."
- 3. Al-Saduq, also in the Ikmal, traces one hadith to Imam alSadiq who quotes his father and ancestors citing the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "Gabriel has told me that the Lord of Power, exalted is His Greatness, has said: 'Whoever comes to know that I am the Lord without any partner, and that Muhammad is my Servant and Messenger, that Ali ibn Abu Talib is Muhammad's successor, and that the Imams from his descendants are My Arguments, then I would let him enter Paradise through My Mercy."

- 4. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, traces another hadith to Imam alSadiq who quotes his father and grandfather citing the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "The Imams after me are twelve: The first is 'Ali and the last is alQa'im [alMahdi]; they are my successors and the executors of my will."
- 5. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, traces yet another hadith to al-Asbagh ibn Nabatah who says that the Commander of the Faithful 'Ali ibn Abu Talib once approached, his hand in the hand of his son alHasan, and said: "The Messenger of Allah once came to us and his hand was in mine like this, saying: 'The best of creation after me, and their master, is this brother of mine who is the Imam of every Muslim, the prince of every believer after me."
- 6. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, tracing one hadith to Imam alRida who quotes his forefathers citing the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "Whoever likes to uphold my religion and embark upon the Ark of Salvation after me, let him follow the example of 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, for he is the executor of my will, and my vicegerent over my nation during my lifetime and after my demise."
- 7. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, attributes another hadith by the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, to Imam alRida who quotes his ancestors stating that the Prophet once said: "I and 'Ali are the fathers of this nation; whoever knows us very well also knows Allah, and whoever denies us also denies Allah, the Unique, the Mighty. And from 'Ali's descendants are my grandsons alHasan and al-Husayn, who are the masters of the youths of Paradise, and from al-Husayn's descendants shall be nine: whoever obeys them obeys me, and whoever disobeys them also disobeys me; the ninth among them is their Qa'im and Mahdi."
- 8. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, traces another hadith through isnad to Imam alHasan al'Askari مناها who quotes his ancestors up to the Messenger of

Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, addressing Ibn Mas'ud thus: "O Ibn Mas'ud! 'Ali ibn Abu Talib is your Imam after me; he is my successor over you."

- 9. Quoting one hadith related by Salman, Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, says that once Salman visited the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, and found al-Husayn ibn 'Ali sitting on his lap, and the prophet was kissing him and saying: "You are a master, son of a master, an Imam and son of an Imam, brother of an Imam, father of Imams, and you are Allah's Argument, the son of His Argument (Hujjah), and father of nine Arguments from your loins, the ninth of them is their Qa'im."
- 10. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, quotes another hadith traced also to Salman who quotes a lengthy hadith by the Messenger of Allah in which he says: "O Fatima! Have you not come to know that we are Ahl Al-Bayt? Allah has made the Hereafter dearer to us than this life, and Allah the Exalted, Praised is His Name, cast a look at the inhabitants of the earth and chose me from among His creation; then he cast a second look and chose your husband and inspired me to marry you to him and take him as wali and vizier, and to make him my successor over my nation. So, your father is the best of prophets, your husband is the best of wasis, and you are the first to join me."
- 11. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, quotes a lengthy hadith and mentions in it that a meeting of over two hundred men from the Muhajirun (Meccan Immigrants) and the Ansar (Medenite Supporters) were seeking knowledge and studying jurisprudence, and that each one of them started bragging about himself, while 'Ali Marie remained silent.

They asked him: "O father of alHasan, what stops you from saying something?" In response to their question, he مناشعة only reminded them of a statement made by the Messenger of Allah مناسعة in which he said: "Ali is my brother, vizier, heir, executor of my will, successor over my

nation, and the wali of every believer after me; so, admit all of this about him."

- 12. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, quotes a lengthy hadith narrated by 'Abdullah ibn Ja'far, alHasan, al-Husayn, 'Abdulllah ibn 'Abbas, 'Umar ibn Abu Salamah, Usamah ibn Ziyad, Salman, Abu Tharr al-Ghifari, and alMiqdad who all say that they heard the Messenger of Allah 'saying: "I have more authority over the believers than the believers themselves have; my brother 'Ali has after me more authority over the believers than the believers themselves have."
- 13. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal ad-Din wa Itmam al-Ni'mah, quotes al-Asbagh ibn Nabatah who cites Ibn 'Abbas saying that he heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying, "I, 'Ali, alHasan, al-Husayn, and nine from the progeny of Husayn are Purified."
- 14. Al-Saduq has also quoted in his Ikmal Ibn Abayah ibn Rab'i citing Ibn 'Abbas saying that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "I am the master of the Prophets, while 'Ali is the master of the wasis."
- 15. Al-Saduq has also quoted in his Ikmal one hadith transmitted by Imam al-Sadiq S through isnad stating that the Messenger of Allah has said: "Allah, the Exalted, the Almighty, favoured me over all other prophets, and favoured 'Ali over all other wasis, and favoured from 'Ali's descendants al-Hasan and al-Husayn, and chose from al-Husayn's progeny the wasis who safeguard the faith against the distortion of extermists, the adulteration of liars, and the misinterpretations of those who have strayed."
- 16. Al-Saduq, also in his Ikmal, has quoted 'Ali Marke citing the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "The Imams after me are twelve: the first of them is 'Ali, and the last is alQa'im through whom Allah, the Exalted and the Mighty, shall open the east of the earth as well as the west." 2

- 17. Al-Saduq has also quoted in his Amali a lengthy hadith narrated by 'Ali in which the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, says, "'Ali is of me, and I am of 'Ali who is created of my own mould; he solves people's disputes regarding my Sunnah; he is the Commander of the Faithful, the leader of the foremost among all men, and the best of wasis."
- 18. Al-Saduq, also in his Amali, has quoted another lengthy hadith reported by 'Ali 'Millie' in which the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, says: "'Ali is the Commander of the Faithful according to the Wilayat of Allah, the Exalted and the Mighty, which He tied in a knot upon His Throne and required the angels to witness; 'Ali is Allah's Vicegerent and Proof [Hujjatullah]; he is the Imam of the Muslims."
- 19. Al-Saduq, also in his Amali, has quoted Ibn 'Abbas relating that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "O 'Ali! You are the Imam of the Muslims, the Commander of the Faithful, the leader of the foremost renowned of all men, Allah's Proof after me, and the master of all wasis."
- 20. Al-Saduq, also in his Amali, has cited Ibn 'Abbas quoting the Messenger of Allah مَالِسُنَا عَالِمَةُ saying: "O 'Ali! You are my successor over my nation, and you are to me like Seth to Adam."
- 21. Al-Saduq, also in his Amali, has quoted Abu Tharr alGhifari saying, ""We were once in the company of the Messenger of Allah من منافعة at his mosque when he said: 'A man will enter through this door who is the Commander of the Faithful and the Imam of the Muslims,' whereupon 'Ali ibn Abu Talib came in, and the Messenger of Allah منافعة welcomed him, turned his glorious face to us and said: 'This is your Imam after me.'"
- 22. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has cited Jabir ibn 'Abdullah alAnsari quoting the Messenger of Allah المالة saying: "'Ali ibn Abu Talib is the foremost

among them in accepting Islam, and he is the most learned... He is the Imam and successor after me."

- 23. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has also quoted one hadith correct through isnad related by Ibn 'Abbas who quotes the Messenger of Allah 'saying: "O people! Whose words are better than Allah's? Your Lord, Mighty is His Grace, has commanded me to assign 'Ali over you as the most outstanding Imam, as my own successor and executor of my will, and that you should regard him as my brother and vizier."
- 24. In his Amali, Al-Saduq also quotes one hadith correct through isnad narrated by Abu 'Ayyash who says: "The Messenger of Allah once ascended the pulpit and delivered a sermon in which he said: 'My cousin 'Ali is also my brother, vizier, successor, and the one who pays my dues on my own behalf."
- 25. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has also quoted one hadith correct through isnad reported by the Commander of the Faithful who says: "Once, the Messenger of Allah delivered a sermon in which he said: 'O people! The month of Allah has approached,' and he continued his sermon recounting the attributes of the month of Ramadan. I asked: 'O Messenger of Allah! What is the best of deeds in this month?' He replied: 'It is staying away from whatever Allah has forbidden you,' then he burst weeping, so I inquired: 'What grieves you, O Messenger of Allah?' and he answered: 'O 'Ali! I am grieving at what horrible forbidden things that will happen to you in the same month,' adding, 'You are my wasi, the father of my descendants, and my successor over my nation during my lifetime and after my death; your bidding is as good as mine, and so is your forbidding."
- 26. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has quoted another hadith narrated by 'Ali, peace be upon him, thus: "The Messenger of Allah مَالَّهُ has said: 'O 'Ali! You are my brother and I am yours; I have been chosen to be the Prophet while you have been chosen to be the Imam; I take charge of the revelation [of

the Holy Qur'an] while you take charge of its implementation; you are the father of this nation. O 'Ali! You are my wasi and vicegerent, my vizier and heir, and the father of my offspring."

- 27. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has also quoted one hadith the isnad of which is authentic as transmitted by Ibn 'Abbas who says: "While the Ansar were assembling at Quba' Mosque, the Messenger of Allah 'Sou are my brother and I am yours; you are the executor of my will and my own successor, and the Imam of my nation after me: Allah will assist whoever assists you, and He will be the enemy of whoever antagonizes you."
- 28. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has also quoted a lengthy hadith narrated by Ummu Salamah in which the Messenger of Allah addresses her thus: "O Ummu Salamah! Listen and bear witness: This 'Ali ibn Abu Tlib is the executor of my will; he is my successor, the one who tries my enemies, and the one who safeguards my Pool [of alKawthar]."
- 29. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has also quoted Salman al-Farisi saying, "I have heard the Messenger of Allah saying: 'O Muhajirun and Ansar! Shall I lead you to that which, as long as you adhere to, shall never let you stray after me?' They said: 'O yes, Messenger of Allah!' He said: 'This 'Ali is my brother and the executor of my will, my vizier, heir and successor; he is your Imam; therefore, love him as much as I love him, and respect him as much as I respect him, for Gabriel has enjoined me to say so to you."
- 30. In his Amali, Al-Saduq has also quoted through isnad one hadith related by Zayd ibn Arqam in which the Messenger of Allah is quoted saying: "Shall I lead you to that which, as long as you adhere to, will protect you against annihilation and straying? Your Imam and wali is 'Ali ibn Abu Talib S; therefore, do support him, listen to his counsel, and believe in him, for Gabriel has ordered me to say so to you."

- 31. In his Amali, Al Saduq has quoted Ibn 'Abbas relating one hadith in which the Messenger of Allah عَلَيْنَا اللهُ says: "O Ali, You are the Imam of my Ummah and my Khalifah upon them after me."
 - This hadith Has been omitted from the English translation, thus the numbering of the next two hadith, 31 and 32, does not correspond with the original Arabic. The refutation follows the numbering of the original Arabic.
- 32. [31] In his Amali, Al-Saduq has quoted Ibn 'Abbas relating one hadith in which the Messenger of Allah says: "Allah, the Praised, the Sublime, has inspired to me: 'I have selected from your nation a brother and heir for you, a successor and executor of your will.' I inquired: 'O Lord! Who is he?' He replied: 'It is he who loves me and I love him...,' till He said in His divine statement: 'It is 'Ali ibn Abu Talib.'"
- 33. [32] In his Amali, Al-Saduq has quoted Ibn 'Abbas citing another hadith related by his ancestors in which the Messenger of Allah 'says: "During my isra' (night journey), my Lord, Exalted is His Might, promised me that 'Ali is the Imam of the pious, the leader of the foremost among renowned men, the religion's chief."
- 34. [34] In his Amali, Al-Saduq has quoted one hadith through isnad to Imam alRida ما المنافقة who quotes his ancestors citing the Messenger of Allah saying: "'Ali is of me, and I am of 'Ali; may Allah wage war against those who fight 'Ali; 'Ali, indeed, is the Imam of creation after me."
- 35. Abu Ja'far Muhammad ibn alHasan alTusi, the sect's shaykh, in his Amal quotes one hadith narrated by 'Ammar ibn Yasir in which the Messenger of Allah Allah is quoted telling 'Ali, "Allah has decorated you in a way that is most dear to Him: through asceticism to the extent that you do not feel deprived of any of this life's pleasures, and none of them feels deprived of you, and He has endowed you with the love for the destitute, making their fellowship welcomed by you, and they welcome you as their Imam;

therefore, congratulations to whoever loves you and believes in you, and woe unto whoever hates you and tells lies about you."

- 36. In his Amali, Shaykh Al-Saduq has also quoted one hadith through isnad to 'Ali who has said while preaching from Kufa's pulpit: "O people! The Messenger of Allah frame" granted me ten attributes that are dearer to me than anything upon which the sun shines: He has said to me: 'O 'Ali! You are my brother in this life and the life hereafter, and you are the closest to me among all creation on the Day of Resurrection; your residence in Paradise faces mine; you are my heir, the executor of my will after me, faring with both my foes and family; you protect my family on my own behalf during my absence; you are my nation's Imam; you execute justice among my followers; you are my friend, and my friend is the friend of Allah; your enemy is my enemy, and my enemy is surely the enemy of Allah."
- 37. In Al-Saduq's book titled Al-Nusus 'ala al-A'imma, which contains texts relevant to the Imams, alHasan ibn 'Ali ناستان is quoted saying: "I have heard the Messenger of Allah عَالَيْنَا عَلَيْنَا فَعَالِيْنَ say the following to my father: 'And you are the inheritor of my knowledge, the substance of my own judgment, and the Imam after me."
- 38. Also in his work containing texts about the Imams, Al-Saduq quotes 'Umran ibn Hasin saying: "I have heard the Messenger of Allah say to 'Ali, 'You are the Imam and successor after me."
- 39. Also in his same work, Al-Saduq quotes 'Ali عَلَيْنَا دَوْ citing the Messenger of Allah عَلَيْنَا وَهُ saying to him: "O 'Ali! You are the wasi over those who have died among my household, and the caliph of those who are alive among my nation."
- 40. Also in the same reference cited above, Al-Saduq quotes al-Husayn ibn 'Ali saying: "When Allah revealed the verse: 'And those who are kin of

each other are more worthy of each other in the Book of Allah,' I asked the Messenger of Allah to interpret it, and he said: 'You are the kin; when I die, your father 'Ali is the most worthy of me and my station; when your father dies, your brother alHasan is most worthy of it; and if alHasan dies, then you are most worthy of his station."

This is the conclusion of what we wanted to quote in such a hurry. Its ratio to the remainder of the texts is like that of one bouquet to the remainder of all flowers, or the drop of water to the ocean; yet a portion should suffice; praise be to the Lord of the worlds, Wassalam.

Sincerely,		
Sh		

Footnotes

1. This much suffices due to the fact that we have narrated quite a few ahadith from sources such as the Commander of the Faithful 'Ali ibn Abu Talib 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas, 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud, 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar, Abu Sa'id al-Khudri, Abul-Darda', Abu Hurayrah, Anas ibn Malik, Ma'ath ibn Jabal, quoting various sources, all stating that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "Whoever teaches my nation forty ahadith related to its faith, Allah will resurrect him on the Day of Judgment in the company of the faqihs and the learned." In another wording of the same hadith, "Allah will resurrect him as a learned faqih." According to Abul-Darda', the statement reads: "I will include him in my intercession on the Day of Judgment, and he shall be a witness." According to Ibn Mas'ud, "It will be said to him: 'Enter Paradise from whichever gate you please." According to Ibn 'Umar's narration, "… he will be included with the men of knowledge, and be resurrected in the company of martyrs." Suffices us in learning these forty ahadith and others included in all our

Letters his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Allah will look after whoever listens to my statement, comprehends it and conveys it just as he heard it." And also his hadith: "Let those of you who witness [my Sunnah] convey it to those who are absent."

- 2. This hadith and the ones before it exist in a chapter containing what has been narrated about the Prophet regarding the Qa'im, and that he is the twelfth in the line of Imams; it is Chapter Twenty-Four of Ikmal ad-Din wa Itmam al-Ni'mah, pages 149-167.
- 3. This hadith, together with the four preceding it, is quoted from al-Saduq's Ghayat al-Maram. These are quite lengthy, and we have quoted from them whatever testifies to our argument. As regarding the ahadith which succeed it, they are to be found in Chapter 13 of Ghayat al-Maram.

Discussions

Ḥadīth of the Shī ah

If one considers the fact that the sermon at Ghadīr Khumm is inadmissible as an argument to prove Imāmah along with the fact that the narrations of the Imāms themselves are inadmissiable as evidence for their own Imāmah, the portrayal of the Shaykh al-Azhar is out of character with someone who is intent on debating such a contentious issue of creed.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's fascination with citing forty narrations has prompted him to request—with the pen of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī—textual evidence from Shīʿī sources that support the interpretation that he has given.

Before we analyze the narrations in light of Shīʿī sources let us gain some insight into the nature of Shīʿī Ḥadīth. Do these narrations emanate from the Imāms to begin with, or are there telling signs that these are fabrications against them? Why is their Ḥadīth legacy so different from that of the Ahl al-Sunnah?

Below is a translation of an article on the subject by Abū Muḥammad al-Afrīqī: 1

Background

The Ahl al-Sunnah and Shīʿah both share in taking the Qurʾān as a source of religious legislation (tashrīʿ), and despite the opinion of the Qurʾān being tampered being common among the Shīʿah, they are nonetheless ordered to rely upon the Qurʾan currently in our midst, until the Hidden Imām appears.

Likewise, just as both groups deem the Qur'ān a source of religious legislation, they also both rely upon the Sunnah, except that the Shīʿah concept of Sunnah differs with that of the Ahl al-Sunnah. We can disregard

¹ Adapted from the translation on https://www.basair.net/implications-inconsistency-hadith-shia/

the finer distinction between the concepts of Sunnah according to each group, and for practical reasons, conclude that the Sunnah according to the Ahl al-Sunnah is that which the hadith books of Ahl al-Sunnah comprise. At the forefront of these books are the Six Books—the two <code>Saḥīḥs</code> and the four <code>Sunan</code> collections—and the <code>Musnad</code> and <code>Muˈjam</code> collections. On the other hand, the Sunnah according to the Shīʿah is that which their Ḥadith sources comprise, the most important of them being the Four Books (<code>Al-Kutub Al-Arbaʿah</code>): <code>Al-Kāfī</code> of al-Kulaynī; <code>Man La Yaḥḍuruhū al-Faqīh</code> of <code>Al-Ṣadūq</code> ibn Bābawayh; <code>Tahdhīb al-Aḥkām</code>; and <code>al-Istibṣār</code>, both by <code>Abū Jaʿfar al-Ṭūsī</code>.

Whatever the case, both groups claim they are exclusively upon the truth which was revealed to Muḥammad مَا مَا مَا مَا مَا مُعْلَقُهُ , and that other groups besides them have erred from this truth, because they took the Sunnah from the wrong people and trusted unreliable sources which were distorted at the hands of fabricators. It was hence vital to carefully consider what each group considers a reliable source of religious legislation.

As the Qur'an is a common denominator for both groups, albeit at a superficial level¹, the only option was to look at the Sunnah and see which is the real Sunnah of Muḥammad the Sunnah of the Ahl al-Sunnah or that of the *Imāmiyyah Shīʿah* (Twelvers)? With this purpose in mind, we shall shed some light upon the Sunnah tradition according to the Shīʿah.

And with Allah lies all success.

The Concept of Imāmah

The core belief of the *Imāmiyyah*² is Imāmah, the belief that Allah Most High appointed twelve Imāms after Muḥammad مَالِسُهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهِ whose duty was to take charge of the heritage of the Prophet مَالِهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمَالًا مِنْهُ اللهِ عَلَيْهُ وَمِنْهُ وَمِنْهُ وَمِنْهُ اللهِ عَلَيْهُ وَمِنْهُ وَمِنْهُ اللهِ عَلَيْهُ وَمِنْهُ وَمِنْهُ وَمِنْهُ وَمِنْهُ اللهِ عَلَيْهُ وَمِنْهُ وَمِنْ وَمِنْهُ وَمِن

¹ In light of the many Shʿī narrations which state the Qur'ān was tampered with and is currently not in its original form.

² Also known as the Ithnā 'Ashariyyah, or Twelvers.

convey it; the Imām is the sole conveyor from the Messenger And to ensure his conveying was sound from lapses or mistakes, Allah Most High granted them inerrancy ('Iṣmah), making them infallible (Ma'ṣūm) Imāms, conveying one after the other in a manner that is divinely-protected by Allah from every human deficiency.

This succession continued through twelve Imāms, each Imām having students who recorded the Sunnah which they took from them. And why should they not record it, seeing that they are the inerrant Imāms and custodians of the heritage of their grandfather, the Chosen One How can they not write on their authority, when they are the treasurers of the knowledge of Muḥammad pointed by Allah Most High to convey on behalf of the Prophet with them are the Tawrāh, Injīl and the Qur'ān written by Amīr al-Mu'minīn; their and their forefathers' status is greater than that of the Prophets of Great Resolve (Ulu al-ʿAzm); and every atom in the universe humbles itself before their power? For this reason, every Imām was the sole infallible authority, with respect to the Sunnah, in his lifetime, whereas others were merely narrators who were either right or who had erred.

Hence, whatever books the students of a particular Imām compiled during his lifetime, when a new Imām would take the former Imām's place after his demise and become the new sole authority of the Sunnah, it left no need for what his father's students had compiled.

Based on this, one would expect after a golden chain of infallible Imāms, each with his own students who recorded the Sunnah from him, that the Sunnah of the followers of these Imāms would all trace back through this chain: the Twelfth Imām, from his father, from his father, from his father, until it reaches 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ''' from Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah 'Lawar'.

¹ i.e. the previous Imām.

A Shī'ī poet has actually boasted about this:

If you wish to choose a school for yourself,

Which shall deliver you from the flames of the Fire on the Day of Gathering,

Leave the opinions of Shafi'i, Malik, Ibn Hanbal, and what Ka'b al-Ahbar has related,

Take from people whose statements and narrations are: Our grandfather narrated from Jibril from the Creator.

Furthermore, the basis for the claim that the Sunnah in its entirety should be narrated through this golden chain is that Allah's care towards the Sharī ah being soundly conveyed meant that He did not suffice upon average narrators to preserve the Sharī ah from being lost and to transmit it to future generations. Rather, Allah chose for this Ummah divinely-guided guides and infallible Imāms. All of this was to ensure no mistake or lapse could seep into this great heritage. Thus, Allah was not going to let the Ummah depend on the narrations of human transmitters who were prone to human error and forgetfulness, as long as He had appointed for them those regarding whom none of the above was ever imaginable. This is the philosophy of infallibility ('Ismah) which the Shī ah claim for their Imāms.

Nonexistence of Shīʿah Hadiths Through the Infallible Chain

After explaining the concept, we move towards the ground reality and turn to the Shī ah books of hadith, to examine the extent of conformance to this concept. It is at this point we are left utterly surprised to realise we cannot find even one narration which has been related through this golden infallible chain.

Let us take a few chapters from *Uṣūl al-Kāfī* ¹ as an example:

¹ Uṣūl al-Kāfī, vol. 1 pg. 108

Chapter on the Incumbence of Obeying the Imāms. There are seventeen ḥadīths in this chapter:

- 1. On the authority of Zurārah from Imām al-Bāqir
- 2. On the authority of Abū al-Ṣabbāḥ from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 3. On the authority of Bashīr al-ʿAṭṭār from from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 4. On the authority of Ḥusayn ibn al-Mukhtār from one of our companions from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 5. On the authority of Abū al-Ḥasan al-ʿAṭṭār from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 6. On the authority of Abū al-Ṣabbāḥ al-Kinānī from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 7. On the authority of Ḥusayn ibn Abī al-ʿAlā' from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 8. On the authority of Ma'mar ibn Khallād from Imām al-Riḍā'
- 9. On the authority of Abū Baṣīr from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 10. On the authority of Muḥammad ibn Zayd al-Tabarī from Imām al-Riḍā'
- 11. On the authority of Abū Salamah from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 12. On the authority of Muḥammad ibn Fuḍayl from Imām al-Bāqir
- 13. On the authority of $Ism\bar{a}\hat{\ }\bar{l}l$ ibn Jābir from $Im\bar{a}m$ al-Bāqir
- 14. On the authority of Abū Isḥāq from one of the companions of Amīr al-Mu'minīn
- 15. On the authority of Muḥammad ibn Ḥazm from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 16. On the authority of Ḥusayn ibn Abī al-ʿAlā' from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 17. On the authority of 'Abd al-A'lā' from Imām al-Ṣādiq

Although this is just one chapter, we chose it randomly upon opening the book, not through selection or browsing its pages. What the reader notices in the narrations of this chapter, namely that most of the chains converge at Imām al-Ṣādiq and Imām al-Bāqir through the chains of their students and not the Imāms from their progeny, is a phenomenon which is reflected throughout the book, and in fact, all four of their books.

If you find this issue surprising, what is more surprising is that there is not a single narration from the Twelfth Imām in Al-Kāfī, despite al-Kulaynī being a contemporary of all four of his emissaries (Safīr)¹. Why does al-Kulaynī rely on secondary narrators when he is able to take the Sunnah from his contemporaries from Imām al-Mahdī, who had only been given infallibility so he can convey "from his grandfather from Jibril from the Creator"?²

And if this left you astonished, here is something which will surprise you even more: 'Uthmān ibn Saʿīd al-'Amrī was the first emissary who benefitted from being in contact with the Hidden Imām, hence he was by virtue of this contact, the best narrator from the Hidden Imām from his forefathers. Despite this, we do not find a single narration of his from the Twelfth Imām in the Four Books. In fact, not even from the eleventh Imām, whom he was known to have served. In Jāmi' al-Ruwāt³, al-Ardabīlī mentions five of his chains in Al-Tahdhīb and Al-Kāfī, but none of them reach Ḥasan al-'Askarī [the eleventh Imām] or the Hidden Imām.

Below are these five chains:

'Uthmān ibn Saʿīd al-ʿAmrī — from Muḥammad ibn Sulayman — from Maymun al-Bān — from Imām al-Ṣādiq

¹ It was believed that the Hidden Imām had emissaries who met him and relayed messages on his behalf to the people, after he went into hiding.

² It is appropriate to mention that I came across this point when I heard a Shīʿī say that al-Bukhārī deviated from the Ahl al-Bayt, as he had abandoned narrating from Imām Ḥasan al-ʿAskarī, despite being his contemporary. I researched the matter and said to him, "If this proves that al-Bukhārī was a Naṣibī [an opponent of the Ahl al-Bayt], al-Kulaynī is the greatest Naṣibī." It then became clear to me that this objection stems from 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in al-Murāja ʿāt (Abū Muḥammad al-Afrīqī).

³ Jāmi' al-Ruwāt, vol. 1 pg 533

- 2. ʿUthmān ibn Saʿīd al-ʿAmrī from ʿAbd al-Karīm al-Hamadanī from Abū Tumāmah from Imām al-Jawād
- 3. 'Uthmān ibn Sa'īd al-'Amrī from a man from Imām al-Ṣādiq
- 4. 'Ibn Sa'īd al-'Amrī narrates from his dream of al-Qā'im
- 5. ʿUthmān ibn Saʿīd al-ʿAmrī from ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd ibn ʿAlī al-Kūfī from Muhājir al-Asadī from Imām al-Ṣādiq

This will definitely raise many questions in the reader's mind. Did al-'Amrī no se letters anything worthy of inclus ion in his book? Was there nothing more to those letters besides cursing the accursed individuals who competed with al-'Amrī and his son to be emissaries of the Hidden Imām, and praising those emissaries who were entrusted with collecting the Khums¹ and the share of the Imām?

Let us leave the father and move to the son, Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān, the second emissary who remained at this post for close to half a century. Al-Ardabīlī tells us that Shaykh al-Ṭūsī has mentioned in *Al-Fihrist* that Muḥammad [ibn ʿUthmān] ibn Saʿīd did not narrate from any of the Imāms, and this was by writing the symbol ¼ with his name.² Fifty years yet not a single narration from the Imām he claims to meet.

As for the single narration al-Ardabili³ narrated from the third emissary, Abū al-Qāsim Ḥusayn ibn Rūḥ al-Nawbakhtī, in al-Tahdhib⁴, it is by way of Abū al-Qāsim — from Muḥammad ibn Ziyād — from Abū al-Hāshim al-Jaʿfarī — from Imām al-Jawād.

¹ A substantial tax collected on behalf of the Imām

² Jāmi al-Ruwāt, vol. 2, pg. 148. J was a symbol to denote there are no narrations from him.

³ Jāmi al-Ruwāt, vol. 1 pg 240

⁴ Al-Tahdhīb, vol. 6 pg. 93

The fourth emissary, Abū al-Ḥasan al-Sāmarrī, is the most destitute among them in narration: no narration from him in the books of Ḥadīth nor any mention of him in the earlier biographical collections. According to Jāmiʿ al-Ruwāt, his first listing as a narrator appears as late as Ibn Muṭahhar's eighth century list, Al-Khulāsah.¹

The Real Sources of Shīʿah Ḥadīths

It is established from what has passed that the infallible chain has not played—for the Shīʿah—the role for which Allah had made it infallible. So we ask: if the authors of the four books did not rely upon this chain in acquiring the Sunnah, what did they rely upon? And if they did not take Ḥadīth directly from the Imāms of the Ahl al-Bayt, whom did they take it from? The answer to this has been briefly alluded to in some of what he mentioned previously, but now we shall answer in detail.

The sources from which these authors acquired the Sunnah are the books which the students of the Imāms, in particular Imāms al-Baqir and al-Ṣādiq, compiled. These books are known by the Shī ah as the Four Hundred Sources (al-Uṣūl al-Arbaʿumiʾah). Shaykh al-Ṣadūq and Shaykh al-Ṭāʾifah Abū Jaʿfar al-Ṭūsī have, in a very lucid and satisfactory manner, explained to us that they rely upon these sources, as they do not quote any Ḥadīth in their books with their own complete chains of transmission, but rather the chain starts by mentioning the author of the particular relied-upon amongst the Four Hundred Sources. They have mentioned at the end of Al-Faqih [i.e., Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhū al-Faqīh], Al-Tahdhīb and Al-Istibṣār the shaykhs through whom their chains trace back to the authors of the Four Hundred Sources, albeit some discrepancy in these chains of their teachers too. In short, their reliance upon these sources is true beyond any doubt.

As for al-Kulaynī, his methodology in narrating Ḥadīth is different to that of his two colleagues; he narrates the full chain from himself to the Imām.

¹ Jāmi' al-Ruwāt, vol. 1 pg 598

If this casts a doubt on al-Kulaynī's reliance upon these sources, al-Taqī al-Majlisī has affirmed that al-Kulaynī is no different to Ibn Bābawayh and al-Tūsī with respect to relying upon the Four Hundred Sources.

He says in his commentary of al-Faqīh, entitled Rawḍat al-Muttaqīn:

It is apparent that the two Shaykhs transmitted everything in the two books from the Four Hundred Sources, upon which the True Sect relies, as stated by al-Ṣadūq. The same is understood from the words of Thiqat al-Islām [al-Kulaynī].¹

To emphasise further, we relate what one of the eminent Shīʿī scholars said in this regard. Al-Shahīd al-Thānī Zayn al-Dīn al-ʿĀmilī says in his book, *Al-Dirāyah*:

The earlier scholars compiled the Ḥadīths which had reached them from our Imāms—may Allah's peace be upon them—into four hundred books they named the Sources (al-Uṣūl) and upon which they relied, such as the Aṣl of Jamīl ibn Darrāj, the Aṣl of Zurārah, and so forth. Some of our elders embarked on compiling and sequencing them into specific books, to make them more accessible to the reader. The best of them are the Four Books which are relied upon in this era. They are Al-Kāfī of Muḥammad ibn Yaʿqūb al-Kulaynī (d. 329 A.H), in which he gathered different types of ḥadīth; Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhū al-Faqīh of Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Bābawayh al-Qummī (d. 381 A.H), in which he gathered the Ḥadīths of rulings from the Sources; and Al-Tahdhīb and Al-Istibṣār of Shaykh Abu Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ṭūsī (d. 460 A.H) in which he also gathered just Ḥadīths of rulings.²

Hence, the reliance of the authors of the Four Books on the Four Hundred Sources and their taking therefrom is an undisputed matter.

¹ Rawdat al-Muttaqīn, vol. 1 pg. 28

² Al-Dirāyah, pg. 7

Let us now move to defining the period in which the Four Hundred Sources were compiled. Here too, $Sh\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ scholars have saved us the difficulty of investigating the matter.

Al-Māmaqānī states in Miqbās al-Hidāyah fī 'Ilm al-Dirāyah:

It is commonly stated by the scholars, rather in their books too, that the Four Hundred Sources were compiled in the era of our master al-Ṣādiq Alagarand al-Ṣādiq according to some, or in the era of both (i.e. al-Bāqir and al-Ṣādiq) according to another, or in the era of al-Ṣādiq and al-Kāẓim as mentioned by al-Ṭūsī in I'lam al-Warā, where he says: 'Four thousand people among the renowned people of knowledge narrated from al-Ṣādiq alagarande. Four hundred famous books were compiled from his answers to questions, known as al-Uṣūl, and which were narrated by his students and the students of his son, Mūsā alagarande.'

One who has read the beginning of this article must note the connection between what al-Māmaqānī has mentioned here, on the authority of al-Ṭabarsī, and the chapter of Al-Kāfī which we presented as an example.

Inconsistency in Shīʿah Ḥadīth

It is clearly established from what has already passed that with respect to the Sunnah, the Shīʿah rely on their books, the most important of them being the Four Books, just as it is established that these books trace their origins back to the Four Hundred Sources, and that these four hundred compilations appeared in the era of Imām al-Ṣādiq, his father al-Bāqir, and his son al-Kāzim.

From this point we move to another very critical phenomenon, which is the issue of inconsistency in Shīʿah ḥadīth. However, before going to the depths of this discussion, we would like to digress by postulating another issue, namely that these sources should enjoy a high level of credibility

¹ Miqbās al-Hidāyah, vol. 3 pg. 20

and authenticity. This is because it is supposed that their authors compiled them in light of what they took from the Imāms, and at times they would also present these books to them. For this reason, reliance upon these books was widespread amongst the early Shīīscholars.

The first Majlisi [the father of Bāqir al-Majlisi] says in his Sharḥ al-Faqīh:

Undoubtedly, the reliance of our early scholars was on the books narrated by the reliable companions of the Imāms... They recorded what they heard from them in their books, and these books were authentic according to the scholars.¹

This is what also prompted the authors of the Four Books to place uncritical reliance upon the Four Hundred Sources.

Ibn Bābawayh said in the introduction to Al-Faqīh:

I wrote this book by removing the chains, so that its paths of transmission are not too many... Everything contained in it has been extracted from renowned books which are relied upon and which are referred back to.²

Thus, he had every right to say in the preface to his book that he will only include in the book that which he agrees with, affirms as authentic and considers a proof (Ḥujjah) between him and his Lord.

Likewise, Al-Ṭūsī paid great attention to giving preference to and reconciling between differing ḥadīths. However, you will rarely see him preferring one ḥadīth over the other due to one being weak.

It is also clear from al-Kulaynī's preface that he trusts what he has narrated in his book. He addresses the person who requested him to compile the book as follows:

¹ Rawdat al-Muttaqīn, vol. 1 pg. 130

² Al-Faqīh, vol. 1 pg. 12

And you said you would like to have a book which suffices, gathering therein from all branches of religious knowledge that which the student can suffice upon, and to which a seeker of guidance can refer, and from which he may take who seeks knowledge of the religion and wishes to act upon authentic narrations of the truthful (alayhim al-salām) and practiced sunan... And Allah made easy, and to Him belongs praise and favour, compiling what you asked. I hope it is as you anticipated.¹

Moreover, when the Four Hundred Sources were trusted, it is only logical that we should find therein the knowledge of the family of Muḥammad pure and impeccable, and harmonious without any crookedness or discrepancy, as "had it been from other than Allah, they would have found much discrepancy therein". It was also expected that the Four Books, due to their content being taken from the Four Hundred Sources, will reflect the same harmony and consistency.

However, what the reader of these books will encounter is something starkly different. What you will find when looking into them is discrepancy in its most ugly form. If you think I have fallen into this extreme mode of expression due to becoming a victim of bias, listen with me to what al-Ṭūsī said in the beginning of his book, *al-Tahdhīb*, immediately after praising Allah and sending blessings on the Prophet

One of my friends amongst those whose right upon me is binding—may Allah support him—discussed with me the hadiths of our people—may Allah support them and have mercy on the predecessors among them—and the difference, incongruity, contradiction, and disparity which has occurred in them, to the extent that rarely will there be a narration except that in opposition to it, there is that which contradicts it, and no hadith is safe from being opposed by that which negates it. Our opponents have made this one of the biggest attacks on our school and have used this as a route to nullify our creed. They said, 'Your shaykhs, from the predecessors and

¹ Al-Kāfī, vol. 1 pg. 49

the successors, have always criticised their opponents for the differences they follow, and they vilify them over disunity in subsidiary matters, mentioning that it is impermissible for a person of wisdom to adopt this as a religion, and for a person of knowledge to allow this to be practiced. However, we have found you to differ even more than your opponents and to conflict with each other more than your adversaries. The existence of this difference on your part, despite your believing this to be falsehood, is a proof of the invalidity of the source.' This reached the extent that doubts crept into a group of them who are not strong in knowledge nor do they have insight into the modes of contemplation and meanings of words. Many of them retracted from the truth when the reason behind this [i.e. this difference] was unclear to them and they were unable to solve the doubt therein. I heard my Shaykh, Abū ʿAbd Allāh [al-Mufīd]—may Allah support him -mention that Abū al-Ḥusayn al-Ḥārūnī al-ʿAlawī used to believe the truth and follow the belief of Imāmah. However, he retracted from it, when the matter of differences in hadīth became confusing for him. He left the school and practiced something else, when the different meanings therein were not clear to him.1

It was this phenomenon of gross and ubiquitous discrepancy that spurred Shaykh al-Ṭūsī to compile Al-Tahdhīb. Once his book Al-Tahdhīb became renowned, some asked him to separately compile the hadiths in which there was discrepancy. Hence, he wrote his second book Al-Istibṣār, whose full name Al-Istibṣār fī mā ukhtulifa min al-akhbār (Contemplating the narrations in which there is discrepancy) discloses its real essence. Specifying two books amongst four books of ḥadīth, due to inconsistency in the texts, is the clearest proof of the true extent of this discrepancy. However, we cannot stop here out of astonishment, but rather pose another bitter question: what could the cause of this unsightly discrepancy be, which was condemned by this group among the Imāmiyyah, whose disavowing of Imāmiyyah Shī ism and its beliefs was lamented by al-Ṭūsī? This is where the heart of the matter lies.

¹ al-Tahdhīb vol. 1 pg. 2

As a preface to uncovering this secret, I would like readers to imagine the following scene: a man is sat with us, and surrounding him are a group of people who are speaking in his name, except that they are all essentially lying and fabricating against him what he did not say. Each one of them is speaking independently of the other, without them conspiring amongst themselves to achieve a uniform statement. Even if this unification occurs at times, it is non-existent for the majority of the time. So I ask you in the name of Allah: is it not natural that there will be discrepancy and inconsistency between what these liars all say in the name of this one person?

Take into consideration how many liars had gathered around the Imāms of the Ahl al-Bayt, to the extent that Imām al-Ṣādiq said, "Not a single one of us (Imāms of the Ahl al-Bayt) is safe from liars." Consider the extent to which these narrators were affiliated to extremist sects, regarding whom Imām al-Ṣādiq said, "Amongst them are those who lie, to the extent that even Satan is in need of their lies." Also consider the fact that a number of the authors of the Four Hundred Sources were of heterodox belief.

Al-Māmaganī states:

Al-Mawlā al-Wāhid related from his maternal uncle, al-Majlisī (the second), and also his grandfather al-Majlisī (the first) that being an author of one of the Sources is amongst the causes of excellence, but he himself scrutinised this, considering that many of the authors of the Sources had adopted incorrect beliefs, albeit their books are reliable, as clearly stated at the beginning of *Al-Fihrist.*²

Thereafter, al-Māmaqani presents 'Alī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭā'inī as an example, who wrote many books and a complete commentary of the

¹ Miqbās al-Hidāyah, vol. 2 pg. 403

² Miqbās al-Hidāyah, vol. 3 pg. 33

Qu'ran, except that Ibn Faḍḍāl said about him, "A liar, accused [of lying], accursed... I do not consider it lawful to relate even one hadith from him."

If you consider all of this, it will become totally clear to you, Allah willing, that this huge heritage which the Shī ah boastfully attribute towards the Imāms from the family of Muhammad is nothing but a caricature of what Allah said: "So woe to those who write the "scripture" with their own hands, then say, "This is from Allah," in order to exchange it for a small price. Woe to them for what their hands have written and woe to them for what they earn."

And if you want proof for this, look for it in the principle which Allah Most High informed us of when He said, "If it had been from [any] other than Allah, they would have found within it much contradiction."

And if you want to find out the identity of those who are accused of this great lie, look at what al-Māmaqani said:

It is commonly stated by the scholars, rather in their books too, that the Four Hundred Sources were compiled in the era of our master al-Ṣādiq محمد (i.e., al-Bāqir and al-Ṣādiq) according to another, or in the era of al-Ṣādiq and al-Ṣādiq and al-Ṣādiq and al-Ṣādiq and al-Ṣādiq.

Having read this, you will now hopefully realise:

- why there are so few narrations from the latter Imāms in the books of the Shīʿah,
- why they completely ignored the divinely-infallible chain of narration of the Imāms,

¹ Jāmi' al-Ruwāt, vol. 1 pg. 547

² Sūrah al-Bagarah: 79

³ Sūrah al-Nisā': 82

⁴ Rawdat al-Muttaqīn, vol. 1 pg. 130

- why, in transmitting the Sunnah, their exclusive reliance is upon suspicious and mendacious persons who turned Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq into the pseudo-source for the lies which they then spread in his name,
- and how all of that turned into the self-contradictory mass of narrations that is the Hadīth of the Shī ah.

When you see al-Kulaynī turn away from narrating the Ḥadīth of the Ahl al-Bayt through the chain of Imām al-Mahdī — from Imām al-ʿAskarī — from Imām al-Hādī — from Imām al-Jawād — from Imām al-Riḍā — from Imām al-Kāzim — from Imām al-Ṣādiq; but you see he is very happy to acquire the Sunnah from ʿAlī ibn Ibrāhīm al-Qummī — from Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad al-Barqī — from ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥakam — from ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭāʾinī — from Abū Baṣīr — from al-Ṣādiq; then know the secret behind this and do not be from the absentminded!

We ask Allah to protect our religion for us.

All praise belongs to Allah in the beginning and the end. May Allah bless and send peace on our leader Muḥammad, his family and his companions. [End Quote]

It is clear that the Shīʿah Ḥadīth tradition rests on very shaky foundations and is subject to immense internal criticism. The primary sources of Shīʿah Ḥadīth suffer from such irregularity and inconsistency by their own admission, that some of their early scholars have desperately attempted to bring about some semblance of reconciliation. What function does it serve if fallible individuals have to sift out, what they believe are, the reliable statements attributed to the Prophet ﷺ.

Forty narrations

Let us set the epistemological issue of whether the statements of the Imāms hold authority or not aside for the moment since it has already been dealt with, and we have demonstrated that the argument for the authority of the Imāms is based on circular reasoning.¹ Instead, let us evaluate the narrations cited by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn. For the sake of objectivity, fairness, and faithful representation let us subject these narrations to the standards of Shīʿī Ḥadīth evaluation and base our findings primarily on Shīʿī Ḥadīth literature. We shall list the narrations in the order which they appear in ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's letter.

1. The narration ascribed to 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Samurah

Al-Ṣadūq and al-Majlisī record this with a common chain by way of Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Ṣayrafī al-Kūfī — Muḥammad ibn Sinān —Mufaḍḍal ibn ʿUmar — Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī — Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Samurah that the Prophet

Appearing in this chain is **Muḥammad ibn** 'Alī al-Ṣayrafī, whose Kunyah is Abū Samīnah. He is known for lying and deceiving. Al-Kashshī quotes al-Faḍl ibn Shādhān that Abū Samīnah, Muḥammad ibn 'Alī al-Ṣayrafī is a well-known liar.'

Al-Khū'ī is also on record for having called him weak and a liar.⁴ This was mentioned in disproving a narration on the topic of *Taḥrīf al-Qur'ān* (Interpolation of the Qur'ān). When dismissing it, Muḥammad al-Ṣayrafī is boldly declared a liar, but 'Abd al-Ḥusayn appears to have no issue in citing his narration when it comes to proving 'Alī's Imāmah!

¹ See discussions on Letters 8 and 10

² Kamāl al-Dīn vol. 1 pg. 256; Amālī al-Ṣadūq pg. 78; Biḥār al-Anwār vol. 36 pg. 226

³ Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 546, bio. 1033

⁴ Siyānat al-Qur'ān min al-Taḥrīf, pg 226

Also appearing in this chain is Muḥammad ibn Sinan, Abū Jaʿfar al-Hamadānī. Ibn al-Ghaḍāʾirī declares him an extremist, weak, one who forges Ḥadīth and one whose narrations deserve no attention.¹ Ibn Dāwūd al-Ḥillī also declares him weak and an extremist whose narrations are riddled with errors.² ʿAllāmah al-Ḥillī stops short of grading him and withholds his opinion on his narrations.³

Thirdly, they consider Saʿīd ibn al-Mussayyab a Nāṣibī, an opponent of Ahl al-Bayt and opinions varied over the acceptance or rejection of his narrations.⁴

Al-Māmaqānī identifies 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Samurah as a Ṣaḥābī, but grades him Majhūl. Al-Khū'ī states that opinions vary on 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Samurah but he prefers to withhold his opinion due to insufficent information.⁵

For all the reasons above, this narration is clearly unreliable by Shīʿī standards.

2. The narration ascribed to Ibn 'Abbas

Al-Ṣadūq, al-Majlisī, and Hāshim al-Baḥrānī record this narration by way of Muḥammad ibn Mūsā ibn Mutawakkil — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Kūfī — Mūsā ibn ʿImrān al-Nakhaʿī — Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd — Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Sālim — his father — Abū Ḥamzah — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — Ibn ʿAbbās that the Prophet ﴿

Said...6

¹ Rijāl ibn al-Ghaḍāi'rī, pfg. 92

² Rijāl ibn Dāwūd, pt. 2, Bio. 455

³ Al-Khulāṣah, 394

⁴ Jāmi' al-Ruwāt, vol. 1 pg. 363; al-Naşb wa al-Nawāşib, pg 238

⁵ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 83; Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, vol. 9 pg. 145

⁶ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 257; Bihār al-Anwār, vol. 36 pg. 283; Ghāyat al-Marām vol. 1 pg. 116

Al-Māmaqānī declared Mūsā ibn 'Imrān al-Nakha'ī Majhūl.1

'Allāmah al-Ḥillī claims that he could not find data on him from the early scholars in the matter of narrator criticism.²

Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Sālim, also refered to as Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭāi'nī is described as weak, a liar, and accursed.

Al-Kashshī quotes Muḥammad ibn Masʿūd, who asked ʿAlī ibn Ḥasan ibn Faḍāl about Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭāi'nī and he responded, "He is an accursed liar! I recorded many Ḥadīth from him, as well as the Tafsīr of the entire Qur'ān. However, I do not deem it permissible to transmit even one Ḥadīth from him." He goes on to quote Abū al-Ḥasan Ḥamdwayh ibn Naṣir, who states on the authority of a number of his teachers that Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭāi'nī is an evil person.³

Ibn al-Ghaḍā'irī states that he is a Wāqifī,⁴ the son of a Wāqifī. He is weak, and his father is narrowly more reliable than he is.⁵

Al-Māmaqānī says, "It is absolutely necessary to discard his narrations. At best, he was a Wāqifī; not reliable and therefore included among the weak [narrators]."

Another unreliable narration.

3. The narration ascribed to Ja far al-Ṣādiq — from his father — from

¹ Tanqīh al-Maqāl, vol. 3 pg. 258

² Al-Khulāsah, vol. 9 pg. 216

³ Rijāl al-Kashshī, pg 552, bio. 1042

⁴ Waqifi is taken from the Arabic root W-Q-F, which means to stop. This term refers to a Shīī sect called the Wāqifiyyah who believed that Imāmah stopped with Mūsā al-Kāzim, hence the title Wāqifi.

⁵ Rijāl ibn al-Ghaḍā'rī, pg 51

⁶ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 290

his fathers

This naration is recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and with his chain, al-Khazzāz al-Qummī as well as al-Ṭabarsī — by way of Muḥammad ibn Mūsā ibn Mutawakkil — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Kūfī — **Mūsā ibn ʿImrān al-Nakhaʿī** — **Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd** — **Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah** — his father — al-Ṣādiq ĀĒŪĒĒ — his father ĀĒŪĒĒ — His fathers ĀĒŪĒĒĒ that the Prophet ĀĒŪĒĒĀS said...¹

The issues which rendered the previous narration unreliable are present in this narration as well.

4. The narration ascribed to Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq, from his father, from his fathers

This naration is recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and with his chain, al-Khazzāz al-Qummī, al-Ṭabarsī, al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī, al-Majlisī — by way of ʿAlī ibn Aḥmad — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Kūfī — Mūsā ibn ʿImrān al-Nakhaʿī — Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd — Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah — his father — Yaḥyā ibn Abī al-Qāsim — al-Ṣādiq Þara — his father Þara — his grandfather Þara that the Prophet Þara said, "The Imāms after me are twelve. The first of them is ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib, and the last of them is al-Qāʾim. They are my Khulafāʾ and Awṣiyāʾ, and Allahʾs proof over my Ummah after me. Those who accept them are believers and those who reject them are disbelievers."²

The problematic narrators from the previous two narrations are present in this one as well, Mūsā ibn ʿImrān al-Nakhaʿī, Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd, and Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah.

¹ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 258; Kifāyat al-Athar pg. 144; A'lām al-Warā, vol. 2 pg. 183

² Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 259; Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhū al-Faqīh, vol. 4 pg. 180; Kifāyat al-Athar pg. 145; A'lām al-Warā, vol. 2 pg. 183; al-Jawāhir, pg. 282; al-Biḥār, vol. 36 pg. 252

Muḥaammad Bāqir al-Bahbūdī has omitted it from his critical rendition of Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhū al-Faqīh, titled Ṣaḥīḥ al-Faqīh, which means that he deemed it unreliable.

It is interesting to note that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn—who believes this narration to be Mutawātir—omitted the last phrase of the Ḥadīth, "Those who accept them are believers, and those who reject them are disbelievers." His omission of this phrase, and his belief of the mass-transmission of this Ḥadīth have revealed his pleasantries to be nothing more than an act for his audience. If he really believed in this narration it would mean that he considers, not only the Ahl al-Sunnah, but all other Shī ah sects who do not believe in the Imāmah of these twelve, to be disbelievers. He amputated the tail-end of the narration to keep up appearances.

5. The narration ascribed to 'Alī

This narration is recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and with his chain, al-Ṭabarsī and al-Majlisī — by way of ʿAlī ibn Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Barqī — his father — his grandfather, **Aḥmad ibn Abī ʿAbd Allāh** — his father, Muḥammad ibn Khālid — **Muḥammad ibn Dāwūd** — **Muḥammad ibn Jārūd al-ʿAbdī** — Asbagh ibn Nubātah — ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib that the Prophet

Objections have been raised against Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Khālid for being careless about whom he takes narrations from, often omitting the person whom he actually received the narration from.²

Muḥammad ibn Dāwūd is a name shared by a number of narrators, all of whom are weak and unreliable. Even though it is not possible to identify the actual narrator of this report, he, and all his namesakes are considered unreliable.³

¹ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 259; I'lām al-Warā, vol. 2 pg. 185; al-Biḥār, vol. 36 pg. 253

² Rijāl ibn al-Ghaḍā'irī, pg. 39; al-Fihrist, pg. 48

³ Hidāyat al-Muḥāddithīn, pg. 237

Muḥammad ibn al-Jārūd is considered Majhūl, and his name doesn't even appear in most early books on narrator biographies.¹

Considering the above factors, this narration is also unreliable.

It is worthy of noting that the narration as it appears in *al-Murājaʿāt* is extremely truncated. ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn conveniently omitted the statement wherein the Prophet allegedly states that anyone who rejects the Imāmah of any of the twelve has effectively rejected him. If one accepts this narration then it stands to reason that such a person considers any Sunnī a disbeliever.

6. The narration ascribed to Alī al-Ridā, from his father, from his fathers

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by Hāshim al-Baḥrānī — by way of **Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Mājīlawayh** — ʿAlī ibn Ibrāhīm — his father — **ʿAlī ibn Maʿbad** — **Ḥusayn ibn Khālid** — ʿAlī ibn Mūsā al-Riḍā — his father — his fathers that the Prophet $\frac{1}{2}$ said...²

The teacher of al-Ṣadūq, ʿAlī ibn Muḥammad ibn Mājīlawayh, is a narrator whom the Shīʿah Ḥadīth authorities differ over greatly. Al-Jawāhirī calls him Majhūl.³ Al-Tusturī and al-Khūʾī have objected very firmly to those scholars who accepted the narration of any narrator simply because he was a teacher of al-Ṣadūq. They argue that the blanket acceptance of his teachers is misplaced, and that many of his teachers were found to be weak and unreliable.⁴ This is consistent with the grading of al-Māmaqānī, "Al-Ṣadūq invoked mercy upon him, and he was one of his teachers. Notwithstanding that, the man is Majhūl and ignored."

¹ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol. 6 pg. 488

² Kamāl al-Dīn vol. 1 pg. 260; Ghāyat al-Marām, vol. 1 pg. 131

³ Al-Mufid, pg. 559

⁴ Qāmūs al-Rijāl, vol. 1 pg. 73, vol. 9 pg. 460; Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, vol. 21 pg. 203

⁵ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 108

'Alī ibn Ma'bad is considered Majhūl as established by al-Māmaqānī.¹ Similarly, Al-Khū'ī states that Ḥusayn ibn Khālid al-Ṣayrafī cannot be proven to be a reliable narrator.²

This is yet another narration which fails the test according to Shī'ah Ḥadīth standards. If one were to accept it, then it ought to be accepted in its entirety as the narration continues further than what is stated in al-Murāja'āt. The narration goes on to state that whoever leaves 'Alī عنافين after the Prophet's منافين passing will not see the Prophet منافين on the Day of Judgement, nor will the Prophet منافين want to look at such a person. Furthermore, anyone who opposes 'Alī المنافين will be forbidden to enter Jannah and will be destined for Jahannam. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn selectively cited the passage that supports his argument and withheld the segment of the narration that reveals how the Shī'ah actually view their Sunnī 'brothers': destined for Hell!

7. The narration ascribed to ʿAlī al-Riḍā, from his father, from his fathers

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him, by al-Majlisī — by way of Aḥmad ibn Ziyād ibn Jaʿfar — ʿAlī ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Hāshim — his father — ʿAlī ibn Maʿbad — Ḥusayn ibn Khālid — ʿAlī al-Riḍā — his father — his fathers — that the Prophet said... 3

The critical appraisal of both 'Alī ibn Ma'bad and Ḥusayn ibn Khālid have been discussed under the previous narration.

8. The narration ascribed to Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī al-ʿAskarī, from his father, from his grandfather

¹ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol 2 pg. 309

² Mu jam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, vol. 6 pg. 250

³ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 261; al-Biḥār, vol. 16 pg. 364

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him, by Hāshim al-Baḥrānī — by way of **Muḥāmmad ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Isḥāq** — Aḥmad ibn Muḥāmmad al-Hamadānī — **Muḥammad ibn Hishām** — '**Alī ibn Ḥasan al-Sā'iḥ** — Ḥasan ibn 'Alī al-'Askarī — his father — his father — his grandfather, that the Prophet

Al-Jawahirī states that the reliability of **Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Isḥāq al-Ṭālaqānī** cannot be established.² His being a teacher of al-Ṣadūq is inconsequential as elaborated under narration no. 6.

There is no mention of 'Alī ibn Ḥasan [or Ḥusayn] al-Sā'iḥ. The manuscripts have his name spelled differently. Despite this he cannot be traced in the narrator literature.

The expert Shīīscholars cannot decide whether **Muḥammad ibn Hishām** is reliable or not. The abbreviation in his biographical entry in the early books has perplexed the Shīʿah experts on Ḥadīth. Some say that he was an ʿĀmmī, which literally translates as a layperson or commoner but is used by Shīʿah scholars to refer to Sunnis. Others have interpreted the abbreviation to mean ʿĀlim, refering to him being a scholar.³

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has been consistent in only exposing his readers to a portion of the narration. He could not risk citing the entire narration, especially when it states that if any person were to reject any one of the Imāms, it would be tantamount to rejecting the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْنَا اللهُ عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْنَا

9. The narration ascribed to Salman al-Farisi

¹ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 261; Ghāyat al-Marām, vol. 1 pg. 303

² Al-Mufid, pg 483

³ Muntahā al-Maqāl, vol. 6 pg. 225

This has been recorded by Sulaym ibn Qays, and al-Ṣadūq narrates it with varying chains from him.¹ Hāshim al-Baḥrānī narrates it by way of al-Ṣadūq, from Sulaym ibn Qays.²

The version in *Kamāl al-Dīn* is narrated by way of Abān ibn Taghlib — **Sulaym ibn Qays** — Salmān.

The version in by way of Hāshim al-Baḥrānī, and the way it is found in *Kitāb Sulaym ibn Qays* mentions Abān ibn Abī ʿAyyāsh as the narrator from Sulaym.

There is an interruption between Abān ibn Taghlib and **Sulaym ibn Qays** as the two of them did not meet and there is an intermediary between them. So, if this is the preferred version it would mean that the chain of transmission is interrupted.

On the other hand, appearing in the second version is **Abān ibn AbīʿAyyāsh** who is suspected of forging the book of Sulaym ibn Qays and ascribing it to him. Ibn al-Ghaḍā'irī states that he is absolutey weak and unreliable.³

Al-Barqaʿī states that both Abān ibn ʿAyyāsh and Sulaym are both Majhūl; and that the book of Sulaym is filled with forgeries and lies.⁴ Muḥammad Bāqir al-Bahbūdī has absolutely no doubt about the fact that his book is a forgery.⁵

If the narration of Abān ibn Taghlib is taken, it suffers two flaws: interruption and the unreliability of Sulaym. If the version of Abān is

¹ Kitāb Sulaym ibn Qays, pg. 461; Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 261;

² Ghāyat al-Marām, vol. 1 pg. 117

³ Rijāl ibn al-Ghaḍā'irī, pg 63; Jāmi' al-Ruwāt, vol.1 pg. 9

⁴ Kasr al-Sanam, pg. 234

⁵ Maʻrifat al-Ḥadīth, pg. 33

taken, the unreliability of both Abān ibn Abī 'Ayyāsh and Sulaym is a problem. Either way, the narration is unreliable.

10. The narration ascribed to Salman al-Farisi

Abān ibn Abī 'Ayyāsh and Sulaym ibn Qays have been discussed under the previous narration. There is another disputed narrator who appears in this chain, **Ibrāhīm ibn 'Umar al-Yamānī**. Al-Najāshī has vetted him, whereas ibn al-Ghaḍā'irī has declared him extremely weak.² Most of the scholars have not mentioned any details about his reliability.³

Therefore, the presence of Ibrāhīm ibn 'Umar al-Yamānī either adds to the intensity of the weakness, or does not affect the fact that is already extremely weak, if not forged.

11. The scene 'observed' by Sulaym ibn Qays al-Hil \bar{a} l \bar{i}

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of his father and Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan — Saʻd ibn ʿAbd Allāh — Yaʻqūb ibn Yazīd — Ḥammād ibn ʿĪsā — ʿUmar ibn Udhaynah — Abān ibn Abī ʿAyyāsh — Sulaym ibn Qays al-Hilālī... 4

The problems with this chain have been discussed under Ḥadīth no. 9.

¹ Kamāl a-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 262; Ghāyat al-Marām, vol. 5 pg. 14

² Rijāl ibn al-Ghadā'irī, pg 36

³ Muntahā al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 185

⁴ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 274

12. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allah ibn Ja far

This narration has bee recorded by both al-Ṣadūq and al-Kulaynī with a common chain by way of Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿĪsā — Ibn Abī ʿUmayr — ʿUmar ibn Udhaynah — Abān ibn Abī ʿAyyāsh — Sulaym ibn Qays — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar...¹

Both narrators have been discussed under narration no. 9

Majlisī states that the scholars differed about this narration.² It is evident that al-Bahbūdī considered it weak since he excluded it from his revised edition of $al-K\bar{a}f\bar{\imath}$, titled $Sah\bar{\imath}h$ $al-K\bar{a}f\bar{\imath}$.

It is interesting to note that this narration describes a heated discussion in the presence of Muʿāwiyah as well as Ḥasan, Ḥusayn, ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, ʿUmar ibn Abī Salamah, and Usāmaha ibn Zayd Loring this discussion ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar allegedly states that the Prophet appointed ʿAlī as his successor, and if he is martyred then his son, Ḥasan, then Ḥusayn; and if he were to be martyred then his son, ʿAlī [ibn al-Ḥusayn]. Could there be any vindication for Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī abdicating in favour of Muʿāwiyah after being divinely appointed?

13. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and later on by al-Majlisī — by way of ʿAlī ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Warrāq al-Rāzī — Saʿd ibn ʿAbd Allāh — Haytham ibn Abī Masrūq al-Nahdī — Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlwān — ʿUmar ibn Khālid³ — Saʿd ibn Ṭarīf — Aṣbagh ibn Nubātah — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās that the Prophet ﴿

Prophet ﴿

Said…4*

¹ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 270; al-Kāfī, vol. 1 pg. 529

² Mir'āt al-'Uqūl, vol 7. Pg 216

³ His name is given as 'Amr ibn Khālid in 'Uyūn al-Akhbār.

⁴ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 280; 'Uyūn al-Akhbār, vol. 2 pg. 66; al-Biḥār, vol. 25 pg. 202

Al-Māmaqānī considers 'Alī ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Warrāq unreliable.1

Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlwān is not an Imāmī. Al-Ṭūsī² objects to a narration about washing the feet in Wuḍū³ which is transmitted by way of Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlwān — from ʿAmr ibn Khālid — from Zayd ibn ʿAlī stating that this chain comprises of ' $\bar{A}mm\bar{i}s$ and $Zayd\bar{i}s$.⁴ So this narrator is fine when it comes to proving Imāmah, yet problematic when it pertains to a matter of practise which goes contrary to the established practise among the Twelvers.

'Amr ibn Khālid is also refered to as a Batrī,⁵ a Shī'ī who does not reject the Khulafā' before 'Alī . There is another narrator who shares this name, but he is not known for being a teacher of Ḥusayn ibn 'Alwān.⁶

Sa'd ibn Ṭarīf is considered weak by Ibn al-Ghaḍāi'rī.⁷ He also appears in the Sunnī Rijāl literature as well; albeit severely discredited. He is one of the 100 narrators that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn claimed that Sunnī's rely on.⁸

Again, another unreliable narration.

14. The narration of Ibn 'Abbas

This narration is recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of Aḥmad ibn Ḥasan al-Qaṭṭān — Aḥmad ibn Yaḥya ibn Zakariyyā al-Qaṭṭān — Bakr ibn ʿAbd

¹ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol.1 pg. 180

² Al-Istibsār, vol. 1 pg. 125

³ The Twelver Shīʿah do not wash their feet in Wuḍū', they merely wipe over it.

^{4 &#}x27;Āmmī, meaning a commoner, is the derogatory reference that the Imāmī Shī ah use for Sunnis, or those Shī ah who do not share all their beliefs. Zaydī is a term to identify the branch of Shī ah that ascribe themselves to Zayd ibn 'Alī.

⁵ Rijāl al-Ţūsī, pg 131

⁶ Qāmūs al-Rijāl, vol. 8 pg. 96

⁷ Rijāl ibn al-Ghadā'irī pg. 64

⁸ See our discussions under Letter 16

Allāh ibn Ḥabīb — Faḍl ibn Ṣaqr al-ʿAdī — Abū Muʿāwiyah — al-Aʿmash — ʿAbāyah ibn Ribʿī — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās مناهنات that the Prophet مناهنات said...¹

Al-Jawāhirī states that **Aḥmad ibn Ḥasan al-Qaṭṭān**, despite being a teacher of al-Ṣadūq, is Majhūl and probably an ʿĀmmī.²

Al-Māmaqānī declared **Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Zakariyyā al-Qaṭṭān** Majhūl as well. 3

Bakr ibn 'Abd Allāh is considered weak and unreliable.4

There is no mention of **Fadl ibn Sagr** in the Shīī Rijāl literature.

Not much of a narrration to build one's creed upon!

15. The narration from Ja far al-Sadiq, from his fathers

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq from a number of his teachers, none of whom he names — Abū ʿAlī Muḥamad ibn Hammām — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar — Aḥmad ibn Hilāl — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿUmayr — Saʿīd ibn Ghazawān — Abū Baṣīr — Abū ʿAbd Allāh — his fathers

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq from a number of his teachers. Abū ʿAbd Allāh ʿUmayr — Saʿīd ibn Ghazawān — Abū Baṣīr — Abū ʿAbd Allāh ʿAbd A

Al-Ṣadūq has not identified his teachers. Nonetheless, **Aḥmad ibn Hilāl** is considered weak and accursed.⁶ Furthermore, **Saʿīd ibn Ghazawān** cannot be proven to be reliable.⁷

¹ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 280

² Al-Mufīd min Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg. 25

³ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 11

⁴ Rijāl al-Najāshī, vol. 1 pg. 271

⁵ Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 281

⁶ Muntahā al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 364; al-Ghaybah, pg. 353

⁷ Al-Mufīd min Mu'jam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg. 252

We might add that one of the possible reasons for Aḥmad ibn Hilāl being accursed is his competing claim for representation of the Hidden Mahdī. His rivals realized that discrediting him was a convenient way to gain monopoly over representing the Hidden Imām.

16. The narration ascribed to 'Alī

Al-Ṣadūq records this narration by way of Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā al-ʿAṭṭār — his father — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-jabbār — Abū Aḥmad Muḥammad ibn Ziyād¹ al-Azdī — Abān ibn ʿUthmān — Thābit ibn Dīnār — ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn — Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī — ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib that the Prophet ﴿

Said...²

Both Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad al-ʿAṭṭār and Abū Aḥmad, Muḥammad ibn Ziyād al-Azdī are considered Majhūl.³

17. The narration ascribed to Ja far al-Ṣādiq, from his father, from his fathers

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by Hāshim al-Baḥrānī — by way of Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Saʿīd al-Hāshimī — Furāt ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Furāt al-Kūfī — Muḥammad ibn Ṭahīr — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Faḍl al-Hāshimī — Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq — his father — his fathers, that the Prophet said...⁴

Al-Jawāhirī says that Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Saʿīd al-Hāshimī is Majhūl despite being a teacher of al-Ṣadūq.⁵

¹ Some texts name him Abū Aḥmad Muḥammad ibn Ziyād.

² Kamāl al-Dīn, vol. 1 pg. 282

³ Al-Mufīd min Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg 46; Mustadrakāt ʻllm al-Rijāl, vol. 1 pg. 440

⁴ Amālī al-Sadūq, Mailis. 26; Hadīth. 8

⁵ Al-Mufid, pg 154

Furāt ibn Ibrāhīm, a Shīʿī scholar, had authored a book on Tafsīr. The editor had nothing to write under his biography besides the fact that there is absolutely no mention of him in the biographies compiled by Shīʿī scholars. He goes on to state that there are no biographical entries for him in any of the Rijāl books at his disposal.¹ He is therefore, Majhūl.

Al-Māmaqānī states that Muḥammad ibn Zahīr is also Majhūl.²

18. The narration ascribed to ʿAlī Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn, from his father, from his father

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of **Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr** — Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿĀmir — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿĀmir — ibn

Abī ʿUmayr — Ḥamzah ibn Ḥumrān — his father — Abū Ḥamzah — ʿAlī ibn

Ḥusayn — his father — his father, that the Prophet ﴿

Jaban Sald…³

Both **Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr** and **Ḥamzah ibn Ḥumrān** are described as Majhūl.⁴

19. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlisī and Hāshim al-Baḥrānī — by way of Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-Sinānī — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Asadī al-Kūfī — Mūsā ibn ʿImrān al-Nakhaʿī — Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd — ʿAlī ibn Sālim — his father — Saʿd ibn Ṭarīf — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet said...⁵

¹ Tafsīr Furāt, pg. 10

² Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 139

³ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 27; Ḥadīth.8

⁴ Zubdat al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 260; Al-Mufīd min Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg. 198

⁵ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 49, Ḥadīth. 16, al-Bihār, vol 18. Pg. 338; Ghāyat al-Marām, vol. 1 pg. 86

Al-Jawāhirī endorses the grading of his teacher, al-Khū'ī, of **Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-Sinānī**, stating that he is Majhūl despite being a teacher of al-Ṣadūq.¹

Mūsā ibn ʿImrān al-Nakhaʿī and Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd have already been shown to be Majhūl.²

Al-Māmaqānī states that '**Alī ibn Sālim al-Kūfī** is an Imāmī, but he is Majhūl.³

Sa'd ibn Ṭarīf has already been shown to be unreliable and weak.4

20. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of **Aḥmad ibn Hārūn al-Fāmī** — Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar ibn Jāmiʿ al-Ḥimyarī — his father — Ayyūb ibn Nūḥ — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿUmayr — **Abān al-Aḥmar** — **Saʿd al-Kinānī** — Aṣbagh ibn Nubātah — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet said...⁵

Al-Jawāhirī endorses the view of his teacher, al-Khū'ī, stating that $\bf A \dot p mad$ ibn $\bf H \bar a r \bar u n$ al-F $\bf \bar a m \bar u$ is Majhūl. 6

Ibn Dāwūd al-Ḥillī has documented the entry of **Abān ibn ʿUthmān al-Aḥmar** in the second part of his $Rij\bar{a}l$; the part reserved for narrators who are graded weak or Majhūl.⁷

¹ Al-Mufid fi Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg. 496

² See discussions under Narration no. 2

³ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 156

⁴ See discussions under Narration no. 13

⁵ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 58, Ḥadīth. 17

⁶ Al-Mufid, pg. 49

⁷ Al-Rijāl, vol. 2 pg. 226

Sa'd al-Kinānī is considered Majhūl. The early scholars have not recorded biographical data on him.¹

21. The narration ascribed to Abū Dharr al-Ghifārī

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlisī and Hāshim al-Baḥrānī — by way of Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā al-ʿAttār — his father — Yaʻqūb ibn Yazīd — Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿUmayr — Sayf ibn ʿUmayrah — Ashʿath ibn Sawwār — Aḥnaf ibn Qays — Abū Dharr, that the Prophet ﴿

Dharr, that the Prophet ﴿

Said...²

Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā al-ʿAttār was already shown to be an unreliable narrator.³

Opinions have varied about $\bf Sayf$ ibn $\bf `Umayrah.$ He has been declared a Wāqifī though. $\bf ^4$

Al-Māmagānī describes **Ashʿath ibn Sawwār** as an Imāmī who is Majhūl.⁵

22. The narration ascribed to Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Anṣārī

This has been recorrded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlisī — by way of Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Saʿīd al-Hāshimī — **Furāt ibn Ibrāhīm** — Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Maʿmar — Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī al-Ramlī — Muḥammad ibn Mūsā — Yaʿqūb ibn Isḥāq al-Marwazī — ʿAmr ibn Manṣūr — **Ismāʿīl ibn Abān** — Yaḥyā ibn Abī Kathīr — his father — Abū Hārūn al-ʿAbdī — **Jābir** ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Anṣārī, that the Prophet

¹ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol.4 pg. 41

² Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 80, Ḥadīth. 7; al-Biḥār, vol. 38 pg. 107; Ghāyat al-Marām (8)

³ See Narration no. 16

⁴ Muntahā al-Maqāl, pg. 16

⁵ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, bio. 998

⁶ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 2 Ḥadīth. 6, al-Biḥār, vol. 38 pg. 90

Furāt ibn Ibrāhīm has already been shown to be unreliable.¹

Al-Māmaqānī describes **Ismā'īl ibn Abān** as an Imāmī who is Majhūl.²

Muḥammad Amīn al-Kāẓimī states that all narrators with the name Jābir — with the exception of Jābir al-Juʿfī — are considered weak and unreliable.³
No exception has been made for the Prophet's مُنْسَعُنْهُ companion, Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Anṣārī مُنْسَعُةُهُ.

23. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlisī — by way of Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī — Muḥammad ibn Abī Qāsim — Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Kūfī — **Muḥammad ibn Sinān** — Mufaḍḍal ibn ʿUmar — Thābit ibn Abī Ṣafiyyah — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet ﴿

Said…4

Appearing in this chain is **Muḥammad ibn Sinān al-Hamadānī**, Abū Jaʿfar. We have already shown that Sḥīʿah Ḥadīth authorities describe him as an extremist, weak, known for forging Ḥadīth and whose narrations deserve no attention.⁵

24. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by Hāshim al-Baḥrānī and al-Majlisī — by way of Muḥammad ibn 'Umar al-Ḥāfiẓ al-Baghdādī — Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Thābit ibn Kinānah

¹ See Narration no. 17

² Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg 14 (old edition)

³ Al-Mushtarakāt, pg. 28

⁴ Amālī al-Şadūq, Majlis. 8 Ḥadīth. 4; al-Biḥār, vol. 36 pg. 29

⁵ See the discussions under Narration. No.1

— Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan ibn ʿAbbās al-Khuzāʿī — Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-ʿUranī — ʿAmr ibn Thābit — ʿ**Aṭāʾ ibn Sāʾib** — Abū Yaḥyā — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet ﷺ said...¹

Abū '**Abd Allāh Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Thābit ibn Kinānah** has not been mentioned by the early Shīʿī authorities, he remains Majhūl.²

Al-Māmaqānī describes 'Aṭā ibn al-Sā'ib as unreliable.3

Al-Jawāhirī endorses the view of his teacher, al-Khū'ī, that Ḥasan ibn Ḥusayn al-ʿUranī is Majhūl.⁴

25. The narration ascribed to 'Alī

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of **Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm** — Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad al-Hamadānī — '**Alī ibn Ḥasan ibn** '**Alī ibn Ḥasan ibn** '**Alī ibn Faḍāl** — his father — 'Alī al-Riḍā — Mūsā ibn Ja'far — Ja'far al-Ṣādiq — Muḥammad al-Bāqir — 'Alī Zayn al-'Ābidīn — Ḥusayn ibn 'Alī — 'Alī, that the Prophet ﴿ الله عَلَيْ عَلَيْ الله عَلَيْ عَلَيْ الله عَلَيْ عَلَيْ الله عَ

The name **Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Isḥāq** is shared between two narrators; al-Ṭālaqānī and al-Fārisī. Al-Jawāhirī⁶ states that al-Ṭālaqānī has not been credited as a reliable narrator, and that al-Fārisī is Majhūl. Whichever of the two is identified, the narration remains in question.

'Alī ibn Ḥasan is not acceptable as a narrator.' It is claimed that he is deemed unreliable because he was a Faṭḥī; he believed that the line of

¹ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 15 Ḥadīth. 11; Ghāyat al-Marām, vol. 1 pg. 169; Biḥār al-Anwār vol. 38 pg. 94

² Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol. 6 pg. 406

³ Tanqīh al-Maqāl, pg. 102 (old edition)

⁴ Al-Mufid, pg. 137

⁵ Amālī al-Şadūq, Majlis. 20, Ḥadīth. 4

⁶ Al-Mufid, pg. 483

⁷ Muntahā al-Maqāl, vol. 2 pg. 433

Imāmah continued with ʿAbd Allāh al-Afṭāḥ. His father, Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Faḍāl, is also said be a Faṭḥī.¹

26. The narration ascribed to 'Alī

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of **Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-Sinānī** — Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar al-Kūfī — Muḥammad ibn Ismāʿīl al-Barmakī — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Aḥmad — **Qāsim ibn Sulaymān** — Thābit ibn Abī Ṣafiyyah — **Saʿīd ibn ʿIlāqah** — **Abū Saʿīd ʿAqīṣā** — Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Tālib — ʿAlī ibn Abī Tālib, that the Prophet

Al-Jawāhirī endorses the grading of his teacher, al-Khū'ī, of **Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-Sinānī**, stating that he is Majhūl despite being a teacher of al-Ṣadūq.³

Al-Māmaqānī states that numerous scholars have declared **Qāsim ibn Sulaymān al-Baghdāḍī** unreliable and weak.⁴

Saʿīd ibn ʿIlāqah is described as an Imāmī who is Majhūl.⁵ The same goes for **Abū Saʿīd ʿAqīsā**.⁶

27. The narration of Ibn 'Abbas

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlisī — by way of **Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr** — Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad ibn 'Āmir — 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Āmir — **Abū Aḥmad Muḥammad ibn Ziyād al-**

¹ Muntahā al-Maqāl vol. 4 pg. 379

² Amālī al-Ṣadūq, majlis. 53 Ḥadīth. 13;

³ Al-Mufid fi Mu'jam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg. 496

⁴ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg. 122 (old edition)

⁵ Tanqīh al-Maqāl, pg. 64 (old edition)

⁶ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg. 52 (old edition)

Azdī — Abān ibn ʿUthmān al-Aḥmar — Abān ibn Taghlib — ʿIkrimah — Abd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet ما المنافقة said...¹

Ja'far ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr is graded Majhūl.²

Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Ziyād al-Azdī is not mentioned in the early Rijāl works and is considered Majhūl.³

Ibn Dāwūd al-Ḥillī has documented the entry of **Abān ibn ʿUthmān al-Aḥmar** in the second part of his $Rij\bar{a}l$; the part reserved for narrators who are graded weak or Majhūl.⁴

Al-Khū'ī quotes al-Kashshī stating that 'Ikrimah was discredited.5

28. The narration ascribed to Umm Salamah

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan ibn Aḥmad ibn Walīd — Muḥammad ibn Abī al-Qāsim — **Muḥammad ibn 'Alī al-Ṣayrafī** — **Muḥammad ibn Sinān** — Mufaḍḍal ibn 'Umar — Abū 'Abd Allāh مَا اللهُ [al-Ṣādiq] — his father — his grandfather — Umm Salamah, that the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَالَى said... 6

Appearing in this chain is **Muḥammad ibn** ʿ**Alī al-Ṣayrafī**, whose Kunyah is Abū Samīnah. He is known for lying and deceiving. He has already been discussed.⁷

¹ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 56 Ḥadīth. 7; Biḥār al-Anwār, vol. 38 pg. 102

² Zubdat al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 260; Al-Mufīd min Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg. 198

³ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol. 1 pg. 440

⁴ Al-Rijāl, vol. 2 pg. 226

⁵ Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, vol. 12 pg. 177

⁶ Amālī al-Sadūg, Majlis. 60 Hadīth. 10

⁷ See the discussions under Narration No. 1

We also find **Muḥammad ibn Sinān al-Hamadānī** in this chain. We have already shown that Shīʿah Ḥadīth authorities describe him as an extremist, weak, known for forging Ḥadīth and whose narrations deserve no attention.¹

29. The narration ascribed to Salman al-Farisi

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of his father — 'Abd Allāh ibn Ḥasan al-Mu'addib — Aḥmad ibn 'Alī al-Aṣbahānī — Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad al-Thaqafī — 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Hāshim — Yaḥyā ibn Ḥusayn — Sa'd ibn Ṭarīf — Aṣbagh ibn Nubātah — Salmān, that the Prophet ﴿

Prophet ﴿

Said...²

Al-Jawāhirī has endorsed the grading of al-Khū'ī; that 'Abd Allāh ibn Ḥasan al-Mu'addib is Majhūl.³ He says the same about Aḥmad ibn 'Alī al-Asbahānī.⁴

Yaḥyā ibn Ḥusayn is not mentioned in the Rijāl books. Contemporary Shīʿah scholars merely cite this narration of his identifying that he is the narrator of this Ḥadīth. He is also Majhūl.⁵

 ${\bf Sa\'d}$ ${\bf ibn}$ ${\bf \bar Tar\bar If}$ was previously shown to be unreliable.

30. The narration ascribed to Zayd ibn Arqam

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlisī — by way of Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan ibn Ahmad ibn Walīd — **Aḥmad ibn ʿAlawiyyah**

¹ See the discussions under Narration. No.1

² Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 72 Ḥadīth. 21

³ Al-Mufid, pg. 331

⁴ Al-Mufid, pg. 33

⁵ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol. 8 pg. 197

⁶ See discussions under Narration no. 13

— Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥāmmad — al-Masʿūdī — ʿAlī ibn Qāsim al-Kindī — Saʿd ibn Ṭālib — ʿUthmān ibn Qāsim al-Anṣārī — Zayd ibn Arqam, that the Prophet مَا اللهُ 3 said...¹

Al-Māmaqānī states that **Aḥmad ibn** 'Alawiyyah is certainly and Imāmī, but suggests that he is Majhūl.²

31. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlisī — by way of 'Alī ibn Aḥmad ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Barqī — his father — his grandfather, **Aḥmad ibn Abī** '**Abd Allāh** — his father, Muḥammad ibn Khālid — Ghiyāth ibn Ibrāhīm — Thābit ibn Dīnār — **Sa'd ibn Ṭarīf** — Sa'īd ibn Jubayr — 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās, that the Prophet said...'

Objections have been raised against Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Khālid for being careless about whom he takes narrations from, often concealing the person whom he actually received the narration from.⁴

Sa'd ibn Ṭarīf has previously been shown to be unreliable.5

32. The narration ascribed to 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās

This narration has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq — and from him by al-Majlis $\bar{\imath}$ — by way of Ḥusayn ibn Aḥmad ibn Idr $\bar{\imath}$ s — his father — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Jabbār — Abū Aḥmad Muḥammad ibn Ziyād al-Azd $\bar{\imath}$ — Ismāʻ $\bar{\imath}$ l

¹ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 72 Ḥadīth. 22; Biḥār al-Anwār, vol. 38 pg. 104

² Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl vol. 6 pg. 325 (Mu'assasah Āl Bayt edition)

³ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 45 Ḥadīth. 18; al-Biḥār, vol. 43 pg. 24

⁴ Rijāl ibn al-Ghadā'irī, pg. 39; al-Fihrist, pg. 48

⁵ See discussions under Narration no. 13

ibn Faḍl — his father — Thābit ibn Dīnār — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ a said...¹

Husayn ibn Ahmad ibn Idrīs is considered Majhūl.²

Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Ziyād al-Azdī is not mentioned in the early Rijāl works and is considered Majhūl.³

There is another mistake in this narration. Al-Khū'ī states that Ismāʿīl ibn Faḍl does not narrate from his father; instead it his own son, Faḍl, who narrates from him, Ismāʿīl.⁴ He goes on to say that he has identified over 450 places where this error has occurred in the Shīʿī Ḥadīth literature. In all those narrations Ismāʿīl ibn Faḍl actually narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh ˌˈAbd Allāh and only at one place does he narrate by way of Thābit ibn Dīnār.⁵

The occurrence of such a mistake alone would imply that this narration is not well preserved; what then could be said of the presence of other problematic narrators in the chain?

ascension مَا لِسَمُعَلِيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ ascension مَا لِسَمُّعَلِيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ

Al-Ṣadūq has recorded this with four different chains in his $\bar{A}m\bar{a}l\bar{\iota}$. All four chains are flawed and have problematic narrators.

a. His father — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar al-Ḥimyarī — Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿĪsā — his father — Yūnus ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — Manṣūr al-Ṣayqal — Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq — his fathers, that the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ said...6

¹ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 81 Ḥadīth. 17; al-Biḥār, vol. 38 pg. 107

² Al-Mufid, pg. 162

³ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol. 1 pg. 440

⁴ Mu jam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, vol. 14 pg. 302

⁵ Ibid

⁶ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 72 Ḥadīth. 17

Al-Jawāhirī endorses al-Khū'ī, stating that **Manṣūr al-Ṣayqal** is Majhūl.¹

b. **Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr** — Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿĀmir — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿĀmir — **Muḥammad ibn Ziyād al-Azdī** — **Abān ibn ʿUthmān al-Aḥmar** — Abān ibn Taghlib — **ʿIkrimah** — Abd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet ﷺ said...²

Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr, Muḥammad ibn Ziyād al-Azdī, Abān ibn ʿUthmān al-Aḥmar and ʿIkrimāh have already been shown to be unreliable.³

c. Aḥmad ibn Ḥasan al-Qaṭṭān — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Ḥātim — Hārūn ibn Isḥāq al-Hamadānī — ʿAbdah ibn Sulaymān — Kāmil ibn al-ʿAlā — Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit — Saʿīd ibn Jubayr — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās, that the Prophet

Al-Jawāhirī states that **Aḥmad ibn Ḥasan al-Qaṭṭān**, despite being a teacher of al-Ṣadūq, is Majhūl and probably an 'Āmmī.⁵

Kāmil ibn 'Alā is described by al-Māmaqānī as Majhūl, despite being an Imāmī.⁶

d. Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Saʿīd al-Hāshimī — Furāt ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Furāt al-Kūfī — Muḥammad ibn Ṭaḥīr — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Faḍl al-Hāshimī — Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq — his father — his fathers, that the Prophet المالة said...⁷

¹ Al-Mufīd, pg. 622

² Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 56 Ḥadīth. 7

³ See the discussions on Narrations: 16, 18, 20, 27

⁴ Amālī al-Şadūq, Majlis. 50 Ḥadīth. 14

⁵ Al-Mufid min Mu'jam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, pg. 25

⁶ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg. 126 (old edition)

⁷ Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 26 Ḥadīth. 8

The issues with this entire chain have already been discussed.1

34. The narration ascribed to ʿAlī al-Riḍā, from his father, from his fathers

This has been recorded by al-Ṣadūq by way of Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr — Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar ibn Jāmiʿ al-Ḥimyarī — his father — Yaʿqūb ibn Yazīd — Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Faḍāl — ʿAlī al-Riḍā — his father — his fathers, that the Prophet نَا اللهُ عَالَى said...²

Both problematic narrators in this chain, Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Masrūr and Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Faḍāl have already been discussed.³

35. The narration ascribed to 'Ammar ibn Yasir

This has been recorded by al-Ṭūsī — and from him by al-Majlisī — by way of Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad — Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn Khālid al-Marāghī — Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Ṣāliḥ — ʿAbd al-Aʿlā ibn Wāṣil al-Asadī — Mukhawwil ibn Ibrāhīm — ʿAlī ibn Ḥazawwar — Aṣbagh ibn Nubātah — ʿAmmār ibn Yāsir, that the Prophet

Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn Khālid al-Marāghī, Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Ṣāliḥ, ʿAbd al-Aʿlā ibn Wāṣil al-Asadī and Mukhawwil ibn Ibrāhīm are all Majhūl and have no narrator data on record in the books of Rijāl.⁵

Al-Māmaqānī states that 'Alī ibn Ḥazawwar is weak and unreliable.6

¹ See discussions on Narration no. 17

² Amālī al-Ṣadūq, Majlis. 94 Ḥadīth. 12

³ See discussions under Narrations:18 and 25

⁴ Amālī al-Tūsī, Majlis. 7 Ḥadīth. 5; al-Biḥār, vol. 39 pg. 298

⁵ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl: vol. 4 pg. 366, vol. 5 pg. 365, vol. 7 pg. 138, vol. 7 pg. 389

⁶ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg. 106 (old edition)

Shīʿah scholars have been skeptical about the $Am\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ of al-Ṭūsī. It is not well-established that it is his work. Āṣif Mūhsinī expressed his reservations about it stating that it is questionable whether al-Majlisī actually had a good copy of it since the book was expremely rare.¹

36. The narration ascribed to 'Alī acceptation's

This narration is found in the $Am\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ of al-Ṭūsī — and from him recorded by al-Majlisī — by way of Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad — $Ab\bar{u}$ al-Ḥasan 'Alī ibn Muḥammad al-Kātib — Ḥasan ibn 'Alī al-Za'farānī — Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥāmmad al-Thaqafī — 'Uthmān ibn Abī Shaybah — 'Amr ibn Maymūn — Ja'far ibn Muḥammad — his father — his grandfather — Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, that the Prophet

'Alī ibn Muḥammad al-Kātib is Majhūl and there is no record of him in the books of Rijāl.'

Al-Jawāhirī shares the view of al-Khū'ī that '**Uthmān ibn Abī Shaybah is Majhūl**.⁴

Al-Māmaqānī states that 'Amr ibn Maymūn is Majhūl.5

37. The narration ascribed to Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī

'Abd al-Ḥusayn ran out of narrations from primary sources so he became resourceful. He sourced narrations from later collections which cite earlier sources and made as if he had quoted the narrations from the

¹ Buhūth fī 'Ilm al-Rijāl. Pg. 514

² Amālī al-Tūsī, Majlis. 7 Ḥadīth. 31; al-Biḥār, vol. 38 pg. 155

³ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol. 5 pg. 444

⁴ Al-Mufid, pg. 368

⁵ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, vol. 1 pg. 113

primary source. The book which he cites, *Nuṣūṣ ʿalā al-A'immah*, was never published. The only complete manuscript of the book is claimed to have been in the possession of Muhammad ibn Murtadā al-Kashmīrī in Najaf.¹

How would 'Abd al-Ḥusayn have access to the book, then too, to expect the Shaykh al-Azhar to research the topic? This is further confirmation of the forged nature of the correspondence between the two parties.

Al-Majlisī mentions this narration citing *Kifāyat al-Athar*, by al-Khazzāz al-Qummī. Hāshim al-Baḥrānī records it in *Ghāyat al-Marām* as well.²

The following narrators appear in the chain:

Dāwūd ibn Abī 'Awf. Al-Jawāhīrī endorses al-Khū'īs findings; that Dāwūd ibn 'Awf remains Majhūl, and that the crediting of Ibn 'Uqdah cannot be proven with a reliable chain.³

Sufyān ibn Saʿīd al-Thawrī. ʿAllāmah al-Ḥillī says, "He is not from our companions." He included him in the second part of his Rijāl which is reserved for unreliable and unknown narrators.⁴

Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh is considered an ʿĀmmī.5

38. The narration ascribed to 'Imr \bar{a} n ibn Ḥuṣayn

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has referenced this to the unpublished work, *Nuṣūṣ al-A'immah*. It can be found in the work of Hāshim al-Baḥrānī, *Ghāyat al-Marām*.⁶

¹ Al-Dharī ah, vol. 22 pg. 179

² Ghāyat al-Marām, narration. 55

³ Al-Mufid, pg. 214

⁴ Al-Khulāṣah, pg. 356; al-Rijāl by Ibn Dāwūd, pg. 248

⁵ Qāmūs al-Rijāl, vol. 16 pg. 14

⁶ Narration. 56

Appearing in this chain is 'Alī ibn Ḥazawwar. Al-Māmaqānī states that he is weak and unreliable.¹

Another problematic narrator is 'Abd al-'Azīz ibn Isḥāq ibn Ja'far; whom al-Māmaqānī says is Majhūl despite being and Imāmī.²

39. The narration ascribed to 'Alī

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has referenced this to the unpublished work, *Nuṣūṣ al-A'immah*. It can be found in *Bihār al-Anwār* of al-Majlisī.³

Appearing in this chain is **Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlwān**, who has previously been shown to be unreliable.⁴

40. The narration ascribed to Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has referenced this to the unpublished work, *Nuṣūṣ al-A'immah*. It can be found in *Kifāyat al-Athar* by al-Khazzāz al-Qummī,⁵ and in *Biḥār al-Anwār* by al-Majlisī.⁶

Appearing in this chain are Ḥarīz ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥadhdhā', of whom there is no record of him in the books of Rijāl; and ʿAbd Allāh ibn Ibrāhīm al-Ghifārī who is either weak or Majhūl according to al-Māmaqānī.

¹ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg. 106 (old edition)

² Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg. 86 (old edition)

³ *al-Biḥār*, vol. 36 pg. 335

⁴ See discussions under Narration no. 13

⁵ Kifāyat al-Athar, pg. 176

⁶ Al-Biḥār, vol. 36 pg. 344

⁷ Mustadrakāt 'Ilm al-Rijāl, vol. 2 pg. 327

⁸ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl, pg. 87 (old edition)

Conclusion

Not a single narration from the forty cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is free from criticism by Shīʿī standards. If his own scholars are not prepared to accept these narrations how does he expect a Sunnī to accept them. Are these the narrations that the Imāmah of 'Alī was rests on within the Shīʿī legacy?

Letter 63

Safar 3, 1330

- I. Shi'a Texts Rejected as Testimonials
- II. Why Have Others Refrained from Quoting Them?
- III. Asking for More Texts
- 1. As long as these texts are not quoted by nonShi'as, Sunnis are not bound to accept them as testimonials.
- 2. Had they been verified, why has nobody else quoted them?
- 3. Let us refer only to the hadith narrated by Sunnis in this regard,

Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 64

Safar 4, 1330

- I. Above Texts were Quoted upon Request
- II. Sahihs are Proofs against the Majority

III. Not Quoted Because of Their Existence in Our Own Sahihs

- 1. We have cited those texts in order to acquaint you with them and in response to your own request.
- 2. Our own testimonial against your argument is what we have already quoted from your own sahihs.
- 3. The reason why those texts were not included is due to the prejudice, with which we are familiar, of those who concealed their grudge, and hid their animosity, from the party of Pharaoh during the early epoch of Islam, worshippers of authority and domination who spent everything they possessed of might and means to hide the contributions of Ahl Al-Bayt and put out their light in every land.

They forced people to deny their feats and attributes through means and methods of both tempting and terrorizing, through their wealth once, and through their positions and political stature another. They bestowed their favours upon those who denied these merits, dismissing, banishing or even murdering those who believed in them.

You know that the texts related to the imamate, and the promises of caliphate, are held with apprehension by those who fear that such texts may jeopardize their thrones or undermine the very foundations of their governments. The safety of these texts against the tampering of such people, of that of their followers and flatterers, and their ability to reach us through many sources and methods, is, indeed, a miracle testifying

to their own truth. This is so due to the fact that those who denied the status of Ahl Al-Bayt, usurped the positions rightly and divinely assigned to them, used to incur the worst punishment upon anyone who showed love for Ahl Al-Bayt.

They would shave his beard, convey him on the back of a donkey and tour the marketplaces, humiliating him, beating him and depriving him of even the most simple and basic human right, till he would lose all hope for justice from those rulers and despond of having friends in the community.1

So, if anyone spoke well of 'Ali 'Ali', he would be disowned, and retribution would fall upon him; therefore, his possessions would be confiscated, and he would be executed. How many tongues praising 'Ali were cut off? How many eyes which looked at him with respect were gouged? How many hands which pointed out to him were amputated? How many feet which walked towards him affectionately were sawed? How many homes of his followers were burnt? And how many of their families were banished...?

Among the narrators of hadith and "protectors of the legacy" were people who worshipped those monarchs and tyrants as well as their rulers other than worshipping Allah, the Exalted, the Sublime, and they sought nearness to them with all their resources of scholarship, thus distorting, testifying for the authenticity of this or against the authenticity of that, just like many whom we see these days of flatterers among shaykhs, hired scholars, bad judges who race to please the rulers by endorsing their policies, be they just or unjust, calling their edicts correct, be they truly correct or corrupt; so, the ruler does not even have to ask them for a verdict in support of his regime or to indict his opponents, for they do so according to his own wish and according to the requirements of his policy, even if this means opposing the Book and the Sunnah, thus violating the nation's consensus, out of their own eagerness to safeguard their positions, or due to their coveting of a position they aspire to acquire. What a distance separates these from those!

The latter did not value their governments, while the others needed their monarchs so badly, since they would use them to fight Allah and His Messenger. For this reason, they enjoyed with the monarchs and rulers a special lofty status, and their word was heeded; therefore, they commanded authority and prestige, and they were fanatical against the accurate ahadith if the latter pointed out to an attribute of 'Ali or of other members of the household of Prophethood; so, they would reject it strongly, dropping it violently, attributing to its narrators Rafidism - and Rafidism is the worst vice according in their judgment. This is their policy towards the traditions lauding 'Ali, especially if they are held in high esteem by the Shi'as.

As regarding the flatterers, these have had friends in the specially high class in every land; they would speak highly of them, and they have for followers secular scholars who would publicize their views, from among those who make a show of asceticism and piety, among the leaders and tribal chiefs.

When the latter hear what they say regarding rejecting those authentic ahadith, they would hold their statements as gospelrevealed and would publicize them among the commoners and the ignorant, thus making them wellknown in every land and using them as principles upheld in every time. There is another group of people who were custodians of hadith in those days, and who were forced by fear to overlook the ahadith praising 'Ali and Ahl Al-Bayt'

If those poor folks were asked about what those flatterers were saying regarding rejecting the accurate sunan containing 'Ali's contributions and those of Ahl Al-Bayt '***, they would fear, if they told the general public of what they knew, that a blind, deaf and dumb dissension might occur.

They were, therefore, forced out of fear to seek shelter by sidetracking the subject for fear of being rebuked by the flatterers and those who publicize

for them, and for fear of those who repeat their words like parrots from among the populace and ignorant commoners.

Kings and rulers ordered people to denounce the Commander of the Faithful. They pressured them to do so once by tempting them with money, and once by threatening them with their armies and dreadful promises of retribution, thus forcing them to belittle him and his lineage, so much so that they painted a disgusting picture of him in their books and narrated ahadith whereby ears feel offended, making the cursing of his name from the pulpits a tradition followed by the Muslims during both 'Iids and on Fridays.

The Light of Allah cannot be put out, and the contributions of His walis cannot be hidden; otherwise, those traditions would not have reached us through the sources of both groups, accurately and explicitly implying his caliphate. No texts are more consecutively reported than the texts in his praise, and I, by Allah, wonder about the favours which He has bestowed upon 'Ali ibn Abu Talib, His servant and the brother of His Messenger, how his light pierced through the clouds, the pitch of darkness, and survived the tumultuous waves, letting its ray shine on the world like midday sun!

4. You may refer, in addition to all the irrefutable proofs you have heard, to the text of inheritance, for it by itself is an irrefutable proof, Wassalam.

Sincere	ely,			
Sh				

Footnotes

1. Refer to page 15, Vol. 3, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by Ibn Abul-Hadid, and you will find out what atrocities befell Ahl al-Bayt مناسبة and their Shi'ahs in those days. Imam al-Baqir المناسبة has made a statement in this regard to which we refer the researchers.

Discussions

The character of the Shaykh al-Azhar is depicted obtuse. What would motivate him to ask for the texts from the Shīʿī sources only to later object by stating that these are inadmissible? It doesn't require much of an imagination to see through the propaganda.

It comes without surprise that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's scathing attack on the Ṣaḥābah is a mere smokescreen to camouflage the lies and forgeries in his own tradition. The real reason why many of these narrations don't appear in the Sunnī Ḥadīth collections is self-evident.

History of Shīʿī distortions

The mendacity of the Shīī was known early on. Shortly after the demise of 'Alī some of the judgements which were ascribed to 'Alī were presented to 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbās were. When he studied this document he only transcribed a small portion of it and exclaimed "By Allah, these are not the judgements of 'Alī; or else he went astray."

He meant, by this statement, that it is not possible for 'Alī to have judged in accordance to what was found on that document; someone had forged these verdicts in the name of 'Alī to have judged. Ahl al-Sunnah cannot be blamed for this.

Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī informs us that when people innovated after the demise of 'Alī www, one of his true companions exclaimed, "May Allah destroy them! What knowledge they have corrupted!"²

The reality of the Shīʿah playing a pivotal role in the forgery of Ḥadīth is attested to by their own scholars. The Shīʿī scholar, Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, writes in his commentary of Nahj al-Balāghah:

¹ Muqaddimah Şaḥīḥ Muslim

² Ibid

The root of fabrications in hadīth of virtues originated with the Shīʿah. Indeed, they fabricated abundant Aḥādīth about their companion (ʿAlī) in the early stages; motivated by their enmity towards their adversaries.¹

The Shīʿī authority on Rijāl, al-Kashshī writes:

Yūnus said, "I visited Iraq and found a group of the students of Abū Jaʿfar there. I found the students of Abū ʿAbd Allāh in abundance. I heard (Ḥadīth) from them and took their books (from them) and later presented it to Abū al-Ḥasan al-Riḍā. He denied a large number of their Aḥādīth; that they could possibly be attributed to Abū ʿAbd Allāh, and said, "Indeed, Abū al-Khaṭṭāb forged a number of Aḥādīth against Abū ʿAbd Allāh. May Allah curse Abū al-Khaṭṭāb! Likewise the students of Abū al-Khaṭṭāb smuggle these narrations into the books of the students of Abū ʿAbd Allāh until this day."²

Outrageous Allegations

'Abd al-Ḥusayn attempts to draw attention away from the lack of any textual evidence which explicitly nominate 'Alī as the Prophet's successor by leveling accusations againts the earliest Muslims. He refers to the earliest Muslims as "The Party of Pharaoh," accusing them of concealing their animosity towards Ahl al-Bayt and using their power, position and wealth to blot out the true status and rank of Ahl al-Bayt. He claims that they used their financial influence to bribe people, demanding that they do not relate the narrations that jeopardize their authority; and when this approach failed they banished and murdered those who sought to mention their praise.

Despite the graphic details in describing the actions of those he accuses of bearing hatred, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn was cautious to resort to allusions when it came to identifying them. All of this without any shred of evidence or remote reference.

¹ Sharh Nahj al-Balāghah by Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, vol. 3, p. 17, Dār al-Fikr

² Rijāl al-Kashshī, p. 195

We know, with absolute certainty, that his version of history could not possibly apply to the period which preceded the Khilāfah of 'Alī . The evidence to the contrary is in such abundance that it would detract from the purpose of our discusions. However, we may point a number of incidents which provide some details that substantiate our statement, incidents which have been well-established in terms of the historical accuracy.

The Qur'an's description of the Ṣaḥābah

We know from the Qur'an that the first generation of Muslims, the Ṣaḥābah were blessed with divine approval.

The purity of their hearts and the sincerity of their faith is attested to by Allah سَنَحَاتُهُ who declared, for eternity, that He is pleased with them.

Certainly was Allah pleased with the believers when they pledged allegiance to you, [O Muḥammad], under the tree, and He knew what was in their hearts, so He sent down tranquillity upon them and rewarded them with an imminent conquest.¹

Allah attests to the fact that the Prophet's مَالَتُنَافِينَةُ Companions were believers, identifies the duty of enjoining good and forbiding evil as their hallmark features; moreover He describes them as the best of all nations. Are we to expect such a community to fail in its duty?

You, are the best nation ever brought forth for [the good of] humankind: You enjoin what is right, and you forbid what is wrong, and you believe in Allah.²

¹ Sūrah al-Fath: 18

² Sūrah Āl 'Imrān: 110

It might be said that this verse is general and could apply to the entire Ummah; the response is that the Ṣaḥabah are included by necessity since the verse would otherwise be meaningless at the time of its revelation. The verse is thus descriptive in terms of its initial audience and conditional for any later audience.

Allah has also identified them as role-models for the coming generations. After announcing His divine pleasure on the earliest Muslims, the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār, Ḥe promises His divine pleasure for those who follow the Muhājirūn and Anṣār with excellence, before promising all three groups a lofty abode in the next life. Is thise in anyway consistent with the community described by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn?

And the first forerunners [in the faith] among the Muhājirīn and the Anṣār and those who followed them with good conduct - Allah is pleased with them and they are pleased with Him, and He has prepared for them gardens beneath which rivers flow, wherein they will abide forever. That is the great attainment.¹

Allah acknowledges the struggles and pains of the Muhājirūn and Anṣār, the first group gave up their homes and wealth, the second group opened up their homes and shared their wealth. Furthermore, Allah testifies to the sincerity of their sacrifices, and that it was to earn Allah's bounty and pleasure, and to support the cause of Allah and His Messenger Allah also praises a third group who have yet to come. This group is praised for its loyalty and goodwill towards those who preceded them in faith. Were they going to endure all those difficulties only to sabotage the Prophet's Cause after his demise? This verse is a testimony of their commitment to the cause until their departure from this world; it would otherwise be redundant for the coming generations to be praised for praying for their predecessors in faith.

¹ Sūrah al-Tawbah: 100

لِلْفُقَرَآءِ الْمُهَاجِرِيْنَ الَّذِيْنَ أُخْرِجُوْا مِنْ دِيَارِهِمْ وَأَمْوَالِهِمْ يَثِتَغُوْنَ فَضْلًا مِّنَ اللَّهِ وَرِضْوَانًا وَيَنْصُرُوْنَ اللَّهَ وَرَسُوْلَهُ أُولَٰئِكَ هُمُ الصَّادِقُوْنَ وَالَّذِيْنَ تَبَوَّءُوا اللَّالَ وَالْإِيْمَانَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِمْ يُحَبُّوْنَ مَنْ هَاجَرَ إِلَيْهِمْ وَلَا يَجِدُوْنَ فِيْ صُدُوْرِهِمْ حَاجَةً مِمَّا أُوثُواْ وَيُؤْثِرُوْنَ عَلٰى أَنْفُسِهِمْ وَلَوْ كَانَ بِهِمْ خَصَاصَةٌ وَمَنْ يُوْقَ شُحَّ نَفْسِهٖ فَأُولِئِكَ هُمُ الْمُفْلِحُوْنَ وَالَّذِيْنَ جَآءُوْا مِنْ بَعْدِهِمْ يَقُولُوْنَ رَبَّنَا اغْفِرْ لَنَا وَلِإِخْوَانِنَا الَّذِيْنَ سَبَقُوْنَا بِالْإِيْمَانِ وَلَا تَجْعَلَ فِيْ قُلُوبِنَا غِلَّا لِلَّذِيْنَ أَمْنُوْا رَبَّنَا إِنَّكَ رَءُوْفٌ رَحِيْمٌ

For the poor emigrants who were expelled from their homes and their properties, seeking bounty from Allah and [His] approval and supporting Allah and His Messenger, [there is also a share]. Those are the truthful ones. And [also for] those who were settled in al-Dār [Madinah] and [adopted] the faith before them [before their emigration]. They love those who emigrated to them and find not any want in their breasts of what the emigrants were given but give [them] preference over themselves, even though they are in privation. And whoever is protected from the stinginess of his soul - it is those who will be the successful. And [there is a share for] those who came after them, saying, "Our Lord, forgive us and our brothers who preceded us in faith and put not in our hearts [any] resentment toward those who have believed. Our Lord, indeed You are Kind and Merciful."

Allah bears testimony to the mutual support and loyalty among the Muhājirūn and Anṣār

Indeed, those who have believed and emigrated and fought with their wealth and lives in the cause of Allah and those who gave shelter and aided - they are allies of one another.²

Immediately thereafter Allah affirms that they are true believers:

¹ Sūrah al-Hashr:

² Sūrah al-Anfāl: 72

Those who have believed and emigrated and fought in the cause of Allah and those who gave shelter and aided - it is they who are the believers, truly. For them is forgiveness and noble provision. 1

It might be asked: What about those who accepted Islam later on and did not undertake Hijrah? What is their situation? The previous verses refer to the Muhājirūn and Anṣār. Allah promises this group Paradise as well even though he distinguished between their status and that of the Muhājirūn and Anṣar.

Not equal among you are those who spent before the conquest [of Makkah] and fought [and those who did so after it]. Those are greater in degree than they who spent afterwards and fought. But to all Allah has promised the best [reward]. And Allah, with what you do, is Acquainted.²

Allah المنظمة informs the Prophet المنطقة that He has provided support to him through the Ṣaḥabah المنطقة. Are those whom Allah has selected to support His Prophet المنطقة so unworthy in the eyes of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn that he accuses them of chasing position and power? Furthermore, Allah المنطقة attributes the unity of their hearts to Himself. Allah repeatedly refers to Ṣaḥābah المنطقة as the believers.

It is He who supported you with His help and with the believers. And brought together their hearts. If you had spent all that is in the earth, you could not have brought their hearts together; but Allah brought them together. Indeed, He is Exalted in Might and Wise. O Prophet, sufficient for you is Allah and for whoever follows you of the believers.³

¹ Sūrah al-Anfāl: 74

² Sūrah al-Hadīd: 10 - 12

³ Sūrah al-Anfāl: 62 - 64

While 'Abd al-Ḥusayn accuses them of seeking positions through bribery, and using their wealth to conceal the status of the Ahl al-Bayt, we find that Allah praises them stating that wealth and business would never distract them from being concious of Allah:

There are men whom neither commerce nor selling divert from the remembrance of Allah, or from the establishment of the Prayer, or the giving of Zakāt; they fear a Day wherein hearts and eyes will turn.¹

The poor amongst the Ṣaḥābah were praised for their self-restraint, and the rich amongst them were praised for their spending. Are we to accept that those whose financial affairs were deserving of Divine pleasure would use their wealth at the expense of their Hereafter?

[Charity is] for the poor who have been restricted for the cause of Allah, unable to move about in the land. An ignorant [person] would think them self-sufficient because of their restraint, but you will know them by their [characteristic] sign. They do not ask people persistently [or at all]. And whatever you spend of good indeed, Allah is Knowing of it. Those who spend their wealth [in Allah 's way] by night and by day, secretly and publicly - they will have their reward with their Lord. And no fear will there be concerning them, nor will they grieve.²

Are we to imagine that those whose hearts had been united by Allah, and praised for their mutual relations would hold personal grudges and bear animosity in their

¹ Sūrah al-Nūr: 37

² Sūrah al-Baqarah: 273 - 274

hearts for one another? Could the community that was nurtured by Muḥammad bear any resembance to the account given by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn? This is a community which saw the culmination of Allah's Religion and those who were the bearers of the torch of faith which Allah swore would not be extinguished.

Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah; and those with him are forceful against the disbelievers, merciful among themselves.

You see them bowing and prostrating [in prayer], seeking bounty from Allah and [His] pleasure. Their mark is on their faces from the trace of prostration. That is their description in the Torah.

And their description in the Gospel is as a plant which produces its offshoots and strengthens them so they grow firm and stand upon their stalks, delighting the sowers - so that Allah may enrage by them the disbelievers.¹

Notice that they are not only praised in the Qur'ān but in previous scriptures as well. What a privelage!

History in perspective

If we consider both 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's version of history and compare it the the one given in the Qur'ān, the contrast is unmistakeable. While the Qur'ān provides an alternate portrait of the earliest Muslim community in general, what follows are specific incidents that are in harmony with the Qur'anic description.

¹ Sūrah al-Fath: 29

'Abd al-Ḥusayn accuses that the Ṣaḥābah of using power, position and wealth to deal a blow to the Ahl al-Bayt, whereas the complete opposite is known to be true.

When Fāṭimah والمنطقة confronted Abū Bakr about her inheritence; he related to her what he had heard from the Prophet in this regard and he assured her that the distribution of income from her father's properties would continue. Thereafter he pacified her by clearly stating that the rights of the Prophet's family deserved higher priority than that of his own family.

عن عائشة أن فاطمة عليها السلام أرسلت إلى أبي بكر تسأله ميراثها من النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم فيما أفاء الله على رسوله صلى الله عليه وسلم التي بالمدينة وفدك وما بقي من خمس خيبر فقال أبو بكر إن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال لا نورث ما تركنا فهو صدقة إنما يأكل آل محمد من هذا المال يعني مال الله ليس لهم أن يزيدوا على المأكل وإني والله لا أغير شيئا من صدقات النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم التي كانت عليها في عهد النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم ولأعملن فيها بما عمل فيها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وتقهم فتكلم أبو بكر فقال والذي نفسي بيده لقرابة رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وحقهم فتكلم أبو بكر فقال والذي نفسي بيده لقرابة رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وحقهم فتكلم أبو بكر فقال والذي نفسي بيده لقرابة رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم أحب إلي أن أصل من قرابتي

'Ā'ishah ' relates that the Prophet's ' daughter, Fāṭimah ' sent somebody to Abū Bakr asking him to give her her inheritance from the Prophet ' from what Allah had given to His Messenger ' in the form of Fay.¹ She asked for the Ṣadaqah (i.e. wealth assigned for charitable purposes) of the Prophet ' in Madīnah, and Fadak, and what remained of the Khums of Khaybar

Abū Bakr said, "The Messenger of Allah said, 'We (Prophets), are not inherited, and whatever we leave behind is a charity, but the family of Muḥammad may eat from this property, i.e. Allah's property, but they have the right to take whatever the food they need, not more.' By Allah! I will not bring any change in dealing with the Ṣadaqah of the Prophet, and I will administer it exactly as the Messenger of Allah

¹ This refers to the spoils when territory is conqured without fighting.

Then 'Alī proclaimed the Shahādah and added, "O Abū Bakr! We acknowledge your virtue." Then he ('Alī) mentioned their own relationship to the Messenger of Allah and their rights.¹ Abu Bakr responded saying, "By He in Whose Hands lies my life. Fulfilling the rights of the family of the Messenger of Allah is more beloved to me than the rights of my own family!."

Moreover, Abū Bakr فَعَلَيْكَ is on record saying:

Show reverence to Muḥammad by honouring his family members.3

Abū Bakr maintained excellent relations with all the members of Ahl al-Bayt. He was even seen carrying the son of 'Alī www, Ḥasan www. Ḥasan mounted the shoulders of Abū Bakr and Abū Bakr would amuse him. All of this in the presence of 'Alī www who also shared in the laughter.

'Uqbah ibn Ḥarith said that he had seen Abū Bakr walking outside after having prayed 'Asr. Whilst walking he saw Ḥasan playing with other children. He lifted him onto his shoulders and said, "By my father he resembles the Prophet; he does not resemble 'Alī." All the while 'Alī was laughing.4

The version of this narration in Musnad Aḥmad clarfies that this happened a few days after the Prophet's مَالْسَعَةُ وَعُلَامُ passing.⁵ It also states that 'Alī عَنْفَاتُهُ was walking

¹ This is consistent with what the Prophet مَا تَعَالَمُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ stated at Ghadīr Khumm.

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَثَالِمُعُتَادِينَا ; Ḥadīth no. 3712

³ Ibid

⁴ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Manāgib, Bāb Sifat al-Nabī مَثَالِتُعَالِّ Hadīth no: 3542

⁵ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 1 pg. 213, Ḥadīth no: 40

alongside Abū Bakr from the Masjid after 'Asr prayer, thus confirming that 'Alī from the rest of the Ṣaḥābah he he was present and active in the community, not hiding away and biding his time as some allege.

'Umar was the first to institute state registers in Islam. He recorded the names of people according to their tribes and assigned them stipends. Ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī elaborates on how the Dīwān was established during the Khilāfah of 'Umar was:

هو أول من دون للناس في الإسلام الدواوين، وكتب الناس على قبائلهم، وفرض لهم العطاء.

حدثني الحارث، قال: حدثنا ابن سعد، قال: حدثنا محمد بن عمر، قال: حدثني عائذ بن يحيى، عن أبي الحويرث، عن جبير بن الحويرث بن نقيد، أن عمر بن الخطاب رضي الله عنه استشار المسلمين في تدوين الدواوين، فقال له علي بن أبي طالب: تقسم كل سنة ما اجتمع إليك من مال، فلا تمسك منه شيئا وقال عثمان بن عفان: أرى مالا كثيرا يسع الناس، وإن لم يحصوا حتى تعرف من أخذ ممن لم يأخذ، خشيت أن ينتشر الأمر فقال له الوليد بن هشام بن المغيرة: يا أمير المؤمنين قد جئت الشام، فرأيت ملوكها قد دونوا ديوانا، وجندوا جندا، فدون ديوانا، وجند جندا فأخذ بقوله، فدعا عقيل بن أبي طالب ومخرمة بن نوفل وجبير بن مطعم، وكانوا من نساب قريش - فقال: اكتبوا الناس على منازلهم، فكتبوا فبدءوا ببني هاشم، ثم أتبعوهم أبا بكر وقومه، ثم عمر وقومه على الخلافة، فلما نظر فيه عمر قال: لوددت والله أنه هكذا، ولكن ابدءوا بقرابه رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم، الأقرب فالأقرب، حتى تضعوا عمر حيث وضعه الله

حدثني الحارث، قال: حدثنا ابن سعد، قال: أخبرنا محمد بن عمر، قال: حدثني أسامة بن زيد بن أسلم، عن أبيه، عن جده، قال: رأيت عمر بن الخطاب رضي الله عنه حين عرض عليه الكتاب، وبنو تيم على إثر بني تيم، فأسمعه يقول:

ضعوا عمر موضعه، وابدء وا بالأقرب فالأقرب من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلّم، فجاءت بنو عدي إلى عمر، فقالوا: أنت خليفة رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم، قال: أو خليفة أبي بكر، وأبو بكر خليفة رسول الله، قالوا: وذاك، فلو جعلت نفسك حيث جعلك هؤلاء القوم! قال: بخ بخ بني عدي! أردتم الأكل على ظهري، وأن أذهب حسناتي لكم! لا والله حتى تأتيكم الدعوة، وأن أطبق عليكم الدفتر ولو أن تكتبوا في آخر الناس، إن لي صاحبين سلكا طريقا، فإن خالفتهما خولف بي، والله ما أدركنا الفضل في الدنيا، ولا نرجو من الآخرة من ثواب الله على ما عملنا الا بمحمد صلى الله عليه وسلّم، فهو شرفنا، وقومه أشرف العرب، ثم الأقرب، ان العرب شرفت برسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلّم ...

He was the first to institute the state registers for the people in Islam. He recorded the [names of] people according to their tribes and assigned them stipends.

Jubayr ibn Ḥuwayrith relates that when 'Umar sought the advice of the Muslims on the matter of establishing state registers. 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib advised him to distribute all the wealth that accrued to him every year, without keeping any.

'Uthmān ibn 'Affān remarked on the large amount of wealth that was coming to the people in ample quantities. He said, "If they are not subjected to an official census so that you know who has received [wealth] and those who have not, I am afraid things will get out of hand."

Al-Walīd ibn Hishām ibn al-Mughīrah said to him, "O Amīr al-Mu'minīn, I have been to Syria and seen how the rulers there have instituted a state register and conscripted a regular army. Why not do the same?"

'Umar took his advice and summoned 'Aqīl ibn Abī Ṭālib¹, Makhramah ibn Nawfal, and Jubayr ibn Muṭʿim – they were most knowedgeable about the genealogy of Quraysh – telling them to register people according to their ranks. So they made the registers, beginning with Banū Hāshim, followed by Abū Bakr and his family, then 'Umar and his family as the first two Khulafā. When 'Umar looked into (the matter), he said, "I would have hoped for it to be thus, but begin with the relatives of the Messenger of Allah All

According to al-Harith, who relates from Ibn Sa'd, from Muḥammad ibn 'Umar, from Usāmah ibn Zayd ibn Aslam, from his father, from his

¹ The brother of 'Alī aratis.

^{2 &#}x27;Umar effectively refused to allow his position as the Khalīfah to promote his own name in the register and is insisting that relationship to the Prophet alone should be the criterion of order of listing.

grandfather who says: I saw 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, when it was being proposed to him that he should register the people, with Banū Taym¹ coming after Banū Hashim,² and Banū ʿAdī³ coming after Banū Taym, and I could hear him say, "Place 'Umar down in the appropriate sequence! Begin with the closest related to the Messenger of Allah 'then the next."

Then Banū 'Adī came to 'Umar and said, "You are the Khalīfah of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ."

He replied, "Or the Khalīfah of Abū Bakr; and Abū Bakr was the Khalīfah of the Messenger of Allah "This is also correct," they replied, "What if you placed yourself where these people [who are carrying out the registration] place you?" "Bravo, Bravo, Banū 'Adī," he remarked (sarcastically), "You want to eat off my back! You want me to give over my righteous deeds to you! No, indeed, [you must wait] until the you are called, even if you come last in the register, even if you are registered after everyone else. I have two companions who have gone down a [particular] road already. If I am at variance with them, I will be led off in another direction. Indeed, we have achieved excellence only in this world, and we can only hope for Allah's reward in the Hereafter for what we have done, because of Muḥammad "Feile". He is our nobility, his family are the noblest of the Arabs, then the closest related to him, then the next. The Arabs are noble through the Messenger of Allah

Another interesting point to be gleaned from this passage is that 'Alī was consulted on state affairs by 'Umar was. Would 'Alī was give counsel to the 'Party of Pharaoh'?

¹ The tribe of Abū Bakr المُعَلِّمُةُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَ

² The Prophet's مَثَلَقَعُتُكِ وَسَلَّةُ tribe: Ahl al-Bayt.

³ The tribe of 'Umar at the same of the tribe of 'Umar at the same of the same

⁴ Meaning that he would be trading his pious actions for his family's benefit.

⁵ Tārīkh al-Tabarī, vol. 4 pgs 209-210

This narration does not only appear in the *Tārīkh* of al-Ṭabarī, but it is confirmed by the Shīʿī scholar Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd in commentary of *Nahj al-Balāghah*,¹ and the Shīʿī historian al-Yaʻqūbī in his work on history.²

Furthermore, when assigning their stipends 'Umar 'www fixed the amount for all the sons of the participants at Badr at two-thousand each; except for Ḥasan and Ḥusayn 'www who were allocated the same amount as their father, five-thousand each. 'Umar 'www made this exception for them due to their relationship to the Prophet 'Similarly, he fixed the stipend of 'Abbās 'www, who fought on the side of the Mushrikīn at Badr, at five-thousand, because he was the Prophet's 'worcle.'

Marvel at 'Umar's love for the Ahl al-Bayt in the manner in which he distributed the treasures of Khosrow after the conquests of Persia. Ibrāhīm ibn 'Abd al-Rahmān ibn 'Awf relates:

أخبرنا عبد الرزاق، عن معمر، عن الزهري، عن إبراهيم بن عبد الرحمن بن عوف، قال: لما أتي عمر بكنوز كسرى، قال له عبد الله بن الأرقم الزهري ألا تجعلها في بيت المال حتى تقسمها قال لا يظلها سقف حتى أمضيها فأمر بها، فوضعت في صرح المسجد، فباتوا يحرسونها فلما أصبح أمر بها فكشف عنها فرأى فيها من الحمراء والبيضاء ما يكاد يتلألأ منه البصر قال فبكى عمر فقال له عبد الرحمن بن عوف ما يبكيك يا أمير المؤمنين فوالله إن كان هذا ليوم شكر، ويوم سرور، ويوم فرح فقال عمر كلا إن هذا لم يعطه قوم إلا ألتي بينهم العداوة والبغضاء ثم قال أنكيل لهم بالصاع أم نحثو فقال على بل احثوا لهم ثم دعا حسن بن على أول الناس فحثا له ثم دعا حسينا ثم أعطى الناس

When the treasures of Khosrow reached 'Umar, 'Abd Allah ibn Arqam al-Zuhrī said to him, "Will you not place this in the Bayt al-Māl until you distribute it?"

He replied, "No roof ought to shelter it until I distribute it."

¹ Sharh Nahj al-Balāghah, vol. 3 pg. 176

² Tārīkh al-Ya'qūbī, vol. 2 pg. 153

³ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 3 pg. 213

He then ordered for it to be placed inside the Masjid and there were people who stood guard over it during the night. The next morning they removed the cover and they saw the red and white (gold and silver) which would make a person's eyes sparkle; but 'Umar began to weep.

'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Awf asked him, "What makes you cry O Amīr al-Mu'minīn? Today is a day of thanksgiving, a day of joy and celebration."

'Umar responded, "On the contrary! No nation has been given this (wealth) except that it soon thereafter hatred and animosity is cast upon them."

He then said, "Shall we measure it with a Sā' or distribute it by handfuls?"

'Alī www said, "We rather distributed it by hand."

He ('Umar) called for Ḥasan ibn 'Alī is first, and gave him his share, then he called for Ḥusayn ibn 'Alī is and gave him his share, them he began to distribute it among the (rest of the) people.

Again, this narration confirms both the fact that 'Alī ''ééé' was consulted on state matters, and that 'Umar ''care accepted his suggestions. We can clearly see that 'Umar ''observed the rights of Ahl al-Bayt, especially with the Prophet's grandsons. He insisted that these two flowers from the Prophet's garden received their share before anyone else. How noble are those hands that distributed these spoils and how precious are those hands that were the first to receive!

While the military campaigns in Persia were gaining momentum during the Khilāfah of 'Umar , the Persian elite were busy collaberating among themselves and was in the process of preparing an army in excess of fifty-thousand fighters. The governors of the garrison towns in 'Irāq began writing to 'Umar

¹ Muşannaf 'Abd al-Razzāq vol. 11 pg. 100

informing him of the situation. It occurred to 'Umar that he ought to be part of the offensive against this huge Persian army. He felt that his mind would be more at ease if he were on the frontlines. However, before making his decision he put his idea before the people of his Shūra which included 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān, Ṭalḥah ibn 'Ubayd Allah, Zubayr ibn al-'Awwām 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Awf, 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, and 'Abbās كالله كالمنافقة المنافقة المنافق

'Uthmān, Ṭalḥāh, Zubayr, and 'Abd al-Raḥmān deliberated on the matter among themselves and were the first to announce their view. They felt that 'Umar ought not go personally to the front, rather it would be prefereable if he remained in Madīnah and assisted the army with his strategies and prayed for their victory. Al-Ṭabarī records the speech made by 'Alī which endorsed their opinion.

قال: فقام علي بن أبي طالب فقال: أصاب القوم يا أمير المؤمنين الرأي، وفهموا ما كتب به إليك، وإن هذا الأمر لم يكن نصره ولا خذلانه لكثرة ولا قلة، هو دينه الذي أظهر، وجنده الذي أعز، وأيده بالملائكة، حتى بلغ ما بلغ، فنحن على موعود من الله، والله منجز وعده، وناصر جنده، ومكانك منهم مكان النظام من الخرز، يجمعه ويمسكه، فإن انحل تفرق ما فيه وذهب، ثم لم يجتمع بحذافيره أبدا والعرب اليوم وإن كانوا قليلا فهي كثير عزيز بالإسلام، فأقم واكتب إلى أهل الكوفة فهم أعلام العرب ورؤساؤهم، ومن لم يحفل بمن هو أجمع وأحد وأجد من هؤلاء فليأتهم الثلثان وليقم الثلث، واكتب إلى أهل البصرة أن يمدوهم ببعض من عندهم].

'Alī stood up and said, "The people have arrived at the right decision, O Amīr al-Mu'minīn. Victory will not be determined by superior numbers in one army nor will defeat come about because of inferior numbers in the other army. Rather it is His religion, which He has caused to prevail; and His army, wherein He has granted might and which He has strengthened with His angels, that caused it to reach where it has reached. We ought to rely on the promise of victory from Allah. Allah will fulfill His promise and He will grant victory to His army.

For them [the Persians] you are like the string on which the beads of a necklace are threaded and held together. When the string is broken, all

the beads on it will scatter and be lost, and they will never be gathered together again. Although few in number, today the Arabs are numerous and powerful through Islam. So stay [in Madīnah] and write to the people of Kūfah..."

'Umar was pleased with their counsel and he expressed his admiration for it.1

Another version of the incident is worded thus:

فعاد عمر، فقال: إن هذا يوم له ما بعده من الأيام، فتكلموا، [فقام علي بن أبي طالب فقال: أما بعد يا أمير المؤمنين، فإنك إن أشخصت أهل الشام من شأمهم سارت الروم إلى ذراريهم، وإن أشخصت أهل اليمن من يمنهم سارت الحبشة إلى ذراريهم، وإنك إن شخصت من هذه الأرض انتقضت عليك الأرض من أطرافها وأقطارها، حتى يكون ما تدع وراءك أهم إليك مما بين يديك من العورات والعيالات، أقرر هؤلاء في أمصارهم، واكتب إلى أهل البصرة فليتفرقوا فيها ثلاث فرق، فلتقم فرقة لهم في حرمهم وذراريهم، ولتقم فرقة في أهل عهدهم، لئلا ينتقضوا عليهم، ولتسر فرقة إلى إخوانهم بالكوفة مددا لهم، إن الأعاجم إن ينظروا إليك غدا قالوا: هذا أمير العرب، وأصل العرب، فكان ذلك أشد لكلبهم، وألبتهم على نفسك وأما ما ذكرت من مسير القوم فإن الله هو أكره لمسيرهم منك، وهو أقدر على تغيير ما يكره، وأما ما ذكرت من عددهم، فإنا لم نكن نقاتل فيما مضى بالكثرة، ولكنا كنا نقاتل بالنصر].

فقال عمر: أجل والله

'Umar returned to the pulpit and said, "Today is a day that will be decisive for the Muslims. Speak your minds."

Then 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib stood up and said, "Listen O Amīr al-Mu'minīn. If you order the people of Syria to leave their country, then their children will be an easy target for the Byzantines, and if you order the people of Yemen to leave theirs, the Ethiopians will pounce on their children. And if you depart from this land [al-Madīnah], rebellions may break out against your authority from all sides to the point that what you left behind, namely your wives and children, may become more important to you than what lies ahead of you. Let those tribesmen stay in their garrison cities,

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 4 pg. 123

and write to the people of Baṣrah ordering them to divide themselves into three groups: one to remain behind to protect the women and children, another to remain behind to keep an eye on the conquered people who have treaties with the Muslims, lest they rebel against them, and the third to march out to their brethren in Kūfah as reinforcements for the latter. If the Persians lay eyes on you some day in the near future, they will say, 'This is the Commander of the Arabs on whom the whole nation of the Arabs depends,' and that will only increase their desire to defeat you. Thus you will have incited them against you. You also mentioned that the enemy has mobilized; Allah abhors that even more than you do, and He is more powerful to alter a situation He abhorrs. And as for the consideration you mentioned – that the enemy is so large – in the past we never had superior forces, instead we fought relying on divine support." So, 'Umar accepted this.¹

The friendly relations between 'Alī ''''' and 'Umar (a) resonates throughout these passages. Not only does 'Umar '''' call upon 'Alī '''' to discuss matters of state. But 'Alī '''' appears to endorse the entire mission and deems it successful and worthy of Allah's divine aid. 'Alī ''' sees the worthiness of Allah's promises in the cause that 'Umar ''' presides over. Moreover, his concern for 'Umar ''' and understanding of the role of the Khalīfah 'Umar is mutually exclusive with the concept of 'Waṣiyyah which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is preparing to argue soon. This would have been the perfect opportunity for 'Alī ''' to assume control of state had he considered himself passed over.

Prior to this, in previous campaigns, 'Umar conferred responsibility of Madīnah to 'Alī is in his absence.

Al-Ṭabarī relates the events of the year 14 A.H:

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 4 pg. 125

أيسير أم يقيم وكانوا إذا أرادوا أن يسألوه عن شيء رموه بعثمان أو بعبد الرحمن بن عوف، وكان عثمان يدعى في إمارة عمر رديفا – قالوا: والرديف بلسان العرب الرجل الذي بعد الرجل، والعرب تقول ذلك للرجل الذي يرجونه بعد رئيسهم – وكانوا إذا لم يقدر هذان على علم شيء مما يريدون، ثلثوا بالعباس، فقال عثمان لعمر: ما بلغك؟ ما الذي تريد؟ فنادى: الصلاة جامعة فاجتمع الناس إليه، فأخبرهم الخبر ثم نظر ما يقول الناس، فقال العامة: سر وسر بنا معك، فدخل معهم في رأيهم، وكره أن يدعهم حتى يخرجهم منه في رفق، فقال: استعدوا وأعدوا فإني سائر إلا أن يجيء رأي هو أمثل من ذلك ثم بعث إلى أهل الرأي، فاجتمع إليه وجوه أصحاب النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم وأعلام العرب، فقال: أحضروني الرأي فإني سائر فاجتمعوا جميعا، وأجمع ملؤهم على أن يبعث رجلا من اصحاب رسول الله ص ويقيم، ويرميه بالجنود، فإن كان الذي يشتهي من الفتح، فهو الذي يريد ويريدون، وإلا أعاد رجلا وندب جندا آخر، وفي ذلك ما يغيظ العدو، ويرعوي المسلمون، ويجيء نصر الله بإنجاز موعود الله فنادى عمر: الصلاة جامعة، فاجتمع

الناس إليه، وأرسل إلى علي، وقد استخلفه على المدينة، فأتاه، وإلى طلحة وقد بعثه على المقدمة، فرجع إليه، وجعل على المجنبتين الزبير وعبد الرحمن بن عوف، فقام في الناس فقال: إن الله عز وجل قد جمع على الإسلام أهله، فألف بين القلوب، وجعلهم فيه إخوانا، والمسلمون فيما بينهم كالجسد لا يخلو منه شيء من شيء أصاب غيره، وكذلك يحق على المسلمين ان يكونوا أمرهم شورى بينهم وبين ذوي الرأي منهم، فالناس تبع لمن قام بهذا الأمر، ما اجتمعوا عليه ورضوا به لزم الناس وكانوا فيه تبعا لهم، ومن أقام بهذا الأمر تبع لأولي رأيهم ما رأوا لهم ورضوا به لهم من مكيدة في حرب كانوا فيه تبعا لهم يا ايها الناس، إني إنما كنت كرجل منكم حتى صوفني ذوو الرأي منكم عن الخروج، فقد رأيت أن أقيم وأبعث رجلا، وقد أحضرت هذا الأمر، من قدمت ومن خلفت: وكان على خليفته على المدينة، وطلحة على مقدمته بالأعه ص، فأحضه هما ذلك

'Umar set out on the first day of the month of Muḥarram of the year 14 and halted near a spring called Ṣirār. He established a camp there as the people did not know whether he wanted to go farther or to stay.

And, if 'Uthmān and 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Awf www could not get the information that they required, they directed the question for the third time to the Prophet's uncle, 'Abbās www.

'Uthmān said to 'Umar , "What has come to your knowledge? What is it that you want to do?"

'Umar gave the call for a congregational prayer, the people gathered around him, and he passed the information to them. Then he considered what the people had to say. The general troops said, "Set out and take us with you."

He appeared to share their view and did not want to dissociate himself from them and he said, "Prepare yourself and prepare your provisions and equipment, for I am about to set out unless a better idea better comes up."

Then he sent for the men of sound judgment [Ahl al-Ra'y]. Prominent Companions of the Prophet and Arab notables gathered around him.

He said, "Let me have your opinion, for I am about to set out."

All of them assembled and unanimously decided that he should stay, send out a man from the Companions of the Prophet , and provide him with troops. If the desired victory should be attained, then this is what all of them wanted; if not, he would recall the man and recruit another army. This would enrage the enemy; the Muslims would regain their strength, and Allah's victory would be achieved through the fulfillment of His promises.

'Umar called for congregational prayer, and the people gathered around him. He sent for 'Alī whom he had appointed to be his deputy in Madīnah, and 'Alī came to him; and he also sent for Ṭalḥah whom he had sent to command the vanguard, and he returned to him [as well]. On the two wings of the army he appointed al-Zubayr and 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Awf who.

'Umar stood up [to address] the people and said, "Allah has united the people of Islam, reconciled their hearts, and made them brethren. In

all matters concerning them, the Muslims are like one body; no part of it remains unaffected by something that afflicts another part.

Furthermore, it behoves the Muslims that their matters be decided in consultation among them, or, rather, among the wise men among them (*Dhawū al-Ra'y*). The people are subordinate to those who undertake this command. What the latter agree upon and are satisfied with is incumbent upon the people, and the people are subordinate to them in it. And those who undertake this command are subordinate to the wise men: Whatever the latter deem appropriate and are satisfied with concerning battle strategy, the commanders are subordinate to them.

0 people! I am like one of you, so that the wise men from among you prevented me from setting out, and I saw fit to stay and to send another person (instead of me). I have summoned – for consultation on this matter—the commander of the vanguard, and the person whom I have left as my deputy in Madīnah..."

'Alī was 'Umar's deputy in Madīnah, and Ṭalḥah commanded the vanguard of the army in al-A'waṣ. 'Umar summoned both of them for consultation.'

This is not the only occasion where 'Umar 'appointed 'Alī 'appointed 'Alī 'appointed 'Alī 'appointed the Conquest of Jerusalem 'Umar 'appointed to the advice of 'Alī 'appointed him as his deputy and instructed him to lead the people in congregational Ṣalāh during his absence.

When the Muslims of Syria asked 'Umar to help them against the people of Palestine, he appointed 'Alī as his deputy and set out to reinforce them.

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 3 pgs. 479 - 481

'Alī said: "Where are going by yourself? You are heading toward a rabid enemy!"

'Umar said, "I hasten to fight the enemy before the death of 'Abbas. After al-Abbas is gone, evil will unwind and affect you like the ends of a rope." 1

The incidents that support the idea of mutual harmony and cordial relations are too many to mention under a single discussion. The Sunnī narrative of history does not see any ill-will or animosity between the Ahl al-Bayt and the Ṣaḥābah in the portray any competition or rivalry for leadership. On the contrary, they considered each other family and cared for each other in this way. How else do we explain the marriages between the Ṣaḥābah, their children and Ahl al-Bayt?

The earliest Muslims through the eyes of 'Alī deall's

In contrast to the morbid picture that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn paints of the earliest Muslim community we have the testimony of the first Imām of the Shī'ah, 'Alī ibn Abī Tālib — whose words and actions are legally binding according to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn – that the Prophet's — Companions were of excellent moral and religious standing. He praises their piety and devotion to Allah, describing their elevated stations of Taqwa and spiritual excellence.

Ibrāhīm al-Thaqafī records the following exchange between 'Alī and his own companions in his book, *al-Ghārāt*:

"O Amīr al-Mu'minīn! Inform us about your comrades."

He asked, "About which comrades of mine?"

They said, "About the Companions of Muḥammad."

He said, "All of the Aṣḥāb (Companions) of Muḥammad are my comrades." 2

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 3 pg. 608

² Al-Ghārāt vol. 1 p. 177

In *Nahj al-Balāghah*, a source accepted by the Shīʿah, the description of the Ṣaḥābah attributed to 'Alī is worded most eloquently:

لقد رايت اصحاب محمد صلى الله عليه وسلم فما ارى احدا يشبههم منكم لقد كانوا يصبحون شعثا غبرا وقد باتوا سجدا وقياما يراوحون بين جباههم وخدودهم ويقفون على مثل الجمر من ذكر معادهم كأن بين اعينهم ركب المعزي من طول سجودهم اذا ذكر الله هملت اعينهم حتى تبل جيوبهم و مادوا كما يميد الشجر يوم الربح العاصف خوفا من العقاب و رجاء للثواب

Indeed, I have seen the Aṣḥāb (Companions) of Muḥammad and I do not see anyone amongst you who resembles them. They would rise in the morning, unkempt and covered with dust, because they had spent the night in prostration and standing. They would alternate between their foreheads and their cheeks, while it appeared as if they were standing on coals, reflecting on their eventual return to the Hereafter. (The space) Between their eyes (on their foreheads) resembled the knees of goats due to their lengthy prostration. When they would remember Allah, their eyes would flow causing their bosoms to become wet. They would shake as a tree shakes on a terribly windy day, fearing punishment and hoping for reward.

It is well-documented that 'Alī ''Mari' prayed behind the Khulafā' who preceded him. This historical fact is acknowledged by both the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shī'ah.² Since this is well-established, and this could be understood to be approval from the side of 'Alī ''Mari', the early Shī'ī scholars had no recourse but to interpret his actions in a way that it would not compromise their own doctrine. They argue that this was done out of *Taqiyyah*, and that he repeated his prayers at home. The problem with this argument is the lack of evidence to support the theory of 'Alī ''Frepeating his prayers. The theory of *Taqiyyah* in this instance raises more questions than it provides answers. In the period after 'Uthmān ''Mari' a group emerged whom we refer to as *Nawāṣib*, the detractors of 'Alī ''Companions Companions

¹ Nahj al-Balāghah with the commentary of Muḥammad ʿAbduh, p. 225

² Al-Iḥtijāj, pg 53; al-Talkhīs al-Shāfī, pg 254

Nāṣibīs either. A Nāṣibī might ask, if it is plausible that ʿAlī نفي was pretending to pray behind Abū Bakr , ʿUmar and ʿUthmān, how do we know that he was not pretending to pray behind the Prophet والمنافق We seek refuge in Allah from such reproachful questions, just as we seek refuge in Him from preposterous interpretations!

It was asked of the Messenger of Allah who amongst all people were the best. He replied, "(Those) of my generation, then those who come after them, then those who come after them, then there would come a people whose testimony would precede their oath; and their oath would precede their testimony."

Similar narrations are related from 'Āi'shah (Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn) among other Companions (Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn).

If the earliest Muslims tried to blot out the status of Ahl al-Bayt how is it that the Sunnī collections of Ḥadīth have chapters on the virtues of ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib specifically, and the virtues of Ahl al-Bayt in general? Why is it that Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal placed the Musnad's of the Ahl al-Bayt immediately after the Musnads of the 'Asharah Mubashsharah? How is it that the collective narration from Ahl al-Bayt in Sunnī collections exceeds the number of narrations from Ahl al-Bayt in Twelver Shīʿah Ḥadīth collections exponentially?

Is the Shīʿī perspective of the Prophet's \vec{j} Companions so slanted that they believe that these individuals, who sacrificed their lives and their wealth for the

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Shahādāt, Ḥadīth no: 2652; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Fadā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no: 2533

cause of Islam, deliberately distorted the religion and concealed the narrations about the virtues of 'Alī *****?

Is it beyond reason to consider that an alternative narrative exists? Is it not plausible that they upheld the rights of 'Alī 'Alī', acknowledged his virtues and merits, and maintained good relations with him? If the Shī'ah clergy – 'Abd al-Ḥusayn being a prominent figure in his own time – fail to provide narrations from their own tradition, which satisfy their own criteria of acceptance, for the immediate succession of 'Alī 'Alī'; why place the blame on the Ahl al-Sunnah for 'concealing' these narrations? Were there no righteous one's among the Shī'ah who could preserve the legacy of their first Imām?

With Allah's grace, Ahl al-Sunnah have preserved dozens of narrations about the virtues of 'Alī with pristine chains of transmission. They continue to love and revere 'Alī with until this day. Loving him is part of faith; and this is narrated by the scholars of Ahl al-Sunnah with a sound chain!

It was known that the companions of 'Abd Allah ibn Mas' \bar{u} d were the only ones in K \bar{u} fah who could be trusted when it came to transmitting religious knowledge passed down from 'Al \bar{u} \bar{u} \bar{u} .

We will discover who the actual liars and fabricators were in later discussions. That being said, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has consistently revealed himself to be an epitome of his predecessors!

¹ Ibid.

Letter 65

_	_	_		_	_	_
80	tan	_	-1	- 2	'2	n
Sа	far	.).	- 1		۱.)	u

I. Requesting the Ahadith Relevant to the Inheritance

Please narrate to us the hadith of inheritance as transmitted by Sunnis,

Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 66

Safar 5, 1330

I. Ali is the Prophet's Heir

There is no doubt that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has left 'Ali with a legacy of knowledge and wisdom as much as the Almighty permitted His prophets and wasis to inherit, so much so that the Messenger of Allah has said: "I am the city of knowledge and Ali is its gate; therefore, whoever wishes to attain knowledge, let him approach through the gate."1

He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "I am the storehouse of wisdom, and 'Ali is its door... 'Ali is the gateway of my knowledge, the one who explains after me the Message with which I have been sent; loving him is indicative of genuine faith, and hating him is hypocrisy."

According to Zayd ibn Abu 'Awfah, he, peace be upon him and his progeny, has addressed 'Ali thus: "You are my brother and heir;" 2 whereupon 'Ali inquired: "And what will you bequeath unto me?" He, peace be upon him and his progeny, answered: "Whatever Prophets before me used to bequeath." In another hadith, he, peace be upon him and his progeny, according to Buraydah, has said: "The heir of my knowledge is 'Ali." 3

Refer also to the hadith on the day of warning. During the lifetime of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, 'Ali used to say: "By Allah, I am his brother, successor and cousin, and the heir of his knowledge; so, who is more worthy of all this other than myself?"4

Once 'Ali was asked: "How did you come to inherit your cousin rather than your uncle?" He answered: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, gathered the descendants of 'Abdul Muttalib, who were quite a few, and each one of them had such an appetite that would consider tree trunks edible

and would drink water though not potable, and he prepared for them a mudd of food (a dry measure approximately Tangier 46.61, about one and threequarters of a pound); yet they all ate till they were satisfied, while the food looked as if it was not touched.

Then he, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: 'O descendants of 'Abdul-Muttalib! I have been sent to you in particular, and to all people in general; so, who among you pledges to be my brother, friend and heir?' Nobody stood; so, I stood, though the youngest among the attendants, but he stood me to sit. He repeated his statement twice, and each time, I was the only one who stood up, and every time he would tell me to sit. On the third time, he shook hands with me; thus did I come to inherit my cousin instead of my uncle."5

According to alHakim's Al-Mustadrak,6 and to alThahbi's Talkhis, who both testify to its authenticity, Qatham ibn al'Abbas was asked once: "How did 'Ali come to inherit the Messenger of Allah rather than your own selves?" He answered: "It is so due to his being the foremost among us in following him, and in keeping company with him more than anyone of us."

It was wellknown that 'Ali, rather than his uncle al'Abbas or any descendant of Hashim, was the heir of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny. They accepted that as a fact, though they were informed of the reason why such inheritance was confined to 'Ali alone, who was the Prophet's cousin, rather than to al'Abbas, his uncle, or to any other uncle or relative of the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny. For this reason, they used to ask 'Ali parallel once and once Qatham, and the latter used to answer them as stated above in a way that is satisfactory to the understanding of those inquirers.

Otherwise, the answer would be that Allah, the Exalted and omniScient, looked upon the people of the earth and chose from among them Muhammad and elevated him to be the Prophet, then He cast another look and selected 'Ali and inspired to His Messenger, peace be upon him and his progeny, to take him as his heir and successor.

On page 125, Vol. 3, of Al-Mustadrak, alHakim, having quoted Qatham stating the above, says: "The judge of judges [supreme judge, or grand mufti], Abul-Hasan Muhammad ibn Salih alHashimi, has told me that he once heard Abu 'Umar the judge saying: 'I heard Isma'il ibn Ishaq the judge, having been informed of what Qatham had said, saying that a man inherits another through either a blood relationship or sincere loyalty, and men of knowledge do not dispute the fact that [under normal circumstances] a cousin does not become the heir while the uncle [his father] is still alive.'

According to such consensus, 'Ali inherited the Prophet's knowledge rather than they." As a matter of fact, chroniclers are sequential in narrating such a fact, especially through the sources of the purified progeny, and suffices us for proof is the Will and its clear texts, Wassalam.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

Footnotes

- We have quoted this hadith and the couple before it in Letter No. 48 above.
 Refer in that Letter to ahadith number 9, 10 and 11, and do not overlook our comments.
- 2. We have quoted the said hadith in Letter No. 32.
- 3. Refer to it in Letter No. 68 above.
- 4. This statement verbatim is confirmed as being 'Ali's. It is quoted by al-Hakim on page 126, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak through a narration endorsed by al-Bukhari and Muslim. Al-Thahbi, in his Talkhis al-Mustadrak, has admitted the same.

- 5. This hadith stands on firm grounds, and it is a lengthy one. It has been quoted by al-Diya' al-Maqdisi in his Al-Mukhtara, and by Ibn Jarir in his Tahthib al-Athar. It is hadith number 6155 on page 408, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. It is also quoted by al-Nisa'i on page 18 of his Al-Khasa'is al-'Alawiyya, and it is transmitted by Ibn Abul-Hadid from al-Tabari's Tarikh near the end of the commentary on the "qasi'a" sermon, page 255, Vol. 3, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah. Refer also to page 159, Vol. 1, of Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal's Musnad where you will find the same hadith conveying this meaning.
- 6. It occurs on page 125 of its third volume. It is also quoted by Ibn Abu Shaybah, and it is hadith number 6084 on page 400, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.

Discussions

Narrations 1, 2, 3 are essentially the same narration. They are merely variations of the same narration.

```
"I am the city of knowledge and 'Alī is its door,"
```

"I am the abode of wisdom and 'Alī is its door,"

"'Alī is the door to my knowledge..."

We have already discussed this narration. There is no harm in mentioning some of the Hadīth experts who considered this narration unreliable or even fabricated:

- 1. Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān (d. 198 A.H)²
- 2. Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn (d. 233 A.H) even though there are contrasting opinions attributed to him.³
- 3. Ahmad ibn Hanbal (d. 241 A.H)⁴
- 4. Al-Bukhārī (d. 256 A.H)⁵

¹ See the discussions under Letter. 48

² Kashf al-Khafā, vol. 1 pg. 235

^{3 &#}x27;Ilal Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, vol. 3 pg. 9; al-Jarḥ wal-Ta'dīl, vol. 6 pg. 99

^{4 &#}x27;Ilāl al-Marrūdhī, (308); al-Muntakhab (120)

⁵ Al-'Ilal al-Kabīr by al-Tirmidhī, (699)

- 5. Abū Zur'ah al-Rāzī (d. 264 A.H)¹
- 6. Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī (d. 277 A.H)²
- 7. Al-Tirmidh $\bar{1}$ (d. 279 A.H)³
- 8. Muṭayyin (d. 297 A.H)⁴
- 9. Al-'Uqaylī (d. 322 A.H)⁵
- 10. Ibn Ḥibbān (d. 354 A.H)6
- 11. Ibn 'Adī (d. 365 A.H)7
- 12. Al-Azdī (d. 374 A.H)8
- 13. Al-Dāraqutnī (d. 380 A.H)9
- 14. Abū Bakr ibn al-ʿArabī (d. 543 A.H)¹⁰
- 15. Ibn 'Asākir (d. 571 A. H)¹¹
- 16. Ibn al-Jawzī (d. 597 A.H)¹²
- 17. Abū 'Abd Allah al-Qurṭubī (d. 671)¹³
- 18. Al-Nawawī (d. 676 A.H)¹⁴
- 1 Al-Du'afā', vol. 1 pg. 519
- 2 Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl, vol. 8 pg. 22
- 3 Jāmi' al-Tirmidhī, Hadīth no: 3723; al-'Ilal al-Kabīr, (699)
- 4 Tārīkh Dimashq, vol. 42 pg. 381
- 5 Al-Du'afā', vol. 3 pg.149
- 6 Al-Majrūhīn, vol. 1 pg. 151, vol. 2 pg. 94
- 7 Al-Kāmil, vol. 2 pg. 341, vol. 5 pg. 67
- 8 Al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah, vol. 11 pg. 96
- 9 Al-'Ilal, vol. 3 pg. 248
- 10 Aḥkām al-Qur'ān, vol. 3 pg. 1114
- 11 Tārīkh Dimashq, vol. 42 pg. 380
- 12 Al-Mawdū'āt, vol.1 pg. 353, 355
- 13 Al-Jami' li Aḥkām al-Qur'ān, vol. 9 pg. 336
- 14 Tahdhīb al-Asmā wa al-Lughāt, vol. 1 pg. 248

```
    19. Ibn Daqīq al-ʿīd - (d. 702 A.H)¹
    20. Ibn Taymiyyah - (d. 728 A.H)²
    21. Al-Dhahabī - (d. 748 A.H)³
    22. Ibn Kathīr - (d. 774 A.H)⁴
    23. Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī - (d. 807 A.H)⁵
    24. Al-ʿAjlūnī - (d. 1162 A.H)⁶
```

25. 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Mu'allimī – (d. 1386 A.H)⁷

This list is not exhaustive, though it serves the purpose of this discussion.

The fourth narration

"You are my brother and heir..."

Those who concealed their grudge, and hid their animosity, from the party of Pharaoh during the early epoch of Islam, worshippers of authority and domination who spent everything they possessed of might and means to hide the contributions of Ahl Al-Bayt and put out their light in every land.

```
1 Al-Maqāṣid al-Ḥasanah, pg. 97
```

² Minhāj al-Sunnah, vol. 7 pg. 515-522

³ Talkhīṣ al-Mustadrak, vol. 3 pg. 126; Tārīkh al-Islām, vol. 18 pg. 268

⁴ Jāmi' al-Masānīd, Musnad ibn 'Abbās 🍪 (1940)

⁵ Majma' al-Zawā'id, vol. 9 pg. 114

⁶ Kashf al-Khafā', vol. 1 pg. 235

⁷ Ḥāshiyat al-Fawā'id al-Majmūʿah, pg. 349

⁸ Narration no. 4

⁹ Letter 64

If he is prepared to accept this narration, then he ought to retract his vile comments about the Saḥābah ******!

The narration, however, suffers from a series of flaws; the chain is interrupted, many narrators are unknown, and those who are known are weak and unreliable! Are these our 'Sihāh' that he claims is evidence against us?

The fifth narration

The Ḥadīth of Buraydah will feature in the next round of 'correspondence'. We shall discuss this narration there.

The sixth narration

He has cited what he refers to as the Ḥadīth of the *Day of Warning*. We have previously pointed out the major flaws in this narration under the heading 'The Ḥadīth of Warning his closest kin.'

The seventh narration

"By Allah, I am his brother, successor and cousin, and the heir of his knowledge..."

This is a statement attributed to 'Alī "We have proven earlier that the chain of transmission for this report is unreliable.² The details in the footnote are blatant lies. Al-Ḥākim did not grade this authentic on the criteria of al-Bukhārī or Muslim, let alone both! Al-Dhahabī did not ratify the authenticity of this narration in his $Talkh\bar{\iota}$; on the contrary he remained silent. He did, however, declare it baseless in another work of his.³

¹ See discussions on Letter 20

² See discussions on Letter 34; Narration no.15

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 3 pg. 255

The eighth narration

This is actually the **sixth narration** which he has repeated. He quotes a selected part of the Ḥadīth, creating the impression that it is a different narration, whereas it is the very Ḥadīth of *Day of Warning* which he alluded to a few lines back.

The ninth narration

This is not a Prophetic Ḥadīth. It is a declaration by Qutham ibn ʿAbbās which is contested in terms of its reliablity. It is only known by way of Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī, and from him there are two narrators: Zuhayr ibn Muʿāwiyah and Sharīk ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Nakhaʿī.¹

Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīī is known for having suffered the loss of memory towards the end his life. As such, those who relate from him prior to the memory lapse are preferred over those who narrated from him towards the end of his life.²

Zuhayr ibn Muʿāwiyah is a reliable narrator but only took Ḥadīth from Abū Isḥāq towards the end of his life. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal has criticized the narrations of Zuhayr from Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī specifically.³ Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī and Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī appear to share those reservations about the naration of Zuhayr from Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī.⁴

Sharīk ibn ʿAbd Allah al-Nakhaʿī took Ḥadīth from Abū Isḥāq early on, but his narrations were affected on account of weakness of memory, especially after being assigned the post of Qādī.⁵

Some scholars considered this narration unreliable due to these factors, whereas those who accepted it understood it to mean inheritance in terms of knowledge.

¹ Al-Mustadrak, vol. 3 pg. 125

² Al-Tagrīb, bio. 5065

³ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl, vol. 3 pg. 588; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 9 pg. 424

⁴ Ibid

⁵ See discussions under Letter 22

That does not mean that he was the exclusive heir of the Prophet; instead it refers to the fact that he was the most knowledgable from the Ahl al-Bayt. This is consistant with the reasoning provided by Qutham ibn 'Abbās ::

"Abbās ::

"Abbās

ʿAlī negated the allegation that he was given any exclusive knowledge. Abū Juḥayfah relates:

عن الشعبي قال سمعت أبا جحيفة قال :سألت عليا رضى الله عنه هل عندكم شيء ما ليس في القرآن وقال مرة ما ليس عند الناس فقال والذي فلق الحب وبرأ النسمة ما عندنا إلا ما في القرآن إلا فهما يعطى رجل في كتابه وما في الصحيفة. قلت وما في الصحيفة قال العقل وفكاك الأسير وأن لا يقتل مسلم بكافر

I asked ʿAlī, "Have you got any (exclusive knowledge) apart from the Qur'an?"

(Once he said...apart from what the people have?)

'Alī we replied, "By Him Who cause the grain split and created the soul, we have nothing except what is in the Qur'an. Other than that it is the understanding of His Book which He may gift any man with; and what is written on this scroll!"

I asked, "What is written in this scroll?"

He replied, "(The rules of) 'Aql [bloodmoney], ransoming of captives, and that a Muslim should not be killed (in Qiṣāṣ) for killing a disbeliever."

If it is a question of 'Alī فَاللَّهُ being the Prophet's أَمُ heir in knowledge, why is it that the Ahl al-Sunnah narrate more narrations from 'Alī فَاللَّهُ than what appears in the Shī ah collections?

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Diyāṭ, Ḥadīth no: 6903

exceeds the number of narrations transmitted by the Shī'ah collectively in their primary sources by way of 'Alī www was the sole heir to the Prophet's knowledge, how is it that Musnad Aḥmad comprises of close to 30000 narrations. 'Alī's was narrations in Musnad Aḥmad account for roughly three-percent of all the narrations found in it. If it were argued that this is due to prejudice; a simple comparison with the narrations of 'Umar in Musnad Aḥmad – which do not exceed 320 narrations in the entire Musnad – dismisses any allegation that this was a result of prejudice.

Let 'Abd al-Ḥusayn bring those narrations – which he alleges are Mutawātir – in this regard. We can only hope that they are nothing like the forty narrations he cited in Letter 60; those were unreliable even by Shīʿī standards!

Letter 67

Safar 6, 1330

I. Where is the Prophet's Will?

Where is the Prophet's Will?

Sunnis are not familiar with any will left for 'Ali, nor are they acquainted with any of its contents; so, please oblige and tell us its story,

Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 68

Safar 9, 1330

I. The Will's Text

The texts regarding the will are consecutively reported through the Imams of the purified progeny ; so, refer to what has been stated in this regard by others as mentioned in Letter No. 20 that quotes the statements of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, who took 'Ali purified by the neck and said: "This is my brother and successor; he shall succeed me in faring with you; therefore, listen to him and obey him."

Muhammad ibn Hamid alRazi quotes Salamah alAbrash, Ibn Ishaq, Abu Rabi'ah al-Ayadi, Ibn Buraydah, ending with the latter's father Buraydah citing the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "For every Prophet there is a successor and an heir; my successor and heir is 'Ali ibn Abu Talib." 1

In his Kabir, and through isnad to Salman al-Farisi, alTabrani quotes the latter citing the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying: "My successor, my confidant, the best man I leave behind me to fulfill my promise and implement my religion, is 'Ali ibn Abu Talib ﷺ"2

This is a clear text proving that he is the successor, and an obvious testimony that he is the best of people after the Prophet . It contains an obligatory instruction that he should succeed him, and that people should obey him, as is clear to the wise.

Abu Na'im alHafiz, in his Hilyat alAwliya',3 quotes Anas saying that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, said to him: "O Anas! The first to enter this door is the Imam of the pious, the leader of Muslims, the chief of religion, the seal of successors of prophets, and the leader of the most pious among renowned men." Anas says that 'Ali came in, and the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him

and his progeny, stood up with excitement, hugged 'Ali and said to him: "You will discharge my responsibility, convey my instructions, and explain all that in which they will dispute after me."

AlTabrani, in his AlKabir, quotes Abu Ayyub alAnsari citing the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, saying that the Prophet addressed Fatima once thus: "O Fatima! Have you not come to know that Allah, the Dear One, cast a look at the inhabitants of the earth and chose your father from among them and sent him as His Messenger, then He cast a second look and selected your husband and inspired me to marry him to you and appoint him as my successor?"4

Notice how Allah selected 'Ali عَيْسَاتِهُ from among all other inhabitants of the earth, immediately after selecting from among them the Seal of His Prophets مَا الله عليه عليه عليه عليه والمعالمة عليه المعالمة عليه المعالمة المعالمة عليه المعالمة المعا

Also see how Allah inspired His Prophet to solemnize his marriage and appoint him as his successor. See if successors of prophets were any other than the latter's own wasis. Is it fitting to push aside [when it comes to selecting a caliph] one who is the best among Allah's servants, the wasi of the master of His Prophets, and prefer someone else over him?

Is it fitting if someone else, other than he, should rule the Muslims and make him simply one of his own commoners and subjects? Is it possible, by virtue of reason, that one elected by people should be obeyed by that who was selected by Allah, just as He selected His Prophet? How is it possible that both Allah Himself and His Messenger choose him while we elect someone else?

"No believing man nor woman, after Allah and His Messenger have decreed an edict, should practice free will regarding their affairs; and whoever disobeys Allah and His Messenger surely strays manifestly (33:36)."

Narratives abound that state that as soon as those who were hypocritical, envious, and interestseeking came to know that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, was going to marry his daughter Fatima alZahra', mistress of the women of paradise and equal only to Mary (Liu, to 'Ali, they envied 'Ali and were extremely concerned, especially after many of them had unsuccessfully sought her hand.5

They said that that was indicative of 'Ali's status; so, nobody had any hope of being his peer, and they even plotted and schemed. They sent their women to the Mistress of the Women of the World trying to turn her against 'Ali. Among what they said to her was that 'Ali was poor and did not have much of this world's possessions, but she, peace be upon her, was quite aware of their scheming and ill intentions as well as those of their men. In spite of all this, she did not offend them in any way, till the Will of Allah Almighty and omniScient and of His Messenger was carried out.

It was then that she desired to show those women the status enjoyed by the Commander of the Faithful whereby Allah will shame his enemies, and she said: "O Messenger of Allah! Why did you marry me to a poor man who has no money?" He, peace be upon him and his progeny, answered her in the way stated above.

When Allah wishes to publicize

A virtue hidden from the eyes,

He facilitates to it one very wellknown

To covet and envy everyone.

AlKhatib quotes one author whose isnad is unanimously agreed upon, and who is very highly respected, namely Ibn 'Abbas, saying: "When the Prophet solemnized the marriage of Fatima and 'Ali, Fatima said: 'O Messenger of Allah!

You have married me to a poor man who does not have anything.' The Prophet said to her: 'Are you not pleased that Allah has chosen from among the inhabitants of the earth two men one of whom is your father and the other is your husband?" 6

Recounting the attributes of 'Ali, alHakim, on page 129, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak, quotes Sarij ibn Yunus citing Abu Hafs alAbar, alA'mash, Abu Salih, and ending with Abu Hurayrah who quotes Fatima 'Saying: "O Messenger of Allah! Why have you married me to a poor man with no money?" He 'answered: "O Fatima! Are you not pleased that Allah, the Exalted and Sublime, cast a look at the inhabitants of the earth and chose two men one of whom is your father and the other is your husband?"

Ibn 'Abbas is also quoted saying that the Messenger of Allah has said the following to Fatima: "Are you not pleased that I have married you to the one who is the foremost among Muslims in accepting Islam and the one endowed with more knowledge? You are the Mistress of the women of my nation, just as Mary was the mistress of the women of her nation; are you not pleased, O Fatima, that Allah cast a look at the people of the earth and chose two men from among them: one of them is your father and the other is your husband?"7

The Messenger of Allah مَالَتُكُوبَ , whenever the Mistress of the women of the world suffered any hardship, would remind her of Allah's favour and that of His Messenger unto her, since he married her to the best of his nation, thus solacing her and removing from her chest whatever pain time had brought her.

Suffices you for a testimonial on this subject what Imam Ahmad has stated on page 26, Vol. 5, of his Musnad where he quotes one particular hadith narrated by Ma'qil ibn Yasar in which the Prophet is reported to have visited Fatima when she fell sick and said to her: "How do you feel?" She answered: "By Allah, my grief has intensified, my want has worsened, and my sickness has lasted for too long." He said to her: "Yet are you not satisfied that I have married you to the one who is the foremost among my nation in accepting Islam, the

one endowed with more knowledge, and the greatest in clemency?" Narratives relating this issue are nUmarous, and there is no room to state all of them in this letter,

Wassalam.		
Sincerely, Sh		

Footnotes

- 1. Al-Thahbi has quoted this hadith while discussing the biography of Sharik in his book Mizan al-I'tidal, falsifying it and alleging that Sharik could not have tolerated narrating such a hadith. He said: "Muhammad ibn Hamid al-Razi is not trustworthy." Our answer to his allegation is that Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Imam Abul Qasim al-Baghwi, Imam Ibn Jarir al-Tabari, the Imam of critics and verifiers Ibn Ma'in, and others of their caliber, have all trusted Muhammad ibn Hamid and narrated his hadith, for he is their mentor. A reliable authority such as al-Thahbi admits the same in his biography of Muhammad ibn Hamid in his Al-Mizan. The man cannot be charged with Rafidism or Shi'ism, but the critic is a predecessor of al-Thahbi; so, there is no reason for initiating such an accusation regarding this hadith.
- 2. This hadith verbatim is numbered 2570 at the end of page 155, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, and the author quotes it again in his Muntakhab al-Kanz; so, refer to Al-Muntakhab, footnote on page 32, Vol. 5, of Ahmad's Musnad.
- 3. It exists on page 450, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah, and we have quoted it in Letter No. 48.

- 4. This hadith, verbatim, as well as its source are also in hadith number 2541 on page 143, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, and it is quoted in Muntakhab al-Kanz as well; so, refer to the latter and read the footnote on page 31, Vol. 5, of Ahmad's Musnad.
- 5. Ibn Abu Hatim has quoted Anas saying: "Abu Bakr and 'Umar sought Fatima's hand from the Prophet, but he remained silent and did not tell them anything; so, they went to 'Ali to inform him."

It is also transmitted from Ibn Abu Hatim by many reliable authorities such as Ibn Hajar at the beginning of Chapter 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. Many other authorities have quoted something similar to it from Ahmad through isnad to Anas. Abu Dawud al-Sajistani, as stated by Ibn Hajar in Chapter 11 of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, while discussing the twelfth verse, says that Abu Bakr sought Fatima's hand, and the Prophet turned him down; then 'Umar did the same, and he turned away from him, too; so, they both informed 'Ali of it. 'Ali himself is quoted saying: "Abu Bakr and 'Umar sought Fatima's hand from the Messenger of Allah, but he rejected them. 'Umar then said: 'You, 'Ali, are worthy of her.'" This hadith is quoted by Ibn Jarir. Al-Dulabi has quoted it, admitting its authenticity while discussing the Prophet's purified progeny, and it is hadith number 6007 on page 392, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.

- 6. This hadith, verbatim, with reference to its narrator, is hadith number 5992 on page 391, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, where the author admits the reliability of its narrator.
- 7. This hadith, verbatim, with reference to its narrator, is hadith number 2543 on page 153, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, where the author quotes it from Ibn 'Abbas and Abu Hurayrah. Al-Tabrani, in his Al-Muttafaq, has transmitted it from al-Khatib who quotes Ibn 'Abbas; so, refer to Al-Muntakhab and read the first line of footnote on page 39, Vol. 5, of Ahmad's Musnad.

Discussions

'Abd al-Ḥusayn, the prodigy, is about to educate his inevitable initiate about the narrations of *Waṣiyyah*.¹ He claims that these narrations are Mutawātir from the Imāms. Based on experience with his past claims we have learnt not to take them seriously. They are as reliable as the correspondence that was exchanged between 'Abd al-Ḥusayn and Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī.

The problem with 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's line of argument is compounded when we cannot find any reliable narration tracing back to the Prophet on the matter of Waṣiyyah. It has already been demonstrated that the statements of the Imāms are inadmissible as binding proof. Even if one were to accept that the Imāms are the only candidates for leadership, and that their Imāmah was originally established by Waṣiyyah; does it not appear strange as they are the only ones to narrate such a matter? There is no explicit verse from the Qur'an, nor any sound Ḥadīth from the Prophet which is unambiguous. Is this merely nothing more than a repetition of his circular reasoning?

Suppose – if only for a moment – that we were to accept that such Waṣiyyah did exist; would it not exclude all the later Imāms as candidates? The indications of the majority of narrations that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has cited infer that everyone besides 'Alī www would be ineligible. The only rational conclusion – which is consistent with accepting such narrations – would be to apply this to the other Imāms in the time of 'Alī as well. Therefore, if only 'Alī www is the Prophet's waṣī, it excludes both Ḥasan and Ḥusayn from the Prophetic nomination. If the texts are meant to be interpreted in a way that would include Ḥasan and Ḥusayn www; then the very same latitude for interpretation exists for the three Khulafā' who preceded 'Alī www. However, all the texts which he has cited on the Wasiyyah are problematic as we shall demonstrate in the coming paragraphs.

¹ This term is used by those who subscribe to the view that the Prophet bequeathed 'Alī the leadership of the Muslim Ummah.

The narrations on Wasiyyah

Narration One

The first narration that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn alludes to appears in Letter 20. It is the same narration which he has referred to as the Ḥadīth of the Day of Warning, which he quoted repeatedly in the previous round of correspondence! Is it imaginable that an accomplished scholar would fall for the repeated usage of the same narration three times without suspecting foul play?

This narration appears by way of two common chains. Appearing in one of the chains is 'Abd al-Ghaffār ibn al-Qāsim,¹ and appearing in the other is 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Quddūs.² They are both known Rāfiḍī's who were unreliable and neither of whom could be trusted with faithful representation of the Prophetic legacy.

Not only is this narration severely flawed in terms of it's chain of transmission, but the text is riddled with numerous inconsistencies as well. All of this has been dealt with in detail under the discussions on Letter 20.

Narration Two

'Abd al-Ḥusayn reveals how ill-informed he is about the science of Ḥadīth when he cites the narration ascribed to Buraydah He begins by omiting Sharīk ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Nakha'ī from the chain. Sharīk appears between Ibn Isḥāq and Abū Rabī'ah al-Iyādī. A more accurate representation of the chain is as follows:

Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzi - Salamah ibn Faḍl al-Abrash - Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq - Sharīk - Abū Rabīʿah al-Iyādi - Ibn Buraydah - Buraydah نقائقة that the Prophet مالتنافية said...³

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl by al-Dhahabī, vol. 2, p. 640.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2, p. 458

³ Al-Kāmīl, vol. 5 pg. 21; Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2 pg. 273

Abū Rabīʿah al-Iyadī

Al-Dhahabī quotes Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī on **Abū Rabī ah al-Iyadī** – whose full name is 'Umar ibn Rabī ah – that he is a narrator whose narrations are disclaimed, *Munkar al-Ḥadīth.*¹ Ibn Ḥajar grades him $Maqb\bar{u}l$ [lit. acceptable]², which is a term he used to describe those narrators who, in addition to having very few narrations, are slightly weak, and have the potential for being elevated if a supporting narration is found.

Sharīk ibn 'Abd Allāh

Sharīk ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Nakha'ī al-Qāḍī is weak, especially in that which he narrated from memory after being assigned a post in the judiciary. He has been discussed numerous times.³

Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq

Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq ibn Yasār, despite being an acceptable narrator in general, was known for *Tadlīs*. Oftentimes he would omit the narrator from whom he actually received the Ḥadīth and ascribe it to someone higher in the chain. The scholars of Ḥadīth would not accept any of his narrations wherein he narrates using the phrase "an" [from]. Instead, they insisted on him being explicit about whom he received the Ḥadīth from before accepting it; thus only those narrations wherein he says, "I heard from so-and-so," or similar phrases, not where he simply states, "from so-and-so". The Ḥadīth we are studying is one where he narrated using the term "an" hence the potential for *Tadlīs*.

Salamah ibn Fadl

Salamah ibn Faḍl al-Abrash is the narrator from Ibn Isḥāq. He has been deemed weak by Isḥāq ibn Rāhūya, al-Nasā'ī, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī among others. Some have

¹ Al-Mīzān, vol. 3 pg. 196

² Al-Tagrīb, bio no. 8093

³ See discussions on Letter 8

pointed out that many of his narrations could not be corroborated, in addition to his abundance of errors. Ibn 'Adī states that while he is weak overall, whatever he narrates from Ibn Isḥāq in field of $Magh\bar{a}z\bar{\imath}$, is of a slightly better standard than the rest of his narrations since those were found to be uncorroborated and contradictory in most instances.¹ This narration is not in the field of $Magh\bar{a}z\bar{\imath}$.

Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd

While all the above are sufficient to declare this narration unreliable; the most obvious problem is the presence of **Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī** in the chain. In the footnotes of this letter in al-Murājaʿāt there is an attempt to deflect the criticisms on this narrator based on statements by two of the great experts of their generation, Yaḥyā ibn Maʿin and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. In the following passages we shall demonstrate why that is of no consequence in this instance.

Before we proceed it is important to note that the first consideration when evaluation of any Ḥadīth is to examine the narrators in the chain. The two primary considerations in a narrator is that he can be trusted to convey what he heard from his teachers faithfully and accurately. A reliable narrator thus combines the character traits of 'Adālah and Þabṭ. 'Adālah being moral integrity and uprighteousness to the extent that there is no fear of deliberate misrepresentation; whereas Þabṭ refers to a narrators competence and precision in narration such that no discrepencies affect the narration of such a person from the time he receives a Ḥadīth until he then conveys it. The pre-requisite of Þabṭ is effectively a saftey measure against inadvertant error. While these are the primary considerations that are not the only considerations in any narrator.

Let us now apply these principles to the narrators whom we have already discussed. **Abū Rabīʿah al-Iyādī** is found wanting in terms of his <code>pabṭ</code>, in addition to the fact that he is not a well-known narrator of Ḥadīth. Similarly, **Sharīk** was considered weak on account of his <code>pabṭ</code>, moreover his preoccupation with

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 192, al-Kāshif bio.2043, Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb bio. 2505

juducial duties can be identified as the cause for his lack of <code>pabt</code>. Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq is a narrator around whom there is a great deal of debate, but if one were to consider all that was said and apply it to his narrations it was evident to the experts that his <code>pabt</code>, though not optimum, was within an acceptable range. The problem with him though is incidental; there is a specific problem to look out for and that is Tadlīs. Salamah ibn Faḍl al-Abrash lacks also in terms of <code>pabt</code>.

Independently, none of these narrators could be relied upon due to lack of competence, with the exception of Ibn Isḥāq; his issue is that he is a *Mudallīs* and the fact that he does not state explicitly whom he had heard this narration from. However, In the case of **Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī**, it is not his <code>pabt</code> that is problematic but his <code>Adālah</code>. He was accused of deliberate misrepresentation and thus cannot be trusted.

Another matter which is pertinent to this discussion is how to deal with contrasting opinions about a narrator; when some experts ratify the narrator and others discredit him. There are narrators who are unanimously accepted as reliable and others unanimously consistered unreliable. However, there are instances when the scholars are divided on the status of a narrator.

There are a number of considerations given to resolve those cases where a divided opinion exists on the status of a narrator. Scholars either find a way to reconcile the conflincting views [Jam], or they assign preference $[Tarji\hbar]$ to one over the other after considering all the facts.

Narrator critics appear on a spectrum. Some are extremely cautious, others are described with some degree of leniency, and between these two margins are those who are neither excessively cautious nor lenient. Therefore, one consideration is on who pronounced a particular opinion on a narrator.

Another consideration is whether the opinion has been further supported with details or if it remains vague. Many scholars state that the default position in a case where there is no details about the underlying cause for a critics opinion,

that the view of discredition supercedes the view of validation as this implies that the critic who discredited the narrator was aware of something that the the one who validated him was unaware of. Although, when the details are present they might reveal something else entirely.

Further consideration is given to the phrases used to either validate or discredit the narrator. Some phrases are generic, whereas some of the scholars used unique phrases indicating a level of strength or weakness. This phrase would not have the same technical meaning when used by other scholars.

Lastly, there are times when the opinion of the majority of scholars will be weightier than a minority.

So, while it is correct that Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal both praised Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd, many others have criticised him. Their criticism is not directed at his competence, rather it exists on account of his lack of 'Adālah as we shall point out.

His full name is: Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd ibn Ḥayyān al-Tamīmī al-Rāzī, Abū ʿAbd Allāh. He was born around 160 A.H and lived in the city of Rayy.

Among his senior teachers are: Yaʻqūb ibn ʻAbd Allāh al-Qummī, ʻAbd Allāh ibn al-Mubārak, Jarīr ibn ʻAbd al-Ḥamīd, Faḍl ibn Mūsā, Ḥakkām ibn Salm, Zāfir ibn Sulaymān, Nuʻaym ibn Maysarah, Abū Dāwūd al-Ṭayālisī, Salamah ibn Faḍl al-Abrash.¹

Those who heard Ḥadīth from him include: Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī, Abū ʿIsā al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah, Ibrāhīm ibn Mālik al-Qaṭṭān, Aḥmad ibn Jaʿfar ibn Naṣr al-Jammāl, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal,² Aḥmad ibn Khālid al-Rāzī famoulsy known as al-Harūrī, Ahmad ibn ʿAlī al-Abār, Ishāq ibn Abī ʿImrān al-Isfarāyīnī, Jaʿfar ibn Ahmad

¹ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 25 pg. 98, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 11 pg. 503

² He passed away before Muḥāmmad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī.

ibn Naṣr al-Ḥāfiẓ, Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Shabīb al-Maʿmarī, Ṣāliḥ ibn Muḥāmmad al-Asadī famously known as Ṣāliḥ Jazarah, ʿAbd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-Ṣamad ibn Abī Khidāsh al-Mawṣilī,¹ ʿAbd Allāh ibn Muḥammad ibn Abī al-Dunyā, Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī, Muḥāmmad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī, Abū al-Qāsim al-Baghawī, Abū Bakr al-Bāghandī, Muḥammad ibn Hārūn al-Rūyānī, Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā al-Dhuhlī and Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn,² among many others.³

Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī said, "I arrived in Baghdād and met Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn. They asked me [to narrate to them] the Aḥādīth of Yaʿqūb al-Qummī so they distributed the pages [of my notes with his narrations] among themselves and wrote it down, thereafter I narrated it to them."⁴

ʿAbd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal quotes his father, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal having said, "As long as Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī is in Rayy, it can be said knowledge is still thriving there."

He also relates, "Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī visited Baghdād while my father was under arrest. When he was released Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd had already departed. His companions [Imām Aḥmad] began asking about the narrations of Ibn Ḥumayd so he asked me the reason. I said that Ibn Ḥumayd was here recently and he narrated many Aḥādīth which they were unfamiliar with. He asked me if I had written anything down from Ibn Ḥumayd and I responded that I had written a volume, so he asked to have a look at it. After examining it he said, 'the narrations from the likes of Ibn al-Mubarak and Jarīr are fine; as for his narrations from the people of Rayy all I can say is that he is more knowledgeable about them."

¹ They were colleagues and shared the same teachers in any instances.

² He also passed away before Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī.

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 25 pg. 99, Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 11 pg. 503

⁴ Tārīkh Baghdād, vol. 3 pg. 60

⁵ Ibid

⁶ Ibid

If we take this incident into careful consideration we realize that Imām Aḥmad – despite having praised Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī – was not aware of all his narrations. The narrations of the likes of Ibn al-Mubārak and Jarīr ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd – which were well-known – were correct and matched what others narrated from them. It is important to keep these two facts in mind: Imām Aḥmad was not aware of all his Aḥādīth and he confirmed the Aḥādīth of those whose narrations were well-known and properly documented like ʿAbd Allāh ibn al-Mubārak.

Ibn Ḥibbān has recorded a visit from Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Wārah and Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī to Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal wherein they managed to draw his attention to the deception of Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī. Ṣāliḥ, the son of Imām Aḥmad, recalls that his father would shake his hand [indicating uncertainty] whenever Ibn Ḥumayd was mentioned.¹ Without ignoring this incident, we shall continue to investigate this narrator under the assumption that there was no retraction from Imām Aḥmad.

Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī relates that Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn asked him – before the real situation with Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī revealed itself – "What are your objections about him?" In response Abū Ḥātim stated that in the books of Muḥāmmad ibn Ḥumayd things were not recorded correctly, and when they would point out the errors he would adjust his book.² Yaḥyā exclaimed, "This is a bad practise! He came to Baghdād [a while back] so we took the book of Yaʿqūb al-Qummī from him and distributed the pages among ourselves – Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal was with us at the time – we heard those from him and everything appeared fine."³

This incident indicates that Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn was only privy to some of Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd's narrations. Both Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn predeceased

¹ Al-Majrūḥīn, vol. 2 pg. 303-304

² The objection is that it is necessary to relate the Ḥadīth as he received it. If he received it with an error he ought to convey it likewise and then point out the error separately. Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd began adjusting his book and narrating it with the adjustments. This was early on in his career.

³ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl, vol. 7 bio. 1275

Ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī. The validation of Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī by these two experts is based on what they observed. When we study the reasons provided by those who discredit him we realize that they were aware of details which Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal were unaware of. In addition, it confirms the progression in Ibn Ḥumayd's deception.

Abū ʿAlī al-Naysābūrī says, "I said to Ibn Khuzaymah, 'If our teacher could narrate to us from Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd since Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal had a good opinion of him.' He responded saying, 'He did not know him [all that well]. If he knew him as we do he would not have even praised him to begin with.'"

Isḥāq ibn Manṣūr al-Kawsaj relates, "He [Ibn Ḥumayd] read to us *Kitāb al-Maghāzī* by way of Salamah. Fate had it that I ended up with 'Alī ibn Mihrān and found out that he read *Kitāb al-Maghāzī* to Salamah. I said to him that Ibn Ḥumayd had also read *Kitāb al-Maghāzī* to us, from Salamah. 'Alī ibn Mihrān was astonished and said, "He heard the book from me!"²

Muḥammad ibn ʿĪsā al-Dāmaghānī recalls that after Hārūn ibn al-Mughīrah passed away he asked ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī to show him whatever he narrated from Hārūn. He took out his scrolls and I counted no more than three-hundred and sixty odd narrations. Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Ḥammād al-ʿAṭṭār says that when Ibn Ḥumayd presented his scrolls of the Aḥādīth from Hārūn ibn al-Mughīrah at a later stage, they were in excess of ten-thousand narrations.³ How did he narrate these from Hārūn after his demise?

Faḍlak al-Rāzī said that he entered upon Muḥāmmad ibn Ḥumayd whilst he was grafting chains onto different texts.⁴ Al-Dhahabī commented on this saying that this was the point of suspicion with Ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī. He did not believe that

¹ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol 11 pg. 503

² Tārīkh Baghdād, vol. 3 pg. 64

³ Al-Jarh wal-Ta'dīl, vol. 7 pg. 233

⁴ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 11 pg. 503

Ibn Ḥumayd would forge the wording of a Ḥadīth; rather he would attach an acceptable chain to a narration which has been transmitted with an unreliable chain. This is a classical case of *Sariqat al-Hadīth*.¹

Ṣāliḥ Jazarah states, "I have not seen anyone so skillful at deception as Sulaymān al-Shādhakūnī and Muḥāmmad ibn Ḥumayd al-Razī, his [Ibn Ḥumayd's] narrations [from his teachers] grew over time!" It is for this very reason that he used to say, "We were suspicious of Ibn Humayd."

Al-Bukhārī said about him, "Fīhi Naẓar." It is well-known that he used this term to indicate severity of weakness in a narrator. Al-Tirmidhī states that al-Bukhārī held a favourable opinion about Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd initially, then he declared him weak. The reason for al-Bukhārī re-evaluating his position on Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd is obvious; and confirms his progression as a deceitful narrator of Hadīth.

Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī maintained that Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd deliberately falsified narrations [meaning he replaced the weak chains with plausible chains].⁶ Similarly, it was the unanimous opinion of all the Ḥadīth experts of Rayy that Muḥāmmad ibn Ḥumayd was extremely unreliable in Ḥadīth because he would replace the weak chains with acceptable chains.⁷

The following are known to have discredited Ibn Ḥumayd citing similar reasons: Yaʻqūb ibn Shaybah al-Sadūsī, al-Bukhārī, Abū Zurʻah, Abū Ḥātim, Faḍlak al-Rāzī, Isḥāq ibn Ibrāhīm al-Kawsaj, Ṣāliḥ Jazarah, Ibn Khirāsh, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasā'ī,

¹ Ibid

² Tārīkh Baghdād, vol. 3 pg. 64

³ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 11 pg. 504

⁴ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr, vol. 1 pgs. 69-70; al-Tārīkh al-Ṣaqhīr, vol. 2 pg. 386; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 25 pg. 102

⁵ Al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-Jihād, Hadīth no: 1677

⁶ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 25 pg. 104

⁷ Tārīkh Baghdād, vol. 3 pg. 62

Ibn Khuzaymah, al-Bayhaqī, Ibn Ḥibbān, Ibn ʿAdī, Ibn al-Jawzī, and of course al-Dhahabī since it is his biographical entry on Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd – wherein he points out the falseness of this narration specifically – that ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn recorded this report.

To say it again, the endorsement of both Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal holds no weight in this instance. The list of those who discredit him is too large to ignore, especially when have provided details and reasons for discrediting Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī. This proves that they were aware of issues that Ibn Maʿīn and Imām Aḥmad were not privy to. Moreover, we find that the experts of Ḥadīth from his own city, who knew him better than anyone else, stating that he could not be trusted and exposing his deceit.

There is much more evidence that supports these facts about Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd al-Rāzī. However, whatever has been mentioned is sufficient to make the case. The narration attributed to Buraydah is thus extremely weak, if not entirely fabricated.

Narration Three

Besides the obvious interruption between Simāk and Abū Saʿīd &, there are two unreliable narrators who appear in this chain.

Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā

Al-Dhahabī included **Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī** in his encyclopaedia of weak narrators, *Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl*, citing al-Bukhārī, who declared him *Muḍṭarib* (confused) as well as Abū Hātim al-Rāzī, who considered Yahyā ibn Yaʿlā weak. Al-Dhahabī

¹ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr, vol. 6 pg. 221, Ḥadīth no: 6063

pointed out that Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslāmī was known to have transmitted many disreputable narrations.¹

Ibn Ḥajar says, "Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿlā al-Aslamī al-Kūfī; a Shīʿī, Þaʿīf."²

Nāṣiḥ ibn ʿAbd Allāh

Nāṣiḥ ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Tamīmī is extremely weak. The abundance of errors and contradictions found in his narrations – when compared to his peers – resulted in his narrations being abandoned entirely. He was considered weak by a number of experts in the field of narrator criticism: al-Bukhārī, Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī, Yaʿqūb ibn Sufyān, Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasā'ī, Ibn Ḥibbān, al-ʿUqaylī, Ibn ʿAdī, al-Bazzār, al-Dāraquṭnī and al-Ḥākim al-Naysapūrī among many others.³

Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn repeatedly discredited him, sometimes saying that he is worth nothing.⁴ 'Amr ibn 'Alī al-Fallās has graded him *Matrūk* [suspected of forgery] due to the abundant anomalous narrations he narrates by way of Simāk. Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī appears to share this opinion on Nāṣiḥ.⁵

After declaring that $N\bar{a}$, ih is weak and providing quotations from the early scholars, al-Dhahabī has cited this narration specifically as one of the problematic narrations that were known from $N\bar{a}$, ih.

Al-Haythamī has also declared this narration extremely weak due to the presence of Nāṣiḥ in the chain. 7

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 4, pg. 415

² Al-Tagrīb, bio: 7677

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 29 pg. 261, Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 4 pg. 240

⁴ Tārīkh ibn Ma'īn, vol. 2 pg. 601

⁵ Al-Jarh wa al-Ta'dīl, vol. 8 pg. 503

⁶ Al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 240

⁷ Majma' al-Zawā'id, vol. 9 pg. 114

Narration Four

The narration ascribed to Anas ibn Mālik 🍇 has been recorded by Abū Nuʻaym

- and from him ibn ʿAsākir by way of Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān ibn Abī Shaybah
- Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad ibn Maymūn ʿAlī ibn ʿĀbis Ḥārith ibn Ḥaṣīrah
- Qāsim ibn Jundub Anas ibn Mālik ជំនើងទែរ

'Abd al-Ḥusayn has already cited this narration in Letter 48 and we have mentioned the details on why this narration is extremely unreliable, if not fabricated.²

Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad ibn Maymūn is documented among the weak narrators both by al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar. Ibn Ḥajar quotes al-Azdī describing him as extremely weak. ³

There is concensus among the scholars of Ḥadīth that ʿAlī ibn ʿĀbis is weak and unreliable. Some going as far as describng him as deserving to be abandoned.⁴

Qāsim ibn Jundub is considered Majhūl, without biographical data.

Narration Five

The Ḥadīth ascribed to Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣarī appears by way of a common chain from Qays ibn al-Rabī – Al-Aʿmash - ʿAbayah ibn Ribʿī – Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī abū.5

It has been discussed at length in Letter 48. ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn has run out of narrations and is constantly citing repeated narrations. We shall provide a summary of some of the issues below as the details have already been covered. $^\circ$

¹ Hilyat al-Awliyā' vol. 1 pg. 63; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 386

² See discussions on Letter 48, Ḥadīth no: 5

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol.1 pg. 64; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 1 pg. 356

⁴ Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol.3 pg. 134-135

⁵ Al-Mu'jam al-Kabīr vol. 4 pg. 171-172

⁶ See discussions on Letter 48, Ḥadīth no: 28

The obvious cause for weakness, besides the issues with the narrators, is the interruption between al-A'mash and 'Abāyah ibn Rib'ī.

Qays ibn al-Rabī was considered weak in terms of his memory. The scholars only differed on how serious this weakness was. The fact that he was a $Sh\bar{i}$ could have further influenced the way he narrates the $Fad\bar{a}$ of 'Alī ****.

'Abāyah ibn Rib'ī was a fanatic Shī'ī, known for narrating baseless reports.²

Narration Six

The narration ascribed to Ibn ʿAbbās is an adaption of Narration Five. It appeared under the discussiosn on Letter 48. All the narrators from ʿAbd al-Razzāq, the common narrator in all chains, are either suspected of forging Ḥadīth or unknown entities.

It was common for unscrupulous narrators to invent a name and ascribe false narrations to reliable Muḥaddith \bar{n} by way of this invented narrator. This appears to be the case for this narration.

Narration Seven

This narration, ascribed to Abū Ḥurarah ; is also an adaptation of the previous two narrations. And has been dealt with under the discussions on Letter 48.4 Al-Dhahabī identified the problematic narrator in this chain: Abū Bakr Muḥāmmad ibn Aḥmad al-Tirmidhī. This is what he said about him, "He narrated a fabricated report from Surayj ibn Yūnus which he is suspected of forging." 5

¹ Al-Kāshif biio. 4600, Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3 pg. 393, al-Taqrīb bio. 5573

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 388, Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 4 pg. 417

³ See discussions on Letter 48, Hadīth no: 28

⁴ Ibid

⁵ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 3. Pg. 457

Narration Eight

One wonders if 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has any respect for the intellegence of his readers! He repeats the Ḥadīth attributed to 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās in Narration Six. The only difference is a slight variation of the wording. Essentially it is the same narration.

These are the narrators who ascribe the narration to 'Abd al-Razzāq:

- 1. **Ibrāhīm ibn al-Ḥajjāj**¹ Al-Dhahabī claims that he is *Majhūl*, and that he narrated a baseless report citing this very narration.² Ibn al-Jawzī has also declared this arration significantly flawed.³ Al-Haythamī also alludes to the fact that he is *Majhūl*.⁴
- Abū al-Ṣalt al-Harawī⁵ Significantly weak, suspected of lying. He is known specifically for transmitting baseless narrations by way of ʿAbd al-Razzāq.⁶
- Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Yazīd al-Hushaymī⁷ is suspected of forgery of Ḥadīth. Al-Dāraquṭnī states that he would narrate many false narrations by way of ʿAbd al-Razzāq.⁸

¹ Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 5 pg. 319; Tārīkh Dimashq vol. 42 pg. 135

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg. 26

³ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 220

⁴ Majma' al-Zawā'id vol. 9 pg. 112

⁵ Al-Muʻjam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 94 Ḥadīth no: 11154; Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 5 pg. 319, Tārikh Dimashq vol 42. Pg 136

⁶ Al-Majrūḥīn vol. 2 pg. 151; Tārīkh Baghdād vol. 11 pg. 46-51; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl vol. 18 pg 73-82; Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā' vol. 11 pg. 446; Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 2 pg. 616

⁷ Tārīkh Baqhdād vol. 5 pg. 319, Tārikh Dimashq vol 42. Pg 136; Al-ʿIlal al-Mutanāhiyah vol. 1 pg. 353

⁸ Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg; 109 Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 1 pg. 501

- 4. Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī al-Maʿmarī¹ the scholars are divided about his status as a narrator of Ḥadīth and he is known for having narrated uncorroborated narrations. There were many discrepencies in what he narrated when compared against what his peers narrated. His version of this narration is further marred by the fact that he did not receive it from ʿAbd al-Razzāq; rather he narrates it via Abū al-Salt, ʿAbd al-Salām ibn Sālih al-Harawī.²
- 5. Muḥammad ibn Jābān al-Jindaysāpūrī³ is considered Majhūl and no biographical data on him can be found.

Narration Nine

It is no surprise that this narration, ascribed to Maʿqil ibn Yasār ﷺ, is also a repeat. Not only is it a repeat, but it is a version of the previously cited narrations five through eight. It has also been discussed under Letter 48.4

Conclusion

So much for 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim that Mutawātir narrations prove Waṣiyyah! He could barely bring a narration that he had not previously cited; then too extremely weak, like all the other narrations he has quoted thus far. The problem with forged correspondences is that the forgeries lack finesse and the craftsman becomes careless. No respectful scholar would have fallen for these repetitions, let alone accept the fabricated narrations that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn filled his book with.

¹ Al-Mu jam al-Kabīr vol. 11 pg. 93 Ḥadīth no: 11153

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl vol. 1 pg 505; Lisān al-Mīzān vol. 3 pg. 71

³ Ibid

⁴ Hadīth no: 28

Letter 69

Safar 10, 1330

I. Argument of the Will's Deniers

Those who follow the Sunnah and consensus deny this will simply because of what alBukhari has narrated in his Sahih where he quotes alAswad saying, "It was said once to Ayesha, may Allah be pleased with her, that the Prophet had made a will regarding 'Ali,1 and she responded: 'Who said so? I have seen the Prophet, while I was reclining him to my chest, when he ordered a washbowl to be brought to him; I hardly noticed how fast he collapsed and died; so, how could he have made a will to 'Ali?"2

In the same reference, the author quotes other sources citing 'Ayesha saying, "The Messenger of Allah breathed his last while being between my stomach and under my chin," and she is often quoted saying, "He died reclining on my chest," and she may have said: "He died while his head was on my thigh."3 So, had there been any will, she would have come to know about it. In Muslim's Sahih, in a treatise on the subject of wills on page 14, Vol. 2, the author quotes 'Ayesha saying, "The Messenger of Allah Left heither a dinar nor a dirham, nor a male nor a female camel, nor did he leave any will."

In both sahihs, in a treatise on wills, Talhah ibn Masrif is quoted saying, "I asked 'Abdullah ibn Abu 'Awfah: 'Did the Prophet leave any will at all?' He answered: 'No.' I asked him: 'How did he enjoin people to write their wills while he himself did not do so?' He answered: 'His will is the Book of Allah.'" Since these ahadith are more authentic than the ones which you have cited, and are included in both sahihs, while the ones you have cited are not, they can be brought forth as irrefutable arguments, Wassalam.

Yours,

S

- 1. This hadith is quoted by al-Bukhari in his treatise on "Al-Wasaya (wills)," page 83, Vol. 2, of his Sahih, and in his chapter on the sickness and demise of the Prophet "Julius", page 64, Vol. 3, of the same book. It is quoted by Muslim on page 64, Vol. 3, of his Sahih, and it is also quoted by Muslim in his treatise of the Prophet's will on page 14, Vol. 2, of his Sahih.
- 2. You probably already know that both shaykhs have intentionally narrated this hadith while discussing the Prophet's will to 'Ali, for those who stated at that time that the Prophet had left a will to 'Ali had not yet split from the ranks of the nation. They were either among the sahabah or the tabi'in who had the courage to reveal what would make the mother of believers unhappy and would oppose the politics of the time; for this reason, she, may Allah be pleased with her, was shaken a great deal when she heard their hadith. Such a reaction is seen in her own statement in response to it, a statement which is one of the most feeble of answers. Imam al-Sindi, in his comment on this hadith in al-Nisai's Sunan, as indicated on page 241, Vol. 6 (the Egyptian Press at al-Azhar), said: "It is quite obvious that such hadith [by the mother of the believers 'Ayesha] does not rule out the existence of the will prior to her statement, nor does it prove that he مَا لَشَعَالَهُ وَسَلَّمُ had died suddenly without being able to leave a will or could have thought of doing so, since he came to know that his end was approaching even before falling sick, then he remained sick for days...," up to the conclusion of his statement. If you scrutinize this statement, you will find it quite strong.
- 3. Her statements "He died on my chest," and "He died between my belly and chin," are recorded in a chapter dealing with his sickness and demise مَا الله in Bukhari's Sahih. As regarding her statement "He died while his head was on my thigh," this exists in another chapter in which the author discusses his sickness and demise without an intervening chapter.

Letter 70

Safar 11, 1330

- IV. The Will Cannot be Repudiated
- V. Why Denied
- VI. Deniers' Arguments not Binding
- VII. Reason and Intellect Require it

Safar 11, 1330

The Prophet's will regarding 'Ali cannot be repudiated, for there is no doubt that he entrusted him, having bequeathed to him his knowledge and wisdom, as indicated in Letter 66 above, to wash his corpse, enshroud it and bury it,1 and to pay his dues, fulfill his promise on his behalf, defray his outstanding debts,2 and explain to people after him whatever matters in which they differed regarding the commandments and injunctions of Allah, the Exalted and the Sublime.3 He also entrusted the nation to take 'Ali 'كالشَّاعُ as his مَا الشَّعُكُ عليه عليه عليه الشَّلَاءُ as his مَا يَعْلَمُ عليه عليه المُعْلِمُ عليه عليه المُعْلِمُ المُعْلِمُ عليه المُعْلِمُ عليه المُعْلِمُ عليه المُعْلِمُ عليه المُعْلِمُ عليه المُعْلِمُ الْ father of his descendants,6 his vizier,7 confidant,8 the executor of his will,9 his vicegerent,10 the gateway of his knowledge, according to hadith number 9 cited in Letter 48 above, the gateway of his wisdom, according to hadith number 10 cited in Letter 48, the Gate of Salvation of his nation, according to hadith number 14 cited in Letter 48 above, its security and the ark of its salvation, as testified by the traditions we quoted in Letter 8 above. Obeying Ali is as important as obeying the Prophet himself: disobedience to him is a sin equal to that of disobeying the Prophet according to hadith number 16 cited in Letter 48 and according to others. Following him is equal to following the Prophet; abandoning him is abandoning the Prophet, according to hadith number 17 cited in Letter 48 above and according to others, that he [Prophet] is on peaceful terms with whoever is peaceful with him, and he is an enemy of whoever bears animosity towards him,11 the friend of whoever befriends him and the enemy of whoever antagonizes him;12 whoever loves him is loved by Allah and His Messenger, and whoever hates him does in turn hate Allah and His Messenger, according to ahadith 19, 20 and 21 cited in Letter 48 above and according to others. Whoever befriends him befriends them both, and whoever antagonizes him in fact antagonizes them both, according to hadith 23 cited in the same Letter; whoever harms him harms them too;13 whoever denounces him does in fact denounce both Allah and His Messenger H, according to hadith 18 cited in Letter 48 above, and according to others. He is the Imam of the righteous and the annihilator of the debauchees; whoever supports is in fact divinely supported, and whoever betrays him is betrayed by the Almighty, according to the first hadith cited in the same Letter and according to others; he is the master of Muslims and the Imam of the righteous, the leader of the pious among the most renowned men, according to ahadith 2, 3, 4, and 5 in Letter 48; he is the banner of guidance, the Imam of Allah's servants, the lighthouse of whoever obeys Allah's commandments, the Word which Allah has enjoined upon the pious, according to hadith 6 in the same Letter and according to others; he is the supreme Siddig, the nation's Farug, and the believers' chief, according to hadith 7 in the same Letter and according to others. His status is like that of the Great Furgan (Qur'an) and the Wise Remembrance.14

He is to the Prophet in the same position which Aaron held in comparison to Moses, as clarified in Letters No. 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34, and to the Prophet's status with his Lord, according to hadith 13 of Letter 48, and according to others, and like the position of the Prophet's head to his body, according to the hadith quoted in Letter 50 and to others, to which we refer you, suggesting that you may observe our comment. He is like unto his own self according to the verse of Mubahala and to the hadith quoted by Ibn 'Awf which is reproduced in Letter 50.

Allah the Exalted and the Sublime cast a look at the inhabitants of the earth and chose him from among them as is clear from the traditions which we have quoted in our Letter 68. Suffices you his covenant on the standing day at 'Arafat during the Farewell Pilgrimage, and that nobody discharges the Prophet's responsibility other than the Prophet himself or 'Ali,15 up to the end of so many such attributes which nobody else can claim other than a wasi, and those who enjoy a special

status with the Prophet; so, how can any wise person deny the Prophet's will, or overlook it, other than an interestseeker? What is a will other than entrusting a person with some such matters?

- 2) As regarding the followers of the four sects, whoever denies it from among them does so thinking that accepting it will jeopardize the legitimacy of the caliphate of the three Imams.
- 3) We cannot accept their argument just because it is based upon what alBukhari and others have said. They quote Talhah ibn Masrif saying: "I asked 'Abdullah ibn Abu 'Awfah: 'Did the Prophet leave any will at all?' He answered: 'No.' I asked: 'How did he enjoin people to write their wills while he himself did not do so?' He answered: 'His will is the Book of Allah.'"

This hadith is not confirmed through our sources; it is but a fabrication necessitated by certain politicians. Regardless of that, the sahihs of the purified progeny are mutawatir regarding the issue of the will; so, let all texts which disagree with them be discarded.

4) Yet the issue of the will does not even require any argument due to the dictates of reason and common sense.

If something elongates, it stands by itself - in form and hue;

For surely the sun dissipates all that seems to be untrue.

As regarding alBukhari's narrative from Ibn Abu 'Awfah who claims that the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, has left the Book of Allah as his will, it is a statement the tail of which is cut off, for he, peace be upon him and his progeny, had recommended to his nation to uphold both Weighty Things spontaneously, warning it of the danger of straying if it did not do so, informing it that they both would never part from each other till they reached him at the Pool. Our sahihs in this regard are consecutively reported from the sources of the

purified progeny; so, you may refer to other sahihs as quoted in our Letters No. 8 and 54.

Wassalam.

Yours,,

Sh

Footnotes

1. On page 66, Part Two, Vol. 2, of his Tabaqat, Ibn Sa'd quotes 'Ali saying: "The Prophet مَأَلِّتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ had instructed that nobody other than myself should give him the ceremonial bath [for the dead]." Both Abul Shaykh and Ibn al-Najjar, as stated on page 54, Vol. 4, of Kanz al-'Ummal, quote 'Ali saying: "The Messenger of Allah مَا الشَّعَلِيه وَسَلَّم had instructed me saying: 'When I die, bathe me and use seven water skins." Ibn Sa'd, while discussing giving the Prophet صَالْتَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالًا his last ceremonial bath, on page 63, Part Two, Vol. 2, of his Tabaqat, quotes 'Abdul-Wahid ibn Abu 'Awanah saying that when the Messenger of Allah صَالِتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالًا fell sick prior to his demise, he said: "O 'Ali! You should bathe me when I die." 'Ali said: "I conducted the ceremonial bath for him, and each part of his body was very responsive to my touch." Both al-Hakim, on page 59, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak, and al-Thahbi in his Talkhis, quote 'Ali saying: "I gave the Messenger of Allah his bath, and I waited to see how death would affect his body, but I sensed no change: his body smelt in death as fragrantly as it did when he was still alive." This hadith is quoted by Sa'id ibn Mansur in his books of traditions, by al-Marwazi in his Jana'iz, by Abu Dawud in his Marasil, by Ibn Mani', Ibn Abu Shaybah in his books on traditions, and it is hadith number 1094, page 54, Vol. 4, of Kanz al-'Ummal. Al-Bayhaqi, in his books of traditions, quotes 'Abdullah ibn al-Harith saying: "Ali gave the Prophet مَا لِسَمُعَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ the ceremonial bath while the Prophet's corpse was wrapped in a shirt," and it

is hadith number 1104, page 55, Vol. 4, of Kanz al-'Ummal, and Ibn 'Abbas is quoted saying: "Ali has four characteristics nobody else has had: he is the first to pray in the company of the Messenger of Allah; he accompanied him in all his campaigns; he remained with him when others ran away for their own lives, and he is the one who administered the ceremonial bath to him and placed him in his grave." This is quoted by Ibn 'Abd al-Birr is his biography of 'Ali in the Isti'ab, and by al-Hakim on page 111, Vol. 3, of Al-Mustadrak. He also quotes Abu Sa'id al-Khudri saying that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said to 'Ali: "O 'Ali! You are the one who should bathe me, cancel my debts, and entomb me in my grave." This is quoted by al-Daylami, too, and it is hadith number 2583, page 155, Vol. 4, of Kanz al-'Ummal. 'Umar is quoted saying that the Messenger of Allah مَثَالِثَةُ said to 'Ali عُلِيهُ السَّلَامُ once: "You are to bathe me and bury me," according to the hadith on page 393, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. In the footnote to page 45, Vol. 5, of Ahmad's Musnad, 'Ali is quoted saying: "I have heard the Messenger of Allah مَا لِسَمُعَالِيهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِيهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ ع 'I have been granted five of my own wishes regarding 'Ali the like of which no other Prophet before me had been granted regarding anyone. The first is that he is the one who would cancel my debt and bury my body...," up to the end of the hadith quoted at the beginning of page 403, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. And when he was placed on the bed and people desired to perform the ritual burial prayer rites, 'Ali said: "Nobody should be the Imam in leading such prayer, for the Messenger of Allah is your Imam alive and dead." People used to enter in groups and stand in prayers in a row without an Imam. They would make the takbir as 'Ali stood near the corpse of the Messenger of Allah صَالِمُعُلِّهِ saying: "Peace be unto you, O Messenger, and Allah's Mercy and Blessings; we bear witness, O Mighty Lord, that he has conveyed what You have revealed unto him, provided advice to his nation, and struggled in the way of Allah till He, the Exalted, the omni-Scient, elevated His faith, and his mission was accomplished. O Lord! Include us among those who follow what You have revealed to him, make us strong in our conviction, and rejoin our souls in his company," and

people would respond with "Amin, Amin." This continued till all men, then women, then children, said their prayers. This hadith verbatim is quoted by Ibn Sa'd in his discussion of how the Prophet was given his ceremonial burial bath in his own Tabaqat. The first who entered to pay respects were the descendants of Hashim, then the Immigrants (Muhajirun), then the Supporters (Ansar), then other people. The first men who performed the ritual funeral prayers on his departed soul were 'Ali and al-'Abbas who stood beside each other and made five takbirs.

2. Narratives in this regard are consecutively reported from the purified progeny عَلَيْهُ السَّلَا . Suffices you what is quoted in Al-Kabir by al-Tabrani from Ibn 'Umar, and by Abu Ya'li in his Musnad from 'Ali عَلَيْالسَامَةُ . The first quotes one particular hadith in which the Messenger of Allah صَالَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالًم says: "O 'Ali! You are my brother and vizier, and you shall pay my dues on my behalf, fulfill my commitment, and set my conscience to ease." You can find this hadith on page 155, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal narrated by Ibn 'Umar. On page 404, Vol. 6, of the same reference, 'Ali عَلَيهِ السَّالَا is quoted stating likewise. Many have quoted al-Buwaisiri saying that the narrators of this hadith are all trustworthy. Ibn Mardawayh and al-Daylami, as stated on page 155, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, quote Salman al-Farisi saying that the Messenger of Allah صَالِتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّم has said: "'Ali ibn Abu Talib fulfills my commitments on my own behalf, and he cancels my debt." Al-Bazzaz, as stated on page 153, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, indicates the same. It is also quoted by Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal on page 164, Vol. 4, of his Musnad from Habashi ibn Janadah who says: "I have heard the Messenger of Allah صَالِمُتُمَالِيهُ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَّمُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ عَلَيْهِ عَلْمُ عَلَيْهِ عَلْمِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْه 'Nobody pays my dues except I or 'Ali." Ibn Mardawayh, as stated on page 401, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, quotes 'Ali عَلَيْ saying that when the verse "And warn thy near in kin" was revealed, the Messenger of Allah صَالِّتُهُ عَلِيهُ وَسَلَّةُ said: "Ali pays my debt, and fulfills my promise on my own behalf." Sa'd says that on the Juhfa day, the Messenger of Allah صَلَاتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمُ having taken 'Ali by the hand, and delivered a sermon, praised and glorified Allah then said: "O people! I am your wali." They said: "You have spoken the truth, O Messenger of Allah." Then he raised 'Ali's hand and said: "This is the one chosen to be my wali; he shall pay my debt on my behalf." Qatadah is quoted saying, "'Ali has carried out after the prophet a few errands (on behalf of the Prophet) one of which is said to have been the payment of [debts totalling] five hundred thousand dirhams." 'Abdul-Razzaq was asked: "Did the Prophet a will in this regard?" He answered: "Yes; I do not doubt at all that the Prophet has, indeed, left a will to 'Ali; otherwise, nobody would have let him pay the Prophet's debt all by himself." This hadith is quoted by the author of Kanz al-'Ummal on page 60, Vol. 4, who numbers it 1170.

- 3. Authentic texts have unanimously stated that he fall has entrusted 'Ali parties' to clarify to his nation whatever ambiguous matters in which they disputed after him. Suffices you for proofs ahadith number 11 and 12 quoted in Letter No. 48, in addition to others which we have already quoted, as well as others which we have not quoted due to their being too well-known.
- 4. This is explained in Letters 36, 40, 54, and 56 above.
- 5. The brotherhood between the Prophet and the wasi is mutawatir, and suffices you for proof for its authenticity what we have quoted in Letters No. 32 and 34.
- 6. His being the father of his descendants is understood. He مَالْسَعُونَدُ has said to 'Ali مِنْالِقَادِيُّ: "You are my brother, and the father of my descendants; you shall fight for my Sunnah." This hadith is quoted by Abu Ya'li in his Musnad, as stated on page 404, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, and its narrators are all trustworthy as admitted by al-Busairi. It is also quoted in Ahmad's Manaqib, as stated at the conclusion of Section Two, Part 9, page 74, of Ibn Hajar's Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa. He مَالَّ اللهُ has also said: "Allah has placed the progeny of every prophet in his own loin, and He has placed mine in 'Ali's loins." This hadith is quoted by al-Tabrani in his Al-Kabir

as narrated by Jabir, and by al-Khatib in his Tarikh from Ibn 'Abbas. It is hadith number 2510, page 152, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. And he has said: "All descendants of women belong to the latter's men except Fatima's, for I am their wali and father." This is quoted by al-Tabrani from al-Zahra' and is included among the ahadith quoted by Ibn Hajar in Section 2, Part 11, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, page 112. It is also quoted by al-Tabrani from Ibn 'Umar as referred to on the same page. Al-Hakim quotes something like it on page 164, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak, adding: "The narrators of this hadith are trustworthy, though they [Bukhari and Muslim] did not record it." He has said in one hadith quoted by al-Hakim in his Al-Mustadrak, and al-Thahbi in his Talkhis al-Mustadrak, both admitting its authenticity due to the endorsement of both shaykhs, "As regarding you, O 'Ali, you, indeed, are my brother and the father of my descendants; you are of me and for me," up to the end of the list of such authentic texts.

7. Refer to the texts regarding 'Ali's government such as his statement: "You to me are in the same status like that of Aaron to Moses," as we explained in Letter No. 26, and in others. And also his saying in the hadith of warning his household, "Who, then, among you would support me in my mission?" 'Ali answered: "I, O Messenger of Allah, would like to be your supporter in this matter," as quoted in our Letter No. 20. May Allah reward Imam Abu-Sayri for his poetic masterpiece in which he says:

And the vizier of his cousin in endeavours sublime, And by their own households are viziers prime; Uncovering the lid did not his conviction increase, Like the sun, nothing can cause his rays to decrease.

8. The nation's consensus has decreed that there is one verse in the Book of Allah implemented by nobody other than 'Ali till the Day of Judgment.

It is the verse of elevation [najwa] in Surat al-Mujadila. This is agreed upon by both his supporters and opponents who quote in this regard many texts held to be authentic according to both shaykhs, known by the pious among the nation as well as the libertine. Suffices you what is quoted by al-Hakim on page 482, Vol. 2, of his Al-Mustadrak, and by al-Thahbi in his Talkhis al-Mustadrak. Refer also to the exegesis of this verse as recorded by books of exegesis authored by al-Tha'labi, al-Tabari, al-Sayyuti, al-Zamakhshari, al-Razi, and others. In the forthcoming Letter No. 74, you will come to know of two ahadith narrated by Umm Salamah and 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar regarding the confidential dialogue between the Prophet and 'Ali page immediately prior to the Prophet's demise, and you will be acquainted with their confidential discussion on the day of Ta'if, and the statement of the Messenger of Allah and the statement of the Messenger of Allah then: "It is not I who has confided in him; it is Allah Who has done so," and also to their confidential talks during 'Ayesha's time; so, contemplate upon that.

- 9. Suffices you for a text proving that he is his wali his statement مِالْمُتُعْمِينَةُ, quoted by Ibn 'Abbas and referred to in Letter No. 22: "You are the wali on my behalf in this life and the life hereafter." This hadith stands on firm grounds according to the demands of the religion of Islam; therefore, there is no need to go into details.
- 10. Suffices you of the texts of the will what you have heard in Letter No. 68.
- 11. This is quoted by Imam Ahmad from Abu Hurayrah's hadith on page 442, Vol. 2, of his Musnad. He says that the Messenger of Allah looked at 'Ali, Fatima, al-Hasan, and al-Husayn, peace be upon them, then said: "I declare war on whoever fights you, and peace unto whoever is peaceful towards you." In another authentic hadith, he has also said when he covered them with a blanket, "I declare war on whoever fights them, and peace unto whoever is peaceful towards them." This hadith is transmitted by Ibn Hajar while explaining the first verse which

he states to have been revealed in their honour in Section One, Part 11, of his Al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, giving detailed explanation for his statement: "Fighting 'Ali is fighting me, too, and making peace with 'Ali is making peace with me."

- 12. Refer to hadith 20 in Letter No. 48. His consecutive statement: "O Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him, and be the enemy of whoever sets himself as his enemy" should, by the Grace of Allah, suffice. You have heard in Letter No. 36 his منافعة statement as quoted by Buraydah: "Whoever hates 'Ali hates me, too, and whoever abandons 'Ali abandons me, too." Another mutawatir hadith is his منافعة statement: "Nobody loves him ['Ali] except a believer, and nobody hates him except a hypocrite." It is by Allah the covenant of the Ummi Prophet
- 13. Consider his statement بَالْمُتُعَاثِينَة, which is quoted by 'Umar ibn Shash, "Anyone who hurts 'Ali hurts me, too," which is quoted by Ahmad on page 483, Vol. 3, of his Musnad, and by al-Hakim on page 123, Vol. 3, of his Al-Mustadrak, and by al-Thahbi in Talkhis al-Mustadrak where he admits its authenticity. Al-Bukhari has quoted it in his Tarikh, Ibn Sa'd in his Tabaqat, Ibn Abu-Shaybah in his Musnad, and al-Tabrani in his Kabir. It exists on page 400, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 14. Consider in this regard what you have heard in Letter No. 8 quoting Sihah al-Thaqalain, for they show the truth to those who have eyes to see, and you have already come to know in Letter No. 50 that "'Ali is with the Qur'an and the Qur'an is with 'Ali; they shall never separate from each other."
- 15. Reason alone rules it impossible that the Prophet would order something and strongly requires his nation to adhere to it while he himself is in dire need to act upon it. He needed a will in order to appoint his representative, and take into consideration the orphans who most badly need a care-taker. Allah is above neglecting his precious legacy, which includes Allah's legislations and commandments, and Allah is above

leaving his orphans and widows, who are residents of the earth far and wide, struggling in the dark, going and coming as they desired, without a qayyim through whom Allah's argument becomes complete against them. Yet even common sense by itself rules that he should have left a will for 'Ali 'Ali', since we have found the prophet 'entrusting him to bathe and embalm his corpse, to clothe and bury it, then to pay his outstanding debts and clear his conscience, and clarify to people what they differ regarding their faith after him... etc., as referred to at the beginning of this Letter.

Discussions

'Abd al-Ḥusayn cannot be singled out for rejecting the Aḥādīth of 'Ā'ishah and 'Abd Allah ibn Abī Awfā as this is to be expected from the Shī'ah in general. Similarly, Ahl al-Sunnah are not bound by what appears in the Ḥadīth tradition of the Shī'ah; not simply on the grounds that they are Shī'ah but because those narrations do not satisfy the objective criteria of acceptance. This appears—at face value—to leave the non-committed observer in a bit of a conundrum.

In order to proceed with an impartial analysis of this contentious issue, it is necessary to draw attention towards the *reason* offered by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn for rejecting the narrations of 'Ā'isha and 'Abd Allah ibn Abī Awfā: they are in conflict with the Ṣaḥīḥ, Mutawātir narrations of the purified progeny, and must, therefore; be discarded.

Our question is simple: are these Ṣaḥīḥ, Mutawātir narrations any different from the forty narrations which he has cited in Letter 62? Instead of citing these 'Ṣaḥīḥ, Mutawātir' narrations, he merely repeats a series of accolades for 'Alī which he has paraphrased from most of the narrations which we have already revealed as being either unreliable or outright forgeries!

Worse still, how does one engage with the audacious claim that for the matter of Waṣiyyah one does not even require any argument; all that suffices is $Wijd\bar{a}n^1$? Is there any room for sensible dialogue after this?

Confidence

The correspondence attributed to Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī throughout *al-Murājaʿāt* rarely reflects the kind of discourse one would expect from a scholar at his

¹ Wijdān refers to sentimental and emotional conviction. The translators of al-Murājaʿāt have taken huge liberties and substituted the actual meaning of the term Wijdān with "reason" and "common sense".

level. It is not the purpose of these discussions to prove the fraudulent nature of these letters; this fact has already been established with absolute certainty. We only mention this from time to time so that it is known that the arguments put forward for the Ahl al-Sunnah are cherry-picked by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn with the aim to navigate the discourse in a particular direction; these arguments do not necessarily reflect the actual reasoning or evidence employed by the Ahl al-Sunnah.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn censures 'A'ishah that 'Alī 'ali was appointed at Ghadīr Khumm, or any later assumed appointment. Why would 'A'ishah deny the Waṣiyyah during the Prophet's final moments if he had already unequivocally expressed his desire about who would be his successor publicly. The premise of her statement is that until the Prophet's final moments it was not known that he had appointed any successor or made any bequest in respect to succession. During his final moments she was the only person with him yet she was unaware of any Waṣiyyah made upto the moment that the Prophet's soul departed from his body. Naturally, the Shī ah would feel no shame in accusing her of lying as they have accused her of worse. However, the narrations about 'Alī 'alie being unaware of any Waṣiyyah only moments before the Prophet's passing vindicates her of any such accusation in this matter.

Al-Sindī's explanation

The explanation given by al-Sindī—acknowledged in al-Murājaʿāt as "convincing"— has been deliberately truncated. Al-Sindī goes on to elaborate that if there were any Waṣiyyah it was about adherence to the Qur'ān and the Sunnah which was not exclusive for 'Alī 'it' ; it could not have been about wealth since the Prophet did not leave behind wealth that he needed to bequeath it.

We concede that his interpretation is strained and is entirely premised on the theoretical situation of their being some bequest unrelated to succession. The fact remains: 'Alī's confirmation that there was no Waṣiyyah in terms of succession renders al-Sindī's unconvincing interpretation redundant.

Was there any Wasiyyah?

During this 'exchange' 'Abd al-Ḥusayn attempts to deflect a very strong argument with tact. His confidence has persuaded him to present an agreeable argument from the side of Shaykh al-Bishrī. Both narrations, the Ḥadīth of Umm al-Mu'minīn, 'Ā'ishah , as well as the Ḥadīth of 'Abd Allah ibn Abī Awfā are sound and unabmiguous on the fact that there was no <code>Waṣiyyah</code> for 'Alī's succession.

He does, however, reserve an insurance policy for himself in the form of withholding the most revealing evidence that negates the claim of Waṣiyyah, and that is the Ḥadīth of Ibn ʿAbbās ʿwi which we have previously cited in response to the interpretation of the term Mawlā. What can be extracted from this narration, in this context, is that it establishes a timeline of events before and after the Prophet's which we have previously cited in response to the interpretation of the term Mawlā. What can be extracted from this narration, in this context, is that it establishes a timeline of events before and after the Prophet's which we have previously cited in response to the interpretation of the term Mawlā. What can be extracted from this narration, in this context, is that it establishes a timeline of events before and after the Prophet's which we have previously cited in response to the interpretation of the term Mawlā. What can be extracted from this narration, in this context, is that it establishes a timeline of events before and after the Prophet's which we have previously cited in response to the interpretation of the term Mawlā. What can be extracted from this narration, in this context, is that it establishes a timeline of events before and after the Prophet's which we have previously cited in response to the interpretation of the term Mawlā.

'Alī's نَوْسُتُهُ perspective on Waṣiyyah

'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbās relates that 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib emerged from the Prophet's مَاسَّعْتِهِمَا home during his final illness:

عن الزهري قال أخبرني عبد الله بن كعب بن مالك الأنصاري، أن عبد الله بن عباس رضي الله عنهما أخبره، أن علي بن أبي طالب رضي الله عنه خرج من عند رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في وجعه الذي توفي فيه فقال الناس يا أبا حسن كيف أصبح رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال أصبح بحمد الله بارئا فأخذ بيده عباس بن عبد المطلب فقال له أنت والله بعد ثلاث عبد العصا وإني والله لأرى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم سوف يتوفى من وجعه هذا إني لأعرف وجوه بني عبد المطلب عند الموت اذهب بنا إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فلنسأله فيمن هذا الأمر إن كان فينا علمنا ذلك وإن كان في غيرنا علمناه فأوصى بنا فقال علي إنا والله لئن سألناها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فمنعناها لا يعطيناها الناس بعده وإنى والله لا أسألها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم

The people said, "O Abu al-Ḥasan; How is the Messenger of Allah مُؤْسُنَاهُ this morning?"

He said, "All praise be to Allah, he is well this morning."

'Abbās ibn 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib took him by the hand and said to him, "I swear by Allah, in three days' time you will be a subject. By Allah, I think that the Messenger of Allah 'will die of this illness. I recognise the look of death in the faces of the Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib when they are dying. Let us go to the Messenger of Allah 'will' and ask him who will take charge over this matter (Khilāfah). If it is for us, then we will know that, and if it is for someone other than us, we will know and he can advise him to look after us."

'Al \bar{l} replied, "By Allah, if we ask him for it and he refuses us, then the people would never give it to us afterwards. By Allah, I will not ask it from the Messenger of Allah." 1

From this narration we realise that until this moment in the Prophet's المُعْنَيْنِيَةُ life he had not done any Waṣiyyah about who would succeed him. In the version of this Ḥadīth narrated by way of Ibn Isḥāq it is proven that the Prophet مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عِلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلِهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلِي عَلَيْهُ

Ibn Sa'd relates an exchange between 'Abbās and 'Alī www of al-Sha'bī which is similar to the narration of al-Zuhrī. 'Abbās asks 'Alī www to seek clarity on the matter of succession from the Prophet and he refused to do so for the said reasons. Immediately after the Prophet's was passing 'Abbās www requested 'Alī www to extend his hand so that he ['Abbās www] may pledge his allegience to 'Alī www, thus initiating him as the Prophet's wuccessor; yet 'Alī www refused, and withdrew his hand. This is yet another clear proof of the fact that there was no Waṣiyyah, even according to 'Alī www. What does this say about all 'Abd Ḥusayn's 'Mutawātir traditions' on 'Alī's www appointment?

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, ḥadīth no. 4182

² Sīrah bn Hishām, vol. 4 pg. 262; Dalā'il al-Nubuwwah, vol. 7 pg. 224

عن عامر الشعبي، قال: قال رجل لعلي في المرض الذي قبض فيه، يعني النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم: إني أكاد أعرف فيه الموت، فانطلق بنا إليه فنسأله من يستخلف، فإن استخلف منا فذاك، وإلا أوصى بنا فحفظنا من بعده، فقال له علي عند ذلك ما قال، فلما قبض النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم قال لعلي: «ابسط يدك أبايعك يبايعك الناس»، فقبض الآخريده

A man said to 'Alī during his [the Prophet's final illness: I can almost see the look of death. Let us go and ask him whom he will appoint as a successor. If he appoints one of us that is good, otherwise he can make a bequest for us to be looked after after he is gone.

So, after that, 'Alī said to him whatever he had to say.

When the Prophet شهر was taken, he [the man] said to 'Ali سهر" Extend your hand so that I pledge my allegiance to you and then people will also do so." The latter retracted his hand.¹

عن عامر، هو الشعبي، قال: قال العباس لعلي بن أبي طالب، حين مرض النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم: إني أكاد أعرف في وجه رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم الموت، فانطلق بنا إليه، نسأله من يستخلف، فإن يستخلف منا فذاك، وإلا أوصى بنا. قال:

فقال على للعباس كلمة فيها جفاء. فلما قبض النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم قال العباس لعلى:

ابسط يدك فلنبايعك. قال: فقبض يده.

During the Prophet's [final] illness 'Abbās said to 'Alī ,"I can almost recognize the look of death from the Prophet's face. Let us go and ask him whom he will appoint as a successor. If he appoints one of us that is good, otherwise he can make a bequest for us [to be looked after after he is gone.]"

'Allī responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās said to 'Ali responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to 'Ali responded to him harshly; so when the Prophet was taken, 'Abbās responded to 'Ali responded

¹ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 245.

It is evident from this narration—which is also recorded by al-Bayhaqī and is worded with a slight variation—that the conversation was between 'Abbās and 'Alī ***.1 In both these narrations there is no mention of 'Ā'ishah ****.—on the grounds of which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn may object or argue that politics were in play. Rather, this is a conversation between the most prominent members of Ahl al-Bayt moments before, and immediately after the Prophet's ****.

Ahl al-Sunnah therefore do not reject the claim of *Waṣiyyah* because it is a convenient way to evade questions about the Khulafā' who preceded 'Alī www.

They are in a position to account for events even if under the presumption of any Waṣiyyah; 'Alī's www tacit approval proves that his appointment was not immediate. On the contrary, Ahl al-Sunnah dismiss the claim of Waṣiyyah on account of the absences of substantial evidence proving it; especially when 'Alī www is chief amongst those who negate the existence of any Wasiyyah!

Shaqīq ibn Salamah relates:

It was said to ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib , "Will you not appoint a successor for us?"

He responded, "The Messenger of Allah المنافقية did not appoint a successor that I should do so. However, if Allah wishes good for the people he will unite them behind the best of them, just as he united the Ummah after the Prophet's المنافقة passing behind the best of them."

We learn from this that the claim that 'Alī نفي was appointed as the Prophet's successor was invented long after his ما في demise. No doubt the propaganda of the Jewish conspirator, 'Abd Allah ibn Saba', played a major role in contriving this rumour. The successors of 'Abd Allah ibn Saba' have merely advanced this line of reasoning over time. One needs to look no further than the outright rejection of the narrations of 'Ā'ishah على and 'Abd Allah ibn Abī Awfā on the basis of Wijdān as evidence to this fact.

¹ Dalā'il al-Nubuwwah, vol. 7 pg. 225.

² Dalā'il al-Nubuwwah, vol. 7 pg. 223.

Letter 71

Safar 10, 1330

I. Why Reject the Hadith of the Mother of Believers and the best among the Prophet's Consorts?

Why did you - may Allah forgive you - turn away from the mother of believers and the best of the Prophet's consorts and discarded her hadith, leaving it to oblivion, while her statement is the final and just judgment? In spite of this, you may give us your own viewpoint to consider,

Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 72

Safar 12, 1330

- I. She Was Not the Best of the Prophet's Consorts
- II. The Best is Khadija

III. A General Hint to the Reason Why her Hadith was Discarded

1) The mother of the believers 'Ayesha enjoys a special status, and she has her own contribution standing to her credit, but she is not the best of the Prophet's wives. How can she be the best since one authentic hadith quotes her saying, "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, once mentioned Khadija, and I objected by saying: 'She was an old woman, and such and such, and Allah has granted you someone better than her [meaning herself].'

He said: 'Not at all; Allah has not granted me better than her; she believed in me when people denounced me, and she believed in me when people called me a liar; she shared her wealth with me when people deprived me, and Allah blessed me with children by her while depriving me of the children of all others'"?

'Ayesha is also reported as having said, "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, never left home before mentioning Khadija and praising her. One day, he mentioned her, and I felt jealous. I said: 'Was she but an old woman, while Allah has blessed you with someone better than her?' He became so offended that his front hair shook in anger, then he said: 'No, by Allah! Allah did not bless me with anyone better than her! She believed in me when people disbelieved; she held me truthful when people called me a liar; she gave me an equal share of her wealth when people deprived me, and Allah blessed me with children by her while depriving me the children of other women."'1

2) The best of the Prophet's مَالِسَنَا وَ consorts, therefore, is Khadija al-Kubra, the truthful of this nation, the foremost in believing in Allah and His Book, and in solacing His Prophet. Allah has inspired His Messenger مَا اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ وَاللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَ

good news to her that she had in Paradise a house built of stalks of gold and silver,2 and that she had been a favourite of Allah.

The Almighty said of her: "The best of the women of Paradise are Khadija daughter of Khuaylid, Fatima daughter of Muhammad, Asiya daughter of Muzahim, and Mary daughter of 'Umran (Amram)." He, peace be upon him and his progeny, has said: "Among all the women of the world, commended are Khadija daughter of Khuaylid, Fatima daughter of Muhammad, Asiya daughter of Muzahim, and Mary daughter of 'Umran." There are other ahadith which are among the most authentic and reliable emphasizing the same.³

It cannot also be said that 'Ayesha was the best among the mothers of believers save Khadija. Reliable traditions and recorded events refuse to favour her over the others, as is obvious to the wise. She probably thought of herself as being superior to all others, and the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, did not agree with her selfassessment.

The same happened with Safiyya daughter of Huyay when the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, entered her room once and found her weeping. He asked her: "What grieves you?" She answered: "I have come to know that both 'Ayesha and Hafsa speak ill of me and say that they are better than me." He, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: "Couldn't you have told them: 'How can you be better than me, since my father is Aaron, my uncle is Moses, and my husband is Muhammad?""

Whoever traces the mother of the believers 'Ayesha in her deeds and statements will find her as we indicate here.

3) The reason why we have discarded her hadith regarding the will is due to the fact that it does not constitute an argument, and please do not ask me to elaborate on this point, Wassalam.

Sincerely, Sh

Footnotes:

- 1. This hadith and the one that follows it are among detailed ahadith narrated by Sunnis. Refer to them in the discussion of Khadija al-Kubra in the Isti'ab, and you will find them as we have quoted them here verbatim. They are quoted by al-Bukhari and Muslim in their sahihs in almost similar wording.
- 2. As narrated by al-Bukhari in his chapter on women's jealousy and sentimentality, near the conclusion of his treatise on marriage, page 175, Vol. 3, of his Sahih.
- 3. We have quoted it in the second paragraph of our celebrated statement, and anyone who wishes to research is referred thereto.
- 4. This is quoted by al-Tirmithi from Kinanah, slave of the mother of believers Safiyya, and it is transmitted by Ibn 'Abd al-Birr in his biography of Safiyya in the Isti'ab, Ibn Hajar in her biography in Al-Isabah, by Shaykh Rashid Rida at the end of page 589, Vol. 12, of his Manar, in addition to many other traditionists.

Discussions

It is ironic that someone who professes that the matter of *Waṣiyyah* does not require any evidence or argument, and that *Wijdān* is sufficient to establish 'Alī's appointment, now rejects the Ḥadīth narrated by 'Ā'ishah will under the pretext that it does not even constitute an argument. Rejecting the Ḥadīth of 'Ā'ishah will does not provide any remedy from the narrations about 'Alī will being unaware of any *Waṣiyyah*!

The actual reason for rejecting the $Had\bar{\imath}$ th of $\bar{\imath}$ is no different from the reason any $Had\bar{\imath}$ th is rejected by the Shī'ah: it undermines the doctrine upon which their faith is based. There has not been a single objective reason for setting aside this $Had\bar{\imath}$ th.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's initial propriety is short lived. He could not even wait for the next round of communication to malign 'Ā'ishah ". The pretence is revealed when he accuses her of self-aggrandizement. His repeated appeal to 'reliable traditions' have continuously failed him in the past. Why should his assertion that "reliable traditions and recorded events refuse to favour her over the others," be taken seriously now? The irony is that he says this off the back of having just rejected an objectively reliable report!

The astute ready will easily recognize this is as a precursor to what will eventually result in an ad hominem attack on 'Ā'ishah 'Éééé. His strategy is to lower her rank among the Prophet's 'wives which, in his fevered mind, will pave the way for his tirade. At its core the issue under review is unmistakeably sinister. Not only is he smearing a wife of the Prophet 'Éééée, but he is also developing a strategy by which he can evade any narration he wishes. Consider the consequences if 'Ā'ishah's 'Ééée reputation is damaged; what difference does it make after that if any of the Ṣaḥābah Ééée are dragged through the mud, or accused of horrendous crimes? We seek refuge in Allah for these blasphemous allegations! Objectivity demands that the discourse remains within the limits of the transmitted evidence within a universally acceptable framework.

applicable to the Prophets بالمنظقة. For this Ummah the potential for oversight is acknowledged for anyone besides the Prophet المنظقة. Having aknowledged the potential for oversight on the part of the Ṣaḥābah بالمنظقة, Ahl al-Sunnah holds all of the Prophet's منظقة Companions in high esteem, and acknowledge all their merits and virtues considering them morally upright.

It is in light of these merits and accolades that their actions, words, and emotions are positively interpreted. They are human in every respect, but they occupy a status worthy of reverence and emulation. Only a true Muslim will see their merits through the smoke that bellows from the fires of *Fitnah*. The incident with Ṣafiyyah , which will be discussed later, ought to be viewed through this lens as well.

Who is 'Ā'ishah وَعَلَيْكُونَا

Evil women are [only] for evil men, and evil men are [only] for evil women. And pure women are [only] for pure men, and pure men are [only] for pure women. Those [pure people] are declared innocent of what the slanderers say. For them is forgiveness and noble provision.¹

The verse above concludes a series of 15 successive versus which have been revealed in the context of the false accusations made against the Mother of the believers, 'Ā'ishah 'A'ishah 'A'ishah

¹ Sūrah al-Nūr: 26.

one whose $\bar{1}m\bar{a}n$ is confirmed by Allah, that she would deliberately lie to set in motion a political conspiracy which, according to the Shī'ī narrative, would alter the course of history for this Ummah?

Consider it from a different perspective; would Allah شنكات whose knowledge is perfect and All-Encompassing endorse the īmān of ʿĀ'ishah فالقائم knowing all along that she would 'deliberately mislead the Ummah'?

The esteemed reader is invited to view the ordeal of 'Ā'ishah in an attempt to gain her perspective of the events as they transpired.

'Ā'ishah હ્રિલ્ફ્રાફ્ટિંગ relates:

بكيت يومي ذلك لا يرقأ لي دمع ولا أكتحل بنوم ثم بكيت ليلتي المقبلة لا يرقأ لي دمع ولا أكتحل بنوم وأبواي يظنان أن البكاء فالق كبدي فبينما هما جالسان عندي وأنا أبكي استأذنت على امرأة من الأنصار فأذنت لها فجلست تبكي - قالت - فبينا نحن على ذلك دخل علينا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فسلم ثم جلس - قالت - ولم يجلس عندي منذ قيل لي ما قيل وقد لبث شهرا لا يوحي إليه في شأني بشيء - قالت - فتشهد رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم حين جلس ثم قال "أما بعديا عائشة فإنه قد بلغني عنك كذا وكذا فإن كنت بريئة فسيبرئك الله وإن كنت ألممت بذنب فاستغفري الله وتوبي إليه فإن العبد إذا اعترف بذنب ثم تاب تاب الله عليه " . قالت فلما قضى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم مقالته قلص دمعي حتى ما أحس منه قطرة فقلت لأبي أجب عني رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فيما قال . فقال والله ما أدرى ما أقول لرسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقلت لأمي أجيبي عني رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقالت والله ما أدرى ما أقول لرسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقلت وأنا جارية حديثة السن لا أقرأ كثير ا من القرآن إني والله لقد عرفت أنكم قد سمعتم بهذا حتى استقر في نفو سكم وصدقتم به فإن قلت لكم إني بريثة والله يعلم أنبي بريئة لا تصدقوني بذلك ولئن اعترفت لكم بأمر والله يعلم أنبي بريئة لتصدقونني وإنبي والله ما أجد لي ولكم مثلا إلا كما قال أبو يوسف فصبر جميل والله المستعان على ما تصفون . قالت ثم تحولت فاضطجعت على فراشي - قالت - وأنا والله حينئذ أعلم أني بريئة وأن الله مبرئي ببراءتي ولكن والله ما كنت أظن أن ينزل في شأني وحي يتلي ولشأني كان أحقر في نفسي من أن يتكلم الله عز وجل في بأمر يتلى ولكني كنت أرجو أن يرى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في النوم رؤيا يبرئني الله بها

I spent the whole day in weeping, my tears would not cease from flowing, and even the night I could not get a wink of sleep, my tears would not stop. My parents thought that this constant weeping of mine would break my heart. I wept and they sat beside me. In the meanwhile a woman of the

Anṣār came to see me. I permitted her to see me and she also began to weep. And we were in this very state that the Messenger of Allah came and he greeted me and then sat down. It had been a month since he last sat with me; when this rumour was spread, and there was no revelation (about) my case. the Messenger of Allah creited Tashahhud and then said, "As for what follows, 'Ā'ishah, this is what has reached me about you and if you are innocent, Allah would Himself vindicate your honour, and if accidentally there has been a lapse on your part seek forgiveness of Allah; He will pardon you. When a slave makes a confession of his fault and turns (to Him) in repentance, Allah also turns to him (mercifully) accepting his repentance."

When the Messenger of Allah مالكتين talked, my tears dried up and not even a single drop of tear could be perceived.

I said to my father, "You give a reply to the Messenger of Allah for my behalf."

He said, "By Allah, I do not know what I should say to the Messenger of Allah المنافقة .

I then said to my mother, "Give a reply to the Messenger of Allah with on my behalf," but she said, "By Allah, I do not know what I should say to the Messenger of Allah with.

I was a young girl at that time and I had not read much of the Qur'an (but I said), "By Allah, I realise that you have heard about this and it has settled down in your minds and you might even believe it to be true, so if I say to you that I am quite innocent, and Allah knows that I am innocent, you would never believe me, and if I were to confess to (the alleged) lapse before you, whereas Allah knows that I am completely innocent (and I have not committed this sin at all), in that case You might well believe it. By Allah, I, therefore, find no recourse for me and for you except that what the father of Yusuf said, '(My recourse is) a beatiful patience. And Allah it he Whose help is sought in that (predicament) which you describe."

After this I turned my face to the other side and lay down on my bed. By Allah, I was fully aware of this fact that I was innocent but I did not expect that Allah would descend Waḥī that would be recited in my case as I did not think myself so important that Allah, the Exalted and Glorious, would speak in this matter in words to be recited. I only hoped that Allah would give an indication of my innocence to the Messenger of Allah

It would be blasphemous for any Muslim to claim that the Qur'ān is limited to any period in time. Contrary to the Shīʿī doctrine of <code>Badā</code>', the rest of the Muslims believe in the encompassing knowledge of Allah. He knows what happened in the past as well as every future event; His knowledge even encompasses that which shall not happen and were it to happen how it would happen! Is it in anyway conceivable that He would praise her in this manner—having comprehensive, encompassing knowledge—except that she is eternally deserving of such merit? Moreover, the Prophet had been prohibited from seeking forgiveness for any of the hypocrites. Is it comprehendable that an evil person would be praised to this extent; whilst the hypocrites were effectively exposed in the Prophet's society? The only acceptable conclusion is that she is to be held in high esteem until eternity.

Not only did she display humility during her ordeal and contrast it with 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim of self-aggrandizement.

'Ā'ishah ﴿ تَوْلَيْكُ relates that the Messenger of Allah صَّلِكُ relates that the Messenger of Allah وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَعِلْمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَعَلَّمُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَعِلْمُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَّهُ عَالَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَالَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّهُ عَلَّا عَلَّا عَلَّا عَا

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Tafsīr, Ḥadīth no: 4750; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Tawbah, Ḥadīth no: 2770.

You have been shown to me twice in (my) dreams. A man was carrying you in a silken cloth and said to me, "This is your wife." I uncovered it; and behold, it was you. I said to myself, "If this dream is from Allah, He will cause it to come true."

Furthermore, Abū Wā'il relates:

When 'Alī sent 'Ammār and Ḥasan to (the people of) Kūfah to urge them to fight, 'Ammār addressed them saying, "I know that she ('Ā'ishah) is the wife of the Prophet in this world and in the Hereafter, but Allah has put you to test, whether you will follow Him (i.e. Allah) or her."²

Pay attention to the civil manner in which 'Ammār نقيقة differed with her. He acknowledged her status is the Prophet's wife both in this world and the next; then he expressed his difference with her on this crucial matter of state. 'Ammār is among the handful of the Prophet's معتمدة Companions who was spared the accusation of apostasy by the Rāfiḍah.

Al-Tirmidhī cites a similar narration without mentioning the incident at Kūfah:

'Abd Allah ibn Ziyād al-Asadī relates that he heard 'Ammār bin Yāsir saying, "She—meaning 'Ā'ishah ﴿ is his wife in the world and in the Hereafter."

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Nikāh, Hadīth no: 5078; Sahīh Muslim, Fadā'il al-Sahābah, Hadīth no: 2381.

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī صَالِمُتُعَلِّعُوسَالُو, Ḥadīth no: 3772.

Al-Tirmidhī says: This Ḥadīth is Ḥasan Ṣaḥīḥ and a similar narration is found by way of ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib .¹

We learn that her prestigeous status is attested to by 'Alī نفي as well. Who could be bothered with 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's alleged 'reliable traditions' after the narration from 'Alī نفي also confirms her to be a believer, and the Prophet's wife in the next life.

This narration in the *Sunan* of Abū Dāwūd demonstrates the manner in which the Prophet which the prophet with sought permission to be nursed at the home of 'Ā'ishah with:

The Messenger of Allah sent for his wives during his illness. When they got together, he said, "I am unable to visit all of you. If you accept, allow me to stay with 'Ā'ishah would you do so." So they permitted him (to stay with 'Ā'ishah).²

Ibn Sa'd has narrated by way of ibn Shihāb al-Zuhrī that the person to suggest that the Prophet for be nursed at the home of 'Ā'ishah was the Prophet's beloved daughter, Fāṭimah was.³ The incident of the Prophet for seeking permission to be at the home of 'Ā'ishah was is also narrated by way of Muḥammad al-Bāqir.⁴

Not only did the Prophet المنافقة want to be at the home of 'Ā'ishah المنافقة during his final illness, but it was predestined that the Prophet المنافقة would have departed from this world on the day he was meant to be with her المنافقة relates:

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 4262.

² Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Nikāḥ, Ḥadīth no: 2137.

³ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 232-233.

⁴ Ibid.

عن عائشة _رضى الله عنها _أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم كان يسأل في مرضه الذي مات فيه أين أنا غدا أين أنا غدا يريد يوم عائشة، فأذن له أزواجه يكون حيث شاء، فكان في بيت عائشة حتى مات عندها. قالت عائشة فمات في اليوم الذي كان يدور على فيه في بيتي، فقبضه الله، وإن رأسه لبين نحري وسحري، وخالط ريقه ريقي.

During his fatal illness, the Messenger of Allah used to ask his wives, "Where shall I stay tomorrow? Where shall I stay tomorrow?" He was looking forward to 'Ā'ishah's turn. So all his wives allowed him to stay where he wished, and he stayed at 'Ā'ishah's house till he died there.

 $^{\dot{}}$ A'ishah added: He passed on the day of my usual turn at my house. Allah took him unto Him while his head was between my chest and my neck, and his saliva was mixed with my saliva. 1

The Prophet took comfort during his final moments in the fact that 'Ā'ishah www.would be his wife in Jannah.2

Umm Salamah complained to the Prophet that people habitually send gifts to him on the days that he was at the home of 'Ā'ishah. Obviously, they realized what a good mood he would be in when he was with her. The co-wifes felt that people favoured 'Ā'ishah was by sending their gifts on her days.

كان الناس يتحرون بهداياهم يوم عائشة قالت عائشة فاجتمع صواحبي إلى أم سلمة، فقلن يا أم سلمة، والله إلى الناس يتحرون بهداياهم يوم عائشة، وإنا نريد الخير كما تريده عائشة، فمري رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم أن يأمر الناس أن يهدوا إليه حيث ما كان أو حيث ما دار، قالت فذكرت ذلك أم سلمة للنبي صلى الله عليه وسلم قالت فأعرض عني، فلما عاد إلى ذكرت له ذاك فأعرض عني، فلما كان في الثالثة ذكرت له فقال " يا أم سلمة لا تؤذيني في عائشة، فإنه والله ما نزل على الوحى وأنا في لحاف امرأة منكن غيرها "

The people used to send presents to the Prophet on the day of 'Ā'ishah. 'Ā'ishah said, "My companions (i.e. the other wives of the Prophet) gathered in the house of Umm Salamah and said, 'O Umm Salamah! By

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Nikāh, Hadīth no: 5217.

² Musnad Ahmad, vol. 41 pg. 519 Hadīth no: 25076.

Allah, the people choose to send presents on the day of 'Ā'ishah and we too, love the good (i.e. Prophet's to theerfulness) as 'Ā'ishah does. You should tell the Messenger of Allah to tell the people to send their presents to him wherever he may be, or wherever his turn may be.'

Umm Salamah said that to the Prophet غاله and he turned away from her, and when the Prophet returned to her (i.e. Umm Salamah), she repeated the same request, and the Prophet معلقة again turned away, and when she told him the same for the third time, the Prophet معلقة said, 'O Umm Salamah! Don't cause harm to me when it comes to 'A'ishah, for by Allah, Waḥī has never came to me while I was under the blanket of any woman amongst you except her."

The Prophet's مَالِسَّعَيْدَ wives then sought intercession through his most beloved daughter, Fāṭimah وَعَلَيْنَا مُعُ أَنْ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ , in this matter:

عن عائشة _ رضى الله عنها _ أن نساء، رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم كن حزبين فحزب فيه عائشة وحفصة وصفية وسودة، والحزب الآخر أم سلمة وسائر نساء رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم، وكان المسلمون قد علموا حب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم عائشة، فإذا كانت عند أحدهم هدية يريد أن يهديها إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم أخرها، حتى إذا كان رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في بيت عائشة بعث صاحب الهدية إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في بيت عائشة، فكلم حزب أم سلمة، فقلن لها كلمي رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يكلم الناس، فيقول من أراد أن يهدي إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم هدية فليهده إليه حيث كان من بيوت نسائه، فكلمته أم سلمة بما قلن، فلم يقل لها شيئا، فسألنها. فقالت ما قال لي شيئا. فقلن لها فكلميه. قالت فكلمته حين دار إليها أيضا، فلم يقل لها شيئا، فسألنها. فقالت ما قال لي شيئا. فقلن لها كلميه حتى يكلمك. فدار إليها فكلمته. فقال لها" اتؤذيني في عائشة، فإن الوحي لم يأتني، وأنا في ثوب امرأة إلا عائشة ". قالت فقالت أتوب إلى الله من أذاك يا رسول الله. ثم إنهن دعون فاطمة بنت رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فأرسلن إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم تقول إن نساءك ينشدنك الله العدل في بنت أبي بكر. فكلمته. فقال "يا بنية، ألا تحبين ما أحب". قالت بلي. فرجعت إليهن، فأخبرتهن. فقلن ارجعي إليه. فأبت أن ترجع، فأرسلن زينب بنت جحش، فأتته فأغلظت، وقالت إن نساءك ينشدنك الله العدل في بنت ابن أبي قحافة. فرفعت صوتها، حتى تناولت عائشة. وهي قاعدة، فسبتها حتى إن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم لينظر إلى عائشة هل تكلم قال فتكلمت عائشة ترد على زينب، حتى أسكتتها. قالت فنظر النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم إلى عائشة، وقال" إنها بنت أبي بكر"

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī صَالِمُتُعَلِّعُوسَالُو, Ḥadīth no: 3775.

The wives of the Messenger facility comprised of two parties. One party consisted of 'Ā'ishah, Ḥafṣah, Ṣafiyyah, and Sawdah; and the other party consisted of Umm Salamah and the other wives of the Messenger for 'Ā'ishah, the Muslims were well aware of the Messenger's for the Messenger's for the Messenger's, they would delay it until it was 'Ā'ishah's turn. That is when they chose to send gifts, knowing that Messenger for the Messenger for t

The party of Umm Salamah discussed the matter together and decided that Umm Salamah should request the Messenger to tell the people to send their gifts to him at the home of whichever wife he was at. Umm Salamah conveyed to him what they had said, but he did not reply. Later they asked Umm Salamah about what transpired and she said, "He did not say anything to me." They asked her to talk to him again. She talked to him again when she met him on her day, but he gave no reply. When they asked her, she replied that he had given no reply. They said to her, "Talk to him till he gives you a reply." When it was her turn, she talked to him again.

He then said to her, "Do not inconvenience me regarding \bar{A} ishah as Revelation has never come to me under the sheets of anyone besides \bar{A} ishah's."

On that Umm Salamah said, "I seek Allah's repentance for inconveniencing you."

So the party of Umm Salamah then called Fāṭimah, the Prophet's daughter, and sent her to the Messenger to tell him, "Your wives request to treat them and the daughter of $Ab\bar{u}$ Bakr on equal terms."

Fāṭimah conveyed the message to him. The Prophet responded, "O my daughter! Do you not love whom I love?"

She replied in the affirmative and returned and told them of the situation. They requested her to go to him again but she refused.

They then sent Zaynab bint Jaḥsh who went to him and used firm words saying, "Your wives request you to treat them and the daughter of Ibn Abī Quhāfah on equal terms."

On that she raised her voice and reprimanded 'Ā'ishah to her face so much so that the Messenger of Allah looked at 'Ā'ishah to see whether she would respond. 'Ā'ishah started replying to Zaynab until she silenced her. The Prophet then looked at 'Ā'ishah and said, "She is certainly the daughter of Abū Bakr." ¹

What is left to say? Is it not clear as day that she was the Prophet's favourite after Khadījah وَالْفَاعَةُ This fact is further confirmed by the following narration from 'Amr ibn al-'Āṣ المُنْفَاءُ:

```
عن عمرو بن العاص، أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بعثه على جيش ذات السلاسل قال فأتيته فقلت أى الناس أحب إليك قال " عائشة " . قلت من الرجال قال " أبوها " . قلت ثم من قال " عمر " . فعد رجالا
```

The Prophet deputed me to lead the Army of Dhāt al-Salāsil. I came to him and said, "Who is the most beloved person to you?"

```
He said, "ʿĀ'ishah."
```

I asked, "Among the men?"

He said. "Her father."

I said, "Who then?"

He said, "Then 'Umar bin Al-Khaṭṭāb."

He then named other men.2

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Hibah, hadīth no. 2581.

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī مَثَالِمُتُعَلِّعُوسَلُو,Ḥadīth no: 3662.

Anas مَنَوْسَلَةِ relates that the Prophet صَالِمَةُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمَ said:

The superiority of 'Ā'ishah over all (other) women is like the superiority of Tharīd1 over all other foods.²

عن عائشة أم المؤمنين، أنها قالت خرجنا مع رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في بعض أسفاره حتى إذا كنا بالبيداء – أو بذات الجيش – انقطع عقد لي فأقام رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم على التماسه وأقام الناس معه وليسوا على ماء وليس معهم ماء فأتى الناس إلى أبي بكر الصديق فقالوا ألا ترى ما صنعت عائشة أقامت برسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وبالناس وليسوا على ماء وليس معهم ماء . قالت عائشة فجاء أبو بكر ورسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم واضع رأسه على فخذي قد نام فقال حبست رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم والناس وليسوا على ماء وليس معهم ماء قالت عائشة فعاتبني أبو بكر فقال ما شاء الله أن يقول وجعل يطعن بيده في خاصرتي فلا يمنعني من التحرك إلا مكان رأس رسول الله صلى الله الله أن يقول وجعل يطعن بيده في خاصرتي فلا يمنعني من التحرك إلا مكان رأس رسول الله تبارك وتعالى آية التيمم فتيمموا . فقال أسيد بن حضير ما هي بأول بركتكم يا آل أبي بكر . قالت فبعثنا البعير وتعالى كنت عليه وجدنا العقد تحته

'Ā'ishah says, "We went out on a journey with the Messenger of Allah sayda," and when we came to place called al-Bayda or Dhāt al-Jaysh, a necklace of mine fell off. The Messenger of Allah sayda stopped to look for it and the people stopped with him. There was no water nearby and the people were not carrying any with them, so they came to Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq and said, 'Don't you see what 'Ā'ishah has done? She has made the Messenger of Allah sayda and the people stop when there is no water nearby and they are not carrying any with them."

¹ Tharīd is a dish in which bread is broken up into small pieces with meat and gravy poured over it.

² Şahīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Şaḥābah, Bāb Faḍl ʿĀ'ishah, Ḥadīth: 3559.

'Ā'ishah continued, "Abū Bakr came whilst the Messenger of Allah مالنتين was sleeping with his head on my thigh. Abū Bakr said, 'You have made the Messenger of Allah مالنتين and the people stop when there is no water nearby and they are not carrying any with them!'

Abū Bakr reprimanded me and said whatever Allah willed him to say, and began to poke me in the waist. The only thing that stopped me from moving was that the Messenger of Allah had his head on my thigh. The Messenger of Allah slept until morning without water. Allah then revealed the verses of Tayammum, so they did Tayammum."

Usayd ibn Ḥudayr said, "This is not the first of your blessings, O family of Abu Bakr!"

'Ā'ishah added, "We roused the camel I had been on, and found the necklace under it."

Contrary to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's statement, it was over the matter of assigning her preference over Khadījah where the scholars have differed. Our preferred stance is that we refrain from assigning preference either way. Both Khadījah and 'Ā'ishah served the Messenger and supported him in different ways. There is no disagreement, however, on the fact that 'Ā'ishah had the highest status amongst the Prophet's wives who were widowed after his passing.

The incident of Safiyyah

The Arabic term for a co-wife in a polygynous marriage is Darrah, which shares the same etymological root of the word which means harm. Rivalry amongst co-wives is a natural human phenomenon. Having contextualized this, the question that ought to be asked is whether 'Ā'ishah was a party to this incident.

¹ Ṣaḥīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Faḍā'il Aṣḥāb al-Nabī, hadith no. 3672; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ḥayḍ, Bāb al-Tayammum, ḥadīth no. 714

The narration which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn cited about our Mother, Ṣafiyyah , is narrated by way of Muḥammad ibn Bashshār — 'Abd al-Ṣamad ibn 'Abd al-Wārith — Hāshim ibn Sa'īd al-Kūfī — Kinānah — Ṣafiyyah .¹

After narrating this Ḥadīth, al-Tirmidhī states: "This is a *Gharīb* Ḥadīth. We are only aware of it being transmitted by way of **Hāshim al-Kūfī** from Ṣafiyyah. Its chain is not very good." Al-Tirmidhī often uses the term *Gharīb* in isolation to refer to an unreliable Hadīth.

Hāshim ibn Saʿīd al-Kūfī has been discredited by Ibn Maʿīn, ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī, Abū Zurʿah, and ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Mahdī among many others.³

The correct version of this Ḥadīth is narrated by way of Anas ibn Mālik and recorded by al-Tirmidhī two narrations later. Al-Tirmidhī grades this version Hasan Sahīh. Incidentally, there is no mention of Ā'ishah in this version.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's defamation of 'Ā'ishah's was character was hinged entirely on this narration. Since the sound version only mentions Ḥafṣah and excludes the mention of 'Ā'ishah we learn two important facts:

- 2. He has no argument to detract from the status of 'Ā'ishah 🎬.

This, however, is by no means the last of his attempts at disparaging \bar{A} ishah which any God-fearing Muslim would feel ashamed to even read!

¹ Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3892

² Ibid.

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 30 pg. 128.

⁴ Al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3894.

Letter 73

Safar 13, 1330

I. Requesting an Explanation to our Rejection of 'Ayesha's Hadith

You are not one who deceives, cheats, or pretends, nor are you one who falsely charges someone. You are above being charged or accused as being as such. I, praise be to Allah, neither criticize nor disprove, nor do I look for someone's faults nor shortcomings; truth is my pursuit. I cannot help asking you why you turn away from her ['Ayesha's] hadith, and your documented answer to this

question is unavoidable.

Convey your message, and have no worry,

Let thy eyes be cooled, and be merry.

The argument I press in this regard is embedded in the meaning of this verse of the Holy Qur'an:

"Those who have concealed what We have revealed of clear signs and guidance after We had made them clear to mankind in the Book: these have been cursed by Allah and by those who curse (2:159)"...

Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 74

Safar 14, 1330

- I. Explaining Why We Reject her Hadith
- II. Reason Confirms the Will
- III. Her Claim that the Prophet Died on Her Chest is Refuted

1) You have, may Allah assist you, insisted that I should elaborate, and you have left me no option except doing just that. Due to the wealth of your knowledge, you know where we come from. Here lies the fountainhead of the will; here lies the battle-ground of explicit texts; here lies the annihilation of the khums, inheritance, and creed; here lies the cause of sedition; here lies the reason of discord; here lies the root of dissension...¹ While fighting the Commander of the Faithful, she toured the lands, leading a huge army in order to usurp his government and put an end to his rule.

What happened has happened; I am no narrator of that;

So have good thoughts, and do not ask who, when or what.

To argue in support of denying the will to 'Ali using her own statement, the most bitter of his enemies that she was, is an attempt not expected at all from any fairminded person. And that was not the only incident that demonstrated her animosity towards 'Ali 'Ali 'Denying the will to 'Ali is much less significant than the Lesser Camel Battle' and the Greater Camel Battle in which evil intentions surfaced and the curtain removed.

Likewise, her attitude was manifest even before going out to fight him, the man who was her own wali, and her Prophet's wasi, till the news of his death reached her, whereupon she prostrated to thank God (for his martyrdom) and composed these lines:³

She laid down her rod, happy and pleased,

Her heart joyful, her mind eased;

As a traveller arrives home, of burdens relieved;

Never say 'Ayesha, by Ali's death, was grieved.

If you desire, I may quote for you of her hadith what proves to you that she was in remote error. She has said: "When the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, became seriously sick, he went out dragging his feet, reclining on two persons; one of them was 'Abbas ibn 'AbdulMuttalib and another man."

The narrator of this hadith comments adding: "I informed 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas about what 'Ayesha had said, and he responded to me saying, 'Do you know the name of the man whom 'Ayesha did not name?' I said: 'no.' Ibn 'Abbas said: 'He was 'Ali ibn Abu Talib.' "The narrator continues to say that 'Ayesha does not wish 'Ali any good." ⁵

If she did not wish any good to a man with whom the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, walked, how could she then be expected to feel good about mentioning the will which contains a great deal of good for 'Ali?

On page 113, Vol. 6, of his Musnad, Imam Ahmad quotes 'Ata' ibn Yasar saying: "A man came and spoke ill of both 'Ali and 'Ammar to 'Ayesha who responded by saying, 'As regarding 'Ali, I have nothing to say to defend him; but concerning 'Ammar, I have heard the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, say that whenever 'Ammar had to opt between two options, he always chose the most reasonable of them."

Have you noticed that?! The mother of the believers warns about plotting against 'Ammar due to the saying of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, "Whenever 'Ammar had to opt between two options, he always chose

the most reasonable of them," while refraining from warning against plotting to harm 'Ali who is the brother and successor of the Prophet, his Aaron and confidant, the most just among his nation, the foremost to believe in his message, the one whose merits are the most...!

As if she is not aware of his status in the eyes of Allah, the Exalted and Mighty, or his position in the heart of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, or his status in Islam, his great efforts for its promotion, and his handsome contributions. As if she never heard anything in the Book of Allah nor the Sunnah of His Messenger in his praise, so that she would place him at par with 'Ammar!

By Allah, my mind is perplexed when I consider her statement: "I have seen the Prophet ", while on my chest, ordering a wash-bowl to be brought to him; I hardly noticed how fast he collapsed and died; so, how could he have made a will to 'Ali?" I do not know which aspect of her statement I should criticize, being scrutinized as a whole from various angles.

I wonder how anyone can presume that since his death took place the way she described, he could not have left a will. Did she think that a will is valid only at the time of death?! No, but it is the excuse of one who is fighting the irrefutable truth, whoever he or she may be, while Allah has said in His Glorious Book, addressing His revered Messenger

"It is prescribed unto you when death approaches someone to leave something good, a will (Qur'an, 2:180 and 5:106)."

Did the mother of the believers ever see him, peace be upon him and his progeny, going against the instructions of the Book of Allah or ignoring its injunctions? God forbid. She saw him following its guidance, adhering to its verses, rushing to obey its bidding and forbidding, reaching the ultimate end of adherence to all its injunctions.

There is no doubt in my mind that she must have heard him saying: "No believer who knows that he is leaving something behind him should sleep even two nights without having his will written," or something in this meaning, for his instructions regarding the writing of wills have undoubtedly come from him.

It does not fit him or any other Prophet, blessings of Allah be upon all of them, to bid something without doing it himself, or forbid something while doing the opposite thereof; Allah is above selecting such individuals for conveying His message.

As regarding what Muslim and others have quoted 'Ayesha saying: "The Messenger of Allah left neither a dinar nor a dirham, neither a male nor a female camel, nor did he leave any will," it is just like its previous "hadith."

Yet it is not correct to assume that what she meant was that he did not leave any will at all, but rather that he did not have possessions which required a will, for, indeed, he did not leave much of this world's wares, the most ascetic person that he was. He joined his Lord, the Exalted and the Sublime, leaving a few outstanding debts, and a few items, in addition to things entrusted to him by other people which required a will [regarding who they belonged to].

He also left of his own possessions something that would help defray his debts, and the fulfillment of his promises, with a remnant that required being handed over to his heir. The proof for that is what Fatima alZahra', peace be upon her, rightfully demanded of her father's inheritance.⁸

2) The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, left things which demanded a will, things which no other human being ever left. Suffices you that he left the upright religion of Allah, while still at the beginning of its growth and early inception, and that by itself demanded an heir more than did gold or silver, a house or a real estate, lands or cattle.

The entire nation became his orphans and widows, seeking refuge with his successor to take his place to fare with them and manage their religious and secular affairs. It is impossible that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, should have entrusted Allah's religion, while still in its cradle, to inclinations and presumptions, or left the protection of its legislation to personal motives and interests, without a successor to look after religious as well as secular affairs, someone upon whom he could rely to represent him before the public.

He is above leaving his orphans, who inhabited spacious lands, like frightened cattle in a rainy winter night, without anyone to look after them. He is above abandoning the will especially after having received instructions in its regard from his Lord and thus strongly commanded his nation to do so. Reason does not listen to the claim that no will was made, even if such a claim comes from a highly respected person.

At the dawn of the Islamic era, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, made a will to 'Ali we even before his mission was publicized in Mecca, immediately following the revelation of the verse saying: "And warn your near kin (26:214)," as we explained in Letter 20. He continued repeating his will time and over again, emphasizing it through many covenants to which we had referred.

When he finally wished, while in his last hours, may I sacrifice my parents for his own sake, to write his will to 'Ali منافق to emphasize his previous verbal covenants, and to back his previous verbal statements in this regard, he, peace be upon him and his progeny, said: "Bring me some writing material so that I may write for you something to protect you against straying," but they disputed, while no dispute is permitted in the presence of a Prophet, and said: "The Messenger of Allah عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ فَعَالَى نَا فَا الْعَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ فَعَالِي أَنْهُ وَالْمُعَالِي اللّٰهُ عَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ اللّٰعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعِلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ وَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعِلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلِيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعِلْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعِلْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعِلْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلِي فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلِي فَعَلِي فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلِيْهُ فَعَلِي فَعَلِيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلَيْهُ فَعَلِي

It was then that he تَالِيَّنَا realized, after they had made such a statement, that no trace would remain of his intended order, if implemented, other than dissension; therefore, he told them to clear his room, feeling satisfied with the verbal covenants which he had made to 'Ali کالاتانیاتی'.

In spite of all this, however, he made three recommendations at the time of his death: that they should select 'Ali as his successor; that they should turn the polytheists out of the Arabian peninsula; and that they should reward the envoys in the same way he will used to reward them. But the dictates of politics at that time did not permit the traditionists to narrate his first will, claiming that they had forgotten it.

AlBukhari, at the conclusion of the hadith containing the charge that the Messenger of Allah was delirious, said verbatim: "And his will at the time of his death contained three instructions: to turn the polytheists out of the Arabian peninsula, to reward the envoys in the same way which he used to reward them..., and the third one was forgotten." This is how Muslim puts it in his Sahih, and so do all other authors of sunan and musnads.

3) The claim of the mothers of believers that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, joined his Lord while being on her chest is opposed by the authenticated tradition stating that he joined the Supreme Companion while being on the chest of his brother and friend (wali) 'Ali ibn Abu Talib (according to all consecutively reported sahihs from the Imams of the purified progeny which are supported by Sunni sahihs, as is wellknown to researchers, Wassalam.

Sincerely, Sh

Footnotes:

- 1. This agrees with Sunni books of traditions; so, refer to al-Bukhari's Sahih, his chapter on the households of the Prophet's wives, his treatise on holy wars and traditions, page 125, Vol. 2, and you will find the details.
- 2. The dissension of the Lesser Camel Battle took place in Basra five days before the end of Rabi'ul-Thani, 36 A.H., before the arrival of the

Commander of the Faithful عليه للسَّالم there, when the city was attacked by the mother of believers ['Ayesha] accompanied by Talhah and al-Zubayr. 'Ali's governor of Basra then was 'Uthman ibn Hanif al-Ansari. Forty supporters of 'Ali عَلَيْهَ were killed at its mosque, and seventy others elsewhere. 'Uthman ibn Hanif, who was one of the most respectful sahabah, was taken captive, and his captors wanted to kill him but feared revenge from his brother Suhayl and the rest of the Ansar; so, they shaved his beard, moustache, eyebrows, and head; they beat him, imprisoned him, then they expelled him from Basra. They were fought by Hakim ibn Jablah, with a group of his tribe 'Abd Qays, of whom he was chief. Hakim was a man of wisdom, discretion, and prestige, and he was followed by a group of Banu Rabi'a who refused to cease fighting till each and every one of them was martyrded, including Hakim, in addition to his most honourable son, his brave brother, and Basra fell in the hands of the invading army. When 'Ali came, he had to face 'Ayesha's army, and so did the Greater Camel Battle take place. The details of both battles are preserved in books of history written by Ibn Jarir, Ibn al-Athir, and in many others.

- 3. As quoted by trustworthy chroniclers such as Abul-Faraj al-Asfahani at the conclusion of his discussion of 'Ali in his book Maqatil al-Talibiyyin.
- 4. As quoted by al-Bukhari about her in his section on the Prophet's مَا التَّعَلِيونَالَةُ sickness and demise, page 62, Vol. 3, of his Sahih.
- 5. This statement in particular, i.e. Ibn 'Abbas saying that 'Ayesha does not wish him any good, is left out by al-Bukhari who stops his quotation at its preceding statements, following his customary habit in such situations, but many authors of books of tradition have quoted it through their authentic reporters. Consider what Ibn Sa'd records on page 29, Section Two, Vol. 2, of his Tabaqat, where he cites a chain of narrators including Ahmad ibn al-Hajjaj, 'Abdullah ibn Mubarak, Younus, Mu'ammar, al-Zuhri, 'Ubaydullah ibn Atbah ibn Mas'ud, whose sources end with Ibn 'Abbas.

Reporters of this hadith are considered trustworthy according to the consensus of scholars.

- 6. As quoted by al-Bukhari at the beginning of his treatise on wills in his Sahih, page 83, Vol. 2. It is also quoted by Muslim in his section on the record of the [Prophet's] will, page 10, Vol. 2, of his Sahih.
- 7. Mu'ammar quotes Qatadah saying that 'Ali المناسخة had taken care, on behalf of the Prophet مال والمناسخ , of certain matters after his demise, most of which was a debt estimated at five hundred thousand dirhams; so, refer to this hadith on page 60, Vol. 4, of Kanz al-'Ummal, and it is hadith number 1170 among the ones which he narrates.
- 8. As quoted by al-Bukhari at the and of his chapter on Khaybar's campaign in his Sahih, page 37, Vol. 2.
- 9. This is quoted verbatim by Muhammad ibn Isma'il al-Bukhari in his section on generosity towards envoys in his book Al-Jihad wal-Siyar, page 118, Vol. 2, of his Sahih.
- 10. Refer to it in the chapter dealing with rewarding the emissaries on page 118, Vol. 2, of Al-Jihad wal-Siyar.

Discussions

In the letter ascribed to the Shaykh al-Azhar he addresses 'Abd al-Ḥusayn stating that he considers him above suspicion of lying, pretence, or falsifying information. However, our experience thus far has revealed these to be the most accurate adjectives that could possibly describe the nature and content of their 'correspondence'! The most recent proof for this is a simple comparison of what he had written in his previous correspondence with what he has written here.

He feigns that he is compelled to divulge the 'facts' on 'Ā'ishah at the insistence of his interlocutor. The diligent reader will easily recognise the fact that the person he has described in this letter cannot possibly be regarded the *Mother of the Believers*, nor one who enjoys a special status or has any contribution standing to her credit.

Objectivity demands that ' \bar{A} 'ishah which be judged against the backdrop of all her virtues and merits. Whilst the absence of objectivity is evident throughout al- $Mur\bar{a}ja$ ' $\bar{a}t$, this is one occasion where we witness an amplification of the lies, slander, and deliberate misrepresentation of facts. The nefarious attempt to tarnish her reputation through a series of distortions and misdirects is blatantly evident to anyone who reads those incidents without jaundiced eyes.

The entire argument in this round of correspondence is premised on an imaginary hostility between ' \bar{A} 'ishah and 'Alī and Alī and A

The source of Fitnah

In his version of reality, 'Ā'ishah's denial of the Waṣiyyah is consistent with what happened at Jamal. All of this has been prophecized he alleges; no less in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, repeatedly. He accuses 'Ā'ishah of sedition, discord, dissension, and raising an army against 'Alī Lie. He holds her responsible for

what he considers the usurpation of the seat of succession, and of that which resulted in what he believes to be the cause of the Prophet's inheritence not being distributed. These venomous allegations are a true reflection of the pent up rage that burns in the hearts of many of his creed. Whilst 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's iniquitous slander is an honest reflection of the indignation expected of the Twelver Shī ah, our task is to investigate what the Prophet مَا الله عَلَيْنَا الله عَلَيْنِ الله عَلَيْنَا الله عَلَيْنَا

One would only seek a selective version of this narration and ignore all other evidence if the objective is deception. It stands to reason that the most effective and objective method of determining what the Prophet meant by whatever he actually said is to collect all the versions of that Ḥadīth and determine his intent in light of the collective details. We begin with the narration which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn alludes to, which is narrated by way of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar with multiple chains. We shall reproduce these narrations for the purpose of comparison. The esteemed reader will not be surprised to learn of the lies which form the cornerstone of al-Murāja'āt.

a. Mālik — 'Abd Allāh ibn Dīnār — 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar 🖦 said:

I saw the Messenger of Allah pointing towards the East and saying, "The cause of Fitnah is here. The cause of Fitnah is there, from where the horn of Shaytān emerges."

b. Shu'ayb — al-Zuhrī — Sālim ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar — his father, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar said:

¹ Sahīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Bad' al-Khalq, Ḥadīth no: 3279.

I heard the Messenger of Allah ما saying whilst on the pulpit, "The Fitnah [will emerge from] there—pointing towards the East—from where the horn of Shaytān emerges."

c. Sufyān ibn 'Uyaynah — 'Abd Allāh ibn Dīnār — 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar 🍇 said:

I heard the Prophet غين saying, "Fitnah will emerge from there," pointing towards the East.²

d. Ma'mar — al-Zuhrī — Sālim ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar — his father, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar said:

The Prophet stood up beside the pulpit (and pointed with his finger towards the East) and said, "Fitnah [will emerge from] there! Fitnah [will emerge from] there, from where the horn of Shayṭān emerges," or he said, "... the side of the sun..."

e. Layth — Nāfiʻ — ʻAbd Allāh ibn ʻUmar $\overset{\text{\tiny color}}{=}$ said:

I heard the Messenger of Allah —while he was facing the East—saying, "Verily! Fitnahs are [from] there, from where the horn of Shayṭān emerges."

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3511.

² Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ṭalāq, Ḥadīth no: 5296.

³ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Fitan, Hadīth no: 7092.

⁴ Ṣaḥīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 7093; Ṣaḥīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 2905.

These narrations indicate nothing more than the fact that Fitnah will emerge from the East. There is absolutely no mention of the home of 'Ā'ishah ***. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn ignored all these narrations and singles out a version of this Ḥadīth which mentions the house of 'Ā'ishah ***. which is essentially the Prophet's house—for no reason other than to deceive unsuspecting readers.

The version whose wording he cites is an authentic narration, but has nothing to do with 'Ā'ishah in anyway whatsoever. Anyone familiar with the geography of the Prophet's Masjid would be aware that his house—where he lived with 'Ā'ishah was towards the East, as the Qiblah is to the South. So, when 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar mentions the home of 'Ā'ishah was it serves no function other than to emphasize that he meant the East.

f. Juwayriyah — Nāfiʻ — ʻAbd Allāh ibn ʻUmar 🛍 said:

The Prophet stood up and delivered a sermon, and pointing in the direction of 'Ā'ishah's house, saying, "Fitnahs are [from] there—[repeating himself thrice]—from where the horn of Shayṭān emerges."

g. ʿUbayd Allāh ibn Saʿīd narrates a similar narration, by way of Yaḥyā al-Qaṭṭān — ʿUbayd Allāh ibn ʿUmar — Nāfiʿ — Ibn ʿUmar. Likewise, ʿUbayd Allāh ibn ʿUmar and Muḥammad ibn al-Muthannā both narrate from Yaḥyā al-Qaṭṭān with the same chain, only in this version it mentions the home of Hafsah instead of the home of ʿĀʾishah .²

¹ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Khumus, Hadīth no: 3104.

² Saḥīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 2905 (46).

h. Wakīʻ narrates a similar narration to the ones above by way of ʻIkrimah ibn ʻAmmār — Sālim — his father, ʻAbd Allāh ibn ʻUmar .¹

What is common in all these narrations is that the Prophet pointed in the direction of his house where he housed 'Ā'ishah which is located towards the East. This is further confirmed by another version of this Hadīth, also narrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar which is hadīth, also harrated by 'Abd Allāh ibn'th 'Abd Allāh i

i. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Awn — Nāfi' — Ibn 'Umar المُنْفَقَة:

عن ابن عون، عن نافع، عن ابن عمر، قال ذكر النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم اللهم بارك لنا في شامنا اللهم بارك لنا في يمننا قالوا وفي نجدنا. قال اللهم بارك لنا في شامنا، اللهم بارك لنا في يمننا قالوا يا رسول الله وفي نجدنا فأظنه قال في الثالثة هناك الزلازل والفتن، وبها يطلع قرن الشيطان

The Prophet said, "O Allah! Bestow Your blessings on our Sham! O Allah! Bestow Your blessings on our Yemen."

The People added, "And also on our Najd?"

He repeated, "O Allah! Bestow Your blessings on our Sham! O Allah! Bestow Your blessings on our Yemen."

The people again added, "O Messenger of Allah! And also on our Najd?"

I think on the third time the Prophet said, "There (in Najd) is the place of earthquakes and afflictions and from there emerges the horn of Shaytān!" 2

In this Ḥadīth the Prophet ﷺ prayed for two regions, al-Shām, which is located towards the north of Madīnah, and Yemen, which is to the south. The region of al-Najd is east of Madīnah and beyond it is 'Irāq.

¹ Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Fitan, Hadīth no: 2905 (48).

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Fitan, Ḥadīth no: 7094.

The Prophet predicted that troubles would emerge from that region, and from Najd itself emerged Musaylamah the false-prophet. The Khawārij were based in the East. Most of the troubles which affected the Ummah originated from Najd, Irāq, or the areas beyond that in the East. The tragic incident of Karbalā' occurred in the East.

Were it the case that the home of 'Ā'ishah 'seesse' was intended, then consider the damning consequences as this was effectively the Prophet's 'seesse' home. Furthermore, it is the home where he was most comfortable. The Prophet 'seesse' spent a night at the home of each of his wives yet Sawdah 'volunteered her night to 'Ā'ishah 'seesse'. Similarly, Fāṭimah 'seesse' be nursed at the home of 'Ā'ishah 'seesse' during his final illness. 2

These sentiments about 'Ā'ishah expressed in al-Murāja'āt are obvious symptoms of bigotry, a candid reflection of stubbornness in the face of truth. How else can the narrations of Abū Mas'ūd al-Badrī and Abū Hurayrah be accounted for after 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's erudition.

Abū Masʿūd al-Badrī مَثَالِثَلُعُنَا relates that the Prophet مَثَالِثُلُعُنَا said:

The Fitnahs shall come from there, from the East. Lack of mercy and harsh-heartedness are characteristics of the rowdy bedouins who are busy with their camels and cows (and pay no attention to religion). Such are the tribes of $Rab\bar{1}$ ah and $Mudar.^3$

The version above is as it is narrated by Sufyān ibn 'Uyayanah and identifies the East as the direction from which Fitnahs shall emerge. The

¹ Sunan al-Nasā'ī, Kitāb al-Nikāḥ, Ḥadīth no: 3197.

² *Al-Ṭabaqāt*, vol. 2 pg. 232-233. This incident is narrated by way of Muḥammad al-Bāqir.

³ Saḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no: 3498.

original Arabic uses the past tense verb, thus drawing attention to the inevitable reality of these Fitnahs.

In other chapters, al-Bukhārī cites the version of this Ḥadīth as narrated by Yaḥyā al-Qaṭṭān and Shuʿbah ibn Ḥajjāj. Their version of the Ḥadīth is worded with a slight difference from the one above. It is also narrated in Sahīh Muslim by way of Muʿtamir.

The Prophet pointed with his hand towards Yemen and said twice, "Faith is there," and then said, "Verily, callousness and harsh-heartedness are the qualities of the rowdy ones who are busy with their camels where the two horns of Shayṭān will appear, namely, the tribes of Rabīʿah and Mudar."

This narration references the horns of Shayṭān, the direction of the East. We will see with the next narration that there is no room left to deny that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's comments were outright malicious and a complete distortion of facts.

Abū Hurayrah هُوَ الْمِنْ relates that the Prophet مَا لِمَنْ اللَّهُ اللّ

The head of disbelief is towards the East. Boasting and pride is found among people who have horses and camels, the loud-voiced rowdy people of tents (the Bedouins). Tranquillity is with the people who have sheep.²

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth no: 4387 and Kitāb al-Ṭalāq, Ḥadīth no: 5303; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Hadīth no: 51

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Bad' al-Khalq, Ḥadīth no: 3301; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Īmān, Ḥadīth no: 52

Could she have withheld the Waşiyyah?

It is not enough that the Munāfiqūn of old have hurled accusations against her. Anyone who has read *al-Murājaʿāt* has witnessed yet another round of slanderous accusations whose consequences are more damning than the one of which she had been divinely acquitted. An objective onlooker would not hesitate in recognizing this as Allah's mercy on 'Ā'ishah was as He continues to confer rewards upon her; even after her demise, by compensating her for these false accusations.

One of the most important narrations which the Shī ah rely on, the cornerstone of their argument on the infallibility of the Imāms; Ḥadīth al-Kisā',¹ the incident where the Messenger of Allah took 'Alī, Fāṭimah, Ḥasan, and Ḥusayn under his cloak, is a narration which 'Ā'ishah har narrates.² Is 'Ā'ishah the Mother of the Believers when she narrates Ḥadīth al-Kisā', but the source of Fitnah and a conspiring devil when it comes to the Waṣiyyah? What double-standards!

Similarly, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās '''éis, whose narration is cited in this letter of al-Murāja'āt, is the same person who narrates that on the morning of the Prophet's 'demise that no Waṣiyyah was made,' and that 'Alī ''éis prohibited 'Abbās ''éis from even asking about Waṣiyyah.' Is the narration of Ibn 'Abbās ''éis acceptable when it appears to incriminate 'Ā'ishah 'éis; but lacks credibility when it acquits her of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's allegations? We have already proven that 'Alī ''éis denied any such Waṣiyyah. Considering that the behavior of 'Alī 'éis after the Prophet's 'Éis demise is consistent with the account of 'Ā'ishah 'Éis; what more could be expected in the way of exonerating 'Ā'ishah 'Éis from the slanderous accusations of the Shī'ah?

The allegation of 'A'ishah withholding information about the Waṣiyyah is hinged on the presumed necessary corollary of her withholding the name of

¹ This has been dealt with in part under our discussions on Letter 12.

² Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah , Ḥadīth no: 2424.

³ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 5 pg. 267, Hadīth 3189.

⁴ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Isti'dhān, Ḥadīth no: 6266.

'Alī هُ اللَّهُ among those whom the Prophet مَالِسُنَاهُ leaned upon during his final illness.

The first presumption is that she deliberately withheld mentioning the name of 'Alī as one of those whom the Prophet took support from during his final illness. The second presumption is born out of a misconstrued statement which alleges a hostile relationship between 'Ā'ishah and the Ahl al-Bayt.¹

Firstly, being the Prophet's wife means that she is already a member of Ahl al-Bayt as far as we are concerned. Thus, the presumption of hostility between 'Ā'ishah and Ahl al-Bayt is preposterous from the perspective of Ahl al-Sunnah. However, even if one were to proceed from the perspective of the Twelver Shī ah which excludes all the Prophet's wives, his other daughters, his other cousins, and restricts it to the line of 'Alī and Fāṭimah and specific sons off theirs, 'Ā'ishah's relationship with these members of Ahl al-Bayt, at least those whom she encountered in her lifetime, does not reflect any underlying tension nor is it adversarial in the least as we shall demonstrate in our discussion.

ʿĀ'ishah's ইন্ট্রেড role at Jamal

'Abd al-Ḥusayn is not alone in accusing her of raising an army to usurp the Khilāfah from 'Alī . This notion, albeit false, seems to have taken root in the minds of a number of people who merely glossed over the events that transpired.

Without getting into the intimate details we need to consider the following:

1. A third force, inspired by 'Abd Allāh ibn Saba', was operating behind the scenes. Armed parties from Egypt and 'Irāq descended upon Madīnah with the aim of deposing 'Uthmān . The role of this third force cannot be underestimated.

¹ For the Twelver Shī'ah this applies exclusively to the 'Four Infallibles' during this period: 'Alī, Fāṭimah, Ḥasan, and Ḥusayn .

- 2. When 'Uthmān satisfactorily addressed their concerns, another conspiracy was set in motion: that he was attempting to have them killed.
- These aggrieved parties returned to Madīnah, laid siege to 'Uthmān and eventually had him killed brutally.
- 4. Allegiance was given to ʿAlī ﴿ الْمَالَةُ لَهُ , this was also recommended by Ṭalḥāh, al-Zubayr and ʿAʾishah ﴿ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ .
- 5. ʿĀ'ishah ﷺ was in Makkah, whilst Ṭalḥāh and al-Zubayr ﷺ were in Madīnah at the time of his murder.
- 6. There was a general public expectation that 'Alī www would deal with the murderers of 'Uthmān www; however, there was no immediate action taken. Incidentally, many of the instigators were allowed to return, and some of them formed part of 'Alī's www administration and army.
- 7. A significant number of Ṣaḥābah were concerned with the delay on the part of 'Alī , suspicions began to emerge in some quarters as well if 'Alī had a role to play in what happened to 'Uthmān
- 8. After nearly four months, Ṭalḥāh, al-Zubayr, ʿAbd Allāh ibn al-Zubayr, and ʿĀ'ishah coalesced in Makkah and witnessed first-hand the public sentiment on the delay of dealing with the murderers of ʿUthmān . They agreed to take action with the aim of righting the wrongs and seeking vengeance and justice for the murder of ʿUthmān .
- 9. They decided that Baṣrah was the best destination. They maintained their oath of allegiance with 'Alī but were on a vigilante mission. The elements of their army fell on a spectrum, their common goal was rebribution.
- 10. When they reached Baṣrah fighting occurred and lives were lost, so Amīr al-Mu'minīn, ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib left Madīnah for ʿIrāq to prevent them from further bloodshed and provoking any further violence having had no mandate to take action in the first place.

Bearing these 10 points in mind, any claim that she sought to fight 'Alī ''Alī '''Alī ''Alī '''Alī '''Alī ''' Alī ''' Alī

The cordial nature of their relationship

The final days in the life of the Prophet المنافقة are replete with scenes of heartache and poignancy. One of his final encounters with his beloved daughter, the Queen of the women of Jannah, Fāṭimah المنافقة, is related by none other than the Prophet's منافقة wife, 'Ā'īshah المنافقة.

1. Masrūq narrates that the Mother of the Believers, ʿĀ'īshah 🕬 relates:

عن مسروق حدثتني عائشة أم المؤمنين قالت إنا كنا أزواج النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم عنده جميعا لم تغادر منا واحدة فأقبلت فاطمة عليها السلام تمشي، لا والله ما تخفى مشيتها من مشية رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فلما رآها رحب قال مرحبا بابنتي ثم أجلسها عن يمينه أو عن شماله ثم سارها فبكت بكاء شديدا فلما رأى حزنها سارها الثانية إذا هي تضحك فقلت لها أنا من بين نسائه خصك رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بالسر من بيننا ثم أنت تبكين فلما قام رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم سألتها عما سارك قالت ما كنت لأفشي على رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم سره فلما توفي قلت لها عزمت عليك بما لي عليك من الحق لما أخبرتني قالت أما الآن فنعم فأخبرتني قالت أما حين سارني في الأمر الأول فإنه أخبرني أن جبريل كان يعارضه بالقرآن كل سنة مرة وإنه قد عارضني به العام مرتين ولا أرى الأجل إلا

قد اقترب فاتقي الله واصبري فإني نعم السلف أنا لك قالت فبكيت بكائي الذي رأيت، فلما رأى جزعي سارني الثانية قال يا فاطمة ألا ترضين أن تكوني سيدة نساء المؤمنين أو سيدة نساء هذه الأمة

We, the wives of the Prophet المستخدة were all sitting with the Prophet المستخدة [during his final illness] and none of us had departed when Fāṭimah المستخدة entered, and I swear by Allah, her manner of walking resembled that of the Messenger of Allah مستخدة وعدال.

When he saw her, he welcomed her, saying, "Welcome, O my daughter!" Then he made her sit on his right or his left, and whispered something to her privately, whereupon she wept bitterly. When he noticed her sorrow, he again whispered something else to her, and her tears turned into laughter.

Among all the Prophet's نستونية wives I was the one who said to her, "The Messenger of Allah معلقة said something to you which makes you weep?"

When the Messenger of Allah نه was not present, I asked her, "What did he confide to you?"

She responded saying, "I will not divulge the secret of the Messenger of Allah المستعبد "."

After he passed away I asked her, "I earnestly implore you by whatever right I have over you [as your step-mother], to tell me."

She replied, "Since you ask me now, then yes, [I will tell you since the Prophet معنات has passed away]."

She said, "When he whispered to me the first time, he said that Jibrīl 'Auguste' used to review the Qur'an with him once every year. He added, 'But this year he reviewed it with me twice, and therefore I think that my death is imminent. So, have Taqwā, and be patient, for I am the best predecessor for you (in the Hereafter)."

Fāṭimah المناهجة added, "So I began to cry; as you witnessed. After the Prophet عليه saw me in this sorrowful state, he confided the second secret to me

saying, 'O Fāṭimah! Will it not please you that you will be the Leader of all the believing women, or the Leader of all the women of this Ummah?"

Let us consider what this narration tells us:

- i. That Fāṭimah ﴿ visited the very house of the Prophet (visited the very house of the visited the
- ii. ʿĀ'ishah ﴿ did not withhold the merit of Fāṭimah ﴿ and how her gait resembled that of the Prophet ﴿ Furthermore, these narrations from Fāṭimah ﴿ and her merit of being the leader of women in Jannah are only known from the Ḥadīth of ʿĀ'ishah ﴿ ishah aside from her narration we don't have any other corroboration of these merits of Fāṭimah ﴿ ishah and i
- iii. Fāṭimah 🏻 recognized that ʿĀ'ishah 🗫 had rights over her.
- iv. Fāṭimah نوانس trusted ʿĀ'ishah نوانس enough to later on reveal to her the details of the private conversation that the Messenger of Allah نام with his daughter in his final illness. It further puts to rest any allegation of hostility between ʿĀ'ishah نوانس and those members of the Prophet's نام family who are accepted as Ahl al-Bayt by the Twelver Shī'ah.
- v. Had 'Ā'ishah wish withheld knowledge of any Waṣiyyah it is improbable that Fāṭimah wish would confide in her. Fāṭimah confronted Abū Bakr on the matter of inheritence which has much less consequence in comparison to denying Waṣiyyah to 'Alī wish, which happens to be at the core of Shīʿī doctrine.
- 2. The Ḥadīth al-Kisā' (Ḥadīth of the Cloak) is one where both the Shīʿah and Ahl al-Sunnah agree on its authenticity even though they disagree on

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Isti'dhān, Ḥadīth no: 6286; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah, Ḥadīth no: 2450.

its purport. The intended meaning of this Ḥadīth is not our immediate concern; rather it is the fact that the five 'infallibles' are mentioned favourably by 'Ā'ishah 'ishah', the "cause of all sedition," in 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's words.

If she could bring herself to deny the Waṣiyyah of one member of Ahl al-Bayt because of ther alleged animosity towards the Ahl al-Bayt, why would she cite this narration which establishes merit for these members of the Prophet's in oble family?

Şafiyyah bint Shaybah relates:

عن صفية بنت شيبة، قالت قالت عائشة خرج النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم غداة وعليه مرط مرحل من شعر أسود فجاء الحسن بن علي فأدخله ثم جاء الحسين فدخل معه ثم جاءت فاطمة فأدخلها ثم جاء علي فأدخله ثم قال { إنما يريد الله ليذهب عنكم الرجس أهل البيت ويطهر كم تطهير ا}

'Ā'ishah were reported that the Messenger of Allah went out one morning wearing a black woven cloak made from camel's hair which had the pattern of camel saddles on it. Soon Ḥasan ibn 'Alī aproached him so he covered him with it, and then came Ḥusayn and he covered him with it as well. Then when Fāṭimah approached and he took her under it as well, as he did when 'Alī eventually came; thereafter he said:

Allah only intends to remove all defilement from you, O People of the Household, and purify you [in spirit] a thorough Purification.¹

- 3. She recognized his excellence in knowledge and referred others to him on matters of the Sharī ah which she had limited knowledge on.
 - i. Shurayh ibn Hānī' relates:

عن شريح بن هانئ قال أتيت عائشة رضي الله عنها أسألها عن الخفين فقالت عليك بابن أبي طالب فاسأله فإنه كان يسافر مع رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فاتيته فسألته فقال جعل رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ثلاثة أيام ولياليهن للمسافر ويوما وليلة للمقيم

¹ Şaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah المُعَالِّلُهُ بِعَالِيلُهُ , Ḥadīth no: 2424.

I came to 'Ā'ishah to ask her about the Khuffayn (leather footgear).

So I went to him and asked him, and he said, "The Messenger of Allah set a limit of three days and nights for the traveller and one day and night for one who is not travelling."

ii. Makhūl relates:

'Ā'ishah was asked how many garments does a woman pray in. She replied, "Go to 'Alī and ask him, then come back to me."

So he went to 'Alī to ask him and he replied, "In a long outer cloak and a headcover."

So the questioner went back to inform her and she responded, "He is correct." ²

4. Despite being "the most bitter of his enemies" she still nominated 'Alī فَاللَّهُ as a potential successor to 'Uthmān المحققة. It doesn't stand to reason that the person who attempted to usurp his government and put an end to his rule after the Prophet's خَاللَّهُ demise would then instruct others to pledge allegiance to him.

Below is the account of al-Aḥnaf ibn Qays and his arrival in Ḥijāz in the midst of the Fitnah just before the murder of 'Uthmān . Al-Ahnaf

¹ Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Tahārah, Hadīth no: 276.

² Muşannaf Abd al-Razzāq, vol.3 pg. 128; Muşannaf ibn Abī Shaybah, Ḥadīth no. 6225.

explicitly identifies 'Alī 🍇 as the candidate that 'Ā'ishah ເວັ້ອ preferred for Khilāfah after 'Uthmān ເວັ້ອ:

عن الأحنف بن قيس قال: قدمنا المدينة ونحن نريد الحج فإنا لبمنازلنا نضع رحالنا إذ أتانا آت فقال قد فزعوا وقد اجتمعوا في المسجد فانطلقنا فإذا الناس مجتمعون على نفر في وسط المسجد وإذا على والزبير وطلحة وسعد بن ابى وقاص وإنا لكذلك إذ جاء عثمان بن عفان فقيل هذا عثمان قد جاء وعليه مليثة له صفراء قد قنع بها رأسه، فقال أهاهنا علي؟ قالوا نعم قال: أهاهنا الزبير قالوا نعم قال أهاهنا طلحة قالوا نعم قال أنشدكم بالله الذي لا إله إلا هو أتعلمون ان رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال من يبتع مربد بني فلان غفر الله له فابتعته بعشرين أو بخمسه وعشرين ألفا فأتيت النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم فقلت يا رسول الله، قد ابتعته قال اجعله في مسجدنا وأجره لك قالوا اللهم نعم وذكر أشياء من هذا النوع قال الأحنف فلقيت طلحة والزبير فقلت من تأمراني به وترضيانه لي؟ فإني لا أرى هذا الرجل إلا مقتولا، قالا على قلت: أتأمراني به وترضيانه لي قالا نعم فانطلقت حتى قدمت مكة فبينا نحن بها إذ أتانا قتل عثمان وبها عائشة أم المؤمنين فلقيتها فقلت من تأمريني أن أبايع قالت على قلت تأمرينني به وترضينه لي قالت نعم فمررت على على بالمدينة فبايعته ثم رجعت إلى أهلي بالبصرة ولا أرى الأمر إلا قد استقام نعم فمررت على على بالمدينة فبايعته ثم رجعت إلى أهلي بالبصرة ولا أرى الأمر إلا قد استقام

As we joined them, 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān arrived.

"Here's 'Uthmān," someone said. "He's arrived."

He was wearing a length of yellow cloth of his and had covered his head with it.

"Is 'Alī here?" he asked.

"Yes," they replied.

"Is al-Zubayr here?" he asked.

"Yes," they replied.

"Is Talhah here?" he asked.

"Yes," they replied.

So 'Uthmān said, "I entreat you in Allah's name, besides Whom there is no deity but He! Do you attest that the Messenger of Allah will forgive him?" After which I bought it for 20,000 or 25,000 dirhams. When I then came to the Prophet and said, 'O Messenger of Allah! I bought it,' and he replied, 'Link it with our mosque, and the reward will be yours [in the Hereafter]!"

They replied, "Allah be our witness! We certainly attest to this."

He then mentioned other similar events.

Later, when I then met Ṭalḥah and al-Zubayr I asked them, "Whom do you command and wish me to give allegiance to? It is inevitable this man is going to be killed."

They all replied, "[To] 'Alī," so I confirmed it a second time, "You command and wish me to give allegiance to him?" and they responded, "Yes!"

So I set off and went to Makkah, and while we were there the news of 'Uthmān's murder reached us.

'Ā'ishah , the Mother of the Believers, was there, and when I met her I asked, "Whom do you command me to give allegiance to?"

"[To]ʿAlī," she replied.

I confirmed this with her again asking, "You command and wish me to give allegiance to him?" to which she replied, "Yes!"

Subsequently, I returned to 'Alī is in Mādīnah and pledged my allegiance to him. I then returned to my people in Baṣrah, assuming the entire matter had been properly settled.¹

Why would she lie about the Waṣiyyah yet nominate him later, only to disagree with his policies later on. The reasoning found in al- $Mur\bar{a}ja^{\dot{a}}\bar{a}t$ fails to account for these historical facts.

Did she rejoice at the death of 'Alī wells:?

The allegation that she rejoiced when the news of 'Alī's was murder reached her is neither proven through reliable reports nor is it consistent with the other corroborated reports that establish an amiable relationship.

Despite the existence of such reports in books of history², they do not appear with any verifiable chain of transmission on the basis of which they can be objectively evaluated. One of the problems with some historical reports, especially those that provide details on a detailed historical event is the phenomenon of compound Isnāds. A historian attempts to reconstruct the narrative from a series of individual reports without differentiating between the different versions especially when all sorts of narrators are involved. Reports about 'Ā'ishah rejoicing were in circulation, but have never stood the test of academic rigour.

One such work that cites a chain of transmission for the specific details of the incident in question is *Maqātil al-Ṭālibiyyīn* by Abū al-Ṭaraj Al-Ṭṣfahānī.³ Whilst

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 4 pg. 497.

² Al-Tabaqāt, vol. 3 pg. 39; Tārīkh al-Tabarī, vol. 5 pg. 150.

³ Abū al-Faraj ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥaytham al-Umawī al-Iṣfahānī (284–356 A.H) an Umayyad Shīʿī. He was known for his literary talents, a man of words, and an authority of prose and verse. Among his many works is one called *Maqātil al-Ṭālibiyyīn* wherein he compiled the biographies, along with vivid details of those from the line of Abū Ṭālib who were poisoned or slain, whether in battle, or by the Khawārij, Umayyads, or their ʿAbbāsid cousins. This type of historical-biographic literature was in vogue especially among Shīʿī scholars. Abū al-Faraj al-Iṣfahānī appears to have relied on many works of this genre as source material for his own work.

some questioned his academic integrity and accuse him of plagiarism¹; we do not wish to pursue this line of reasoning as it appears that this chain of transmission exists elsewhere as well. Instead, we wish to draw attention to the actual chain of transmission that specifically mentions the alleged celebration of 'Ā'ishah ****

It is important to note that despite these chains of transmission appearing in other works, or the incident being mentioned in other works, the combination of the alleged incident that 'A'ishah rejoiced at the news of 'Alī's death is only cited with the chain of transmission in Maqātil al-Ṭālibiyyīn. It follows that the discussion on this chain addresses all the citations.

The incident is narrated with the following chain:

i. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥusayn al-Ushnānī — Mūsā ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Masrūqī² — ʿUthmān ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — Ismāʿīl ibn Rāshid with a truncated Isnād.³

Ismā'īl ibn Rāshid

Firstly, this chain is interrupted. The exact number of missing links between the last narrator, Ismā'īl ibn Rāshid and the alleged eyewitnesses to the incident is a point of debate among Ḥadīth experts. The interrupted chain though remains a point of consensus.

Ismāʿīl ibn Rāshid is relatively unknown as a narrator of Ḥādīth. He is only mentioned alongside his brothers as a set of quadruplets who all had Ḥadīth interests. About Ismāʿīl, no further details are furnished in terms of his aptitude or his integrity as a narrator.⁴

¹ Tārīkh Baghdād, vol. 11 pgs. 398-400.

² Ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī narrates from him in many places in a number of his works including his $Tafs\bar{i}r$ and his $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$.

³ Maqātil al-Ṭālibiyyīn, pg. 42.

⁴ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr, vol.1 pg.353; Al-Jarḥ wa al-Taʿdīl, vol. 2 pg. 169.

Ibn Kathīr seems to have found his narrations objectionable, in addition to the fact that there are clear Shīʿī biases in his version of a number of historical events for which there is no external corroboration.¹

'Uthmān ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān

Moving on from the issues associated with Ismāʿīl ibn Rāshid we are also faced with determining the identity of his student, 'Uthmān ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān. Despite no clarification of which 'Uthmān ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān the actual narrator in this chain in *Maqātil al-Ṭālibiyyīn* is, we are confident that he is correctly identified as 'Uthmān ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Ṭarā'ifī.

Imām Muḥammad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī relates some of the events leading upto the murder of ʿAlī with a chain that links up with the chain of Abū al-Faraj at: Mūsā ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Masrūqī² — ʿUthmān ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Ḥarrānī al-Ṭarā'ifī — Ismā'īl ibn Rāshid with a truncated isnād.

The same chain 'Uthmān ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Ṭarā'ifī — Ismāʿīl ibn Rāshid, appears elsewhere describing the murder of 'Alī ÉÉÉ. These are cited by Abū Nuʻaym Al-Iṣfahānī³ and Ibn 'Asākir⁴ but without mention of 'A'ishah ÉÉÉ.

'Uthmān ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Ḥarrānī earned himself the nickname al-Ṭarā'ifī on account of him seeking out gossip stories as well as unsubstantiated stories of events that occurred, especially during the Fitnah.

In terms of his integrity as a narrator he was found to be honest by most accounts; there is no clear indication of him being deliberately

¹ Al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah, vol. 11 pg. 133.

² Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 5 pg. 159.

³ Maʻrifat al-Ṣaḥābah, vol. 1 pg. 83.

⁴ Tārīkh Dimashq, vol. 21 pg. 346.

unscrupulous when narrating. The experts believe that the problems in his narrations usually originate further up the isnād since he was known to have narrated from an abundance of unreliable sources.

Consequently, the narrations which he has transmitted from these types of sources tend to be in stark contrast to the well-established testimonial accounts of both the Prophetic reports as well as the details of the historical events that he relates.¹

The ubiquitous deception in al- $Mur\bar{a}ja\dot{a}t$ holds up yet again; this time in the sense that the narration cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn suffers from combinations of defects, any one of which was sufficient to caste aspersions on the authenticity of this narration.

ii. Muḥāmmad ibn Ḥusayn al-Ushnānī — Aḥmad ibn Ḥāzim — ʿ**Āṣim ibn** '**Āmir** and '**Uthmān ibn Abī Shaybah** — Jarīr —al-A'mash — 'Amr ibn Murrah — Abū al-Bakhtarī²

ʿĀṣim ibn ʿĀmir

'Āṣim ibn 'Āmir is considered *Majhūl*, and is known to be a Shīʿī.³He is on record for having narrated a fabricated Ḥadīth wherein it states that gazing upon 'Alī is a form of worship, in addition to the fact that Jarīr is not listed as one of his teachers.⁴

¹ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr, vol.6 pg. 238 ; al-Jarḥ wal-Taʿdīl, vol.6 pg.157; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 19 pgs 428-431, Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl, vol.3 pg.45 ; al-Kāshif, vol.3 pg.390 bio. 3718 ; Taqrīb al-Tahdhīb, bio. 4494.

² Maqātil al-Tālibiyyīn, pg.42.

³ Su'ālāt al-Sulamī li al-Dāragutnī, pg. 235.

⁴ Tālī Talkhīs al-Mutashābih, vol. 1 pg. 365.

'Uthmān ibn Abī Shaybah

'Uthmān ibn Abī Shaybah recanted his narration of this Ḥadīth and al-Dāraquṭnī says that it has no basis and considers it a forgery.¹

There is absolutely no shred of reliable evidence to indicate that she rejoiced at the occasion of 'Alī's was murder or that she prostrated in gratitude when the news of his death reached her. That is not to say that there were no ups and downs in their relationship. If we examine the nature of their relationship in the peak of the controversy it becomes evidently clear for any fair-minded person to realise that the allegations of her rejoicing are false and that she never withheld anything favourable about 'Alī was.

As we alluded to in the paragraph above, their relationship was generally pleasant. Notwithstanding this there were times when there was some tension but this tended to be temporary.

1. In the wake of the Battle of Jamal, upon her departure from Baṣrah, 'Ā'ishah set the record straight about the nature of her relationship with 'Alī set it be misconstrued. Consequently, 'Alī set confirmed her description of the nature of their relationship.

وجهز علي عائشة بكل شيء ينبغي لها من مركب أو زاد أو متاع وأخرج معها كل من نجا ممن خرج معها إلا من أحب المقام، واختار لها أربعين امرأة من نساء أهل البصرة المعروفات وقال تجهز يا محمد فبلغها فلما كان اليوم الذي ترتحل فيه جاءها حتى وقف لها وحضر الناس فخرجت على الناس وودعوها وودعتهم وقالت يا بني تعتب بعضنا على بعض استبطاء واستزادة فلا يعتدن أحد منكم على أحد بشيء بلغه من ذلك إنه والله ما كان بيني وبين على في القديم إلا ما يكون بين المرأة وأحمائها وإنه عندي على معتبي من الاخيار قال على يا ايها الناس صدقت والله وبرت ما كان بيني وبينها إلا ذلك وانها لزوجه نبيكم صلى الله عليه وسلم في الدنيا والآخرة وخرجت يوم السبت لغرة رجب سنة ست وثلاثين وشيعها على أميالا وسرح بنيه معها يوما

'Alī equipped 'Ā'ishah with everything she needed in the form of conveyence, provisions, and other baggage. Additionally, and sent with her

¹ Ta'liqāt al-Dāraquṭnī 'alā al-Majrūḥīn, pg. 128.

all those who had fought on her side and had survived, except for anyone who wished to stay behind. He also selected forty prominent Basran women to go with her.

He said, "Prepare [for the journey], O Muhammad! and see that she arrives."

So when the day of her departure arrived he came to her, stood with her and bid her farewell. Since, the people were assembled there as well, she went out to them and they said their farewells to her and she to them, "My sons, some of us have criticized others saying they were too slow [in taking action] or excessive [in taking action]. But don't let any of you use recent events against one another, or over anything you might hear about this [later on]. By Allah! There was never anything in the past between me and 'Alī other than what usually happens between a woman and her male in-laws. In my opinion, he has shown himself to be one of the best of men, despite my criticism [against him in taking action]."

To which 'Alī commented, "O assembly of people, I swear by Allah, she has spoken the truth and proven her genuine goodwill. It is true, all there ever was between us is that [which she spoke of]. She's the wife of your Prophet in this world and the next."

She departed on a Saturday, at the beginning of Rajab, in the year 36 AH. 'Alī escorted her for a few miles and then appointed his sons to accompany her for a days journey.¹

2. She held fond memories of 'Alī and reminisced the manner in which he would express himself if he encountered something that pleased him. In holding on to those memories she continued to supplicate for him.

يرحم الله عليا إنه كان من كلامه لا يرى شيئا يعجبه إلا قال صدق الله ورسوله فيذهب أهل العراق يكذبون عليه ويزيدون عليه في الحديث.

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 4 pg. 544.

May Allah have mercy on 'Alī ***! It was his habit, if he saw something he liked, to say: Allah and His Messenger spoke the truth. But the people of 'Irāq fabricated lies against him and added words to what he said.'

It is necessary to take into account the context in which this was said; this is the tail end of a lengthy conversation wherein she queried the account of what had transpired between 'Alī and the Khawārij. Her responses are understood to indicate her approval of his policy towards the Khawārij. She also confirms the fact that versions of events were embellished with untruths; this is especially true for some of the people of Iraq.

3. She agreed to the request of al-Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī المنافقة that he be buried in her home alongside his grandfather منافقة. This fact has even been attested to by the Shīʿī scholar, Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, who considers this a noble gesture on her part.²

These are but a few incidents that dispel the conjecture around the nature of the relation between 'Ā'ishah and the other members of the Ahl al-Bayt. As a matter of immediate concern we can see that 'Ā'ishah if she indeed did not wish 'Alī any good, would not have even cited a single Ḥadīth mentioning his merits, she would not have considered 'Alī as a nominee for Khilāfah and she would have disapproved of his fighting the Khawārij.

Her disposition throughout appears to be consistent, the temporary period of tension emerging in the aftermath of the murder of 'Uthmān 'www for which she initially felt justified yet later expressed her regret is something which 'Alī 'www acknowledged and accepted. He reciprocated similar sentiments towards her. Bearing these facts in mind we can be sure that whatever 'Abd al-Ḥusayn cited in the form of examples were either forgeries or were true events whose narrative he manipulated. Let us examine the *line of argument* presented in *al-Murājaʿāt*.

¹ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 2 pg. 86, Ḥādīth no: 656.

² Tārīkh Dimashq, vol. 13 pg. 289; Sharh Nahj al-Balāqhah, vol. 16 pg. 51.

Concealing 'Alī's in name out of hostility towards him?

The narration which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn uses to establish an adversarial relationship between 'Ā'ishah 🕬 and 'Alī 🕬 is as follows:

عن الزهري قال أخبرني عبيد الله بن عبد الله بن عتبة عن عائشة قالت مرض رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في بيت ميمونة فاستأذن نساءه أن يمرض في بيتي فأذن له فخرج رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم معتمدا على العباس وعلى رجل آخر ورجلاه تخطان في الأرض. وقال عبيد الله فقال ابن عباس أتدري من ذلك الرجل هو على بن أبى طالب ولكن عائشة لا تطيب له نفسا

Al-Zuhri narrates from 'Ubayd Allāh ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Utbah that 'Ā'ishah said:

"The Prophet's indexed ailment became aggravated at the home of Maymūnah so he sought permission from his wives to be nursed in my house. So they gave him the permission. Thereafter the Prophet indexed with the support of 'Abbās, and another man, and his legs were dragging on the ground."

'Ubayd Allāh (the narrator from 'Ā'ishah ("Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās said to me, 'Do you know who the other man was? He was 'Alī ibn Abī Tālib; but 'Ā'ishah was not pleased with him"

Firstly, let is classify the elements of this narration and then proceed with our discussion on it.

- A. The Prophet ﷺ was ill and sought permission to be nursed at the home of 'Ā'ishah ﴿وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْ
- B. All the Prophet's مَالِسُمَلِيهُ wives consented that he be nursed at the home of 'Ā'ishah مَوْلِسُهُمْ.
- C. Due to the severity of his illness he needed support to walk.

¹ Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 40 pg. 67, Ḥādīth no: 24061.

- D. He مَا سَعُوسَا was supported on either side by two men whilst his feet dragged on the ground.
- E. 'Ā'ishah will identifies one of them as al-'Abbās will and does not name the other.
- F. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās @ points out that it was 'Alī de de de la company de la compa
- G. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās states that 'Ā'ishah was not pleased with 'Alī.

As far as being nursed at the home of 'Ā'ishah is concerned, we have previously established that this was suggested by Fāṭimah is.1

The elements of immediate concern are elements [E] & [G]

This particular incident is narrated by way of 'Ubayd Allāh ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Utbah wherein he relates it in the presence of Ibn 'Abbās (Ibayd Allāh ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Utbah there are two primary narrators who relate this incident from him and are both reliable narrators:

I. Mūsā ibn Abī ʿĀ'ishah al-Hamdānī al-Kūfī2 who mentions al-ʿAbbās as one of those who assisted [E] and without the statement of Ibn ʿAbbās about ʿĀ'ishah [G].

This is considered the most sound version of this $Had\bar{t}h$. The versions of this node of the $Had\bar{t}h$: Mūsā ibn Abī 'Ā'ishah — 'Ubayd Allāh — 'Ā'ishah are much more consistent in the way they are worded.

II. Muhammad ibn Muslim ibn Shihāb al-Zuhrī

¹ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 232-233.

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Adhān, Ḥadīth no: 687; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ṣalah, Ḥadīth no: 418 (90), Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 43 pg. 233, Ḥadīth no: 26137.

³ Tuḥfat al-Ashrāf, vol. 11 pg. 298.

From Ibn Shihāb al-Zuhrī there are a number varriations with different narrators:

Şāliḥ ibn Kaysān

a. Ibrāhim ibn Sa'd¹ — Mentioning al-ʿAbbās ﷺ as one of those who assisted [E] and without the statement of Ibn ʿAbbās ﷺ about ʿĀ'ishah [G].

2. Yaʻqūb ibn ʻUtbah

- a. Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq
 - i. Yūnus ibn Bukayr² Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
 - ii. Ziyād ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Bakkā'ī³ Mentioning al-Faḍl ibn 'Abbās instead of al-'Abbās without mentioning [G].

3. Shuʻayb ibn Abī Ḥamzah

a. Abū al-Yamān, Ḥakam ibn Nāfī 4 — Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].

4. 'Uqayl ibn Khālid

- a. Layth ibn $Sa'd^5$ Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
- 5. Sufyān ibn 'Uyaynah

¹ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 178.

² Dalā'il al-Nubuwwah, vol. 7 pg. 169.

³ Ibn Hishām, vol. 2 pg. 649.

⁴ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Wudū, Ḥadīth no: 198.

⁵ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukḥārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth no: 4442; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ṣalah, Ḥadīth no: 418 (92).

- a. Muḥāmmad ibn Manṣūr¹ Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
- b. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal² Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
- c. Sahl ibn Abī Sahl³ Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].

6. Ma'mar ibn Rāshid

- a. 'Abd Allāh ibn al-Mubārak
 - i. Bishr ibn Muḥammad⁴ Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
 - ii. Suwayd ibn Naṣr⁵ Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
 - iii. Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥajjāj⁶ Mentioning al-Faḍl ibn 'Abbās instead of al-'Abbās and mentioning [G].
- b. 'Abd al-Razzāq ibn Hammām al-Ṣan'ānī⁷
 - i. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal⁸ Mentioning **al-Faḍl ibn ʿAbbās instead of al-ʿAbbās** and mentioning **[G]**.
 - ii. Muḥammad ibn Rāfi⁹ Mentioning **al-Faḍl**

¹ Sunan al-Nasā'ī al-Kubrā, Kitāb Wafāt al-Nabī مَالْتَعَامِينَةُ, Ḥadīth no: 7251; Kitāb 'Ishrat al-Nisā, Ḥadīth no: 9083.

² Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 40 pg. 123, Ḥadīth no: 24103

³ Sunan ibn Mājah, Kitāb al-Janā'iz, Ḥadīth no: 1618

⁴ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukḥārī, Kitāb al-Ṭibb, Ḥadīth no: 5714

⁵ Sunan al-Nasā'ī al-Kubrā, Kitāb Wafāt al-Nabī مَثَالِمُعُلِّعُوسَالًة, Ḥadīth no: 7246

⁶ al-Ţabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 179

⁷ Muşannaf 'Abd al-Razzāq, vol. 5 pg.429; Ḥadīth no: 9854

⁸ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 43 pg. 86, Hādīth no: 25914

⁹ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ṣalah, Ḥadīth no: 418 (91)

ibn 'Abbās instead of al-'Abbās without mentioning [G].

iii. 'Abd ibn Ḥumayd¹ — Mentioning al-Faḍl ibn 'Abbās instead of al-'Abbās without mentioning [G].

c. 'Abd Al-A'lā

i. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal² — Mentioning al-ʿAbbās [E] as well as [G].

d. Hishām ibn Yūsuf

i. Ibrāhīm Mūsā³ — Mentioning al-ʿAbbās [E] without the statement about ʿĀʾishah [G].

7. Yūnus ibn Yazīd al-Aylī

- a. 'Abd Allāh ibn al-Mubārak
 - i. Bishr ibn Muḥammad⁴ Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
 - ii. Suwayd ibn Naṣr⁵ Mentioning [E] without mentioning [G].
 - iii. Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥajjāj⁶ Mentioning al-Faḍl ibn ʿAbbās instead of al-ʿAbbās and mentioning [G].

Having surveyed the spectrum of this narrations we can confidently state the following:

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ṣalah, Ḥadīth no: 418 (91)

² Musnad Ahmad, vol. 40 pg. 67, Hadīth no: 24061

³ Saḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Adhān, Ḥadīth no: 665; Kitāb al-Hibah, Ḥadīth no: 2588.

⁴ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukḥārī, Kitāb al-Tibb, Ḥadīth no: 5714.

⁵ Sunan al-Nasā'ī al-Kubrā, Kitāb Wafāt al-Nabī صَالَتُعَلِّينِي Hadīth no: 7246.

⁶ Al-Tabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 179.

- 1. Independently, any of these chains would satisfy the criteria of acceptance.
- 2. However, when compared against each other, anomalies appear across some versions which might be attributed to an oversight on the part of a reliable narrator when it comes to a specific narration.
- 3. The variations appear in the version narrated by the students of al-Zuhrī only.
- 4. The variations occur within the following elements:
 - a. The identity of the man whom she names as having supported the Prophet مَالِسُنَا . some versions say al-ʿAbbās مَالِثُنَا and another man, whilst other says al-Fadl ibn ʿAbbās مُنْفَقَعُهُ and another man.
 - b. Whether Ibn 'Abbās said that she was not pleased with 'Alī: A few versions include this statement whilst the majority of them do not include it. They merely state that he identified the other man as being 'Alī sais.
- 5. The nodes of Ma'mar al-Zuhrī and Yūnus al-Zuhrī are the only nodes of the Isnād to have these divergent versions combined, and they are the only nodes of the Isnād to cite the statement of Ibn 'Abbās [G]. The narration from Ibn Īsḥāq does not mention [G].

Bearing these factors in mind, there are a number of possible routes that one could use to explain this narration.

a. The additional comment of Ibn 'Abbās 'EG] can be considered anomalous as it only appears in versions of the Ḥadīth which diverge from the main narrative on the identity of the person that 'Ā'ishah in names, i.e Al-Faḍl ibn 'Abbās instead of al-'Abbās Following this line of argument the following factors have significance:

- ii. Are the anomalies attributed to both Maʿmar and Yūnus or are they those of Maʿmar alone? The anomalous version from Ibn al-Mubārak is only narrated by Aḥmad ibn Ḥajjāj, from Ibn al-Mubārak, from both Maʿmar and Yūnus. The other versions from Ibn al-Mubārak do not mention these points
- iii. It could be that Maʿmar erred on occasion when narrating this Ḥadīth, since some of the other narrators who relate this from him narrate the mainstream version.
- iv. It could also be that Ibn al-Mubārak heard both versions differently, but when narrating them he would give the Isnād for both, but only the wording of one.
- v. Either way, the divergent details will be considered anomalous.
- vi. This puts to rest the insinuation that al-Bukhārī deliberately redacted his version of the Ḥadīth as is his alleged habit. On the contrary, what al-Bukhārī does is consistent with the methodology of Ḥadīth critics before and after him.
- vii. A Ḥadīth critic is required also to evaluate a narration according to multiple considerations. In addition to the continuity of the chain and reliability of the narrators, if they find a Ḥadīth narrated from a common source, they can draw an inference about the accuracy of the report according to whether the report agrees with what others have narrated from that common source, or if it contradicts what others have narrated from him. In the case of inconsistent narrations, one draws an inference regarding what has been correctly transmitted from what is an unintended error through cross-examining the variations and observing what is common from what is inconsistent.
- b. The variations from the students of al-Zuhri could be reconciled by factoring other versions which are not narrated by way of al-Zuhrī

wherein she names others who had undertaken the task of supporting the Prophet مَالْسَعَالِهُ . Considered from this perspective, there is a compelling reason why she could not recall exactly whom the other person was since there were more than one instance where the Prophet مَالِسُتَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلِيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَلْعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهُ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لِعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لِعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلِيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لِعَلَيْهِ لِعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلِي لِعَلَيْهِ لِعَلَيْهِ لِعَلَيْهِ لِعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلْهُ لِعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلِي لِعَلَيْهِ لَعَلِي لِعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لَعَلَيْهِ لِعَلِ

- i. Al-'Abbās and another man.
- ii. Al-Fadl and another man.
- iii. Two men, one of them was Uṣāmah.
- iv. Usāmah and al-Faḍl.
- v. Barīrah and Nūbah.
- vi. Al-Fadl and Thawbān.
- c. The nature of the relationship between 'Alī and 'Ā'ishah (Alī described in this narration was no more than the assumption of Ibn 'Abbās (Alī alī described in this narration was no more than the assumption of Ibn 'Abbās (Alī alī described in the events after Jamal. Even if it were to be conceded that she was not pleased with 'Alī alī alī as might be inferred from the assumption attibuted to Ibn 'Abbās (Alī alī described in the events after Jamal. Even if it were to be conceded that she was not pleased with 'Alī as might be inferred from the assumption attibuted to Ibn 'Abbās (Abbās about the issue of like him? Let uss see what Ibn 'Abbās (Abbās about the issue of Waṣiyyah. Bear in mind that 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās (Served as governor under 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib (Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās (Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās)).

Arqam ibn Shurāḥbīl relates:

عن الأرقم بن شرحبيل قال سافرت مع ابن عباس من المدينة إلى الشام فسألته أوصى لنبي ﷺ فذكر معناه وقال ما قضى رسول الله ﷺ الصلاة حتى ثقل جدا فخرج يهادى بين رجلين وإن رجليه لتخطان في الأرض فمات رسول الله ﷺ ولم يوص

¹ Fath al-Bārī, vol. 8 pg. 141.

I travelled with Ibn ʿAbbās 🏎 from Madīnah to al-Shām, and [during that journey] I asked him, "Did the Prophet Lower do Waşiyyah [in terms of succession]?"

So he mentioned something similar [to the narration preceding it which details the events prior to the demise of the Prophet and is similar to what 'Ā'ishah reserved related]

He said, "The Messenger did not make up his prayer until his illness intensified tremendously. He went out holding on two men for support, whilst his legs dragged on the ground. The Messenger of Allah طبعت departed from this world having not left behind any Wasiyyah."

Once again, 'Ā'ishah stands vindicated against the mendacity of bigots. Had she been resentful towards 'Alī and allowed her feelings towards him to deny any Waṣiyyah, why would 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās deny any such Waṣiyyah? Not only was he the cousin of 'Alī and, but his supporter and defender during the Fitnah. The growing list of those who deny any Waṣiyyah simply expunges the malicious allegations hurled against 'A'ishah

How could she defend 'Ammār www yet refuse to defend 'Alī www?

'Abd al-Ḥusayn cites a narration by way of 'Aṭā ibn Yasār from 'Ā'ishah that a person spoke ill of 'Alī and 'Ammār in her presence so she avoided defending 'Alī but proceeded to defend 'Ammār is.

عن حبيب بن أبي ثابت عن عطاء بن يسار قال جاء رجل فوقع في علي وفي عمار رضي الله تعالى عنهما عند عائشة فقالت أما علي فلست قائلة لك فيه شيئا وأما عمار فإني سمعت رسول الله ﷺ يقول لا يخير بين أمرين إلا اختار أرشدهما

'Ā'ishah was present when a man spoke disfavourably about 'Alī and 'Ammār so she said, "As far as 'Alī is concerned I am not going to say

¹ Musnad Ahmad, vol. 5 pg. 358, Hadīth 3356.

anything about him, but in terms of 'Ammār, I heard the Messenger of Allah saying about 'Ammār, "He is not given a choice between two matters, except that he chooses the one with more guidance in it."

The narration, at first glance and when stripped of its context, as has been the case in so many rounds of 'correspondence', might lend itself to the assumption that she avoided defending 'Alī ***. However, if carefully considered it is essentially in favour of 'Alī *** and is acknowledging her misjudgement in the events that led up to the battle of Jamal with the benefit of hindsight. The yardstick which she is using to determine the preponderance and primacy of 'Alī's *** position in the events that transpired after his nomination as a Khalīfah is the discretion and affiliation of 'Ammār ibn Yāsir ****.

Phrased differently, her argument could read as follows: whilst both we and 'Alī had cause to act in the way that we did, objectively speaking only one party was correct in this unfortunate episode; and that happens to be the party to which 'Ammār happens digned himself. After all, when presented with more than one option he will adopt the one closest to ultimate guidance. 'Ammār happened to be on the side of 'Alī happened to 'Alī happened to 'Alī happened to 'Alī ha

How could the Prophet not have made a Wasiyyah?

'Abd al-Ḥusayn makes the following arguments why he believes that it is not possible for the Prophet مَا اللهُ ال

¹ *Musnad Aḥmad*, vol. 41 pg. 322, Ḥadīth no: 24820; *al-Tirmidhī*, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no. 3799; *Ibn Mājah*, Kitāb al-Muqaddimah, Ḥadīth no. 148; *Sunan al-Nasā'ī al-Kubrā*, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥadīth no. 8415. Some contemporaries have implied that there is a problem with the Ḥadīth citing al-'Uqaylī that the combination in the chain Ḥabīb — 'Aṭā has a number of inconsistencies and this might be one of them. It appears that the 'Aṭā' being spoken about there is 'Aṭā ibn Abī Rabāḥ, not 'Aṭā ibn Yasār. The Ḥadīth here is also corroborated by versions narrated by 'Abd Allah ibn Mas'ūd (Musnad Aḥmad: Hadīth no.3693).

- 1. It is an obligation entrenched in the Qur'ān.
- 2. The Prophet ﴿ الله forbade anyone who had a Waşiyyah to make that he delay in doing so.
- 3. It is inconceivable that the Prophet نا would not appoint his successor. How would the fledgling Muslim community survive without a successor appointed over them? If the Qur'ān ordains Waṣiyyah to protect the wealth of orphans and widows, why is it not rational to regard the Prophet's خاصتات demise a greater reason for Waṣiyyah since the entire Ummah was orphaned and widowed when it is their religion and their wealth that is at risk?
- 4. The Prophet ﴿ الله had already appointed 'Alī عَالِمُهُ as his successor in the early days, and he repeatedly did so verbally. However, those with ambition intervened and prevented the documentation of such a Waṣiyyah.
- 5. How could 'Ā'ishah deny the Waṣiyyah claiming that the Prophet مَالِمُتُعَالِينَةُ passed away in her arms and she was unaware of any such Waṣiyyah; when he مَالَمُتَعَالِينَةُ actually breathed his last whilst resting his head on the chest of 'Alī? At least this is what is found in the Mutawātir accounts of the Shī'ah.

To address these arguments let us approach them in sequence

To support his claim that it is religious obligation to do Waṣiyyah ʿAbd al-Husayn cites a verse from Sūrah al-Baqarah but in his citation he redacts a part of the verse. Below is the verse in its entirety

Prescribed for you, when death approaches any one of you and he leaves behind wealth, is that he make a will bequeathing to the parents and nearest relatives, in accordance with what is conventional; being an obligation upon the God-fearing.¹

¹ Sūrah al-Baqarah: 180.

When this verse is cited in *al-Murājaʿāt* it is manipulated with surgical precision, the verse is truncated at the word *Waṣiyyah*. It is implied that leaving behind a Waṣiyyah of any kind is a religious obligation. How could the Prophet مُعْلَقُتُهُ have left this world without a will?

What is ignored is the fact that the verse deals with bequeathing wealth, specifically, before death approaches. In the early days of Islam there was no allocation of shares to the heirs of the deceased in a fixed formula. A persons estate would be distributed according to his Waṣiyyah. It is in this context that the verse was revealed; Allah made it an obligation to stipulate how ones estate would be wound up. The obligation of this verse was later abrogated when the verses of inheritance in Sūrah al-Nisā' were revealed. The Prophet then set a limit of one-third of the deceased estate for Waṣiyyah to non-heirs.¹ This verse has absolutely nothing to do with the concept of Waṣiyyah in terms of succession and leadership.

The word *Khayr* in the verse, which is translated as "goodness" in *al-Murājaʿāt*, actually means **wealth**. This is how the verse was explained by 'Alī himself, and it is also the explanation provided by 'Ā'ishah 'Abbās 'Abbās Allāhid among many others.²

Next we examine the Ḥadīth of Ibn 'Umar المُعَلِّقَةُ:

The Messenger of Allah على said, "It is the duty of a Muslim man who has something which is to be given as a Waṣiyyah not to have it for two nights without having his will written regarding it."

¹ al-Durr al-Manthūr, vol 2 pgs. 161-165.

² Ibid.

³ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukḥārī, Kitāb al-Waṣāyā, Ḥadīth no: 2738; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Waṣiyyah, Ḥadīth no: 1627.

Again, this Ḥadīth deals with wealth that is to be bequeathed to non-heirs and does not refer to succession. The Ḥadīth itself indicates the voluntary nature of Waṣiyyah and has no connection to the issue nomination. Notice the qualifying expression, "who has something which is to be given through Waṣiyyah."

The concept of Waṣiyyah in the context of our discussions might conflate a number of factors in a single expression. The word Waṣiyyah is understood to mean:

- a. Bequests of wealth
- b. Nomination of successor
- c. Parting advice

'Abd al-Ḥusayn concedes that the Prophet مَا اللهُ did not leave behind wealth. This is in harmony with what 'Ā'ishah عَلَيْنَا relates:

The Messenger of Allah did not leave behind a Dīnār or a Dirham, or a sheep or a camel, and he did not leave any [specific] Waṣiyyah.¹

The negation of any Waṣiyyah is qualified to a Waṣiyyah for something specific in terms of wealth or nomination. Admittedly, understanding it in the context of wealth in this narration appears to be more appropriate.

This statement of hers is corroborated by 'Amr ibn al-Ḥārith وَهَا اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ the Prophet's مَا تَسْمَعَا اللَّهُ brother-in-law:

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Waṣiyyah, Ḥadīth no: 1635.

The Messenger of Allah المنافقة left behind neither a Dīnār nor a Dirham, nor a male slave nor a female slave, nor anything else except his white riding mule, his weapons and his land which he had given in charity to wayfarers.¹

The context, however, for the other Ḥadīth of ʿĀʾishah is that at some point a rumour began to emerge that the Prophet is made Waṣiyyah for ʿAlī to succeed him. She, along with others, was surprised at this notion since it was never known that the Prophet had made any such Waṣiyyah for ʿAlī despite having given many public advices:

- a. Waşiyyah about Şalah,2
- b. Waşiyyah about slaves,3
- c. Waşiyyah about holding on to the Qur'an,4
- d. Wasiyyah about caring for Ahl al-Bayt,5
- e. Waṣiyyah about caring for the Anṣār,6
- f. Waṣiyyah about expelling the Polytheists from the Arabian Peninsula,
- g. Wasiyyah to observe Taqwa,8
- h. Waṣiyyah to remain united as a community and to obey those in authority,9

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth no: 4461.

² Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 19 pg. 209, Ḥadīth 12169; Ibn Mājah, Kitāb al-Waṣiyyah, ḥadīth no: 2697.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Waṣāyā, Ḥadīth no: 2740.

⁵ Sahīh Muslim, Hadīth no: 2408.

⁶ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Manāqib al-Anṣār , Ḥadīth no: 3799; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Faḍā'il, Ḥadīth no: 2501.

⁷ Sahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Hādīth no: 4431; Sahīh Muslim, Kitāb al-Wasiyyah, Hadīth no: 1637.

⁸ Abū Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Sunnah, Ḥadīth no: 4607; al-Tirmidhī, Abwāb al-ʿIlm, Ḥadīth no: 2676; Ibn Mājah, Kitāb al-Muqaddimah, Hadīth no: 42.

⁹ Ibid.

- i. Waṣiyyah to hold firm on the Sunnah and on the way of the Rightly Guided Khulafā', $^{\rm 1}$
- j. Wasiyyah warning about newly invented matters in religion,²
- k. Waşiyyah about holding a good opinion of Allah,3
- l. Waşiyyah for Abū Bakr to lead the prayer,4
- m. Waṣiyyah for all doors leading to the Masjid be closed and only the door of Abū Bakr leading into the Masjid be open,⁵
- n. Waṣiyyah for the army of Usāmah to proceed.6

These are a brief list of some of the general things that the Prophet Augustian made Waṣiyyah for during his final days and weeks. In all these public engagements not once did the Prophet Make this alleged appointment. Afterwards, when he was being nursed it was in the home of 'Ā'ishah was, she was never absent such that he made any Waṣiyyah that she was unaware of. During his final moments he was with his wife and even there no Waṣiyyah was made about 'Alī was. Bearing this context we can correctly determine the intended meaning of what 'Ā'ishah said as related by al-Aswad:

عن الأسود، قال ذكروا عند عائشة أن عليا _ رضى الله عنهما _ كان وصيا. فقالت متى أوصى إليه وقد كنت مسندته إلى صدري _ أو قالت حجري _ فدعا بالطست، فلقد انخنث في حجري، فما شعرت أنه قد مات، فمتى أوصى إليه

In a gathering where 'Ā'ishah was present some people mentioned that 'Alī had been appointed as the Prophet's successor by Waṣiyyah.

- 1 Ibid.
- 2 Ibid.
- 3 Dalā'il al-Nubuwwah, vol. 7 pg 204.
- 4 Saḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Adhān, Ḥādīth no: 678.
- 5 Şahīh al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Manāqib, Ḥādīth no: 3654.
- 6 Ibn Hishām; vol. 2 pg. 650.

'Ā'ishah ' said, "When did he do Waṣiyyah for him ('Alī)? In his final moments I was holding him up and he was on my chest (or she said: in my lap) and he asked for a wash-basin and then collapsed while in that state, and I did not even perceive that he had breathed his last, so when did he appoint him by will?"

This is also what 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās was referring to in his conversation with Argam ibn Shurāḥbīl.²

As far as the discussions around the events of the Thursday prior to the Prophet's طَالْسُتُكِمُ demise go, they will resurface in the course of the discussions. The details will be covered there.

We may now proceed to the argument that it was inconceivable that Allah's Messenger المستقبقة would not do Waṣiyyah for 'Alī فالمستقبة to lead the fledgling community.

In response to this line of reasoning we need to ask whether we believe that the Prophet مَا الله الله fulfilled his obligations and saw his mission to its end in his lifetime? If we do believe that he مَا الله was successful in his mission and that he prepared an entire generation worthy of taking this religion forward, spreading the light of Islam in other lands and establishing the Law of Allah wherever they went.

The question is not whether the fledgling Muslim community would be able to function without Waṣiyyah, it is whether a fledgling community could function without a leader. As far as the leader is concerned, the decision was left with the Ummah as to whom it would decide to lead it. As far as the Prophet is concerned, he made it clear whom he thought the most suited candidate was: he tasked him with leading the congregational prayer and ordered that all doors leading to the Masjid be sealed except his door.

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukḥārī, Kitāb al-Waṣāyā, Ḥadīth no: 2741; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Waṣiyyah, Ḥadīth no: 1636.

² Musnad Ahmad, vol. 5 pg. 358, Ḥadīth 3356.

Then we ask ourselves, had 'Alī www been appointed what would he have done differently? Would he have held back the army of Usāmah? Would he have let the recent converts who refused to pay Zakah carry on as they were? Would he let Musaylamah and his people in Najd carry on as they were? Would he not institute a written copy of the Qur'an, a Muṣḥaf? Would he not want Allah's religion to be established in Iraq, Persia, and Syria? Would he disapprove of the conquest of Jerusalem and the surrounding territories? Would he condemn the conquests of Egypt and North Africa? Which of the accomplishments or policies of the Khulafā' before him did he oppose, or what would he have done differently?

We need to read the biographies of the Ahl al-Bayt and see which of those military campaigns they participated in. We need to see how they were treated during the Khilāfah of those before 'Alī 🏎.

Having established that the Ummah accomplished unimaginable feats during the Khilāfah of those who preceded Sayyiduna ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib نفقية we put to rest the assertion that it was rationally impossible for the Prophet not to have appointed a successor.

We may now turn our attention to the claim that Waṣiyyah had been done in the early days already. This contention has been dealt with in detail in our discussions on Letters 19/20/21/22.

The narrations that have been cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn from Shīī sources have not stood up to the test of academic rigour by their own standards, yet he repeatedly chants the mantra of "our Ṣaḥīh Mutawātir reports prove the contrary." For the very least, if he managed to cite authentic reports by his own standards it might provide some credibility to his arguments. The narrations about the Prophet passing away in the arms of 'Alī from his own sources have not been referenced. As far as the Sunnī sources go, these narrations are only known by way of al-Wāqidī, whose status as a narrator has been discussed repeatedly. Regardless of what narrations he cites, it is an undisputed fact that the Prophet passed away in the home of 'Ā'ishah passed aw

Hasan wished to be buried there. Why would 'Ā'ishah wish not be present in her own home when the Prophet when the prophet expressed his desire to be nursed in her home?

Was 'Alī's succession compromised because she "withheld the Wasiyyah"?

It takes a great deal of arrogance to pin the entire denial of Waṣiyyah on her and then to malign her in an attempt to recreate a narrative of history which is at odds with reality; a narrative where the Prophet's wishes are substituted with the contrived theology of 'Abd Allāh ibn Saba' and his myrmidons.

As perplexed as 'Abd al-Ḥusayn alleges to be on account of her denial of the Waṣiyyah, objective observers have an increased sense of bewilderment at how 'Alī is portrayed as a coward by the very individuals who consider him Ma'ṣūm (infallible). How could an infallible Imām who was repeatedly nominated for succession just concede the divine appointment without a fight? How could he withhold his hand from al-'Abbās when he wanted to pledge allegiance to him? Was he not the Waṣī? How could he reproach al-'Abbās for wanting to ask the Prophet about succession? Had the Prophet for not just mentioned his appointment along with the Waṣiyyah to remove the polytheists from the Arabian Peninsula and to receive envoys as he would. Whatever argument can be made against 'Ā'ishah by the Shī'ah, can also be made against 'Alī by the Khawārij and Nawāṣib. Fortunately, the narrative of the Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā'ah vindicates both 'Ā'ishah and 'Alī .

Letter 75

Safar 17, 1330

- I. Mother of the Believers is not Ruled by Emotions
- II. The Pleasant and the Ugly are Denied by Reason
- III. Why Oppose the Claim of the Mother of Believers?
- 1) The axis upon which your argument, regarding the mother of the believers in her frank hadith denying a will to 'Ali, revolves is two folded:

One is your allegation that her biased indisposition against the Imam bids her to deny the will. Our rebuttal is that those who are familiar with her lifestyle deny the allegation that she yields to emotion while narrating hadith about the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, or that she seeks a special interest; so, she cannot be accused while quoting the Prophet's hadith, albeit if the subject matter of such hadith is someone she likes or someone she does not.

God forbid that interests dominate her mind to the extent that she lies while quoting hadith from the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, preferring to promote her own interest rather than telling the truth.

2) The other is that reason alone refuses your claim that this hadith is authentic, for it is neither logical nor permissible to conclude that the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, would leave the religion of Allah, the Exalted and the Sublime, in its cradle, while Allah's servants are following a new creed, without having made a will instructing them regarding their affairs.

The answer to your claim is that this matter is based on rational goodness and ugliness, and the Sunnis disclaim it, for reason according to their judgment does not at all determine whether something is pleasant or ugly; rather, they believe that jurisdiction is the one that determines it. They believe that whatever the

jurisdiction labels as good, they accept it as good, and whatever the jurisdiction describes as bad, they consider it as such, and reason cannot be relied upon at all in such matters.

3) As regarding what you have mentioned at the conclusion of your Letter 74, concerning your rejection of the claim of the mother of believers that the Prophet died on her chest, we are not familiar with any hadith narrated by Sunnis which disproves it; so, if you are aware of any such hadith, please oblige and state it, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 76

Safar 19, 1330

- I. Her Yielding to Sentiment
- II. Rationale Regarding the Pleasant and the Unpleasant
- III. Rejecting the Claim of the Mother of Believers
- IV. Preference of Umm Salamah's Hadith over Hers
- 1) You have stated, while dealing with the first issue, that it is wellknown from the lady's lifestyle that she does not yield to emotion, and that she does not seek any special interest. Please free your own self from the shackles of convention and sentimentality and carefully and studiously research her method of dealing with those whom she liked, as well as with those whom she did not like, for there you will see sentimentality most manifestly.

Do not forget her dealing with 'Uthman ibn 'Affan by word and deed,1 her secret and public schemes against 'Ali, Fatima, al Hasan and al-Husayn 'Ali, and her behaviour towards other mothers of the believers; nay, even with the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, himself; for in these there is a great deal of manifestations of her sentiments and interest seeking.

Suffices you for a proof what we, proving how sentimentality tempts some people into misbehaving, have cited regarding the masters of conspiracy and purgery, out of animosity towards Lady Mary [the Copt, consort of the Prophet] and her son Ibrahim, peace be upon him, till Allah, the Almighty and the Exalted One, cleared them of such unjust accusations at the hands of the Commander of the Faithful page, in a manner that is tangible and clear:2

"And Allah turned the spiteful disbelievers back empty handed." (Qur'an, 33:25).

If you desire, I may recount more proofs and state the fact that, following her own sentiments, she once said to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his

progeny, "It seems as if you reek of the odor of maghafir [odorous tiny flowers]," 3 so that he might not taste some honey at the house of the mother of believers Zainab bint Jahsh, may Allah be pleased with her.

If a trivial reason like this permits her to address the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, in such a manner, how can she be relied upon when she denies that he مَا اللهُ اللهُ وَاللهُ اللهُ اللهُ وَاللهُ وَاللّهُ وَلَّا لِللللّهُ وَاللّهُ و

She said to her: "When the Prophet weds a woman, he likes to hear her say: 'I seek refuge with Allah against you," 4 aiming thereby to turn the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, against his wedding altogether and make him hate the poor woman, as if she allowed herself to attribute statements to the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, as long as such statements served her own purpose, even when her purpose was petty or prohibitive.

Once he, peace be upon him and his progeny, asked her to see how a particular woman was doing, and she informed him of the opposite of what she had observed, seeking her own self interest. 5

Once she complained about him, peace be upon him and his progeny, to her father, succumbing again to her sentiments, saying, "Do not now be biased," 6 whereupon her father slapped her so hard that her clothes became soaked with her blood. Once, having felt angry with him , she said: "... and you claim to be Allah's Messenger...," 7 in addition to many such incidents the narrative of which would require a much larger space, and what we have quoted here must suffice.

2) You have said, while commenting on the second point, that Sunnis do not subscribe to what is called rationally pleasant or unpleasant, etc. I think of you as being above making such a statement which is reminiscent of sophists who deny even concrete facts. Among our deeds are those of whose goodness we are

quite sure, and they are praiseworthy and rewardable due to their own merits, such as charity and fairness, since we know what they are, while there are others with whose ugliness we also are familiar, and they demand repudiation and punishment because of their own evil, such as injustice and aggression, since they are what they are.

The wise know that there is a need that necessitates such judgments, and the wise are as certain regarding these matters as they are certain that the single is half the pair. Simple common sense always determines the distinction between your treatment of someone who is good to you and of someone who is not. Reason determines the goodness of the first person's treatment to you and its being praiseworthy by you, as well as the ugliness of the second and its being worthy of renunciation and punishment. Whoever doubts this is a rebel against his own reason.

Had the goodness or the evil of what we have mentioned here been matters of the legislative code, then they would not have been adopted and implemented by those who denied all divine codes such as atheists and secular rulers. In spite of their denial of religion, the latter still condone equity and goodness, determining thereupon their praise and rewards, without doubting at all the ugliness of injustice or aggression, nor the necessity to denounce such deeds and to punish their doers.

Their criterion in their judgment is nothing other than reason; so, talk no more about those who belittle reason and conscience, nor of those who deny what all wise men know, ruling in the contrary of what the human nature dictates, the nature which Allah, the Praised One, has created and embedded within His servants.

He has enabled them thereby to realize facts that are discernable by their faculty of reason, just as He made them able to recognize matters through their senses and feelings. Their nature, then, demands that they should be able to rationally judge equity and the like as good, and injustice and its peers as ugly, just as being

able to distinguish through the sense of taste between the sweetness of honey and the bitterness of colocynth [citrullus colocynthis], and through their sense of smell can they distinguish between the fragrance of musk [chenopodium botrys] and the stink of cadaver, and through their sense of touch can they distinguish between what is soft and what is rough, and through their faculty of seeing can they tell the difference between a pleasant and an ugly view, and through their faculty of hearing can they tell the difference between the music of the pipe and the braying of a donkey. Such is the nature which Allah has created:

"He created people in such a way; indeed, there is no way anyone can change His creation; this is the straight religion, though most people do not know." (30:30)

The Ash'aris desired to exaggerate the power of faith in the legislative system and the attitude towards a total submission to its judgment; therefore, they denied the judgment of the wise, saying that there is no judgment other than what is legislated.

Thus, did they become oblivious of the absolute rational theory stating that "Whatever a wise person decides should be the decision of the legislator," and heedless of the fact that they by doing so in fact left no excuse for their own selves, thus discarding any criterion whereby they might ascertain a legislative code or discard it altogether.

This is so due to the fact that to arrive at such a conclusion through legislative proofs is like running in a circle, and no pretext can be applied therewith. Had there been no authority for reason, implementing tradition or consecutively reported hadith would have been rejected. Nay! Had there been no intellect, nobody would have worshipped Allah nor come to know Him. Expounding in this subject has been recorded in a library containing works of our renowned scholars.

3) As regarding the claim of the mother of the believers that the Prophet, peace be upon him and his progeny, died on her chest, it is a claim which we reject based upon sahihs sequentially reported by members of the purified progeny partial. Refer to what others have stated as quoted by Ibn Sa'd. He quotes 'Ali 'saying: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, during his ailment [preceding his demise], said: 'Fetch me my brother,' so I came to him and he asked me to come closer, and so did I; thereupon, he reclined on me.

He continued reclining on me thus and talking to me, so much so that some of his saliva fell on me, then the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, breathed his last;" as stated on page 51, Part Two, Vol. 2, of the author's Tabaqat, in a section about those who said that the Messenger of Allah died in 'Ali's lap. It is hadith number 1107 on page 55, Vol. 4, of Kanz al-'Ummal.

Abu Na'im in his Hilyat al-Awliya', Abu Ahmad al Fardi in his Naskh, and many other authors of books of traditions have all quoted 'Ali saying: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, taught me," meaning during that sickness, "a thousand doors each one of which leads to a thousand others." It is hadith number 6009 quoted at the end of page 392, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. Whenever 'Umar ibn alKhattab was asked about anything regarding these matters, he would say nothing other than: "Ask 'Ali, since he is the one who can handle it."

Jabir ibn 'Abdullah al Ansari is quoted saying that Ka'b al Ahbar once asked 'Umar: "What were the last words of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny?" 'Umar answered: "Ask 'Ali." Ka'b did so, and 'Ali said: "I let the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, recline his head on my flanks till he finally uttered: 'Prayers! [i.e. uphold prayers] Prayers!" Ka'b said: "This, indeed, is the call of all prophets, and for this purpose are they sent." Then Ka'b asked 'Umar who gave the ceremonial funeral bath to the Prophet's corpse, and his answer was again: "Ask 'Ali."

When Ka'b asked 'Ali متابع', 'Ali answered that it was he who did so, as stated by Ibn Sa'd on page 51, Part Two, Vol. 2, of Tabaqat, and it is hadith 1106 in Kanz al-'Ummal quoted on page 55, Vol. 4. Ibn 'Abbas was asked once: "Have you seen

when the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, died, if his head was on anyone's lap?" He answered: "He died reclining on 'Ali's chest."

It was said to him that 'Urwah narrates a tradition from 'Ayesha saying that he died reclining on her chest, and Ibn 'Abbas denied it, asking the person who put the question forth: "Do you believe it?! By Allah, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, died reclining his head on 'Ali's chest, and Ali is the one who gave him his bath," as quoted by Ibn Sa'd on the same page mentioned above, and it is hadith number 1108 of the ones enumerated in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 55, Vol. 4.

Ibn Sa'd cites Imam Abu Muhammad 'Ali ibn al-Husayn Zainul'Abidin 'Ali's saying: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, breathed his last while his head was in 'Ali's lap," as quoted by Ibn Sa'd on page 51.

Traditions documenting this subject are consecutively reported from all Imams of the purified progeny Many of those who opted to deviate from their path admit that, too, so much so that Ibn Sa'd has quoted al Sha'bi saying: "The Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, passed away while his head was in 'Ali's lap; and it was 'Ali who gave him his [funeral] bath," as mentioned on the page referred to above in Al Tabaqat.

The Commander of the Faithful, peace be upon him, used to declare the same publicly; therefore, you may refer to his statement in one of his sermons where he says: "Custodians of the hadith among the companions of the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, know very well that I never hesitated to implement the commandments of Allah, nor lagged in discharging the orders of His Messenger, not even for one hour. I, by the Grace of Allah, on many occasions risked my own life defending his, when even heroes retreated and feet slowed down, and he breathed his last while his head rested on my chest, and even his saliva fell on my hand, whereupon I rubbed it on my face. I took care of washing his corpse, the angels assisting me, and the house and its courtyards became full of the noise of angels descending and ascending..., and I never ceased

hearing their prayers unto him, till we buried him; so, who is more worthy of him alive or dead than I?" as stated at the conclusion of page 196, Vol. 2, of Nahjul Balaghah, and on page 590, Vol. 2, of Ibn al Hadid's Sharh Nahjul Balaghah.

So is his soliloquy when he, peace be upon him, was burying the Mistress of all Women, peace be upon her. He said:

"Peace be upon you, O Messenger of Allah, from me and from your daughter who has come now to be your neighbour, rushing to reunite with you... My patience, O Messenger of Allah, about the death of your chosen one has run out, and my consolation has waned and withered. Deep, indeed, is my grief for being separated from you, and great is the calamity, while the extent of your grief is a source for consolation, for I laid you to sleep in the tomb of your grave, after your soul had parted from your body that was resting on my chest; therefore, we are God's, and unto Him is our return,"

up to the end of his statement which is stated at the end of page 207, Vol. 2, of Nahjul Balaghah, and on page 590, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by Ibn Abul Hadid. Umm Salamah has also narrated an authentic hadith saying: "By the One by Whom alone do I swear, 'Ali was the closest to the Messenger of Allah سام upon his death. We [she and Ali] visited him one afternoon, and he happily and repeatedly said: 'Ali has come! 'Ali has come!' Fatima المسام inquired whether 'Ali had been sent on an errand.

Later on, 'Ali came again, and I thought that probably he needed to have some privacy with the Prophet بما نام ; so, we came out and sat at the door. I was closer to the door. The Messenger of Allah فالمنافقة bent his head over 'Ali and started talking to him confidentially, addressing him affectionately, till he passed away; so, 'Ali was the last person to be with him before his death.''8

Abdullah ibn 'Umar narrates the following:

"During his sickness, the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, asked that his brother be fetched; so, Abu Bakr came in, but he turned away from

him and reiterated his request. This time 'Uthman was brought in, but he turned away from him, too.

Then 'Ali was called in his presence. The Prophet ﴿ Covered him with his own robe and reclined on him. When he came out of his room, people asked him what the Prophet ﴿ had said, and he answered: 'He taught me a thousand subjects each one of which leads to a thousand others."

You know that this hadith portrays a behaviour typical of prophets, while the other one portrays a man ruled by his lust. If a shepherd dies on his wife's chest, between her chin and navel, or on her thigh..., having laxed in looking after his herd, he would surely be labelled as wreckless and irresponsible. May Allah forgive the mother of the believers. I wish that she, while denying 'Ali such a will, had attributed the denial to her father, whom she thinks is more worthy of such a will, but her father was already in the army raised by the Messenger of Allah, peace be upon him and his progeny, under his own honourable patronage; he was in Usamah's army which was then camping at Jurf.

Anyhow, the claim that he المعالمة died in her lap is attributed to nobody other than 'Ayesha, whereas the claim of his demise, may I sacrifice my parents for his sake, is narrated through 'Ali محتاسة, Ibn 'Abbas, Umm Salamah, 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar, alSha'bi, 'Ali ibn al-Husayn عماله , and all Imams of the progeny of Muhammad محتاسة, thus making it more reliable and more fit of the personality of the Messenger of God محتاسة المعالمة المعالمة

4) Had 'Ayesha's hadith been disproved by Umm Salamah alone, the latter's hadith would have been preferred over hers for many reasons besides the ones mentioned above, Wassalam.

Sincerely,	
Sh	

- 1. Refer to page 77, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by the Mu'tazilite scholar, and pages 457 and its succeeding pages of the same volume, and you will find her conduct towards 'Uthman, 'Ali and Fatima depicting sentimentality in its most manifest forms.
- 2. Whoever wishes to be familiar with the details of this calamity must research the biography of Lady Mary [or Mariyya, the Copt, wife of the Prophet, S], peace be upon her, on page 39, Vol. 4, of al-Hakim's Al-Mustadrak, or to his Talkhis by al-Thahbi.
- 3. From what al-Bukhari has quoted in his explanation of Surat al-Tahrim in his Sahih, page 136, Vol. 3; so, refer to it and be amazed. There are several ahadith quoted from 'Umar stating that the two women who conspired against the Messenger of Allah were 'Ayesha and Hafsa. There is a lengthy hadith dealing with this issue.
- 4. As quoted by al-Hakim in his biography of Asma' in his Sahih Al-Mustadrak, page 37, Vol. 4, and is quoted by Ibn Sa'd who discusses her biography on page 104, Vol. 8, of his Tabaqat, and the incident is very well known. It is narrated in the biography of Asma' by both authors of Isti'ab and Al-Isabah, and it is quoted by Ibn Jarir and others.
- The details of this incident are preserved in the books of traditions and history; so, refer to page 294, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal, or page 115, Vol. 8, of Ibn Sa'd's Tabaqat, where he also states the biography of Sharaf daughter of Khalifah.
- 6. This issue is quoted by the authors of books of tradition and history; so, refer to hadith number 1020 of the ones narrated in Kanz al-'Ummal, page 116, Vol. 7, and it is quoted by al-Ghazali in the third section of his treatise on marriage on page 35, Vol. 2, of Ihya'ul-'Ulum. It is also quoted in section 94 of his book Mukashafatul Qulub, at the conclusion of page 238.
- 7. As quoted by al-Ghazali in both sections of the books cited above.
- 8. This hadith is quoted by al-Hakim at the beginning of page 139, Vol. 3, of his authentic Al-Mustadrak, succeeded by his comment: "This hadith is

- authentic, but they [Bukhari and Muslim] did not publish it." Al-Thahbi, too, has admitted its authenticity when he quoted it in his Talkhis al-Mustadrak. It is also quoted by Ibn Abu Shaybah in his Sunan, and it is hadith number 6096, page 400, Vol. 6, in Kanz al-'Ummal.
- 9. This is quoted by Abu Ya'li through a chain of narrators including Kamil ibn Talha, Ibn Lahi'ah, Hay ibn 'Abdul-Maghafiri, Abu 'Abdul-Rahman al-Habli, ending with 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar. It is quoted by Abu Na'im in his Hilyat al-Awliya', by Abu Ahmad al-Fardi in his own version as stated on page 392, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. Al-Tabrani, in his book Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, has stated that when the Ta'if campaign was underway, the Prophet took his time in confiding with 'Ali, so much so that when Abu صَالَتَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّةٍ Bakr passed by them, he said: "O Messenger of Allah! Your confidential talk with 'Ali has lasted for quite some time." He مَا الشَّعَلَيْهِ said: "It is not I who has confided in him; it is Allah..." This is hadith number 6075, page 399, Vol. 6, of Kanz al-'Ummal. He often used to sit with 'Ali ملياتات and confide in him. Once 'Ayesha entered and found them engaged in a confidential conversation. Said she: "O 'Ali! I spend one day out of nine [in the company of my husband]; so, why don't you, son of Abu Talib, leave me alone on that day?" The Prophet's face immediately showed the redness of anger. Refer to this incident at the beginning of page 78, Vol. 2, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by al-Hamidi.

Discussions

Despite its polemical nature, *al-Murājaʿāt* is a work wherein the author has made a noticeable effort to observe some semblance of decorum in most of his correspondences—regardless of the fact that they are forgeries in of themselves. To draw attention to the tone of increasing hostility in the latest rounds of correspondence is to state the obvious. Equally obvious, is that the strategy employed by the author remains constant: half-truths clothed in the veneer of objectivity.

The notion that 'A'ishah 'Eddis' cannot be trusted because she resorted to schemes to achieve her own ends; or that she contrived plots to trick and deceive the Prophet 'Eddis', or demerit her alleged enemies from the Ahl al-Bayt, stands in stark contrast to historical fact. What scheme was she playing at when she narrated Ḥadīth al-Kisā? If she considered the Prophet's 'Eddis' daughter, Fāṭimah 'Eddis', her enemy why would Fāṭimah 'Eddis' confide in her the last words spoken to her by her own father 'Eddis'?

Moral integrity of Sahābah

Insolence aside, at its core, the current discussions, whether written in the name of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn or what he has written with the pen of his interlocutor, is the issue of 'Adālah (integrity) of the Prophet's companions 'Abd al-Ḥusayn deftly navigates the discourse in a direction away from the objective historical truths, and almost magically reconstructs the discourse in a framework where he allows for a line of enquiry that deliberately ignores the canon of 'Adālat al-Ṣaḥābah 🏭.

The underlying principle of 'Adālat al-Ṣaḥābah is is that the Prophet's companions are beyond suspicion of deliberate misrepresentation when transmitting his Sunnah. Commenting on the alarming consequences of disregarding this canon, Abū Zur'ah al-Rāzī offers the following trenchant observation:

إذا رأيت الرجل ينتقص أحدا من أصحاب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فاعلم أنه زنديق وذلك أن الرسول صلى الله عليه وسلم عندنا حق والقرآن حق وإنما أدى إلينا هذا القرآن والسنة أصحاب رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وإنما يريدون أن يجرحوا شهودنا ليبطلوا الكتاب والسنة والجرح بهم أولى وهم زنادقة

If you happen to observe someone belittling any of the Prophet's Companions then realize that that person is infact a heretic. This is due to the fact that the Messenger and the Qur'ān are both truths for us. [It is well-established that both the Qur'ān and the Prophetic Sunnah have been passed on to us by none other than the Prophet's Companions. Essentially, these people have their sights set on subverting the [authority of] Qur'ān and Sunnah, and [part of] their strategy is to cast aspersions on the integrity of those who have transmitted it to us. In the end, these people are actually worthy of such aspersions, and no doubt they are heretics.¹

We can momentarily ignore 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's aversion for the Ṣaḥābah and focus on the epistemological premise for this canon. To proceed from Abū Zur'ah al-Rāzī's statement: dismissing the Ṣaḥābah is equated to the dismissal of the transmitted tradition of the Qur'ān. We do not have an unbroken line of transmission except that the Ṣaḥābah are the central node.

To adumbrate the nature of discourse in the discussions ahead it is prudent to give due attention on the issue of 'Adālat al-Ṣaḥābah ﷺ such that the necessary framework be established and the discourse be anchored on firm principles; to avoid it devolving into arbitrary randomness.

If only he, and others who share his theological aberrance, had surrended themselves to the Words of Allah:

لِلْفُقَرَاءِ الْمُهَاجِرِيْنَ الَّذِيْنَ أُخْرِجُوْا مِنْ دِيَارِهِمْ وَأَمْوَالِهِمْ يَنْتَغُوْنَ فَضْلًا مِّنَ اللّٰهِ وَرِضُوانًا وَيَنْصُرُوْنَ اللّٰهَ وَرَسُوْلُهُ أُولَٰئِكَ هُمُ الصَّادَقُوْنَ وَالَّذِيْنَ تَبَوَّءُوا الدَّارَ وَالْإِيْمَانَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِمْ يُحِبُّوْنَ مَنْ هَاجَرَ إِلَيْهِمْ وَلَا يَجدُونَ فِيْ صُدُورِهِمْ حَاجَةً مَّمَا أُوْتُوا وَيُؤْثِرُونَ عَلَىٰ أَنْفُسِهِمْ وَلَوْ كَانَ بِهِمْ خَصَاصَةٌ وَمَن يُوقَ شَعَ نَفْسِهِ فَأُولُئِكَ

¹ Al-Kifāyah, pg. 49

[A share of these spoils] belongs to the needy Emigrants who have been expelled from their dwellings and dispossessed of their wealth seeking bounty from Allah and His good pleasure, and supporting [the cause of] Allah and His Messenger. It is these who are the truehearted.

As for those who were already settled in the Abode (Madīnah), and [were firm in] Faith, they love those who emigrated to them and find no covetous want in their chests for what [the Emigrants] have been given. Rather, they give [them] preference over their own selves even when they themselves are in pressing need. And whoever is safeguarded from the avarice of his own soul then it is these who are the truly successful.

As to all those who come after them, they [shall]say, "Our Lord! Forgive us, and our brethren who preceded us in faith; and let not allow any malice to develop in our hearts toward those who believe [Muhājirūn and Anṣār]. Our Lord! Indeed You are All-Kind, Ever-Merciful."

These three verses categorize believers in three groups:

1. The Muhājirūn – The Emigrant Muslims

Let us reflect on the features with which Allah describes them:

- The were expelled from their homes which they abandoned for the cause of Islam.
- All while seeking Allahs Bounty and Pleasure They were sincere and

¹ Sūrah al-Hashr: 8-10

genuine in their struggle and were motivated by attaining Divine Pleasure. Allah testifies to their noble intentions and sincerity.

- All to support [the religion of] Allah through sacrifice and hardship.
- And in supporting His Messenger مَالَّسُتُكُونَالُهُ physically, financially, emotionally and in every way they could.
- *They are genuine and trueheareted* in their speech, actions and their general disposition.

2. The Anṣār - The Nascent Muslim community of Madīnah

- and find no covetous want in their chests for what [the Emigrants] have been given.

 They demonstrated no material desire for what was given to others in

terms of spoils of war. Yes, there is an isolated incident after the conquest of Makkah, but after the Prophet مَا مَا مُعَالِمَةُ عَلَيْهُ spoke to them they were more than elated to learn that the Prophet مَا مَا مُعَالِمُهُ عَلَيْهُ would be returning with them to al-Madīnah.

- Rather, they give [them] preference over their own selves even when they themselves are in pressing need They preferred others over themselves even if they happened to be in greater need. The anecdotal evidence to this fact is ovrwhelming.
- 3. Those who come after them they seek forgiveness from their Lord for their own mistakes as well as supplicate for their brothers in faith who preceded them asking Allah not to allow malice to occupy their hearts lest they hold ill-will towards the believers

In light of these very verses a respected member of the Ahl al-Bayt, a personality whom 'Abd al-Ḥusayn even takes as an infallible Imām, 'Alī ibn Ḥusayn , also known as Zayn al-'Ābidīn, reprimands a group of people from Iraq for having spoken ill of the Prophet's "Companions. This incident is recorded in Shīʿī sources no less.¹

عن علي بن الحسين قال أتاني نفر من أهل العراق فقالوا في أبي بكر وعمر وعثمان رضى الله عنهم فلما فرغوا قلت لهم ألا تخبرونني أنتم المهاجرون الأولون الَّذِيْنَ أُخْرِجُواْ مِنْ دِيَارِهِمْ وَأَمْوَالِهِمْ يَبَتَغُوْنَ فَضْلًا مِّنَ اللهِ وَرضْوَانًا وَيَنصُرُونَ اللَّهَ وَرَسُولَهُ أُولُئِكَ هُمُ الصَّادِقُونَ قالوا لا

قال فانتم وَالَّذِيْنَ تَبَوَّعُوا الدَّارَ وَالْإِيْمَانَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِمْ يُحِبُّوْنَ مَنْ هَاجَرَ إِلَيْهِمْ وَلَا يَجِدُوْنَ فِيْ صُدُوْرِهِمْ حَاجَةً مُمَّا أُوتُوْا وَيُؤْثُرُونَ عَلَىٰ أَنفُسهمْ وَلَوْ كَانَ بِهِمْ خَصَاصَةٌ وَمَن يُوقَ شُحَّ نَفْسه فَأُولُئكَ هُمُ الْمُفْلُحُونَ قالوا لا

قال أما أنتم فقد تبرأتم أن تكونوا من أحد هذين الفريقين ثم قال أشهد أنكم لستم من الذين قال الله عز وجل وَالَّذِيْنَ جَاءُوْا مِنْ بَعْدِهِمْ يَقُوْلُوْنَ رَبَّنَا اغْفِرْ لَنَا وَلإِخْوَانِنَا الَّذِيْنَ سَبَقُوْنَا بِالْإِيْمَانِ وَلاَ تَجْعَلْ فِي قُلُوْبِنَا غِلَّا لَلَّذِيْنَ أَمْنُوْا رَبَّنَا إِنَّكَ رَءُوْفٌ رَّحِيْمٌ احرجوا فعل الله بكم.

¹ Kashf al-Ghummah, vol. 3 pg. 15-16

A group of men from Iraq came to visit me and they began to speak ill of Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and 'Uthmān ***. When they had said whatever it is they wished to say, I said to them:

Tell me: Are you from the earliest Emigrants who have been expelled from their dwellings and dispossessed of their wealth seeking bounty from Allah and His good pleasure, and supporting [the cause of] Allah and His Messenger. It is these who are the truehearted?

"No," they replied.

He then proceeded to ask them: In that case are you among those who were already settled in the Abode (Madīnah), and [were firm in] Faith, loving those who emigrated to them and find no covetous want in their chests for what they have been given. Rather, they give preference to them over their own selves even when they themselves are in pressing need. And whoever is safeguarded from the avarice of his own soul then it is these who are the truly successful?

To which they replied, "No."

He went on to say: If you refuse to associate with these two parties, then I am afraid that I have to state that I am a witness to the fact that you are not from those about whom Allah says, "Those who come after them, they [shall] say, "Our Lord! Forgive us, and our brethren who preceded us in faith; and let not allow any malice to develop in our hearts toward those who believe [Muhājirūn and Anṣār]. Our Lord! Indeed You are All-Kind, Ever-Merciful." Be gone! May Allah deal with you [as you deserve]!

The vindication of the Prophet's مَالْمَا الْعَلَيْمَ companions أَنْ is not restricted to this single passage from the Qur'ān. Below are a number of verses wherein Allah سُنْهَا أَنْ أَنْ اللهُ مَا اللهُ الله

¹ Sūrah al-Hashr: 8-10

² Hilyat al-Awliyā, vol. 3 pg. 137

But the Messenger, and all those who believed with him, strove with their wealth and their persons. Thus, it is these for whom all good things [are assured], and it is these who are the [truly] successful. Allah has prepared for them Gardens, beneath which rivers flow, wherein they shall abide forever. That is the magnificent triumph.¹

In the verses below Allah المنتخفة refers to the Ṣaḥābah منتخفق as believers and confirms that they were both a form of support and protection for Allah's Messenger ما ما and by extension Allah's religion. If they were good enough to be praised by Allah منتخفق for both these qualities, why should we doubt their integrity when it comes to conveying from the individual whom Allah منتخفق attested that they were his support and protectors?

If they (Mushrik \bar{u} n) intend to deceive you, then, Allah is Sufficient for you. He is the One who supported you with His help and with the believers.²

¹ Sūrah al-Tawbah: 88-89

² Sūrah al-Anfāl: 62

يَا أَيُّهَا النَّبِيُّ حَسْبُكَ اللَّهُ وَمَنِ اتَّبَعَكَ مِنَ الْمُؤْمِنِيْنَ

O Prophet! Sufficient for you is Allah and those who follow you among the believers.¹

In Sūrah al-Ḥashr, Allah ﴿ praises the Muhājirūn and Anṣār ﴿ and praises those who come after them and hold the in high esteem. In the verse below Allah ﴿ declares those who follow the Muhājirūn and Anṣār ﴿ are also worthy of His divine pleasure just as He confirms that they indeed are pleased with Him.

Denying this by declaring the Prophets كالمنافذة Companions unworthy of transmitting his Sunnah and casting doubts on their integrity would be equivalent to saying that Allah was not aware of their latent potential for deviance. The heretical doctrine of Badā posits that Allah منافذة does not have comprehensive knowledge, and that He only comes to know of the consequences of actions upon occurrence. The damning consequences of this doctrine is self-evident. Since ignorance is inherently not possible for Allah منافذة ألم ألم المعاشفة والمعاشفة والمعا

And the first forerunners [in the faith] among the Muhājirīn and the Anṣār and those who followed them with good conduct, Allah is pleased with them and they are pleased with Him, and He has prepared for them gardens beneath which rivers flow, wherein they will abide forever. That is the great attainment.²

Allah مُنْبَعَاتُونَا attests to the genuineness of belief in the hearts of the Ṣaḥābah added to the fact that He refers to them as believers, a timeless description

¹ Sūrah al-Anfāl: 64

² Sūrah al-Tawbah: 100

of the Companions of Muḥāmmad ﴿ These verses were revealed in the context of those who were present at Ḥudaybiyyah. The doctrine of 'Adālat al-Ṣaḥābah does not entail their infallibility; all it entails is their sincerity of faith, along with moral and religious integrity such that they are beyond any suspicion in terms of conveying the religion as they had received it.

He is the One who sent down tranquility into the hearts of the believers so that they might increase in faith to their well-firm faith. For to Allah belong the forces of the heavens and the earth. Indeed, ever is Allah All-knowing, All-wise. And, thus, shall He admit the believing men and believing women to the gardens beneath which rivers flow, to live therein for ever, and so that He may expiate their errors, which is a great achievement in Allah's sight.1

Not only has Allah filled their hearts with tranquilty and Iman, He has tested these hearts on fear of Him and piety such that they are determined to be pure by Divine attestation. These hearts were the ones upon whom He entrusted the slogan of faith, the Word of Taqwa, and He above all declares them worthy and most entitled to it!

These are the ones whose hearts God has tested has tested for piety; for them there is forgiveness, and a great reward.2

¹ Sūrah al-Fath: 4-5

² Sūrah al-Ḥujurāt: 3

When the disbelievers developed in their hearts indignation, the indignation of ignorance; then Allah sent down tranquility from Himself upon His Messenger and upon the believers, and enjoined upon them the Word of Piety, for they were most worthy of it and entitled to it. And Allah is All-Knowing about every thing.¹

Indeed, those who pledge allegiance to you in fact, pledge allegiance to Allah.

Allah's Hand is over their hands...²

Very truly, **Allah is well-pleased with the believers when they pledged allegiance to you**,[0 Muḥammad] under the tree. **For He knew what was in their hearts.** Thus, did He send down tranquility upon them. Moreover, He shall reward themwith an imminent victory.³

The description of the Ṣaḥābah was not restricted to the Qur'ān. In the previous scripture their glowing portraits were painted in the hue of Divine approval. From the inner recesses of their hearts to their outward actions, even the radiance on their faces through abundance of worship have been attested to in previous scriptures. Previous nations and Prophets were already introduced to the Companions of Muḥāmmad was already was alrea

¹ Sūrah al-Fath: 26

² Sūrah al-Fath: 10

³ Sūrah al-Fath: 18

مُّحَمَّدٌ رَّسُولُ اللهِ ۚ وَالَّذِيْنَ مَعَهُ أَشِدًّاءُ عَلَى الْكُفَّارِ رُحَمَاءُ بَيْنَهُمْ * تَرَاهُمْ رُكَّعًا سُجَّدًا يَبْنَغُوْنَ فَضْلًا مِّنَ اللهِ وَرِضْوَانًا * سِيْمَاهُمْ فِي وُجُوْهِهِم مِّنْ أَثْرِ السُّجُوْدِ * ذُلِكَ مَثْلُهُمْ فِي التَّوْرَاةِ * وَمَثْلُهُمْ فِي الْإِنْجِيْلِ كَزَرْعِ أَخْرَجَ شَطْأَهُ فَأَزَرُهُ فَاسْتَغَلَظَ فَاسْتَوَىٰ عَلَىٰ سُوْقِهِ يُعْجِبُ الزُّرَّاعَ لِيَغِيْظَ بِهِمُ الْكُفَّارَ وَعَدَ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الَّذِيْنَ أَمَنُوا وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ مِنْهُم مَّغْفِرَةً وَّأَجْرًا عَظِيْمًا

Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allah, and those who are with him are hard on the disbelievers, compassionate among themselves; you will see them bowing down in Ruku', prostrating themselves in Sajdah, seeking grace from Allah, and (His) good pleasure; their distinguishing feature is on their faces from the effect of Sajdah (prostration). This is their description in Torah; and their description in Gospel Injīl is like a sown crop that brings forth its shoot, then makes it strong, then it grows thick and stands straight on its stem, looking good to the farmers, so that He may enrage the disbelievers through them. Allah has promised forgiveness and a huge reward to those of them who believe and do good deeds.¹

If the 'Adālah of Ṣaḥābah is in general has been established through numerous verses in the Qur'ān, what can be said of the Mothers of the Believers specifically! While the verse below presents with a spectrum of meanings, we have translated it to accommodate this spectrum.

Be ever-mindful of [and mention to others] of what is recited in your homes of the verses of God and of the Wisdom [Prophet's sunnah]; indeed, ever is Allah subtle, All-Aware.²

The following incident involving 'Alī ''ééééé is further testimony to the application of this verse in the real events of history. Rifā'ah ibn Rāfi' relates the following incident that transpired in the Prophet's 'Masjid during the Khilāfah of 'Umar 'Éééés:

¹ Sūrah al-Fath: 29

² Sūrah al-Aḥzāb: 34

عن رفاعة بن رافع قال بينا أنا عند عمر بن الخطاب إذ دخل عليه رجل فقال يا أمير المؤمنين هذا زيد بن ثابت يفتي الناس في المسجد برأيه في الغسل من الجنابة فقال عمر علي به فجاء زيد فلما رآه عمر قال أي عدو نفسه قد بلغت أن تفتي الناس برأيك فقال يا أمير المؤمنين بالله ما فعلت لكني سمعت من أعمامي حديثا فحدثت به من أبي أيوب ومن أبي بن كعب ومن رفاعة فأقبل عمر على رفاعة بن رافع فقال وقد كنتم تفعلون ذلك إذا أصاب أحدكم من المرأة فأكسل لم يغتسل فقال قد كنا نفعل ذلك على عهد رسول الله في فلم يأتنا من الله تحريم ولم يكن من رسول الله في فيه نهي قال رسول الله في يعلم ذاك قال لا أدري فأمر عمر بجمع المهاجرين والأنصار فجمعوا له فشاورهم فأشار الناس أن لا غسل في ذلك إلا ما كان من معاذ وعلي، فإنهما قالا إذا جاوز الختان الختان فقد وجب الغسل فقال عمر هذا وأنتم أصحاب بدر وقد اختلفتم فمن بعدكم أشد اختلافا قال فقال علي يا أمير المؤمنين إنه ليس أحد أعلم بهذا من شأن رسول الله هي من أزواجه فأرسل إلى حفصة فقالت لا علم لي بهذا فأرسل إلى عائشة فقالت إذا جاوز الختان الختان الختان فقد وجب الغسل فقال إلى عائشة فقالت إذا جاوز الختان الختان الختان فقد وجب الغسل فقال عمر مرب

Whilst I was seated with 'Umar a man entered suddenly and exclaimed, "O Amīr al-Mu'minīn! Zayd ibn Thābit is here in the Masjid, issuing Fatwā's about ghusl from major ritual impurity based on his own discretion!"

'Umar said, "Bring him to me."

So he was brought before 'Umar , and when he was within view 'Umar reprimanded him, "O enemy of your own self! Do you think that we have reached a point where you can issue verdicts on your own whims?"

He responded saying, "O Amīr al-Mu'minīn; By Allah this is not the case! I heard my uncles—Abū Ayyub, Ubayy ibn Ka'b, and Rifā'ah ibn Rāfi' saying something about this and that is what I was relating to the people."

So 'Umar appraoched Rifā'ah and asked him outright, "Was this your practise; that if you did not ejaculate during sexual intercourse with your wives you would not take the ceremonial bath (Ghusl)?"

He responded, "We used to do that during the Prophet's fifetime and nothing about it being forbidden has reached us from Allah, nor did the Prophet first prohibit us."

'Umar asked, "Was the Prophet aware of this [that you did not take a bath after penetrative sex without ejaculation]?", to which he

replied that he was not sure whether the Prophet was aware of this or not.

So 'Umar sumoned the Muhājirūn and Anṣar to enquire further on this matter and in the course of their discussions it emerged that most of the Companions understood the rule to be similar to that [which was expressed by Rifā'ah]. The only exceptions being 'Alī and Mu'ādh who said that penetration alone brings about the obligation for the ceremonial bath. Whereupon 'Umar exclaimed, "If you, the participants of Badr were to differ on this, then those after you will only differ further." [Thus a conclusive decision on this matter is absolutely necessary].

So 'Alī www suggested that he enquire from the Prophet's wives what should be done as none would be more knowledgable about this than his wives, especially if they had a report from him on this issue.

So 'Umar sent word to Ḥafṣah sent if she knew anything [decisive on this matter] and her response was in the negative. He then sent message to 'Ā'ishah sent who confirmed the Prophetic imperitive was that Ghusl was necessary from penetration alone. 'Umar then declared that anyone found contravening this rule would be subject to disciplinary action.'

We notice that 'Alī نَوْسَتُ suggested that the issue be resolved in light of what the Prophet's wives were privy to; in complete harmony with what was revealed in Surāh al-Ahzāb.²

Had 'A'ishah been ruled by her emotions, bore enmity towards the Ahl al-Bayt, and decided to conceal the details of Waṣiyyah upon which the fate of the Ummah depended, as alleged by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn; why would 'Alī concede to consulting her on something of seamingly less significance?

Furthermore, if she were opposed to 'Alī is in the manner that 'Abd al-Husayn describes, why would she ratify the position of 'Alī is. The correctness of 'Alī's

¹ Muşannaf ibn Abī Shaybah, vol. 1 pg 519-522; Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 35 pg. 21, Ḥadith no. 21096

² Sūrah al-Ahzāb: 10

understanding was validated by what 'Ā'ishah in narrated. Before this matter is further debated, let it be known that this particular matter of law is consistent with the Fiqh of the Imāmī Shī'ah.¹

The Moral Ontology of Sharīʿah

At this point, to present an argument from the side of the Shaykh al-Azhar in light of Tahsin and Taqbih is beyond ludicruous, to the extent that the translator encountered difficulty in making sense of where the argument is going or what its purpose is; the translation itself is revealing.

The question of the Sharī ah's moral ontology, also known as the issue of $Tahs\bar{n}$ and $Taqb\bar{\imath}h$ is nothing what its made out to be in al- $Mur\bar{a}ja\bar{a}\bar{a}t$. Simply put, the question seeks to address whether actions themselves have intrinsic moral value in terms of good or evil, and whether or not actions intrinsically carry consequences in the next life based on the determination of human intellect alone? Or does it require Divine intervention in terms of Wah $\bar{\imath}$, such that the consequences of actions are determined on the basis of Revelation? Phrased differently, it could be understood to answer the question of whether there is moral accountability for actions in the next life in the absence of Revelation.

The esteemed reader is most certainly intelligent enough to realize why this issue has absolutely no relevance in the context of Waṣiyyah to ʿAlī ʿai ʿai The underlying rational argument is not whether or not ʿAlī ʿai was appointed a successor, but whether the Prophet fall had fulfilled his mission being the final Prophet of Allah. Having established that, the matter of who succeeds him in leading the Ummah is of secondary importance considering that he departed from this world having completedly conveyed all that is required and left his Ummah upon a clear path. To insist that only a dozen of named individuals may succeed him else the Ummah risks straying from the Truth, and that this mandate to lead was Divinely Revealed, undermines the finality of the Prophethood.

¹ Al-Kulaynī: Al-Kāfī, vol. 3 pg. 46.

Disparaging incidents

Throughout our discussions we have established that 'Alī has no issue in accepting the narrations of 'A'ishah has no issue in accepting the narrations of 'A'ishah has accepting the narrations of 'A'ishah has who nominated 'Alī has as a candidate for Khilāfah after the murder of 'Uthmān has be but 'Alī and 'Ammār ibn Yāsir has confirm that she is the Prophet's has wife in this world and the next despite being in a military conflict with her, 'Alī has reconciles with her after Jamal and sends her home having resolved all misunderstanding. Has has has seeks her permission to be buried in her chambers to which she initially agrees, in addition to the fact that she has narrated a number of Ahadīth on the virtues of 'Alī and Fāṭimah has."

Taking all of this into consideration the only obvious conclusion is that whomsoever decides to discredit 'Ā'ishah 'Fig., regardless of whether it happens to be 'Abd al-Ḥusayn or anyone else, such an individual is being stubborn in the face of reality and inevitably has cast a shadow on their own integrity and ability to objectively respond to reason. It follows that the absurdity in the historical context of what appears in this round of *al-Murāja*'āt can be easily accounted for: it is the result of bias and stubbornness in the face of truth.

The incident of Māriyah al-Qibṭiyyah

'Abd al-Ḥusayn alludes to a dubious report referenced to the *Mustadrak* of al-Ḥākim which wasn't even printed at the time of the alleged correspondence1, wherein it is alleged that 'Ā'ishah indirectly accused the Prophet's slavegirl, Māriyah al-Qibṭiyyah of infidelity. Whilst he avoids accusing 'Ā'ishah of slander outright, he cites a verse from Sūrah al-Aḥzāb that was revealed in reference to the disbelievers and he applies it in the context of the rumour cast about the parentage of the Prophet's son, Ibrahīm in highlying that 'Ā'ishah in falls under the purview of this verse!

¹ We have established in Discussions under Letters 25-26 that the *Mustadrak* was only printed after the demise of Sh Salīm al-Bishrī. It was not possible to have those references at the time of correspondence.

وَرَدَّ اللَّهُ الَّذِيْنَ كَفَرُوا بِغَيْظِهِمْ لَمْ يَنَالُوا خَيْرًا

And Allah drove back the disbelievers in their rage, totally empty-handed.1

The incident in question is found in the *Mustadrak* with the following chain:

ʿAlī ibn Ḥamshād - Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī al-Abbār - Ḥasan ibn Ḥammād Sajjādah - Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Umawī - **Abū Muʿādh Sulaymān ibn Arqam** - al-Zuhrī - ʿUrwah - ʿĀ'ishah :

Māriyah was gifted to the Prophet المنظمة, and her cousin accompanied her. After the Prophet المنظمة having shared a bed with her, she became pregnant and she resided separately close to her cousin.

Incidentally, it so happened that **People of slander**² began to spread rumours that in his desperation to have a child, the Prophet ما المنافقة falsely claimed the unborn child as his own [Allah forbid!].

His mother did not have sufficient milk to nourish him so she purchased a goat to provide milk which resulted in the child gaining weight. One day he was brought before the Prophet and he asked me, "What do you say?" and I responded saying that anyone nourished with goats milk would gain weight. He then asked if I saw a striking resemblance, and as any jealous co-wife would, I said, "I don't see a resemblance."

She went on to say that when the false rumours actually reached the Prophet he sent 'Alī to investigate the matter telling him to take his sword and strike the neck of Māriyah's cousin wherever he was found.

So 'Alī proceeded and subsequently found him plucking fresh dates in a date orchid. The mere scene of 'Alī approaching sword in hand,

¹ Sūrah al-Ahzāb: 25

² Refering to the Munāfiqūn.

frightened him so much that his lower garment fell off revealing that he had no male genitalia.^{1,2}

Appearing in this chain is **Abū Muʿādh Sulaymān ibn Arqam** whom the scholars unanimously consider unreliable.

Abū Muʿādh Sulaymān ibn Arqam

- Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal says of him, "His narrations have no worth, nothing is to be narrated by way of him."
- Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn states, "He is not worth anything, not even a penny!"
- Al-Bukhārī, Muslim, Abū Zurʿah al-Rāzī, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, Abū Dāwūd al-Tirmidhī, al-Nasā'ī, al-Dāraquṭnī and many others have all used terms to describe his unreliability as being significantly problematic.⁵
- Ibn Hibbān states that he would conflate wordings with the wrong chains, thus attributing false Aḥādīth to reliable narrators.⁶
- Al-Dhahabī draws attention to the fact that there is a report from Imām Aḥmad that appears to ratify Sulaymān as a narrator, but then clarifies that it was essentially another narrator who shared a similar name: Sulaymān ibn Muʿādh, or Sulaymān ibn Qarm, and that is was not actually our narrator Sulaymān ibn Arqam whose Kunyah was Abū Muʿādh. He goes on further to quote Ibn Ḥibbān as having described our narrator as being a commited Rāfidī.⁷

¹ He was born without a male organ.

² Al-Mustadrak, vol. 4. Pg. 41.

³ Al-'Ilal, vol. 1 pg. 236.

⁴ Tārīkh ibn Ma'īn Riwāyat al-Dūrī, vol. 2 pg. 228.

⁵ Al-Du'afā al-Saqhīr, bio 142; al-Jarh wal Ta'dīl, vol. 4 pg. 100-101; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 11, pgs 351-354.

⁶ Al-Majrūḥīn, vol. 1 pg. 328.

⁷ Tārīkh al-Islām, vol. 4 pg. 398.

Abū Nuʿaym relates a similar worded narration with a chain converging upon al-Zuhrī by way of Layth ibn Saʿd. However, the narrator from Layth ibn Saʿd, despite being named at the time of narration, appears to have his identity redacted by other narrators further down the chain. They simply refer to him as 'a man whom he named.' 1

Neither of these two versions satisfy the criteria of acceptance for Prophetic Aḥādīth. The first on account of a weak narrator and the second due to the anonymity of the narrator from Layth ibn Sa'd. While both these narrations have their roots in an event that is historically accurate, they diverge from the well-documented version of the event and the role of ' \bar{A} 'ishah wise is merely one of numerous embellishments that are absent in the rigourously authentic version which meets the objective criteria of acceptance.

The sound version of this incident is narrated by way of Anas ibn Mālik appears in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim:

عن أنس أن رجلا كان يتهم بأم ولد رسول الله على قال رسول الله على اذهب فاضرب عنقه فأتاه على والله على الله على اخرج فناوله يده فأخرجه فإذا هو مجبوب ليس له ذكر فكف على عنه ثم أتى النبي على فقال يا رسول الله إنه لمجبوب ما له ذكر

Anas reports that a man was accused of fornicating with the Prophet's slavegirl. Thereupon the Messenger of Allah said to 'Alī, "Go and strike his neck."

'Alī set off in search of him and found him in a well, cooling down his body.
'Alī summoned him to exit and as he took hold of his hand to bring him out, he observed that his sexual organ had been removed. 'Alī we refrained from striking his neck and instead came to the Messenger of Allah and said, "O Messenger of Allah, his sexual organ has been remove, he doesn't even have one."²

¹ Ma'rifat al-Ṣaḥābah, vol. 6 pg. 3247.

² Saḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Tawbah, Ḥadīth no. 2771.

If we were to momentarily ignore the disparity between the two version of events and assume that the first version was remotely correct, that does not necessarily imply that 'Ā'ishah was untruthful. All it would imply was that 'Ā'ishah's despite her admission of jealousy did not find any physical resemblance between the Prophet and his newborn son. If anything, it would speak to 'Ā'ishah's why would she seek to discredit herself by delibeartedly admitting to a lie?

Worse still, why would she involve herself in scandalous slander when she was at the receiving end of it a few years prior to that. The entire incident of the Ifk and all related verses dealt with her ordeal. Why would she seek to put the Prophet ما المنافقة through the humiliation of slander? Would the Prophet ما not seek to get rid of her if she was proven guilty of what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is accusing her of? How is it then that she would be the Prophet's ما المنافقة wife in Paradise as attested to by 'Alī المنافقة ?

Manipulating the narrative by filling in the perceived gaps for the reader might work sometimes, but it is eventually going to reveal itself at some stage. Luckily, this strategy has been long identified. It comes as no surprise to read an embellished version of an event that has some historical accuracy; only do notice that it is animated with artistic bias by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.

The Incident of Maghāfīr

The incident in question is sound and is perhaps the only incident that would appear against her favour, in part at least. However, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has resorted to the age old strategy of embellishing the story and conjuring up an alternative narrative within which it is told. The discussion on this Ḥadīth and related incident will be dealt with in the next round of correspondence as it is the context in which the verses of Sūrah al-Tahrīm are revealed.

The incident of Asmā bint al-Mundhir

Once again, the incident cited in al-Murājaʿāt is an unreliable version of an event that had some historical basis. A half-truth is more difficult to excuse than a full-blown lie. The incident cited by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn was rejected by al-Dhahabī due to the presence of Hishām al-Kalbī in the chain.¹

Ḥishām, like his father, Muḥammad ibn Sā'ib al-Kalbī is described as being a Rāfiḍi who cannot be relied upon in Ḥadīth.²

Ibn Ṣalāḥ acknowledged that this incident is based on true events, it is just that the versions of this incident which imply that the Prophet's مَا اللهُ wives had any role to play in this womans utterance have been appended to falsely.³

The correct version of events does not indicate any role on the part of 'Ā'ishah or any of the Prophet's wives for that matter. As a matter of interest some versions imply that the woman did not even know that the Prophet was enquiring about her, nor did she recognize whom it was that she was addressing.

عن أبي أسيد رضي الله عنه قال خرجنا مع النبي على حتى انطلقنا إلى حائط يقال له الشوط حتى انتهينا إلى حائط يقال له الشوط حتى انتهينا إلى حائطين فجلسنا بينهما فقال النبي على اجلسوا ها هنا و دخل وقد أتي بالجونية فأنزلت في بيت في نخل في بيت أميمة بنت النعمان بن شراحيل ومعها دايتها حاضنة لها فلما دخل عليها النبي على قال هبي نفسك لي قالت وهل تهب الملكة نفسها للسوقة قال فأهوى بيده يضع يده عليها لتسكن فقالت أعوذ بالله منك فقال قد عذت بمعاذ ثم خرج علينا فقال يا أبا أسيد اكسها رازقيتين، وألحقها بأهلها

وعن عباس بن سهل، عن أبيه وأبي أسيد قالا تزوج النبي ﷺ أميمة بنت شراحيل فلما أدخلت عليه بسط يده إليها فكأنها كرهت ذلك فأمر أبا أسيد أن يجهزها ويكسوها ثوبين رازقيين

Abu Usayd relates: We went out with the Prophet to a garden called Al-Shawt, we proceeded until we reached a point between the two

¹ Al-Mustadrak, vol 4. Pg 37

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 4 pg. 304

³ Talkhīṣ al-Ḥabīr, vol. 3 pg. 278

gardens [or two walls] where we sat down. The Prophet addressed us all saying, "Sit here," and entered (the garden). The Jawniyyah (a lady from Banū Jawn) had already been brought and housed in a residence made of date-palm trunks: the home of Umaymah bint al-Nuʿmān ibn Sharāhīl, she was present along with her wet nurse or governess.

When the Prophet sentered upon her, he said to her, "Hand yourself over to me (in marriage)."

She said, "Can a princess give herself in marriage to an ordinary man?"

The Prophet سَالِسَانِيَا extended his hand to pacify her.

She said, "I seek refuge with Allah from you."

He said, "You have sought refuge with One Who gives refuge."

Then the Prophet came out to us and said, "O Abu Usayd, give her two linen dresses to wear and take her back to her family."

Sahl and Abu Usayd Felate that the Prophet Free married Umaymah bint Sharāhīl, and when she was brought to him, he extended his hand towards her. It seemed that she disliked that, whereupon the Prophet ordered Abu Usayd to prepare her and to provide her with two linen dresses.²

The version as related by Sahl ibn Sa'd al-Sā'īdī 🍪 is as follows:

عن سهل بن سعد قال ذكر لرسول الله ﷺ امرأة من العرب فأمر أبا أسيد أن يرسل إليها فأرسل إليها فقدمت فنزلت في أجم بني ساعدة فخرج رسول الله ﷺ حتى جاءها فدخل عليها فإذا امرأة منكسة رأسها فلما كلمها رسول الله ﷺ قالت أعوذ بالله منك قال قد أعذتك منى فقالوا لها أتدرين من هذا فقالت لا

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ṭalāq, Ḥadīth no. 5255.

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ṭalāq, Ḥadīth no. 5256.

فقالوا هذا رسول الله ﷺ جاءك ليخطبك قالت أنا كنت أشقى من ذلك قال سهل فأقبل رسول الله ﷺ يومئذ حتى جلس في سقيفة بني ساعدة هو وأصحابه ثم قال اسقنا لسهل قال فأخرجت لهم هذا القدح فأسقيتهم فيه

An Arab woman was mentioned before the Messenger of Allah Arab woman was mentioned before the Messenger of Allah Arab [as a suitable marriage candidate]. He instructed Abū Usayd to send a message to her and he (accordingly) sent a message to her. She obliged and settled in the fortresses of Banū Sāʻidah. The Messenger of Allah settled in the messenger of Allah to meet her and when he approached she was sitting with her head downcast. When the Messenger of Allah talked to her, she said, "I seek refuge with Allah from you."

Thereupon he said, 'I (have decided to) keep you away from me."

Those around her said, "Do you know who he is?"

She said, "No."

They said, "He is the Messenger of Allah .He came to you in order to propose to you in marriage."

She said, "In that case I am the most unfortunate woman because of this."

Sahl said, "The Messenger of Allah المستخدة then proceeded to the Saqīfah of Banū Sāʻidah where he sat down and joined his Companions. He then said to Sahl, 'Serve us something to drink.'"

Sahl said, "I brought out for them this very bowl (containing drink) and served them this." ¹

Not only does this report corroborate the ones cited earlier, but it also demonstrates the additional accuracy and precision in these versions as Sahl could even recall the utensil as well as what he served the Prophet مَا اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْ

¹ Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Ashribah, Ḥadīth no 5637; Ṣahīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Ashribah, Ḥadīth no. 2007.

Once again, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is resorting to propaganda to achieve his long elusive goal of descrediting 'Ā'ishah wise by giving a spin to an otherwise true event. It would appear that he is prepared to rewrite history simply to portray 'Ā'ishah wise as a scheming liar. Fortunately, our history is documented in a manner where it can be traced and verfied, and in every instance we find that she is vindicated.

'Ā'ishah's نَاسَعَهُ alleged manipulation of the Prophet's المعالمة marriage plans

The incident in question is narrated with a number of chains that converge upon Sufyān al-Thawrī, whereuopn the chains vary:

- Al-Wāqidī, Yaḥyā ibn Fayyāḍ and Abū Ḥudhayfah, all three of them from Sufyān al-Thawrī – Jābir al-Ju'fī - 'Abd al-Raḥmān ib Sābiṭ - 'Ā'ishah ***.
- 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Mahdī Sufyān Jābir 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Sābiṭ -Mursal (Interreupted chain)
- Wakīʿ Sufyān from an unnamed man that the Prophet مَالِسُعَلِيهِ sent ʿĀʾishah وَعَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ

Al-Dāraquṭnī considers the chain from Ibn Mahdī to be the accurate chain in comparison to the alternative modes with which the narration is reported. Combined in this chain is the fact that Sufyān al-Thawrī narrates it by way of Jābir al-Juʿfī, whom we have established is extremely unreliable² and the fact that the chain is interrupted. Additionally, ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Sābiṭ is a reliable narrator but he is known for excessive *Irsāl*.³

Taking all these into consideration the Isn $\bar{a}d$ does not meet the required standard.

¹ Ṭabaqāt ibn Saʿd, vol.8 pg 160; al-ʿIlāl wa Maʿrifat al-Rijāl li al-Imām Aḥmad, vol. 2 pg. 570; ʿIlal al-Dāraquṭnī, vol. 11 pg 264; Tārīkh Baqhdād, vol. 2 pg. 133.

² See Letter 12, and Letter 16.

³ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 17 pg. 123.

Viewed from the perspective of the text alone we find that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's treacherous pen does not fail to deceive. The extent of the narration is that the Prophet غيرين sent 'A'ishah نواقع to see a particular woman whom he was interested in. When she returned the Prophet asked her what her opinion was and she replied that she saw nothing of benefit. To which the Prophet مَا الله والله الله والله والله

What was nefarious about her behaviour? She noticed a facial feature that the Prophet من would probably not find attractive. Not wanting to speak ill of the woman in her absence she simply stated that it would not be in the Prophet's interest to marry this woman. The Prophet من المنافق then elaborates on the precise reason for 'Ā'ishah's ناه المنافق best interests at heart rather than her own.

'Ā'ishah's مَا لَسَمُعَلَّهُ alleged insubordination in the Prophet's مَا لَسُمُعَلِّهُ وَسَلَّمُ company

Both incidents that are cited are referenced to al-Ghazālī along with later works like *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl*.

About the first incident, where Abū Bakr allegedly slapped her until she began to bleed, Ḥāfiz Zayn al-Dīn al-ʿIrāqī dismisses it as unreliable saying, "Al-Tabarānī and al-Khatib both narrate it with an unreliable chain."

The second incident is narrated by way of Ibn Isḥāq and is found in the *Musnad* of Abū Yaʿlā.

عن عائشة أنها قالت وكان متاعي فيه خف وكان على جمل ناج وكان متاع صفية فيه ثقل وكان على جمل ثقال بطيء يتبطأ بالركب فقال رسول الله وحولوا متاع عائشة على جمل صفية وحولوا متاع صفية على جمل عائشة حتى يمضي الركب قالت عائشة فلما رأيت ذلك قلت يا لعباد الله غلبتنا هذه اليهودية على رسول الله هي. قالت فقال رسول الله هي يا أم عبد الله إن متاعك كان فيه خف وكان متاع صفية فيه ثقل

¹ Al-Mughni 'an Ḥaml al-Asfār fī al-Asfār, vol. 2 pg. 43.

فأبطأ بالركب فحولنا متاعها على بعيرك وحولنا متاعك على بعيرها قالت فقلت ألست تزعم أنك رسول الله قالت فتبسم قال أو في شك أنت يا أم عبد الله قالت قلت ألست تزعم أنك رسول الله أفلا عدلت وسمعني أبو بكر وكان فيه غرب أي حدة فأقبل على فلطم وجهي فقال رسول الله على مهلا يا أبا بكر فقال يا رسول الله أما سمعت ما قالت فقال رسول الله على إن الغيري لا تبصر أسفل الوادي من أعلاه

'Ā'ishah was relates that her luggage which was relatively light was carried on a sturdy, swift camel, whilst Ṣafiyyah was, whose luggage was quite weighty was being carried on a slow camel which was delaying the entire caravan. The Prophet said, "Transfer 'Ā'ishah's luggage to Ṣafiyyah's camel, and Ṣafiyyah's luggage to 'Ā'ishah's camel."

When 'Ā'ishah www observed this she said, "O slaves of Allah! Has this Jewess managed to win her way over us with Allah's Messenger?"

The Messenger و explained, "O Umm 'Abd Allāh, your luggage was light whereas Ṣafiyyah's was heavy and was delaying the caravan. So, we transferred her luggage to your camel and yours to her camel."

[Appealling to what she perceived as injustice] She reacted in protest, "Aren't you meant to be the Messenger of Allah?"

To which the Prophet responded [with humour], "Are you in any doubt about this O Umm 'Abd Allāh?"

She responded, "Aren't you meant to be the Messenger of Allah? Why would you not demonstrate justice?"

Abū Bakr heard this and he was very strict, so he approached me and gave me a slap.

The Messenger المنافظة responded, "Take it easy, O Abū Bakr."

Abū Bakr responded, "Did you not just hear what she said?"

The Messenger of Allah responded graciously, "When women experience jealousy they cannot see the bottom of the valley from its top."

¹ Musnad Abī Ya'lā, vol. 8 pg. 129.

The scholars of Ḥadīth are divided on the status of this Isnād. Al-Būṣīrī considers it weak1 whilst Ibn Ḥajar says that it is nominally acceptable, ' $L\bar{a}$ ba's $bih\bar{t}$ '.²

If one were to proceed from the conclusion of al-Būṣ \bar{r} T, the discussion ends here. However, from the conclusion of Ibn Ḥajar there are a number of observations that are worthy of mention.

Primarily, it demonstrates the honesty of 'Ā'ishah 'A'ishah (A'ishah (A'ish

Secondly, it demonstrates the manner in which prejudice affects ones judgement. What 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has done with 'Ā'ishah 'Ééééé here is no different from what the Nawāṣib have said about 'Alī 'Ééééé when he refused to obey the Prophet's instruction to reword the treaty of Ḥudaybiyyah.

The Prophet المنظقة preventing Abū Bakr المنظقة from taking further action indicates that he excused 'Ā'ishah بالمنظقة, in fact empathized with her sense of being prevailed over, not that it was the case. The Prophet المنظقة dismissed her protest with humour and did not reprimand her; this clearly indicates that he المنظقة did not find anything untoward in the sense that she would seek injustice. Were it the case that she had violated the Sharī ah it would have been the Prophet المنظقة who reprimanded her before Abū Bakr

¹ Al-Ithāf, vol. 3 pg. 153.

² Fath al-Bārī, vol. 9 pg. 325.

'Evidence' that the Prophet مَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ passed away in the lap of 'Alī مَاللُّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَا

'Abd al-Ḥusayn cites a number narrations that are meant to disprove 'Ā'ishah's بالمنافقة report that the Prophet المنافقة passed away in her lap.

Narration One:

From Ṭabaqāt ibn Saʿd:1 During his final illness the Messenger مَالِسَمُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمَالًا "Summon my brother.."

Muḥammad ibn 'Umar [al-Wāqidī] - 'Abd Allāh ibn Muḥammad ibn 'Umar ibn 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib - from his father [Muḥammad] - from his grandfather...

This narration has been dealt with in detail earlier. It is not the first time that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is citing this unreliable narration.²

Narration Two:

From Abū Nuʻaym and Abū Aḥmad al-Faraḍī³: The Prophet taught 'Alī taught 'ali a thousand chapters of knowledge on his deathbed, each chapter with a thousand sub-chapters.

The narration has been cited via a secondary source and not a primary source. In *Kanz al-ʿUmmāl* the narration is meant to trace back to Abū Nuʿaym's *Ḥilyat al-Awliyā* but it cannot be found in the published version of the text.

Conveniently, the additional comment in Kanz al-' $Umm\bar{a}l$ has been omitted. After referencing this narration to Abū Aḥmad al-Faraḍī and Abū Nuʻaym, 'Alī Muttaqī adds that this chain is unreliable on accound of al-Ajlaḥ [al-Kindī] who is an extremist Shīʻī, citing al-Mughnī.⁴

¹ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 263.

² See Discussions under Letter 34.

³ The actual reference is to Kanz al-'Ummāl, vol. 6 pg. 392-393.

⁴ Dīwān al-Du'afā wa'l-Matrūkīn, pg 23.

'Alī ibn al-Madīnī and Yaḥyā al-Qaṭṭān both favoured Mujālid, who was unreliable¹, over al-Ajlaḥ, whilst al-Fasawī and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal inclined to the view that they were on par each other.² He also compared Ajlaḥ to Fiṭr ibn Khalīfah,³ this is in all likelihood a comparison in terms of Tashayyuʿ. Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn held a more favourable opinion of him.⁴

Imām Aḥmad furthers adds that there are a number of repudiated narrations attributed to Ajlaḥ.⁵ If we factor this statement, it is not farfetched that this particular narration happens to be on of the *Munkar* narrations that he was known to have narrated as we shall demonstrate a little later.

Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī says that his memory and accuracy of narration is not very strong that they be independently relied upon, but they are useful for documenting and comparison. He thus implies that the narrations from Ajlaḥ, are not rejected outright by default.

We are able to trace the chain from $Ab\bar{u}$ Ahmad al-Fara $d\bar{l}$ through the intermediary of a later work that cites this narration, the Mu'jam of al-Dab $\bar{u}s\bar{l}$. The chain is cited as follows:

Abū al-ʿAbbās Aḥmad ibn ʿUqdah – Aḥmad ibn Ḥusayn ibn ʿAbd al-Malik – Ismāʿīl ibn ʿĀmir al-Bajalī - ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Aswad – al-Ajlaḥ Abū Ḥujayyah – Zayd ibn ʿAlī – his father – his grandfather - ʿAlī Þalahʾs Messenger taught me a thousand chapters [of knowledge], each chapter opens up another thousand.

¹ See Discussions under Letter 8.

² Al-Maʻrifah wa'l-Ta'rīkh, vol.3 pg. 17 83.

³ Al-'Ilāl wa Ma'rifat al-Rijāl li al-Imām Aḥmad, vol. 2 pg. 413.

⁴ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 2 pg. 227.

⁵ Al-Jarḥ wa'l-Ta'dīl, vol. 9 pg 163; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 2 pg. 227.

⁶ Al-Jarh wa'l-Ta'dīl, vol. 9 pg 164.

⁷ Muʻjam al-Dabūsī, Ḥadīth no. 10.

Ismāʿīl ibn ʿĀmir al-Bajalī is considered Majhūl, and is known to be a Shīʿī.¹ He is the brother of 'Āṣim ibn ʿĀmir al-Bajalī, who along with another brother of theirs were three Shīʿī narrators from a single family that al-Dāraquṭnī had identified.

'Abd al-Raḥman ibn Aswad is a name shared by a number of narrators of that period.² The most likely candidate is 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Aswad al-Yashkurī, who is a Shī'ī from Kūfah as documented by al-Tūsī.³

The combination of three Shīī narrators in succession with the fact that two of them are Majhūl, in addition to Ajlaḥ having been crticized as a narrator is sufficient reason to dismiss this narration simply from the study of its Isnād.

It becomes evident that this narration has no basis whatsoever when we consider the inconsistency in the Matn.

'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib نقضة is on record on multiple occasions, with numerous rigourously authenticated chains of transmission, denying having exclusive knowledge from Allah's Messenger مالله المالية .

a. Abū Juḥāyfah relates

عن أبي جحيفة قال سألت عليا رضي الله عنه هل عندكم من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم شيء ليس في القرآن فقال والذي فلق الحبة وبرأ النسمة ما عندنا إلا ما في القرآن إلا فهما يعطى رجل في كتابه وما في الصحيفة قلت وما في الصحيفة قال العقل وفكاك الأسير وأن لا يقتل مسلم بكافر

I asked 'Alī, "Do you have any other knowledge from the Messenger of Allah besides what is in the Qur'ān?"

'Alī said, "No. I Swear By Him Who caused the seed to split and created the soul, we have nothing besides the Qur'ān except the gift of understanding the Qur'an, which Allah gives a man, besides what is written in this scroll."

¹ Su'ālāt al-Sulamī li al-Dāraquṭnī, pg. 235.

² Al-Muttafiq wa al-Muftariq, vol. 3 pg. 1488.

³ Rijāl al-Tūsī, pg. 235

I said, "What is in this scroll?"

'Alī said, "The regulations of *Diyah* (Blood money), the ransom for captives, and the ruling that no Muslim should be killed in Qiṣāṣ for killing a disheliever."

b. Yazīd ibn Sharīk al-Taymī, the father of Ibrāhīm al-Taymī, relates:

عن إبراهيم التيمي عن أبيه قال خطبنا علي بن أبي طالب فقال من زعم أن عندنا شيئا نقرأه إلا كتاب الله وهذه الصحيفة قال وصحيفة معلقة في قراب سيفه فقد كذب فيها أسنان الإبل وأشياء من الجراحات وفيها قال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم المدينة حرم ما بين عير إلى ثور فمن أحدث فيها حدثا أو آوى محدثا فعليه لعنة الله والملائكة والناس أجمعين لا يقبل الله منه يوم القيامة صرفا ولا عدلا وذمة المسلمين واحدة يسعى بها أدناهم ومن ادعى إلى غير أبيه أو انتمى إلى غير مواليه فعليه لعنة الله والملائكة والناس أجمعين لا يقبل الله منه يوم القيامة صرفا ولا عدلا

'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib addressed us in a sermon and said, "Whoever claims that we have something that we read apart from the Book of Allah and this scroll—and he said that scroll was tied to the scabbard of his sword—is lying. In it [the scroll] are the ages of camels [to be given as diyah or blood money] and rulings concerning injuries, And in it the Prophet is on record having said, 'Madīnah is a Sanctuary, covering the area between 'Ayr and Thawr. Whoever commits heresy or gives refuge to a heretic, will incur the curse of Allah, the Angels and all people, and on the Day of Resurrection Allah will not accept from him in recompense any obligatory or voluntary act of worship.""

c. Qays ibn 'Ubād relates

عن قيس بن عباد قال انطلقت أنا والأشتر إلى علي رضى الله عنه فقلنا هل عهد إليك نبي الله صلى الله عليه وسلم شيئا لم يعهده إلى الناس عامة قال لا إلا ما كان في كتابي هذا فأخرج كتابا من قراب سيفه فإذا فيه المؤمنون تكافأ دماؤهم وهم يد على من سواهم ويسعى بذمتهم أدناهم ألا لا يقتل مؤمن بكافر ولا ذو عهد بعهده من أحدث حدثا فعلى نفسه أو آوى محدثا فعليه لعنة الله والملائكة والناس أجمعين

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Jihād, Ḥadīth no. 3047; Musnad Aḥmad, vol. 2 pg. 36, Ḥadīth no. 599 [The wording is from Musnad Ahmad]

² Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Jizyah, Ḥadīth no. 3172; Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb al-Manāsik, Ḥadīth no. 1370.

I set off with al-Ashtar to go to ʿAlī . [When we met him] We asked, "Did the Prophet of Allah inform you of things exclusively, that he did not tell to all the people?"

He replied, "No, except what is in this document." He brought forth a document [kept] in the sheath of his sword. In it was written: "The believers are equal in respect of blood and they are one against their enemies; protection given even by the least among them is to be honoured, but no believer is to be killed (in retaliation) for a disbeliever and no one who has a covenant is to be killed whilst the covenant is in effect. Whoever commits a heresy or gives refuge to a heretic, incurs upon himself the curse of Allah, the Angels and all people."

d. Al-Ashtar al-Nakhaʿī relates that he told ʿAlī ﷺ:

عن الأشتر أنه قال لعلي إن الناس قد تفشغ بهم ما يسمعون فإن كان رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم عهد إلى الناس غير أن إلك عهدا فحدثنا به قال ما عهد إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم عهدا لم يعهده إلى الناس غير أن في قراب سيفي صحيفة فإذا فيها المؤمنون تتكافأ دماؤهم يسعى بذمتهم أدناهم لا يقتل مؤمن بكافر ولا ذو عهد في عهده مختصر

"What the people have been hearing about you has become widespread. If the Messenger of Allah told you anything [exclusive], then tell us as well."

He responded saying, "The Messenger of Allah المنافقة did not tell me anything that he did not tell the people, except that in the sheath of my sword there is a parchment [which might be something that I have which others don't], in which it was written, 'The lives of the believers are equal in value, protection given even by the least among them is to be honoured, but no believer is to be killed (in retaliation) for a disbeliever and no one who has a covenant is to be killed whilst the covenant is in effect." It is an abridgement of it.²

¹ Sunan Abī Dāwūd, Kitāb al-Diyāt, Ḥadīth no.4530; Ṣunan al-Nasā'ī, Kitāb al-Siyar, Ḥadīth no.4734.

² Sunan al-Nasā'ī, Kitāb al-Qasāmah, Ḥadīth no. 4746; Musnad Aḥmad, vol.2 pg. 267 Ḥadīth no. 959.

e. Abū Ḥassān al-Baṣrī relates from ʿAlī ఉయ్యేత:

عن أبي حسان قال قال علي ما عهد إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بشيء دون الناس إلا في صحيفة في قراب سيفي فلم يزالوا به حتى أخرج الصحيفة فإذا فيها المؤمنون تكافأ دماؤهم يسعى بذمتهم أدناهم وهم يد على من سواهم لا يقتل مؤمن بكافر ولا ذو عهد في عهده

"The Messenger of Allah مَالِمَتُهُ did not inform me of anything in secret that he did not tell the rest of the people, except what is on a scroll in the sheath of my sword."

They kept pestering him until he brought out the scroll, and in it (were the words), "The lives of the believers are equal in value, protection given even by the least among them is to be honoured. but no believer is to be killed (in retaliation) for a disbeliever and no one who has a covenant is to be killed whilst the covenant is in effect."

f. Abū al-Ṭufayl relates from ʿAlī هُوْ الْعَاجِ:

عن أبي الطفيل قال سئل علي أخصكم رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بشىء فقال ما خصنا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم بشىء لم يعم به الناس كافة إلا ما كان في قراب سيفي هذا قال فأخرج صحيفة مكتوب فيها لعن الله من ذبح لغير الله ولعن الله من سرق منار الأرض ولعن الله من لعن والده ولعن الله من آوى محدثا

'Alī was asked whether Allah's Messenger Allah's had disclosed anything to him exclusively. Thereupon he said, "The Messenger of Allah did not disclose anything in secret to us which he did not make public, save that which lies in the sheath of my sword. He drew out the written document wherein it was written, 'May Allah curse whomsoever sacrificed for anyone else besides Allah; and may Allah curse whomsoever stole the signposts [showing people directions]; and may Allah curse whomsoever cursed his father; and may Allah curse whomsoever gave sanctuary to an innovator (in religion).'"²

¹ Sunan al-Nasā'ī, Kitāb al-Qasāmah, Hadīth no. 4745.

² Saḥīḥ Muslim, Kitab al-Aḍāḥī, Ḥadīth no. 1978; Sunan al-Nasā'ī, Kitāb al-Dhabā'ih, Ḥadīth no. 4422.

In order to put the issue to rest 'Alī 'www repeatedly stated in no unequivocal terms, on different occasions and settings, that he was not privy to any secret knowledge which the rest of the Ṣaḥābah 'www were unaware of.

If we investigate the claim of 'Alī 'Lie's' receiving a thousand chapters of knowledge prior to the Prophet's passing, what happened to that knowledge. The Shīʿah barely relate Ḥadīth from 'Alī Lie's. Ahl al-Sunnah narrate more Ḥadīth from 'Alī Lie's than the Shīʿah. Worse still, from those thousand chapters of knowledge, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn could not even cite 40 narrations in support of his own doctrine which stands up to the criteria of acceptance of the Shīʿah themselves.

Bearing the criticism of the Matn of the narration cited by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in mind, the narration he cites further on by way of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr ibn 'Āṣ with a similar wording is clearly problematic as well.

Appearing in that chain is 'Abd Allāh ibn Lahī'ah whom we have elaborated on previously. Independently, scholars are inclined to dismiss his narrations and he was known for having been duped by his students who would present false narrations to him and he would narrate them without realising their error. This seems to be the case here as well.

This narration has been flagged as spurious by a number of experts including ibn $Hibb\bar{a}n^1$, Ibn 'Adī², Ibn al-Jawzī³, al-Dhahabī⁴, Ibn $Hajar^5$, Ibn 'Irāq⁶ and so many others.

Al-Dhahabī says that this is more likely a forgery; and came into circulation due to the carelessness of 'Abd Allāh ibn Lahī'ah.7

Narration Three:

From Ibn Saʿdª: Ḥadīth of Jābir that Kaʿb al-Aḥbār asked ʿUmar نَاسَنَهُ what the Prophet's أَنَّ الْمَا لَهُ اللهُ اللهُ final words were and he refered him to 'Alī الله who said that the Prophet الله rested his head on my shoulders and said, "Uphold the prayer, uphold the prayer."

The narration doesn't specify that this was at the time of the Prophet's soul leaving his body. It could easily refer to the times that 'Alī helped him walk to the Masjid to pray behind Abū Bakr, or even at his home during his final sickness. To infer that 'Alī was the last person to see him alive requires a stretch of the imagination.

Notwithstanding that, appearing in the chain of this narration is Ḥarām ibn ʿUthmān al-Madanī, about whom al-Shāfiʿī said, "Narrating from Ḥarām is Harām [Forbidden]."

¹ Al-Majrūhīn, vol. 2 pg. 14.

² Al-Kāmil, vol 2. Pg. 450.

³ Al-'Ilal al-Mutanāhiyah, vol. 1 pgs. 217-218.

⁴ Tārīkh al-Islām, vol. 4 pg. 672, Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 1 pg. 624; Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2 pg. 482-483.

⁵ Fath al-Bārī, vol. 5 pg. 363.

⁶ Tanzīh al-Sharī ah, vol. 1 pg. 386.

⁷ Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', vol. 8 pg. 24-26.

⁸ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2. Pg 201.

⁹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 1 pg 468-469.

He was severely criticized by the scholars of Ḥadīth including Mālik, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Yaḥyā, Ibn Maʿīn Yaḥyā al-Qaṭṭān, Ibn al-Madīnī, and so many others.¹

Ibn Ḥibbān said, "He was a committed Shīʿī; known for switching chains of transmission and citing interrupted chains as though they were connected."

Ibn Ḥajar declares this narration significantly weak on account of both al-Wāqidī and Ḥarām. We have established previously that there is no credibility to reports from al-Wāqidī if they are uncorroborated.

Narration Four:

From Ibn Sa'd⁴: Ḥadīth of Ibn 'Abbās المنطقة that the Prophet بالمنطقة passed away in the arms of 'Alī المنطقة .

Appearing in this chain is **Sulaymān ibn Dāwūd ibn Ḥuṣayn**, 5 who is considered $Majh\bar{u}l$. Added to the fact that **al-Wāqidī** is the only narrator to cite this from him is confirmation that its an unreliable narration without a shred of doubt.

Ibn Ḥajar states forthright that this narration is extremely unreliable as are all the narrations that claim that the Prophet طائعت departed from this world resting his head on the chest or the lap of 'Alī عنائعة .6

Narration Five:

From the *Ṭabaqāt* of Ibn Saʿd:⁷ A Narration attributed to ʿAlī Zayn al-ʿAbidīn.

¹ Ibid.

² Fath al-Bārī, vol. 8 pg. 139.

³ See our discussions on Letter 34.

⁴ Al-Tabagāt, vol. 2 pg. 263.

⁵ Al-Jarh wa'l-Ta'dīl, vol. 4 pg. 111.

⁶ Fath al-Bārī, vol. 8 pg. 139.

⁷ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 263.

We have already established that this narration is unreliable under our discussions on Letter 34. The main reason for its weakness was the presence of al-Wāqid \bar{l} as well as the interruption in the chain.

Narration Six:

From the Tabagāt of Ibn Sa'd: A Narration attributed Al-Sha'bī.

Al-Wāqidī - Abū al-Ḥuwayrith - his father - al-Shaʿbī

Firstly, the narration of al-Shaʿbī if accepted here, ought to be accepted in terms of ʿAlī's ﷺ refusal to accept the Bayʿah of his uncle al-ʿAbbās ﷺ as well. We have demonstrated through a narration by way of al-Shaʿbī that al-ʿAbbās wanted to initiate ʿAlī ﷺ as the Prophet's منافقة successor after his منافقة refused.4

Nevertheless, this particular narration is flawed on account of the interrupted chain as well the presence of al-Wāqidī.

Additionally, there is a narrator $Ab\bar{u}$ al-Ḥuwayrith. There are two narrators who share this Kunyah:

- 1. Hishām ibn 'Umārah al-Nawfalī',
- 2. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Muʿāwiyah al-Zuraqī. 6

Hishām ibn 'Umārah is Majhūl as well as his father.

¹ PLEASE ADD PAGE NUMBER

² Fath al-Bārī, vol. 8 pg. 139.

³ Al-Ṭabaqāt, vol. 2 pg. 263.

⁴ See Discussions on Letter 70.

⁵ Talkhīṣ al-Mutashābih, vol. 2 pg. 520; Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol 17. pg. 415.

⁶ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 17 pg. 414-416.

'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Muʿāwiyah is too old to be al-Wāqidī's teacher, and even if he were the narrator, he is considered weak by most of the critics. Additionally, we have no information on his father as a narrator of Hadīth.

Narration Seven:

From Musnad Aḥmad: The narration of Umm Salamah

The discussion on this Ḥadīth will be on the next round of correspondence. ʿAbd al-Husayn picks up his discussion from this Ḥadīth.

Narration Eight:

The narration of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr ibn al-'Āṣ which has already been dealt with under Narration Two.

Narration Nine:

All that remains is the discussion on the Ḥadīth of Maghāfīr and the Ḥadīth of Umm Salamah ; which will be discussed in the next round of correspondence.

Letter 79

Safar 23, 1330

I. Consensus Endorses al-Siddiq's Caliphate

If what you have said about the covenant and the will, as well as the clear texts, is proven accurate, then what can you say about the nation's consensus to nominate [Abu Bakr] al-Siddiq? Its consensus is an unequivocal proof that testifies to his statement "My nation's consensus shall never occur regarding anything wrong," and his statement, peace be upon him and his progeny, "My nation's word shall never be misleading;" so, what do you have to say about that?

Sincerely,

S

Safar 24, 1330

I. No Consensus

We say that the meaning of his مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمَا statements: "My nation's consensus shall never occur regarding anything wrong," and "My nation's word shall never be misleading," is that he مَرْاتَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَالًا negates the error, or the misguidance, of the issue regarding which the nation arbitrates; thus, the nation will be reaching a unanimous endorsement in that issue's regard. This is the meaning of such traditions, and nothing else. As regarding the matter which is considered by a group of individuals of the nation who decided to carry it out, successfully forcing it even on those who had a say, their carrying it out does not prove its validity. The pledge of allegiance taken at the saqifa was not an issue regarding consultation; rather, it was something which was undertaken by the second caliph and by Abu 'Ubaydah and a group of their friends, then they took by surprise those who actually had the authority to do and undo, assisted by contemporary circumstances. Thus, did they finally achieve what they had aspired. Abu Bakr himself declared that the oath of allegiance which he had received was conducted neither in accordance with consultation nor wisdom. He did so when he delivered a sermon at the dawn of his caliphate in which he apologized to the public saying: "The allegiance which I have received is a rash slip from the evil of which Allah has protected us, and there was a presentiment regarding dissension."[1] 'Umer testified to the same fact in front of many eye-witnesses when he delivered a sermon from the pulpit of the Prophet's Mosque one Friday shortly before the conclusion of his reign, a sermon the news of which became widely publicized. Al-Bukhari has included it in his Sahih, [2] and I would like to quote it for you here verbatim:

"It has come to my knowledge that someone[3] has said that if `Umer dies, he will swear the oath of allegiance to so-and-so; therefore, let nobody hesitate from

saying that the oath of allegiance to Abu Bakr was a slip that was driven home, for it was exactly so, yet Allah protected us from the evil of its consequences... Whoever swears the oath of allegiance to someone prior to consulting others, doing so only out of fear of being killed if he did not, then he should not do it at all [and accept death instead]...[4] One of the rumours circulated about us when Allah took His Messenger مَالْتَهُمَا يُوسَدُّ away from us is that the Ansar differed from us in their views; they all assembled at the saqifa [shed] of Bani Sa`idah; besides them, `Ali عَلَيْ and al-Zubayr, and their followers, differed, too..." He continued to point out what had happened at the shed, the disputes and differences of opinion, the voices that rose out of concern for the safety of the religion, etc. It was under those circumstances that 'Umer swore allegiance to Abu Bakr. It is a fact well-known by those who research the events that prevented the members of the Prophet's household عَمَيْنَا , the custodians of the Message, from attending the allegiance [inauguration] ceremony. They were detained at `Ali's house together with Salman, Abu Tharr al-Ghifari, al-Migdad ibn al-Aswad al-Kindi, `Ammar ibn Yasir, al-Zubayr ibn al-Awwam, Khuzaymah ibn Thabit, Abu ibn Ka'b, Farwah ibn 'Amr ibn Wadqah al-Ansari, al-Bara' ibn 'Azib, Khalid ibn Sa'd ibn al-'As al-Amawi, and many others. So, how can it be said that there was a consensus in spite of the fact that all these men, including Muhammad's progeny عليالقارة, who are to the nation like the head to the body, the eyes to the face, the descendants and the custodians of his knowledge, the ones صَالَتُسْتُكُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلِيهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلِي عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَي who are peers only to and the companions of the Book of Allah, the arks of the nation's redemption, and the gates of its salvation, the nation's protection against straying, and the standard-bearers of its guidance, as we have proven above...,[5] did not attend? But their dealing requires no proof if conscientiously discerned.

Both Bukhari and Muslim,[6] in their sahihs, in addition to many other renown traditionists and historians, have all proven the fact that `Ali Miller did not participate in the allegiance process, and that he did not reconcile and make peace except after the mistress of the ladies of the world Miller had joined her father In Paradise, six months thereafter, compelled by the general Islamic interest during those very critical circumstances. The testimony to these

facts comes from `Ayesha herself who says: "Al-Zahra' Marke boycotted Abu Bakr and did not speak to him after the demise of the Messenger of Allah till she died, and when `Ali Marke made peace with them, he accused them of depriving him of his place in the caliphate." This hadith, as you can see, does not mention anything about his swearing the oath of allegiance to them. How thought-provoking his statement is when he addresses Abu Bakr thus: If you had argued with them, kinship claiming, Then others are closer to the Prophet and more deserving; And if through consultation you took control, How so when those with counsel were not there at all?![7]

Al-`Abbas ibn `Abdul-Muttalib had used the same argument with Abu Bakr, as Ibn Qutaybah discusses him on page 16 of his book *Al-Imama wal Siyasa*, telling him once: "If you demanded what you demanded through kinship to the Messenger of Allah مَا الله بالله بالله

So; tell me where is the consensus you are talking about, having heard what the uncle of the Messenger of Allah , the one who was his father's peer, stated, in addition to the statement of his cousin, brother and executor of his will, as well as the statements of all his household and kin?

Sincerely,		
Sh		

1. This is quoted by Abu Bakr Ahmed ibn `Abdul-`Aziz al-Jawhari in his book *Al-Saqifa* and by Ibn Abul-Hadid on page 132, Vol. 1, of his *Sharh Nahjul Balaqhah*.

- 2. Refer to the *sahih*, his chapter on the stoning of the woman who becomes pregnant out of adultery if she gets married, page 119, Vol. 4. It is also quoted by several authors of books of tradition and history such as Ibn Jarir and al-Tabari who discuss the events of the year 11 in the *tarikh* [history] book of each, and it is transmitted by Ibn Abul-Hadid on page 122, Vol. 1, of his *Sharh Nahjul Balaghah*.
- 3. The one who is making a statement is Ibn al-Zubayr, and his statement is: "By Allah! As soon as `Umer dies, I will swear the oath of allegiance to `Ali, for allegiance to Abu Bakr was a slip by the nation that safely passed by." `Umer, therefore, was extremely angry, and he delivered the said sermon. This is stated by many of those who have commented on al-Bukhari. Refer to the explanation of this hadith in al-Qastalani's *Sharh*, page 352, Vol. 11, and you will find the author quoting al-Balathiri with regards to surnames, admitting the authenticity of this hadith according to its endorsement by both shaykhs.
- 4. In his commentary on this hadith, Ibn al-Athir has stated that the statement's gist is that they feared being murdered. The meaning of the whole hadith, therefore, is something like: "The allegiance must come as a result of consultation and consensus; so, if two men split from the group and one of them swears the fealty of allegiance to the other, then they both have departed from the group and consensus. If one receives the oath of allegiance, then he should not be one of them; rather, they both have to be isolated from the group that agrees to distinguish its own Imam from the rest. Otherwise, if one of them receives the oath of allegiance, after having committed a heinous act which caused the group to do without them, then there is no guarantee that both persons will commit murder." It is one of the dictates of the justice described by 'Umer who passed such a judgment on himself and his friend just as he passed it on others. Prior to his said sermon, he had stated the following: "Swearing the oath of allegiance to Abu Bakr was a slip against whose evil Allah has protected us;

- so, you should kill whoever repeats it." This statement became extremely famous, and many narrators of historical events transmitted it, including scholar Ibn Abul-Hadid on page 123, Vol. 1, of his *Sharh Nahjul Balaqhah*.
- 5. Refer to Letter No. 6 and its following pages up to the end of Letter No. 12, and you will come to know the prestige meted to Ahl al-Bayt, peace be upon them.
- 6. Refer to al-Bukhari's *Sahih*, and read the last lines of his chapter on Khaybar's campaign on page 39, Vol. 3. Also refer to Muslim's *Sahih*, to his chapter on the Prophet's statement: "We do not leave behind us anything, for whatever we leave is for charity," in his treatise on holy wars and biographies on page 72, Vol. 2, and you will find the matter as we have detailed it.
- 7. Both of these poetic verses are included in Nahjul Balaghah. Ibn Abul-Hadid has said so while explaining them in his Sharh Nahjul Balaghah, page 319, Vol. 4, adding, "His statement is addressed to Abu Bakr, for Abu Bakr argued with the Ansar at the saqifa, saying: 'We are the progeny of the Messenger of Allah and his nutshell;' so, when he argued about the allegiance, claiming that it was done by those who had a say, 'Ali said: 'As regarding your argument with the Ansar saying that you belong to the progeny of the Messenger of Allah and are among his kin, others are closer in kinship to him than you; as regarding your argument of being elected and that the masses are pleased with you, there many sahaba who were not present there; so, how can it be called consensus?" Shaykh Muhammad 'Abdoh has made two comments on these verses summarizing what Ibn Abul-Hadid has said while explaining them.

Discussions

While any discerning reader might question the academic integrity of al-Murājaʿāt, it would be naïve to overlook the book's remarkable success as a propaganda tool. ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's ability to sidestep cold facts and still construct a seemingly reasonable, yet fundamentally flawed argument is a testament to the fact that his supposed interlocutor is not genuinely engaged in a balanced debate; instead he is depicted as gradually embracing ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's viewpoint. This strategic shift overcomes the challenge of engaging in genuine exchange to a one-sided exposition of his own views. It is an ingenious loophole to avoid the need to address any substantial criticism from an actual objective respondent. By controlling both sides of the conversation, he maintains the illusion of fairness and reasonableness, that can easily mislead the unsuspecting reader.

On the matter of Ijmāʿ, or consensus, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn employs a similarly divergent tactic. He distracts from the epistemological underpinnings of Ijmāʿ and its correct understanding and application by presenting an alternative that appears reasonable at first glance. This strategy of reinterpreting core principles exemplifies his broader approach of rhetorical subterfuge. Rather than participating in genuine scholarly debate, he reconstructs an alternative narrative which doesn't stand up to scrutiny when subjected to objective academic investigation.

The flawed argument

'Abd al-Ḥusayn, arguing on behalf of Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī, posits that *even* if one concedes the authenticity of the Aḥādīth of Waṣiyyah, the Ijmā' of the Ṣaḥābah on the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr stands as sufficient evidence of its validity.

This argument, however, is flawed on multiple counts. The legislative authority of Ijmā' is derived as an extension of the revealed sources—Qur'ān and Sunnah—and does not operate independently of them. Ijmā' exists to address matters where textual sources are silent, not to contradict them. Furthermore, Ijmā' plays

a critical role in defining the meaning of textual sources, thereby eliminating any speculation regarding their interpretation.

To claim that Ijmāʿ can validate the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr in the presence of authentic Aḥādīth of Waṣiyyah is fundamentally antithetical to the principles of Islamic Legal Theory. Ijmāʿ derives its authority precisely because it does not contravene the explicit directives found in the Qurʾān and Sunnah. Instead, it serves to clarify and apply these sources in situations where they are silent or ambiguous. Thus, invoking Ijmāʿ to override an established textual source is clearly a misapplication that one would not expect from a novice, let alone a scholar of the stature of the Shaykh al-Azhar!

Moreover, the historical events surrounding the succession after the Prophet's demise, particularly the deliberations at Saqīfah and the subsequent pledges of allegiance in the Masjid, reflect a clear Ijmā' among the Ṣaḥābah to the absence of any authentic Waṣiyyah in favour of 'Alī being the appointed successor.

At Saqīfah Banī Sāʿidah, the Anṣār had already identified a leader, Saʿd ibn ʿUbādah , who was about to be sworn in. When Abū Bakr, ʿUmar, and Abū ʿUbaydah intervened, the Anṣār abandoned Saʿd ibn ʿUbādah after having debated on whether there could be a leader from both the Anṣār and Muhājirūn. Eventually, they agreed on a leader from Quraysh in accordance with the Prophetic directive.

When it came to choosing a leader from Quraysh, Abū Bakr suggested either 'Umar or Abū 'Ubaydah ﷺ, they declined and nominated Abū Bakr instead. This is another Ijmā'; the validity of Khilāfah was contingent on the nominee being from Quraysh. A requirement of this nature deals yet another blow to the allegations of there being any Waṣiyyah!

We have previously established without any doubt that the behaviour of 'Alī with, as well as other members of the Ahl al-Bayt, is also consistent with this

Ibn 'Abbās เจ้าอันโร๊ง relates:

أن عبد الله بن عباس أخبره أن علي بن أبي طالب رضي الله عنه خرج من عند رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال وسلم في وجعه الذي توفي فيه فقال الناس يا أبا حسن كيف أصبح رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فقال أصبح بحمد الله بارئا فأخذ بيده عباس بن عبد المطلب فقال له أنت والله بعد ثلاث عبد العصا وإني والله لأرى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم سوف يتوفى من وجعه هذا إني لأعرف وجوه بني عبد المطلب عند الموت اذهب بنا إلى رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فلنسأله فيمن هذا الأمر إن كان فينا علمنا ذلك وإن كان في غيرنا علمناه فأوصى بنا فقال علي إنا والله لئن سألناها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم فمنعناها لا يعطيناها الناس بعده وإني والله لا أسألها رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم

'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās reported that 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib acame out from the presence of the Messenger of Allah during the illness in which he passed away. The people asked, "O Abū Ḥasan, how is the Messenger of Allah this morning?"

He replied, "All praise be to Allah, he is well this morning."

'Abbās ibn 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib took him by the hand and said to him, "By Allah, in three days' time you will be someone's subject. By Allah, I think that the Messenger of Allah will die from this illness. I recognise the look of death on the faces of the Banū 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib when they are dying. Let us go to the Messenger of Allah and ask him who will take charge over this matter (Khilāfah). If it is for us, we will know, and if it is for someone else, we will know and he can advise him to take care of us."

¹ See discussions under Letter 30.

'Alī replied, "By Allah, if we ask him for it and he refuses us, then the people will never give it to us afterwards. By Allah, I will not ask it from the Messenger of Allah."

Additionally, it has been previously established that after the Prophet's passing, 'Abbās 'Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golffered.' However, 'Alī Golffered to pledge allegiance to 'Alī Golff

Definition and Explanation of Ijmā'

In providing the definition of Ijmāʿ, we look towards Badr al-Dīn al-Zarkashī in his work *al-Baḥr al-Muḥīṭ*, where he has surveyed the entire spectrum of scholarly discourse on Islamic Legal Theory and represents the tradition in its maturity.

He defines Ijmāʻ in the following terms:

Ijmā' is the agreement of the Mujtahids of the Ummah of Muḥammad after his death on an emergent matter concerning any particular incident in a given era.³

He then proceeds to elaborate on the qualified expressions that this definition entails:

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Maghāzī, Ḥadīth: 4182.

² See letter 70.

³ Al-Baḥr al-Muḥīt, vol. 6 pg. 379.

وبالإضافة إلى أمة محمد خرج اتفاق الأمم السابقة وإن قيل بأنه حجة على رأي لكن الكلام في الإجماع الذي هو حجة

وقولنا بعد وفاته قيد لا بد منه على رأيهم فإن الإجماع لا ينعقد في زمانه صلى الله عليه وسلم كما سنذكره

وخرج بالحادثة انعقاد الإجماع على الحكم الثابت بالنص والعمل به

وقولنا على أمر من الأمور يتناول الشرعيات والعقليات والعرفيات واللغويات

وقولنا في عصر من الأعصار ليرفع وهم من يتوهم أن المراد بالمجتهدين من يوجد إلى يوم القيامة وهذا التوهم باطل فإنه يؤدي إلى عدم تصور الإجماع والمراد بالعصر منا من كان من أهل الاجتهاد في الوقت الذي حدثت فيه المسألة وظهر الكلام فيه فهو من أهل ذلك العصر ومن بلغ هذا بعد حدوثها فليس من أهل ذلك العصر

This definition deliberately excludes the consensus of the general public, whose opinions hold no authoritative weight. It also precludes the agreement of only a subset of mujtahids, (as Ijmāʿ requires the consensus of all Mujtahids of an era).

Additionally, the qualifying term "of the Ummah of Muḥammad" excludes the consensus of previous nations, even if it is argued to be authoritative in some opinions, since our discussion is about consensus that is binding.

The condition "after his death" is crucial because Ijmā' cannot be established during the Prophet's مَا اللَّهُ مَا اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ

By specifying "in an emergent matter," the definition excludes consensus on issues that are already clearly established by explicit texts and their application.

The phrase "on any particular matter" is inclusive, not restricted only to religious rulings but also includes matters of reason, convention, and those of a linguistic nature as well.

Lastly, "in any given era" clarifies that $Ijm\bar{a}^c$ is tied to the specific scholars alive at the time the issue arises. It dispels the misconception that $Ijm\bar{a}^c$ is

suspended until the agreement of scholars across all times until the Day of Judgment is reached. Instead, it pertains to those capable of ijtihād when the issue emerges, at the exclusion of those who might come later.¹

Doctrinal Foundations for Ijmā'

The doctrinal basis for the legal authority of Ijmā $^\circ$ originates from the Qur'ān, the Sunnah, and rational discourse. Although no singular verse or ḥadīth unequivocally and independently establishes the authority of Ijmā $^\circ$, a collection of Āyāt and Aḥādīth collectively affirm the Ummah's protection from error, as a form of collective 'Iṣmah. This doctrine of collective infallibility forms the foundation for the idea that the consensus of the Muslim Ummah must inherently be free from error.

The concept of Ijmā' from the perspective of Sunnis, which is founded on the collective infallibility of the Ummah's consensus, stands in stark contrast to the Shīʿī doctrine of the 'Ismah of the Twelve Imāms. The Shīʿah believe the Twelve Imāms to be individually infallible and divinely appointed to lead the community after the Prophet This doctrine holds that each Imām possesses divine protection from error, making their individual rulings and interpretations infallible.

These two concepts are mutually exclusive: the authority of Ijm \bar{a} is based on the collective agreement and infallibility of the Ummah, whereas the 'Iṣmah of the Im \bar{a} ms relies on the alleged infallibility of those specific individuals. For as long as they exist, the idea of Ijm \bar{a} is inconceivable.

Reinventing a narrative

'Abd al-Ḥusayn conflates the events that transpired at Saqīfah Banī Sā'idah with the concept of Ijmā' on the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr . He further introduces a condition for Ijmā' that it must be established through a consultative process—a condition seemingly invented to fit his narrative.

¹ Ibid.

In this twisted version of events, he implies that ʿAlī was deliberately excluded from the consultation at Saqīfah and subsequently coerced into pledging allegiance to Abū Bakr . The portrayal in al-Murājaʿāt suggests that the three Muhājirūn present at Saqīfah had conspired beforehand to advance their personal agendas.

Contrary to this narrative, the Ijmā' acknowledged by the Ahl al-Sunnah refers to the consensus of the notable Companions —specifically those who are part of the Ahl al-Ḥall wa al-ʿAqd (the people of authority and decision making)1. This group, which includes ʿAlī , eventually endorsed the proceedings at Saqīfah. Through this consensus, the Ummah collectively recognised the legitimacy of Abū Bakr's Khilāfah as the first successor to the Prophet . In support of this we present the statement of Amīr al-Mu'minīn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib himself; ʿAmr ibn Sufyān relates:

عن عمرو بن سفيان قال لما ظهر علي رضي الله عنه على الناس يوم الجمل قال أيها الناس إن رسول الله على لناس يعهد إلينا في هذه الإمارة شيئا حتى رأينا من الرأي أن نستخلف أبا بكر فأقام واستقام حتى مضى للسبيله ثم إن أبا بكر رأى من الرأي أن يستخلف عمر فأقام واستقام حتى ضرب الدين بجرانه

When 'Alī 'accide emerged victorious over the people on the Day of Jamal, he said, "O people, the Messenger of Allah did not leave us any directive regarding this leadership. However, we saw fit, based on our discretion, to appoint Abū Bakr as the successor. He assumed the position, led well, and remained steadfast until he passed on. Then Abū Bakr deemed it appropriate to appoint 'Umar as his successor. He too assumed the position, led with integrity, and remained steadfast until he firmly established the religion."

¹ The Arabic term Ahl al-Ḥall wa al-ʿAqd literally means "those who loosen and fasten", contextually it refers to a group of responsible individuals who possess the requisite knowledge, integrity, and authority to make binding decisions on behalf of the community.

² Kitāb al-Sunnah by Ibn Abī ʿĀṣim, Ḥadīth: 1218; Kitāb al-Iʿtiqād by al-Bayhaqī, Ḥadīth: 348.

Much can be said about this quote in terms of inferences, but the most significant takeaways are that 'Alī ' confirms that the Prophet did not appoint a successor and that he was in agreement with the candidacy of Abū Bakr ' Bakr' .

All the quotations that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, or any other detractor, might cite about 'Alī's was misgivings after Saqīfah have nothing to do with the candidacy of Abū Bakr, but are instead reflections of personal grievances for feeling excluded from the decision-making process at the time. Once apprised of the situation and the manner in which events unfolded at Saqīfah, all the Companions supported that decision and unanimously accepted Abū Bakr as the successor to the Messenger of Allah Accepted.

On this particular point 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is at pains to establish a narrative of Abū Bakr wow opportunistically usurping the Khilāfah in a conspiracy with 'Umar and Abū 'Ubaydah wow. He centers his focus on the fact that there was no consultation, and he alludes to a Khuṭbah allegedly delivered by Abū Bakr wow in justification of the rash decision to appoint himself as the Khalīfah.

Before addressing the concerns about the reliability of this alleged Khuṭbah, it is important to clarify that the events at Saqīfah did, in fact, involve consultation. Most of the key decision-makers of the Ummah were present, and the exchanges between the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār constituted a consultative process, with active participation from both sides. What was indeed unexpected was the immediate oath of allegiance to Abū Bakr However, this followed sufficient deliberation and resolution of the issue at hand.

The haste that is often spoken of in the context of Saqīfah pertains not to the deliberations themselves, but to the immediate formalisation of Abū Bakr's leadership through Bay'ah. This urgency was motivated by the need to prevent civil unrest, as the Anṣār were on the verge of swearing allegiance to Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah before the Muhājirūn intervened.

We do not intend to belabour the point of the calculated and deliberate misquotations found in *al-Murājaʿāt*. The discerning reader will by now recognise the recurring nature of such omissions and misstatements.

The alleged Khuṭbah attributed to Abū Bakr at the beginning of his Khilāfah justifying his nomination is ascribed to al-Jawharī in his work titled al-Saqīfah and to Sharh Nahj al-Balāghah by Ibn Abī al-Hadīd.

Below is the full quotation, including the section that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn chose to truncate. While we do not rely on this particular narration due to its questionable transmission, the complete text profoundly undermines the argument presented in *al-Murāja*'āt. Ironically, the very narration that is supposed to support 'Abd al-Husayn's stance actually refutes it!

أثم قام أبو بكر فخطب الناس واعتذر إليهم وقال إن بيعتي كانت فلتة وقى الله شرها وخشيت الفتنة وأيم الله ما حصرت عليها يوم قط ولقد قلدت أمرا عظيما مالي به طاقة ولا يدان ولوددت أن أقوى الناس عليه مكاني وجعل يعتذر إليهم فقبل المهاجرون عذره وقال علي والزبير ما غضبنا إلا في المشورة وإنا لنرى أبا بكر أحق الناس بها إنه لصاحب الغار وإنا لنعرف له سنه ولقد أمره رسول الله على بالصلاة بالناس وهو حى

Then Abū Bakr stood up, addressed the people, and apologised to them. He said, "My appointment as leader was a sudden occurrence whose evil outcome Allah protected us from. I feared discord.

By Allah, I did not desire it for a single day. Indeed, I have been entrusted with a great responsibility for which I have no strength or ability. I would have preferred that the most capable person take my place." He continued to express his apologies to them. The Muhājirūn accepted his apology.

'Alī and al-Zubayr said, "Our only grievance was about the consultation process1. We believe that Abū Bakr is the most deserving of this position. He is the *Companion of the Cave*, we respect his age, and indeed, the

¹ Meaning that they had been excluded.

Messenger of Allah instructed him to lead the people in prayer while he was still alive."

In the translated version of *al-Murājaʿāt*, the translator renders the term *faltah* as "a rash slip." However, a more nuanced understanding of the term encompasses the idea of something occurring suddenly, unexpectedly, or without prior planning. So the word *faltah* conveys meanings associated with swiftness, spontaneous action, or something done in haste. It can also imply an event that unfolds without prior deliberation, and at times with the intent to prevent further chaos or disorder.

Below is a detailed explanation of the term by the imminent scholar Majd al-Dīn ibn al-Athīr:

ومنه حديث عمر إن بيعة أبي بكر كانت فلتة وقى الله شرها أراد بالفلتة الفجأة ومثل هذه البيعة جديرة بأن تكون مهيجة للشر والفتنة فعصم الله من ذلك ووقى والفلتة كل شيء فعل من غير روية وإنما بودر بها خوف انتشار الأمر وقيل أراد بالفلتة الخلسة أي إن الإمامة يوم السقيفة مالت إلى توليها الأنفس ولذلك كثر فيها التشاجر فما قلدها أبو بكر إلا انتزاعا من الأيدي واختلاسا

وقيل الفلتة آخر ليلة من الأشهر الحرم فيختلفون فيها أمن الحل هي أم من الحرم فيسارع الموتور إلى درك الثأر فيكثر الفساد وتسفك الدماء فشبه أيام النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم بالأشهر الحرم ويوم موته بالفلتة من وقوع الشر من ارتداد العرب وتخلف الأنصار عن الطاعة ومنع من منع الزكاة والجري على عادة العرب في ألا يسود القبيلة إلا رجل منها

In the context of the hadīth of 'Umar, "Indeed, the appointment of Abū Bakr was a *faltah*, whose evil Allah protected us from," the term *faltah* refers to a sudden occurrence.

The circumstances surrounding an event like this Bay'ah has potential for internal discord and strife, but Allah safeguarded from that.

The term *faltah* denotes anything done without premeditation or careful thought; in this case, it was done hastily due to the fear of chaos spreading.

¹ Sharh Nahj al-Balāghah, vol. 1 pg. 132.

Another interpretation suggests that *faltah* implies an act done stealthily or by surprise. This means that the leadership on the day of Saqīfah leaned towards becoming a position that some coveted, with potential for considerable contention. Abū Bakr being appointed to leadership by swiftly taking it from the hands of others¹, almost as if by catching it from their hands.

Another explanation for the term *faltah* refers to the last night of the sacred months, during which there is uncertainty whether the period remains sacred or has ended. Historically, people used to rush to settle scores, leading to widespread turmoil and bloodshed.

This analogy likens the days of the Prophet مَالْسُعُتُونَالُهُ to the sacred months and his death to a *faltah*, comparing the emergence of potential evil such as the apostasy of the Arabs, the Anṣār's [initial] reluctance to pledge allegiance, the refusal to pay Zakāh by some, and the Arab tradition that only a man from their own tribe should lead.²

Establishing an accurate timeline of events

In order to have an accurate point of reference, we need to establish a timeline of events and an accurate version of what was said during the period between the Prophet's passing and the appointment of Abū Bakr passing as the Khalīfah.

For the sake of convenience, we reproduce what Ḥāfiẓ Ibn Kathīr relates in al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah:

¹ Meaning Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah ﷺ.

² Al-Nihāyah, pg. 945.

ذلك أنه ﷺ لما مات كان الصديق رضي الله عنه قد صلى بالمسلمين صلاة الصبح وكان إذ ذاك قد أفق رسول الله ﷺ إفاقة من غمرة ما كان فيه من الوجع وكشف ستر الحجرة ونظر إلى المسلمين وهم صفوف في الصلاة خلف أبي بكر فأعجبه ذلك وتبسم صلوات الله وسلامه عليه حتى هم المسلمون أن يتركوا ما هم فيه من الصلاة لفرحهم به وحتى أراد أبو بكر أن يتأخر ليصل الصف فأشار إليهم أن يمكثوا كما هم وأرخى الستارة وكان آخر العهد به ﷺ فلما انصرف أبو بكر رضي الله عنه من الصلاة دخل عليه وقال لعائشة ما أرى رسول الله ﷺ إلا قد أقلع عنه من الوجع وهذا يوم بنت خارجة يعني إحدى زوجتيه وكانت ساكنة بالسنح شرقي المدينة فركب على فرس له وذهب إلى منزله وتوفي رسول الله ﷺ حين اشتد الضحى من ذلك اليوم وقيل عند زوال الشمس والله أعلم

When the Prophet Muḥammad was on his last, Abū Bakr had just led the Muslims in the Fajr prayer. At that moment, the Prophet was regained consciousness from the intensity of his illness. He drew back the curtain of his room and looked upon the Muslims who were standing in rows behind Abū Bakr in prayer. This sight pleased him greatly and he smiled. The Muslims were so overjoyed at seeing him that they almost abandoned their prayer. Abū Bakr was about to step back to join the row, but the Prophet signaled to them to remain as they were, then he let the curtain fall. This was the last time they saw him alive.

After the prayer, Abū Bakr went to see him and said to ʿĀ'ishah, "I believe the Prophet's condition has improved from his illness. This is the day of [visiting] the daughter of Khārijah," referring to one of his wives who lived in the area of Sunḥ, east of Madīnah. He then mounted his horse and rode to his home. Later that day, during the intense heat of the forenoon, the Prophet Muhammad passed away. Some reports say it was at the time of the sun's zenith. Allah knows best.

He goes on to relate the events that transpired at Saqīfah Banī Sāʿidah as described by ʿUmar www who was an eye-witness to the proceedings.¹ Our comments shall appear as footnotes to the narration since interspersing it with comments detracts from the general flow of the narration and since it needs to be read in its entirety to appreciate the context.

¹ Al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah, vol. 8 pg. 81.

Bear in mind that is the same incident which is quoted in piecemeal in *al-Murāja* $\dot{a}t$; except there it is distorted and stripped of any context.

قال الإمام أحمد ثنا إسحاق بن عيسى الطباع ثنا مالك بن أنس حدثني ابن شهاب عن عبيد الله بن عبد أن عبد أن عبد الرحمن بن عوف رجع إلى رحله قال ابن عباس وكنت أقرئ عبد الرحمن بن عوف فوجدني وأنا أنتظره وذلك بمنى في آخر حجة حجها عمر بن الخطاب فقال عبد الرحمن بن عوف إن رجلا أتى عمر بن الخطاب فقال إن فلانا يقول لو قد مات عمر بايعت فلانا فقال عمر إني قائم العشية إن شاء الله في الناس فمحذرهم هؤلاء الرهط الذين يريدون أن يغصبوهم أمرهم

قال عبد الرحمن فقلت يا أمير المؤمنين لا تفعل فإن الموسم يجمع رعاع الناس وغوغاءهم وإنهم الذين يغلبون على مجلسك إذا قمت في الناس فأخشى أن تقول مقالة يطير بها أولئك فلا يعوها ولا يضعوها مواضعها ولكن حتى تقدم المدينة فإنها دار الهجرة والسنة وتخلص بعلماء الناس وأشرافهم فتقول ما قلت متمكنا فيعون مقالتك ويضعونها مواضعها قال عمر لئن قدمت المدينة سالما صالحا لأكلمن بها الناس في أول مقام أقومه

فلما قدمنا المدينة في عقب ذي الحجة وكان يوم الجمعة عجلت الرواح صكة الأعمى قلت لمالك وما صكة الأعمى قال إنه لا يبالي أي ساعة خرج لا يعرف الحر والبرد أو نحو هذا فوجدت سعيد بن زيد عند ركن المنبر الأيمن قد سبقني فجلست حذاءه تحك ركبتي ركبته فلم أنشب أن طلع عمر فلما رأيته قلت ليقولن العشية على هذا المنبر مقالة ما قالها عليه أحد قبله قال فأنكر سعيد بن زيد ذلك وقال ما عسيت أن يقول ما لم يقل أحد

فجلس عمر على المنبر فلما سكت المؤذن قام فأثنى على الله بما هو أهله ثم قال أما بعد أيها الناس فإني قائل مقالة قد قدر لي أن أقولها لا أدري لعلها بين يدي أجلي فمن وعاها وعقلها فليحدث بها حيث انتهت به راحلته ومن لم يعها فلا أحل له أن يكذب علي إن الله بعث محمدا ﷺ بالحق وأنزل عليه الكتاب فكان مما أنزل عليه آية الرجم فقرأناها ووعيناها وعقلناها ورجم رسول الله ﷺ ورجمنا بعده فأخشى إن طال بالناس زمان أن يقول قائل لا نجد آية الرجم في كتاب الله فيضلوا بترك فريضة قد أنزلها الله عز وجل فالرجم في كتاب الله حق على من زنى إذا أحصن من الرجال والنساء إذا قامت البينة أو كان الحبل أو الاعتراف ألا وإنا قد كنا نقرأ لا ترغبوا عن آبائكم فإن كفرا بكم أن ترغبوا عن آبائكم ألا وإن رسول الله على عيسى بن مريم فإنما أنا عبد فقولوا عبد الله ورسوله

وقد بلغني أن قائلا منكم يقول لو قد مات عمر بايعت فلانا فلا يغترن امرؤ أن يقول إن بيعة أبي بكر كانت فلتة ألا وإنها كانت كذلك ألا إن الله وقي شرها وليس فيكم اليوم من تقطع إليه الأعناق مثل أبي بكر

وإنه كان من خبرنا حين توفي رسول الله ﷺ أن عليا والزبير ومن كان معهما تخلفوا في بيت فاطمة بنت رسول الله ﷺ وتخلفت عنا الأنصار بأجمعها في سقيفة بني ساعدة واجتمع المهاجرون إلى أبي بكر فقلت له يا أبا بكر انطلق بنا إلى إخواننا من الأنصار فانطلقنا نؤمهم حتى لقينا رجلان صالحان فذكرا لنا الذي صنع القوم فقالا أين تريدون يا معشر المهاجرين فقلت نريد إخواننا هؤلاء من الأنصار فقالا لا عليكم أن لا تقربوهم واقضوا أمركم يا معشر المهاجرين فقلت والله لنأتينهم فانطلقنا حتى جئناهم في سقيفة بني ساعدة فإذا هم مجتمعون وإذا بين ظهرانيهم رجل مزمل فقلت من هذا قالوا سعد بن عبادة فقلت ما له قالوا وجع

فلما جلسنا قام خطيبهم فأثنى على الله بما هو أهله وقال أما بعد فنحن أنصار الله وكتيبة الإسلام وأنتم يا معشر المهاجرين رهط منا وقد دفت دافة منكم يريدون أن يختزلونا من أصلنا ويحضنونا من الأمر فلما سكت أردت أن أتكلم وكنت قد زورت مقالة أعجبتني أردت أن أقولها بين يدي أبي بكر وقد كنت أداري منه بعض الحدوهو كان أحلم مني وأوقر

فقال أبو بكر على رسلك فكرهت أن أغضبه وكان أعلم مني وأوقر والله ما ترك من كلمة أعجبتني في تزويري إلا قالها في بديهته وأفضل حتى سكت فقال أما بعد فما ذكرتم من خير فأنتم أهله ولم تعرف العرب هذا الأمر إلا لهذا الحي من قريش هم أوسط العرب نسبا ودارا وقد رضيت لكم أحد هذين الرجلين أيهما شئتم وأخذ بيدي ويد أبي عبيدة بن الجراح فلم أكره مما قال غيرها وكان والله أن أقدم فتضرب عنقي لا يقربني ذلك إلى إثم أحب إلى أن أتأمر على قوم فيهم أبو بكر إلا أن تغير نفسي عند المهوت

فقال قائل من الأنصار أنا جذيلها المحكك وعذيقها المرجب منا أمير ومنكم أميريا معشر قريش فقلت لمالك ما يعني أنا جذيلها المحكك وعذيقها المرجب قال كأنه يقول أنا داهيتها قال فكثر اللغط وارتفعت الأصوات حتى خشيت الاختلاف فقلت ابسط يدك يا أبا بكر فبسط يده فبايعته وبايعه المهاجرون ثم بايعه الأنصار ونزونا على سعد بن عبادة فقال قائل منهم قتلتم سعدا فقلت قتل الله سعدا

قال عمر أما والله ما وجدنا فيما حضرنا أمرا هو أوفق من مبايعة أبي بكر خشينا إن فارقنا القوم ولم تكن بيعة أن يحدثوا بعدنا بيعة فإما أن نبايعهم على ما لا نرضى وإما أن نخالفهم فيكون فيه فساد فمن بايع أميرا عن غير مشورة المسلمين فلا بيعة له ولا بيعة للذي بايعه تغرة أن يقتلا

قال مالك فأخبرني ابن شهاب عن عروة أن الرجلين اللذين لقياهما عويم بن ساعدة ومعن بن عدي

قال ابن شهاب وأخبرني سعيد بن المسيب أن الذي قال أنا جذيلها المحكك وعذيقها المرجب هو الحباب بن المنذر

وقد أخرج هذا الحديث الجماعة في كتبهم من طرق عن مالك وغيره عن الزهري به

Imām Aḥmad narrates that Isḥāq ibn ʿĪsā al-Ṭabbāʿ reported from Mālik ibn Anas, who narrated from Ibn Shihāb, from ʿUbayd Allāh ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUtbah ibn Masʿūd, that Ibn ʿAbbās related:

I used to teach 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Awf the Qur'ān, and he found me while I was waiting for him. This happened at Minā during 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb's final pilgrimage. 'Abd al-Raḥmān said, "A man came to 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and said, 'If 'Umar dies, I will pledge allegiance to so-and-so.'1 'Umar responded, 'This evening, if Allah wills, I will address the people and warn them about these individuals who wish to usurp their authority.'"

'Abd al-Raḥmān continued, "I advised him, 'O Amīr al-Mu'minīn, do not do so, for the occasion of Ḥajj gathers all sorts of people, including the commoners and the disorderly, and they tend to dominate the gatherings. I fear that if you speak to the crowd, those who do not comprehend your words will misinterpret them and spread them improperly. Instead, wait until you return to Madīnah, the city of migration and the Sunnah, where you can speak directly to the knowledgeable and noble among the people. There, you can deliver your message clearly and ensure it is understood and conveyed accurately.' 'Umar replied, 'If I reach Madīnah safely, I will speak to the people at the first opportunity.'

When we arrived in Madīnah at the end of Dhū al-Ḥijjah, on a Friday, I hastened to attend the prayer early, "like a blind man finding his way" (The narrator says: I asked Mālik what this means, and he explained, 'A blind man leaves without considering the time, being unaware of heat or cold'). I found Saʿīd ibn Zayd already there at the right corner of the minbar. I sat close to him, with my knee touching his. It wasn't long before 'Umar arrived. I remarked, 'He will say something today from this minbar that no one before him has ever said.' Saʿīd ibn Zayd expressed doubt, questioning what 'Umar could possibly say that had not been said before.

'Umar then ascended the minbar. When the muadhin had finished the call to prayer, 'Umar praised Allah as He deserves and began his speech, 'O people, I intend to say something which has been destined for me to say.

¹ Some have said that the person spoken of as a candidate for succession is Ṭalḥah ibn 'Ubayd Allāh ; others have said that it refered to a person from the Anṣār, and there is another view that attributes the statement to al-Zubayr ; in favour of 'Alī ; See Fath al-Bārī, vol. 1 pg. 338.

I do not know, perhaps this will be my final address. Therefore, let those who understand it convey it wherever their riding animals take them, and let those who do not understand it not distort my words.

Allah sent Muḥammad with the Truth and revealed to him the Book. Among the revelations was the verse of stoning, which we read, comprehended, and memorised. The Messenger of Allah implemented the stoning punishment and so did we after him. I fear that in the future, people may say, 'We do not find the verse of stoning in the Book of Allah,' thus they will be led astray by abandoning an obligation that Allah has revealed. 1 Indeed, stoning is a legitimate punishment in the Book of Allah for those who commit adultery and are married, both men and women, provided there is conclusive proof, pregnancy, or confession.

Moreover, we used to recite a verse, 'Do not turn away from your fathers; it is disbelief to turn away from your fathers.' And the Messenger of Allah said, 'Do not exaggerate in praising me as the Christians exaggerated in praising Tsā, the son of Maryam. I am but a slave of Allah, so say, 'The slave of Allah and His Messenger.'²

I have heard that some among you say, 'If 'Umar dies, I will pledge allegiance to so-and-so.' Let no one be deceived by saying, 'The *Bay'ah* (pledge of allegiance) to Abū Bakr was a spontaneous event.' Indeed, it was a sudden

¹ Here 'Umar is prefacing the contention that upon his death, they would pledge allegiance to a certain individual just as had been the case when Abū Bakr was appointed. This idea existed at the time of the Prophet's passing because the Anṣār did not find explicit Qur'ānic guidance about the Khilāfah being restricted to the Quraysh and sought to instill their own leader. He is clarifying that there are aspects of Islamic law, like the rulings on stoning and lineage, which, although their texts are not in the Qur'ān based on its final rendition; their rulings, however, are well-established and known among the other learned Ṣaḥābah www.

^{2 &#}x27;Umar's intent was to caution against impulsive actions and to remind everyone that the authority of the Sunnah complements and clarifies what is not explicitly detailed in the Qur'ān. This applies to the conditions for appointing a Khalīfah, which are grounded in the teachings of the Sunnah and should be followed to ensure unity and prevent discord within the Ummah.

occurrence (*Faltah*), but Allah protected us from its potential harm. Today, there is no one among you whose approval is sought as was sought for Abū Bakr

When the Messenger of Allah passed away, 'Alī, al-Zubayr, and those with them secluded themselves in the house of Fāṭimah, the daughter of the Messenger of Allah Meanwhile, the Anṣār gathered in Saqīfah Banī Sāʿidah, and the Muhājirūn assembled with Abū Bakr

I said to Abū Bakr, 'Let us go to our brothers among the Anṣār.' We set off and were met by two righteous men who informed us about the Anṣār's gathering. They asked, 'Where are you going, O group of Muhājirūn?' I replied, 'We are heading to our brothers among the Anṣār.' They advised, 'It is best if you do not approach them; resolve your own matter first.' I insisted, 'By Allah, we will go to them.'

We arrived at Saqīfah Banī Sā'idah to find them assembled. In their midst was a man wrapped up in his shawl. I inquired, 'Who is this?' They replied,

^{1 &#}x27;Umar is pointing out that the unique set of circumstances that arose at the time of the Prophet's passing was not a baseline for nominating a Khalīfah. Since the matter had been settled and that Fitnah avoided, going forward the nomination of a leader would only be on the basis of consultation among the Ahl al-Hall wa al-'Aqd.

² This explains the absence of 'Alī, al-Zubayr and others from Saqīfah. It was not that they were deliberately excluded by Abū Bakr or 'Umar ¿. On the contrary, when news had reached them about the Anṣār convening a council at Saqīfah, they hastened to intervene before a problematic situation would emerge.

This exonorates the Muhājirūn of any nefarious motives. Had the matter unfolded according to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's narrative, it would have sufficed for Abū Bakr to solicit the support of the Muhājirūn before proceeding to Saqīfah and simply coerce the Anṣār into acceptance. The same can be extended to the arguments that are made about 'Alī ****. The Muhājirūn were outnumbered by the Anṣār at Saqīfah, and it was the compelling reasons for why the candidacy of a person from Quraysh to lead the Ummah that led the Anṣār to abandon their candidate and support Abū Bakr ****. Had there been compelling reasons for the exclusivity of 'Alī **** for leadership, the Ṣaḥabah would have abandoned Abū Bakr in favour of him. However, since they did not do so and since 'Alī *** and all those who were with him swore their allegiance to Abū Bakr *** in the Masjid, the matter was concluded.

'Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah.' I asked, 'What is wrong with him?' They said, 'He is unwell.'

When we sat down, their orator stood up, praised Allah as He deserves, and said, 'As for what follows, we are the Anṣār of Allah, the principal battalion of Islam. While you, O assembly of Muhājirūn, are a smaller group within our larger community. Nevertheless, some among you have come forward, attempting to undermine our position and deprive us of our rightful role in this matter.'

When he finished, I wanted to speak, as I had prepared a speech that I liked and intended to deliver before Abū Bakr . However, Abū Bakr asked me to wait, so I disliked to displease him, for he was more composed than me and displayed more forbearance than I did. By Allah, he did not leave out a single word from my speech that I had liked, but he articulated it in his way, better and more comprehensively, until he finished.

Abū Bakr then said, 'O people, whatever virtue you mentioned about yourselves is acknowledged as true. However, the Arabs do not recognise leadership except among this tribe of Quraysh, who are the most noble in lineage and residence. I am pleased to offer you one of these two men, choose whomever you wish.' He then took my hand and that of Abū 'Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ.'1

I disliked nothing of what he said except this proposal, for, by Allah, to have my neck struck off avoiding a sin would be less grievous to me than to rule over a people among whom there is Abū Bakr with, unless, perhaps, at the moment of death, my soul entices me towards something that I do not currently perceive.

¹ This demonstrates that the urgency of the situation warranted a nominee from Quraysh. Abū Bakr was not seeking leadership for himself and most certainly did not exploit the absence of 'Alī williams. In fact, their absence was not seen as consequential since he was acting on behalf of all the Muhājirūn. His later encounters with 'Alī will illustrates that there was no bad sentiment towards 'Alī williams.'

A man from the Anṣār then said, 'I am its worn-down stick and its firmly propped palm. From us, there should be a leader, and from you, O Quraysh, there should be a leader.' (The narrator said: I asked Mālik, 'What does he mean by "I am its worn-down stick and its firmly propped palm"?' He replied, 'It is as if he is saying, "I am the expert who can solve its complexities."')

At this point, voices began to get raised and confusion ensued, causing me to fear division. I then said to Abū Bakr 'Extend your hand!' He extended his hand, and I pledged allegiance to him, followed by the Muhājirūn and then the Anṣār in swift succession. We converged upon Saʿd ibn 'Ubādah¹, and someone from their ranks said, 'You have killed Saʿd.' I replied, 'May Allah slay Saʿd.'²

'Umar concluded, 'By Allah, we did not find anything more appropriate during the circumstances we faced than pledging allegiance to Abū Bakr. We feared that if we departed without a unanimous pledge, the people might later choose a different leader. Either we would have to pledge allegiance to someone we did not agree with, or we would oppose them, leading to conflict.

Therefore, whoever pledges allegiance to a leader without consultation with the Muslims, neither he nor the one to whom he pledges allegiance should be considered legitimate, as both are at risk of being killed."³

¹ Meaning that we approached him persistently, urging him to join in the pledge of allegiance.

² Implying treating him as if he were dead, effectively ignoring his presence or influence. 'Umar intended to invalidate Sa'd's someone's actions and stripping them of their influence is akin to metaphorically 'killing' them.

^{3 &#}x27;Abd al-Ḥusayn's insinuation that 'Umar exhibited double standards by initiating the Bay'ah of Abū Bakr in a similar manner fails to consider the full context. 'Umar's caution was against a pre-emptive nomination lacking the endorsement of the Ummah's decision-makers. The process of selecting a leader was set into motion with the Anṣār's gathering at Saqīfah. Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and Abū 'Ubaydah primarily did not seek to unilaterally appoint a leader when they set off to meet their brothers from the Anṣār; rather, their aim was to prevent the Anṣār from making an independent decision that did not align with the Sharī'ah's requirements.

Mālik informed me that Ibn Shihāb, narrating from 'Urwah, identified the two men they met as 'Uwaym ibn Sā'idah and Ma'n ibn 'Adī.

Ibn Shihāb also reported that Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab said the person who declared, "I am its worn-down stick and its firmly propped palm," was al-Hubāb ibn al-Mundhir.

This narration has been documented by various scholars in their works through multiple chains from Mālik and others, as transmitted by al-Zuhr \bar{L}^1

This concludes what transpired at Saqīfah in brief. Naturally, the details of the exchanges between the Sahābah are recorded across many works.

In what follows are authentic narrations that not only corroborate the chain of events, whilst the details vary across different versions of the ḥadīth. After citing a few of these narrations, Ibn Kathīr continues:²

قلت كان هذا في بقية يوم الاثنين فلما كان الغد صبيحة يوم الثلاثاء اجتمع الناس في المسجد فتممت البعة من المهاجرين والأنصار قاطبة وكان ذلك قبل تجهيز رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم تسليما كثيرا

وقال محمد بن إسحاق حدثني الزهري حدثني أنس بن مالك قال لما بويع أبو بكر في السقيفة وكان الغد جلس أبو بكر على المنبر وقام عمر فتكلم قبل أبي بكر فحمد الله وأثنى عليه بما هو أهله ثم قال أيها الناس إني قد كنت قلت لكم بالأمس مقالة ما كانت مما وجدتها في كتاب الله ولا كانت عهدا عهده إلي رسول الله في ولكني كنت أرى أن رسول الله سيدبر أمرنا يقول يكون آخرنا وإن الله قد أبقى فيكم كتابه الذي به هدى رسول الله في فإن اعتصمتم به هداكم الله لما كان هداه له وإن الله قد جمع أمركم على خيركم صاحب رسول الله في وثاني اثنين إذ هما في الغار فقوموا فبايعوه فبايع الناس أبا بكر بيعة العامة بعد بعة السقيفة

ثم تكلم أبو بكر فحمد الله وأثنى عليه بما هو أهله ثم قال أما بعد أيها الناس فإني قد وليت عليكم ولست بخيركم فإن أحسنت فأعينوني وإن أسأت فقوموني الصدق أمانة والكذب خيانة والضعيف فيكم قوي

¹ *Musnad Aḥmad*, vol. 1 pg. 449, Ḥadīth: 391; Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Ḥadīth: 6830. These two references amongst many other cite the Ḥadīth in its entirety. Other collections have excerpts of the Ḥadīth.

² Al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah, vol. 8 pg. 88.

عندي حتى أريح عليه حقه إن شاء الله والقوي فيكم ضعيف حتى آخذ الحق منه إن شاء الله لا يدع قوم الجهاد في سبيل الله إلا ضربهم الله بالذل ولا تشيع الفاحشة في قوم قط إلا عمهم الله بالبلاء أطيعوني ما أطعت الله ورسوله فإذا عصيت الله ورسوله فلا طاعة لي عليكم قوموا إلى صلاتكم يرحمكم الله وهذا إسناد صحيح

I say: This took place during the remainder of Monday. Then, on the following day, Tuesday morning, the people gathered in the Masjid, and the pledge of allegiance was completed by both the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār in their entirety. This occurred before the preparations for the funeral of the Messenger of Allah, may abundance of peace and blessings from Allah be upon him.

Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq reported: al-Zuhrī narrated to me, that Anas ibn Mālik related to him saying: When Abū Bakr was given the pledge of allegiance at Saqīfah, the following day, Abū Bakr sat on the pulpit. 'Umar stood up and spoke before Abū Bakr, praising Allah and extolling Him with what He is worthy of, and then said, "O people, I said to you yesterday something that was neither found in the Book of Allah nor a directive from the Messenger of Allah ". Rather, I thought that the Messenger of Allah would outlive us and always take charge of our affairs.

However, Allah has kept His Book among you, which guided the Messenger of Allah will guide you as He guided him. Allah has united your matters on the best among you, the companion of the Messenger of Allah will guide you as He guided him. The Messenger of Allah will guide you as He guided him. Allah has united your matters on the best among you, the companion of the Messenger of Allah will guide you as He guided him. The people then gave their general pledge of allegiance to Abū Bakr after the pledge at Saqīfah.

Then Abū Bakr spoke, praising Allah and extolling Him with what He is worthy of, and then said, "O people, I have been appointed over you, though I am not the best among you. If I do well, help me; and if I do wrong,

¹ Alluding to the verse revealed about Abū Bakr in Sūrah al-Tawbah.

correct me. Truthfulness is a trust and lying is treachery. The weak among you is strong in my eyes until I return his right to him, Allah willing; and the strong among you is weak until I take the right from him, Allah willing. No people abandon jihād in the path of Allah except that Allah strikes them with humiliation. Nor does indecency become widespread among a people except that Allah envelops them in calamity. Obey me as long as I obey Allah and His Messenger, but if I disobey Allah and His Messenger, then I have no right to your obedience. Stand for your prayer, may Allah have mercy on you."

This narration is authentic.

His statement, "I have been appointed over you, though I am not the best among you," was an expression of humility and modesty, for they were unanimous in their belief that he was the best and most virtuous among them.

Ibn Kathīr goes on to cite the narration of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī by way of al-Bayhaqī¹. In this version we learn that ʿAlī actually pledged his allegiance early on.

وقال الحافظ أبو بكر البيهقي أخبرنا أبو الحسن علي بن محمد بن علي الحافظ الإسفراييني حدثنا أبو علي الحصين بن علي الحافظ مدثنا أبو بكر محمد بن إسحاق بن خزيمة وإبراهيم بن أبي طالب قالا حدثنا بندار بن بشار حدثنا أبو هشام المخزومي حدثنا وهيب حدثنا داود بن أبي هند حدثنا أبو نضرة عن أبي سعيد الخدري قال قبض رسول الله واجتمع الناس في دار سعد بن عبادة وفيهم أبو بكر وعمر قال فقام خطيب الأنصار فقال أتعلمون أن رسول الله واجتمع الناس في المهاجرين وخليفته من المهاجرين ونحن كنا أنصار رسول الله وونحن أنصار خليفته كما كنا أنصاره قال فقام عمر بن الخطاب فقال صدق قائلكم أما لو قلتم غير هذا لم نتابعكم وأخذ بيد أبي بكر وقال هذا صاحبكم فبايعوه فبايعه عمر وبايعه المهاجرون والأنصار قال فصعد أبو بكر المنبر فنظر في وجوه القوم فلم ير الزبير قال فدعا بالزبير فجاء فقال قلت ابن عمد رسول الله فقام فبايعه عمد وجوه القوم فلم ير الزبير قال فدعا بالزبير فجاء فقال الله فقام فبايعه عمد وسول الله فقام فبايعه عمد رسول الله في وجوه القوم فلم ير عليا فدعا بعلي بن أبي طالب فجاء فقال قلت ابن عم رسول الله في وختنه على ابنته أردت أن تشق عصا المسلمين قال لا تثريب يا خليفة رسول الله فيا وحتنه على ابنته أردت أن تشق عصا المسلمين قال لا تثريب يا خليفة رسول الله فيا به عمدا أو معناه

¹ Kitāb al-I'tigād, Hadīth: 337.

... وقد رواه البيهقي عن الحاكم وأبي محمد بن أبي حامد المقرئ كلاهما عن أبي العباس محمد بن يعقوب الأصم عن جعفر بن محمد بن شاكر عن عفان بن سلم عن وهيب به ولكن ذكر أن الصديق هو القاتل لخطيب الأنصار بدل عمر وفيه أن زيد بن ثابت أخذ بيد أبي بكر فقال هذا صاحبكم فبايعوه ثم انطلقوا فلما قعد أبو بكر على المنبر نظر في وجوه القوم فلم ير عليا فسأل عنه فقام ناس من الأنصار فأتوا به فذكر نحو ما تقدم ثم ذكر قصة الزبير بعد على فالله أعلم

وقد رواه الإمام أحمد عن الثقة عن وهيب مختصرا

وقد رواه علي بن عاصم عن الجريري عن أبي نضرة عن أبي سعيد الخدري فذكر نحو ما تقدم

وهذا إسناد صحيح محفوظ من حديث أبي نضرة المنذر بن مالك بن قطعة عن أبي سعيد سعد بن مالك بن سنان الخدري وفيه فائدة جليلة وهي مبايعة علي بن أبي طالب إما في أول يوم أو في اليوم الثاني من الوفاة وهذا حق فإن علي بن أبي طالب لم يفارق الصديق في وقت من الأوقات ولم ينقطع في صلاة من الصلوات خلفه كما سنذكره وخرج معه إلى ذي القصة لما خرج الصديق شاهرا سيفه يريد قتال أهل الردة كما سنينه قريبا

Al-Ḥāfiẓ Abū Bakr al-Bayhaqī reported with a continuous chain by way of Abū Nadrah, from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī who said:

The Messenger of Allah passed away, after which the people gathered in the house of Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah. Among them were Abū Bakr and 'Umar. Then, a speaker from the Anṣār stood up and said, "You know that the Messenger of Allah was from the Muhājirūn and his successor must also be from the Muhājirūn. We were the supporters of the Messenger of Allah and we will be the supporters of his successor just as we were his supporters."

Then 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb stood up and said, "Your speaker has spoken the truth. Had you said anything else, we would not have agreed with you." He then took the hand of Abū Bakr and said, "Here is your companion, so pledge allegiance to him." 'Umar pledged allegiance to him, followed by the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār.

Abū Bakr then ascended the pulpit and looked at the faces of the people, but he did not see al-Zubayr. He called for al-Zubayr, who came, and Abū

Bakr said, "The cousin of the Messenger of Allah and his disciple, did you intend to split the unity of the Muslims?" Al-Zubayr replied, "There is no blame, O Khalīfah of the Messenger of Allah," and he pledged allegiance to him.

Abū Bakr then looked at the faces of the people and did not see 'Alī. He called for 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, who came, and Abū Bakr said, "The cousin of the Messenger of Allah and his son-in-law, did you intend to split the unity of the Muslims?" 'Alī replied, "There is no blame, O Khalīfah of the Messenger of Allah," and he pledged allegiance to him.

Al-Bayhaqī then narrated it via a different chain from 'Affān ibn Muslim, from Wahb. However, in this version, it is mentioned that Abū Bakr himself addressed the Anṣār's speaker instead of 'Umar. It also states that Zayd ibn Thābit took Abū Bakr's hand and said, "Here is your companion, so pledge allegiance to him," and then they went to him. When Abū Bakr sat on the pulpit, he did not see 'Alī and asked about him. Some of the Anṣār went to bring him, and the narration proceeds similarly, mentioning the story of al-Zubayr after 'Alī. Allah knows best.

Imām Aḥmad narrated it through a trustworthy narrator by way of Wahb in an abridged form.

'Alī ibn 'Āṣim also narrated it from al-Jarīrī, from Abū Naḍrah, from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī, mentioning details similar to those previously discussed.

This is an authentic and well-preserved chain of narration from Abū Naḍrah al-Mundhir ibn Mālik ibn Quṭaʿah, from Abū Saʿīd Saʿd ibn Mālik ibn Sinān al-Khudrī.

This narration holds significant value, as it indicates that 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib pledged allegiance either on the first day or the second day after the Prophet's passing. This is accurate, as 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib rever distanced himself from Abū Bakr at any time nor did he cease to perform prayers behind him, as will be mentioned. He also accompanied

him to Dhū al-Qaṣṣah when Abū Bakr went out with his sword unsheathed, intending to fight the apostates, as we will explain shortly.¹

Ibn Kathīr goes on to cite a narration by way of al-Bayhaqī and al-Ḥākim with a chain to al-Shaʿbī:

Al-Shaʿbī relates from Abū Wāʾil who said: It was said to ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib, "Will you not appoint a successor over us?"

He replied, "The Messenger of Allah did not appoint a successor, so I shall not appoint one. However, if Allah wills good for the people, He will unite them after me upon the best among them, just as He united them after their Prophet when the best among them."

This narration has a sound chain of transmission but has not been recorded in the $\$ah\bar{i}h$ collections.²

Flsewhere he states.

ومن تأمل ما ذكرناه ظهر له إجماع الصحابة المهاجرين منهم والأنصار على تقديم أبي بكر وظهر برهان قوله على الخلافة عينا لأحد من الله والمؤمنون إلا أبا بكر وظهر له أن رسول الله على لم ينص على الخلافة عينا لأحد من الناس لا لأبي بكر كما قد زعمه طائفة من أهل السنة ولا لعلي كما يقوله طائفة الرافضة ولكن أشار إشارة قوية يفهمها كل ذي لب وعقل إلى الصديق كما قدمنا

Whoever reflects deeply on what we have mentioned will clearly see the consensus of the Companions—both the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār—on the precedence of Abū Bakr.

¹ Al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah, vol. 8 pg. 90.

² Al-Bidāyah wa al-Nihāyah, vol. 8 pg. 95.

This also becomes evident from the Prophet's statement, "Allah and the believers refuse anyone except Abū Bakr." It is apparent to such a person that the Messenger of Allah did not explicitly designate any specific individual as his successor, neither Abū Bakr as claimed by a faction among the Ahl al-Sunnah, nor 'Alī as asserted by a group of the Rāfidah.

However, he made a strong indication, understood by every person of sound mind and reason, pointing towards al- $\Sidd\bar{l}q$, as we have previously mentioned.¹

The evidence that have featured in this round is corroborated by so many other narrations which outline the merits and virtues of Abū Bakr www. The sheer abundance of those authentic narrations is a testament to the statement of 'Umar about Abū Bakr being peerless in his candidacy.

These narrations cited here decisively refute the false narrative proposed by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, suggesting that the Prophet's family did not participate in the Bay'ah of Abū Bakr . The burden of proof to substantiate the claim that the authority to appoint a Khalīfah lies solely with the Ahl al-Bayt is on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. To date, he has not brought a single shred of evidence that supports his contentions about the Ahl al-Bayt. Moreover, the fact that Fāṭimah sought her inheritance from Abū Bakr further validates his recognised leadership. Although she disagreed with Abū Bakr's decision not to accede to her request, her very act of making the request indicates her acknowledgment of his authority.

We have also demonstrated that the legitimacy of anyone's claim to khilāfah is contingent upon the approval of the Ahl al-Ḥall wa al-ʿAqd. In the case of Abū Bakr this was achieved at Saqīfah and endorsed at the later pledge of allegiance which was attended en masse. The initial absence of ʿAlī was and al-Zubayr was due to them feeling marginalised from the decision-making process, not because they did not support for the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr was. The only Ṣaḥābī

¹ Al-Bidāyah wal-Nihāyah, vol. 8 pg. 94.

of significance in this matter who refrained from actually taking Bay'ah was Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah www owing to his disappointment, but not his disapproval. He did not disapprove of the candidacy of Abū Bakr www. The next round of discussions features Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah and it is there where we shall discuss his decision not to do Bay'ah as well as the misunderstanding about the delay in 'Alī's www Bay'ah.

Letter 81

Safar 28, 1330

I. Consensus Concluded When Dispute Dissipated.

Sunnis do not deny the fact that the allegiance was not taken after consultation or serious consideration. Rather, they admit that it took place suddenly and unexpectedly. They do not deny going against the wish of the Ansar and their preference of Sa'd, nor in opposing the descendants of Hashim and their followers from the Muhajirun and Ansar who joined the Imam Fifth in his boycott. But they say that the caliphate was finally vested upon Abū Bakr who was accepted by everyone as the Imam; dispute dissipated, hostilities halted, and everyone became determined to support al-Siddiq and provide him with counsel in secrecy and in public; therefore, they fought in his wars, they supported him when he concluded a peace treaty, and they carried out his orders. Nobody at all differed in that regard, thus a total consensus was finally reached, and the consignment of caliphate was accomplished; praise be to Allah for having united their word after their dissension, and for unifying their hearts after their discord, Wassalam.

Sincerely,

S

Letter 82

Safar 30, 1330

I. Consensus Was Not Concluded; Dissension Did Not Dissipate

Their consolidation in supporting al-Siddiq, and their providing him with counsel in secrecy and in public, is one thing; the validity of the consignment of the caliphate through consensus is quite another. They are not correlated judged by reason or tradition, for `Ali and all the infallible Imams from his descendants have a wellknown policy in supporting the Islamic authority; it is the same whereby we worship Allah. I mention it here in answer to what you have stated. It may be summed up thus:

They believe that the Muslim nation can never rise to glory except through a state that unites its populace, mends any crack in its structure, protects its borders, and safeguards its undertakings. Such a state cannot be established except by subjects who support it with their lives and possessions. If it is possible for such a state to be led by a legitimate statesman who represents in the true sense of the word the government of the Messenger of Allah, then he is the one to be assigned for such a responsibility rather than anyone else. But if this becomes impossible, and the government is usurped by someone else, then the nation has to support him in every issue upon which the dignity and fortitude of Islam hinges, and so do the protection of the borders of the Islamic state, and the safeguarding of its national security.

It is not permissible to divide the Muslims or create discord among them by opposing him; rather, the nation has to treat him, albeit if he is a slave with amputated limbs, the treatment meted to rightful caliphs, entrusting him with the land's *khiraj* tax and his share thereof, the *zakat* of cattle and other items, etc. It has the right to take the same from him through the sale and purchase, as well as all means of property transfers, such as by way of awards, gifts, and the like.

There is no doubt about the clearing of conscience of one who pays him liabilities, as though he is paying them to the Imam of truth, and the rightful caliph. This is the path of `Ali and the purified Imams from his descendants عليه المساقدة. The Messenger of Allah صَالِمَةُعَلِيوَسَلَّة has said: "There will be after me favouritism, and unpleasant matters," as stated in one hadith narrated by 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud which is quoted by Muslim on page 118, Vol. 2, of his Sahih, and by many authors of sahihs and sunan. People asked him مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْ عَلَيْهِ عَلَ one of us who witnesses them to do?" He مَالِسُعَالِمِهُ answered: "Perform your obligations, and pray Allah for the attainment of what rightfully belongs to you." Abū Tharr al-Ghifari, may Allah be pleased with him, is also quoted by Muslim in Vol. 2 of his Sahih as saying, "My friend the Messenger of Allah صَالِمُعُنَا لِمُعَالِمُ advised me to listen and to obey even [a ruler who is] a slave whose limbs are amputated." Salamah al-Ju`fi is quoted by Muslim and others asking the Messenger of Allah "O Messenger of Allah! Suppose we are ruled by those who require us: صَأَلْتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ to discharge our duties towards them while they themselves decline to grant us our rights, what do you advise us to do then?" He مَا السَّعَلَيْهِ وَسَالًا answered him saying, "Listen and obey, for they will bear the burden of their sins, and you will bear yours." In one particular hadith quoted by Muslim on page 120, Vol. 2, of his Sahih, which is narrated by all authors of books of traditions, Huthayfah al-Yemani, may Allah be pleased with him, quotes the Prophet صَالَتُسُمُلُوهِ saying: "There will be rulers after me who will neither guide according to my guidance, nor follow my Sunnah; and there will be among them men whose hearts are like those of the devils' clad in human form." Huthayfah asked him مَا لَشَعَلُه وَيَالُمُ "What shall I do then, O Messenger of Allah, if I happen to witness that?" He مَرَالسُّمَالِيهِ answered: "You shall listen to the ruler and obey him; if he whips your back and confiscates your property, you will still have [no choice but] to listen and obey." Similar to this hadith is one narrated by Umm Salamah thus: "There will be [unjust] rulers over you, and you will either acknowledge [their being unjust] or deny it. Those who acknowledge shall be considered innocent, while those who deny it will be saved from chastisement."[1] They asked him مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ 'Are we not supposed to fight them?" He answered: "No, as long as they uphold their prayers."

Sahihs are consecutively reported in narrating the above quoted traditions, especially through the purified progeny state. For this reason, the latter remained persevering as they saw eye-sores, and they kept tongue-tied, acting upon these sacred commandments and upon others whereby they were bound. They were enjoined to persevere while suffering as they felt forced to overlook eye-sores, safeguarding the unity of the nation, and keeping it intact. They abided by the gist of these texts while dealing with those who were entrusted with faring with the affairs of the Muslims. While being aware of the fact that they themselves were more worthy of being in their shoes, they tasted the bitterness of colocynth, hoping they might be able one day to lead them to the Right Path. The ascension of those individuals to power was more painful to them than the blows of sharp swords, yet they tolerated it only to fulfill the covenant, discharge the commitment, and carry out their duties as far as the Shari'a is concerned, favouring - while opposing such rulers - to prefer what is most important over what is more important. For this reason, the Commander of the Faithful tried his best to provide counsel to all three caliphs, exerting himself in providing them with advice.

Whoever acquaints himself with his policy during their epoch will come to know that he, having lost all hope to get his indisputable right to succeed the Messenger of Allah willingly took to reclusion, preferring to make asylum with those in authority. He did not fight them while seeing his promised throne in their grip, nor did he oppose them openly. He did so only in order to maintain the solidification of the nation and safeguard the creed, always keeping the religion's interest in mind, preferring the life hereafter to this one. He suffered from agonies which nobody else suffered. He was agonized by two calamities: the caliphate in its texts and commandments was earnestly pleading to him in a heart-rending voice on one hand, and, on the other hand, oppressive discord was warning him against a possible mutiny in the peninsula. There was a possible danger of bedouin Arabs renouncing their religion, thus annihilating the Islamic creed. The faith was being threatened by the hypocrites of Medina in whose nature hypocrisy was immersed, and who were aided by the hypocritical

Bedouins around them, according to the text of the Book (Qur'an). Nay, the latter party was even worse in disbelief and hypocrisy than the first, so much so that it was better they did not know the limits of what Allah had revealed to His Messenger

The loss of the Prophet ﷺ emboldened the latter, and Muslims became in the aftermath like frightened cattle in a winter night, surrounded by wolves and ferocious brutes. While their fellows were quite active in their attempts to wipe out the religion of Islam and crush the Muslims, the Romans, the Kisras and others were waiting in anticipation, to the end of the list of such thronging elements that bore grudge against Muhammad, the progeny of Muhammad, and the companions of Muḥammad مَا اللهُ مَا These parties bore animosity towards. and felt jealous of the message of Islam; they desired to demolish its foundations, and undermining its might. In such endeavour, they would be very quick, seeing that they had their golden opportunity in the departure of the Prophet to his Supreme Companion. The chance had ripened then for them to make use of the chaos before Islam had recovered its strength and organization. It was then that the Commander of the Faithful عليه realized both dangers, and it was only natural that he would sacrifice his own right in order to sustain the religion of Islam, thus preferring the general interest to that of his own. This is how such confusion ended, and the dispute between him and Abū Bakr was suspended, for he dreaded nothing save the disunity of Muslims and was concerned only that the Muslims should have the upper hand. So, he, all members of his household, their supporters from the Immigrants and Ansar, remained patiently tonguedied even as they saw eye-sores. His speech after the Messenger of Allah صَاِّلْتُفَعَلِيْهُ وَسِلَّة had departed is very frank in reflecting this attitude, and relevant reports are consecutive through the Imams of the purified progeny.

But the head of the Ansar, Sa'd ibn 'Abadah, never made asylum with the first two caliphs, and he was never seen in public accompanying either of them during an 'Id celebration or on a Friday, and he never subscribed to their views, nor did he ever yield to their orders, till he was assassinated in Huran during the reign

of the second caliph, and his assassins claimed that he was killed by the jinns. He made a memorable statement during the *saqifa* incident, but we see no need to quote it here.[2]

As regarding his friends such as Haban ibn al-Munthir[3] and other Ansaris, these succumbed unwillingly, yielding to pressure; so, do you consider the actions dictated by the fear of the sword or the burning by the fire[4] as a belief in the consignment of the allegiance? Or is it a testimony to such "consensus" implied in the statement of the Prophet saying "My nation shall never commit an error in its consensus of opinion"? Please state your verdict; may Allah reward you, Wassalam.

Sincerely,		
Sh		

- 1. This hadith is quoted by Muslim on page 122, Vol. 2, of his *Sahih*. The meaning of his phrase "Whoever knows it is innocent" is that whoever knew the abomination and identifies it as such will have a path leading to dissociation from its sin and punishment by changing it with his own hand or tongue, but if he cannot, then let him abhor it by his heart.
- 2. Sa'd ibn `Abadah, Thabit's father, was one of those present at the taking of the allegiance at `Aqaba. He is also a participant in Badr and other battles. He was chief of al-Khazraj and their envoy, a generous man and a chief among the Ansar. His statement, to which we have referred, fills books of biographies and histories. Suffices you what Ibn Qutaybah has said in his treatise on Imamate and politics, Ibn Jarir al-Tabari in his *Tarikh*, Ibn al-Athir in his *Al-Kamil*, Abū Bakr Ahmed ibn `Abdul-`Aiz al-Jawhari in his book *Al-Saqifa*, and others.

- 3. Habab was one of the chiefs of the Ansar and a hero of Badr and Uhud, a man of feats and a glorious record. He is the one who said: "I am [as strong and firm as] a wooden post rubbed by camels, and a sweet fruit very much coveted. I am the son of a lion in his own den; by Allah, if you so desire, we would go back to wage a war that would grind even youngsters." He said other much stronger statements, and we thought it would be wiser to refrain from quoting them here.
- 4. Their threat to `Ali to burn his house is proven by absolute tawatur. Consider what Imam Ibn Qutaybah has said at the beginning of his chapter on Imamate and politics, Imam al-Tabari in two places where he discusses the events of the year 11 A.H. in his famous Tarikh, Ibn `Abd Rabbih al-Maliki in his hadith of the saqifa as quoted in Vol. 1, page 134, of Sharh Nahjul Balaghah by al-Hamidi al-Hadidi, al-Mas'udi in Muruj al-Thahab quoting `Urwah ibn al-Zubayr when the latter apologized on behalf of his brother `Abdullah who almost started setting the houses of the descendants of Hashim on fire because they boycotted his allegiance, al-Shahristani who quotes al-Nizam while discussing the Nizami group in his book Al-Milal wal-Nihal. Abū Mikhnaf has dedicated for the narratives related to the sagifa an entire book in which he details what we have summarized here, not to mention the fame and tawatur of this hadith, in addition to these poetic verses by al-Hafiz Ibrahim which are famous as the "`Umeri poem": A statement 'Umer said to 'Ali; so think for a while; Its listener venerate, respect the speaker and bear: "Shall I burn your house and make of its ashes a pile Should you choose to be stubborn and not swear The oath of allegiance, even if and while The Chosen One's daughter is inside there?" None other than Abū Hafs was the speaker Addressing Adnan's knight and protector... Thus did they treat the Imam عَلَيْهَا without whose agreement, consensus according to our view can never be binding; so, we ask all those who are fair-minded how can their "consensus" be binding upon us, since the case is as such?

Discussions

Our previous discussions have accurately outlined the events at Saqīfah, establishing that there was no official appointed successor from the Prophet مَالِسُعَلِيهِ , instead the Prophet مَالِسُعَلِيهِ left behind selection criteria in addition to the fact that he left behind many hints of whom his preferred candidate would be.

The events at Saqīfah solemnized the Khilāfah for Abū Bakr www, with the general pledge of allegiance occurring the next day. During this time, 'Alī www and many other Ṣaḥābah www who were absent at Saqīfah subsequently pledged their allegiance to Abū Bakr www. The initial resistance shown by 'Alī www and al-Zubayr was not due to opposition to Abū Bakr's www candidacy but rather their exclusion from the consultative process. Once Abū Bakr www explained the situation, they were satisfied and pledged their support. We also contextualized the Khuṭbah of 'Umar www and addressed several misconceptions present in 'Abd al-Husayn's correspondence.

As is often the case in al-Mur $\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$, a single theme is rarely confined to one round of correspondence but is instead spread over multiple rounds. The allegations raised in the earlier rounds are unpacked and elaborated upon in the later ones. In this context, we shall address the narrative that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has based his arguments on.

To address the argument presented by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn regarding Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah's alleged hostile stance towards Abū Bakr ''''', we must first emphasize the necessity for reliable evidence. The onus of proof still lies squarely on 'Abd al-Ḥusayn to substantiate his claims about Sa'd ibn 'Ubādah's attitude. In historical discourse, particularly when discussing such pivotal events, it is crucial to rely on authentic sources and verifiable facts. Since the evidence that has been presented in al-Murāja'āt thus far has been nothing more than propaganda, we cannot reasonably continue addressing the entire argument under the assumption that what has been cited is reliable.

If we were to momentarily entertain 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's narrative, simply for the sake of argument, a critical flaw emerges which cannot be ignored. He attempts to invalidate the claim of $Ijm\bar{a}$ ' (consensus) based on the opposition of some of the Anṣār. However, what he fails to recognize is that assuming these narrations as true further reinforces the argument against the existence of a Waṣiyyah. *This is our primary contention.*

The concept of a <code>Waṣī</code>, or heir-apparent, is fundamentally incompatible with the <code>Anṣār's</code> initial claim for leadership or even their temporary apprehension about appointing a Muhājir as a leader. Whatever 'Abd al-Ḥusayn aims to prove by suggesting <code>Sa'd</code> ibn 'Ubādah's opposition to <code>Abū</code> Bakr invalidates <code>Ijmā'</code> inadvertently highlights a further challenge to 'Alī's claim to succession, and severely weakens the idea of <code>Waṣiyyah</code>. This also undermines the argument that the <code>Khutbah</code> at <code>Ghadīr</code> Khumm was about the nomination of a successor.

For those who already subscribe to a reliably accurate narrative, this version holds little significance. However, for 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, this contradiction within his argument demonstrates a fundamental flaw: the very sources he relies on to challenge the legitimacy of the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr simultaneously disprove his own beliefs. Such is the nature of inventing arguments based on unreliable sources!

The nature of propaganda

The historical narratives crafted by Shīī historians and propagandists are designed to tug at the heartstrings of their audiences, weaving a tale of enmity between the Prophet's family and his revered Companions. The portrayal of the Ahl al-Bayt as perennial victims of persecution has been their most potent weapon, cunningly exploited through the ritual of sacrificing truth, historical accuracy, and scholarly integrity at the unholy altar of furthering an agenda.

Fabrication of Aḥādīth and distortion of history is merely one of the many evils which Shi'ism has contributed to the Islamic tradition. The seeds of discord

sown by 'Abd Allāh ibn Saba' and his followers were watered by the elaborate fictions spun by al-Mukhtār al-Thaqafī and his followers, and later harvested by Shīʿī narrators and historians. With a bit of imagination they managed to give those stories a spin, especially when they have an admitted advantage of tragic, but historically speaking, actual occurrences of persecution, like the tragedy of Karbalā' and the subsequent hostile attitude towards the Ahl al-Bayt by the Nāṣibīs. These horrendous events reinforced the trope of victimisation such that narratives which fed these emotional biases persisted throughout the centuries and formed the basis of 'enlightenment'.

That being said, the most successful fabrications are those that are insidiously grafted onto true events, skilfully manipulating the narrative and distorting the details of pivotal incidents in early Islamic history. These altered accounts of tragedy frequently depict 'Alī as as the protagonist who is victimised, while casting his peers among the Ṣaḥābah as the villains.

Recognising the peril posed by such fabrications, the mainstream body of early Muslims dedicated themselves with remarkable precision to accurately preserving the Prophet's Sunnah and developing a rigorous framework for critically assessing *Akhbār* (reports), whether legal in nature or historical.

The inconsistency of such fabricated narratives becomes evident through careful scrutiny of their sources. Different individuals, twisting the details of events, across various regions and eras, inevitably produce narratives riddled with contradictions.

Ibn Khaldūn rightfully criticises how later generations of historians carelessly transmitted fabrications and embellished narratives into historical accounts. These distortions were then blindly followed and transmitted without critical examination of their authenticity leading to a general proliferation of errors and misconceptions in historical narratives. As a result, thorough investigation and critical analysis of historical accounts became necessary. In his *Muqaddamah* he states:

إن فحول المؤرخين في الإسلام قد استوعبوا أخبار الأيام وجمعوها وسطروها في صفحات الدفاتر وأودعوها وخلطها المتطفلون بدسائس من الباطل وهموا فيها وابتدعوها وزخارف من الروايات المضعفة لفقوها ووضعوها واقتفى تلك الآثار الكثير ممن بعدهم واتبعوها وأدوها إلينا كما سمعوها ولم يلاحظوا أسباب الوقائع والأحوال ولم يراعوها ولا رفضوا ترهات الأحاديث ولا دفعوها فالتحقيق قليل وطرف التنقيح في الغالب كليل والغلط والوهم نسيب للأخبار وخليل

The eminent historians of Islam have meticulously gathered and documented the events of the days, inscribing them onto the pages of their records and preserving them therein. However, these accounts were later tainted by persons who were like uninvited guests to the tablespread of history, who introduced fabrications and concoctions, weaving in embellished narratives and feeble reports. These distortions were then followed and perpetuated by many subsequent generations, who transmitted them to us as they had received them without examining the causes and contexts of events or scrutinising the authenticity of the accounts. They neither discarded the absurdities nor rejected the falsehoods. Naturally, thorough investigation is rare, and the ability to critically analyse is often lacking; whereas errors and oversights in reports are like relatives and close friends (they are unavoidable).

Propagandists, however, remain undeterred by such discrepancies, prioritising their agenda over the pursuit of truth. Confident that emotional bias and a compelling presentation will eclipse critical analysis, works like *al-Murājaʿāt* strive to convince their audience that they have been deceived and present an alternate, revisionist history that purportedly unveils the nefarious past. This particular spin to the narrative, portraying the Prophet's family as victims of relentless persecution, serves almost as an emotional anaesthetic which is meant to dull the impact of incontrovertible facts.

The relentless barrage of allegations against the Ṣaḥābah , depicting them as Machiavellian schemers, induces a type of myopia for the individual who succumbs to them, often naively. Eventually, those eyes become jaundiced on

¹ Muqaddamat Ibn Khaldūn, vol. 1 pg. 6.

account of these baseless narratives and are blind to the glowing praises that Allah bestows upon the Ṣaḥābah in the Qur'an, and to their innumerable sacrifices for His dīn.

The narratives propagated in *al-Murāja* 'āt from dubious sources depict the events following Saqīfah in a way that 'Alī 'alī, despite his legendary courage and bravery, was dragged from his home and forced to pledge allegiance to Abū Bakr at the threat of harm to his family, loss of his own life, and having his home set ablaze, whilst Abū Bakr 'ali is haughtily seated on the Prophet's minbar. Baseless stories like these find acceptance once the target's guard of reason is down. When a person has succumbed to emotional bias. it becomes easy to conflate the unverifiable events that allegedly occurred on the day of Saqīfah, regardless of how unreliable the origins of those narratives are, with the verifiable tragedy like Karbalā'.

Saqīfah from al-Murājaʿāt's sources

The particular version that is referenced in *al-Murāja* 'āt is as follows:

Aḥmad ibn Isḥāq – Aḥmad ibn Sayyār – Saʿīd ibn Kathīr ibn ʿUfayr, who allegedly narrates the incident in detail.¹

When the Prophet المنافقة passed away, the Anṣār gathered at the Saqīfah of Banū Sāʿidah. They said, "The Messenger of Allah ألله has passed away." Saʿd ibn ʿUbādah then instructed his son Qays, or one of his other sons, saying, "I am unable to speak to the people due to my illness, so relay my words to them." Saʿd spoke, and his son amplified his voice for the people to hear. Saʿd praised Allah and said:

"You have precedence in the faith and a virtue in Islam unmatched by any other tribe. The Messenger of Allah بالمنافقة spent over ten years calling his people to worship the Most Merciful and abandon idols. Few among his

¹ Kitāb al-Saqīfah, pg 52-64.

people believed in him, and they were unable to protect the Messenger of Allah Allah Allah Allah, strengthen his faith, or defend him against his enemies. Then Allah chose you for virtue, brought you honour, and granted you faith in Him and His Messenger, and the strength to uphold His religion and fight His enemies. You were the strongest against those who opposed him and the most burdensome to his enemies until they submitted to the command of Allah willingly or unwillingly. Allah then fulfilled His promise to your Prophet Allah the Arabs submitted to him by your swords. Allah took His Prophet while he was pleased with you and you were content. So hold firmly to this matter, for you are the most deserving and worthy of it."

They all responded in unison, "You have given sound advice and spoken correctly. We will follow your command and appoint you as our leader, as you are a source of satisfaction for us and the believers."

They continued discussing among themselves, saying, "If the Muhājirūn of Quraysh refuse, they will say: 'We are the Muhājirūn and the Companions of the Messenger of Allah , the first to accept Islam and his kinsmen. Why should you dispute with us over this matter after him?"

A faction of them said, "If we say, 'From us, an Amīr and from you, an Amīr,' they will never accept it, as they see themselves as the protectors and supporters of the faith due to their migration, and they hold in the Book of Allah what we hold. They count themselves equal to us, but our opinion is that we should not monopolise leadership over them. So let there be an Amīr from us and an Amīr from them."

Sa'd Ibn 'Ubādah said, "This is the first weakness."

The news reached 'Umar, who went to the house of the Messenger of Allah and found Abū Bakr there. 'Alī was busy with the funeral preparations for the Messenger of Allah The one who brought the news was Ma'n ibn 'Adī. He said, "Rise up [i.e. deal with the issue at hand]." 'Umar said, "I am occupied." Ma'n said, "You must come." So 'Umar stood

up and went with him. Ma'n told him, "This group of Anṣār have gathered in the Saqīfah of Banū Sā'idah with Sa'd Ibn 'Ubādah among them. They are rallying around him, saying, 'You are the one we trust and your son is the one we trust.' Some of their leaders are with them. I fear sedition. Consider what you see fit and inform your brothers among the Muhājirūn and choose for yourselves. I see a door of discord opening unless Allah closes it." 'Umar was greatly disturbed and went to Abū Bakr and said, "Rise." Abū Bakr said, "I am occupied." 'Umar said, "You must come." They left in haste for the Saqīfah of Banū Sā'idah, where they found men from the leaders of the Anṣār with Sa'd Ibn 'Ubādah, who was ill among them.

'Umar intended to speak to set the stage for Abū Bakr as he feared Abū Bakr might not address some points. 'Umar was about to speak when Abū Bakr restrained him, saying, "Be patient, and let me speak first. Then speak after me if you must."

Abū Bakr praised Allah and said, "Allah sent Muḥammad with guidance and the true religion. He called to Islam, and Allah turned our hearts and guided us to what He called us to. We, the Muhājirūn, were the first to embrace Islam, and the people followed us in that. We are the kin of the Messenger of Allah with, and the middle of the Arabs in lineage. No tribe of the Arabs has a relationship with Quraysh except through us. You are the supporters of Allah and His Messenger, and you have supported him. You are our brothers in the Book of Allah, our partners in religion, and in what we have of goodness. You are the dearest and most honoured people to us, and the most deserving of acceptance of Allah's decree and submission to what He has bestowed upon your brothers among the Muhājirūn. You are the ones who prefer others over themselves in times of need. You are the most deserving that this religion should not be corrupted or mixed up at your hands. I call you to Abū 'Ubaydah and 'Umar, whom I have approved for this matter, and I see either of them fit for it."

'Umar and Abū 'Ubaydah said, "No one among the people is more deserving than you. You are the companion of the cave, the second of the two, and

the one whom the Messenger of Allah commanded to lead the prayer. You are the most deserving of this matter."

The Anṣār said, "By Allah, we do not envy you for the good that Allah has brought to you, nor is there anyone dearer or more pleasing to us than you. However, we fear that after this day we may be dominated by those who are not from us or you. If you appoint a man from among you today, we will accept him and agree that if he passes away, we will choose one from among us. If he passes away, then another from the Muhājirūn, and so on, as long as this Ummah exists. This would be more just for the Ummah of Muḥammad ***. An Anṣārī would watch over a Qurashī, and a Qurashī would watch over an Anṣārī."

Abū Bakr said, "The Messenger of Allah was sent by Allah to unite the Arabs upon their faith. They opposed him and separated from him, but Allah chose the Muhājirūn, the first to believe in him, to support him, share his hardships, and endure the difficulties from their people. They were not daunted by their numbers, and they were the first to worship Allah on earth and believe in His Messenger. They are his close relatives and the most entitled to leadership after him. No one disputes with them except a wrongdoer. After the Muhājirūn, there is no one who has more precedence and excellence in Islam than you. We are the rulers and you are the ministers. We will not decide on any matter without consulting you, nor will we resolve any issues without you."

Al-Ḥubāb ibn al-Mundhir ibn al-Jamūḥ stood up and said, "O Anṣār, hold on to your rights and do not let them take away your share. If they refuse, expel them from your land and take control of this matter. You are the people of support and protection, the ones to whom migration was made. You are the companions of the home and faith. By Allah, Allah was not worshipped openly except by you, and prayer was not gathered except in your Masjids. Faith was known only by your swords. Take control of your matter. If they refuse, then let there be an Amīr from us and an Amīr from them"

'Umar said, "Two swords cannot be sheathed together. The Arabs will not accept your leadership while their Prophet is from someone else. The Arabs will not accept anyone to rule them except those in whom the prophethood resides. We have the clear proof and evident authority over those who oppose us. Who can dispute with us in the authority of Muḥammad and his inheritance while we are his close family and clan? None can dispute except one driven by falsehood, indulging in sin, or embroiled in destruction."

Al-Ḥubāb said, "O Anṣār, do not listen to this man and his companions. Seize your share of the matter. If they refuse, expel them from your land and take control of this matter. You are the most entitled to it. I am its stump and trunk. By Allah, no one will reject my words without having his nose rubbed in the dirt."

When Bashīr ibn Sa'd al-Khazrajī saw what the Anṣār had agreed upon concerning the leadership of Sa'd Ibn 'Ubādah, due to his jealousy towards him he stood up and said, "O Anṣār, even though we have precedence, our intention in our striving and Islam was only to please our Lord and obey our Prophet We should not seek worldly gains through it. By Allah, the Quraysh are more entitled to this matter, and I will not dispute with them over this matter. Fear Allah and do not dispute with them or oppose them"

Abū Bakr stood up and said, "This is 'Umar and Abū 'Ubaydah . Pledge allegiance to either of them." They both said, "By Allah, we will not take leadership over you while you are among us. You are the best of the Muhājirūn, the companion of the cave, and the one whom the Messenger of Allah companion of the lead the prayer, the best act of worship. Extend your hand so that we may pledge allegiance to you."

When he extended his hand and they were about to pledge allegiance to him, Bashīr ibn Sa'd preceded them and pledged allegiance. Al-Ḥubāb ibn al-Mundhir said to him, "O Bashīr, may your hands wither! By Allah, nothing forced you to do this except envy for your cousin."

When the Aws saw that a leader from the Khazraj had pledged allegiance, Usayd ibn Ḥuḍayr, the leader of the Aws, stood up and pledged allegiance, out of envy for Sa'd and rivalry over the leadership. The Aws all pledged allegiance when Usayd did. Sa'd Ibn 'Ubādah was carried while he was ill and brought to his house. He refused to pledge allegiance that day and afterwards. 'Umar intended to force him to pledge allegiance, but it was suggested that he should not, as it might lead to a conflict where Sa'd and his people would resist until the Khazraj fought, and if the Khazraj fought, the Aws would join them, leading to chaos. So they left him. He did not pray with their prayers, join their congregation, or follow their judgements. Had he found supporters, he would have fought them. He remained in this state until Abū Bakr died. Then 'Umar met him during his Khilāfah while he was on horseback, and 'Umar was on a camel, 'Umar said to him, "How is it, O Sa'd?" Sa'd said, "How is it, O 'Umar?" 'Umar said, "Are you the one I know you to be?" Sa'd said, "Yes, I am." Then he said to 'Umar, "By Allah, no one is more disliked by me than you, and I would have left this place if not for you."

Sa'd did not stay long after that until he went to Syria and died in Ḥūrān, having never pledged allegiance to anyone—not to Abū Bakr, not to 'Umar, nor to anyone else.

The people gathered around Abū Bakr and most of the Muslims pledged allegiance to him that day. The Banū Hāshim gathered at the house of ʿAlī Ibn Abī Ṭālib, along with Zubayr, who considered himself one of the Banū Hāshim. 'Alī used to say, "Zubayr remained one of us, the people of the house, until his sons grew up and diverted him from us."

The Banū Umayyah gathered around 'Uthmān Ibn 'Affān, and the Banū Zuhrah gathered around Sa'd and 'Abd al-Raḥmān. 'Umar and Abū 'Ubaydah came to them and said, "Why do I see you hesitant? Stand up and pledge allegiance to Abū Bakr. The people and the Anṣār have pledged allegiance to him." 'Uthmān and those with him stood up and pledged allegiance, as did Sa'd and those with him.

'Umar went with a group to the house of Fāṭimah , among them Usayd ibn Ḥuḍayr and Salamah ibn Aslam. He said to them, "Go and pledge allegiance." They pledged allegiance to him. Zubayr came out with his sword. 'Umar said, "Seize the dog!" Salamah Ibn Aslam seized the sword from his hand and struck it against the wall. Then they took him and 'Alī along with the Banū Hāshim. 'Alī said, "I am the servant of Allah and the brother of His Messenger ." 'They brought him to Abū Bakr, and he was told, "Pledge allegiance." 'Alī said, "I am more entitled to this matter than you. I will not pledge allegiance to you while you are more entitled to pledge allegiance to me. You took this matter from the Anṣār and argued with them on the basis of your closeness to the Messenger of Allah ..., and they gave you leadership and handed over the emirate to you. I argue with you on the same basis. Be just with us if you fear Allah and acknowledge for us the same as the Anṣār acknowledged for you. Otherwise, you are oppressing us knowingly."

'Umar said, "You will not be left alone until you pledge allegiance."

'Alī said, "Take advantage, O 'Umar, for you have a share in it. Strengthen his leadership today so that he may return it to you tomorrow. By Allah, I will not accept your word, nor will I pledge allegiance."

Abū Bakr said, "If you do not pledge allegiance to me, I will not force you."

Abū 'Ubaydah said, "O Abū al-Ḥasan, you are young, and these are the elders of Quraysh, your people. You do not have their experience and knowledge of affairs. I do not see anyone stronger than Abū Bakr for this matter, nor more enduring and knowledgeable of it. Accept this matter for him, for we are pleased with you, and if you live long, you will be fit for this matter due to your merit, lineage, precedence, and jihād."

'Alī said, "O Muhājirūn, by Allah, do not take the authority of Muḥammad out of his house and his family to your houses and your families. Do not deprive his family of their position among the people and their rights. By Allah, O Muhājirūn, we, the people of the house, are more entitled to

this matter than you. Was there not among us the one who recited the Book of Allah, the jurist in the religion of Allah, the scholar of the Sunnah of Allah, the one who knew the affairs of the people? By Allah, he is among us. Do not follow your desires, lest you go astray from the truth."

Bashīr ibn Saʿd said, "If the Anṣār had heard these words from you, O 'Alī, before pledging allegiance to Abū Bakr, no two would have differed over you. But they have already pledged allegiance."

'Alī went back to his house and did not pledge allegiance until Fāṭimah passed away, after which he pledged allegiance.

The account of Saqīfah presented here and elsewhere in *al-Murājaʿāt* tells a markedly different story. The footnotes in *al-Murājaʿāt* reference only selected snippets of the incident, creating the illusion that the version found in *Sahih al-Bukhārī* matches the version related in piece-meal by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn. This particular version is found in *Kitāb al-Saqīfah wa Fadak* by Abū Bakr al-Jawharī and Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīdʾs commentary on *Nahj al-Balāghah*. The details of his version is treated as fact in *al-Murājaʿāt*. However, even these versions need to be carefully edited by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn since they contain some details that damage his narrative.

Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd's commentary reproduces the incident directly from al-Jawharī's $\mathit{Kit\bar{a}b}$ al-Saqīfah. Another reference for the incident is the $\mathit{T\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}kh}$ of Ibn Jarīr, where it is related via multiple accounts through different chains.

Al-Jawharī's *Kitāb al-Saqīfah wa Fadak* contains numerous narrations with a series of different chains of transmission. One of the many issues with this reference is that the author himself, Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz al-Jawharī, happens to be relatively unknown. Not much is known about him aside from his mention in al-Ṭūsī's *al-Fihrist* and his authorship of *Kitāb al-Saqīfah*, which allows us to infer his Shīʿī affiliation and approximate his era.¹

¹ Al-Fihrist al-Tūsī, pg 84.

Information about him is extremely limited, and what little is known is mostly inferred, assuming the book attributed to him is indeed his. There is no explicit documentation of his teachers; rather, it is assumed that the names he cites at the beginning of an isnād are his teachers.

At first glance, the individual narrators of this version might not raise concerns regarding their credibility. However, it seems this entire chain is an invented one grafted onto an existing fabricated version of the events at Saqīfah. This specific isnād, meaning each link, is unique to this work and does not appear in other sources.

Add to this, the fact that Saʿīd ibn Kathīr, the highest narrator in the chain, passed away in 226 A.H1 which creates a gap of over two centuries between him and the occurrence of Saqīfah. So, even if this chain were genuine, it remains unreliable due to the significant interruption. The fact that this isnād is exclusive to this work strongly suggests it was fabricated to lend the incident a veneer of credibility, making it seem as though it originates from a non-Shīʿī source.

Imām al-Dhahabī, when addressing misplaced statements that appear to discredit him, cites two Aḥādīth for which he has been criticised, attributing the error in one of them to another narrator in that chain.2 However, he does not mention this incident as one of the Aḥādīth for which he was criticised which is another strong indicator of the fact that it is not something that he actually narrated, but a forgery that was attributed to him.

At the beginning of this book, there appears another distorted incident with a similar isnād, except that in that version the isnād has some additional details:

Aḥmad ibn Isḥāq - Aḥmad ibn Sayyār - Saʿīd ibn Kathīr ibn ʿUfayr - **his narrators** - ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ...³

¹ Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 11 pg. 40.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 2 pg. 147.

³ Kitāb al-Saqīfah, pg. 40.

It is evident that there are many missing links between Saʿīd ibn Kathīr and any Ṣaḥābī. The incident related by this chain discusses the army of Usāmah which the Prophet prepared just before his demise. Again, this version is also a forgery since it mentions Abū Bakr with by name as one of those whom the Prophet placed under Usāmah's with command. We know this to be incorrect since the Messenger of Allah personally appointed Abū Bakr with to lead the Muslims in prayer during his final illness. How is it possible that Abū Bakr was commissioned to set off on a journey with Usāmah with still lead the Muslims in prayer?

Finally, pay attention to the name of the final narrator in this chain, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān. His name will feature in the version of al-Ṭabarī. It would appear—and Allah knows best—that the narration in *Kitāb al-Saqīfah* by al-Jawharī was actually forged based off the version of al-Ṭabarī.

The second reference for the incident at Saqīfah was from Ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī. The incident of Saqīfah is discussed separately at two places in the $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$ of al-Ṭabarī. The version of events that is alluded to in al-Murājaʿāt is as follows:

هشام بن محمد عن أبي مخنف قال حدثنى عبد الله بن عبد الرحمن بن أبي عمرة الأنصاري أن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم لما قبض اجتمعت الأنصار في سقيفة بني ساعدة ... فلما أتى أبو بكر بذلك قال له عمر لا تدعه حتى يبايع فقال له بشير بن سعد إنه قد لج وأبى وليس بمبايعكم حتى يقتل وليس بمقتول حتى يقتل معه ولده وأهل بيته وطائفة من عشيرته فاتركوه فليس تركه بضاركم إنما هو رجل واحد فتركوه وقبلوا مشورة بشير بن سعد واستنصحوه لما بدا لهم منه فكان سعد لا يصلي بصلاتهم ولا يجمع معهم ويحج ولا يفيض معهم بإفاضتهم فلم يزل كذلك حتى هلك أبو بكر

The Account of the Dispute Between the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār Regarding Leadership at the Saqīfah of Banū Sāʿidah

Hishām Ibn Muḥammad related from Abū Mikhnaf, who said: 'Abd Allāh Ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī 'Amrah al-Anṣārī reported that when the Prophet للمالة passed away, the Anṣār gathered at the Saqīfah of Banū Sāʿidah...

When Abū Bakr was informed of this, 'Umar said to him, "Pester him until he pledges the oath!"

But Bashīr ibn Saʿd said, "He has refused; he has made up his mind, and he wouldn't pledge the oath to you even if he were killed; and he would not be killed without his children, family, and a party of his kinsmen being killed with him. So leave him alone; leaving him will not harm you, he is only one man."

So they left him alone, accepting the advice of Bashīr ibn Sa'd and consulting him whenever it seemed right.

Sa'd [ibn 'Ubādah] would not participate in their daily prayers or congregate with them for Friday prayer; He would perform Ḥajj but he would not accompany them on Ḥajj nor depart from 'Arafah with them. He continued in this manner until Abū Bakr passed away.¹

In case it has gone unnoticed, we have truncated this narration due to its considerable length and its substantial similarities with the version found in *Kitāb al-Saqīfah*. The differences are more pronounced in the Arabic text, where the other version uses more explicit terms to illustrate the alleged hostility between the Ṣaḥābah . In addition to this it highlights the trope of hostility between the Anṣār who pledged their allegiance to Abū Bakr and those who supported Sa'd's proposal. The other reason for presenting a truncated version was that our main objective in citing the narration in the *Tārīkh* of al-Ṭabarī is to point out issues with the isnād, which we believe to be the actual isnād

Hishām ibn Muḥammad ibn Sā'ib al-Kalbī is a well-known chronicler and genealogist. However, he stands accused of forging reports by a long list of scholars, some who are even not known for narrator criticism, indicating that his mendacity was a matter of public knowledge.

¹ Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī, vol. 3 pg. 218-223.

Imam Aḥmad states, "He was merely a storyteller and genealogist. I never thought anyone would narrate from him." 1

Al-Dāraquṭnī considered him suspected of forgery and worthy of his narrations being abandoned.²

Ibn 'Asākir confirmed that he was a Rāfiḍī and unreliable.3

Ibn Ḥajar quotes the famous Shīʿī chronicler and historian, Yaḥyā ibn Abī Ṭayy, stating that he was considered an Imāmī Shīʿī.⁴ Ibn Ḥajar then goes on to clarify the misconception that Ibn Maʿīn considered him reliable, since he actually deemed him unreliable and unfit for narrating Ḥadīth. Additionally, he confirms that al-ʿUqaylī, Ibn Jārūd, and Ibn al-Sakan have all deemed Hishām unreliable. Both al-Aṣmaʿī and Abū al-Faraj al-Aṣbahānī have concluded that Hishām ibn al-Kalbī was suspected of lying and fabricating stories.⁵

Al-Aṣbahānī quotes the well-known poet, Abū Yaʻqūb al-Khuraymī, who characterises Hishām ibn al-Kalbī as a distinguished genealogist and a prolific purveyor of *Mathālib*, a genre devoted to defamatory narratives. Known for his penchant for exaggerated criticism. It is said that whenever he encountered Haytham ibn ʿAdī he would melt like lead [out of happiness, owing to their shared common interest in historical forgeries].⁶

Abū Mikhnaf Lūṭ ibn Yaḥyā al-Azdī al-Kūfī was a Shīʿī Imāmī historian from Kūfah, known for his works on early Islamic conquests and battles. He authored many historical texts, but ḥadīth scholars deemed his narrations unreliable,

¹ Kitāb al-ʿIlāl, vol. 1 pg. 243.

² Al-Du'afā', pg. 173.

³ Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 4 pg. 304.

⁴ Lisān al-Mīzān, vol. 8 pg. 339.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ Al-Aghānī, vol. 10 pg. 40.

often citing his reliance on unknown individuals earning him his reputation as a forger and liar.

Al-Dhahabī and Ibn Ḥajar both agree in their assessment of him, "A ruined historian who cannot be trusted."

Ibn Ḥajar adds, "Abū Ḥātim and others abandoned him [on suspicion of forgery]."

Al-Dāraquṭnī says, "Weak!"

Ibn Maʿīn says, "He is definitely not reliable." Elsewhere he said, "He is nothing!" Indicating the severity of his weakness.

Ibn ʿAdī says, "He is a zealous Shīʿī and a chronicler of their narratives." 2

Abū 'Ubayd al-Ājurrī said, "I asked Abū Dāwūd about him, and he dusted his hands [in disapproval], saying, 'Who would even ask about this [person]?""³

Al-'Uqaylī counts him amongst the weak narrators.4

Both these narrators are reason enough not to accept this narration. However, when we consider that the narration has been transmitted with an interrupted chain, it adds to the problems.

'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī 'Amrah al-Anṣārī is not a Ṣaḥābī; his grandfather was. The details about him are fairly sparse. He has entries in both al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr by al-Bukhārī and al-Jarḥ wa al-Ta'dīl by Ibn Abī Ḥātim, but neither source provides any commentary on his reliability.

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 3 pg. 419, biography no. 6992; Also Lisān al-Mīzān, vol. 4 pg. 430.

² Mīzān al-I'tidāl, vol. 3 pg. 419-420.

³ Lisān al-Mīzān, vol. 4 pg. 431.

⁴ Al-Du'āfā' by al-'Uqaylī, vol. 4 pg. 18-19, biography no. 1572.

⁵ Al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr, vol. 5 pg. 136.

⁶ Al-Jarh wa al-Ta'dīl, vol. 5 pg. 96.

Ibn Ḥibbān includes him under the generation after the Tābiʿīn (Atbāʿ al-Tābiʿīn) in his $Thiq\bar{a}t^1$, but does not explicitly grade him as a reliable narrator. Ibn Ḥibbānʾs methodology is to assume a narrator is reliable unless there is evidence to the contrary. Consequently, even without an explicit commendation, Ibn Ḥibbān might include such a narrator in his $Thiq\bar{a}t$. Such narrators rank below those whom he explicitly credits. This position is unique to Ibn Ḥibbān and almost all the experts of narrator criticism do not consider a $majh\bar{u}l$ (unknown) narrator reliable by default.

In elaborating on the varying degrees of Ibn Ḥibbān's vetting of narrators, Shaykh 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Mu'allimī points out that not all endorsements of Ibn Ḥibbān carry the same weight. He categorises Ibn Ḥibbān's gradings into five levels:

The highest, where Ibn Ḥibbān explicitly praises the narrator's precision and reliability.

The second, involving Ibn Ḥibbān's own teachers whom he knew personally.

The third, covers well-known narrators with extensive transmissions, indicating Ibn Ḥibbān's familiarity with their work.

The fourth, where context reveals Ibn Ḥibbān's thorough understanding of the narrator.

The fifth, which applies to our narrator, is where Ibn Ḥibbān's inclusion lacks precedent and his conclusion is not endorsed by other experts.²

It is not the status of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Anṣārī that our immediate concern lies. Instead, we can safely conclude that even if he were a reliable narrator, the chain of transmission from him is interrupted, and the missing

¹ Al-Thiqāt, vol. 7 pg. 45.

² Al-Tankīl, vol. 1 pg 437-438.

source remains uncertain, making it unreliable. Given the polemical nature of this particular point, it warrants greater scepticism, especially as it contradicts the account of 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb , who was both an eyewitness and a participant in the events. Furthermore, 'Umar related his version in the presence of numerous Ṣaḥābah , none of whom questioned his narrative.

The point we wish to highlight concerns the common narrator, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī 'Amrah, and our assertion that the version in *Kitāb al-Saqīfah* is a forgery. It is a known practice among forgers to manipulate historical narratives, not necessarily by altering the content of an incident, but by attaching a fabricated isnād to a pre-existing report, regardless of its reliability. This method creates the illusion of an alternative mode of transmission. Often, forgers would invent a narrator and then attribute the isnād to this fictitious person. The unknown status of such a narrator provided a guise, at least in their minds, for the forged isnād to pass unnoticed. This practice provides context and valuable insight into the importance that Ḥadīth critics place on the continuity and reliability of the isnād, insisting that it must both be uninterrupted and only include known, credible narrators.

Ibn Jarīr's caveats

Ibn Jarīr, like any astute historian, placed caveats to his work on history. He did not want anyone to accept whatever material he included uncritically. On the contrary, he was meticulous in citing his source for almost every incident that he mentioned so that the researcher would be able to investigate for themselves what the status of any said report was. Ibn Jarīr's concern was to be comprehensive and collect as much material and details as he could, leaving the scrutiny to capable readers.

وليعلم الناظر في كتابنا هذا أن اعتمادي في كل ما أحضرت ذكره فيه مما شرطت أني راسمه فيه إنما هو على ما رويت من الأخبار التي أنا ذاكرها فيه والآثار التي أنا مسندها إلى رواتها فيه دون ما أدرك بحجج العقول واستنبط بفكر النفوس إلا اليسير القليل منه إذ كان العلم بما كان من أخبار الماضين وما هو كائن من أنباء الحادثين غير واصل إلى من لم يشاهدهم ولم يدرك زمانهم إلا بأخبار المخبرين ونقل

الناقلين دون الاستخراج بالعقول والاستنباط بفكر النفوس فما يكن في كتابي هذا من خبر ذكرناه عن بعض الماضين مما يستنكره قارئه أو يستشنعه سامعه من أجل أنه لم يعرف له وجها في الصحة ولا معنى في الحقيقة فليعلم انه لم يؤت في ذلك من قبلنا وإنما أتى من قبل بعض ناقليه إلينا وإنا إنما أدينا ذلك على نحو ما أدي إلينا

Let the reader of this book know that my reliance in all that I have included in it, according to the conditions I set forth, is based solely on the reports I have narrated and the traditions I have attributed to their transmitters, rather than what has been derived through rational arguments or extracted through intellectual inferences, except for a very limited portion. This is because knowledge of past events and future occurrences cannot be attained by those who did not witness them or did not live in their times except through the reports of informants and the transmission of narrators, rather than through rational deductions or intellectual inference. Therefore, if any account in this book is met with disapproval by the reader or is found problematic by the listener because it seems to lack authenticity or truth, let it be known that the fault does not lie with us, but rather with some of the transmitters who conveyed it to us since we have merely relayed it as it was conveyed to us.¹

This incident is also narrated in a similar manner by al-Wāqidī in his work *Kitāb al-Riddah*. As previously discussed, al-Wāqidī is considered an unreliable narrator, suspected of fabricating reports and distorting historical narratives.² The existence of a version of this nature in a work by someone like al-Wāqidī only reinforces the notion that this version of events is the result of propaganda and lacks historical integrity.

Whilst we do not accept these versions, they provide us with some details that are conveniently omitted in *al-Murāja* $\dot{a}t$:

¹ Tārīkh al-Tabarī, vol. 1 pg. 8.

See discussions under Letter 34.

الأمر من الأنصار بالحجة عليهم والقرابة لأبي بكر لأنكم زعمتم أن محمدا صلى الله عليه وسلم منكم فأعطوكم المقادة وسلموا إليكم الأمر وأنا أحتج عليكم بالذي احتججتم به على الأنصار نحن أولى بمحمد صلى الله عليه وسلم حيا وميتا لأنا أهل بيته وأقرب الخلق إليه فإن كنتم تخافون الله فانصفونا واعرفوا لنا في هذا الأمر ما عرفته لكم الأنصار قال فقال له عمر إنك أيها الرجل لست بمتروك أو تبايع كما بايع غيرك فقال على إذن لا أقبل منك ولا أبايع من أنا أحق بالبيعة منه فقال له أبو عبيدة بن الجراح والله يا أبا الحسن إنك لحقيق لهذا الأمر لفضلك وسابقتك وقرابتك غير أن الناس قد بايعوا ورضوا بهذا الشيخ فارض بما رضي به المسلمون فقال له على كرم الله وجهه يا أبا عبيدة أنت أمين هذه الأمة فاتق الله في نفسك فإن هذا اليوم له ما بعده من الأيام وليس ينبغي لكم أن تخرجوا سلطان محمد صلى الله عليه وسلم من داره وقعر بيته إلى دوركم وقعور بيوتكم ففي بيوتنا نزل القرآن ونحن معدن العلم والفقه والدين والسنة والفرائض ونحن أعلم بأمور الخلق منكم فلا تتبعوا الهوى فيكون نصيبكم الأخس قال فتكلم بشير بن سعد الأنصاري فقال يا أبا الحسن أما والله لو أن هذا الكلام سمعه الناس منك قبل البيعة لما اختلف عليك رجلان ولبايعك الناس كلهم غير أنك جلست في منزلك ولم تشهد هذا الأمر فظن الناس أن لا حاجة لك فيه والآن فقد سبقت البيعة لهذا الشيخ وأنت على رأس أمرك قال فقال له على ويحك يا بشير أفكان يجب أن أترك رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في بيته فلم أجبه إلى حفرته وأخرج أنازع الناس بالخلافة قال فأقبل عليه أبو بكر فقال يا أبا الحسن إنى لو علمت أنك تنازعني في هذا الأمر ما أردته ولا طلبته وقد بايع الناس فإن بايعتني فذلك ظني بك وإن لم تبايع في وقتك هذا وتحب أن تنظر في أمرك لم أكرهك عليه فانصرف راشدا إذا شئت قال فانصرف على إلى منزله فلم يبايع حتى توفيت فاطمة ثم بايع بعد خمس وسبعين ليلة من وفاتها وقيل بعد ستة أشهر والله أعلم أي ذلك كان

Then $Ab\bar{u}$ Bakr sent for 'Alī and summoned him. He came while the people were present, greeted them, and sat down. Then he turned to the people and said, "Why have you summoned me?"

'Umar replied, "We have called you for the pledge of allegiance which the Muslims have agreed upon."

'Alī said, "O people, you took this matter from the Anṣār with the argument of your kinship to Abū Bakr, claiming that Muḥammad was was from you. Thus, they yielded to you and handed over the leadership. I now argue with you based on the same reasoning you used against the Anṣār. We are closer to Muḥammad in both life and death, for we are his family and the closest of people to him. If you fear Allah, then be just with us and recognise our rights in this matter as the Anṣār did for you."

'Umar responded, "You will not be left alone until you pledge allegiance as others have done."

'Alī replied, "Then I will not accept from you, nor will I pledge allegiance to one who is less deserving of it than I am."

Abū ʿUbaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ said, "By Allah, O Abū al-Ḥasan, you are indeed worthy of this matter due to your merit, precedence, and kinship. However, the people have already pledged allegiance to this shaykh (Abū Bakr), so be content with what the Muslims have agreed upon."

'Alī responded, "O Abū 'Ubaydah, you are the trustworthy one of this nation, so fear Allah regarding yourself. This day will have its consequences in the days to come. It is not appropriate for you to remove the authority of Muḥammad from his home and the depths of his house to your homes and your houses. In our houses, the Qur'ān was revealed, and we are the source of knowledge, jurisprudence, religion, Sunnah, and obligations. We are more knowledgeable about the affairs of the people than you are. Do not follow your desires, for then your share will be the lesser one."

Then Bashīr ibn Saʿd al-Anṣārī spoke, saying, "O Abū al-Ḥasan, by Allah, if the people had heard this from you before the pledge, not a single man would have differed with you, and all the people would have pledged allegiance to you. But you sat in your house and did not attend to this matter, so the people thought you had no interest in it. Now the pledge has been given to this shaykh, and you are at the forefront of your matter."

'Allī replied, "Woe to you, O Bashīr. Should I have left the Messenger of Allah in his house unburied and gone out to dispute with the people over the succession?"

Then Abū Bakr said, "O Abū al-Ḥasan, if I had known that you would dispute with me over this matter, I would not have sought it nor desired it. The people have pledged allegiance. If you now pledge allegiance to me, that is what I expect of you. If you do not wish to pledge now and prefer to consider your matter, I will not force you. Go in peace if you wish."

So ʿAlī returned to his home and did not pledge allegiance until Fāṭimah passed away. Then he pledged allegiance seventy-five nights after her

death, or it is said after six months. Allah knows best which of the two is correct.¹

Even the fabricated versions disprove 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's claim that the Ahl al-Bayt were coerced into submission. These accounts unequivocally state that Abū Bakr in neither threatened nor forced 'Alī in If they tolerated Sa'd's purported isolation to the extent that he neither prayed with them in congregation nor attended 'Arafah with them—a notion so absurd that we leave it to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's disciples to explain—how is it conceivable that they would compel 'Alī in and the rest of the Ahl al-Bayt into submission? The very premise undermines the narrative promoted in al-Murāja'āt, exposing its inherent inconsistencies and contradictions.

The only other alternative is one which any Allah fearing Muslim shudders to even consider. The notion that the Ṣaḥābah , who were known for their piety and dedication, would blatantly ignore the Prophet's repeated

¹ Kitāb al-Riddah, pg. 32-46.

instructions; this is not only farfetched but also deeply nefarious. It implies a deep level of betrayal and disobedience that is incompatible with the established character and actions of the Prophet's Companions who sacrificed their lives and wealth to please Allah and to further His cause.

Such an assumption casts a dark shadow over the community founded by the Final Messenger of Allah, Muḥammad And questions the integrity of those who are lauded with praise in the Qur'ān for their role in the establishment and spread of Islam. Whilst we take the views in al-Murājaʿāt at face value; it is no secret that Shīʿī Ḥadīth literature contains reports that make severe and unspeakable accusations against the Prophet's Companions

'Alī's alleged policy with his opponents

'Abd al-Ḥusayn attempts to downplay the significance of 'Alī's will pledge of allegiance to Abū Bakr will by presenting it in a different light. He emphasises the historical view that 'Alī will only reconciled six months after the Prophet's demise, suggesting that this was not a genuine pledge of allegiance but rather a pragmatic strategy to avoid disturbing the unity of the Muslim community. He argues that the actions of 'Alī will were motivated by a desire to maintain cohesion among Muslims, rather than an acknowledgment of Abū Bakr's will legitimate Khilāfah. Naturally, the texts from his own sources have refuted this claim as we have demonstrated in earlier correspondence.

We shall turn our attention towards the policy of expediency attributed to 'Alī and juxtapose it with the doctrinal statements upheld by Shīʿī scholars. These doctrines are purportedly supported by narrations traced back to the Imāms and are cited from Shīʿī sources.

Commenting on the station of Imāmah and the concomitant belief in it, the 8th-century polemicist and renowned Shīʿī scholar, Ibn al-Muṭahhar al-Ḥillī, whose extensive contributions to Shīʿī legal discourse and theology are celebrated and highly regarded, has the following to say:

الإمامة لطف عام والنبوة لطف خاص لإمكان خلو الزمان من نبي حي بخلاف الإمام و إنكار اللطف العام شر من إنكار اللطف الخاص

Imāmah is a universal grace, whereas prophethood is a specific grace. [This distinction is based on the premise that] there can be periods without a living Prophet, but never without an Imām. Denying the universal grace [Imāmah] has worse consequences than denying the specific grace [Prophethood].¹

In essence, he is asserting that the failure to acknowledge the Imām of a given era is more grievous than denying the Prophethood of Muḥammad مَا اللهُ . If this statement seems severe, one should consider the following narration cited by the celebrated Safavid scholar, Mullā Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī, whose reputation is widely acknowledged among the Imāmīs. In his monumental work, Biḥār al-Anwār, he cites the following narration:

عن أبي على الخرساني عن مولى لعلي بن الحسين عليه السلام قال كنت معه عليه السلام في بعض خلواته فقلت إن لي عليك حقا ألا تخبرني عن هذين الرجلين عن أبي بكر و عمر فقال كافران كافرمن أحمهما

Abū ʿAlī al-Khurāsānī relates from a freed-slave of ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn , who said: I was with him in one of his private moments, and I asked: "It is my right over you that you to tell me [the truth] about these two men: Abū Bakr and ʿUmar."

He replied, "They are disbelievers, and whoever loves them is also a disbeliever."²

Continuing this line of thought is his student, Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī, who unashamedly writes:

¹ Kitāb al-Alfayn, pg. 3.

² Biḥār al-Anwār, vol. 72 pg. 137.

لم نجتمع معهم على إله ولا نبي ولا علي إمام وذلك أنهم يقولون إن ربهم هو الذي كان محمد صلى الله عليه وسلم نبيه و خليفته بعده أبو بكر و نحن لا نقول بهذا الرب ولا بذلك النبي بل نقول إن الرب الذي خليفة نبيه أبو بكر ليس ربنا ولا ذلك النبي نبينا

We do not share the same God, Prophet, or Imām with them. They assert that their Lord is the one who appointed Muḥammad as His Prophet and whom Abū Bakr was his successor. However, we do not recognize *this* Lord nor *that* Prophet. Instead, we declare that the Lord whose Prophet's successor is Abū Bakr is not our Lord, nor is *that* Prophet our Prophet.

These perspectives are neither isolated nor did they emerge out of a vacuum; they are deeply rooted in early Shīʿī beliefs. The significance of Imāmah is so profound that Twelver Shīʿism not only excommunicates Sunnis over this essential doctrine but also extends this excommunication to nearly every other Shīʿī sect that diverges from their specific views on the Imāms. One of their prominent early scholars, Ibn Bābawayh al-Qummī, articulates this uncompromising stance:

واعتقادنا فيمن جحد إمامة أمير المؤمنين والأثمة من بعده أنه بمنزلة من جحد نبوة الأنبياء واعتقادنا فيمن أو بأمير المؤمنين وأنكر واحدا من بعده من الأثمة أنه بمنزلة من آمن بجميع الأنبياء ثم أنكر نبوة نبينا محمد علامة المعتمد علامة المعتمد ال

Our belief regarding one who denies the Imāmah of Amīr al-Mu'minīn ['Alī] and the Imāms after him is that he is akin to one who denies the prophethood of all the prophets. And our belief regarding one who acknowledges Amīr al-Mu'minīn but denies even a single Imām after him is that he is akin to one who believes in all the prophets but then denies the prophethood of our Prophet Muhammad

This addresses the status of Imāmah and the severe consequences of not recognising the uninterrupted succession of 'Alī What about the alleged policy of maintaining public order?

¹ Al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah, vol. 2 pg. 279.

² Al-I'tiqādāt, pg. 111.

Let us examine the inconsistency in the claim that 'Alī and the Imāms adopted a policy of expediency solely for the welfare of the Ummah. If such were indeed their policy, one would expect a consistent message across Shīʿī sources advocating for unity and public order. However, we find quite the opposite. The Imāms, as quoted in authoritative works like *al-Kāf*ī, instructed their followers not to submit to the authority of 'illegitimate' rulers.

This conversation, which is allegedly attributed to Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq, tells us something entirely different:

محمد بن يحيى عن محمد بن الحسين عن محمد بن عيسى عن صفوان بن يحيى عن داود بن الحصين عن عمر بن حنظلة قال سألت أبا عبد الله عليه السلام عن رجلين من أصحابنا بينهما منازعة في دين أو ميراث فتحاكما إلى السلطان وإلى القضاة أيحل ذلك قال من تحاكم إليهم في حق أو باطل فإنما تحاكم إلى الطاغوت وما يحكم له فإنما يأخذ سحتا وإن كان حقا ثابتا له لأنه أخذه بحكم الطاغوت وقد أمر الله أن يكفر به قال الله تعالى يريدون أن يتحاكموا إلى الطاغوت وقد أمروا أن يكفروا به قلت فكيف يصنعان قال ينظران إلى من كان منكم ممن قد روى حديثنا ونظر في حلالنا وحرامنا وعرف أحكامنا فليرضوا به حَكَماً فإني قد جعلته عليكم حاكما فإذا حكم بحكمنا فلم يقبله منه فإنما استخف بحكم الله وعلينا رد والراد علينا الراد على الله وهو على حد الشرك بالله قلت فإن كان كل رجل اختار رجلا من أصحابنا فرضيا أن يكونا الناظرين في حقهما واختلفا فيما حكما وكلاهما اختلفا في حديثكم قال الحكم ما حكم به أعدلهما وأفقههما وأصدقهما في الحديث وأورعهما ولا يلتفت إلى ما يحكم به الآخر قلت فإن هما عدلان مرضيان عند أصحابنا لا يفضل واحد منهما على الآخر فقال ينظر إلى ما كان من روايتهم عنا في ذلك الذي حكما به المجمع عليه من أصحابك فيؤخذ به من حكمنا ويترك الشاذ الذي ليس بمشهور عند أصحابك فإن المجمع عليه لا ريب فيه وإنما الأمور ثلاثة أمر بين رشده فيتبع وأمر بين غيه فيجتنب وأمر مشكل يرد علمه إلى الله وإلى رسوله قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وآله حلال بين وحرام بين وشبهات بين ذلك فمن ترك الشبهات نجامن المحرمات ومن أخذ بالشبهات ارتكب المحرمات وهلك من حيث لا يعلم قلت فإن كان الخبران عنكما مشهورين قد رواهما الثقات عنكم قال ينظر فما وافق حكمه حكم الكتاب والسنة وخالف العامة فيؤ خذ به ويترك ما خالف حكمه حكم الكتاب والسنة ووافق العامة قلت جعلت فداك أرأيت إن كان الفقيهان عرفا حكمه من الكتاب والسنة ووجدنا أحد الخبرين موافقا للعامة والآخر مخالفا لهم بأي الخبرين يؤخذ قال ما خالف العامة ففيه الرشاد فقلت جعلت فداك فإن وافقهما الخبران جميعا قال ينظر إلى ما هم إليه أميل حكامهم وقضاتهم فيترك ويؤخذ بالآخر قلت فإن وافق حكامهم الخبرين جميعا قال إذا كان ذلك فأرجئه حتى تلقى إمامك فإن الوقوف عند الشبهات خير من الاقتحام في الهلكات Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā narrates — from Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥusayn — from Muḥammad ibn ʿĪsā — from Ṣafwān ibn Yaḥyā — from Dāwūd ibn al-Ḥuṣayn — from ʿUmar ibn Hanzalah, who said:

I asked Abū 'Abd Allāh ﷺ about two men from our companions [Shī'ah] who have a dispute concerning a debt or inheritance and they seek a ruling from the government or the judges. Is this permissible?

He said, "Whoever seeks a judgement from them in matters of right or wrong, indeed, seeks judgement from the Ṭāghūt. Whatever judgement is given to him, is an unlawful gain, even if it is rightfully theirs, because they took it by the judgement of the Ṭāghūt and Allah has commanded to disbelieve in it. Allah says, 'They wish to refer legislation to the Ṭāghūt, while they were commanded to reject it."

I said, "What should they do then?"

He said, "They should look to one among you who has narrated our hadīth, has examined our lawful and unlawful, and knows our rulings. Let them accept him as a judge, for I have appointed him as a judge over you. If he judges by our judgement and it is not accepted, then it is as if the judgement of Allah was taken lightly, and we are the ones rejected, and whoever rejects us rejects Allah, which is akin to associating partners with Allah."

I said, "If each man chooses a person from among our companions and they accept them to judge their case, but they differ in their judgements and each one disagrees on your hadīth?"

He said, "The judgement is what is given by the one who is more just, more knowledgeable, more truthful in narrating, and more pious. Do not consider the judgement of the other."

I said, "What if both are just and acceptable to our companions, and neither is preferred over the other?"

He said, "Look at what is narrated from us on the matter they ruled on that is agreed upon by your companions, and take it from our ruling, leaving the singular (shādh) which is not well-known among your companions, for the agreed-upon has no doubt in it. Matters are of three types: a matter that is clear in its guidance and is followed, a matter that is clear in its error and is avoided, and a matter that is unclear and is referred to Allah and His Messenger. The Messenger of Allah with said, "The lawful is clear and the unlawful is clear, and between them are doubtful matters. Whoever avoids the doubtful matters saves his religion and honour. Whoever indulges in doubtful matters falls into the unlawful unknowingly."

I said, "What if both narrations from you are well-known and reported by reliable narrators?"

He said, "He will see what conforms to the Book and the Sunnah and contradicts the 'Āmmah [Ahl al-Sunnah], and take it; and leave what opposes the ruling of the Book and the Sunnah but conforms to the 'Āmmah."

I said, "May I be sacrificed for you, what if both jurists know its ruling from the Book and the Sunnah, and we find one of the narrations agreeing with the 'Āmmah and the other opposing them. Which one should we take?"

He said, "Take what opposes the 'Ammah, for therein is guidance."

I said, "May I be sacrificed for you, what if both narrations agree with the 'Āmmah?"

He said, "Look at what their judges and rulers are inclined towards, and leave it. Take the other."

I said, "What if both narrations are agreed upon by their judges?"

He said, "If it is like that, postpone it until you meet your Imām, for waiting in doubt is better than rushing into destruction."

¹ Al-Kāfī, vol. 1 pg. 67-68.

The Imām explicitly commands his followers to reject the judgement of tyrants and to seek rulings only from those who adhere to their teachings and laws. Therefore, the claim that 'Alī and the Imāms after him adopted a policy of expediency to maintain public order does not hold up under scrutiny when we consider the fact that these instructions are found in Shīʿī sources themselves.

Since 'Alī wow did not oppose the Khulafā' before him, yet he decisively fought against those who opposed him during his own Khilāfah it is clear that he recognised their appointments as valid and did not contest their leadership. Given that even 'Abd al-Ḥusayn acknowledges the amicable relationship between 'Alī wow and the Khulafā' before him, we are compelled to accept that his pledge was indeed a pledge of allegiance and not simply a pragmatic policy.

'Alī's week pledging after six months

Imām al-Bayhaqī addresses this misconception and clarifies that 'Alī's pledge to Abū Bakr occurred twice. Initially with the general Bay'ah and then a second time after the passing of his wife, Fāṭimah out. He points out that 'Alī's temporary seclusion was not out of resentment but rather due to the specific issue of inheritance, which was later resolved. In addressing the allegation of 'Alī delaying his pledge, he correctly points out that this is not originally from 'Ā'ishah object, but instead from Imām al-Zuhrī, who assumed the matter thus.

In al-Bayhaqī's professional estimation, this is a case where narrators conflated different sources. He states:

والذي روى أن عليا لم يبايع أبا بكر ستة أشهر ليس من قول عائشة إنما هو من قول الزهري فأدرجه بعض الرواة في الحديث في قصة فاطمة رضي الله عنها وحفظه معمر بن راشد فرواه مفصلا وجعله من قول الزهري منقطعا من الحديث

وقد روينا في الحديث الموصول عن أبي سعيد الخدري ومن تابعه من أهل المغازي أن عليا بايعه في بيعة العامة التي جرت في السقيفة ويحتمل أن عليا بايعه بيعة العامة كما روينا في حديث أبي سعيد الخدري وغيره ثم شجر بين فاطمة وأبي بكر كلام بسبب الميراث إذ لم تسمع من رسول الله على في باب الميراث ما سمعه أبو بكر وغيره فكانت معذورة فيما طلبته وكان أبو بكر معذورا فيما منع فتخلف علي عن حضور أبي بكر حتى توفيت ثم كان منه تجديد البيعة والقيام بواجباتها كما قال الزهري ولا يجوز أن يكون قعود على في بيته على وجه الكراهية لإمارته ففي رواية الزهري أنه بايعه بعد وعظم حقه ولو كان الأمر على غير ما قلنا لكانت بيعته آخر خطأ ومن زعم أن عليا بايعه ظاهرا وخالفه باطنا فقد أساء الثناء على علي وقال فيه أقبح القول وقد قال علي في إمارته وهو على المنبر ألا أخبركم بخير هذه الأمة بعد نبيها في قالوا بلى قال أبو بكر ثم عمر ونحن نزعم أن عليا كان لا يفعل إلا ما هو حق ولا يقول إلا ما هو صدق وقد فعل في مبايعة أبي بكر ومؤازرة عمر ما يليق بفضله وعلمه وسابقته وحسن عقيدته وجميل نيته في أداء النصح للراعي والرعية وقال في فضلهما ما نقلناه في كتاب الفضائل فلا معنى لقول من قال بخلاف ما قال وفعل وقد دخل أبو بكر الصديق على فاطمة في مرض موتها وترضّاها حتى رضيت عنه فلا طائل لسخط غيرها ممن يدعي موالاة أهل البيت ثم يطعن على أصحاب رسول الله في ويهجن من يواليه ويرميه بالعجز والضعف يدعي واختلاف السر والعلانية في القول والفعل وبالله العصمة والتوفيق

The narrative about 'Alī not pledging allegiance to Abū Bakr for six months is not actually from the words of 'Ā'ishah but rather from al-Zuhrī. Some narrators mistakenly included it in the ḥadīth concerning Fāṭimah . Ma'mar ibn Rāshid preserved the account accurately, narrating it as al-Zuhrī's statement, separate from the actual ḥadīth.

In the uninterrupted ḥadīth narrated by Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī, and others from the people of Maghāzī, it is recorded that ʿAlī pledged allegiance during the general pledge at Saqīfah. It is plausible that ʿAlī initially pledged allegiance as part of this general pledge, as indicated in the ḥadīth of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī. A subsequent dispute arose between Fāṭimah and Abū Bakr over the inheritance, as she had not heard from the Messenger of Allah what Abū Bakr and others had heard. Both were excused for their respective stances. ʿAlī refrained from visiting Abū Bakr until Fāṭimah passed away. Following her death, he renewed his pledge and fulfilled its obligations, as al-Zuhrī mentioned.

It is incorrect to suggest that 'Alī's seclusion was due to his dissatisfaction with Abū Bakr's leadership. Al-Zuhrī's narration states that he later pledged allegiance and acknowledged Abū Bakr's rightful position.

Those who claim that 'Alī pledged outwardly while opposing inwardly have severely misrepresented him, attributing the worst actions to him.

ʿAlī, during his own leadership, declared from the minbar, "Shall I not tell you about the best of this Ummah after its Prophet "Indeed," They said, "Indeed," and he replied, "Abū Bakr, then 'Umar."

We assert that 'Alī did only what was right and spoke only what was true. His actions in pledging allegiance to Abū Bakr and supporting 'Umar were fitting for his virtue, knowledge, precedence, good belief, and noble intention in providing sincere advice to the leader and the community. He acknowledged their virtues, as we have recorded in our book, *Kitāb al-Faḍā'il*, leaving no room for contrary assertions.

Furthermore, Abū Bakr visited Fāṭimah during her illness, seeking her satisfaction until she was content with him. Therefore, the dissatisfaction of others besides her, who claim to support the Ahl al-Bayt but disparage the Companions of the Messenger, holds no merit. They invariably accuse those whom they claim to support with weakness, inconsistency, and duplicity. True protection and success lie with Allah.¹

It is almost as if al-Bayhaq \bar{i} adumbrated the kind of propaganda that would be promoted in titles like al- $Mur\bar{a}ja$ $\bar{i}at$.

Unjust Rulers

The attempt to rationalise the amicable stance of 'Alī during the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr and the subsequent Khulafā' by equating it to the obedience required under tyrannical rulers raises a number of issues.

Firstly, shamelessly equating their era to periods of unjust rule predicted by the Prophet is not only historically inaccurate but also inadvertently portrays 'Alī and the Imāms after him as lacking the courage to oppose what they purportedly believed to be a grave injustice—the violation of the Waṣiyyah of Rasūl Allāh and complete disregard of the explicit appointment (Naṣṣ).

¹ Kitāb al-I'tiqād, pg 353.

Such a portrayal starkly contrasts with the legendary courage of Asad Allāh al-Ghālib, the Victorious Lion of Allah, a term by which 'Alī is is affectionately known. Had there been a genuine usurpation of his divinely appointed legacy, it would be inconceivable for him and the Imāms after him to remain silent. Their silence and cooperation, as accurately depicted in historical records, confirm an acknowledgment of the legitimacy of the Khilāfah of Abū Bakr is and his successors rather than a reluctant submission to injustice. More than this is the fact that they are on record accepting the validity of the Khulafā' before 'Alī is and speaking favourably about them.

Moreover, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's argument inadvertently undermines both the notion of Naṣṣ and Waṣiyyah. If he conceded the authenticity of the Aḥādīth advocating obedience to unjust rulers, then we must question the very premise of divine appointment for the station of succession and leadership. The purpose of Naṣṣ or Waṣiyyah is to provide clear, divine guidance to the Ummah on who its leaders are. However, if the Prophet instructed obedience to rulers regardless of their justice, it cannot be reconciled with the idea of a divinely appointed, infallible leader whose authority stems directly from divine mandate.

In essence, 'Abd al-Husayn's argument fails to recognise the mutually exclusive premise of Naṣṣ or Waṣiyyah versus the prophetic guidance to obey unjust rulers. If there had been a specific Waṣiyyah, the Prophet would have undoubtedly directed the Ummah to oppose any usurpers of this divine appointment, much like how the Companions dealt with the false claimant of Prophethood in Yamāmah.

So using such narrations to justify an alleged policy of expediency for 'Alī and still maintaining the argument of Waṣiyyah is not only an insult to his legacy, and that of the Ahl al-Bayt, but also to the intelligence of the discerning reader.

The claim that Ahl al-Ḥall wa al-ʿAqd is only the Ahl al-Bayt

In his composite arguments, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn attempts to undermine the significance of Saqīfah, suggesting that it was a plan of convenience orchestrated

by Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and Abū 'Ubaydah, who supposedly took advantage of the situation and manipulated the Anṣār into acceptance. This happened while the real decision-makers were absent, gathered at the home of 'Alī ÉÉÉ. We have already addressed the origins of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's narrative sufficiently, obviating the need to further point out its unreliability. Now, we present evidence from a source that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn himself frequently cites and appears to hold in high regard: *Nahj al-Balāghah*.

In a letter ascribed to 'Alī in Nahj al-Balāghah, he says the following:

أنه بايعني القوم الذين بايعوا أبا بكر وعمر وعثمان على ما بايعوهم عليه فلم يكن للشاهد أن يختار ولا للغائب أن يرد وإنما الشورى للمهاجرين والأنصار فإن اجتمعوا على رجل وسموه إمامًا كان ذلك لله رضي فإن خرج عن أمرهم خارج بطعن أو بدعة ردوه إلى ما خرج منه فإن أبى قاتلوه على اتباعه غير سبيل المؤمنين وولاه الله ما تولى

Indeed those who pledged allegiance to me are the same as those who pledged allegiance to Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and 'Uthmān, on the same terms they pledged to them. Therefore, neither the present has the right to choose nor the absent the right to reject. The matter of consultation is exclusively for the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār. If they agree on a man and appoint him as their leader, their decision is in accordance with Allah's pleasure. However, if anyone opposes their decision by way of criticism or innovation, they must be brought back to compliance. If they refuse, they are fought for deviating from the path of the believers, and Allah will turn them back to what they turned away from.¹

The significance of this quotation is beyond description. Firstly, it is from a source highly respected by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, a source he frequently cites to support his arguments. Secondly, it establishes the basis upon which the Khilāfah of all four Khulafā' were founded: Shūrā. Thirdly, it unequivocally identifies the Muhājirūn and Anṣār as the Ahl al-Ḥall wa al-'Aqd in their era. Fourthly, and perhaps most significantly, it shows that 'Alī himself accepted the Khilāfah of those before

¹ Nahj al-Balāghah, pg. 336-337.

him on this basis and that he upheld this principle by fighting those who opposed him on the same grounds during his Khilāfah as well. This directly challenges any spin that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn was trying to put on the narrative when he brought up the attitude of 'Alī towards the Khulafā' who preceded him, explaining it away that it was nothing more than being pragmatic and adopting diplomacy when it suited him regardless of doctrine or principle.

The resolute nature of the Truth

Regardless of how masterfully constructed the lies of Shīī propagandists happen to be, they inevitably crumble under the weight of historical scrutiny. The early Muslims' unwavering commitment to preserving the truth and developing a robust methodology for verifying reports ensures that the legacy of the Prophet and his Companions remains untarnished by these attempts at revisionist history. However, this requires an open mind, fairness, and an objective critical framework.

To this end we can defer to Ibn Khaldūn once again:

وكثيرا ما وقع للمؤرخين والمفسرين وأثمة النقل من المغالط في الحكايات والوقائع لاعتمادهم فيها على مجرد النقل غثا أو سمينا ولم يعرضوها على أصولها ولا قاسوها بأشباهها ولا سبروها بمعيار الحكمة والوقوف على طبائع الكائنات وتحكيم النظر والبصيرة في الأخبار فضلوا عن الحق وتاهوا في بيداء الوهم والغلط

Historians, Qur'ān commentators, and prolific narrators often fall into errors in their accounts and narratives. This stems from their uncritical reliance on transmitted material, without investigating whether these reports are accurate or flawed. They fail to subject these reports to core principles, compare events with similar occurrences, scrutinise them with a measure of wisdom, understand the nature of phenomena, and exercise critical insight and discernment in evaluating the reports. [Without such measures] they have strayed from the path of truth, leading them into a wasteland of illusion and mistakes.¹

¹ Muqaddamat Ibn Khaldūn, vol. 1 pg. 13.

Notice that Ibn Khaldūn is not referring to propagandists who deliberately embellish stories, omit significant details, or fabricate events entirely. Instead, he is highlighting how some renowned historians and Tafsīr experts, due to a lack of critical methodology, might have included reports popularised by unscrupulous narrators and how these reports have survived over time.

What would be the core principles for an investigation about the Prophet's for an investigation about the Prophet's Companions? Given the existence of two competing narratives, the objective arbitrator must be the Qur'ān. The Qur'ān provides us objective insight whether the actions of the Prophet's Companions should be interpreted as depicted in al-Murāja'āt or if the Ṣaḥābah are exemplars—admittedly not infallible yet still worthy of emulation—whose actions need to be contextualised in light of the Prophet's with the pr

The second core principle, and this has been a standard feature in our responses thus far, is to investigate the status of the narrators of the incidents in mention.

The third core principle, which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has pre-empted and which we have dealt with, is to investigate the interactions between 'Alī مُولِيَّةُ and the other Companions during the period between the Prophet's طوستان demise and 'Alī's عوالم appointment as the legitimate Khalīfah following the assassination of 'Uthmān علية المنافقة.

The manner of interaction and quotations that have featured in references that ought to be respected by even 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, dispel the narrative forwarded by him. We are then left only with the conclusion that 'Alī 'Lie', like his brothers from the Anṣār and Muhājirūn, willingly accepted Abū Bakr 'Lie' as the Prophets successor and that he did not claim succession nor did he claim any Waṣiyyah.